

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

1968-1970

CASH CROP FARMS IN SOUTHERN MINNESOTA

Douglas Pagel Truman Nodland

Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics Institute of Agriculture, University of Minnesota St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

CASH CROP FARMS IN SOUTHERN MINNESOTA, 1968-1970 Douglas Pagel and Truman Nodland

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Capital Managed	3
Earnings Statement	6
Return on Investment and Selected Ratios	12
Efficiency in Use of Capital and Labor	16
Enterprise Statement	18
Crop Program	22
Conclusion	25

INTRODUCTION

Approximately one-third of the cash receipts of farms in Minnesota come from the sale of crops. Many farmers organize their farm businesses around cash crops and one or more livestock enterprises. Others confine their farming activities to the production of crops alone. This report is based on a study of farms in southern Minnesota where cash crops constitute the major source of income.

The major objectives of this study are (1) to present data in regard to capital managed, costs and returns, and land utilization for cash crop farms

^{1.} Research assistant and professor, respectively, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, University of Minnesota.

^{2. 1970} Farm Cash Income--Minnesota, Minnesota Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, August 27, 1971.

The authors are deeply indebted to Janet Otis and Dorothy Spreck for their assistance in the preparation of this report.

and (2) to compare and analyze factors which might account for some of the differences in earnings among farmers. The number of farm business records included during the years of this study are shown in table 1. Willmar, Jackson, Mankato, Austin, and Winona vocational-technical schools, and the Southeastern and Southwestern Minnesota Farm Management Associations provided the farm record information. Farms were categorized into three groups according to size—small (less than 380 acres), medium (380-499 acres), and large (over 500 acres). Each of the three groups were subdivided into two parts, according to labor earnings.

For the purpose of this study, a farm was considered "specialized" when 80 percent or more of the cash income came from the crop enterprise. Cash income, other than from crops, includes sales of livestock and livestock products, work off the farm and miscellaneous farm income.

This report enables farm operators, educators, researchers, credit agency personnel and others to analyze and compare specific information about costs and returns from individual farm operations. The results shown in this report may yield ideas that can be examined for feasibility in the reader's own business. It is suggested that crop farmers copy figures from their own business records into the appropriate blank columns so that comparisons can be made with the most relevant averages.

Table 1. Number of Farms Included

Group	1968	1969	1970	Average of 3 years
Less than 380 acres	18	21	22	20
380 - 499 acres	21	21	18	20
500 acres and over	20	21	_33	<u>25</u>
Total	59	63	73	65

CAPITAL MANAGED

The average value of capital used per farm for each size category is reported in table 2. These data represent values as reported by farmers in their farm business records. To make records comparable on a whole farm basis, landlords' shares are included. Land is valued at cost and is not adjusted for price inflation which has occurred since its purchase. Machinery and real estate improvements are valued at cost less depreciation on the basis of estimated life. Capital managed varied considerably, with the larger acreage farms associated with the larger total capital investment. Variations in investments had a range of \$193,079, with a low investment of \$85,629 and a high investment of \$278,708, as of December 31.

Table 3 shows investment per tillable acre. Small farms had an average investment per tillable acre of \$373, while the larger than 500 acre farms showed a \$391 per tillable acre investment. Owners of larger farms probably have had some expansion by means of land purchases at more current price levels. Average value of land and farm buildings (not including dwelling) was about \$300 per acre. An additional \$100 per acre would more adequately reflect current prices of real estate.

Table 2. Capital Managed on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

			All	Less	than 380	acres
			cash	1/2 high		1/2 low
		Your	${\tt crop}$	in labor		in labor
	Item	farm	farms	earnings	Average	earnings
2.	Total acres per farm Tillable acres per farm Number of workers		492 447 1•32	308 284 1.17	301 270 1.15	294 256 1.13
		I	lverage capi	tal managed	as of Jan	uary l
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	All livestock Crops, seed, feed Auto & truck (farm share) Tractors & crop machinery Livestock equipment Farm buildings* Land	\$	\$ 2042 18779 2180 16559 449 18381 116238	\$ 1272 12505 1640 12352 526 11134 77321	\$ 1090 11282 1676 10778 446 11463 63779	\$ 913 10129 1722 9236 360 11757 50537
11.	Total capital managed	\$	\$174628	\$116750	\$100514	\$84654
		I	lverage capi	tal managed	as of Dec	ember 31
12.	Total capital managed	\$	<u>\$179832</u>	\$122298	\$103761	\$85629

^{*} Not including value of dwelling.

Table 3. Capital Managed Per Tillable Acre on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

	Verm	All	Less	than 380 a	icres
Item	Your farm	cash crop farms	in labor earnings	Average	in labor earnings
 All livestock Crops, seed, feed Auto & truck Tractors & crop machinery Livestock equipment Farm buildings Land 	\$	\$ 5 43 5 38 1 41 256	\$ 5 44 6 43 2 39 272	\$ 4 42 6 40 2 42 237	\$ 3 40 7 36 1 46 198
8. Total capital per till. acre	\$	\$ 389	\$ 411	\$ 373	\$ 331

Table 2. Capital Managed on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

							
		0-499 acre				acres and	
	1/2 high		1/2 low		1/2 h i gh		1/2 low
	in labor	Average	in labor		in labor	Average	in labor
	earnings	J	earnings		earninge	•	earnings
1.	439	439	440		781	735	690
2.	405	404	402		710	667	626
3.	1.20	1.23	1.27		1.53	1.57	1.60
		Aver	age capita	l managed	as of Janu	ary 1	
4.	\$ 2147	\$ 1890	\$ 1661		\$ 3883	\$ 3145	\$ 2420
5.	21339	18845	16524		24298	26211	28139
6.	2510	2320	2136		2484	2544	2606
7.	15394	15744	16094	•	22822		
8.	393	382	372			23154	23514
9.	16748	16347	15972		481	519	566
10.	111648	106570			24486	27333	30082
10.	111040	100570	101541		190206	178366	167098
11.	\$170179	\$162098	\$154300		\$268660	\$261272	\$254425
		Aver	age capita	l managed	as of Dece	mber 31	
12.	\$176514	\$167123	\$158010		\$278708	\$268612	\$259114
					-	-	· · · · · ·

Table 3. Capital Managed per Tillable Acre on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	380	0-499 acre	S	500 acres and over			
	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	\$ 5 53 6 38 1 41	\$ 5 47 6 39 1 40	\$ 4 41 5 40 1 40	\$ 5 34 3 32 1 35	\$ 5 39 4 34 1 41	\$ 4 45 4 38 1 48	
7. 8.	<u>276</u> \$ 420	<u>264</u> \$ 402	<u>252</u> \$ 383	<u>268</u> \$ 378	<u>267</u> \$ 391	<u>267</u> \$ 407	

EARNINGS STATEMENT

Cash receipts, expenses, labor earnings, net cash income and other items are presented in table 4. "Labor earnings" is that amount that would be left as a salary to the farm operator if he paid wages equivalent to that of a hired man for the labor of the family and made a charge of 5 3/4 percent interest on average capital managed. Labor earnings was related to size of business in terms of acres. Small farms showed average labor earnings of \$5750, medium size farms \$11,877, while the largest farms reported labor earnings of \$13,131. Although this report covers a three-year period, one must remember that profitability will vary from year to year as weather and prices received for crops sold vary.

There were great variations in labor earnings within groups. For each size division, the top one-half in labor earnings showed well over \$7000 above the lower group. The high one-half in labor earnings for farms of 500 acres and over had earnings of \$20,598, while the bottom one-half of this group showed \$5696 in earnings. Medium sized farms showed a difference of \$10,282 in earnings between the top and bottom halves. The differences of acre size and total expense within individual size classes are small, when one compares this to the larger total receipts reported by the more efficient managers. Some of the labor earnings variation may also be attributable to differences in soil fertility and topography.

The cash statement is shown on the "per tillable acre basis" in table 5. One can note the uniform pattern of larger crop sales per tillable acre on the more profitable farms. The total per tillable acre expense of the top and bottom one-half in labor earnings does not vary significantly. Even within size groups, the maximum difference in total farm expense is \$9.00 per

tillable acre. One should note that medium size farms have the highest labor earnings per tillable acre with \$29. Small farms show per tillable acre earnings of \$21, while large farms realize per tillable acre labor earnings of \$20.

Table 4. Cash Statement for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

			All		tha n 380 a	
	Item	Your	cash	1/2 high		1/2 low
	10011	farm	\mathtt{crop}	in labor	${ t Average}$	in labor
			farms	<u>earnings</u>		earnings
בי ביים כו	EIPTS					
		\$	\$ 2971	ф oo./¬	# 1720	# 1005
	All livestock sold	₽		\$ 2241	\$ 1732	\$ 1235
	Corn sold		18430	11874	10655	9521
	Other crops sold		17362	10922	10184	9465
	Other capital assets sold		489	351	364	372
	Income from work off farm		1481	1866	1230	610
	Miscellaneous farm income		<u> 1546</u>	<u>1328</u>	938	566
	Total farm sales	\$	\$42279	\$28582	\$25103	\$21769
8.	Increase in farm capital		5204	5548	3247	975
9.	Family living from farm		<u>71</u>	<u>64</u>	<u> </u>	<u>46</u>
10.	Total farm receipts	\$	\$47554	\$34194	\$28404	\$22790
ופיצה	enses					
	All livestock bought	\$	\$ 1021	\$ 553	\$ 512	\$ 462
	Miscel. livestock expense	₩	77	61	\$ 51	41
	Feed bought		844	784	493	208
	Fertilizer bought		4239	2051	2079	2113
	Other crop expense		4255	2597	2393	2191
	Custom work hired		913	651	806	964
			1586	1128	1069	1012
	Gas, oil, grease bought		1)00	1120	1009	1012
TO.	Repairs-auto, truck,		2151	1390	1376	1366
סו	tractors, crop machinery		317	193	209	
	Repairreal estate			66		223
	Repairlivestock equip.		45	467	40 247	14
	Wages of hired labor		1029		347	228
	Electricity expense		258	212	211	210
	Real estate taxes		2474	1596	1458	1328
-	General farm expense	ф	<u>682</u>	<u>450</u>	482	<u>512</u>
	Total cash operating expense	₽	\$19891	\$12199	\$11526	\$10872
	Power & machinery bought		4874	4131	3792	3476
	Livestock equip. bought		93	58 3000	35	12
	Buildings & RE improvements	ь	1904	1223	1131	1012
	Total farm purchases	\$	\$26762	\$17611	\$16484	\$15372
	Decrease in farm capital			/ m m =		
	Interest on farm capital*		10115	6852	5875	4920
	Unpaid family labor		376	258	284	307
	Board for hired labor		48	11	11	11
34.	Total farm expenses	\$	\$37301	\$24732	\$22654	\$20610
35.	Labor earnings	\$	\$10253	\$ 9462	\$ 5750	\$ 2180
	(line 10 - line 34)			•		
36.	Net cash income (line 7 - line 29)	\$	\$1 <i>55</i> 17	\$10971	\$ 8619	\$ 6397

^{*} Approximately 5 3/4 percent.

Table 4. Cash Statement for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	380	0-499 acre	S	500 acres and over			
	1/2 high		1/2 low	1/2 high		1/2 low	
	in labor	Average	in labor	in labor	Average	in labor	
	earnings		earnings	earnings		earnings	
RECEIPTS						•	
	# 2000	# 002F	d 2061	# 10m2	# 1011	ф э <i>с</i> тс	
1.	\$ 3898	\$ 2937	\$ 2061 75078	\$ 4873 27340	\$ 4244	\$ 3646	
2.	22110	18924	15948	27340	25709	24071	
3.	15288	15421	15573	29475	26481	23483	
4.	231	226	220	780	878	983	
5.	892	894	897	2457	2319	2181	
6.	<u>1129</u>	<u>1132</u>	<u>1130</u>	<u> 2606</u>	<u> 2569</u>	<u>2512</u>	
7.	\$43548	\$39534	\$35829	\$67531	\$62200	\$56876	
8.	6335	5025	3710	10048	7340	4689	
9•	78	101	121	60	58	56	
10.	\$49961	\$44660	\$39660	\$77639	\$69598	\$61621	
EXPENSES	4	1					
11.	\$ 1473	\$ 1210	\$ 984	\$ 1346	\$ 1341	\$ 1346	
12.	45	60	74	104	120	137	
13.	1013	733	470	1217	1307	1393	
14.	3859	3723	3590	6890	6914	6916	
15.	3920			6885			
16.		3752	3597		6620	6328	
	998	830	666	1271	1104	931	
17.	1347	1410	1471	2034	2280	2528	
18.	1689	1924	2145	2976	3153	3334	
19.	247	254	266	396	487	574	
20.	12	17	23	83	78	72	
21.	<i>5</i> 71	665	757	2437	2074	1717	
22.	221	248	273	314	314	313	
23.	2514	2368	2229	3910	3597	3296	
24.	625	640	656	_ <u>831</u>	925	1016	
25.	\$ 18534	\$17834	\$17201	\$30694	\$30314	\$29901	
26.	3391	4128	4791	7497	6701		
27.	29	50	68			5924	
28.	756	1077	<u> 1385</u>	208	193	186	
	\$22710	\$23089	\$23445	2706	<u>3504</u> \$40712	<u>4304</u> \$40315	
29. 30.	₩ <i>≿</i> ~ / ±0	Ψ 2.2009	ψ	\$41105	\$40712	\$40315	
31.	9909	0/10	¢∩n#	7 5/00	7 80 50		
32.		9410	8925	15629	15059	14524	
33 .	129	229	320	199	618	1040	
ノノ• 31	<u>35</u> \$32783	<u>55</u> \$32783	7 <u>4</u> \$32764	108	78	<u>46</u>	
34•	ゆ うど (さう	ゆうご/8う	ゆ 32164	\$57041	\$56467	\$55925	
35•	\$17178	\$11877	\$ 6896	\$20598	\$13131	\$ 5696	
36.	\$20838	\$16445	\$12384	\$26426	\$21488	\$16561	

Table 5. Cash Statement on a Per Tillable Acre Basis for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

			All	Less ·	<u>than 380 a</u>	cres
	Item	Your	cash	1/2 high		1/2 low
		farm	\mathtt{crop}	in labor	Average	in labor
			farms	earnings		earnings
REC	EIPTS					
	All livestock sold	#	\$ 6	\$ 8	\$ 6	\$ 5
	Corn sold	₩	42	42	•1	
	Other crops sold		39	42 38	40 38	37
	Other capital assets sold		í)8 1		37
5.			4	7	1 5	2 3 2 \$ 85
_	Miscellaneous farm income	***************************************	3	/ 5	3	2
	Total farm sales	#	\$ 95	\$ 101	\$ 93	\$ 85
	Increase in farm capital	₩	₩ - 95 11	19	Ψ 95 12	
9.	Family living from farm		0	0	0	4
	Total farm receipts	\$	\$ 106	\$ 120	\$ 105	\$ 89
	10 tal 1 alm 1 0001p00	₩	ф тоо .	Ψ IZU	₩ 107	\$ 07
EXP	ENSES					
11.	All livestock bought	\$	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2
12.	Misc. livestock expense		" õ	" 0	" Õ	" õ
13.	Feed bought		2	3	2	ī
	Fertilizer bought		9	7	8	8
15.	Other crop expense		10	9	9.	8 8 4
16.	Custom work hired		2	2	3	4
17.	Gas, oil, grease bought		4	4	4	4
	Repairs-auto, truck,		•	•	·	•
	tractors, crop machinery		5	5	5	5
	Repairs-real estate		1	5 1	1	ı
	Repairs-livestock equipment		0	0	0	0
	Wages of hired labor		2	2 1	1	1
	Electricity expense	*******	0	1	1	1
	Real estate taxes	***	5	6	5	1 5 2 \$ 42
	General farm expense		2	<u> </u>	2	2
	Total cash operating expense	\$	\$ 44	\$ 43	\$ 43	\$ 42
	Power & machinery bought		11	15	14	14
	Livestock equipment bought		0	0	0	0
	Buildings & RE improvements		4	4	4	4
	Total farm purchases	\$	\$ 59	\$ 62	\$ 61	\$ 60
	Decrease in farm capital					
31.	Interest on capital mgd.		23	24	22	19
	Unpaid family labor		1	1	1	1
	Board for hired labor		0	0	0	<u> </u>
34.	Total farm expenses	\$	\$ 83	\$ 87	\$ 84	\$ 80
35.	Labor earnings	\$	\$ 23	\$ 33	\$ 21	\$ 9

Table 5. Cash Statement on a Per Tillable Acre Basis for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	380	0-499 acre	8		500 a	cres and	over
	1/2 high		1/2 low		1/2 high		1/2 low
	in labor	Average	in labor		in labor earning	Average	in labor
	earnings		earnings		earning		earnings
RECEIPTS							
1.	\$ 1 0	\$ 7	\$ 5		\$ 7	\$ 6	\$ 6
2.	54	47	40		38	38	38
3.	38	38	38		42	40	38
4.	0	1	1		1 3	1	1
5. 6.	2 3	2 3	2		3	4	4
7.	\$ 107	\$ 98	\$ 89		\$ 95	\$ 93	\$ 91
8.	16	12	9		₩ 95 14	\$ 93 11	\$ 91 7
9.	0	Õ	0		0	0	ó
10.	\$ 123	\$ 110	\$ 98		\$ 109	\$ 104	\$ 98
EXPENSES							
11.	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 2		\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2
12.	* ~	* 0	* ~ ~		₩ ~ O	\$ 2 0	\$ 2 0
13.	3	2	i		2	2	2
14.	10	9			10	10	11
15.	10	9 9 2 3 5 1	9 9 2		10	10	10
16.	2 3	2	2		2 3 4 0	2	2
17. 18.	3	3	4		3	4	4
19.	4 1) 1	4 5 0		4	5 1	5
20.	0	0	0		0	0	2 4 5 1 0 3 0 5 2 7 10
21.	ĺ	2	2		3	3	3
22.	0	2 0	2 1 6		3 0	0	0
23.	6	6	6	•	6	5	5
24.	\$ 46	2	\$ 43		1	1	ź
25.	\$ 46	\$ 44	\$ 43		\$ 43 11	\$ 45	\$ 47
26 . 27 .	8 0	10 0	12			10	
28.		3	0		0	0	0
29.	\$ 56	\$ 57	\$ 58		<u>4</u> \$ 58	<u>5</u> \$ 60	7
30.	₩ 25	₩ 기	₩)0		Ф 20	♠ OO	\$ 64
31.	25	23	22		22	23	23
32. 33.	0	1	22 1		~~ O	1	~2
33.	0 \$ 81	0	0		0	0	õ
34.	\$ 81	\$ 81	\$ 81		\$ 80	\$ 84	23 2 0 \$ 89
35.	\$ 42	\$ 29	\$ 17		\$ 29	\$ 20	\$ 9

RETURN ON INVESTMENT AND SELECTED RATIOS

Table 6 shows return on investment and various financial ratios. A charge of \$7000 was assumed as a wage for the operator. It is difficult to estimate this figure as the more efficient managers probably could receive higher salaries in other business opportunities. At the same time, it is difficult to arrive at a difference in the value of operator's labor for the larger farms compared to the smaller farms. Therefore, this arbitrary figure will tend to overstate returns on investment on large operations.

A reasonable return on investment in addition to a competitive wage for labor and management is one of the goals of most farmers. One can determine the return to farm capital by subtracting the value of the operator's labor from the sum of labor earnings and interest on investment. The return to farm capital varied from an average of \$4625 for small farms to a \$21,190 average for the 500 acre and over farms. All crop farms averaged 7.5 percent return on capital managed. The top managers received a return which is equal to or above current interest rates for borrowed capital. Less efficient managers would find payments on borrowed capital difficult to make from these relatively low returns.

Net profit margins can be calculated by dividing total return to farm capital by total farm receipts. This important figure indicates the profit generated per dollar of product produced. Profit margins vary from .004 for the least efficient small farms to .51 for the more efficient 500 acres and over group.

Two useful expense ratios are also presented in table 6. Cash operating expense per \$100 farm sales is a measure of overall cost control. It was generally stable over all size categories, averaging approximately \$47 per

\$100 of sales. Again, a significant difference is exhibited within size groups, with the top one-half in labor earnings displaying more effective cost control.

Total farm purchases per \$100 of sales is the second expense ratio.

This ratio may fluctuate from year to year on individual farms due to capital expenditures being included in total purchases. A similar trend is found here as was found in cash expenditures per \$100 of sales.

Table 6. Return on Investment and Expense Ratios for Specialized Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

		All	Less	than 380 a	cres
Item	Your	cash	1/2 high		1/2 low
5 Juli	farm	crop	in labor	Average	
		farms	earnings		earnings
Return on Investment					
1. Labor earnings	\$	\$10253	\$ 9462	\$ 5750	\$ 2180
2. Interest on investment	#	10114	6852	5875	4920
3. Total (1+2)	\$	\$20367	\$16314	\$11625	\$ 7100
4. Value of operator's labor		7000	7000	7000	7000
5. Return to farm capital (3+4)	\$	\$13367	\$ 9314	\$ 4625	\$ 100
6. Average capital managed	\$	\$177230	\$119524	\$102137	\$85141
7. Return per dollar of capital managed (5+6)		7.5%	7.7%	ı rd	7 of
8. Total farm receipts	ф	\$37328	•	4.5%	.1%
9. Asset turnover (8+ 6)	₩	Φ2120 •21	\$34194	\$28404	\$22790
10. Net profit margin (5+8)		.36	•29 •27	•27	.26
200 Met profits margin ()40)		•)0	•21	•16	•004
Expense Ratios					
11. Total farm sales	\$	\$42281	\$28582	\$25108	\$21769
12. Total cash operating expense	\$	\$19891	\$12199	\$11526	\$10872
13. Capital improvements	\$	\$ 6738	\$ 5412	\$ 4958	\$ 4500
14. Total farm purchases	\$	\$26629	\$ 17611	\$16484	\$ 1537 2
15. Cash expense per \$100 sales 12+11)	\$	\$ 47	\$ 43	\$ 46	\$ 50
16. Farm purchases per \$100	. •		•		
sales (14+11)	\$	\$ 63	\$ 62	\$ 6 6	\$ 71

Table 6. Return on Investment and Expense Ratios for Specialized Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	38	0-499 acre	S	500	acres and	over
	1/2 high		1/2 low	1/2 high		1/2 low
	in labor	Average	in labor	in labor	Average	in labor
	earnings		earnings	earnings		earnings
Return on I	nvestment					
1.	\$17178	\$11877	\$68 96	\$20598	\$13131	\$ 5696
2.	9909	9410	8925	15629	15059	14524
3.	\$27087	\$21287	\$15821	\$36227	\$28190	\$20220
4.	7000	7000	7000	7000	7000	7000
5.	\$20087	\$14287	\$ 8821	\$29227	\$21190	\$13220
6.	\$173346	\$164610	\$156155	\$273684	\$264942	\$256770
7.	11.0%	8.6%	5.6%	10.6%	8.0%	5.0%
8.	\$39758	\$34402	\$29365	\$56732	\$ 4917 8	\$41688
9.	•23	.21	•19	.21	.18	.16
10.	•50	-41	•30	•51	•43	•31
Expense rat	ios	,	•			
11.	\$43548	\$39534	\$35829	\$67531	\$62200	\$56876
12.	\$18534	\$17834	\$17201	\$30694	\$30314	\$29901
13.	\$ 4176	\$ 5255	\$ 6244	\$10411	\$10001	\$10811
14.	\$22710	\$23089	\$23445	\$41105	\$40315	\$40712
15	\$ 43	\$ 45	\$ 48	\$ 45	\$ 49	\$ 52
16.	\$ 52	# ##	#	ф (э	#	#h ====
ΤΟ.	\$ 52 	\$ 58 	\$ 65	\$ 61	\$ 65	\$ 72

EFFICIENCY IN USE OF CAPITAL AND LABOR

Table 7, showing efficiency in use of capital and labor, helps to explain the difference in returns to capital and labor. Tillable acres, work units, farm sales, and capital managed in total and per worker were greater on the larger farms than on the small farms. For example, each worker on the large farms operated 192 acres more than the workers on the smaller units. This caused an approximately \$18,000 difference in farm sales per worker between these two size groups. To accomplish the level of efficiency shown here, each worker handled \$80,400 more capital in the larger acreage farms than in the

Table 7. Efficiency in Use of Capital and Labor on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

		All		than 380 a	cres
Item	Your	cash	1/2 high		1/2 low
10011	farm	crop	in labor	Average	
		farms	earnings		earnings
Size of Business					
1. Tillable acres		447	284	270	256
2. Work units		247	202	166	132
3. Total farm sales	\$	\$42279	\$28582	\$25103	\$21769
Capital					
4. Total capital managed	\$	\$177230	\$119524	\$102137	\$85141
5. Capital managed per worker	\$	\$136331	\$ 99603	\$ 85114	\$77400
6. Capital managed per	n				
tillable acre	\$	\$ 388	\$ 388	\$ 340	\$ 299
7. Investment in tractors and crop machinery	\$	\$ 16559	\$ 12352	\$ 10778	# 0026
8. Investment in tractors	Ф	Ф 10009	Φ ⊥∠೨Э∠	⊕ TO / /O	\$ 9236
and crop machinery					
per worker	\$	\$ 12738	\$ 10293	\$ 8982	\$ 8396
Labor					
9. Number of workers		1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
10. Tillable acres per worker		344	237	225	233
ll. Work units per worker		190	<u>.</u> 168	138	120
12. Farm sales per worker	\$	\$32522	\$23818	\$20919	\$19790

small farms, with much of this added capital managed due to more land. Since these are essentially family farms, little labor was hired.

There was an average of \$16,559 invested in tractors and crop machinery on these farms. Small farms averaged \$10,800 as compared to \$23,514 on large farms. Tractors and crop machinery investment per worker, however, did not show as great a variation between size of farm. It appears as if the operators of the large farms were able to handle the larger acreage partially by more tractors and machinery per worker, but a substantial portion probably is due to more work on the part of each worker.

Table 7. Efficiency in Use of Capital and Labor on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

		00 000		500	acres and	over
	$\frac{38}{1/2 \text{ high}}$	30-499 acre	1/2 low	1/2 high	ACTES ATTO	1/2 low
	in labor	Average	in labor	in labor	Average	in labor
	earnings		earnings	earnings		earnings
Size of E					//	101
1.	405	404	402	710	667	626
2.	228	_ 221	215	363	353	344
3.	\$43548	\$39534	\$35829	\$67531	\$62200	\$56876
Capital						
4.	\$173346	\$164610	\$156155	\$273684	\$264942	\$256770
5.	\$144455	\$136175	\$121285	\$182456	\$165588	\$160481
,,	#	" · -			,	
6.	\$ 428	\$ 408	\$ 388	\$ 386	\$ 397	\$ 410
7.	\$ 15394	\$ 15744	\$ 16094	\$ 22822	\$ 23154	\$ 23514
, •	# =2274	W ~21 ~~	H = = = > > +	# 22222	# ~>~>	# ~>>=+
8.	\$ 12828	\$ 13120	\$ 12380	\$ 15215	\$ 14471	\$ 14696
.	₩ ±2020	₩ ±5±20	# 12,000	W + J ~ - J	#	# 140/0
Labor						
9•	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6
10.	337	336	309	473	417	391
11.	190	184	165	242	221	215
12.	\$ 36290	\$ 32945	\$ 27560	\$ 45021	\$ 38875	\$ 35547
	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	"	,,

ENTERPRISE STATEMENT

The data for earnings on an enterprise basis are presented in table 8 and are shown on the per tillable acre basis in table 9. Rather than stressing purchases and sales, the enterprise statement stresses net value produced and net expense. The net market value of crops produced less the cost of raising the crop (seed, fertilizer, chemicals and similar miscellaneous expenses) is the

Table 8. Earnings on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Enterprise Statement, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

		All		than 380 a	
Item	Your farm	cash crop farms	<pre>1/2 high in labor earnings</pre>	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings
RECEIPTS AND NET INCREASES 1. All livestock 2. Feed fed	\$	\$ 198 8 1429	\$ 1809 <u>1297</u>	\$ 1345 946	\$ 890 600
 Return over feed Crops and feed Income from labor off farm Miscellaneous farm income 	\$	\$ 559 31978 662 <u>1546</u>	\$ 512 22439 936 1328	\$ 399 18702 615 <u>938</u>	\$ 290 15104 300 566
7. Total receipts	\$	\$34745	\$25215	\$20654	\$16260
8. Truck & auto 9. Electricity expense 10. Tractors & crop machinery 11. Livestock equipment 12. Buildings, fencing 13. Miscel. livestock expense 14. Labor* 15. Real estate taxes 16. General farm expense 17. Interest on capital managed	\$	\$ 1602 258 5598 150 1802 77 1734 2474 682 10115	\$ 1022 212 3558 139 917 61 946 1596 450 6852	\$ 1151 211 3644 106 1032 51 894 1458 482 5875	\$ 1282 210 3734 71 1141 41 841 1328 512 4920
18. Total expenses	\$	\$24492	\$ 15753	\$14904	\$14080
19. Labor earnings	\$	\$10253	\$ 9462	\$ 5750	\$ 2180

^{*} Includes wages paid and value of board to hired labor, unpaid family labor and part of the payment for custom work hired.

return to crops.

Costs of operating each service enterprise (autos and trucks, tractors and crop machinery, etc.) are calculated in a similar manner.³ Although the enterprise statement does not show purchases and sales, it more truly shows the value produced for the productive enterprise and expenses for the service enterprises.

With the help of the enterprise statement, one can better understand the

Table 8. Fannings on Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Enterprise Statement, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	38	0-499 acre	S	500 acres and over			
	1/2 high		1/2 low	1/2 high		1/2 low	
	in labor	Average	in labor	in labor	Average	in labor	
	earnings		earnings	earnings	<u> </u>	earnings	
RECEIPTS	AND NET INCRE	ASES					
1.	\$ 2478	\$ 1882	\$ 1333	\$ 2864	\$ 2737	\$ 2622	
2.	1636	1282	# ±957 957	1879	2058	2250	
~•	1050	<u> </u>	<u></u>			_ 22,00	
3.	\$ 842	\$ 600	\$ 376	\$ 985	\$ 679	\$ 372	
a.	37368	32279	27494	52169	44953	37817	
5•	419	391	365	972	977	987	
6.	1129	1132	1130	<u> 2606</u>	2569	<u>2512</u>	
7.	\$39758	\$34402	\$29365	\$56732	\$49178	\$41688	
EXPENSES .	AND NET DECRE	ASES					
8.	\$ 1410	\$ 1496	\$ 1578	\$ 2133	\$ 2160	\$ 2190	
9•	221	248	273	314	314	313	
10.	5109	5444	5759	7366	7706	8042	
11.	109	121	131	231	222	216	
12.	1614	1544	1491	2519	2831	3124	
13.	45	60	74	104	120	137	
14.	1024	1194	1353	3097	3113	3134	
15.	2514	2368	2229	3910	3597	3296	
16.	625	640	656	831	925	1016	
17.	9909	9410	<u>8925</u>	15629	15059	14524	
18.	\$22580	\$22525	\$22469	\$36134	\$36047	\$35992	
19.	\$17178	\$11877	\$ 6896	\$20598	\$13131	\$ 5696	

^{3.} For methods of calculation, see Nodland, Truman R., "Know Your Farm Business," University of Minnesota Agricultural Extension Pamphlet 138, revised 1971.

Table 9. Enterprise Statement on a Per Tillable Acre Basis for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

		All	Less	than 380 a	
Item .	Your farm	cash crop farms	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings
RECEIPTS AND NET INCREASES 1. All livestock 2. Feed fed	\$	\$ 4 3	\$ 6 4	\$ 5 4	\$ 4 3
 Return over feed Crops, feed Income from labor off farm Miscel. farm income Total farm receipts 	\$ \$	1 73 1 3 \$ 78	\$ 2 79 3 5 \$ 89	\$ 1 70 2 3 \$ 76	\$ 1 59 1 2 \$ 63
EXPENSES AND NET DECREASES 8. Truck and auto 9. Electricity expense 10. Tractors & crop machinery 11. Livestock equipment 12. Buildings, fencing 13. Miscel. livestock expense 14. Labor 15. Real estate taxes 16. General farm expense 17. Interest on capital managed 18. Total expense	\$	\$ 4 0 13 0 4 0 4 5 2 23 55	\$ 4 13 13 3 0 3 6 1 24 \$ 56	\$ 4 14 0 4 0 3 5 2 22 \$ 55	\$ 5 15 0 4 0 3 5 2 19 \$ 54
19. Labor earnings	\$	\$ 23	\$ 33	\$ 21	\$ 9

difference in earnings per tillable acre. In this study, practically all of the difference is due to differences in value of crops produced. Table 9 shows that the most profitable of the small farms produced \$20 more per tillable acre than the low one-half in labor earnings. Medium sized farms have a range of \$24 in crop value produced between the top and bottom one-half in labor earnings. There is a \$14 difference in crop value produced between the top and bottom labor earnings in the large farms. The total expense per tillable acre varies little between and within size groups.

Table 9. Enterprise Statement on a Per Tillable Acre Basis for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

		380	0-499	acre		-		500	acres	and	over	
	in l	high abor ings	Ave	rage	1/2 in la earn	abor	in l	high abor ings	Ave	rage	in 1	low Labor nings
RECEIPTS AND 1. 2.	NET \$	INCRE 6 4	ASE \$ ——	4 3	\$	3 2	\$ —	4 3	\$ 	4 3	\$	4 _4
3. 4. 5.	\$	2 92 1	\$	80 1	\$	1 68 1	\$	1 74 1	\$	1 67 1	\$	0 60 2
6. 7.	\$	<u>3</u> 98	\$	<u>3</u> 85	\$	<u>3</u> 73	\$	80	\$	73	\$	66
8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	##	DECRE 3 0 13 0 4 0 3 6 2 25 56	\$	4 0 14 0 4 0 3 6 2 23 56	\$	4 1 14 0 4 0 3 6 2 22 56	\$\$	3 0 11 0 4 0 4 6 1 22 51	**	3 0 12 0 4 0 5 5 1 23	\$	4 0 13 0 5 0 5 5 2 23 57
19.	\$	42	\$	29	\$	17	 \$	29	\$	20	\$	9

CROP PROGRAM

Corn, soybeans, and the feed grain program represent the major use of land on cash crop farms in this study. These account for over 80 percent of the tillable acres. Table 10 shows the distribution of acres for the various crops grown.

Table 10. Distribution of Acres in Farm for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

			All		than 380 a	
	Item	Your	cash	1/2 high	· <u></u>	1/2 low
		farm	crop	in labor	Average	in labor
			farms	earnings		earnings
1.	Canning peas		4.7	•7	1.7	2.5
	Wheat		5.9	3.2	3.6	3.9
3.	Oats for grain		16.1	5.9	6.8	7.7
4.	Barley		2.0	•9	1.7	2.6
	Flax		3.7		•9	1.7
	Other small grain		1.2	<u>.6</u> 11.3	<u>3.1</u>	<u>_5.7</u>
7.	Total small grain		33.6	11.3	17.8	24.1
8.	Corn grain		171.0	109.0	99.3	90.0
	Soybeans		138.8	108.6	94.0	79.8
	Sweet corn		11.6	•9	3.2	5.2
	Corn silage		•4		•7	1.4
	Other cultivated crops		1.5			
13.	Total cultivated crops		323.3	218.5	197.2	176.4
14.	Total till. land in hay		5.9	6.2	6.3	6.4
15.	Total till. land in pasture		•4	•4	•5	.6
16.	Feed grain program		83.0	47.4	47.3	47.3
	Till. land not cropped		6		4	9
18.	Total tillable land		446.8	283.8	269.5	255.7
	Wild hay & non-till. pasture		11.7	5.6	10.2	14.6
20.	Timber, waste, roads &			_		
	farmstead		<u>33.2</u>	18.4	21.0	<u>23.7</u>
21.	Total acres in farm		491.7	307.8	300.7	294.0
22.	Percent land tillable		90.8	92.2	89.6	87.0

All size categories tend to have about the same percent of tillable land. Although the smaller size farms give approximately equal acreage for raising corn and soybeans, the two larger groups raised about 25 percent more corn than soybeans.

Medium sized farms reported higher yields for corn and soybeans than

Table 10. Distribution of Acres in Farm for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

	380	0-499 acre	.s	500	acres and	over
	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	2.2 .7 8.9 .5	2.9 3.1 9.9 .2 1.1 .1 17.3	3.5 5.2 11.0 2.1 .1 21.9	13.2 11.8 26.5 3.6 4.9 .7 60.7	9.4 10.9 31.7 4.3 9.0 .4 65.7	5.7 10.0 37.5 4.9 13.0
8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	190.8 129.8 6.4	172.3 133.9 5.9 .2 312.3	154.9 137.7 5.3 .4 298.3	247.3 209.8 37.1 .1 <u>1.2</u> 495.5	241.6 188.6 25.7 .2 <u>4.4</u> 460.5	236.2 167.2 14.1 .2 <u>7.7</u> 425.4
14.	2.7	4.3	5.8	8.2	7.2	6.3
15.		•2	•4	•3	•5	.8
16. 17.	61.6 1.0	68.8 6	75.7 2	143.8 	132.8 	121.7 3
18.	404.6	403.5	402.3	709.5	667.4	625.6
19.	6.3	7.8	9.1	17.5	17.2	16.6
20.	27.7	28.0	28.4	_54.0	50.7	47.8
21.	438.6	439.3	439.8	781.0	735.3	690.0
22.	92.2	91.8	91.5	90.8	90.8	90.7

Table 11. Crop Yields per Acre for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970

		All	Less	than 380 a	
Item	Your farm	cash crop farms	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings
1. Wheat, bushels		2m (*	0~ d	
•		37.6		37.8	*
2. Oats for grain, bushels		63.7	*	64.2	*
3. Corn for grain, bushels		107.8	112.8	105.7	98.3
4. Soybeans, bushels		31.0	35.2	31.0	26.4
5. Alfalfa hay, tons		3.2	*	3.3	*
6. Feed grain program, dollars		60	63	59	57

^{*} Less than 5 cases per year.

was reported for either of the other size groups included in this study. Their average yield was lll bushels for corn and 31.3 bushels for soybeans. There was a significant difference in corn and soybean yields within this group, as the top one-half in earnings outyielded the lower group by 11.4 bushels for corn and 3.9 bushels for soybeans. Although both small and large farms had slightly lower average crop yields, the yield difference within each group remained similar to that of the medium sized farms.

Within each size group, there is a difference between high and low earnings groups with respect to the feed grain program. In each case, the top one-half in labor earnings received higher acre payments. The difference is \$6 per acre for the small farms, \$5 for medium sized farms, and \$4 for large farms. This difference presents the possibility that the variation in crop yields could also be attributed to fertility and topography in addition to managerial ability.

Table 11. Crop Yields per Acre for Specialized Cash Crop Farms, Southern Minnesota, 1968-1970 (continued)

		0-499 acre	s	500 acres and over			
	1/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings	l/2 high in labor earnings	Average	1/2 low in labor earnings	
1.				*	37.4	*	
2.	*	62.8	*	67.4	64.2	*	
3.	116.9	111.0	105.5	113.2	106.7	100.6	
4.	33.4	31.3	29.5	32.6	30.6	28.5	
5.	*	3.3	*	3.1	3.1	3.1	
6.	65	62	60	60	58	56	

CONCLUSION

Variation in earnings within various size of farm categories is greater than between size categories. More of the variation occurs in receipts than in expense. The following are some additional characteristics of the most profitable farms as compared to the least profitable farms.

- 1. More capital managed per farm.
- 2. Little difference in number of workers per farm.
- 3. Little difference in total and in per acre purchases of fertilizers.
- 4. Less total purchases per \$100 of sales.
- 5. More work units per worker (work accomplished per worker).
- 6. More farm sales per worker.
- 7. Little difference in percent of land that is tillable.
- 8. Higher per acre yields of corn grain and soybeans.
- 9. Higher per acre payment for land in feed grain program.
- 10. More tillable acres per worker.
- 11. More capital managed per worker.

Efficiency in acquiring, combining and using sets of productive resources is the mark of a good manager. Management of labor as well as management of capital is important. Even though a farmer and his family furnish most of the labor, it is important to manage it in such a way so as to perform all the farm tasks at the most opportune time.

In determining farm size, individual preferences may be equally as important as economic considerations. The farmer who does an outstanding job with a medium sized crop farm may be perfectly rational in deciding not to expand. The additional income from expansion may not be sufficient to cover additional labor, risk, and managerial stress "costs" involved. Some personal factors can take precedence over the maximizing of income.

Crop farmers considering expansion should have accomplishments comparing favorably with farmers in the top one-half in earnings. The below average and average producers will receive more compensation from improved efficiency than increased size.