

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Finding a sustainable linkage between the emerging farmer and formal markets: The case of pick 'n pay and the Zanyokwe farmers in the eastern Cape of South africa

Bediako J.A. and Debrah K. 2 ¹University for Development Studies, ²Plan Ghana

Abstract

A major problem confronting rural and emerging farmers is the marketing of their products. Most of the farmers encountered depend on the local or village markets which are often saturated or purchases are not backed by effective demand to make sales meaningful for the desired benefits. Small scale South African farmers have not been able to access the formal sector to sell their goods. There are several reasons for this which is linked to the nature of the historical evolution of the South African agricultural sector and in particular the food production system. Recently, it has been argued that the small scale farmers are not able to meet the standards that are set by the formal sector and are therefore responsible for their own exclusion by producing poor quality products. The private sector is not aware of the capabilities of the small scale African farmers. Consequently the private sector is unable to communicate its needs to this group of emergent farmers. This paper presents information and findings of the Case Study project of how the gap has been bridged from both ends of the production chain about needs of business and the small scale or emerging farmer which has led to finding a sustainable marketing linkage between the rural farmer and the private sector.

Keywords: Farmers, Markets, Products, Supermarkets,

Introduction and Background

The University of Fort Hare (through the Agricultural and Rural Development Research Institute- (ARDRI) in collaboration with Partnerships for Industrial Development – Fruit and Vegetables (PFID – FV) and Michigan State University have built a market linkage between the emerging farmer and the private sector as a way of improving markets for the small or emerging farmer. The overall objective of the project is to bridge the gap from both ends of the production chain by gathering information about the products of the small-scale producers and information about the needs of business. With this information we have been able to identify and facilitate the creation of sustainable linkage between the farmers and the private sector.

Methodology

Location

The pilot project at Zanyokwe irrigation scheme is located in the Middledrift district of the Eastern Cape of South Africa which is situated within Latitudes 32⁰ 48' S & 32⁰ 50' S and Longitudes 26⁰ 48' E & 27⁰ 15' E. Middledrift town is situated about twenty kilometres from Alice and about forty kilometres from King William's Town on the R 63 main road.

Meeting with farmers and site visits

According to studies by ARDRI (2000) yields from crops are higher in areas with irrigation compared dry farming areas consequently it is reasonable to choose areas with irrigation facilities for profitable and sustainable vegetable production. Based on the above premise, we selected 12 irrigation sites in the region to participate in the project.

Use of Workshops

We organized a number of workshops at University of Fort Hare in which, officials of Pick 'n Pay, representatives of farmers and extension officers from the selected sites interacted. In these meetings, Pick 'n Pay outlined the company's purchase requirements and product standards for fruits and vegetables and procedures followed in contracting farmers. The interaction allowed farmers and the extension officers to pose probing questions concerning the project.

Farm visits and farmer tours

The Pick 'n Pay technical and ARDRI teams visited the 12 selected production sites to assess the resource base and the readiness of farmers. We organized representatives of farmers to take a tour of commercial vegetable production and selling centres to learn the techniques of commercial vegetable production and also learn about quality, grades, standards and packaging.

Pick 'n Pay requirements

ARDRI negotiated with the procurement section of Pick 'n Pay for a growing programme and specifications of the crops to be supplied to the supermarket chain. This information was used to plan with farmers in the production procedures and guidelines. A packhouse was provided to ensure proper and hygienic handling of harvested products.

Ensuring sustainability

We built in practical mechanisms to let the linkage continue for an indefinite period. Some of these are outlined below:

- Farmer involvement in all the planning procedures.
- Farmer commitment through registration to be part of the party
- Provision of an overall technical anchor for the project
- Inclusion of the local extension officers
- Engaging the services of a farm manager
- Sourcing of and use of certified seeds
- The primary buyer's financial support and traceability guidelines
- Use of current farming results to encourage youth membership
- The use of experienced farmers in the group as mentors
- Continuous scanning of the environment for secondary markets
- Prior arrangements and acquisition of required inputs
- Use of local or community contractors and individuals for major farm operations
- Savings and re-investment mobilization and debt serving
- Plant twice in a year to enhance more income
- Preparation, use and adherence to a business plan
- Formation of a steering committee
- Frequent farmer training in current farming trends and business principles
- Formation of farmers co-operative

Results

We had planned to bring more communities on board but our resources would not allow us to cover all the 12 sites initially envisaged. As a result we decided to conduct the project on a pilot basis in the Zanyokwe irrigation scheme comprising two villages namely, Lenye and Burnshill. The project started with 60 farmers who expressed interest to produce for the Pick 'n Pay chain stores in two locations with 30 farmers from each village. Eventually the number of farmers

reduced to 22 with 12 and 10 in Burnshill and Lenye respectively. All the farmers depend on farming as a full time job.

Demographics

There are more men in the group than females. The average age is relatively younger in Burnshill (38.7 years) than Lenye (48.9 years). Most of the farmers in Burnshill have higher levels of education than their counterparts in Lenye.

Impacts of built in sustainable mechanisms

Adherence to a business plan (Table 1) at the start of every season has prevented deviations. The involvement of farmers, local extension officers, contractors and labour from the community has led to a buy in. The steering committee comprising relevant stakeholders monitors and evaluates the project to strengthen identified weaknesses.

The technical anchor, the farm manager and mentors facilitate and solve technical problems on the ground. Sourcing of certified seeds and other inputs from reputed suppliers and frequent training has ensured quality and the required product flow.

Continuously scanning the market has widened the market boundaries for more buyers from the formal sector. The Co-op has virtually taken over organizational and planning processes of the project and the farmer's credit worthiness has improved with the savings mobilization and debt servicing. Progress indicators are shown in Table 2.

Conclusions

Through various methodologies, information collected from both ends of the production chain i.e. about the activities and products of the small-scale producers and information about the needs of business have been used to create sustainable marketing linkages between the Zanyokwe farmers and the private sector i.e. the Pick and Pay chain stores, the East London Fresh Produce market and other formal outlets in the Eastern Cape of South Africa. The path to this end has presented us with several opportunities and challenges to improve and expand in the future. The project is on going and we hope to unearth more wealthy information to solve the marketing problem of the numerous emerging farmers out there.

References

- Alice Map 3226 DD (1994), Chief Director of Survey and Mapping. Cape Town, South Africa Ardrinews (1998, 1999, 2000 and 2006)
- Animal Traction Unit, (2004). Crop production records. University of Fort Hare, Alice.
- A. Bediako, A. Siyengo and P. Masika. (2005). Linking the emerging farmer with formal market outlets: The case of Pick 'N Pay and the Zanyokwe farmers in the Eastern Cape (Contributed paper to AEASA conference Sept 2005).
- Brutch, M. O., (1994). Vegetables Part 1. Faculty of Agriculture. University of Fort Hare, Alice Central Statistical Services. 1991. Statistics on a regional basis – Development regions A,B & C. Report No 11-02-02. Central Statistical Services, Pretoria.

Central Statistical Services. 1997. The Rural Survey.

- Statistical release P0360. Statistics South Africa.East London Fresh Produce Market Prices. 2000 -2006. Trading results for the financial year 1st July 1999 to 30th June 2000
- East London Fresh Produce Market Prices. 2003. Trading results for the financial year 1st July 2002 to 30th June 2003
- Farmers Weekly December 2004.King William's Town Map 3227 CD. 1984. Chief Director of Survey and Mapping. Cape Town, South Africa
- Masika, P. Mafu, V.J., Bediako, A., Belete, A.
 Goqwana, W.M., & Mandirigana, O. 2002. A
 Feasibility Study of Mount Pleasant Farm
 Settlement Project, Adelaide. Report to the
 Amatole District Municipality.
- Mayford Seeds 2003 -2005. Price list, East London Pick 'n Pay Discount Supermarkets. 2003- 2006. Starke and Ayres Seed Suppliers. 2003 2005. Price List. East London.

Tomato Growers Association. 2004 -2005. East London.

Table 1. An example of a business plan as a guide for the production of butternuts for the season

General Meeting All the relevant stakeholders 22"d May - - Land preparation and planting Zanyokwe Tractor services (ZTS) June end 1000 - Broadcast fertilizer before discing Farmer and Farm manager (FFM) June end 400 - Discing FFM/ZTS June end 400 - Destroy weeds with round up FFM Sept 2"d week 200 - Fertilization preplanting FFM Sept 2"d week 2110 0.5 ton (2:3:4) Planting seeds FFM Oct 1st and 2"d week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1st and 2"d week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 140 100 ml Preventative spray FMM Oct 120 2 kg Bullock (2 sprays) R 176/L FMM Oct 120 2 kg Labacid (3 sprays) R 176/L FMM Oct 107 - Dithane (1 spray) FMM Dec 107 - <t< th=""><th>WHAT</th><th>WHO</th><th>WHEN</th><th>Cost/ha (rand)</th><th>QUANTITY/HA</th></t<>	WHAT	WHO	WHEN	Cost/ha (rand)	QUANTITY/HA	
Ploughing Zanyokwe Tractor services (ZTS) June end 1000 - Broadcast fertilizer before discing Farmer and Farm manager (FFM) June end 2110 0.5 ton (2:3:4) Discing FFM/ZTS June end 400 - Destroy weeds with round up FFM Sept 1st week 200 - Pertilization preplanting FFM Sept 2st week 2110 0.5 ton (2:3:4) Planting seeds FFM Oct 1st and 2st week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1st and 2st week 1558 500 kg Preventative sprays FMM Sept 140 100 ml R 176/L SPM Oct 120 2 kg Labacid (3 sprays) R 176/L FMM Oct 120 2 kg Harvesting FMM Dec 107 - Terminator (shelve live) FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 - Packaging FMM and ARDRI Sept 100 - Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) Fort Hare Sept 100 - Transport <td>General Meeting</td> <td>All the relevant stakeholders</td> <td>22nd May</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	General Meeting	All the relevant stakeholders	22 nd May	-	-	
Broadcast fertilizer before discing Discing FFM/ZTS Destroy weeds with round up FFM FFM Sept 2 nd week 200 - FFM Fertilization pre-planting seeds FFM FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 300 plants Follow 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 300 plants FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 4400 2000 plants 500 kg Freventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) R 176/L Dithane (1 spray) FMM Cot Terminator (shelve live) FMM FMM Dec 107 107 2 kg FMM And ARDRI Dec Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) FMM FMM FMM Sept Sept 1000 14109 - 14109 - 14109	Land preparation and	Land preparation and planting				
before discing FFM/ZTS June end 400 - Destroy weeds with round up FFM Sept 1st week 200 - Fertilization preplanting FFM Sept 2nd week 2110 0.5 ton (2:3:4) Planting seeds FFM Oct 1st and 2nd week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1st and 2nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray FMM Oct 1st and 2nd week 140 100 ml Bullock (2 sprays) FMM Oct 64 - R 176/L FMM Oct 120 2 kg Bullock (1 spray) FMM Oct 120 2 kg Harvesting FMM Dec 107 - Packaging FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 - Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) FMM and ARDRI Sept 1000 - Transport For Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure For Hare Sept 14109 -	Ploughing	Zanyokwe Tractor services (ZTS)	June end	1000	-	
Destroy weeds with round up FFM Sept 1 st week Sept 2 nd week 200 - Sept 1 st week 200 - Sept 1 st week 2110 0.5 ton (2:3:4) Sept 2 nd week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Freventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) FMM Oct Sept 140 100 ml 100 ml 110		Farmer and Farm manager (FFM)	June end	2110	0.5 ton (2:3:4)	
round up Fertilization pre-planting Planting seeds FFM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1400 2000 plants Sept 140 100 ml Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R MM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R MM Cot 140 2000 plants Sept 150 2000 plants FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R MM Cot 140 2000 plants Sept 1400 2000 plants FMM Cot 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) R MM Cot 150 2000 plants Sept 1400 2000 plants FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 2 400 2 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	Discing	FFM/ZTS	June end	400	-	
Planting seeds FFM Oct 1 st and 2 nd week 4400 2000 plants Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1 st and 2 nd week 1558 500 kg Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) RMM Sept 140 100 ml R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) FMM Oct 1st 140 100 ml R 176/L Dithane (1 spray) FMM Oct 150 120 2 kg Harvesting Terminator (shelve live) FMM Dec 107 107 - Packaging FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 - Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) FMM Sept 1000 - Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure		FFM	Sept 1 st week	200	-	
Side dressing LAN FMM Oct 1st and 2nd week Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) FMM Sept 140 100 ml R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) FMM Oct 1st and 2nd week PMM PMM PMM PMM PMM PMM PMM PMM PMM PM		FFM	Sept 2 nd week	2110	0.5 ton (2:3:4)	
Preventative spray Bullock (2 sprays) FMM Sept 140 100 ml Labacid (3 sprays) FMM Oct 64	Planting seeds	FFM	Oct 1 st and 2 nd week	4400	2000 plants	
Bullock (2 sprays) R 242/LFMMSept140100 mlLabacid (3 sprays) R 176/LFMMOct64-Dithane (1 spray)FMMOct1202 kgHarvestingTerminator (shelve live)FMMDec107-PackagingFMM and ARDRIDec400-Labour (Plant, weed and harvest)FMM-500-TransportFort HareSept1000-Total Expenditure-14109-	Side dressing LAN	FMM	Oct 1 st and 2 nd week	1558	500 kg	
R 242/L Labacid (3 sprays) FMM R 176/L Dithane (1 spray) FMM Oct Harvesting Terminator (shelve live) Packaging FMM and ARDRI Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) Fort Hare Fort Hare Sept 1000 - 14109 - 14109	Preventative spray					
R 176/L Dithane (1 spray) FMM Oct 120 2 kg Harvesting Terminator (shelve live) FMM Dec 107 Packaging FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) FMM Sept 1000 Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 Total Expenditure 14109		FMM	Sept	140	100 ml	
Harvesting Terminator (shelve live) FMM and ARDRI Dec 107	` ' ' ' '	FMM	Oct	64	-	
Terminator (shelve live) Packaging FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 - Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure Terminator (shelve live) Dec 400 - 400 - 400 - 107 - 108 - 109 - 109 - 1000 - 14109 - 14109 -	Dithane (1 spray)	FMM	Oct	120	2 kg	
live) Packaging FMM and ARDRI Dec 400 - Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure - 14109 -	Harvesting					
Labour (Plant, weed and harvest) Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure - 14109 -		FMM	Dec	107	-	
weed and harvest) Transport Fort Hare Sept 1000 - Total Expenditure 14109 -	Packaging	FMM and ARDRI	Dec	400	-	
Total Expenditure 14109 -		FMM	-	500	-	
	Transport	Fort Hare	Sept	1000	-	
Total income per ha of butternuts = R 30 000	Total Expenditure			14109	-	

Table 2. Summary of progress indicators

CATEGORIES		YEARS		
	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	
Number of farmers contacted	300	200	200	
Number of farmers in the project	60	30	22	
Increased net profit	Average of R 800 per farmer per growing season prior to the start of the project and no proper records kept (including other crops)	Average of R 1 406 per growing season (cucurbits only	Average of R 4 58620 per farmer (growing only Butternuts)	
Increased land area (ha)	Prior to the outset of project farmers used to an average of 0.5 ha	Average of 0.9ha	Average of 1.5 to 2 ha	
Changes in grades of produce	No grading previously. Supplied with specifications to adhere to	Supplied according to specification to buyer. Sorted products into grades required by primary market. Those below specification went to secondary market	More superior grades sold to the primary market.	
Improved market accessibility	Relied on the local market and hawkers	Selling mainly to the primary market and a few secondary markets	Selling to the primary and more secondary markets	
Improved management/cultural practices	Farmers did not adhere to most of the required management and cultural practices from land preparation to disposal of products to final consumer	Through the association with project there is increased farmer awareness of the production process as to what is needed for what and when	The Farmer Coop is now more involved in the planning and decision making processes (65%) and ARDRI (35%)	
Decreased production cost over time	Previously little or no costing because no written records to be able to compare costs	Inventory of all activities of the farming process for the season kept	Has reduced from about 40 % to 25 % of total cost.	

Harvesting for the current season 2006/2007 is in progress and projections are very encouraging