AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

ECONOMICS, ECOLOGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Working Paper No. 117

**Public Choice of Species for the Ark:
Phylogenetic Similarity and Preferred
Wildlife Species for Survival**

by

**Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and
Hemanath Swarna Nantha**

March 2005

THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND

Working Paper No. 117

**Public Choice of Species for the Ark: Phylogenetic Similarity
and Preferred Wildlife Species for Survival**

by

**Clem Tisdell^{*}, Clevo Wilson[†] and
Hemanath Swarna Nantha[‡]**

March 2005

© All rights reserved

^{*} School of Economics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia.
E-mail: c.tisdell@economics.uq.edu.au

[†] School of Economics and Finance, Queensland University of Technology, 2 George Street, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia. E-mail: clevo.wilson@qut.edu.au.

[‡] School of Economics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia.
E-mail: h.swarnanantha@uq.edu.au

WORKING PAPERS IN THE SERIES, *Economics, Ecology and the Environment* are published by the School of Economics, University of Queensland, 4072, Australia, as follow up to the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research Project 40 of which Professor Clem Tisdell was the Project Leader. Views expressed in these working papers are those of their authors and not necessarily of any of the organisations associated with the Project. They should not be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of the Project Leader. It is planned to publish contributions to this series over the next few years.

Research for ACIAR project 40, *Economic impact and rural adjustments to nature conservation (biodiversity) programmes: A case study of Xishuangbanna Dai Autonomous Prefecture, Yunnan, China* was sponsored by the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR), GPO Box 1571, Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia.

The research for ACIAR project 40 has led in part, to the research being carried out in this current series.

For more information: write to Professor Clem Tisdell, School of Economics, University of Queensland, Brisbane 4072, Australia. Email: c.tisdell@economics.uq.edu.au

Public Choice of Species for the Ark: Phylogenetic Similarity and Preferred Wildlife Species for Survival

Abstract

Humans play a role in deciding which species are preserved and which will perish in the current extinction wave. Because of the Similarity Principle, physical attractiveness and likeability, it is argued that public choice would greatly favour the survival of higher-order species at the expense of others. This paper empirically tests this argument by considering a hypothetical 'Ark' situation. Results are drawn from surveys of 204 members of the Australian public who were asked whether they are in favour of the survival of each of 24 native mammal, bird and reptile species. The species were ranked by percentage of 'yes' votes received. Species composition in various fractions of the ranking was determined. If the Similarity Principle holds, mammals would rank highly and dominate the top fractions of animals in the hierarchical list that would be saved (i.e., taken on the 'Ark'). We find that although mammals would be over-represented in the 'Ark', birds and reptiles would also be well represented when social choice is based on numbers 'voting' for the survival of each species. Differences in public support for species in the relevant taxa are not as statistically significant as one might expect from the Similarity Principle.

Public Choice of Species for the Ark: Phylogenetic Similarity and Preferred Wildlife Species for Survival

1. Introduction

Resources available to protect wildlife species from extinction are limited, and choices have to be made about which species to concentrate conservation efforts on (Tisdell 1990). It is claimed that humans favour species for preservation that are similar to humans, physically attractive and are liked (Kellert 1980; Schultz 1987; Plous 1993; DeKay and McClelland 1996; Gunnthorsdottir 2001). Metrick and Weitzman (1996, 1998) found that government spending decisions for conserving endangered species in the United States conformed with this preference and were determined more by ‘visceral’ characteristics such as physical size and whether the animals were higher life forms than by scientific characteristics such as the degree of species endangerment or taxonomic uniqueness.

Where only a limited number of species can be saved, liked species, mostly mammals, may dominate the list of those chosen to join the ‘Ark’, while other taxonomic groups such as reptiles or insects may be poorly represented or not represented at all. According to Gunnthorsdottir (2001), if the support of citizens for wildlife conservation policies are guided by such “superficial characteristics” of an animal, then an “animal’s external characteristics may seal its fate”.

The Similarity Principle suggests that humans will prefer mammal species to birds for survival and the survival of birds in preference to reptiles. This study aims to test this hypothesis using a simulated public choice experiment involving 24 native Australian tropical mammal, bird and reptile species, and the stated preferences of a sample of the Australian public for the survival of each of the species. Using the plurality voting system, the species are ranked by the percentage of survey respondents who responded ‘yes’ to their survival. We now outline the methodology, present the results and discuss their implications.

2. Methodology

Survey methods

Two serial questionnaires, Survey I and Survey II, were employed to gather information on the public’s attitude towards the conservation and sustainable use of Australian tropical wildlife species. The questionnaires were pre-tested on a group of university students and

were modified for greater clarity. A sample of the public in Brisbane, Australia was obtained using letterbox-dropped invitations in diverse suburbs to acquire a sample representative of the socio-economic characteristics of the Brisbane populace. The circulars informed potential respondents that the surveys would be about Australia's tropical resources and that they would be offered Aus\$20 for attendance, a public lecture, refreshments and a chance to win Aus\$200. A sample of 204 participants was selected to match the age and gender distribution of the Brisbane population.

Participants were divided into five groups of approximately equal sizes for the survey sessions and met on weekday slots as well as on Saturday and Sunday. These arrangements allowed participants some flexibility in choosing a time and place convenient to them so that representative participation could be maximised.

At the start of these survey sessions, participants filled out questionnaire Survey I. This provided information on the participants' socio-economic background and their attitude towards conserving each of the Australian wildlife species listed in Table 1. The relevant question for this was whether participants favoured the survival of each of these species. Participants could answer either 'yes', 'no', or 'indifferent'. After completing Survey I, participants were given a tea break.

Table 1:
The 24 Australian wildlife species covered in this study.

Common name	Scientific name	Abbreviation
Reptiles		
Saltwater crocodile	<i>Crocodylus porosus</i>	<i>Sc</i>
Australian freshwater crocodile	<i>Crocodylus johnstoni</i>	<i>Fc</i>
Hawksbill turtle	<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>	<i>Ht</i>
Taipan snake	<i>Oxyuranus scutellatus</i>	<i>Ts</i>
Northern long-necked turtle	<i>Chelodina rugosa</i>	<i>Lt</i>
Mammals		
Lumholtz's tree kangaroo	<i>Dendrolagus lumholtzi</i>	<i>Tk</i>
Red kangaroo	<i>Macropus rufus</i>	<i>Rk</i>
Koala	<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>	<i>K</i>
Mahogany glider	<i>Petaurus gracilis</i>	<i>Mg</i>
Northern bettong	<i>Bettongia tropica</i>	<i>Nb</i>
Northern quoll	<i>Dasyurus hallucatus</i>	<i>Nq</i>
Dugong	<i>Dugong dugon</i>	<i>D</i>
Northern hairy-nosed wombat	<i>Lasiiorhinus krefftii</i>	<i>Nw</i>
Eastern pebble-mound mouse	<i>Pseudomys patrius</i>	<i>Em</i>
Birds		
Southern cassowary	<i>Casuarius casuarius</i>	<i>Scw</i>
Brolga	<i>Grus rubicundas</i>	<i>B</i>
Golden-shouldered parrot	<i>Psephotus chrysopterygius</i>	<i>Gp</i>
Palm cockatoo	<i>Probosciger aterrimus</i>	<i>Pc</i>
Eclectus parrot	<i>Eclectus roratus</i>	<i>Ep</i>
Gouldian finch	<i>Erythrura gouldiae</i>	<i>Gf</i>
Red-tailed black cockatoo	<i>Calyptorhynchus banksii</i>	<i>Bc</i>
Golden bowerbird	<i>Prionodura newtoniana</i>	<i>Gb</i>
Australian magpie	<i>Gymnorhina tibicen</i>	<i>Am</i>
Kookaburra	<i>Dacelo novaeguineae</i>	<i>Kb</i>

Following the tea break, participants attended a presentation by the Queensland Museum's senior Curator of Vertebrates, Dr. Steve Van Dyck about Australia's tropical wildlife, but with emphasis on the mahogany glider. Following his presentation, each participant was given a coloured photo booklet containing information on each of the focal species. The information included the species' description, geographic distribution, life history and conservation status. The participants were requested to take the booklet home and read it before filling out the second questionnaire, Survey II, and returning it in the provided postage pre-paid envelopes.

Survey II also asked if respondents favoured the survival of each of the species listed in Table

1. This was done to see whether the provision of information might alter participants' preferences.

Data analysis

For each species in the questionnaire, the percentage of the total participants saying 'yes' to their survival is calculated and the species are then ranked by decreasing percentages of participants favouring their survival. The list of species is then divided into various fractions for survival and the composition of species from the different classes in each of these fractions is assessed. The ratios of the observed and the expected proportions for mammals, birds and reptiles are calculated to ascertain the degree to which the observed values correspond to the expected values if there is no class preference. The degree of difference between the observed and expected values was tested using the chi-squared test (Zar 1999).

3. Results

Table 2 lists the species ranked by the percentage of participants in favour of their survival. Table 3 compares the observed and expected number of species from the three animal classes in various fractions of the set.

Table 2:
Rankings of species in Surveys I and II by percentage of participants
favouring the species' survival, in descending order.

Survey I		Survey II	
Species	Average percentage of participants favouring species' survival	Species	Average percentage of participants favouring species' survival
K	98.0	Mg	97.1
Bc	97.5	K	96.6
Rk	97.1	D	96.6
Lt	96.6	Ht	96.1
Tk	96.6	Rk	96.1
D	96.6	Tk	95.6
<i>One quarter of species</i>			
Scw	96.6	Nb	95.6
B	96.6	Nq	95.6
<i>One third of species</i>			
Kb	96.6	Nw	95.6
Nw	96.1	Gb	95.6
Gb	96.1	Gp	95.1
Mg	95.6	Bc	95.1
<i>Half of species</i>			
Ht	95.1	Lt	94.6
Pc	95.1	B	94.6
Ep	94.1	Em	94.1
Gp	93.6	Scw	94.1
<i>Two thirds of species</i>			
Gf	93.6	Gf	94.1
Am	93.2	Pc	93.6
<i>Three quarters of species</i>			
Nb	92.6	Ep	93.1
Fc	92.2	Fc	92.2
Sc	91.7	Kb	92.2
Nq	89.7	Sc	91.2
Em	84.3	Am	89.7
Ts	82.8	Ts	86.3

Table 3:

Observed and expected number of species for survival by animal class (M = mammals, B = birds, R = reptiles) when various fractions of all species in Table 1 can be selected for the 'Ark'. O/E ratios indicate the proportion by which the observed number of species is in excess of the expected number of species in each fraction. Gray shading indicates over-representation of an animal class

	Survey I					Survey II				
	M	B	R	Tot.	χ^2 (p)	M	B	R	Tot.	χ^2 (p)
One quarter										
Observed	4	1	1	6	2.31	5	0	1	6	5.91
Expected	2.25	2.50	1.25	6	(0.32)	2.25	2.50	1.25	6	(0.05)
O/E Ratio	1.78	0.40	0.80			2.22	0.00	0.80		**
One third										
Observed	4	3	1	8	0.63	7	0	1	8	8.93
Expected	3.00	3.33	1.67	8	(0.73)	3.00	3.33	1.67	8	(0.01)
O/E Ratio	1.33	0.90	0.60			2.33	0.00	0.60		***
Half										
Observed	6	5	1	12	1.40	8	3	1	12	4.42
Expected	4.50	5.00	2.50	12	(0.50)	4.50	5.00	2.50	12	(0.11)
O/E Ratio	1.33	1.00	0.40			1.78	0.60	0.40		*
Two thirds										
Observed	6	8	2	16	0.80	9	5	2	16	2.45
Expected	6.00	6.67	3.33	16	(0.67)	6.00	6.67	3.33	16	(0.29)
O/E Ratio	1.00	1.20	0.60			1.50	0.75	0.60		
Three quarters										
Observed	6	10	2	18	1.73	9	7	2	18	1.6
Expected	6.75	7.50	3.75	18	(0.42)	6.75	7.50	3.75	18	(0.45)
O/E Ratio	0.89	1.50	0.60			1.33	1.05	0.60		
Bottom quarter										
Observed	3	0	3	6	5.2	0	3	3	6	4.8
Expected	2.25	2.5	1.25	6	(0.07)**	2.25	2.5	1.25	6	(0.09)
O/E Ratio	0.89	1.50	0.60			1.33	1.05	0.60		**

***Statistically significant at the 95% confidence level, ** at the 90% level, * at the 85% level.

If only one quarter (or 6 species) of the set of 24 species is able to be saved, the observed versus expected ratios of 1.78 in Survey I and 2.22 in Survey II indicate that mammals are over-represented by 78% and 122% respectively. This over-representation of mammals is evident in up to the first half of the list of rankings for Survey I and in all selected fractions of the list for Survey II. The differences between the observed numbers of mammal, bird and reptile species and the corresponding expected values are found to be statistically significant at the 90%, 95% and 85% confidence levels respectively for the survival of one-quarter, one-third and a half of the relevant species in Survey II. The over-representation of mammals and under-representation of birds and reptiles in those fractions in Survey II is significant. Birds are found to be over-represented in the top two-thirds and top three-quarters of the list in Survey I, but their observed and expected values are found to be not significantly different. Reptiles are consistently under-represented in both surveys, and in Survey II, birds are not represented at all in the top one-third of the list of species' rankings.

Consider also the bottom quarter of Table 2. It contains species with the least likelihood of being selected for survival. In Survey I, mammals and reptiles are both over-represented in the bottom quarter whereas no bird species are present (Table 3). However, in Survey II, the bottom quarter consists of no mammals but includes birds such as the more common kookaburra and the least-liked bird, the magpie. Information provision altered respondents' priorities for survival of the species to some extent, as might be expected from the theories of Bergstrom et al. (1990), Ajzen et al. (1996) and Spash (2002).

Finally, observe from Table 2 that the average percentage of support for the species whose survival is least favoured by respondents is quite high (taipan snake: Survey I = 82.8%; Survey II = 86.3%). There is also within-class variation in support for survival. For instance, although the taipan snake is at the bottom of the rankings, other reptiles like the northern long-necked turtle and the hawksbill turtle rank highly, above some mammal species. Similarly, the eastern pebble-mound mouse, a mammal, ranks second last in Survey I and below most of the reptiles in the set.

4. Discussion

Note that our results relate to preferences for the survival of species, not to willingness to contribute fund for the conservation of each. The latter is likely to be poorly related to preferences for survival of species in an Ark-type situation because it is influenced by such

factors as the degree of endangerment of the species and hence, the relative urgency for conservation action in each case. For example, a species such as the red kangaroo has a high priority for survival but since it is abundant and secure, individuals are willing to pay little for its conservation (Tisdell and Wilson 2004, p. 2354). Note also that we use the relative number of 'votes' in favour of survival of species as the basis of social choice. Other rules are possible, some of which are mentioned in Tisdell (1990).

Results from this experiment accord with the Similarity Principle when a limited number of species are selected for survival from a larger pool of species. Respondents tend to favour the survival of mammals rather than birds or reptiles. Favouritism for survival of mammals strengthened in Survey II after knowledge provision. In Survey II, no mammal species at all was found in the bottom quarter of the list of rankings.

However, it would be hasty to conclude that species from non-mammal animal classes would be excluded from 'Ark'. From Survey I results in Table 2, we see that at least one species from each animal class is represented in the top four species (top 12½ percent) found in the list of rankings (mammals: the koala and the red kangaroo; birds: the red-tailed black cockatoo; reptiles: the northern long-necked turtle). In Survey II, at least one species from each animal class is represented if the top 10 species (top 42 percent) are considered. For both surveys, at least two species from each animal class is represented in the top 13 species or about half way down the list of rankings. Thus, even if only half of this set of animal species were to be saved, non-mammal animal classes would still be represented. Furthermore, regardless of the hierarchical ranking in support for survival of individual species, there are clear majorities favouring the survival of all the species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been supported by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Grant.

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I.; Brown, T. C. and Rosenthal, L. H. (1996). Information bias in contingent valuation: effects of personal relevance, quality of information and motivational orientation. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **30**, 43-57.

- Bergstrom, J. C.; Stoll, J. R. and Randall, A. (1990). The impact of information on environmental commodity valuation decisions. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **72**, 614-621.
- DeKay, M. L. and McClelland, G. H. (1996). Probability and utility components of endangered species preservation programs. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, **2**, 60-83.
- Gunnthorsdottir, A. (2001). Physical attractiveness of an animal species as a decision factor for its preservation. *Anthrozoös*, **14**, 204-216.
- Kellert, S. R. (1980). American attitudes toward and knowledge of animals: An update. *International Journal for the Study of Animal Problems*, **1**, 87-119.
- Metrick, A. and Weitzman, M. L. (1996). Patterns of behaviour in endangered species preservation. *Land Economics*, **72**, 1-16.
- Metrick, A. and Weitzman, M. L. (1998). Conflicts and choices in biodiversity preservation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **12**, 21-34.
- Plous, S. (1993). Psychological mechanisms in the human use of animals. *Journal of Social Issues*, **49**, 11-52.
- Schultz, W. (1987). Attitude towards birds and other wildlife in West Germany and America. In: Diamond AW and Filion FL (Eds.) The value of birds (ICBP technical publication No. 6). Cambridge, UK: International Council for Bird Preservation.
- Spash, C. L. (2002). Informing and forming preferences in environmental valuation: coral reef biodiversity. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, **23**, 665-687.
- Tisdell, C. (1990). Economics and the debate about preservation of species, crop varieties and genetic diversity. *Ecological Economics*, **2**, 77-90.
- Tisdell, C. and Wilson, C. (2004). The public's knowledge of and support for conservation of Australia's tree-kangaroos and other animals. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **13**, 2339-2359.
- Zar, J. H. (1999). Biostatistical analysis, 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA: Prentice Hall.

PREVIOUS WORKING PAPERS IN THE SERIES

ECONOMICS, ECOLOGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

1. Governance, Property Rights and Sustainable Resource Use: Analysis with Indian Ocean Rim Examples by Clem Tisdell and Kartik Roy, November 1996.
2. Protection of the Environment in Transitional Economies: Strategies and Practices by Clem Tisdell, November 1996.
3. Good Governance in Sustainable Development: The Impact of Institutions by K.C.Roy and C.A.Tisdell, November 1996.
4. Sustainability Issues and Socio-Economic Change in the Jingpo Communities of China: Governance, Culture and Land Rights by Ren Zhuge and Clem Tisdell, November 1996.
5. Sustainable Development and Environmental Conservation: Major Regional Issues with Asian Illustrations by Clem Tisdell, November 1996.
6. Integrated Regional Environmental Studies: The Role of Environmental Economics by Clem Tisdell, December 1996.
7. Poverty and Its Alleviation in Yunnan Province China: Sources, Policies and Solutions by Ren Zhuge and Clem Tisdell, December 1996.
8. Deforestation and Capital Accumulation: Lessons from the Upper Kerinci Region, Indonesia by Dradjad H. Wibowo, Clement a. Tisdell and R. Neil Byron, January 1997.
9. Sectoral Change, Urbanisation and South Asia's Environment in Global Context by Clem Tisdell, April 1997.
10. China's Environmental Problems with Particular Attention to its Energy Supply and Air Quality by Clem Tisdell, April 1997.
11. Weak and Strong Conditions for Sustainable Development: Clarification of concepts and their Policy Application by Clem Tisdell, April 1997.
12. Economic Policy Instruments and Environmental Sustainability: A Second Look at Marketable or Tradeable Pollution or Environmental-Use Permits by Clem Tisdell, April 1997.
13. Agricultural Sustainability in Marginal Areas: Principles, Policies and Examples from Asia by Clem Tisdell, April 1997.
14. Impact on the Poor of Changing Rural Environments and Technologies: Evidence from India and Bangladesh by Clem Tisdell, May 1997.

15. Tourism Economics and its Application to Regional Development by Clem Tisdell, May 1997.
16. Brunei's Quest for Sustainable Development: Diversification and Other Strategies by Clem Tisdell, August 1997.
17. A Review of Reports on Optimal Australian Dugong Populations and Proposed Action/Conservation Plans: An Economic Perspective by Clem Tisdell, October 1997.
18. Compensation for the taking of Resources Interests: Practices in Relations to the Wet Tropics and Fraser Island, General Principles and their Relevance to the Extension of Dugong Protected Areas by Clem Tisdell, October 1997.
19. Deforestation Mechanisms: A Survey by D.H. Wibowo and R.N. Byron, November 1997.
20. Ecotourism: Aspects of its Sustainability and Compatibility by Clem Tisdell, November 1997.
21. A Report Prepared for the Queensland Commercial Fisherman's Organisation by Gavin Ramsay, Clem Tisdell and Steve Harrison (Dept of Economics); David Pullar and Samantha Sun (Dept of Geographical Sciences and Planning) in conjunction with Ian Tibbetts (The School of Marine Science), January 1998.
22. Co-Evolutions in Asia, Markets and Globalization by Clem Tisdell, January 1998.
23. Asia's Livestock Industries: Changes and Environmental Consequences by Clem Tisdell, January 1998.
24. Socio-Economics of Pearl Culture: Industry Changes and Comparisons Focussing on Australia and French Polynesia by Clem Tisdell and Bernard Poirine, August 1998.
25. Asia's (Especially China's) Livestock Industries: Changes and Environmental Consequences by Clem Tisdell, August 1998.
26. Ecotourism: Aspects of its Sustainability and Compatibility with Conservation, Social and Other Objectives, September 1998.
27. Wider Dimensions of Tourism Economics: A Review of Impact Analyses, International Aspects, Development Issues, Sustainability and Environmental Aspects of Tourism, October 1998.
28. Basic Economics of Tourism: An Overview, November 1998.
29. Protecting the Environment in Transitional Situations, November 1998.
30. Australian Environmental Issues: An Overview by Clem Tisdell, December 1998.
31. Trends and Developments in India's Livestock Industries by Clem Tisdell and Jyothi Gali, February 1999.

32. Sea Turtles as a Non-Consumptive Tourism Resource in Australia by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, August 1999.
33. Transitional Economics and Economics Globalization: Social and Environmental Consequences by Clem Tisdell, August 1999.
34. Co-evolution, Agricultural Practices and Sustainability: Some Major Social and Ecological Issues by Clem Tisdell, August, 1999.
35. Technology Transfer from Publicly Funded Research for improved Water Management: Analysis and Australian Examples by Clem Tisdell, August 1999.
36. Safety and Socio-Economic Issues Raised by Modern Biotechnology by Dayuan Xue and Clem Tisdell, August 1999.
37. Valuing Ecological Functions of Biodiversity in Changbaishan Mountain Biosphere Reserve in Northeast China by Dayuan Xue and Clem Tisdell, March 2000.
38. Neglected Features of the Safe Minimum Standard: Socio-economics and Institutional Dimension by Irmi Seidl and Clem Tisdell, March 2000.
39. Free Trade, Globalisation, the Environment and Sustainability: Major Issues and the Position of WTO by Clem Tisdell, March 2000.
40. Globalisation and the WTO: Attitudes Expressed by Pressure Groups and by Less Developed Countries by Clem Tisdell, May 2000.
41. Sustainability: The Economic Bottom Line by Clem Tisdell, May 2000.
42. Trade and Environment: Evidence from China's Manufacturing Sector by Joseph C. H. Chai, June 2000.
43. Trends and Development in India's Livestock Industry by Clem Tisdell and Jyothi Gali, August 2000.
44. Tourism and Conservation of Sea Turtles by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, August 2000.
45. Developing Ecotourism for the Survival of Sea Turtles by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, August 2000.
46. Globalisation, WTO and Sustainable Development by Clem Tisdell, August 2000.
47. Environmental Impact of China's Accession to WTO in the Manufacturing Sector by Joseph Chai, August 2000.
48. Effects of Cartagena Biosafety Protocol on Trade in GMOs, WTO Implications, and Consequences for China (English version) by Dayuan Xue and Clem Tisdell, August 2000.

49. Effects of Cartagena Biosafety Protocol on Trade in GMOs, WTO Implications, and Consequences for China (Chinese version) by Dayuan Xue and Clem Tisdell, August 2000.
50. The Winnipeg Principles, WTO and Sustainable Development: Proposed Policies for Reconciling Trade and the Environment by Clem Tisdell, September 2000.
51. Resources Management within Nature Reserves in China by Dayuan Xue, October 2000.
52. Economics, Educational and Conservation Benefits of Sea Turtle Based Ecotourism: A Study Focused on Mon Repos by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, October 2000.
53. Why Farmers Continue to use Pesticides despite Environmental, Health and Sustainability Costs by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, November 2000.
54. Wildlife-based Tourism and Increased Tourist Support for Nature Conservation Financially and Otherwise: Evidence from Sea Turtle Ecotourism at Mon Repos by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, November 2000.
55. A Study of the Impact of Ecotourism on Environmental Education and Conservation: The Case of Turtle Watching at an Australian Site by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, December 2000.
56. Environmental Regulations of Land-use and Public Compensation: Principles with Swiss and Australian Examples by Irmi Seidl, Clem Tisdell and Steve Harrison.
57. Analysis of Property Values, Local Government Finances and Reservation of Land for National Parks and Similar Purposes by Clem Tisdell and Leonie Pearson, March 2001.
58. Alternative Specifications and Extensions of the Economic Threshold Concept and the Control of Livestock Pests by Rex Davis and Clem Tisdell, May 2001.
59. Conserving Asian Elephants: Economic Issues Illustrated by Sri Lankan Concerns by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, June 2001.
60. World Heritage Listing of Australian Natural Sites: Tourism Stimulus and its Economic Value by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, September 2001.
61. Aquaculture, Environmental Spillovers and Sustainable Development: Links and Policy Choices by Clem Tisdell, October 2001.
62. Competition, Evolution and Optimisation: Comparisons of Models in Economics and Ecology by Clem Tisdell, October 2001.
63. Aquaculture Economics and Marketing: An Overview by Clem Tisdell, October 2001.
64. Conservation and Economic Benefits of Wildlife-Based Marine tourism: Sea Turtles and Whales as Case Studies by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, February 2002.

65. Asian Elephants as Agricultural Pests: Damages, Economics of Control and Compensation in Sri Lanka by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, February 2002.
66. Rural and Urban Attitudes to the Conservation of Asian Elephants in Sri Lanka: Empirical Evidence by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, May 2002.
67. Willingness to Pay for Conservation of the Asian Elephant in Sri Lanka: A Contingent Valuation Study by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, May 2002.
68. Bioeconomic Analysis of Aquaculture's Impact on Wild Stocks and Biodiversity by Clem Tisdell, May 2002.
69. Will Bangladesh's Economic Growth Solve its Environmental Problems? by Clem Tisdell, May 2002.
70. Socioeconomic Causes of loss of Genetic Diversity: Analysis and Assessment by Clem Tisdell, June 2002.
71. Empirical Evidence Showing The Relationships Between Three Approaches For Pollution Control by Clevo Wilson, August 2002.
72. Energy-Use, the Environment and Development: Observations with Reference to China and India by Clem Tisdell and Kartik Roy, September 2002.
73. Willingness of Sri Lankan Farmers to Pay for a Scheme to Conserve Elephants: An Empirical Analysis by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, January 2003.
74. The Public's Knowledge of and Support for Conservation of Australia's Tree-kangaroos by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, February 2003.
75. Ecotourism/Wildlife-based Tourism as Contributor to Nature Conservation with Reference to Vanni, Sri Lanka by Clem Tisdell, March 2003.
76. Visitor Profiles and Environmental Attributes, especially of Birds, Attracting Visitors to Lamington National Park: Tourist Attitudes and Economic Issues by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, March 2003.
77. Wildlife Damage, Insurance/Compensation for Farmers and Conservation: Sri Lankan Elephants as a Case by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, May 2003.
78. Open-Cycle Hatcheries, Tourism and Conservation of Sea Turtles: Economic and Ecological Analysis by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, May 2003.
79. Attitudes to Entry Fees to National Parks: Results and Policy Implications from a Queensland Case Study by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, June 2003.
80. Use and Non-use Values of Wild Asian Elephants: A Total Economic Valuation Approach by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, June 2003.
81. Valuation of Tourism's Natural Resources by Clem Tisdell, August 2003.

82. Visitors Reaction to Pinnawala Elephant Orphanage in Sri Lanka, by Clem Tisdell and Ranjith Bandara, August 2003.
83. Property Rights of Landholders in Non-Captive Wildlife and Prospects for Conservation, by Clem Tisdell, August 2003.
84. Wildlife-Based Recreation and Local Economic Development: The Case of the Pinnawala Elephant Orphanage in Sri Lanka, by Clem Tisdell and Ranjith Bandara, August 2003.
85. Willingness to Pay for Different Degrees of Abundance of Elephants, by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, September 2003.
86. Conflicts Over Natural Resources and the Environment: Economics and Security, by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, September 2003.
87. The Net Benefit of Saving the Asian Elephant: A Policy and Contingent Valuation Study, by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, October 2003.
88. Economics of Wildlife Tourism, by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, October 2003.
89. Notes on Market Failure and the Paretian (Kaldor-Hicks) Relevance and Irrelevance of Unfavourable Externalities, by Clem Tisdell, December 2003.
90. Does Ecotourism Contribute to Sea Turtle Conservation? Is the Flagship Status of Turtles Advantageous?, by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, December 2003.
91. Influences on Knowledge of Wildlife Species on Patterns of Willingness to Pay for their Conservation, by Clem Tisdell, December 2003.
92. Economic Incentives to Conserve Wildlife on Private Lands: Analysis and Policy, by Clem Tisdell, December 2003.
93. Recreational Fishing: Its Expansion, Its Economic Value and Aquaculture's Role in Sustaining It, by Clem Tisdell, December 2003.
94. Tourism as a Contributor to Development in Sri Lanka: An Overview and a Case Study, by Clem Tisdell and Ranjith Bandara, January 2004.
95. Birds – Their Importance to Visitors to an Australian Rainforest by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, January 2004.
96. Knowledge of Birds and Willingness to Pay for their Conservation: An Australian Case Study, by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, January 2004.
97. Recreational Fishing and Fishing Policies in the Netherlands and Australia: A Comparative Review, by Ruben R. C. M. Hurkens and Clem Tisdell, April 2004.
98. Effects of a Change in Abundance of Elephants on Willingness to Pay for Their Conservation, by Ranjith Bandara and Clem Tisdell, April 2004.

99. Antarctic Tourists: A Case Study of Their Evaluation of Antarctic Wildlife and Environmental Issues, by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Lorne Kriwoken, April 2004.
100. An Initial Assessment of Policies for Saving a Rare Australian Glider: Experimental Results, Economics and Ecology, by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, May 2004.
101. Knowledge and Willingness to Pay for the Conservation of Wildlife Species: Experimental Results Evaluating Australian Tropical Species, by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, May 2004.
102. Antarctic Tourists, Wildlife and the Environment: Attractions and Reactions to Antarctica, by Clem Tisdell, May 2004.
103. Birds in an Australian Rainforest: Their Attraction for Visitors and Visitors' Ecological Impacts, by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, May 2004.
104. Nature-Based Tourism and the Valuation of its Environmental Resources: Economic and Other Aspects by Clem Tisdell, May 2004.
105. Glow Worms as a Tourist Attraction in Springbrook National Park: Visitor Attitudes and Economic Issues, by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and David Merritt, July 2004.
106. Australian Tropical Reptile Species: Ecological Status, Public Valuation and Attitudes to their Conservation and Commercial Use, by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, August 2004.
107. Information and Wildlife Valuation: Experiments and Policy, by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, August 2004.
108. What are the Economic Prospects of Developing Aquaculture in Queensland to Supply the Low Price White Fillet Market? Lessons from the US Channel Catfish Industry, by Thorbjorn Lyster and Clem Tisdell, October 2004.
109. Comparative Public Support for Conserving Reptile Species is High: Australian Evidence and its Implications, by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, October 2004.
110. Dependence of public support for survival of wildlife species on their likeability by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, October 2004.
111. Dynamic Processes in Contingent Valuation: A Case Study Involving the Mahogany Glider by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, November 2004.
112. Economics, Wildlife Tourism and Conservation: Three Case Studies by Clem Tisdell and Clevo Wilson, November 2004.

113. What Role Does Knowledge of Wildlife Play in Providing Support for Species' Conservation by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, December 2004.
114. Public Support for Sustainable Commercial Harvesting of Wildlife: An Australian Case Study by Clem Tisdell, Clevo Wilson and Hemanath Swarna Nantha, December 2004.
115. Endangerment and Likeability of Wildlife Species: How Important are they for Proposed Payments for Conservation by Clem Tisdell, Hemanath Swarna Nantha and Clevo Wilson, December 2004.
116. How Knowledge Affects Payment to Conserve and Endangered Bird by Clevo Wilson and Clem Tisdell, February 2005.