AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

INTERNATIONAL FOOD
POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
sustainable solutions for ending hunger and poverty

FOOD CONSUMPTION AND NUTRITION DIVISION

March 2005

FCND Discussion Paper 189

Estimating Utility-Consistent Poverty Lines

Channing Arndt and Kenneth R. Simler

2033 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006-1002 USA • Tel.: +1-202-862-5600 • Fax: +1-202-467-4439 • ifpri@cgiar.org
www.ifpri.org

IFPRI's Discussion Papers contain preliminary material and research results. They have not been subject to formal review by IFPRI's Publications Review Committee. They are circulated in order to stimulate discussion and critical comment.

Copyright 2005, International Food Policy Research Institute. All rights reserved. Sections of this material may be reproduced for personal and not-for-profit use without the express written permission of but with acknowledgment to IFPRI. To reproduce the material contained herein for profit or commercial use requires express written permission. To obtain permission, contact the Communications Division at ifpri-copyright@cgiar.org

Abstract

The “Cost of Basic Needs” (CBN) approach to drawing consumption-based poverty lines is widely applied and lays credible claim to being the best practice for estimating poverty measures. Unfortunately, a growing mass of evidence indicates that poverty estimates obtained under the CBN approach are often demonstrably utility inconsistent. Here, we introduce an information theoretic approach for estimating utility-consistent poverty lines. An example of the approach is provided for the case of Mozambique. The approach represents a powerful addition to the poverty analyst’s tool kit and enhances the attractiveness of the CBN approach for practical poverty measurement problems.

Key words: poverty lines, entropy estimation, revealed preferences, Mozambique

Contents

Acknowledgments.....	iv
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Utility Consistency and the Cost of Basic Needs Approach.....	2
Consumption Bundles, Relative Price Shifts, and Utility.....	2
Revealed Preference Tests for Utility Consistency	4
Empirical Relevance of the Problem of Choosing Utility-consistent Bundles.....	6
3. Entropy Estimation	8
4. The Entropy Estimator.....	10
5. Estimating Poverty Lines for Mozambique	12
Background.....	12
Basic Approach.....	12
Fixed Bundles and Substitution Effects.....	13
Flexible Bundles and Revealed Preference Conditions	14
Estimating Revealed Preference Consistent Food Bundles	17
Results.....	19
6. Summary and Conclusions	21
References.....	23

Tables

1 Results of regional revealed preferences tests for original flexible bundles from 2002-03	15
2 Post adjustment spatial revealed preference tests for 2002-03	20
3 Poverty headcount results	21

Figure

1 Impact of changes in relative prices.....	3
---	---

Acknowledgments

This research was part of the poverty assessment research conducted under the auspices of the Mozambique Ministry of Planning and Finance, in cooperation with the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and Purdue University. Financial support was provided by the Danish International Development Agency (Danida), the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), and the United Kingdom Department for International Development (DfID).

We thank our colleagues, José Sulemane, Pedro Couto, Cristina Matusse, Nelson Maximiano, Joel Muzima, Claudio Massingarella, and Adriano Ubisse, for their contributions to this research. We have also received helpful comments and suggestions on earlier drafts from seminar participants at the Ministry of Planning and Finance and IFPRI. The authors are responsible for any remaining errors.

Channing Arndt
Department of Agricultural Economics
Purdue University

Kenneth R. Simler
International Food Policy Research Institute

1. Introduction

Poverty reduction has become one of the primary objectives of development assistance, and changes in poverty have become central yardsticks by which development assistance and accompanying government action are measured. The stakes are often high. In many poor countries, failure to reduce measured poverty levels over the medium term would very likely catalyze widespread calls for major policy reform as well as country program reviews by donor organizations, including a reassessment of the overall level of assistance. In this environment, reasonably precise measures of poverty levels and changes in poverty levels through time are obviously desirable.

Not surprisingly, a voluminous literature exists on appropriate ways to measure poverty and a large variety of approaches have been proposed. This article focuses specifically on the “Cost of Basic Needs” (CBN) approach to drawing consumption-based poverty lines described by Ravallion (1994, 1998). This approach is widely applied and lays credible claim to being the best practice for estimating income (or consumption) poverty measures such as the P_α class of measures developed by Foster, Greer, and Thorbecke (1984). Nevertheless, a growing mass of evidence indicates that poverty estimates obtained under the CBN approach are often demonstrably utility inconsistent (Ravallion and Lokshin 2003; Tarp et al. 2002).

In this article, we introduce an information theoretic approach for estimating utility-consistent poverty lines, and provide an example of the approach for the case of Mozambique. The article is structured as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the issue of utility consistency in the context of poverty lines and presents the revealed preference tests that have been applied recently to test for utility consistency. Section 3 provides a brief review of information theory, with a focus on entropy estimation. Section 4 presents the estimator in general form. Section 5 discusses application of the approach to the case of Mozambique. We conclude, in Section 6, that the information theoretic approach presented here represents a powerful addition to the poverty analyst’s tool kit and

enhances the attractiveness of the CBN approach for practical poverty measurement problems.

2. Utility Consistency and the Cost of Basic Needs Approach

Consumption Bundles, Relative Price Shifts, and Utility

In the CBN approach, poverty lines are obtained on the basis of the cost of a bundle of goods that correspond with an arbitrarily chosen minimum level of well-being, usually based on nutritional requirements and basic nonfood needs. The exact number of distinct bundles to employ and their composition remain a vexing problem. Tarp et al. (2002) demonstrate that where large differences in relative prices across regions exist and preferences are not Leontief (or nearly so), use of a common bundle across all regions leads to poverty lines that are typically higher than they should be and the strong possibility of re-ranking in poverty comparisons (e.g., utility inconsistency).¹ The same argument holds for a constant bundle through time rather than across space when relative prices vary through time.

The crux of the issue can be illustrated in a highly simplified context using the case of relative price changes through time. Figure 1 depicts a consumer whose preferences are defined on only two goods, c_1 and c_2 . Assume that we perfectly observed this utility maximizing consumer at a point in time, say 1996. Line M_0 represents the expenditure necessary to attain utility level U in 1996. If U represents the chosen minimum level of well-being, then M_0 depicts the poverty line for 1996. Suppose that we wish to reestimate the poverty line for 2002. If relative prices have changed between 1996 and 2002, then consumption patterns will change in accordance with preferences. The expenditure necessary to achieve the same utility level, or the appropriate new poverty line in 2002, is depicted by line M_1 . Using the original basket of goods and 2002

¹ It bears emphasizing that in addition to serving as dividing lines between poor and nonpoor households, poverty lines are typically used as deflators that map nominal consumption to real, thus establishing the comparability of the welfare measure across population subgroups.

prices to estimate the 2002 poverty line (M_2) overstates the expenditure necessary to maintain the same utility level.

Figure 1—Impact of changes in relative prices

The situation is exactly analogous if relative prices vary across space for a given point in time. Generally, when relative prices vary either through time or across space, the link between the cost of any single bundle and any given level of welfare deteriorates. The exact degree of deterioration depends upon preferences and the extent of shifts in relative prices. In terms of Figure 1, if the consumer in question actually spent between levels M_1 and M_2 in 2002, she would be better off in utility terms but measured as worse

off using the constant bundle poverty line, i.e., one based on the quantities of the 1996 bundle (c_1^{96}, c_2^{96}) .

The obvious solution to dealing with the shortcomings of a common bundle is to develop multiple bundles, each associated with a different time period (or region), in order to account for the shifts in consumption patterns brought about by the differences in relative prices through time (or across space). Multiple bundles have been estimated by, for example, Datt, Jolliffe, and Sharma (2001); Gibson and Rozelle (2003); Ravallion and Lokshin (2003); and Tarp et al. (2002). Though conceptually straightforward, the practical difficulties associated with choosing multiple bundles that *yield the same (or nearly the same) level of well-being* are considerable. To grapple with this issue, nonparametric tests for utility consistency have come to be applied to the problem of setting poverty lines (Ravallion and Lokshin 2003; Gibson and Rozelle 1999, 2003). These tests are considered in the next subsection.

Revealed Preference Tests for Utility Consistency

The notion of revealed preferences originates in microeconomic theory. The idea is to apply the restrictions on rational consumer behavior postulated in microeconomic theory without imposing any specific form for preferences on individual behavior. Revealed preference restrictions rely on the assumption that consumers prefer consuming more rather than less (nonsatiation) (Varian 1992). To begin, assume identical consumers who prefer more to less and whose preferences are defined on $I [i \in I]$ commodities with each consumer living in a distinct spatial domain, r , among the set of spatial domains $R [r \in R]$. We instruct these consumers to spend the minimum necessary in order to attain the same arbitrary level of utility. Prices potentially differ across spatial domains. Under these conditions, the following revealed preference conditions will hold:

$$\sum_i p_{ir} q_{ir'} \geq \sum_i p_{ir} q_{ir} \quad \forall r, r', \quad (1)$$

where r' represents an alias index for the set of spatial domains R [$r, r' \in R$] and the variables p and q represent prices and quantities, respectively.

The logic behind this set of restrictions is as follows. For a given spatial domain r , the representative consumer in r has the opportunity to choose any bundle that delivers the required utility level. As this consumer is rational and prefers more to less, she will choose a cost minimizing bundle, q_r .² By cost minimization, the cost of any other bundle that delivers the same level of utility, evaluated at prices p_r , must be at least as much as the cost minimizing bundle. Since, by assumption, the selected bundle in each spatial domain delivers the same utility level, condition (1) must hold for all possible pairs of spatial domains.

It is illuminating to consider the contrary case: suppose the inequality in equation (1) fails to hold for some region pair (r and r'), yet preferences are still identical across regions. When both bundles are evaluated at prices p_r , a rational consumer would only choose a more expensive bundle, q_r , over an alternative bundle, $q_{r'}$, if the chosen bundle yields higher utility. In this case, the maintained hypothesis of constant utility levels across bundles is rejected; in other words, the bundle, q_r , is revealed preferred to the alternative bundle, $q_{r'}$. Failure of condition (1) is also possible if preferences are not identical across regions. Also, note that comparison of bundles through time rather than across space as presented above is completely analogous.

The application of conditions such as those outlined in equation (1) to a group of bundles designed to represent the standard of living associated with a poverty line for a particular spatial domain and/or at a particular time is both straightforward and highly attractive. As Ravallion and Lokshin (2003) point out, the revealed preference conditions in equation (1) are necessary but not sufficient conditions for identical preferences. It is possible that the representative consumers mentioned above could have different preferences, yet all revealed preference conditions could still be satisfied. Rather than

² The i subscripts have been dropped. The vector, q_r , is $(I \times 1)$. An analogous notational shift is made for prices.

identical preferences, satisfaction of revealed preference conditions indicates the existence of a coherent preference set that rationalizes the observed behavior (Varian 1992).

For the purposes of poverty analysis, this is arguably a highly attractive feature of revealed preference conditions. Meeting revealed preference conditions does not impose identical preferences across regions or through time. However, for the conditions to be satisfied, the observed behavior must be consistent with a set of rational preferences. Consistency with some arbitrary unknown preference set would appear to be a minimum condition for making the welfare comparisons fundamental to poverty analysis. Revealed preference conditions require this minimum and no more.

Empirical Relevance of the Problem of Choosing Utility-consistent Bundles

To summarize, utility consistency of the CBN approach is not guaranteed. In particular, the use of a single basic needs consumption bundle will generate inconsistent regional comparisons when relative prices vary across space, and inconsistent intertemporal comparisons when relative prices change over time. The use of multiple bundles represents the obvious alternative; however, assuring that the different bundles give the same (or nearly the same) level of well-being presents a real concern. Fortunately, revealed preference conditions provide a convenient means of testing for utility consistency. This revealed preference approach to testing bundles for utility consistency has been applied recently by Ravallion and Lokshin (2003) for Russia; Gibson and Rozelle (1999, 2003) for Papua New Guinea; and MPF/IFPRI/Purdue (2004) for Mozambique.³

Unfortunately, the available information on the results of revealed preference tests indicate that failures of revealed preference conditions are widespread despite the best efforts to develop comparable bundles. For example, an analysis by Ravallion and

³ Note that these are all settings where relative prices can be expected to vary substantially across space because of one or more factors, including large distances, ecological diversity, poor infrastructure, and weak market integration.

Lokshin (2003) divides Russia into 23 spatial domains with a separate bundle developed for each domain. This results in 506 (23×22) revealed preference conditions.⁴ These conditions fail nearly half the time. Only 6 of the 253 distinct pairs of bundles are mutually consistent, meaning that the revealed preference conditions are satisfied both when region A is compared with region B and when region B is compared with region A. Gibson and Rozelle (1999) experience similar difficulties in Papua New Guinea. The original bundles developed for Mozambique and presented in Section 5 also frequently violate revealed preference conditions and generate relatively few mutually consistent pairs.

From this point, the existing literature becomes significantly less helpful. Ravallion and Lokshin (2003) point out the possibility that scalar corrections to the bundles could result in satisfaction of the revealed preference conditions, and they construct a test to determine whether feasibility with respect to revealed preference conditions might be obtained through scalar corrections. However, the possibility of a set of scalar corrections is rejected for their case (Russia). Gibson and Rozelle (1999) opt to aggregate regions until revealed preference conditions are satisfied (or nearly so). Both approaches fall well short of a general procedure for estimating CBN bundles that meet revealed preference conditions.

When confronted with failure of revealed preference conditions despite every effort at careful construction of the bundles, we posit that the poverty analyst has, in fact, reached a familiar juncture in experimental science. The analyst has used all available information to construct the bundles, yet the bundles fail to meet the minimum conditions necessary for making valid welfare comparisons. As all information has already been incorporated into the construction of the original bundles, no additional information exists, by definition, on which to base adjustment of the bundles in order to conform to revealed preference conditions.

⁴ The test when r and r' refer to the same region is trivial and is omitted.

This situation is a familiar one in diverse areas of enquiry. Consider the following examples. Despite careful collection of all available data following a year of economic activity, the national accountant is invariably confronted with a situation where the raw data fail to respect basic macroeconomic identities. Having carefully collected data on the motion of particles, the physicist notes that these data do not completely respect accepted rules of motion. A crime lab receives a photo of the license plate of a car fleeing the scene of a robbery. Unfortunately, the image is blurry and the license plate cannot be read. In all of these cases, the signal, despite the best attempts at observation, is noisy and fails to conform with what is required to be true. National accounts must respect basic macroeconomic identities. In order to make credible inferences, the physicist's data must conform to basic laws of motion. The license plate photo does not conform to what must be true about the license plate in question (e.g., the shape is rectangular with particular dimensions, the letters and numbers written on the plate are in block form, etc.).

Also, in all of these cases, relevant additional information would, without doubt, be useful. However, information is, at least for practical purposes, finite. At some point, the analyst is forced to obtain a coherent picture from the available information. Increasingly, entropy estimation is being employed to accomplish this objective. The following section briefly introduces entropy estimation approaches.

3. Entropy Estimation

Entropy approaches to estimation are motivated by “information theory” and the work of Shannon (1948), who defined a function to measure the uncertainty, or entropy, of a collection of events, and Jaynes (1957a; 1957b), who proposed maximizing that function subject to appropriate consistency relations, such as moment conditions. The maximum entropy (ME) principle and its sister formulation, minimum cross entropy (CE), are now used in a wide variety of fields to estimate and make inferences when information is incomplete, highly scattered, and/or inconsistent (Kapur and Kesavan

1992). The basic philosophy of entropy estimation is to use all available information and no more.

In economics, the ME principle has been successfully applied to a wide and rapidly growing range of estimation problems. Theil (1967) provides an early investigation of information theory in economics. Mittelhammer, Judge, and Miller (2000) provide a recent text book treatment that is focused more tightly on the ME principle and its relationships with more traditional estimation criteria such as maximum likelihood. Golan (2002) edits a special issue of the *Journal of Econometrics* focused on cutting-edge applications of the entropy principle.

In general, information in an estimation problem using the entropy principle comes in two forms: (1) information (theoretical or empirical) about the system that imposes constraints on the values that the various parameters to be estimated can take; and (2) prior knowledge of likely parameter values. In the first case, the information is applied by specifying constraint equations in the estimation procedure. In the second, the information is applied by specifying a discrete prior distribution and estimating by minimizing the entropy distance between the estimated and prior distributions—the minimum cross entropy (CE) approach. The prior distribution does not have to be symmetric and weights on each point in the prior distribution can vary. If the weights in the prior distribution are equal (e.g., the prior distribution is uniform), then the CE and ME approaches are equivalent.

Considerable work has been done to bring the general regression model into information theoretic frameworks (Golan, Judge, and Miller 1996). The framework also supports statistical inference (Imbens 1997). However, for most applications, the real power of the framework is that it makes efficient use of scarce information in estimating parameters.⁵ As stated by physicists Buck and Macaulay (1991, p. ix), “the intention is to give a way of extracting the most convincing conclusions implied by given data and any prior knowledge of the circumstance.”

⁵ Golan, Judge, and Miller (1996) show that the ME/CE approach is an “efficient” information processing rule, as described by Zellner (1988).

4. The Entropy Estimator

While the philosophy of entropy estimation and the properties of entropy estimators fill volumes, the actual empirical application of the entropy procedure is relatively simple. To obtain utility-consistent bundles, we set up the following constrained minimization problem.

$$\underset{q_{ir}, s_{ir}^{ent}}{\text{Min}} \quad \sum_r \sum_i s_{ir}^{ent} \ln \left(\frac{s_{ir}^{ent}}{s_{ir}^{orig}} \right), \quad (2)$$

subject to

$$\sum_i p_{ir} q_{ir'} \geq \sum_i p_{ir} q_{ir} \quad \forall r, r' \quad r \neq r', \quad (2a)$$

$$s_{ir}^{ent} \sum_{i'} p_{i'r} q_{i'r} = p_{ir} q_{ir} \quad \forall i, r, \quad (2b)$$

$$s_{ir}^{ent} \geq 0, s_{ir}^{ent} \leq 1, q_{ir} \geq 0 \quad \forall i, r, \quad (2c)$$

where notation is carried forward from condition (1), which has now become constraint (2a). New or revised notation is as follows:

s_{ir}^{ent} = budget shares of the adjusted bundle,

s_{ir}^{orig} = budget shares of the original bundle,

r, r' = index of location in space or time, and

i' = an alias index for i .

Equation (2) is the minimum cross entropy objective. The problem treats the expenditure shares from the original bundle as providing prior information on consumption patterns in the region. Prior information in the minimum cross entropy framework classically comes in the form of probabilities associated with a discrete distribution. In the problem at hand, the expenditure shares are viewed as the probability

that an arbitrarily small quantity of currency will be devoted to the purchase of good i . The objective minimizes the entropy distance between the adjusted and original budget shares. The composition of the bundle—and consequently, the values of the budget shares—may need to be altered in order to meet revealed preference conditions. Overall, the optimization problem seeks to find, for each region r , vectors of quantities that satisfy revealed preference conditions and that preserve, to the greatest degree possible, the information content in the original budget shares.

The objective (2) is strictly convex. If all constraints were linear, the optimal solution would be a unique global minimum. However, as constraint equation (2b) is nonlinear, the possibility of local optima must be admitted unless a proof of uniqueness, within the relevant range of the solution set, can be devised. Pending a proof, standard numerical methods associated with nonlinear estimation (such as alternative starting values for variables and alternative algorithms for finding optimal solutions) should be employed in order to avoid local optima.

Two additional comments are worthwhile. First, for the minimization problem presented in (2), the minimum possible value for the objective function is zero (Golan, Judge, and Miller 1996). This occurs when the adjusted shares equal the original shares. Consequently, the scalar correction procedure suggested by Ravallion and Lokshin (2003) will be the solution to the minimization problem in (2), if feasible, as it leaves the original budget shares intact. Second, other constraints can easily be added. For example, the analyst might wish to require that each bundle exactly meets estimated calorie requirements for each location.⁶

We turn now to an application of the approach presented above to the case of Mozambique. For the sake of brevity, many details of the procedure applied to Mozambique are not discussed here. Full details on the poverty analysis for Mozambique can be found in MPF/IFPRI/Purdue (2004).

⁶ Note that, if a calorie constraint is added, the scalar correction approach of Ravallion and Lokshin (2003) will no longer be feasible.

5. Estimating Poverty Lines for Mozambique

Background

In 1996-97, the Mozambican National Institute of Statistics conducted the first nationally representative household consumption survey (HCS) in Mozambique. Analysis of the survey indicated a poverty headcount of about 69.4 percent at the national level, with poverty more prevalent in rural than urban areas (MPF/UEM/IFPRI 1998). In 2002-03, a second nationally representative HCS was undertaken. Two primary objectives guided the design, implementation, and analysis of the 2002-03 HCS. The first objective was to provide the best possible picture of poverty and well-being in the year 2002-03. The second objective was to provide a sound basis for comparison with the 1996-97 survey. In order to satisfy the second objective, the approach and methods employed for analysis of the two surveys were quite similar.

During the interval between surveys, published information on the evolution of macro-aggregates allowed for the possibility of rapid reductions in poverty. Real GDP per capita grew by a cumulative 62 percent between 1996 and 2002. Real consumption per capita registered a slightly lower but still impressive cumulative growth of 50 percent over the same period. Furthermore, national accounts data indicated that all major sectors, including agriculture, contributed to this growth. In addition, a Core Welfare Indicators Questionnaire (CWIQ) survey undertaken in 2000-01 pointed to impressive gains in poverty correlates such as bicycle ownership and radio ownership (INE 2001). Nevertheless, substantial debate persisted regarding the participation of the poor in the observed economic growth. The 2002-03 HCS was expected to contribute substantially to, if not settle, this debate.

Basic Approach

The 2002-03 HCS contained detailed information on expenditure for a random sample of 8,700 households. The sample represented the nation, rural and urban zones, and each province plus Maputo City. An important feature of the survey was an explicit

attempt to be representative in time as well as space. Data collection for the survey began in July 2002 and finished in June 2003 (covering a complete agricultural season). Available indicators point to a high level of information quality.

To measure poverty, analyses of both the 1996-97 and 2002-03 surveys employed the CBN approach (Ravallion 1994, 1998). As prices (both relative prices and price levels) and consumption patterns vary drastically across space in Mozambique, 13 spatial domains were identified in 1996-97. These same spatial domains were employed in 2002-03. In each domain, a basket of food products that satisfied basic calorie needs was identified using information on the age and sex composition of the household and the recorded consumption patterns of poorer households. The cost of this basket, valued at average prices from within each domain, represented the food poverty line for each domain. A nonfood poverty line was obtained for each domain by calculating the share of food expenditures for households whose total food and nonfood consumption per capita was near the food poverty line. The total poverty line was obtained as the sum of the food and the nonfood poverty lines.

Fixed Bundles and Substitution Effects

In 2002-03, two methods were employed to obtain the food basket. The first method simply involved using the same baskets from 1996-97; this is referred to here as the fixed bundle approach. Since this method is easy, it is ideal if it can be expected to function reasonably well. Unfortunately, the data indicate that relative prices for food products shifted substantially between 1996-97 and 2002-03 and that consumers adjusted their food consumption patterns in response to these shifts in relative prices. As mentioned in Section 2, the fixed bundle approach could be expected to overstate poverty rates in this environment.

The potential magnitude of the overstatement is worth exploring. The fixed bundle approach indicated a national poverty headcount ratio of 63.2 percent. One way to consider the potential magnitude of the implications of the assumption of Leontief

preferences implicit in the fixed bundles approach (when relative prices have changed) is to assume an alternative preference structure that permits substitutability. For this purpose, Cobb-Douglas preferences are an attractive choice, as the functional relationship is well known and easy to apply. The Cobb-Douglas functional form probably overstates substitutability between some commodity pairs and understates it for others.

Nevertheless, the assumption that consumers have Cobb-Douglas preferences likely provides a reasonably robust indicator of the potential importance of substitution effects.

A calibrated Cobb-Douglas utility function can be obtained easily from the 1996-97 results for each of the 13 spatial domains. With these functions in hand, a new food poverty line for 2002-03 was obtained by minimizing the cost of achieving the same utility level as observed in 1996-97 under prices prevailing in 2002-03. The nonfood poverty line was then obtained using the methodology described above.

Under the assumption of Cobb-Douglas preferences, the measured poverty rate falls to 52.1 percent at the national level. This represents a substantial decline from the 63.2 percent rate obtained using the fixed bundle approach. As preferences are almost surely not Cobb-Douglas, the result is not a viable poverty estimate. Nevertheless, the result does confirm that accounting for price variation and consumer response, as in the flexible bundle approach, could result in measured poverty rates substantially below the levels implied by the fixed bundles approach.

Flexible Bundles and Revealed Preference Conditions

To account for quantity response to shifts in relative prices, new bundles within each domain were derived using the iterative approach described in Ravallion (1994), which was also the procedure applied to the 1996-97 HCS. The food bundles developed for each spatial domain were then tested to see if they satisfied regional and temporal revealed preference conditions. The results of the regional revealed preference tests are presented in Table 1. These regional revealed preference tests pass only slightly better than half the time, and with only 6 mutually consistent pairs out of 78 possible.

Table 1—Results of regional revealed preferences tests for original flexible bundles from 2002–03

Region-specific bundles	Region-specific prices												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 Niassa & Cabo Delgado rural	4,756	6,397	3,991	4,472	4,007	5,621	5,508	6,330	5,580	6,250	6,536	8,436	9,984
2 Niassa & Cabo Delgado urban	5,903	7,717	4,501	5,490	4,922	6,601	6,420	7,599	7,090	7,972	8,791	10,409	10,300
3 Nampula rural	3,500	4,470	2,752	3,660	2,907	4,713	3,041	2,492	4,703	3,539	3,499	4,820	7,099
4 Nampula urban	4,879	5,853	3,542	3,749	3,058	5,232	4,471	5,956	5,816	5,429	5,216	7,833	7,397
5 Sofala & Zambézia rural	4,589	6,167	3,663	4,399	3,548	5,459	4,768	5,090	5,041	5,080	5,691	7,033	9,124
6 Sofala & Zambézia urban	5,730	7,402	4,216	5,358	4,446	5,902	6,180	7,006	6,331	6,811	8,102	8,177	9,389
7 Tete & Manica rural	6,770	8,770	4,741	7,210	5,090	7,741	6,937	9,584	9,608	10,260	12,430	15,311	11,361
8 Tete & Manica urban	7,737	9,813	5,646	7,079	6,058	8,910	7,863	9,657	9,087	10,128	12,221	13,032	11,770
9 Gaza & Inhambane rural	4,454	5,813	3,389	4,014	3,577	5,601	4,587	4,950	5,438	5,932	10,243	8,752	8,969
10 Gaza & Inhambane urban	5,090	6,728	3,943	5,048	4,303	6,753	5,580	6,419	6,458	6,613	9,812	9,279	9,451
11 Maputo Province rural	7,102	10,317	5,677	7,657	6,376	9,478	7,291	9,532	9,663	10,422	12,584	13,772	13,816
12 Maputo Province urban	8,158	10,971	5,860	8,153	7,482	11,599	9,158	11,329	10,938	11,580	13,881	13,741	13,700
13 Maputo City	7,866	10,626	5,653	7,837	7,146	11,458	8,921	11,179	10,766	11,433	13,501	13,270	13,211

Notes: Rows refer to quantities and columns refer to prices. For example, the value 4,879 in cell (4,1) refers to quantities from Nampula-urban evaluated at Niassa-Cabo Delgado rural prices. The diagonal elements (outlined cells) indicate the original flexible basket food poverty lines. Off-diagonal elements represent different combinations of price and quantity vectors. Values in a column less than the value in the diagonal indicate failures of revealed preference conditions. These values are bolded. Six pairs, of 78 possible, are mutually consistent, meaning that revealed preference conditions are satisfied for both region i 's bundle evaluated at region j 's prices and for region j 's bundle evaluated at region i 's prices. These 12 cells are shaded and italicized. All figures are in meticaís per person per day.

Temporal conditions also failed in some instances, although not as frequently. Note in particular that failures are consistently observed across row 3 (Nampula rural) indicating a low quality bundle in that domain. Consistent failures are also observed in columns 7, 8, 11, 12, and 13 (Manica, Tete, and Maputo), indicating relatively high quality bundles in those domains. Overall, poverty lines calculated on the basis of these original flexible bundles would be utility inconsistent.

Some practical issues associated with conducting the revealed preference tests for the particular case of Mozambique merit mentioning. First, the revealed preference tests are conducted using only food bundles. Price and quantity information is employed only for the food bundle that generates the food poverty line. As mentioned earlier, the nonfood poverty line is calculated on the basis of nonfood consumption shares for households living near the food poverty line. Difficulties associated with identifying quantities and prices of nonfood goods drive this choice. As a result, the revealed preference tests are conducted on a subset of the expenditures that comprise the poverty line, albeit an important subset since food represents around three quarters of total expenditure for those living at or somewhat below the poverty line.⁷

Second, consumption patterns do vary widely across the 13 spatial domains used in Mozambique. To conduct the regional revealed preference tests, one must determine the cost of the bundle chosen in region r' using prices in region r . In some instances, some commodities from the bundle of region r' are very rarely or not at all produced/traded in region r . Hence, information on prices for region r is lacking. In these instances, we assume that the price prevailing in region r is the maximum observed price across all spatial domains.

⁷ Revealed preference conditions then implicitly impose separability between food and nonfood expenditures.

Estimating Revealed Preference Consistent Food Bundles

To obtain consistent food bundles across space and through time, we solve the following constrained minimization problem.

$$\underset{q_{ir}^{02}, s_{ir}^{ent}}{Min} \quad \sum_r \sum_i s_{ir}^{ent} \ln \left(\frac{s_{ir}^{ent}}{s_{ir}^{orig}} \right), \quad (3)$$

subject to

$$\sum_i p_{ir}^{02} q_{ir'}^{02} \geq \sum_i p_{ir}^{02} q_{ir}^{02} \quad \forall r, r' \quad r \neq r', \quad (3a)$$

$$\sum_i p_{ir}^{02} q_{ir}^{96} \geq \sum_i p_{ir}^{02} q_{ir}^{02} \quad \forall r, \quad (3b)$$

$$\sum_i p_{ir}^{96} q_{ir}^{02} \geq \sum_i p_{ir}^{96} q_{ir}^{96} \quad \forall r, \quad (3c)$$

$$s_{ir}^{ent} \sum_{i'} p_{i'r} q_{i'r} = p_{ir} q_{ir} \quad \forall i, r, \quad (3d)$$

$$\sum_i calpg_i q_{ir} = cal \quad \forall r, \quad (3e)$$

$$s_{ir}^{ent} \geq 0, s_{ir}^{ent} \leq 1, q_{ir} \geq 0 \quad \forall i, r, \quad (3f)$$

where basic notation is carried forward from equation set (2) with some revisions.⁸

Notation is as follows:

- r, r' = indices of spatial domains,
- i, i' = indices of commodities,
- s_{ir}^{ent} = budget shares of the adjusted bundle from 2002,
- s_{ir}^{orig} = budget shares of the original bundle from 2002,

⁸ If the original share, s_{ir}^{orig} , was equal to zero, then the adjusted share was set equal to zero. In the limit, $x \ln(x/x) = 0$ as x approaches zero. Numerically, these terms were simply dropped from the objective.

$calpg_i$ = calories per quantity unit for each commodity i , and
 cal = calorie requirement for all bundles.

In addition, price and quantity variables now have temporal superscripts.

Practical choices also impinge upon the actual estimation. First, note that calorie requirements are imposed with equality (constraint (3e)).⁹ More important, the spatial domains in Maputo City and Maputo Province (domains 11, 12, and 13) are excluded from the revealed preference conditions that compare bundles across space (constraint 3a). This choice reflects the large differences in mode of living that exist in Maputo. In particular, cash income and cash requirements are clearly more important in Maputo than in other regions. Also, opportunities to earn cash are more readily available. As a result, household members in Maputo City, including lower income households, more frequently work outside the home and more frequently purchase services, such as prepared food or milled grain, than in other regions where these services are overwhelmingly produced within the home. The method employed to measure consumption counts all expenditures made outside the home but ignores services produced and consumed at home, such as food preparation.¹⁰

This characteristic of the data helps to explain the nearly complete failure of revealed preference conditions in the Maputo columns of Table 1. The failures might not be nearly so complete if the value of home-produced services implicit in the bundles from other regions were included at Maputo shadow values on labor. As the data do not permit estimation of this value, we choose to simply exclude Maputo from the spatial revealed preference conditions. This choice is also consistent with the analysis from 1996-97, where revealed preference conditions for Maputo also failed drastically.

⁹ The bundles are adjusted based on constant calorie requirements for each spatial domain. Once the adjusted bundles are obtained, they are rescaled to meet calorie requirements for each spatial domain.

¹⁰ This bias in consumption surveys is essentially the same as the bias in the national accounts, which exists in all countries. Home-produced/consumed goods, such as agricultural products, are valued, while home-produced services, such as cooking and cleaning, are not.

Table 2 shows spatial revealed preference conditions for the 10 spatial domains included in the adjustment procedure. Diagonal elements of the table provide the adjusted food poverty line estimate for each spatial domain. The adjusted bundles for all regions (including Maputo) also respect the temporal revealed preference conditions specified in equations (3b) and (3c).

Results

Table 3 compares poverty headcount results for the original and the adjusted bundles. Poverty headcount ratios for 1996-97 and for the fixed bundle approach in 2002-03 are presented as well. Comparing the two sets of flexible bundles (original and adjusted), one observes that estimated poverty rates at the national level climb by about six percentage points when utility consistency is imposed. The increase is driven strongly by substantial adjustments to the bundle in region 3 (Nampula rural)—a very populous and primarily rural region. For those with experience in Mozambique, the adjusted bundle results are far more credible. The original estimate for Nampula appears very low and fails to concord with other available indicators such as asset ownership.¹¹ The increase in poverty rates in Nampula under the adjusted bundle is instrumental in raising the rural poverty rate above the urban poverty rate, which is also a more credible result. In addition, the adjustment procedure significantly reduces estimated poverty rates in Manica, which is consistent with other available welfare correlates for Manica.¹²

Despite generating substantial changes in estimated poverty rates for some provinces, the qualitative story in terms of directions of movement compared with the levels estimated for 1996-97 is fairly robust. Under both the original flexible bundle and the adjusted flexible bundle approaches, poverty rates declined substantially nationwide.

¹¹ For example, in 2002–03, households in Nampula Province ranked below the national average in bicycle ownership, slightly above the national average in radio ownership, and below the national average in number of meals consumed the day prior to the beginning of interviews. These indicators correlate with measured poverty rates (MPF/IFPRI/Purdue 2004).

¹² Households in Manica in 2002-03 ranked well above the national average in bicycle ownership, radio ownership, and meals per day.

Table 2—Post adjustment spatial revealed preference tests for 2002-03

Region-specific bundles	Region-specific prices									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Niassa & Cabo Delgado rural	5,434	7,541	4,471	5,146	4,424	6,679	6,137	7,573	6,614	7,808
2 Niassa & Cabo Delgado urban	5,642	7,541	4,471	5,290	4,746	6,591	6,190	7,355	6,627	7,707
3 Nampula rural	5,988	8,912	4,471	5,762	4,502	7,804	5,628	7,145	7,856	8,297
4 Nampula urban	7,014	8,900	5,067	4,853	4,155	7,312	6,603	9,937	7,936	8,359
5 Sofala & Zambézia rural	5,816	8,340	4,600	5,486	4,155	7,162	5,772	7,145	6,614	7,264
6 Sofala & Zambézia urban	6,060	8,209	4,471	5,836	4,673	6,591	6,411	7,564	6,790	7,666
7 Tete & Manica rural	6,087	10,244	4,471	8,629	4,182	8,286	5,628	9,806	11,301	10,810
8 Tete & Manica urban	6,118	7,541	4,648	5,786	4,935	7,003	6,039	7,145	7,435	8,010
9 Gaza & Inhambane rural	5,823	7,553	4,471	5,380	4,920	7,954	5,937	7,145	6,614	8,936
10 Gaza & Inhambane urban	5,564	7,541	4,471	5,605	4,713	7,468	5,990	7,145	6,839	7,264

Notes: Rows refer to quantities and columns refer to prices. The diagonal elements (outlined cells) indicate the adjusted flexible basket food poverty lines. Bolded values indicate binding spatial revealed preference conditions. All figures are in meticaïs per person per day.

Rates declined more rapidly in rural than in urban zones. Overall, the correlation in measured changes in poverty headcounts relative to the estimates from 1996-97 for the two estimators by province is about .85, indicating that both estimators tend rather strongly to point in the same direction on a provincial basis.¹³ The utility-consistent estimates derived from the adjusted bundles present, in our view, the preferred estimates.

Table 3—Poverty headcount results

	1996–97	2002–03			Difference: Adj.-Orig.
		Fixed bundles	Flexible bundles		
			Original	Adjusted	
National	69.4	63.2	48.0	54.1	6.1
Rural	62.0	61.3	52.4	51.5	-0.9
Urban	71.3	64.1	45.9	55.3	9.4
Niassa	70.6	61.2	45.6	52.1	6.5
Cabo Delgado	57.4	72.3	57.1	63.2	6.1
Nampula	68.9	68.1	30.5	52.6	22.1
Zambézia	68.1	58.6	35.1	44.6	9.4
Tete	82.3	71.6	70.8	59.8	-11.0
Manica	62.6	60.2	58.5	43.6	-15.0
Sofala	87.9	48.4	30.9	36.1	5.2
Inhambane	82.6	80.1	75.1	80.7	5.6
Gaza	64.6	58.6	47.1	60.1	13.1
Maputo Province	65.6	66.9	75.9	69.3	-6.6
Maputo City	47.8	45.5	58.0	53.6	-4.4

Note: The headcount results are presented by province rather than by spatial domain.

6. Summary and Conclusions

With the focus of international development resources increasingly turned toward poverty reduction, the demand for reliable empirical estimates of poverty levels has grown dramatically. Governments and donors are particularly interested in making valid poverty comparisons across space and through time. In fluid developing country environments, generating these comparisons is challenging.

We present an approach for estimating utility-consistent poverty lines building on the approaches developed by Ravallion (1994, 1998) and Ravallion and Lokshin (2003).

¹³ The fixed bundle analysis also tells a similar qualitative story in terms of directions of movements relative to 1996-97 at the provincial level. The correlation in the changes in provincial headcounts between the adjusted bundle measure and the fixed bundle measure is 0.87.

The basic approach, motivated by information theory, has been applied to numerous conceptually similar problems in empirical science across a variety of disciplines. Even though the philosophical roots of information theory and the links between information theory and other estimation criteria fill volumes, the actual practical application of the approach is quite straightforward.

For the case of Mozambique, the approach permitted estimation of poverty measures based on utility-consistent poverty lines. These poverty measures are preferred over fixed bundle estimates because of substantial changes in relative prices and consumption patterns over the periods 1996-97 and 2002-03. Relative to the original flexible bundle, the adjusted bundles provide more credible results, with the larger adjustments conforming to available information on correlates of poverty such as asset ownership. Utility consistency substantially enhances the credibility of the results obtained and should facilitate welfare comparisons in the future.

Overall, we conclude that the approach for estimating utility-consistent bundles represents a powerful addition to the poverty analyst's tool kit and enhances the attractiveness of the CBN approach for practical poverty measurement problems.

References

- Buck, B., and V. A. Macaulay, eds. 1991. *Maximum entropy in action*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Datt, G., D. Jolliffe, and M. Sharma. 2001. A profile of poverty in Egypt. *African Development Review* 13 (2): 202–237.
- Foster, J., J. Greer, and E. Thorbecke. 1984. A class of decomposable poverty measures. *Econometrica* 52 (3): 761–765.
- Gibson, J., and S. Rozelle. 1999. *Results of the household survey component for the 1996 poverty assessment for Papua New Guinea*. Discussion Paper, Poverty and Human Resources Division. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- _____. 2003. Poverty and access to roads in Papua New Guinea. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 52 (1): 159–186.
- Golan, A. 2002. Information and entropy econometrics—An editor’s view. *Journal of Econometrics* 107 (1–2): 1–15.
- Golan, A., G. Judge, and D. Miller. 1996. Maximum entropy econometrics: Robust estimation with limited data. Series in The Financial Economics and Quantitative Analysis). New York: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Imbens, G. 1997. One-step estimators for over-identified generalized method of moment models. *Review of Economic Studies* 64 (3): 359–383.
- INE (Instituto Nacional de Estatística). 2001. Questionário de indicadores básicos de bem-estar: relatório final. Maputo: Instituto Nacional de Estatística.
- Jaynes, E. T. 1957a. Information theory and statistical mechanics II. *Physical Review* 108 (2): 171–190.
- _____. 1957b. Information theory and statistical mechanics I. *Physical Review* 106 (4): 620–630.
- Kapur, J. N., and H. K. Kesavan. 1992. *Entropy optimization principals with applications*. Boston: Academic Press.

- Mittelhammer, R. C., G. G. Judge, and D. J. Miller. 2000. *Econometric foundations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MPF/IFPRI/Purdue (Mozambique Ministry of Planning and Finance/International Food Policy Research Institute/Purdue University). 2004. *Poverty and well-being in Mozambique: The second national assessment*. Maputo: Ministry of Planning and Finance.
- MPF/UEM/IFPRI (Mozambique Ministry of Planning and Finance/Eduardo Mondlane University/International Food Policy Research Institute). 1998. *Understanding poverty and well-being in Mozambique: The first national assessment (1996–97)*. Maputo: Ministry of Planning and Finance.
- Ravallion, M. 1994. *Poverty comparisons*. Chur, Switzerland: Harwood Academic Publishers.
- _____. 1998. *Poverty lines in theory and practice*. Living Standards Measurement Study Working Paper No. 133. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Ravallion, M., and M. Lokshin. 2003. *On the utility consistency of poverty lines*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3157. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Shannon, C. E. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal* 27 (July): 379-423.
- Tarp, F., K. R. Simler, C. Matusse, R. Heltberg, and G. Dava. 2002. The robustness of poverty profiles reconsidered. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 51 (1): 77–108.
- Theil, H. 1967. *Economics and information theory*. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co.
- Varian, R. H. 1992. *Microeconomic analysis*, Third Edition. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Zellner, A. 1988. Optimal information processing and Bayes theorem. *American Statistician* 42 (4): 278-284.

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 188 *Coping with the “Coffee Crisis” in Central America: The Role of the Nicaraguan Red de Protección Social (RPS)*, John A. Maluccio, February 2005
- 187 *The Use of Operations Research as a Tool for Monitoring and Managing Food-Assisted Maternal/Child Health and Nutrition (MCHN) Programs: An Example from Haiti*, Cornelia Loechl, Marie T. Ruel, Gretel Pelto, and Purnima Menon, February 2005
- 186 *Are Wealth Transfers Biased Against Girls? Gender Differences in Land Inheritance and Schooling Investment in Ghana’s Western Region*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Ellen M. Payongayong, and Keijiro Otsuka, August 2004
- 185 *Assets at Marriage in Rural Ethiopia*, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes Quisumbing, August 2004
- 184 *Impact Evaluation of a Conditional Cash Transfer Program: The Nicaraguan Red de Protección Social*, John A. Maluccio and Rafael Flores, July 2004
- 183 *Poverty in Malawi, 1998*, Todd Benson, Charles Machinjili, and Lawrence Kachikopa, July 2004
- 182 *Race, Equity, and Public Schools in Post-Apartheid South Africa: Is Opportunity Equal for All Kids?* Futoshi Yamauchi, June 2004
- 181 *Scaling Up Community-Driven Development: A Synthesis of Experience*, Stuart Gillespie, June 2004
- 180 *Kudumbashree—Collective Action for Poverty Alleviation and Women’s Employment*, Suneetha Kadiyala, May 2004
- 179 *Scaling Up HIV/AIDS Interventions Through Expanded Partnerships (STEPs) in Malawi*, Suneetha Kadiyala, May 2004
- 178 *Community-Driven Development and Scaling Up of Microfinance Services: Case Studies from Nepal and India*, Manohar P. Sharma, April 2004
- 177 *Community Empowerment and Scaling Up in Urban Areas: The Evolution of PUSH/PROSPECT in Zambia*, James Garrett, April 2004
- 176 *Why Is Child Malnutrition Lower in Urban than Rural Areas? Evidence from 36 Developing Countries*, Lisa C. Smith, Marie T. Ruel, and Aida Ndiaye, March 2004
- 175 *Consumption Smoothing and Vulnerability in the Zone Lacustre, Mali*, Sarah Harrower and John Hoddinott, March 2004
- 174 *The Cost of Poverty Alleviation Transfer Programs: A Comparative Analysis of Three Programs in Latin America*, Natàlia Caldés, David Coady, and John A. Maluccio, February 2004
- 173 *Food Aid Distribution in Bangladesh: Leakage and Operational Performance*, Akhter U. Ahmed, Shahidur Rashid, Manohar Sharma, and Sajjad Zohir in collaboration with Mohammed Khaliquzzaman, Sayedur Rahman, and the Data Analysis and Technical Assistance Limited, February 2004
- 172 *Designing and Evaluating Social Safety Nets: Theory, Evidence, and Policy Conclusions*, David P. Coady, January 2004
- 171 *Living Life: Overlooked Aspects of Urban Employment*, James Garrett, January 2004
- 170 *From Research to Program Design: Use of Formative Research in Haiti to Develop a Behavior Change Communication Program to Prevent Malnutrition*, Purnima Menon, Marie T. Ruel, Cornelia Loechl, and Gretel Pelto, December 2003
- 169 *Nonmarket Networks Among Migrants: Evidence from Metropolitan Bangkok, Thailand*, Futoshi Yamauchi and Sakiko Tanabe, December 2003
- 168 *Long-Term Consequences of Early Childhood Malnutrition*, Harold Alderman, John Hoddinott, and Bill Kinsey, December 2003
- 167 *Public Spending and Poverty in Mozambique*, Rasmus Heltberg, Kenneth Simler, and Finn Tarp, December 2003
- 166 *Are Experience and Schooling Complementary? Evidence from Migrants’ Assimilation in the Bangkok Labor Market*, Futoshi Yamauchi, December 2003
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 165 *What Can Food Policy Do to Redirect the Diet Transition?* Lawrence Haddad, December 2003
- 164 *Impacts of Agricultural Research on Poverty: Findings of an Integrated Economic and Social Analysis*, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Michelle Adato, Lawrence Haddad, and Peter Hazell, October 2003
- 163 *An Integrated Economic and Social Analysis to Assess the Impact of Vegetable and Fishpond Technologies on Poverty in Rural Bangladesh*, Kelly Hallman, David Lewis, and Suraiya Begum, October 2003
- 162 *The Impact of Improved Maize Germplasm on Poverty Alleviation: The Case of Tuxpeño-Derived Material in Mexico*, Mauricio R. Bellon, Michelle Adato, Javier Becerril, and Dubravka Mindek, October 2003
- 161 *Assessing the Impact of High-Yielding Varieties of Maize in Resettlement Areas of Zimbabwe*, Michael Bourdillon, Paul Hebinck, John Hoddinott, Bill Kinsey, John Marondo, Netsayi Mudege, and Trudy Owens, October 2003
- 160 *The Impact of Agroforestry-Based Soil Fertility Replenishment Practices on the Poor in Western Kenya*, Frank Place, Michelle Adato, Paul Hebinck, and Mary Omosa, October 2003
- 159 *Rethinking Food Aid to Fight HIV/AIDS*, Suneetha Kadiyala and Stuart Gillespie, October 2003
- 158 *Food Aid and Child Nutrition in Rural Ethiopia*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, September 2003
- 157 *HIV/AIDS, Food Security, and Rural Livelihoods: Understanding and Responding*, Michael Loevinsohn and Stuart Gillespie, September 2003
- 156 *Public Policy, Food Markets, and Household Coping Strategies in Bangladesh: Lessons from the 1998 Floods*, Carlo del Ninno, Paul A. Dorosh, and Lisa C. Smith, September 2003
- 155 *Consumption Insurance and Vulnerability to Poverty: A Synthesis of the Evidence from Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Mali, Mexico, and Russia*, Emmanuel Skoufias and Agnes R. Quisumbing, August 2003
- 154 *Cultivating Nutrition: A Survey of Viewpoints on Integrating Agriculture and Nutrition*, Carol E. Levin, Jennifer Long, Kenneth R. Simler, and Charlotte Johnson-Welch, July 2003
- 153 *Maquiladoras and Market Mamas: Women's Work and Childcare in Guatemala City and Accra*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Kelly Hallman, and Marie T. Ruel, June 2003
- 152 *Income Diversification in Zimbabwe: Welfare Implications From Urban and Rural Areas*, Lire Ersado, June 2003
- 151 *Childcare and Work: Joint Decisions Among Women in Poor Neighborhoods of Guatemala City*, Kelly Hallman, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Marie T. Ruel, and Bénédicte de la Brière, June 2003
- 150 *The Impact of PROGRESA on Food Consumption*, John Hoddinott and Emmanuel Skoufias, May 2003
- 149 *Do Crowded Classrooms Crowd Out Learning? Evidence From the Food for Education Program in Bangladesh*, Akhter U. Ahmed and Mary Arends-Kuenning, May 2003
- 148 *Stunted Child-Overweight Mother Pairs: An Emerging Policy Concern?* James L. Garrett and Marie T. Ruel, April 2003
- 147 *Are Neighbors Equal? Estimating Local Inequality in Three Developing Countries*, Chris Elbers, Peter Lanjouw, Johan Mistiaen, Berk Özler, and Kenneth Simler, April 2003
- 146 *Moving Forward with Complementary Feeding: Indicators and Research Priorities*, Marie T. Ruel, Kenneth H. Brown, and Laura E. Caulfield, April 2003
- 145 *Child Labor and School Decisions in Urban and Rural Areas: Cross Country Evidence*, Lire Ersado, December 2002
- 144 *Targeting Outcomes Redux*, David Coady, Margaret Grosh, and John Hoddinott, December 2002
- 143 *Progress in Developing an Infant and Child Feeding Index: An Example Using the Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2000*, Mary Arimond and Marie T. Ruel, December 2002
- 142 *Social Capital and Coping With Economic Shocks: An Analysis of Stunting of South African Children*, Michael R. Carter and John A. Maluccio, December 2002
- 141 *The Sensitivity of Calorie-Income Demand Elasticity to Price Changes: Evidence from Indonesia*, Emmanuel Skoufias, November 2002
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 140 *Is Dietary Diversity an Indicator of Food Security or Dietary Quality? A Review of Measurement Issues and Research Needs*, Marie T. Ruel, November 2002
 - 139 *Can South Africa Afford to Become Africa's First Welfare State?* James Thurlow, October 2002
 - 138 *The Food for Education Program in Bangladesh: An Evaluation of its Impact on Educational Attainment and Food Security*, Akhter U. Ahmed and Carlo del Ninno, September 2002
 - 137 *Reducing Child Undernutrition: How Far Does Income Growth Take Us?* Lawrence Haddad, Harold Alderman, Simon Appleton, Lina Song, and Yisehac Yohannes, August 2002
 - 136 *Dietary Diversity as a Food Security Indicator*, John Hoddinott and Yisehac Yohannes, June 2002
 - 135 *Trust, Membership in Groups, and Household Welfare: Evidence from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa*, Lawrence Haddad and John A. Maluccio, May 2002
 - 134 *In-Kind Transfers and Household Food Consumption: Implications for Targeted Food Programs in Bangladesh*, Carlo del Ninno and Paul A. Dorosh, May 2002
 - 133 *Avoiding Chronic and Transitory Poverty: Evidence From Egypt, 1997-99*, Lawrence Haddad and Akhter U. Ahmed, May 2002
 - 132 *Weighing What's Practical: Proxy Means Tests for Targeting Food Subsidies in Egypt*, Akhter U. Ahmed and Howarth E. Bouis, May 2002
 - 131 *Does Subsidized Childcare Help Poor Working Women in Urban Areas? Evaluation of a Government-Sponsored Program in Guatemala City*, Marie T. Ruel, Bénédicte de la Brière, Kelly Hallman, Agnes Quisumbing, and Nora Coj, April 2002
 - 130 *Creating a Child Feeding Index Using the Demographic and Health Surveys: An Example from Latin America*, Marie T. Ruel and Purnima Menon, April 2002
 - 129 *Labor Market Shocks and Their Impacts on Work and Schooling: Evidence from Urban Mexico*, Emmanuel Skoufias and Susan W. Parker, March 2002
 - 128 *Assessing the Impact of Agricultural Research on Poverty Using the Sustainable Livelihoods Framework*, Michelle Adato and Ruth Meinzen-Dick, March 2002
 - 127 *A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Demand- and Supply-Side Education Interventions: The Case of PROGRESA in Mexico*, David P. Coady and Susan W. Parker, March 2002
 - 126 *Health Care Demand in Rural Mozambique: Evidence from the 1996/97 Household Survey*, Magnus Lindelow, February 2002
 - 125 *Are the Welfare Losses from Imperfect Targeting Important?*, Emmanuel Skoufias and David Coady, January 2002
 - 124 *The Robustness of Poverty Profiles Reconsidered*, Finn Tarp, Kenneth Simler, Cristina Matusse, Rasmus Heltberg, and Gabriel Dava, January 2002
 - 123 *Conditional Cash Transfers and Their Impact on Child Work and Schooling: Evidence from the PROGRESA Program in Mexico*, Emmanuel Skoufias and Susan W. Parker, October 2001
 - 122 *Strengthening Public Safety Nets: Can the Informal Sector Show the Way?*, Jonathan Morduch and Manohar Sharma, September 2001
 - 121 *Targeting Poverty Through Community-Based Public Works Programs: A Cross-Disciplinary Assessment of Recent Experience in South Africa*, Michelle Adato and Lawrence Haddad, August 2001
 - 120 *Control and Ownership of Assets Within Rural Ethiopian Households*, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, August 2001
 - 119 *Assessing Care: Progress Towards the Measurement of Selected Childcare and Feeding Practices, and Implications for Programs*, Mary Arimond and Marie T. Ruel, August 2001
 - 118 *Is PROGRESA Working? Summary of the Results of an Evaluation by IFPRI*, Emmanuel Skoufias and Bonnie McClafferty, July 2001
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 117 *Evaluation of the Distributional Power of PROGRESA's Cash Transfers in Mexico*, David P. Coady, July 2001
 - 116 *A Multiple-Method Approach to Studying Childcare in an Urban Environment: The Case of Accra, Ghana*, Marie T. Ruel, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, and Mary Arimond, June 2001
 - 115 *Are Women Overrepresented Among the Poor? An Analysis of Poverty in Ten Developing Countries*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Lawrence Haddad, and Christina Peña, June 2001
 - 114 *Distribution, Growth, and Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Africa, Asia, and Latin America*, Cécile Lapenu and Manfred Zeller, June 2001
 - 113 *Measuring Power*, Elizabeth Frankenberg and Duncan Thomas, June 2001
 - 112 *Effective Food and Nutrition Policy Responses to HIV/AIDS: What We Know and What We Need to Know*, Lawrence Haddad and Stuart Gillespie, June 2001
 - 111 *An Operational Tool for Evaluating Poverty Outreach of Development Policies and Projects*, Manfred Zeller, Manohar Sharma, Carla Henry, and Cécile Lapenu, June 2001
 - 110 *Evaluating Transfer Programs Within a General Equilibrium Framework*, Dave Coady and Rebecca Lee Harris, June 2001
 - 109 *Does Cash Crop Adoption Detract From Childcare Provision? Evidence From Rural Nepal*, Michael J. Paolisso, Kelly Hallman, Lawrence Haddad, and Shibesh Regmi, April 2001
 - 108 *How Efficiently Do Employment Programs Transfer Benefits to the Poor? Evidence from South Africa*, Lawrence Haddad and Michelle Adato, April 2001
 - 107 *Rapid Assessments in Urban Areas: Lessons from Bangladesh and Tanzania*, James L. Garrett and Jeanne Downen, April 2001
 - 106 *Strengthening Capacity to Improve Nutrition*, Stuart Gillespie, March 2001
 - 105 *The Nutritional Transition and Diet-Related Chronic Diseases in Asia: Implications for Prevention*, Barry M. Popkin, Sue Horton, and Soowon Kim, March 2001
 - 104 *An Evaluation of the Impact of PROGRESA on Preschool Child Height*, Jere R. Behrman and John Hoddinott, March 2001
 - 103 *Targeting the Poor in Mexico: An Evaluation of the Selection of Households for PROGRESA*, Emmanuel Skoufias, Benjamin Davis, and Sergio de la Vega, March 2001
 - 102 *School Subsidies for the Poor: Evaluating a Mexican Strategy for Reducing Poverty*, T. Paul Schultz, March 2001
 - 101 *Poverty, Inequality, and Spillover in Mexico's Education, Health, and Nutrition Program*, Sudhanshu Handa, Mari-Carmen Huerta, Raul Perez, and Beatriz Straffon, March 2001
 - 100 *On the Targeting and Redistributive Efficiencies of Alternative Transfer Instruments*, David Coady and Emmanuel Skoufias, March 2001
 - 99 *Cash Transfer Programs with Income Multipliers: PROCAMPO in Mexico*, Elisabeth Sadoulet, Alain de Janvry, and Benjamin Davis, January 2001
 - 98 *Participation and Poverty Reduction: Issues, Theory, and New Evidence from South Africa*, John Hoddinott, Michelle Adato, Tim Besley, and Lawrence Haddad, January 2001
 - 97 *Socioeconomic Differentials in Child Stunting Are Consistently Larger in Urban Than in Rural Areas*, Purnima Menon, Marie T. Ruel, and Saul S. Morris, December 2000
 - 96 *Attrition in Longitudinal Household Survey Data: Some Tests for Three Developing-Country Samples*, Harold Alderman, Jere R. Behrman, Hans-Peter Kohler, John A. Maluccio, Susan Cotts Watkins, October 2000
 - 95 *Attrition in the Kwazulu Natal Income Dynamics Study 1993-1998*, John Maluccio, October 2000
 - 94 *Targeting Urban Malnutrition: A Multicity Analysis of the Spatial Distribution of Childhood Nutritional Status*, Saul Sutkover Morris, September 2000
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 93 *Mother-Father Resource Control, Marriage Payments, and Girl-Boy Health in Rural Bangladesh*, Kelly K. Hallman, September 2000
 - 92 *Assessing the Potential for Food-Based Strategies to Reduce Vitamin A and Iron Deficiencies: A Review of Recent Evidence*, Marie T. Ruel and Carol E. Levin, July 2000
 - 91 *Comparing Village Characteristics Derived From Rapid Appraisals and Household Surveys: A Tale From Northern Mali*, Luc Christiaensen, John Hoddinott, and Gilles Bergeron, July 2000
 - 90 *Empirical Measurements of Households' Access to Credit and Credit Constraints in Developing Countries: Methodological Issues and Evidence*, Aliou Diagne, Manfred Zeller, and Manohar Sharma, July 2000
 - 89 *The Role of the State in Promoting Microfinance Institutions*, Cécile Lapenu, June 2000
 - 88 *The Determinants of Employment Status in Egypt*, Ragui Assaad, Fatma El-Hamidi, and Akhter U. Ahmed, June 2000
 - 87 *Changes in Intrahousehold Labor Allocation to Environmental Goods Collection: A Case Study from Rural Nepal*, Priscilla A. Cooke, May 2000
 - 86 *Women's Assets and Intrahousehold Allocation in Rural Bangladesh: Testing Measures of Bargaining Power*, Agnes R. Quisumbing and Bénédicte de la Brière, April 2000
 - 85 *Intrahousehold Impact of Transfer of Modern Agricultural Technology: A Gender Perspective*, Ruchira Tabassum Naved, April 2000
 - 84 *Intrahousehold Allocation and Gender Relations: New Empirical Evidence from Four Developing Countries*, Agnes R. Quisumbing and John A. Maluccio, April 2000
 - 83 *Quality or Quantity? The Supply-Side Determinants of Primary Schooling in Rural Mozambique*, Sudhanshu Handa and Kenneth R. Simler, March 2000
 - 82 *Pathways of Rural Development in Madagascar: An Empirical Investigation of the Critical Triangle of Environmental Sustainability, Economic Growth, and Poverty Alleviation*, Manfred Zeller, Cécile Lapenu, Bart Minten, Eliane Ralison, Désiré Randrianaivo, and Claude Randrianarisoa, March 2000
 - 81 *The Constraints to Good Child Care Practices in Accra: Implications for Programs*, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Marie T. Ruel, Daniel G. Maxwell, Carol E. Levin, and Saul S. Morris, February 2000
 - 80 *Nontraditional Crops and Land Accumulation Among Guatemalan Smallholders: Is the Impact Sustainable?* Calogero Carletto, February 2000
 - 79 *Adult Health in the Time of Drought*, John Hoddinott and Bill Kinsey, January 2000
 - 78 *Determinants of Poverty in Mozambique: 1996-97*, Gaurav Datt, Kenneth Simler, Sanjukta Mukherjee, and Gabriel Dava, January 2000
 - 77 *The Political Economy of Food Subsidy Reform in Egypt*, Tammi Gutner, November 1999.
 - 76 *Raising Primary School Enrolment in Developing Countries: The Relative Importance of Supply and Demand*, Sudhanshu Handa, November 1999
 - 75 *Determinants of Poverty in Egypt, 1997*, Gaurav Datt and Dean Jolliffe, October 1999
 - 74 *Can Cash Transfer Programs Work in Resource-Poor Countries? The Experience in Mozambique*, Jan W. Low, James L. Garrett, and Vitória Ginja, October 1999
 - 73 *Social Roles, Human Capital, and the Intrahousehold Division of Labor: Evidence from Pakistan*, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, October 1999
 - 72 *Validity of Rapid Estimates of Household Wealth and Income for Health Surveys in Rural Africa*, Saul S. Morris, Calogero Carletto, John Hoddinott, and Luc J. M. Christiaensen, October 1999
 - 71 *Social Capital and Income Generation in South Africa, 1993-98*, John Maluccio, Lawrence Haddad, and Julian May, September 1999
 - 70 *Child Health Care Demand in a Developing Country: Unconditional Estimates from the Philippines*, Kelly Hallman, August 1999
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 69 *Supply Response of West African Agricultural Households: Implications of Intrahousehold Preference Heterogeneity*, Lisa C. Smith and Jean-Paul Chavas, July 1999
 - 68 *Early Childhood Nutrition and Academic Achievement: A Longitudinal Analysis*, Paul Glewwe, Hanan Jacoby, and Elizabeth King, May 1999
 - 67 *Determinants of Household Access to and Participation in Formal and Informal Credit Markets in Malawi*, Aliou Diagne, April 1999
 - 66 *Working Women in an Urban Setting: Traders, Vendors, and Food Security in Accra*, Carol E. Levin, Daniel G. Maxwell, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Marie T. Ruel, Saul S. Morris, and Clement Ahiadeke, April 1999
 - 65 *Are Determinants of Rural and Urban Food Security and Nutritional Status Different? Some Insights from Mozambique*, James L. Garrett and Marie T. Ruel, April 1999
 - 64 *Some Urban Facts of Life: Implications for Research and Policy*, Marie T. Ruel, Lawrence Haddad, and James L. Garrett, April 1999
 - 63 *Are Urban Poverty and Undernutrition Growing? Some Newly Assembled Evidence*, Lawrence Haddad, Marie T. Ruel, and James L. Garrett, April 1999
 - 62 *Good Care Practices Can Mitigate the Negative Effects of Poverty and Low Maternal Schooling on Children's Nutritional Status: Evidence from Accra*, Marie T. Ruel, Carol E. Levin, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Daniel Maxwell, and Saul S. Morris, April 1999
 - 61 *Does Geographic Targeting of Nutrition Interventions Make Sense in Cities? Evidence from Abidjan and Accra*, Saul S. Morris, Carol Levin, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Daniel Maxwell, and Marie T. Ruel, April 1999
 - 60 *Explaining Child Malnutrition in Developing Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis*, Lisa C. Smith and Lawrence Haddad, April 1999
 - 59 *Placement and Outreach of Group-Based Credit Organizations: The Cases of ASA, BRAC, and PROSHIKA in Bangladesh*, Manohar Sharma and Manfred Zeller, March 1999
 - 58 *Women's Land Rights in the Transition to Individualized Ownership: Implications for the Management of Tree Resources in Western Ghana*, Agnes Quisumbing, Ellen Payongayong, J. B. Aidoo, and Keijiro Otsuka, February 1999
 - 57 *The Structure of Wages During the Economic Transition in Romania*, Emmanuel Skoufias, February 1999
 - 56 *How Does the Human Rights Perspective Help to Shape the Food and Nutrition Policy Research Agenda?*, Lawrence Haddad and Arne Oshaug, February 1999
 - 55 *Efficiency in Intrahousehold Resource Allocation*, Marcel Fafchamps, December 1998
 - 54 *Endogeneity of Schooling in the Wage Function: Evidence from the Rural Philippines*, John Maluccio, November 1998
 - 53 *Agricultural Wages and Food Prices in Egypt: A Governorate-Level Analysis for 1976-1993*, Gaurav Datt and Jennifer Olmsted, November 1998
 - 52 *Testing Nash Bargaining Household Models With Time-Series Data*, John Hoddinott and Christopher Adam, November 1998
 - 51 *Urban Challenges to Food and Nutrition Security: A Review of Food Security, Health, and Caregiving in the Cities*, Marie T. Ruel, James L. Garrett, Saul S. Morris, Daniel Maxwell, Arne Oshaug, Patrice Engle, Purnima Menon, Alison Slack, and Lawrence Haddad, October 1998
 - 50 *Computational Tools for Poverty Measurement and Analysis*, Gaurav Datt, October 1998
 - 49 *A Profile of Poverty in Egypt: 1997*, Gaurav Datt, Dean Jolliffe, and Manohar Sharma, August 1998.
 - 48 *Human Capital, Productivity, and Labor Allocation in Rural Pakistan*, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, July 1998
 - 47 *Poverty in India and Indian States: An Update*, Gaurav Datt, July 1998
 - 46 *Impact of Access to Credit on Income and Food Security in Malawi*, Aliou Diagne, July 1998
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 45 *Does Urban Agriculture Help Prevent Malnutrition? Evidence from Kampala*, Daniel Maxwell, Carol Levin, and Joanne Csete, June 1998
 - 44 *Can FAO's Measure of Chronic Undernourishment Be Strengthened?*, Lisa C. Smith, with a Response by Logan Naiken, May 1998
 - 43 *How Reliable Are Group Informant Ratings? A Test of Food Security Rating in Honduras*, Gilles Bergeron, Saul Sutkover Morris, and Juan Manuel Medina Banegas, April 1998
 - 42 *Farm Productivity and Rural Poverty in India*, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, March 1998
 - 41 *The Political Economy of Urban Food Security in Sub-Saharan Africa*, Dan Maxwell, February 1998
 - 40 *Can Qualitative and Quantitative Methods Serve Complementary Purposes for Policy Research? Evidence from Accra*, Dan Maxwell, January 1998
 - 39 *Whose Education Matters in the Determination of Household Income: Evidence from a Developing Country*, Dean Jolliffe, November 1997
 - 38 *Systematic Client Consultation in Development: The Case of Food Policy Research in Ghana, India, Kenya, and Mali*, Suresh Chandra Babu, Lynn R. Brown, and Bonnie McClafferty, November 1997
 - 37 *Why Do Migrants Remit? An Analysis for the Dominican Sierra*, Bénédicte de la Brière, Alain de Janvry, Sylvie Lambert, and Elisabeth Sadoulet, October 1997
 - 36 *The GAPVU Cash Transfer Program in Mozambique: An assessment*, Gaurav Datt, Ellen Payongayong, James L. Garrett, and Marie Ruel, October 1997
 - 35 *Market Access by Smallholder Farmers in Malawi: Implications for Technology Adoption, Agricultural Productivity, and Crop Income*, Manfred Zeller, Aliou Diagne, and Charles Mataya, September 1997
 - 34 *The Impact of Changes in Common Property Resource Management on Intrahousehold Allocation*, Philip Maggs and John Hoddinott, September 1997
 - 33 *Human Milk—An Invisible Food Resource*, Anne Hatløy and Arne Oshaug, August 1997
 - 32 *The Determinants of Demand for Micronutrients: An Analysis of Rural Households in Bangladesh*, Howarth E. Bouis and Mary Jane G. Novenario-Reese, August 1997
 - 31 *Is There an Intrahousehold 'Flypaper Effect'? Evidence from a School Feeding Program*, Hanan Jacoby, August 1997
 - 30 *Plant Breeding: A Long-Term Strategy for the Control of Zinc Deficiency in Vulnerable Populations*, Marie T. Ruel and Howarth E. Bouis, July 1997
 - 29 *Gender, Property Rights, and Natural Resources*, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Lynn R. Brown, Hilary Sims Feldstein, and Agnes R. Quisumbing, May 1997
 - 28 *Developing a Research and Action Agenda for Examining Urbanization and Caregiving: Examples from Southern and Eastern Africa*, Patrice L. Engle, Purnima Menon, James L. Garrett, and Alison Slack, April 1997
 - 27 *"Bargaining" and Gender Relations: Within and Beyond the Household*, Bina Agarwal, March 1997
 - 26 *Why Have Some Indian States Performed Better Than Others at Reducing Rural Poverty?*, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, March 1997
 - 25 *Water, Health, and Income: A Review*, John Hoddinott, February 1997
 - 24 *Child Care Practices Associated with Positive and Negative Nutritional Outcomes for Children in Bangladesh: A Descriptive Analysis*, Shubh K. Kumar Range, Ruchira Naved, and Saroj Bhattarai, February 1997
 - 23 *Better Rich, or Better There? Grandparent Wealth, Coresidence, and Intrahousehold Allocation*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, January 1997
 - 22 *Alternative Approaches to Locating the Food Insecure: Qualitative and Quantitative Evidence from South India*, Kimberly Chung, Lawrence Haddad, Jayashree Ramakrishna, and Frank Riely, January 1997
-

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 21 *Livestock Income, Male/Female Animals, and Inequality in Rural Pakistan*, Richard H. Adams, Jr., November 1996
 - 20 *Macroeconomic Crises and Poverty Monitoring: A Case Study for India*, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, November 1996
 - 19 *Food Security and Nutrition Implications of Intrahousehold Bias: A Review of Literature*, Lawrence Haddad, Christine Peña, Chizuru Nishida, Agnes Quisumbing, and Alison Slack, September 1996
 - 18 *Care and Nutrition: Concepts and Measurement*, Patrice L. Engle, Purnima Menon, and Lawrence Haddad, August 1996
 - 17 *Remittances, Income Distribution, and Rural Asset Accumulation*, Richard H. Adams, Jr., August 1996
 - 16 *How Can Safety Nets Do More with Less? General Issues with Some Evidence from Southern Africa*, Lawrence Haddad and Manfred Zeller, July 1996
 - 15 *Repayment Performance in Group-Based credit Programs in Bangladesh: An Empirical Analysis*, Manohar Sharma and Manfred Zeller, July 1996
 - 14 *Demand for High-Value Secondary Crops in Developing Countries: The Case of Potatoes in Bangladesh and Pakistan*, Howarth E. Bouis and Gregory Scott, May 1996
 - 13 *Determinants of Repayment Performance in Credit Groups: The Role of Program Design, Intra-Group Risk Pooling, and Social Cohesion in Madagascar*, Manfred Zeller, May 1996
 - 12 *Child Development: Vulnerability and Resilience*, Patrice L. Engle, Sarah Castle, and Purnima Menon, April 1996
 - 11 *Rural Financial Policies for Food Security of the Poor: Methodologies for a Multicountry Research Project*, Manfred Zeller, Akhter Ahmed, Suresh Babu, Sumiter Broca, Aliou Diagne, and Manohar Sharma, April 1996
 - 10 *Women's Economic Advancement Through Agricultural Change: A Review of Donor Experience*, Christine Peña, Patrick Webb, and Lawrence Haddad, February 1996
 - 09 *Gender and Poverty: New Evidence from 10 Developing Countries*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Lawrence Haddad, and Christine Peña, December 1995
 - 08 *Measuring Food Insecurity: The Frequency and Severity of "Coping Strategies,"* Daniel G. Maxwell, December 1995
 - 07 *A Food Demand System Based on Demand for Characteristics: If There Is "Curvature" in the Slutsky Matrix, What Do the Curves Look Like and Why?*, Howarth E. Bouis, December 1995
 - 06 *Gender Differentials in Farm Productivity: Implications for Household Efficiency and Agricultural Policy*, Harold Alderman, John Hoddinott, Lawrence Haddad, and Christopher Udry, August 1995
 - 05 *Gender Differences in Agricultural Productivity: A Survey of Empirical Evidence*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, July 1995
 - 04 *Market Development and Food Demand in Rural China*, Jikun Huang and Scott Rozelle, June 1995
 - 03 *The Extended Family and Intrahousehold Allocation: Inheritance and Investments in Children in the Rural Philippines*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, March 1995
 - 02 *Determinants of Credit Rationing: A Study of Informal Lenders and Formal Credit Groups in Madagascar*, Manfred Zeller, October 1994
 - 01 *Agricultural Technology and Food Policy to Combat Iron Deficiency in Developing Countries*, Howarth E. Bouis, August 1994
-