

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

RESEARCH PAPER: 1994-2

DOMESTIC DEMAND FOR FLORIDA FRESH CITRUS: PRICE FLEXIBILITIES

ΒY

Mark G. Brown Senior Research Economist

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CITRUS Economic and Market Research Department P.O. Box 110249 Gainesville, Florida 32611-2049 USA Phone: 352-392-1874 Fax: 352-392-8634 Email: mgbrown@ufl.edu

www.floridajuice.com

Domestic Demand for Florida Fresh Citrus: Price Flexibilities

Introduction

This study examines the demands for Florida fresh oranges, grapefruit, Temples, tangelos, tangerines (excluding honey tangerines), and honey tangerines. Inverse or pricedependent demand equations for each of the above varieties of citrus were estimated, based on annual data for the domestic market.

<u>Model</u>

In this study, quantity of citrus is treated as fixed and price is determined by market demand. In this case, demand can be written as

(1)
$$\log(\frac{P_c}{x}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\frac{q_c}{n}) + \beta_2 \log(\frac{q_0}{n}) + \beta_3 \log(\frac{q_f}{n}),$$

where P_c is the nominal FOB price of citrus variety c; x is nominal per capita U.S. personal expenditures; q_c is domestic shipments of citrus variety c, n is the U.S. population; and q_0 and q_f are consumption of other fresh citrus and other fresh fruit, respectively. Equation (1) relates the normalized price, P_c/x , to own and substitute per capita shipments; the double log specification means the coefficients β_1 , β_2 and β_3 are flexibilities, each indicating

Prepared by Mark G. Brown, Research Economist III, Florida Department of Citrus, Gainesville, FL, February 25, 1994, Staff Report 94-6.

the percentage change in the normalized price in response to a one percent change in the per capita shipments in question.

<u>Data</u>

Prices of Florida fresh citrus, by variety, the P_c 's in equation (1), were obtained from the Citrus Administrative Committee's (CAC's) *Annual Statistical Report* for various seasons. U.S. personal expenditures and the U.S. population were obtained from the U.S. Department of Commerce and U.S. Bureau of Census, respectively. Florida fresh shipments, by variety, to the domestic market, the q_c 's in equation (1), were taken from the "Florida Fresh Fruit Shipments" report for various seasons, by the Economic and Market Research Department, Florida Department of Citrus. Other U.S. fresh citrus consumption q_0 was U.S. fresh citrus consumption, obtained from the U.S. Department of Agriculture's *Fruit and Tree Nut Situation and Outlook*, less Florida fresh shipments of the variety in question (q_c). Other U.S. fresh fruit consumption (q_f) was also from the later USDA report.

Results

The estimated price flexibilities for equation (1), by variety, are shown in Table 1. As expected, own shipments q_c significantly and negatively effected price for oranges, Temples, tangerines and honey tangerines; unexpectedly, own shipments were not significant in determining price for grapefruit and tangelos. The significant own price flexibilities ranged from -.11 for honey tangerines to -.32 for tangerines. The magnitude of the own flexibilities, all less than one in absolute value, indicates own shipments have a relatively

2

small impact on price. The cross flexibilities for other citrus and fresh fruit consumption were all negative and significant, except those for tangerines which were insignificant. The cross flexibilities were larger than the own flexibilities, indicating Florida fresh shipments are relatively more sensitive to other citrus and other fresh fruit consumption than to own fresh shipments.

An example is given to further help explain the table. If orange shipments, other fresh citrus consumption and other fresh fruit consumption increase by 10%, 5% and 2%, respectively, then the real FOB price of fresh oranges is estimated to decline by 9.0% (-.28*10%-.42*5%-2.06*2%). In addition, if inflation is 3% and real per capita personal expenditures grow by 2% (i.e., nominal per capita personal expenditures grow by 6%), then the nominal fresh FOB price is estimated to decline by 3% (6%-9%). (Each estimated demand equation has the log of the nominal FOB price minus the log of nominal per capita personal expenditures as its dependent variable.) Over the sample period, the average growth in nominal per capita fresh fruit (non-citrus) consumption was 1.9% per year. Other per capita fresh citrus consumption tended to vary about 10% to 15% (i.e., for each variety, the standard deviation for this variable was in this range). Orange, grapefruit, Temple, tangelo, tangerine and honey tangerine per capita shipments tended to vary 20%, 15%, 71%, 23%, 56% and 57%, respectively, over the sample.

Variety of Citrus	Estimated Parameter (Flexibility)			
	Own Shipments	Other Fresh Citrus Consumption	Other Fresh Fruit Consumption	R ²
Oranges	28 ^b	42 ^b	-2.06 ^b	.79
Grapefruit	06	44 ^b	-1.07 ^b	.59
Temples	18 ^b	53 ^b	-2.37 ^b	.90
Tangelos	.29	51 ^b	90 ^c	.66
Tangerines	32 ^b	.43	57	.51
Honey Tangerines	11 ^b	74 ^b	70 ^b	.83

Table 1.	Price flexibilities for Florida fresh citrus shipments to the domestic market
	based on annual data for the 1977-78 through 1992-93 seasons. ^a

^aFor each variety, the dependent variable was the log of price minus the log of per capita personal expenditure, while the independent variables were the log of per capita shipments of the variety in question to the domestic market, the log of per capita other citrus consumption, and the log of per capita other fresh fruit consumption.

^bStatistically different from zero at the $\alpha = .10$ level of significance.

Statistically different from zero at the $\alpha = .20$ level of significance.