



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*


# **Is Commodity Price Volatility Persistent? Another Look Using Improved, Full-Sample Estimates**

**Berna Karali and Gabriel J. Power**

**Dept. of Agricultural & Applied Economics, The University of Georgia,  
and Dept. of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University**

*Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010  
AAEA, CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010*

*Copyright 2010 by Zhu Chen and Rui Huang. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim  
copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright  
notice appears on all such copies.*



# IS COMMODITY PRICE VOLATILITY PERSISTENT? ANOTHER LOOK USING IMPROVED, FULL-SAMPLE ESTIMATES

Berna Karali and Gabriel J. Power

Dept. of Agricultural & Applied Economics, The University of Georgia, and Dept. of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University



## BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

- Understanding the volatility of futures prices matters for risk management, forecasting and options pricing
- How persistent is the effect of random shocks on price volatility?
- Practitioners need to know, if markets are currently volatile, will they remain so?
- Traditionally, shocks are assumed to be:
  - Transitory: geometric rate of decay, or
  - Permanent: no decay
- Empirical evidence suggests that in many economic and financial time series, shocks display long memory
  - Slow, hyperbolic rate of decay
  - Easily confused with permanent shock
- Previous literature has found long memory in commodity futures price volatility (Jin and Frechette; Sephton)
- Futures prices, however, are partially overlapping time series and “splicing” futures contracts introduces nontrivial bias (Smith)

## RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- How do the findings of long memory in futures price volatility change, if at all, when the futures “splicing” bias is corrected for?
- Are there differences across commodities and particularly between storable/nonstorable?

## METHODOLOGY

- To address the overlapping nature of futures price series, the first step is to use the GLS method of Karali and Thurman to account for contemporaneous correlations among futures price observations from the same day
- Regress absolute futures price log-returns over economically significant variables
  - Stocks-to-use ratio (if applicable)
  - Contract time-to-delivery
  - Time trend
- Consistent with the literature, volatility is defined as absolute or squared demeaned residuals (instead of demeaned log-returns)
- Estimate degree of long memory as the fractional difference parameter  $-1 < d < 1$  with limit cases  $d=0$  (stationarity and no long memory) and  $d=1$  (non-stationarity and permanence of shocks)
- Apply Shimotsu’s recently developed feasible exact local Whittle estimator, preferable to alternatives, based on simulation
- Also apply alternative estimators to evaluate robustness of results
- Futures price data are for agricultural, energy and metal commodities and are obtained from the Commodity Research Bureau
- Inventory and stocks-to-use data are obtained from the National Agricultural Statistics Service, Energy Information Administration and the American Bureau of Metal Statistics

## RESULTS

### Estimates of fractional difference parameter $d$ representing long memory

Note:  $0 < d < 1$  with a higher  $d$  implying greater persistence of volatility

| Variable         | Corn      | Live cattle | Lean hogs | Soybeans    | Wheat       |        |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <b>Residuals</b> | 0.019     | -0.045      | -0.006    | -0.024      | 0.023       |        |
| <b>Absolute</b>  | 0.242     | 0.030       | -0.138    | 0.268       | 0.008       |        |
| <b>Squared</b>   | 0.242     | 0.192       | -0.019    | 0.301       | 0.117       |        |
| Variable         | Crude oil | Gold        | Copper    | Heating oil | Natural gas | Silver |
| <b>Residuals</b> | -0.067    | -0.037      | 0.030     | -0.049      | 0.062       | -0.077 |
| <b>Absolute</b>  | 0.124     | 0.163       | 0.172     | 0.153       | 0.074       | 0.145  |
| <b>Squared</b>   | 0.312     | 0.274       | 0.202     | 0.296       | 0.206       | 0.226  |

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

- Consistent with previous findings, there is no significant long memory in residuals
- If volatility is defined as absolute residuals, there is long memory in all futures except for live cattle, lean hogs and Chicago wheat
  - Lean hogs volatility is anti-persistent
  - E.g., high volatility is followed by low volatility
- If volatility is defined as squared residuals, there is long memory in all futures except lean hogs
- Absolute residuals are the preferred specification when price log-returns are leptokurtic
- Comparison with findings of previous literature*
- Our results confirm the presence of long memory in the volatility of most commodity futures price series
- However the value of  $d$  is generally very small
- Preferred estimate  $d < 0.2$  for most commodities
- Our estimates are lower than what most previous studies have found for agricultural commodities:
  - $0.4 < d < 0.65$  (Jin & Frechette)
  - $0.3 < d < 0.6$  (Sephton)
  - $0.1 < d < 0.3$  (Elder & Jin)
  - $0.3 < d < 0.4$  (Baillie et al.)
- Implications for researchers and practitioners*
- Volatility in commodity futures prices is weakly but significantly persistent for most commodities
- No volatility persistence for the only two nonstorable commodities considered in this research paper

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Elder, J. and H. Jin (2009). "Fractional integration in commodity futures returns," *Financial Review*, 44: 583-602.
- Jin, H.J., & Frechette, D. (2004). "Fractional integration in agricultural futures price volatilities," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 86: 432-43.
- Karali, B., and W.N. Thurman (2009). "Announcement effects and the theory of storage: an empirical study of lumber futures," *Agricultural Economics*, 40: 421-36.
- Sephton, P.S. (2009). "Fractional integration in agricultural futures price volatilities revisited," *Agricultural Economics*, 40(1): 103-11.
- Shimotsu, K. and P.C.B. Phillips (2005). "Exact local Whittle estimation of fractional integration," *Annals of Statistics* 33(4): 1890-933.
- Smith, A. (2005). "Level shifts and the illusion of long memory in economic time series," *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 23: 321-35.
- Yang, S.R., and B.W. Brorsen (1992). "Nonlinear dynamics of daily cash prices," *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74: 706-15.

