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## Derivations of Equilibrium Prices and Quantities

## Scenario 1

In country 1 , the consumer indifferent between buying the low-quality product or buying nothing is defined by the value of $\theta$ solving $y+\theta q_{l}-p_{l}=y$, i.e., $\theta_{1 l}=\frac{p_{l}}{q_{l}}$. Similarly, the consumer indifferent between the low- and high-quality products is defined by the value of $\theta$ solving equation $y+\theta q_{h}-p_{h}=y+\theta q_{l}-p_{l}$, i.e. $\theta_{1 h}=\frac{p_{h}-p_{l}}{q_{h}-q_{l}}$.

Thus the low-quality product is purchased by consumers with $\theta \in\left[\theta_{11}, \theta_{1 h}\right]$ and the demand for the low-quality product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1 l}=\frac{\theta_{1 h}-\theta_{1 l}}{\theta_{1}}=\frac{q_{l} p_{h}-p_{l} q_{h}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The high-quality product is purchased by consumers with $\theta \in\left(\theta_{1 h}, \theta_{1}\right]$ and the demand for the high-quality product is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1 h}=\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1 h}}{\theta_{1}}=1-\frac{p_{h}-p_{l}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) \theta_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The demands for the low- and high-quality products in country 2 can be obtained in a similar manner. Note however that the demands of consumers in country 2 depend on the price of the product expressed in local currency, i.e., $p_{l} \cdot e$ and $p_{h} \cdot e$, where $e$ is the exchange rate expressed in units of country 2's currency per unit of country 1's currency.

The demands in country 2 can be represented as

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{2 l}=\frac{\theta_{2 h}-\theta_{2 l}}{\theta_{2}}=e \frac{q_{l} p_{h}-p_{l} q_{h}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{2}}, \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
d_{2 h}=\frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2 h}}{\theta_{2}}=1-e \frac{p_{h}-p_{l}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) \theta_{2}} . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The firm's profit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\left(p_{l}-\frac{1}{2} q_{l}^{2}\right) \frac{q_{l} p_{h}-p_{l} q_{h}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l}}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}+\frac{e}{\theta_{2}}\right)+\left(p_{h}-\frac{1}{2} q_{h}^{2}\right)\left[2-\frac{p_{h}-p_{l}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}+\frac{e}{\theta_{2}}\right)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with first-order conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial p_{l}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\left[4\left(p_{h} q_{l}-p_{l} q_{h}\right)+q_{l} q_{h}\left(q_{l}-q_{h}\right)\right]}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}}=0, \text { and }  \tag{6}\\
\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial p_{h}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\left(4 p_{h}-4 p_{l}+q_{l}^{2}-q_{h}^{2}\right)-4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right)}{\left(-q_{h}+q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving these two equations simultaneously for $p_{l}, p_{h}$, we obtain the equilibrium prices

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{h}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left[4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}+q_{h}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\right] q_{h}}{\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}}, \text { and }  \tag{8}\\
p_{l}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{q_{l}\left[4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}+q_{l}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\right]}{\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equilibrium quantities are $d_{1 l}^{*}=\frac{q_{h}}{4 \theta_{1}}, d_{2 l}^{*}=\frac{q_{h} e}{4 \theta_{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{1 h}^{*}=\frac{4 e \theta_{1}^{2}-\left(q_{l}+q_{h}\right)\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}{4 \theta_{1}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}, \text { and }  \tag{10}\\
d_{2 h}^{*}=\frac{4 \theta_{2}^{2}-e\left(q_{l}+q_{h}\right)\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}{4 \theta_{2}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

For $d_{1 h}^{*}>0$ and $d_{2 h}^{*}>0, q_{h}+q_{l}<\min \left[\frac{4 e \theta_{1}^{2}}{\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}}, \frac{4 \theta_{2}^{2}}{e\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}\right]$ must hold. We assume that this is the case throughout the article.

## Scenario 2

The monopolist treats each market independently due to market segmentation and constant marginal cost. The firm's problem in country 1 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{p_{1 l}, p_{1 h}}\left(p_{1 l}-\frac{1}{2} q_{l}^{2}\right) d_{1 l}+\left(p_{1 h}-\frac{1}{2} q_{h}^{2}\right) d_{1 h} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the firm's problem in country 2 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{p_{2 l}, p_{2 h}}\left(p_{2 l}-\frac{1}{2} q_{l}^{2}\right) d_{2 l}+\left(p_{2 h}-\frac{1}{2} q_{h}^{2}\right) d_{2 h} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve the firm's problem in the market 1 first. The marginal consumers are, $\theta_{1 l}=\frac{p_{1 l}}{q_{l}}, \theta_{1 h}=\frac{p_{1 h}-p_{1 l}}{q_{h}-q_{l}}$. Thus the demands can be represented by

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{1 l}=\frac{\theta_{1 h}-\theta_{1 l}}{\theta_{1}}=\frac{q_{l} p_{1 h}-p_{1 l} q_{h}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1}}, \text { and }  \tag{14}\\
d_{1 h}=\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{1 h}}{\theta_{1}}=1-\frac{p_{1 h}-p_{1 l}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) \theta_{1}} . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Firm's profit is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}=\left(p_{1 l}-\frac{1}{2} q_{l}^{2}\right) \frac{q_{l} p_{1 h}-p_{1 l} q_{h}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1}}+\left(p_{1 h}-\frac{1}{2} q_{h}^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{p_{1 h}-p_{1 l}}{\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) \theta_{1}}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first order conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial p_{1 l}}=\frac{4\left(p_{1 h} q_{l}-p_{1 l} q_{h}\right)+q_{l} q_{h}\left(q_{l}-q_{h}\right)}{2\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right) q_{l} \theta_{1}}, \text { and } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial p_{1 h}}=\frac{4\left(p_{1 h}-p_{1 l}\right)-\left(q_{h}-q_{l}\right)\left(q_{l}+q_{h}+2 \theta_{1}\right)}{2\left(-q_{h}+q_{l}\right) \theta_{1}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving these two equations simultaneously for $p_{1 l}$ and $p_{1 h}$, we have, $p_{1 h}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} q_{h}\left(2 \theta_{1}+\right.$ $\left.q_{h}\right)$ and $p_{1 l}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} q_{l}\left(2 \theta_{1}+q_{l}\right)$. Thus the equilibrium quantities are $d_{1 l}^{*}=\frac{q_{h}}{4 \theta_{1}}$ and $d_{1 h}^{*}=$ $\frac{2 \theta_{1}-q_{l}-q_{h}}{4 \theta_{1}}$.

Similarly, by solving the maximization problem of the monopolist in country 2, we can obtain the following equilibrium prices and quantities,

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{2 l}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} q_{l}\left(2 \theta_{2}+e q_{l}\right) / e,  \tag{19}\\
p_{2 h}^{*}=\frac{1}{4} q_{h}\left(2 \theta_{2}+e q_{h}\right) / e,  \tag{20}\\
d_{2 l}^{*}=\frac{e q_{h}}{4 \theta_{2}}, \text { and } \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2 h}^{*}=\frac{2 \theta_{2}-e\left(q_{l}+q_{h}\right)}{4 \theta_{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $d_{1 h}^{*}>0$ and $d_{2 h}^{*}>0, q_{h}+q_{l}<\min \left[2 \theta_{1}, 2 \theta_{2} / e\right]$ must hold. Note that this condition is less restrictive than $q_{h}+q_{l}<\min \left[\frac{4 e \theta_{1}^{2}}{\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}}, \frac{4 \theta_{2}^{2}}{e\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}\right]$ established in scenario 1 for the quantities in market 2 to be positive. Thus, $d_{1 h}^{*}>0$ and $d_{2 h}^{*}>0$ in scenario 2 holds.

## Derivations of Equations Associated with Corollary 3

## and 4

Corollary 3
First, we determine the sign of $X-1$. Using the equation for the domestic-export price ratio with unit values, i.e., $X=\frac{p_{p}^{*} \sigma_{1}+p_{k}^{*}\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)}{p_{l}^{*} \sigma_{2}+p_{h}^{*}\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right)}$, the sign of $X-1$ corresponds to the sign of $\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)$. It can be easily shown that $\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)<0$ because $q_{l}<q_{h}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}=\frac{4 q_{h}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\theta_{2}-e \theta_{1}\right)}{\left[4 e \theta_{1}^{2}-q_{l}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\right]\left[4 \theta_{2}^{2}-e q_{l}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)\right]} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the two elements of the denominator are positive given the assumption we made for all quantities to be positive in equilibrium (see scenario 1 above). Thus, the sign of $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$ depends on the sign of $\theta_{2}-e \theta_{1}$. When $\theta_{2}<e \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}<0$, and $X-1>0$. When $\theta_{2}>e \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}>0$, and $X-1<0$.

Second, we determine the sign of $\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}$. Because $X=\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\frac{\partial P_{1}}{\partial q_{h}} P_{2}-P_{1} \frac{\partial P_{2}}{\partial q_{h}}}{P_{2}^{2}}$. Note that $P_{1}=\sigma_{1}\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)+p_{h}^{*}, P_{2}=\sigma_{2}\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)+p_{h}^{*}$, and $q_{h}$ does not enter $p_{l}^{*}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\left[\frac{\partial \sigma_{1}}{\partial q_{h}}\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)+\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}}\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)\right] P_{2}-P_{1}\left[\frac{\partial \sigma_{2}}{\partial q_{h}}\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)+\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}}\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right)\right]}{P_{2}^{2}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{1}}{\partial q_{h}} P_{2}-\frac{\partial \sigma_{2}}{\partial q_{h}} P_{1}\right)-\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}}\left(P_{2} \sigma_{1}-P_{1} \sigma_{2}-P_{2}+P_{1}\right)}{P_{2}^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}=\frac{q_{h}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}{4 e \theta_{1}^{2}-q_{l}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}$, and $\sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{h} e\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}{4 \theta_{2}^{2}-q_{l} e\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta_{1}\right)}$.
Given the expressions for $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{1}}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{q_{h}}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{2}}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{q_{h}}$. Substituting for $\frac{\partial \sigma_{1}}{\partial q_{h}}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{2}}{\partial q_{h}}$, $P_{1}$, and $P_{2}$, equation (25) can be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)\left[\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right) \frac{p_{h}^{*}}{q_{h}}-\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}} p_{l}^{*}\right]}{P_{2}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right) \frac{p_{h}^{*}}{q_{h}}-\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}} p_{l}^{*}<0$ because $\left(p_{l}^{*}-p_{h}^{*}\right)<0$ and $\frac{\partial p_{h}^{*}}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}+2 q_{h}\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta 1\right)}{4\left(\theta_{2}+e \theta 1\right)}>0$.
The above shows that the sign of $\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}$ also depends on the sign of $\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}$, which we have already determined depends on the sign of $\theta_{2}-e \theta_{1}$.

Thus, when $\theta_{2}<e \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}<0, X-1>0$, and $\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}>0$. When $\theta_{2}>e \theta_{1}, \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}>0$, $X-1<0$, and $\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}<0$.

## Corollary 4

Because

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{l}=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}=\frac{\left(2 \theta_{1}+q_{l}\right) e}{2 \theta_{2}+e q_{l}},  \tag{27}\\
X_{h}=\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{2 h}^{*}}=\frac{\left(2 \theta_{1}+q_{h}\right) e}{2 \theta_{2}+e q_{h}}, \text { and }  \tag{28}\\
X=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*} \sigma_{1}+p_{1 h}^{*}\left(1-\sigma_{1}\right)}{p_{2 l}^{*} \sigma_{2}+p_{2 h}^{*}\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right)}=\frac{e\left(q_{l}^{2}+4 \theta_{1}^{2}-q_{h} q_{l}-q_{h}^{2}\right)\left(2 \theta_{2}-e q_{l}\right)}{\left(e^{2} q_{l}^{2}+4 \theta_{2}^{2}-e^{2} q_{h} q_{l}-e^{2} q_{h}^{2}\right)\left(2 \theta_{1}-q_{l}\right)}, \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

then, when $q_{l}=q_{h}=q, X_{l}=X_{h}=X=\frac{\left(2 \theta_{1}+q\right) e}{2 \theta_{2}+e q}$.
In what follows, the equations allowing us to $\operatorname{sign} \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}$ are derived. Rewrite $X$ as $X=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{A}{B}$ where $A=1+\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)$ and $B=1+\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)$. Therefore,
$\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{1}{B^{2}}\left[B\left(\frac{\partial\left(\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)+\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}\right)-A\left(\frac{\partial\left(\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)+\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\partial\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}\right)\right]$
where $\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}}=\frac{q_{h}\left(2 \theta_{1}+q_{h}\right)}{q_{l}\left(2 \theta_{1}+q_{l}\right)}, \frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{2 \theta_{1}-q_{l}-q_{h}}{q_{h}}, \frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}=\frac{q_{h}\left(2 \theta_{2}+e q_{h}\right)}{q_{l}\left(2 \theta_{2}+e q_{l}\right)}$, and $\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{2 \theta_{2}-e\left(q_{l}+q_{h}\right)}{e q_{h}}$. The derivative of these expressions with respect to $q_{h}$ can be written as: $\frac{\partial\left(\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*} q_{h}}+\frac{q_{h}}{4 p_{l l}^{*}}$, $\frac{\partial\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{-1}{\sigma_{1} q_{h}}, \frac{\partial\left(\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*} q_{h}}+\frac{q_{h}}{4 p_{2 l}^{*}}$, and $\frac{\partial\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{-1}{\sigma_{2} q_{h}}$.

Substituting these last four expressions into (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{1}{B^{2}}\left\{B\left[-\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*} q_{h}}+\frac{q_{h}}{4 p_{1 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)\right]-A\left[-\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*} q_{h}}+\frac{q_{h}}{4 p_{2 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{1}{B^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{q_{h}}\left(\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} A-\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}} B\right)+\frac{q_{h}}{4}\left[\frac{B}{p_{1 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)-\frac{A}{p_{2 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substituting for $A$ and $B$ within the curly brackets and rearranging we obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{p_{1 l}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{1}{B^{2}}\left(\frac{C}{q_{h}}+\frac{q_{h}}{4} D\right), \text { where }  \tag{33}\\
C=\left(\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{2 l}^{*}}-\frac{p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*}}\right)+\frac{p_{1 h}^{*} p_{2 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*} p_{2 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}-\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right) \text { and }  \tag{34}\\
D=\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1} p_{1 l}^{*}}-\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2} p_{2 l}^{*}}\right)+\frac{p_{2 h}^{*}-p_{1 h}^{*}}{p_{1 l}^{*} p_{2 l}^{*}}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1-\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, we substitute for the equilibrium prices and market shares and simplify to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}=\frac{1}{p_{2 l}^{*}} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{2}} \frac{1}{B^{2}} \frac{\left(e \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left(e \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left(2 q_{h}+q_{l}\right)}{e q_{l}\left(2 \theta_{2}+e q_{l}\right)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign of $\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{h}}$ is the sign of $e \theta_{1}-\theta_{2}$.
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