



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

MODELING THE IMPACT OF FOOD SAFETY INFORMATION ON MEAT DEMAND IN SPAIN

Amr Radwan*, José M. Gil*, Monia Ben Kaabia and Teresa Serra***

*** CREDA-UPC-IRTA, Barcelona (Spain)**

**** Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain)**

Contact: José M. Gil

CREDA-UPC-IRTA
Edifici ESAB (D4)
Parc Mediterrani de la Tecnologia
Av. Canal Olímpic, s/n
08860-Castelldefels (Barcelona - Spain)
Phone: +34-93-5521210
Fax: +34-93-5521121
Email: Chema.gil@upc.edu



UNIVERSIDAD
**PABLO DE
OLAVIDE**
SEVILLA

Paper prepared for presentation at the 107th EAAE Seminar "Modelling of Agricultural and Rural Development Policies". Sevilla, Spain, January 29th -February 1st, 2008

Copyright 2007 by Amr Radwan, José M. Gil, Monia Ben Kaabia and Teresa Serra. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Abstract

This paper analyses the impact of food safety information about the “mad-cow” crisis on the demand for different types of fresh meat and fish in Spain. The theoretical model explicitly incorporates food safety information in the consumers’ utility function, from which demand equations are obtained. Two alternative functional forms have been considered, the standard AIDS and the Generalized Almost ideal Demand System (GAIDS) in order to overcome the problem of incorporating demand shifters in the traditional AIDS model. The food safety information has been incorporated into the demand function through a weighted information index built on the basis of the published news related to the mad-cow disease in the most popular Spanish newspaper. The comparison of elasticities from both models suggests that GAIDS elasticities are more consistent with the characteristics of meat and fish markets in Spain. Moreover, mass media information on BSE has had a statistically significant but small effect on the consumption of the different meat products in Spain.

Key words: meat consumption, food safety information, BSE, demand systems, GAIDS

1. Introduction

Recent food scares, specially the discovery of Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE), have increased consumers' concerns on food safety, with significant reductions in the consumption of affected products. In the case of Spain, beef consumption slightly decreased since 1994, having recovered in 1999 and 2000 (MAPA, 2004). However, this recovering process ended with the first case of BSE in Spain in October 2000. Between 2000 and 2001, beef consumption decreased annually by 12%. However, in the very short-run the impact was substantially large (beef consumption decreased from 22 million Kg in October 2000, to 15.8 million Kg in December 2000).

These figures suggest that, in addition to the traditional economic factors (income and prices), food safety concerns may have a potentially significant impact on consumers' demand for meat. Angulo and Gil (2007), in a nation-wide survey, showed that, in Spain, 63% of respondents declared to be more concerned about food safety than five years ago. If only the problem *per se* is considered, this effect seems to be overestimated and some other factors have to be found to explain it. The most important is, without any doubt, the mass media coverage of recent food scares and their influence on consumers' behaviour. In the same study, 52% of respondents recognize that mass media exert a strong influence in their shopping and consumption habits. Therefore, understanding the consumers' responses to food safety information is important to policy analysts and the agrofood industry.

The objective of this study is to analyze the effects of food safety information on meat demand in Spain. More precisely, we will focus on the impact of the information on BSE published in newspapers on the demand for beef and other substitutes (other meat products and fish). Although there have been some recent studies on the impact of food safety information reported in the media as well as product claims on food demand, such as Verbeke and Ward (2001), Burton and Young (1996), Piggott and Marsh (2004), among others, this study is the first attempt to tackle with this issue in Spain.

To achieve this objective, we have developed a theoretical model that explicitly incorporates food safety information in the consumers' utility function and from which demand equations are obtained. As the appropriate functional form for such equations, and in order to overcome the problem of incorporating demand shifters in the traditional AIDS model (i.e. the model estimates of real variables are sensitive to the choice of scaling of the exogenous variable) (Alston et al. 2001) and to preserve the desirable theoretical property of being "closed under unit scaling" (CUUS), the Generalized Almost Ideal Demand System (GAIDS) has been adopted (Bollino 1987). Moreover, the instrument to incorporate the food safety information into the demand function has been the construction of a weighted information index based on the published news related to the "mad-cow" disease in the most popular Spanish newspaper "El País".

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 presents some descriptive data about the evolution of BSE cases and meat and fish consumption in Spain. In section 3 the theoretical and the econometric frameworks are explained. After describing the data used in our analysis, the main results are presented. Finally the paper ends with some concluding remarks.

2. The BSE crisis and the consumption of meat and fish demand in Spain

Bovine spongiform encephalopathy “BSE”, widely known as mad cow disease, is a lethal, central nervous system disease, which specifically targets cattle. The disease is characterized by the appearance of vacuoles, or clear holes, in neurons in the brains of affected cattle. A dramatically breakthrough in the BSE history took place on March 1996 when the U.K. Government announced that there was a possible link between the consumption of BSE-infected meat and the development of the Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease (vCJD), a rare but fatal degenerative disease in human brain. By June 2007, 193 people, 161 in the U.K., had contracted and died as a consequence of this disease. As mentioned by Buzby (2003), BSE is a major food safety concern for several reasons, including: (1) the uncertainty of exactly how the disease is transferred to humans, which means that we have limited knowledge of how to prevent it, (2) the uncertainty of the total number of BSE and vCJD cases, partly due to the long incubation periods in both cattle and humans, (3) the inability to destroy the “prion,” the agent believed to cause BSE and vCJD, (4) the lack of a cure for BSE and vCJD, and (5) the ability to confirm the presence of the disease only through postmortem testing.

In Spain, the first Spanish BSE case was diagnosed on November 22, 2000, being the second one diagnosed two weeks later. Both cases took place in Galicia (North-West). Since then the number of confirmed cases has notably increased reaching its peak in 2003 with 167 cases (Figure 1). The total number of confirmed cases in Spain from November 2000 to the end of 2006 was 681, ranking fifth in the E.U. after U.K., Ireland, France, and Portugal. Up to now, only one person has died as a consequence of the vCJD.




Figure 1. Evolution of confirmed BSE cases in Spain from 2000 to 2006.

Source: Administración General del Estado (2007)

Meat and fish is the most important food category for Spanish households, being one of the European countries in which this relative importance has increased more rapidly. Total meat expenditure (including processed meat) accounted for 16,688 million Euros representing 21.4% of total food expenditure. Fish expenditure represents another 13.3% of food expenditure. In both cases, at home consumption represents around 80% of total expenditure. If we only consider fresh meat and fish, during the last decade fresh fish has represented the largest expenditure with about 35% of total expenditure in average terms, followed by pork, beef and chicken with a relatively similar importance

of about 20% each one. Lamb is the least important meat type representing only about 9% of total fresh meat and fish expenditure (MAPA, 2006).

As mentioned in the introduction, in Spain, beef consumption had slightly decreased since 1994, but it recovered in 1999 and 2000 (MAPA, 2006). However, this recovering process ended with the first case of BSE, in Spain (November, 2000). Between 2000 and 2001, beef consumption decreased by 12%, if we refer to annual figures. However, in the very short-run the impact was substantially large (beef consumption decreased from 22 million Kg, in October 2000, to 15.8 million Kg, in December 2000).

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the per capita consumption of the most important fresh meats and fish. As clearly indicated, since 1995 all meat products experienced a slight increase except in the case of lamb for which consumption slightly decreased. The outbreak of the BSE generated a significant decrease of beef consumption partially compensated by an increase in the consumption of other meats and fish. Once beef consumption recovered, the consumption of lamb, pork, and poultry reduced. Only fish consumption still shows an upward trend.

Regarding meat prices, sheep and beef are considered the most expensive meat products with an average of 7.57 and 7.42 €/Kg, respectively (Figure 3). On the opposite side, chicken is the cheapest fresh meat product (2.8 €/Kg.). Finally, average fish and pork prices lie in between the two extremes. However, it is interesting to note that both products show a high volatility, especially in the case of pork meat. Figure 3 also shows the evolution of main fresh meats and fish nominal prices in Spain during the period 1995-2006. As can be observed, all prices exhibit an upward trend along the whole period. Beef prices sharply decreased in 2001 due to the BSE crisis, but rapidly recovered in 2002. Lamb, on the contrary, benefited from increasing prices during the BSE crisis. Finally, pork prices show the traditional cyclical behavior with a decreasing phase from 1997 to 2001.




Figure 2. Evolution of the per capita consumption of fresh meats and fish in Spain (1995-2005) (Kg)
Source: MAPA (2006).




Figure 3. Evolution of fresh meat and fish prices (annual averages between 1995 and 2006)

Source: MAPA(2006).

3. Theoretical and econometric frameworks

In the neoclassical economic theory, the consumer's utility is specified as a function of quantities of goods and services purchased assuming that consumers have perfect information and that their tastes and preferences are constant, which is not always the case in the real world. In this context, incorporating food safety information in the derived demand function can be misleading as the demand itself is derived from assuming tastes and preferences as given. Only in the case that the effect is temporary, we could accept introducing safety information as a demand shifter. However, if we accept that the effect can be more permanent we should modify the consumer's maximization problem. Following Piggott and Marsh (2004), consumer's utility is assumed to depend on the quantities of goods consumed (x_i), as well as on product quality (q_i) which is a function of public information indexing food safety concerns related to a specific product. This public information may contain food recalls or other issues related to food safety issues (i.e. the BSE). It is also assumed a negative relationship between public information and perceived quality.

Let us assume that we have n goods, one of which (i.e. good 1) is affected by a food scare and public information is available. Thus, the consumer's optimization problem may be stated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } U &= U [x_1, x_2, q_1(I)] \\ \text{S.t. } X_1 \cdot p_1 + X_2 \cdot p_2 + C.I. &\leq M \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where: X_1 is the good for which quality information is changed

X_2 is the quantity of the rest of the goods

$q_1(I)$ is the expected quality of the good for which the food safety information is changed, being I the set of public information indexing food safety concerns. Larger values of I reflect lower expected quality, that is $\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial I} < 0$

p_1 and p_2 are prices of X_1 and X_2 respectively.

C is the cost of searching information.

M is the total expenditure on X_1 and X_2 .

As information is taken to be publicly available, costs of obtaining information is assumed to be zero. Thus equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } U &= U [x_1, x_2, q_1(I)] \\ \text{S.t. } &X_1 \cdot p_1 + X_2 \cdot p_2 \leq M \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

To solve this maximization problem we define the following Lagrange function:

$$L = U [x_1, x_2, q_1(I)] - \lambda (X_1 p_1 + X_2 p_2 - M) \quad (3)$$

where λ is the Lagrange multiplier. By deriving the Lagrange function with respect to X_1, X_2 and λ , we get the marshallian demand functions:

$$X_i = f(p_1, p_2, M, I) \quad ; i = 1, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

where the demand of each product depends on total expenditure, prices and the set of available information.

To capture the own and cross - commodity impacts on demand from safety concerns requires the specification of the demand system shown in (4). The Deaton and Muellbauer's (1980) Almost Ideal Demand System (AIDS) has been widely used due to its desirable characteristics. It is a plausible demand system, easy to estimate and in which imposing theoretical restrictions is straightforward. The well-known AIDS model in expenditure share terms is given by:

$$w_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i \ln\left(\frac{M_t}{P_t}\right) + \sum_i^n \gamma_{ij} \ln p_{jt} + u_{it} \quad (5)$$

where subscript t indicates time; α_i, β_i and γ_{ij} are parameters to be estimated; P_t is a price index

defined as $\log P_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_k \alpha_k \log p_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_k \gamma_{kj} \log p_k \log p_j$; and u_{it} is the error term.

Theoretical restrictions of adding-up, homogeneity and symmetry hold if:

Adding-up: $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i0} = 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{ij} = 0$; Homogeneity : $\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{ij} = 0$; and Symmetry: $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$.

In spite of the desirable characteristics of the AIDS, a great disadvantage of the use of AIDS model appears when we want to incorporate demand shifters like food safety information. Alston et.al. (2001) indicate that the AIDS model estimates of real variables (such as market shares and elasticities) are sensitive to the choice of scaling of the exogenous variables when demand shifters are included (for instance, modifying the intercepts of the AIDS model). They show that the generalised version of the AIDS model (GAIDS), first developed by Bollino (1987), preserve the desirable theoretical property of being “closed under unit scaling” (CUUS).

Bollino (1987) generalizes the AIDS model by incorporating the so called pre-committed quantities (those quantities that are not sensitive to income or price effects). The starting point (Piggott and Marsh 2004) is the following generalised expenditure function:

$$E(p, u) = p'C + E^*(p, u) \quad (6)$$

where p is an N -vector of prices, C is an N -vector of pre-committed quantities, and u is utility. These generalized expenditure function is decomposed into two parts: the pre-committed expenditure $p'C$ and the supernumerary expenditure $E^*(p, u)$. The pre-committed expenditure represents the expenditure to attain a minimal subsistence level while the supernumerary expenditure represents the remaining budget to be allocated among the competing products.

By applying Shephard's lemma and making use of dual identities the quantity demanded of the i -th product (x_i) is given by:

$$x_i = c_i + x_i^* \left[p, M^* \right] = c_i + x_i^* \left[p, M - \sum_{i=1}^N c_i p_i \right] \quad (7)$$

where c_i represents the pre-committed quantity of the i -th product; x_i^* represents the supernumerary quantity; p is an N -vector of prices; M is total expenditure; and M^* is the supernumerary expenditure. It is important to distinguish between the two components of consumption as economic variables like income and prices do not have any effect on the pre-committed quantities while these variables logically affect the supernumerary quantities.

Under model (7) demand changes in response to non-price and non-income variables, such as food safety information, are incorporated by considering the c_i 's to be function of demand shifters. This translating approach is plausible in the context of this study as we are indeed considering the possibility that consumers might decide to change consumption decisions irrespective of prices and income. As noted by Piggott and Marsh (2004) augmenting the pre-committed quantities does not imply any restrictions on parameters of demand shifters. The only required restriction is that the

changes in expenditure on pre-committed quantities must be equal and opposite to changes in supernumerary expenditure, leaving total expenditure unchanged. The potential demand shifters used in this study, as well as the specification of the pre-committed quantities, will be discussed in the results section.

From (6) the GAIDS model in share form can be expressed as:

$$w_i = \left(\frac{p_i c_i}{M} \right) + \left(\frac{M^*}{M} \right) \left(\alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \ln p_j + B_i \ln \left(\frac{M^*}{p} \right) \right) + e_i \quad (8)$$

where: $\ln p = \delta + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \ln p_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{kj} \ln p_k \ln p_j$

Theoretical restrictions are imposed using the same parameter restrictions as in the AIDS model.

4. Data

As concluded by Clarke (1976) models estimation using monthly, bimonthly or quarterly data is most likely to be free of data interval bias. Moreover econometric literature indicates that the impact of communication on demand is generally a matter of months rather than of quarters or years (Verbeke and Ward, 2001). Thus, monthly data have been used in this study to analyse the impact of information on BSE on fresh meat demand in Spain. Four fresh meat groups are included: beef, pork, lamb and chicken. Additionally fish consumption is included also. The sample period extends from January 1997 to September 2006.

The data set consists of the monthly per capita expenditure (€/capita) and retail level prices (€/Kg.) for the five products during the above mentioned period. Data come from the Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAPA). As mentioned in the previous section, in this study we have included in the utility function the quantities consumed and the perceived quality. The latter element is a function of public information indexing food safety concerns (in our case, on BSE). Thus, the first task has been to build a food safety (BSE) information index.

Several types of indices have previously been introduced for use in econometric demand analyses, ranging from dummy variables (Tansel, 1993), a news count (Smith et al., 1988) or cumulative sum of news (Brown and Schrader, 1990; Chang and Kinnucan, 1991; Van Ravenswaay and Hoehn, 1991), sometimes with discrimination between negative and positive messages and/or including some message or time weighting factor.

In this study we have developed an information index based on the published news related to the mad-cow disease in the most popular Spanish newspaper “El País”. Actually, this newspaper is considered, following the Asociación para la Investigación de Medios de Comunicación (AIMC) (Association for Mass Media Research) as the first Spanish newspaper taking into account the number of readers.

To build the index, the first step has been to count the number of newspaper articles that matched the following key words: mad-cow, beef crisis, Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy, and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease “CJD” (vacas locas, Crisis bovina, Encefalopatía espongiforme bovina “EEB”, Enfermedad de Creutzfeldt-Jakob). The average number of news was 20 per month with a standard deviation of 43.2 during the period from January 1996 to December 2006. The maximum number of news was 354 in February 2001, while the minimum number of news was zero in January 1996. No discrimination between positive or negative messages (as in Smith et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1998; and Verbeke and Ward, 2001, among others) was carried out because, as indicated by Mazzocchi (2006), such discrimination can be highly subjective. For example, news about the incubation period of the Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, which was linked to BSE, suggest a possible latency period of up to twenty years. While this could be a source of anxiety for a younger consumer, the same information could lead to a lower hazard perception for an elderly one. Furthermore, Smith et al. (1988) noted an extremely high correlation between news classified as positive and negative. This is due to the fact that media interest drives the volume of news, and when coverage increases, both positive and negative news reports appear. A change in the balance between positive and negative news could only be triggered by the disclosure of novel scientific evidence, which rarely happens in the short term. News have not been weighted taking into account for instance the size of the article. Although this can be a limitation, this weighting process can be also highly subjective. For example, a very short article invoking the relationship between the BSE and the human disease “CJD” will affect consumer behaviour more than another longer one just speaking about the increased number of infection among cows.

After counting the number of news, the second step has been to build the index. In doing so we have followed Burton and Young (1996) who indicated that this index should be defined in such a way as to incorporate some mechanism to permit the effects of BSE-related news to dissipate over time. Also, Mazzocchi (2004) mentioned that it is inappropriate to assume that the marginal impact of a single piece of news is constant over time, which is the case when a single media index is devised. To overcome this problem and taking in account the lagged and diminishing effect of information over time we have adopted the weighted information indices introduced by Chern and Zuo (1995) and Kim and Chern (1997).

Chern and Zuo (1995), in their study about the impacts of fat and cholesterol information on consumer demand, extended the cholesterol information index introduced by Brown and Schrader (1990); more precisely they used a cubic or third degree polynomial weight function. Kim and Chern (1997) mentioned that the restrictions Chern and Zuo (1995) used in determining the coefficients of the cubic weight function may be problematic because a newspaper article published several years ago (e.g. ten years) may still affect the current health knowledge of the consumers. Therefore they proposed to construct a new information index using a geometrically declining weight function. Both approaches have been considered in this paper. Results are shown in Figure 3¹. As observed, the obtained weighted information indices from both the cubic weight functions and the geometrically

¹ In each case, different lifespan, carryover periods and decaying rates have been used. Results did not significantly differ.

declining weight function seem to be identical. The cubic weight function has been finally chosen as it seems to be slightly smoother than the other one.

5. Results

The objective of this paper is to analyze the impact of BSE information in mass media on fresh meat and fish demand. Demand elasticities from both standard AIDS and GAIDS models are compared. As a first step, and using the AIDS functional form, weak separability between fish and meat products is analyzed. Second, misspecification tests are carried out in order to find the best model. Finally, demand elasticities are calculated from both the AIDS and the GAIDS models.

5.1. Weak separability.

It is common, in food demand studies, to assume that goods which are closely related in consumption are weakly separable from other goods. If it is the case, the allocation of expenditure within a weakly separable group of goods depends only on the relative prices of the goods in that particular group. Thus, separability assumptions can be used to restrict attention to a group of closely related goods and to reduce the number of relevant prices to an empirically tractable size. In the case of Spain, several studies dealing with the demand for meat products (Angulo and Gil, 2006, Gracia and Albisu, 1995 and Laajimi and Albisu, 1997, among others) have concluded that fish is not weakly separable from meat. In this paper the test proposed by Hayes et.al. (1990) has been applied to check for weak separability between fish and meat products considered as a whole. The test statistic was 55.0, which was well over the critical value at the 5% level of significance ($\chi^2(3) = 7.81$), indicating that the null of weak separability is rejected, that is, fresh meat and fish can be considered an integrated food group. Following the same approach, the fresh meat and fish group can be considered weakly separable from the rest of food products, also considered as a whole.

5.2. Misspecification tests

Our specification strategy started by estimating a static AIDS (equation (6)) dropping the chicken equation in order to avoid singularity. As it is well known, the system is invariant to which equation is deleted and the parameters of the dropped equation are derived from the adding up conditions². Multivariate and univariate tests for autocorrelation, normality and conditional heteroskedasticity (Doornik and Hansen, 1994) have been carried out to check the statistical adequacy of the model before calculating the reduced rank tests. Results are shown in Table 1 (first column) and indicate that the model has serious misspecification problems.

Non-normality is associated to excess kurtosis indicating that the static AIDS is not able to capture the changing behaviour that took place between 2000 and 2001 as a consequence of the BSE. To tackle this issue, a cubic BSE information index shown in Figure 3 is introduced. Misspecification tests (Table 1, second column) again indicate that the model is not correctly specified. The

² All models in this study have been estimated imposing homogeneity and symmetry.

introduction of seasonal dummy variables does not improve the performance of the model (Table 1, third column). Finally, a dynamic version of the model has been considered by introducing in each equation the twelve-period-lagged budget shares of the five products, in order to guarantee the adding-up restriction³. As can be observed in the last column in Table 1, misspecification tests indicate the statistical adequacy of the dynamic AIDS with seasonal dummies and the BSE information index⁴.




Figure 3. The weighted information indices estimated through a cubic and a geometrically declining function.

As mentioned in section 3 a great disadvantage of the use of AIDS model appears when we want to incorporate demand shifters like the BSE information index as the AIDS model estimates of real variables (such as market shares and elasticities) are sensitive to the choice of scaling of the exogenous variables. With the aim of overcoming this problem and to capture the effect of the non-economic variables like BSE information on meat and fish demand, maintaining the CUUS property, we have incorporated the demand shifters in the GAIDS model by making every pre-committed quantity as a linear function of these demand shifters:

$$\tilde{c}_i = c_{i0} + aT + \sum_{k=1}^{11} \theta_{ik} S_k + \sum_{j=1}^5 \phi_{ij} IN \quad (9)$$

where T is a time trend set equal to one for the initial time period, S_k are monthly seasonal dummies, IN is the BSE information index, and c_{i0} , a , θ_{ik} , ϕ_{ij} are parameters to be estimated.

Taking into account the results of misspecification tests shown in Table 1, a dynamic version of the GAIDS model represented in equation (8) has been estimated by substituting the pre-committed

³ As an alternative, a diagonal adjustment was also considered, that is, by introducing in each equation only the twelve-period-lagged dependent variable and imposing the same parameter to all equations. Although autocorrelation problems were corrected, non normality problems remained in two equations.

⁴ Parameter estimates are not presented due to space limitations but are available from authors upon request.

quantities (c_i) by (9). The resulting model is highly non-linear, which makes difficult to find convergence as the likelihood function is flat over a substantial range of α_0 values.

Table 1. Univariate and multivariate misspecification tests for the estimated models

Test	Static AIDS (1)	Static AIDS - Information index (2)	Static AIDS - Information index - Seasonal dummies (3)	Dynamic AIDS - Seasonal dummies (4)	Dynamic AIDS - Seasonal dummies - Information index (5)
Multivariate tests					
Normality ^a	33.21*	26.45*	26.18*	25.54*	9.34
Autocorrelation ^b	2.33*	2.13*	1.28*	1.33*	1.08
Univariate tests					
Normality ^c					
Beef	15.44*	7.70*	7.11*	15.64*	5.02
Pork	4.55	4.16	2.17	1.67	0.75
Fish	6.59*	7.15*	1.11	2.44	0.02
Lamb	25.45*	28.33*	12.43*	11.36*	1.76
Autocorrelation ^d					
Beef	2.04*	2.27*	1.97*	2.05*	1.23
Pork	5.37*	5.34*	1.48	1.63	1.08
Fish	5.52*	6.17*	1.52	2.01*	1.31
Lamb	10.72*	12.08*	0.83	1.22	0.57
ARCH ^e					
Beef	2.25	4.18*	2.84	1.75	0.01
Pork	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.17	1.11
Fish	0.23	0.00	1.55	2.43	0.17
Lamb	0.95	0.82	0.31	0.14	0.60

^a Critical value at the 5% level of significance $\chi^2(8) = 15.51$

^b Critical values at the 5% level of significance are 1.25, 1.25, 1.27, 1.27 and 1.31, for models (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5), respectively.

^c Jarque-Bera normality test. The critical value at the 5% level of significance is $\chi^2(2) = 5.99$

^d Box-Pierce Autocorrelation test from lag1 to 12. Critical values at the 5% level of significance are: 1.85, 1.86, 1.86, 1.87 and 1.89, for models (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5), respectively.

^e ARCH-LM test. Critical values at the 5% level of significance are 3.93, 3.93, 3.94, 3.94 and 3.96 for models (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5), respectively.

As the estimated model did not converge when α_0 was included in the model, this paper followed the practice of previous authors (Piggott, 1997) by performing a grid search where α_0 is set to a range of possible values. In each case, the model is re-estimated treating the α_0 parameter as constant. The value of the likelihood function at the alternative α_0 values is used to rank the different model specifications. Finally, the model is estimated using the α_0 value providing the largest

likelihood function value. With this procedure, the likelihood of convergence increases as the α_0 value begins near a local optimum⁵.

5.3. Elasticities

The most important economic information in demand systems is provided by elasticities. Demand elasticities from both the dynamic AIDS and GAIDS models are calculated following the formulae shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Expression of most relevant elasticities from AIDS and GAIDS models

	AIDS	GAIDS
Expenditure	$\eta_{iM} = \frac{\beta_i}{w_i} + 1$	$\eta_{iM} = 1 + \left[\frac{1}{M} (-p_i c_i + w_i^* (M - M^*) + B_i) \right] / w_i$
Marshallian price	$\eta_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} + \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{w_i} - \frac{\beta_i \alpha_i}{w_i} - \frac{\beta_i}{w_i} \sum \gamma_{ij} \ln p_j$	$\eta_{ij} = -d_{ij} + \frac{1}{M w_i} \left\{ c_i p_i (1 - w_i^*) + M^* (\gamma_{ij} - B_i (\frac{p_i}{M^*}) + \alpha_i + \sum \gamma_{ij} \ln p_j) \right\}$
Hicksian price	$\varepsilon_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + w_j \eta_{iM}$	$\varepsilon_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + w_j \eta_{iM}$

However, the most important elasticity is the BSE information index elasticity. In the case of the AIDS model, such elasticity can be easily computed as $\varphi_i = \frac{\theta_i}{w_i}$. However, in the case of the

GAIDS model, the computation is not straightforward. Moreover, in the case of the information elasticity, it is important to distinguish between the direct effect, that measures the percentage change in the pre-committed quantity of the good as a result of a 1% change in the BSE information index and the total effect which measures the percentage change in total quantity. In other words, the total elasticity of BSE information index ($\varphi_{i,IN}$) equals the derivative of the logarithm of the total quantity with respect to the logarithm of the information index, which equals the weighted sum of the derivative of pre-committed (direct effect) and supernumerary quantities with respect to the BSE information index. Mathematically:

$$\varphi_{i,IN} = \frac{\partial \ln x}{\partial \ln IN} = \frac{\partial \ln c_i}{\partial \ln IN} * \frac{c_i}{x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln x^*}{\partial \ln IN} * \frac{x^*}{x_i} \quad (10)$$

As the indirect elasticity of information consists of a reallocation effect of pre-committed expenditure ($\frac{\partial \ln M^*}{\partial \ln IN}$) and a supernumerary expenditure effect, (10) can be rewritten as.

$$\varphi_{i,IN} = \frac{\partial \ln c_i}{\partial \ln IN} * \frac{c_i}{x_i} + \frac{\partial \ln x^*}{\partial \ln M^*} * \frac{\partial \ln M^*}{\partial \ln IN} * \frac{x^*}{x_i} \quad (11)$$

⁵ Parameter estimates are not presented due to space limitations but are available from authors upon request.

Let us consider the three derivatives in (11). First, we will obtain an expression for $\frac{\partial \ln c_i}{\partial \ln IN}$. Taking into account the expression of the pre-committed quantity (9), the direct elasticity of BSE information is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \ln c_i}{\partial \ln IN} = \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial \ln IN} / c_i = \frac{\phi_{ij} IN}{c_i} \quad (12)$$

Second, let us consider $\frac{\partial \ln M^*}{\partial \ln IN}$. As the supernumerary expenditure equals the total expenditure minus the pre-committed expenditure: $M^* = M - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i c_i = M - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (c_{i0} + aT + \sum_{k=1}^{11} \theta_{ik} S_k + \sum_{j=1}^5 \phi_{ij} IN)$, the derivative of the logarithm of the supernumerary expenditure with respect to logarithm the information index will adopt the following expression.

$$\frac{\partial \ln M^*}{\partial \ln IN} = \frac{\partial M^*}{\partial \ln IN} / M^* = \frac{-p_i \phi_{ij}^* IN}{M^*} \quad (13)$$

Finally, let us consider $\frac{\partial \ln x^*}{\partial \ln M^*}$ in (10). From the GAIDS share equation the supernumerary quantity x^* can be calculated as.

$$x^* = \left(\frac{M^*}{p_i} \right) \left\{ \alpha_i + \sum \gamma_{ij} \ln(p_j) + B_i \ln(M^*) - B_i \ln(p) \right\}$$

Then, the derivative of the supernumerary quantity with respect to the logarithm of the supernumerary expenditure is given by.

$$\frac{\partial x^*}{\partial \ln M^*} = \frac{M^*}{p_i} w_i^* + \frac{M^*}{p_i} B_i \quad (14)$$

Dividing both sides of (14) by x_i^* and taking into account that $\frac{p_i q_i^*}{M^*} = w_i^*$ we get:

$$\frac{\partial \ln x^*}{\partial \ln M^*} = 1 + \frac{B_i}{w_i^*} \quad (15)$$

Taking into account (12), (13) and (15) the expression of the total BSE information elasticity is now given by:

$$\varphi_{i,IN} = \frac{\phi_{ij} IN}{c_i} * \frac{c_i}{x_i} + \frac{-p_i \phi_{ij}^* IN}{M^*} * (1 + \frac{B_i}{w_i^*}) * \frac{x^*}{x_i} \quad (16)$$

Table 3 shows the estimated conditional (as we have assumed weak separability) expenditure, own-price and BSE information elasticities from both the AIDS and the GAIDS models. As can be observed significant differences have been found when comparing both sets of elasticities, being more consistent those obtained by the GAIDS model. All expenditure elasticities are positive and statistically significant. Lamb, fish and beef are considered as luxury products in relation to total meat and fish expenditure while chicken and pork can be defined as a necessity. This is consistent with the fact that lamb and beef are the most expensive products (Figure 3). In the AIDS model the high expenditure elasticity for pork is somewhat surprising as well as the lowest value for fish. Results from previous studies for Spain are mixed although, in general terms, are closer to those obtained from the GAIDS. In any case, none of the existing studies has considered the period after the BSE crisis.

Table 3. Calculated expenditure, own price and BSE information elasticities from both the AIDS and the GAIDS models

	AIDS	GAIDS
Marshallian own-price		
Beef	-0.255 (0.186)	-0.315 (0.201)
Pork	-0.539 (0.063)	-0.441 (0.501)
Lamb	-0.639 (0.062)	-1.057 (0.287)
Fish	-0.282 (0.059)	-0.357 (0.129)
Chicken	-0.314 (0.065)	-0.277 (0.127)
Expenditure		
Beef	1.211 (0.032)	1.027 (0.149)
Pork	1.052 (0.007)	0.508 (0.387)
Lamb	1.339 (0.058)	1.903 (0.444)
Fish	0.841 (0.013)	1.171 (0.181)
Chicken	0.876 (0.012)	0.802 (0.073)
Food safety information		
Direct effect		
Beef	-	-0.031 (0.058)
Pork	-	0.001 (0.001)
Lamb	-	0.007 (0.012)
Fish	-	-0.001 (0.001)
Chicken	-	0.001 (0.001)
Total effect		
Beef	-0.0012 (0.0001)	-0.004 (0.0008)
Pork	0.0003 (0.0000)	0.027 (0.0051)
Lamb	0.0009 (0.0001)	0.034 (0.0062)
Fish	0.0002 (0.0000)	0.026 (0.0049)
Chicken	0.0001 (0.0000)	0.027 (0.0051)

Note: Standard Error in parentheses

All own-price elasticities are negative and inelastic except in the case of lamb, which is slightly higher than unity. This is not a surprising result as in the case of lamb no many different qualities with different prices exist. Thus, changes in lamb prices can lead to significant variations in lamb consumption as consumers have limited choices within the lamb meat category. The same explanation can be given to the relatively low value of the fish own price elasticity. In this case, we have grouped a huge variety of fresh products ranging from low to very high prices. Thus, an increase (decrease) in fish prices can lead to a higher (lower) demand for lower quality fish, with total fish consumption remaining more or less stable. In both models, the beef own price elasticity is not significant indicating that non economic factors are relevant to explain beef consumption in Spain⁶.

Regarding BSE information index elasticities and if we compare the two models (total elasticity in the case of the GAIDS), results are somewhat similar. Elasticities are relatively small but significant in all cases as the main effect of BSE crisis in Spain took place during 14 months. Signs and relative magnitudes are consistent with patterns shown in Figure 2. The impact on beef consumption is negative, while it is positive for the rest of products. The magnitude of the positive effect is higher in the case of lamb than in the other cases, as it was the fresh meat which benefited most from the reduction in beef consumption due to the BSE information spread on mass media. In the case of the GAIDS model, the direct effect is not significant.

6. Concluding remarks

The amplification of food scares by mass media has increased consumers' concerns about food safety worldwide. In Spain, the BSE crisis provoked a significant reduction in beef consumption indicating that food safety concerns may have a potential impact on consumers' meat preferences in addition to the traditional economic factors of income level and prices. The objective of this paper has been to assess consumers' reactions to food safety information provided by mass media. Specifically, this paper has focussed on the effect of BSE information on the demand for fresh meat and fish in Spain. A better understanding the consumers' responses to such type could be important to both policy analysts and the meat industry.

A BSE information index has been constructed as a weighted function of past articles published in the most popular Spanish newspaper. Instead of including this BSE information index ad hoc, as an additional shifter in a demand system, we have modified the consumers' utility function by incorporating both quantities of goods and perceived qualities. From the modified consumers' optimization problem we have derived the demand equations. Although the AIDS model has been extensively used in demand modelling, if the budget shares are a linear function of demand shifters, the estimated parameter estimates and the corresponding elasticities are not invariant to the units of

⁶ In both models, if the BSE information index is eliminated from the system, the price elasticity for beef is found to be positive. As can be observed in Figures 2 and 3, just after the BSE crisis the demand for beef recovered in a period of increasing prices. This has to do with the marketing strategy followed by the sector, which was based on the idea of increasing prices as a signal of increasing safety controls.

measurement of both quantities and prices. Thus, we have specified the generalized version of the AIDS (GAIDS). In any case, results from both models are compared along the paper.

Obtained results suggest a number of points. First, and consistent with previous literature, fresh fish should be incorporated in a meat demand system. Second, models have to be dynamized in order to overcome misspecification problems. Moreover, seasonality has to be taken into account as well as the BSE information index. Third, results from the AIDS and the GAIDS models are somewhat different; being those obtained from the GAIDS model more consistent with the evolution of meat consumption in Spain during the last decade. Information on BSE provided by the mass media exerts a significant effect on the demand for fresh meat and fish. As expected, the effect is negative in the case of the meat affected for the food scare (beef), while the effect is positive in the other cases, mainly for lamb, the principal substitute of beef meat in terms of prices.

Our results slightly differ from previous studies on meat demand in Spain, mainly because of the studied period. However, this is the first study on meat demand after the BSE crisis, thus providing policy-makers and meat industry new insights to understand the impacts of food safety events on meat consumption. In any case, more research is needed to incorporate food safety information affecting other meats to have a global picture of meat consumption behaviour in Spain.

References

- Administración General del Estado (2007). Información EEB. www.eeb.es (last visit, November, 22, 2007)
- Alston, J.M., Chalfant, J.A. and Piggott, N.E. (2001). Incorporating Demand Shifters in the Almost Ideal Demand System. *Economics Letters*, 70(1): 73-78.
- Angulo A.M. and Gil J.M. (2006). Incorporating Nutrients into Meat Demand Analysis using Household Budget Data. *Agricultural Economics*, 35(2): 131-144.
- Angulo, A.M. and Gil, J.M. (2007). Risk perception and consumer willingness to pay for certified beef in Spain. *Food Quality and Preference*, 18(8): 1106-1117
- Asociación para la Investigación de Medios de Comunicación (AIMC) (2006). Estudio General de Medios (EGM) 2006. Madrid.
- Bollino, C.A. (1987). GAIDS: A Generalized Version of the Almost Ideal Demand System. *Economics Letters*, 23: 199-203.
- Brown, D.J. and Schrader, I.F. (1990). Information on cholesterol and falling shell egg consumption. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 73: 548-55.
- Burton, M. and Young, T. (1996). The impact of BSE on the demand for beef and other meats in Great Britain. *Applied Economics*, 28: 687-93.
- Buzby, J. C. (ed.) (2003) *International Trade and Food Safety: Economic Theory and Case Studies*, ERS, Agricultural Economic Report No. 828, Washington DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Chang, H-S. and Kinnucan, H.W. (1991). Advertising, information, and product quality: the case of butter. *American Journal of Agricultural economics*, 73(4): 1195-1202.
- Chern, W.S. and Zuo, J. (1995). Alternative measures of changing consumer information on fat and cholesterol. Paper presented at the American Agricultural Economics Association's annual meeting, Indianapolis, Indiana, August.
- Clarke D.G. (1976), Econometric measurement of the duration of advertising effect on sales. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 8: 345 - 357.

- Deaton, A. and Muellbauer, J. (1980). An Almost Ideal Demand System. *American Economic Review*, 70: 312–26.
- Doornik, J. A. and Hansen, H. (1994). *A practical test of multivariate normality*, unpublished paper, Nuffield College.
- Gracia, A. and Albisu, L.M. (1995). La Demanda de Productos Cárnicos y Pescados en España. Aplicación de un Sistema de Demanda Casi Ideal. *Investigacion Agraria*, 10: 233–52.
- Hayes, D. J., Wahl, T. I. and Williams, G. W. (1990). Testing restrictions on a model of Japanese meat demand». *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 70: 556-566.
- Kim, S. and Chern, W.S. (1997). Indicative Measures of Health Risk Information on Fat and Cholesterol for U.S. and Japanese Consumers. *Consumer Interests Annual* 43: 84-89.
- Laajimi A. and Albisu, L.M. (1997). La demande de viandes et poissons en Espagne: une analyse micro-économique. *Cahiers d'Economie et Sociologie Rurale*, 42-43: 71-91.
- Liu, S., Huang, J.C. and Brow G. L. (1998). Information and Risk Perception: A Dynamic Adjustment Process. *Risk Analysis*, 18(6): 689-699.
- Mazzocchi, M. (2006). No news is good news: stochastic parameters versus media coverage indices in demand model after food scares. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 88(3): 727-41.
- Mazzocchi, M., Stefani, G. and Henson, S.J. (2004). Consumer welfare and the loss induced by withholding information: the case of BSE in Italy. *Journal of agricultural economics*, 55(1): 41-58.
- Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación (MAPA) (2006). La alimentación en España, 2005. Secretaría General Técnica, Madrid.
- Piggott, N.E. (1997). Benefits and Costs of Generic Advertising of Agricultural Commodities. University of California – Davis, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Piggott, N.E. and Marsh, T.L. (2004). Does Food Safety Information Impact U.S. Meat Demand? “.*American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 86(1): 154-74.
- Smith, M.E., Van Ravenswaay, E.O. and Thompson, S.R. (1988). Sales loss determination in food contamination incidents: an application to milk bans in Hawaii. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 70(3): 513-520.
- Verbeke, W. and Ward, R.W. (2001). A Fresh Meat Almost Ideal Demand System Incorporating Negative TV. Press and Advertising Impact. *Agricultural Economics*, 25: 359-74.
- van Ravenswaay, E.O. and Hoehn, J.P. (1991). The impact of health risk information on food demand: a case study of ALAR and apples". In: Caswell, J.A. (Eds): *Economics of Food Safety*, Elsevier Science Publishing, New York, NY: 155-74.
- Tansel, A. (1993). Cigarette Demand, Health Scares and Education in Turkey. *Applied Economics* 25: 521–29.