



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

**Livelihood Disruption and Venture Creation:  
Entrepreneurship as Technology Adoption,  
A Case of Tobacco Farmer in Kentucky**

**Sivalai V. Khantachavana**

**Graduate Researcher**

**Applied Economics and Management**

**Cornell University**

**sv223@cornell.edu**

**David R. Just**

**Associate Professor**

**Applied Economics and Management**

**Cornell University**

**drj3@cornell.edu**

**Helen Pushkarskaya**

**Assistant Professor**

**Agricultural Economics**

**University of Kentucky**

**helen.pushkarskaya@uky.edu**

***Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010  
AAEA, CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010***

*Copyright 2010 by S. Khantachavana, D. Just and H. Pushkarskaya. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.*



# Livelihood Disruption and Venture Creation: Entrepreneurship as Technology Adoption, A case of Tobacco Farmer in Kentucky

Sivalai V. Khantachavana, David R. Just, Helen Pushkarskaya  
Cornell University, University of Kentucky

## Introduction

- Technology adoption and Entrepreneurial activity both involve substantial risks.
- The uncertainty in household income and changes in economic environment during the tobacco transition payment program lead many individuals into entrepreneurial activities.
- Heterogeneity in learning by doing, or knowledge generated by direct or indirect experience, is determined by the degree to which the individual is connected to an entrepreneurial social network.
- Entrepreneurs need complementary resources to produce and deliver their goods and service (Teece 1987). They need support, knowledge and access to distribution channels through social network.
- The link and the interaction among entrepreneurs and their social network can enlarge the availability of resources that help maintain a new firm (Hansen 1995).

## Objectives

- Use the theory of technology adoption to study entrepreneurship
- Examine the role of social networks (learning by doing) in the adoption of entrepreneurship
- Study the factors associated with an entrepreneurial activity decision

## Hypotheses

- *The “push” hypothesis*: farmers with decreased income are pushed into starting a new business
- *Learning by Doing*: Farmers who have friends who have started ventures will be more likely to start their own, controlling for other social connections.

## Data

- A Survey of Kentucky Farmers: The Tobacco Buyout, 2005-2006
- 702 respondents

## Methods

### Bivariate Probit Model

$$\max_{t \in [0,1], T} U(\pi_0(\theta, (1-t), T)) + EU(\pi_e | S, \theta, t, T),$$

- $S$  = Social network
- $\pi_e$  = Current employment profit
- $\pi_0$  = Entrepreneurial activity random profit
- $t$  = Percentage of working time devoted to the entrepreneurial activity
- $T$  = Leisure time
- $U$  = Standard utility of wealth function
- $\theta$  = Personal characteristics

$$EU(\pi_e | S, \theta, t, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(\pi_e) f(\pi_e | S, \theta, t, T) d\pi_e$$

- Discrete choices
  - whether or not to quit tobacco farm

$$U_i^* = U(\pi_{0i}(\theta_i, (1-t_i), T_i; u_i))$$

$$U_i^* = X_{1i}\beta_1 + u_i$$

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_i^* \leq EU(\pi_{ei} | S_i, \theta_i, t_i, T_i) \\ 0 & \text{if } U_i^* > EU(\pi_{ei} | S_i, \theta_i, t_i, T_i) \end{cases}$$

- whether or not to start new business

$$EU_i^* = EU(\pi_{ei} | S_i, \theta_i, t_i, T_i; \varepsilon_i)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(\pi_e) f(\pi_e | S_i, \theta_i, t_i, T_i; \varepsilon_i) d\pi_e$$

$$EU_i^* \cong X_{2i}\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } EU_i^* > U(\pi_{0i}(\theta_i, (1-t_i), T_i)) \\ 0 & \text{if } EU_i^* \leq U(\pi_{0i}(\theta_i, (1-t_i), T_i)) \end{cases}$$

- Factors affecting entrepreneurial technology adoption by farmers
  - Farm structure/size, Human capital, Risk and risk preferences, Tenure, Labor Supply, Credit constraint, Location factors
- Factors affecting entrepreneurial decision
  - Economic factors, Human capital, Social network, Distance and geography, Tenure, Demographic factors

## Results

Bivariate Probit (Outcome equation)			
	b/se		b/se
entrep			
income1	0.484** (0.2201)	know people	0.290* (0.1573)
income2	0.033 (0.1742)	urban	0.104 (0.1563)
income3	0.108 (0.2009)	distance	0.107 (0.2035)
land	0.163 (0.1270)	rent	-0.068 (0.1628)
buyout checks	-0.000 (0.0000)	age1	0.402* (0.2324)
payment option	0.288 (0.1947)	age2	0.464** (0.1863)
educ	0.123 (0.1423)	age3	0.260 (0.1935)
comp	0.064 (0.1727)	white	-0.525** (0.2237)
internet	0.100 (0.1443)	death	0.313** (0.1261)
social group	-0.142 (0.1743)	divorce	0.214 (0.2048)
		constant	-1.650*** (0.3137)

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Bivariate Probit (Selection equation)			
	b/se		b/se
quittobacco			
income1	-0.161 (0.2504)	age1	0.028 (0.2508)
income2	-0.122 (0.1908)	age2	-0.185 (0.1951)
income3	0.111 (0.2095)	age3	-0.026 (0.1916)
land	0.123 (0.1516)	white	0.166 (0.3036)
buyout checks	0.000 (0.0000)	death	0.181 (0.1391)
payment option	0.329 (0.2042)	divorce	0.241 (0.2252)
educ	0.259 (0.1621)	business climate	-0.516*** (0.1400)
comp	-0.046 (0.1783)	tobacco acres	-0.001 (0.0032)
internet	0.061 (0.1490)	tobacco sell	-0.000 (0.0000)
social group	0.522*** (0.1946)	hay	0.292* (0.1748)
know people	0.039 (0.1614)	beef	0.556*** (0.1799)
urban	-0.103 (0.1781)	horses	0.146 (0.1780)
distance	0.626** (0.2862)	veget	-0.112 (0.2078)
rent	0.090 (0.1766)	grains	0.084 (0.1344)
		constant	-3.218*** (0.4832)
		athrho	0.196* (0.1013)

Note: \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

## Conclusions

- Tobacco farmers are more likely to start their own business if:
  - Low Income
  - Know others who started their own business
  - Under 54 years of age
  - White
  - Experienced a recent death in the household.
- The finding supports the “push” hypothesis as farmers with low income are pushed into starting a new business.
- Learning by doing is supported. Other social connections (with non-entrepreneurs) appears to have no impact.

## References

- Hansen, E. L. (1995). "Entrepreneurial network and new organization growth." Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice **19**(4): 7-19.
- Teece, D. J. (1987). Profiting from technological innovation: Implication for integration, collaboration, licensing, and public policy. The competitive challenge. D. J. Teece. Cambridge, MA, Ballinger Publishing: 185-219.