

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Economics and Marketing of Aromatic Rice— A Case Study of Chhattisgarh*

Dinesh K. Marothia¹, R. K. Singh², M. R. Chandrakar³ and B. C. Jain³

Abstract

In recent years there has been a serious concern among the farmers, scientists, policymakers and environmentalists regarding the continuous erosion of genetic biodiversity of rice cultivars in Chhattisgarh which has traditionally been known as bowl of scented rices in central India. In view of India's potential competitiveness in aromatic rices in the international market, it is imperative to understand the dynamics of domestic trade in aromatic rice. In this study, marketing and price-spread patterns of aromatic rice in the state of Chhattisgarh have been examined. A few policy interventions have been suggested for promoting aromatic rices in the state.

Introduction

Enough has been written and debated on international trade of rice after introduction of agriculture reforms within the framework of GATT (Pingali, 1995; Chand and Haque, 1996; Shobha Rani *et al.*, 1996; Bhasin, 1996; 2000; Gulati and Sharma, 1997; Gulati *et al.*, 1999; Sankar and Kalirajan, 2001). With the establishment of WTO and agreement on the

^{*}This paper has been drawn from International Rice Research Institute (IRRI)-funded project on 'Domestic Trade in Aromatic Rice in Chhattisgarh'. Preliminary results of a few villages of the study area of this project were shared at the International Rice Congress held at Beijing during 16-20 September, 2002. The authors appreciate support of S/S S.K.Dwivedi, N.K.Banjara, and Gangadeen Sahu for collecting the field data and other information required for the project. The authors are thankful to Shri A.K.Gauraha and Shri S.B.Kewat for their suggestions. Thanks are also due to Smt. V. Nair for secretarial assistance.

¹ Professor & Head, Department of Agricultural & Natural Resource Economics (DANRE), Indira Gandhi Agricultural University (IGAU), Raipur – 492 006, Chhattisgarh

² Former Country Representative, IRRI – India Office, New Delhi.

³ Assistant Professor, DANRE, IGAU, Raipur

application of sanitary and phyto-sanitary (SPS) measures and technical barriers to trade (TBT), quality and safety aspects have gained increased significance (Marothia, 1997; 2001; Sareen, 1998). New approaches in international trade for rice in general, and aromatic rices in particular, are expected to develop over the next few years. The national governments are required to design policies for food qualities, including rice (non-aromatic and aromatic rices) for both domestic and international trade following WTO measures. In the case of rice, the safety factors include pesticides residue limits, doses of irradiation, and fumigation residues, etc. All these factors affect quality and consumer preferences. The basic issue at the national level is to test the rice quality right from farm to table level.

Studies on domestic trade in aromatic rice have not received considerable attention in India despite the fact that scented rice varieties have competitive international price and the country can earn foreign exchange from them. Chhattisgarh has traditionally been known as the bowl of scented rice in central India, particularly due to several varieties of its aromatic rice (Marothia, 2003). In recent years, there has been a serious concern among the farmers, scientists, policymakers and environmentalists regarding continuous erosion of genetic biodiversity of rice cultivars (Singh et al., 2000; Singh and Singh, 2003). In view of the second phase of WTO and India's potential competitiveness in favour of aromatic rices in the international market, it is imperative to understand the dynamics of domestic trade of aromatic rices. It is important because not much information is available about the local level trade of aromatic rices, as large transactions in aromatic rice take place through hidden channels. Against this background, it is imperative to understand the pattern of domestic trade of aromatic rices at the micro level to address the basic issues of promoting their cultivation, production and export. With the introduction of high-yielding, short-duration, pest- and diseases-resistant varieties, price incentives and research inclinations towards modern varieties of non-aromatic rices to achieve selfreliance, thousands of hectares of area has been directed from aromatic rices to modern varieties. The cultivation of aromatic rice has been reduced largely to home consumption level, that too without much use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides to maintain consumption preference. In this study, the marketing and price-spread patterns of aromatic rices in the state of Chhattisgarh have been examined and few policy interventions have been suggested for promoting aromatic rice with regards to its production and trade in the state.

Methodology

This study was conducted in Nagri block of the Dhamtari district and Bilha block of the Bilaspur district in Chhattisgarh, with the financial support

from International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines. Nagri block is located in the eastern part of Dhamtari district and is 70-km away from Dhamtari and 135-km away from Raipur. Bilha block is in the southern part of Bilaspur district and is at 15-km from Bilaspur and 105-km from Raipur. It was found that there were nearly 130 traders and about 200 rice mills engaged in processing and trading of rice in the distrct of Dhamtari, Raipur and Bilaspur. Information on quantity of rice processed and traded, collected from 60 rice mills and 11 traders, has been presented in Table 1. It was found that aromatic rice contributed nearly 2 per cent of the total processed and traded rice in the state. A large proportion (71.82%) of aromatic rice was being marketed outside the state. Keeping in view the area covered under aromatic rices and number of varieties grown, Nagri block of Dhamtari district and Bilha block of Bilaspur were purposively selected for detailed economic analysis. Out of 250 and 178 villages of Nagri and Bilha blocks, 15 and 7 villages, respectively were selected for the study. From these villages 190 aromatic rice growers (131 from Nagri and 59 from Bilha) were selected for collecting information. The primary and secondary data were collected during the crop year 2001-2002.

Basic characteristics of sample farmers alongwith the distribution of farmers growing aromatic varieties are given in Table 2. Area coverage of different aromatic rice varieties under different farm-sizes has been presented in Table 3. *Dubraj-deshi*, *Dubraj-Bouna*, *Vishnubhog* and other aromatic varieties (*Ruchibhog*, *Tulsibhog*, *Jawaphool*, *Basmati and Tulsimanjari*) are extensively grown and the analysis was confined to these varieties only.

Table 1. Processing and trading of rice in Chhattisgarh: 2001-02

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity (tonnes) | Share (per cent) |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Processing of rice | | |
| | i. Aromatic rice | 13569 | 1.78 |
| | ii. Non-aromatic rice | 745488 | 98.22 |
| | iii. Total rice | 759057 | 100.00 |
| 2 | Trading of rice | | |
| | a. Aromatic rice | 8294 | 1.78 |
| | i. Within the state | 2337 | 28.18 |
| | ii. Outside the state | 5956 | 71.82 |
| | b. Non-aromatic rice | 456881 | 98.22 |
| | i. Within the state | 151259 | 33.11 |
| | ii. Outside the state | 305622 | 66.89 |
| | c. Total rice | 465176 | 100.00 |
| | i. Within the state | 152897 | 32.87 |
| | ii. Outside the state | 312279 | 67.13 |

Table 2. Basic characteristics of sample farms in Chhattisgarh

| Farm-size | No. of | Owned | Leased in | Leased | Operated | Irrigated | No. | of aromatic | rice growers | | Cropping |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| groups | farms | farm area (ha) | (ha) | out (ha) | area (ha) | as operated area, % | Dubraj- deshi | Dubraj- bouna | Vishnubhog | Others* | intensity, % |
| Marginal | 16 | 0.50 | 0.12 | - | 0.62 | 66.07 | 4 | 9 | 3 | - | 125.81 |
| Small | 42 | 1.29 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 1.47 | 71.16 | 12 | 24 | 7 | 2 | 108.84 |
| Medium | 58 | 2.40 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 2.60 | 75.00 | 27 | 22 | 13 | 3 | 123.85 |
| Large | 74 | 6.00 | 0.80 | 0.26 | 6.54 | 76.98 | 40 | 31 | 11 | 8 | 115.75 |
| All | 190 | 3.38 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 3.70 | 75.95 | 83 | 86 | 34 | 13 | 115.68 |

^{*}Others – Basmati, Ruchibhog, Tulsibhog, Jawaphool and Tulsimanjari

3.60

Particulars All Marginal Small Medium Large Dubraj-deshi 7.46 7.54 6.94 11.48 6.43 Dubraj-bouna 19.67 13.43 9.52 5.48 7.22 Vishnubhog 4.92 4.48 4.76 3.13 3.62 Other aromatic rice 0.75 0.80 0.47 0.56 Total aromatic rice 36.07 26.12 22.62 15.51 18.33 Non-aromatic rice 63.93 73.88 77.38 84.49 81.67

Table 3. Percentage area under different aromatic rice varieties by farm-size groups

Quality characteristics analysis of *Dubraj* accessions was carried out using Standard Evaluation Systems for Rice, developed by IRRI. The quality parameters of *Dubraj-deshi* and *Dubraj-bouna* are given in Table 4.

0.61

1.34

2.52

6.38

Results and Discussion

Area under total paddy (in ha)

Results and discussion have been divided into four sections. The first section deals with the basic features of sample farms. In the second part, findings pertaining to cost of cultivation, share of inputs in production cost, and productivity levels of different varieties of aromatic rices across farm-size groups have been presented. The third part deals with marketing and processing analysis and in the last part, constraints in production, marketing and processing have been discussed.

Basic Features of Sample Farms

These features provided an insight into the cropping pattern, average farms size/operated area, irrigated area, number of aromatic rice-growers by farm-size, percentage area to aromatic rice by variety in the study area (see Table 3). It can be seen from Table 2 that average farm-size/operated area and percentage of irrigated area were more under large-size than other-size farms. The number of aromatic rice-growers increased with increase in farm-size. It was observed that *Dubraj-bouna* and *Dubraj-deshi* varieties occupied the maximum area. On an average, 18.33 per cent of the total rice area was covered under different varieties of aromatic rices in the study area.

Share of Inputs and Productivity Levels

The share of input expenditure in the cost of cultivation, productivity levels and returns to investment are shown in Table 5. The cultivation of aromatic rice was found to be highly labour-intensive. Integrated use of

Table 4. Quality characteristics of *Dubraj* accessions

| S.No. | Quality attributes | Dubraj-deshi | Dubraj-bouna |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | Paddy (mm) | | |
| | (i) Length | 8.35 | 8.65 |
| | (ii) Width | 2.35 | 2.50 |
| | (iii) Length-width ratio | 3.55 | 3.46 |
| 2 | Brown rice (mm) | | |
| | (i) Length | 6.25 | 6.15 |
| | (ii) Width | 2.10 | 2.10 |
| | (iii) Length-width ratio | 2.98 | 2.93 |
| 3 | Milled rice (mm) | | |
| | (i) Length | 5.75 | 5.55 |
| | (ii) Width | 2.05 | 2.05 |
| | (iii) Length-width ratio | 2.80 | 2.70 |
| 4 | Hulling (%) | 78.80 | 78.90 |
| 5 | Milling (%) | 72.86 | 71.74 |
| 6 | Head rice recovery (%) | 56.97 | 42.61 |
| 7 | Kernel length after cooking (mm) | 8.80 | 8.60 |
| 8 | Kernel width after cooking (mm) | 2.85 | 2.80 |
| 9 | Lengthwise elongation (%) | 53.04 | 54.95 |
| 10 | Breadthwise expansion(%) | 39.02 | 36.58 |
| 11 | Elongation ratio | 1.53 | 1.55 |
| 12 | Elongation index | 1.10 | 1.13 |
| 13 | Alkali value (GT) | 2.70 | 2.50 |
| 14 | Grain type * | Medium | Medium |

^{*}Grain type was classified as per the classification provided in *Standard Evaluation System for Rice*, IRRI Project, 1996, Manila, Philippines.

fertilizers and FYM shared the next highest expenditure, followed by seed and plant protection measures. It was interesting to note that farmers were not very keen to use insecticides and pesticides unless there was a serious attack of pests and diseases. The high doses of chemical fertilizers and insecticides reduce the aroma quality of the rices significantly in the opinion of growers. Yield levels of Vishnubhog in all the categories of farms were higher than those of *Dubraj-deshi*. However, yield levels of other aromatic rice varieties were high on large farms as compared to those in Dubrajdeshi and Dubraj-bouna. Flat rates (Rs 80/ha) for canal irrigation prevailed in the study area, irrespective of the number of irrigations. Irrigation changes were not included in the cost of production due to flat rates. The yield levels of Dubraj-deshi and other aromatic rices were much lower on small than other farm categories. Lower yields were attributed by the farmers to low waterholding capacity of soils on their farms. The per rupee return to investment was higher for Vishnubhog than Dubraj-bouna and other aromatic rices. It was, in fact, due to higher market price of Vishnubhog.

Table 5. Per cent share of inputs in total cost and profitability of aromatic rice in Chhattisgarh: 2001-02

| Marginal | lal Vishnu- Others bhog 11.39 - 9.89 - 12.08 - 2.24 - | Dubraj- 11.57 6.22 14.32 1.81 | Small Dubraj- V bouna 6.75 5.01 14.11 4.62 | | Others | , | Medium | ш | |
|---|---|--|--|------------------------|--------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Dubraj- Dubraj- deshi bouna 9.56 6.42 8.80 6.99 16.73 22.14 15.0 3.27 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 ha) 11483 11800 | <u> </u> | Dubraj- 1 deshi 11.57 6.22 14.32 1.81 | | Vishnu- bhog 837 | Others | , | | | |
| 9.56 6.42 8.80 6.99 16.73 22.14 16.73 22.14 1.90 3.27 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 14.83 11800 | 11.39 - 9.89 - 12.08 - 2.24 - 15.66 | 11.57 6.22 14.32 1.81 | 6.75 5.01 14.11 4.62 | 8 37 | | Dubraj- deshi | Dubraj- bouna | Vishnu- bhog | Others |
| 8.80 6.99 16.73 22.14 16.73 22.14 16.73 22.14 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 11.483 11800 | 9.89 - 12.08 - 2.24 - 15.66 - 1 | 6.22 14.32 1.81 | 5.01 14.11 4.62 | ; | 5.88 | 9.42 | 7.46 | 9.21 | 12.93 |
| 16.73 22.14 ricides 1.90 3.27 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 ha) 11483 11800 | 12.08 - 2.24 - 15.66 - | 14.32 1.81 | 14.11 | 2.93 | 11.48 | 8.41 | 99.9 | 6.32 | 5.82 |
| ticides 1.90 3.27 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 ha) 11483 11800 | 2.24 - | 1.81 | 4.62 | 14.97 | 12.14 | 13.43 | 14.02 | 18.48 | 9.25 |
| 18.13 17.76 ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 ha) 11483 11800 | 15.66 | | | 3.92 | 7.81 | 1.93 | 5.98 | 1.42 | 4.42 |
| ver 3.19 7.48 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 ha) 11483 11800 | 20.01 | 15.42 | 15.18 | 17.74 | 15.50 | 11.91 | 11.01 | 18.67 | 10.47 |
| 25.64 13.61 16.76 22.33 11483 11800 | 2.24 | 7.18 | 8.09 | 4.51 | 4.30 | 11.11 | 6.67 | 4.94 | 9.72 |
| 16.76 22.33 1.1483 11800 1 | 24.92 | 9.73 | 21.53 | 16.41 | 36.00 | 11.54 | 6.04 | 19.18 | 12.15 |
| ha) 11483 11800 | 21.58 | 33.75 | 24.71 | 31.14 | 68.9 | 32.25 | 38.86 | 21.79 | 35.24 |
| | 12359 - | 10815 | 11913 | 10597 | 12010 | 11067 | 10074 | 8800 | 9272 |
| 27.45 24.63 | 23.75 | 17.66 | 20.16 | 26.95 | 13.79 | 22.80 | 23.72 | 23.72 | 18.17 |
| (Rs/ha) 27450 19704 2 | 25531 - | 17665 | 16128 | 28971 | 13790 | 22799 | 18976 | 25499 | 18186 |
| 15966 7903 | 13135 - | 0589 | 4214 | 18374 | 1779 | 11732 | 8901 | 16699 | 8914 |
| 1:1.67 | 1:2.06 | 1:1.63 | 1:1.35 | 1:2.73 | 1:1.14 | 1:2.06 | 1:1.88 | 1:2.90 | 1:1.96 |

Table 5. Per cent share of inputs in total cost and profitability of aromatic rice in Chhattisgarh: 2001-02—Contd

| Particulars | | | | Farm-size groups | sdnozb; | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| | | Large | e | | | All | | |
| | Dubraj- | Dubraj- | Vishnu- | Others | Dubraj- | Dubraj- | Vishnu- | Others |
| | deshi | bouna | bhog | | deshi | bouna | bhog | |
| Seed | 19.99 | 5.45 | 9.94 | 10.04 | 86.6 | 6.27 | 9.63 | 10.27 |
| FYM | 7.99 | 7.54 | 2.88 | 8.49 | 7.95 | 6.83 | 4.00 | 8.18 |
| Fertilizers | 10.60 | 15.73 | 16.42 | 13.55 | 11.78 | 15.26 | 16.68 | 12.34 |
| Insecticides/ Pesticides | 2.03 | 1.87 | 2.68 | 2.73 | 1.98 | 3.54 | 2.46 | 3.72 |
| Bullock labour | 7.23 | 5.92 | 16.73 | 2.24 | 9.39 | 9.47 | 17.31 | 5.76 |
| Machinery power | 15.66 | 17.21 | 7.25 | 20.72 | 13.47 | 13.13 | 6.21 | 16.16 |
| Family labour | 4.71 | 3.65 | 10.98 | 0.33 | 7.36 | 7.91 | 14.11 | 7.28 |
| Hired labour | 41.78 | 42.63 | 33.13 | 41.90 | 38.09 | 37.53 | 29.60 | 36.29 |
| Total cost (Rs/ha) | 10594 | 9864 | 9721 | 12815 | 10574 | 10433 | 9620 | 11577 |
| Yield (q/ha) | 25.25 | 23.68 | 27.09 | 27.15 | 23.65 | 23.46 | 26.10 | 22.80 |
| Gross returns (Rs/ha) | 25254 | 18944 | 29121 | 27153 | 23652 | 18768 | 28054 | 22799 |
| Net returns (Rs/ha) | 14660 | 6206 | 19400 | 14338 | 13077 | 8334 | 18433 | 11222 |
| Input output ratio | 1:2.38 | 1:1.92 | 1:3.00 | 1:2.11 | 1:2.24 | 1:1.80 | 1:2.92 | 1:1.97 |

Marketed Surplus, Marketing and Processing Cost Structure

The pattern of marketed surplus for *Dubraj-deshi*, *Dubraj-bouna*, *Vishnunbhog* and other aromatic rices was more or less same and hence an aggregate picture has been given in Table 6. The sample farmers had primarily grown aromatic rices for their home consumption. After retaining the quantity for seed purpose, the remaining quantity of the produce was marketed. It was interesting to note that large and marginal farmers marketed about 51.89 per cent and 31.39 per cent, respectively of their produce. The large farmers could sell a sizeable surplus due to higher production whereas, marginal farmers marketed the produce due to need of money. It was observed that marginal farmers generally sold out sizable quantity of the produce and even purchased non-aromatic rice to meet their consumption requirements.

Two main marketing channels were prevalent in the study area (Table 7). In channel-I, the traders/shopkeepers have traditionally been in touch with the farmers during harvesting season to purchase their produce at the farm gate. Over the years, the share of produce marketed through this

Table 6. Marketed surplus of aromatic rice in selected farm-size groups in Chhattisgarh

| Farm-size groups | Production (q) | Food consumption (q) | Seed (q) | Marketed surplus (q) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Marginal | 90.80 | 54.40 | 7.90 | 28.50 |
| | (100.00) | (59.91) | (8.70) | (31.39) |
| Small | 293.99 | 228.40 | 26.90 | 38.69 |
| | (100.00) | (77.69) | (9.15) | (13.16) |
| Medium | 756.19 | 579.28 | 47.47 | 129.47 |
| | (100.00) | (76.60) | (6.28) | (17.12) |
| Large | 1833.63 | 772.85 | 109.35 | 951.43 |
| | (100.00) | (42.15) | (5.96) | (51.89) |
| All | 2974.61 | 1634.90 | 191.62 | 1148.09 |
| | (100.00) | (54.96) | (6.44) | (38.60) |

Note: Figures within the brackets indicate the percentages of the total production.

Table 7. Share of produce sold by farmers through different channels

(per cent)

| Channels | | | Farm size | | |
|------------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
| | Marginal | Small | Medium | Large | All |
| Channel-I | 26.32 | 14.73 | 9.27 | 18.02 | 17.13 |
| Channel-II | 73.68 | 85.27 | 90.73 | 81.98 | 82.87 |

channel has been reducing due to emergence of rice mills and networking of commission agents associated with rice mills. The sample farmers sold almost 82 per cent of their produce to either commission agents or directly to the rice mills. Marketing cost of different varieties of aromatic rices under both channels are given in Table 8. The marketing cost for all the varieties was found slightly lower in channel-I than channel II.

The marketing and processing cost, monetary gains and producers' share in consumer rupee in channel-I are given in Tables 9a, 9b and 9c, respectively. Of the total marketing and processing cost in channel-I, 89 per cent was paid to farmers, and nearly 8 per cent was incurred on local taxes and packaging. Sixty per cent recovery of rice was observed for all the varieties in channel-I, which accounted for more than 91 per cent of the total value. The producers' share in the consumer rupee accounted for nearly 62 per cent (Table 9c). In channel-II, only processing cost was important because the agents directly purchased produce from the farmers and delivered it to rice millers (Tables 10a & b). The fixed cost followed by expenses on miscellaneous items, gunny bags, electric charges, wages and salaries constituted the major items of processing cost.

The recovery of rice in channel–II was found 61 per cent. It was difficult to trace out the onward transactions of the processed aromatic rices in channel-II, due to their hidden trade prevalent in this area since decades.

Production, Marketing and Processing Constraints

Continuous decrease in cultivated area, compulsion to use insecticides and pesticides due to severe attack of insects/pests, low productivity, adherence to traditional method of cultivation and non-availability of quality seeds due to increasing erosion of genetic diversity of aromatic rices were the major constraints identified by the sample farmers in enhancing area and productivity of aromatic rices (Tables 11 a,b & c).

In the opinion of farmers, the functioning of regulated market was not conducive for aromatic rices. Low price and small number of buyers (practically oligopsony network of market) for aromatic rices were also considered important marketing constraints by the farmers. In the view of rice millers, limited availability of financial support from the financial institutions, transporting of processed rice to other states, and locational disadvantage of processing units were among the important constraints. It was important to note that government policies, particularly with respect to levy, were considered favourable by the mill owners. It was primarily due to the fact that aromatic rice milling was out of the levy net. For promoting

Table 8. Marketing cost of different varieties of aromatic rice in marketing Channels I and II

(Rs/q)

| | | | | | Varieties | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Particulars | Dubra | ij-deshi | Dubra | i-bouna | Vishn | ubhog | Othe | ers | All arom | atic rices |
| | Channel-I | Channel-II | Channel-I | Channel-II | ChannelI | Channel-II | Channel-I | Channel-II | Channel-I | Channel-II |
| Transporting | 4.89 | 4.50 | 10.89 | 10.17 | - | 3.68 | 5.00 | 4.85 | 8.06 | 6.61 |
| | (53.79) | (43.86) | (70.39) | (62.98) | | (38.33) | (58.83) | (45.63) | (65.10) | (52.80) |
| Loading/ | | | | | | | | | | |
| unloading | 2.67 | 2.15 | 2.98 | 2.00 | - | 2.13 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.75 | 2.20 |
| | (29.37) | (20.96) | (19.28) | (12.38) | | (22.19) | (23.52) | (18.81) | (22.23) | (17.57) |
| Weighing | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | (11.00) | (9.75) | (6.46) | (6.19) | | (10.42) | (11.77) | (9.41) | (8.07) | (7.99) |
| Commission | _ | 2.00 | - | 2.40 | - | 2.20 | - | 2.19 | - | 2.13 |
| | | (19.49) | | (14.86) | | (22.42) | | (20.60) | | (17.01) |
| Others | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.58 | = | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.58 |
| | (5.83) | (5.94) | (3.88) | (3.60) | | (6.14) | (5.89) | (5.55) | (4.60) | (4.63) |
| Total | 9.09 | 10.26 | 15.47 | 16.15 | - | 9.60 | 8.50 | 10.63 | 12.38 | 12.52 |

Note: Figures within the brackets indicate percentages of the total marketing cost.

Table 9a. Marketing and processing cost of aromatic rice in channel-I in Chhattisgarh

| Particulars | Ţ | √alue |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|
| | Rs/q | Percentage |
| Price paid to farmers | 1133.00 | 89.15 |
| Transporting | 2.00 | 0.16 |
| Loading/unloading | 11.00 | 0.86 |
| Milling | 2.33 | 0.08 |
| Cleaning | 15.00 | 1.18 |
| Others * | 105.00 | 8.27 |
| Total | 2.52 | 0.20 |
| | 1270.85 | 100.00 |

^{*}Others – Local taxes, packaging, etc.

Table 9b. Total gains from aromatic rice after processing in channel-I

| Particulars | Recovery (%) | Value (Rs/q) | Percentage |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Rice | 60 | 1680 | 91.60 |
| Broken rice | 10 | 80 | 4.36 |
| Husk | 23 | 46 | 2.51 |
| Bran | 7 | 28 | 1.53 |
| Total | 100 | 1834 | 100.00 |

Table 9c. Marketing and processing cost and price-spread of aromatic rice in channel-I in Chhattisgarh

| Particulars | V | alue |
|------------------------|---------|------------|
| | Rs/q | Percentage |
| Producer's share | 1133.00 | 61.77 |
| Marketing cost | 12.38 | 0.67 |
| Processing cost | 137.85 | 7.52 |
| Trader's profit | 550.77 | 30.04 |
| Price paid by consumer | 1834.00 | 100.00 |

domestic trade, free movement of rice, liberal financial support and development of infrastructural facilities were the prerequisites.

The farmers of Chhattisgarh were seriously concerned with the erosion of aromatic rice diversity in their surrounding environment. They did not have any solution to arrest the continuous disappearance of aromatic rice varieties. Very small quantity of a particular aromatic rice can be produced on tiny farm sizes. It was very difficult many a times to even retain the produce for seeds. Due to non-replacement of seeds for years together,

Table 10a. Processing cost of aromatic rice in channel-II

| Particulars | Ţ | Value |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------|
| | Rs/q | Percentage |
| (A) Raw material cost | 1125.00 | 96.54 |
| (B) Processing cost | | |
| i. Handling charges | 0.54 | 0.05 |
| ii. Salaries to staff | 2.62 | 0.22 |
| iii. Wages | 4.02 | 0.34 |
| iv. Local taxes | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| v. License fees | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| vi. Electricity charges | 5.58 | 0.48 |
| vii. Lubrication oil | 0.28 | 0.02 |
| viii. Repairs & maintenance | 1.68 | 0.14 |
| ix. Gunny bags | 6.15 | 0.53 |
| x. Miscellaneous costs | 5.66 | 0.49 |
| Total processing cost | 26.65 | 2.29 |
| (C) Interest on fixed capital | 13.62 | 1.17 |
| (D) Total cost (A+B+C) | 1165.27 | 100.00 |

Table 10b. Recovery in channel-II

| Particulars | Percentage |
|-------------|------------|
| Rice | 61.09 |
| Broken rice | 9.17 |
| Bran | 7.19 |
| Husk | 22.66 |
| Total | 100.00 |

seed quality was also getting deteriorated. As a result, in the past 20 years several valuable aromatic varieties of rices have either disappeared or are on the verge of disappearance. Table 12 provides a list of the varieties which have disappeared in the study area, as perceived by the sample farmers.

Conclusions and Policy Interventions

Cultivation of aromatic rice is labour-intensive with low application of chemical fertilizers and plant protection materials. The quality of seeds is the important contributor to the cost. The yield levels of aromatic rices categories included in this study are quite low but due to high market prices, the returns to farmers are generally much higher in aromatic than non-aromatic rices. A large proportion of the produce is retained for home consumption and seeds. The remaining produce is marketed through two

Table 11a. Major constraints in production of aromatic rice in Chhattisgarh

| Particulars | Percentage of farmers' response | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. Area in aromatic rice | | |
| Increase | 2.63 | |
| Decrease | 97.37 | |
| Reasons | | |
| Low production | 81.58 | |
| Attack of insect/pest | 60.00 | |
| High cost of cultivation | 19.47 | |
| Sensitive to chemical use | 8.95 | |
| Adulteration problems | 10.00 | |
| Poor resistance to pests and diseases | 15.26 | |
| Lack of technical information | 4.74 | |
| Lodging problems | 7.37 | |
| 2. Availability of quality seed | | |
| Available | 43.68 | |
| Not Available | 56.31 | |
| 3. Control measures to pest and diseases | | |
| Traditional method | 54.21 | |
| Use of insecticides/pesticide | 100.00 | |
| 4. Shortage of labour | | |
| Yes | 54.74 | |
| No | - | |
| 6. Non-availability of irrigation water throu | ghout the crop season | |
| Yes | 33.68 | |
| No | 66.32 | |

primary marketing channels. The producer share in consumer's rupee in channel-I has been found approximately 62 per cent, whereas in channel-II, it could not be worked out due to its non-tracibility beyond rice mill. Susceptible to pests/ diseases, low productivity, non-availability of quality seeds, low price, small number of powerful and well connected buyers, non- responsive attitude of regulated markets towards aromatic rices have been identified as major constraints to farmers. Financial constraints, and movement-restrictions on aromatic rices across states have been recorded as the important constraints to rice millers. In the opinion of selected farmers, several aromatic rice varieties have disappeared during the past 10 years in their areas.

Based on the findings of this study and relevant review of literature, the following policy interventions are suggested:

1. To arrest increasing erosion of diversity in aromatic rices, a comprehensive policy may be prepared. Causes of disappearance of

Table 11b. Marketing constraints in aromatic rice in Chhattisgarh

| Particulars | Percentage of farmers response | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------------|
| | Yes | No | Don't know |
| Do you get remunerative price of your product? | 32.63 | 67.37 | - |
| Do you face problems regarding transportation? | 16.32 | 81.05 | 2.63 |
| Does small marketable quantity create any problem in selling your product? | 17.37 | 80.53 | 2.10 |
| Are there sufficient No. of buyers of your product | t? 56.32 | 43.16 | 0.52 |
| Do you depend on middle-men for disposal/sale of your produce? | 12.63 | 80.00 | 7.37 |
| Are you satisfied with the present method of sale & purchase of produce prevailing in <i>Mandi?</i> | 52.63 | 47.37 | - |
| Is there any kind of malpractices prevailing in the <i>Mandi</i> for the marketing of aromatic rice? | 40.00 | 60.00 | - |
| Other constraints, if any* | 8.95 | 83.16 | 7.89 |

^{*}Difficult to sell old paddy of aromatic rice in Mandi, and it needed separate arrangement for purchase of aromatic rice.

Table 11c. Constraints confronted by rice millers in Chhattisgarh

| Particulars | Percentage of rice millers response |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Availability of raw material | |
| Yes | 100.00 |
| No | - |
| 2. Power supply | |
| Problem of low voltage & cut-offs | 56.25 |
| No problem of power supply | 43.75 |
| 3. Location of processing unit | |
| Location advantage | 68.75 |
| Location disadvantage | 31.25 |
| 4. Government policies | |
| Favourable | 56.25 |
| Not favourable | 43.75 |
| 5. Financial regulation* | |
| Limited (less than required) | 75.00 |
| 6. Problems in marketing of main product ** | 25.00 |
| 7. Problems in marketing of by-products | 0.00 |
| 8. Problems of high cost on levy & transport | 12.50 |

^{*}With respect to availability.

**Transporting produce outside the state.

Table 12. Opinion of farmers regarding disappearance of aromatic rice varieties

| | Aggregate | | | | | |
|-------|---------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| S.No. | Name of | Name of varieties disappeared in the past | | | | |
| | 10 Years | 15 Years | 20 Years | | | |
| 1 | Rajabhog | Chini-kapoor | Kapoorsar | | | |
| 2 | Siyaram | Jhiniparag | Soth | | | |
| 3 | Kewachh | Tilkasturi | Mokhlaphool | | | |
| 4 | Garrakat | Mogramahak | Kasturi | | | |
| 5 | Badsabhog | Alchi alcha | Dokramechha | | | |
| 6 | Sambarmati | Bhasmpatri | Chikkut | | | |
| 7 | Madhuri | Kalikumud | Kalimunchh | | | |
| 8 | Chinnour | Tulsimala | Tulsiprasad | | | |
| 9 | Tulsi-manjari | Luchai | Bhataphool | | | |
| 10 | - | Elaichy | Tendu-phool | | | |
| 11 | - | - | Shukla bhata | | | |
| 12 | - | - | Baspatri | | | |
| 13 | - | - | Lookthimachhi | | | |
| 14 | - | - | Harun dubraj | | | |

Source: Field survey 2001-2002 of 190 farmers in both the chosen blocks.

various varieties, measures for their conservation and methods for utilization of available germplasm for breeding new varieties retaining aroma quality may be inventorized. Multi-location trials with fairly long-term perspectives may be conducted to understand the bio physical-socio economic and cultural dimensions of aromatic rices. *In-situ* and *ex-situ* experiments may be conducted to assess wide replicability of available aromatic varieties in different parts of Chhattisgarh. Horizontal and vertical research linkages need be established at the national and international levels to understand the cross-cutting issues of aromatic rices cultivation, marketing, processing and quality dimensions. To ensure against biopiracy, registration of all aromatic varieties must be done at an early date with Natural Bureau of Plant and Genetic Resources.

2. In the context of changing world agricultural scenario, India may have edge over other countries in the aromatic rices. To promote export of aromatic rices, reform measures need to be effectively linked with domestic trade. Unfortunately not much research has been done in domestic trade of aromatic rices and as a result, even crude estimates are not available to assess the extent and pattern of domestic trade. Research organizations in the state and central government should commission a series of studies in different agro-climatic zones dominated with aromatic rices cultivation to assess domestic trade.

3. An integrated approach is needed to bring all stakeholders in cultivation, trade, research and management of aromatic rices under one umbrella to develop meaningful strategies to improve/maintain quality dimensions and to take advantage of trade opportunities. The comparative advantages of trade opportunities spelt out in WTO agreement have to be analyzed with their impact on domestic and international trade of aromatic rices. Such an analysis may shape the future of aromatic rices in Chhattisgarh and other parts of the country.

References

- Bhasin, V. K., (1996) Emerging Indian rice trade scenario. In: *Visions of India's Rice Trade*. Eds: R. Chand and T. Haque. New Delhi: IRRI-NCAP. pp. 36-98.
- Bhasin, V. K., (2000) India and the emerging global rice trade. In: *Aromatic Rices*. Eds: R. K. Singh. U. S. Singh and G. S. Khush. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing Company Pvt Limited.
- Chand, R. and T. Haque, (Eds) (1996) *Visions of India's Rice Trade*, New Delhi: IRRI NCAP.
- Gulati, A. and A. N. Sharma, (1997) Freeing trade in agriculture: Implications for resource-use efficiency and cropping changes. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 27 December.
- Gulati, A., R. Mehta and S. Narayanan, (1999) From Marrakesh to Seattle: Indian agriculture in a globalising world. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 9 October.
- IRRI Report (1996) Standard Evaluation System for Rice. Manila Philippines: IRRI.
- Marothia, D. K. (1997) Agricultural technology and environmental quality: An institutional perspective Keynote paper. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **52** (3): 473-487.
- Marothia, D. K., (2001) Agricultural development in Chhattisgarh: Policy issues (Chhattisgarh main Krishi Vikas: Nitigat Pahlu in Hindi). Paper presented in a Workshop jointly organised by Indira Gandhi Agricultural University and Chhattisgarh Farmers Commission at Raipur, Feb.26, pp.7.
- Marothia, D. K., (2003) Domestic trade in aromatic rice: Lessons from an exploratory study of Chhattisgarh In: *A Treatise on the Scented Rices of India*. Eds: R. K. Singh and U. S. Singh. Ludhiana & New Delhi: Kalyani Publishers. pp. 189-210.
- Pingali, P. L., (1995) GATT and rice: Do we have our research priorities right? In: *Fragile Lives in Fragile Ecosystems*. Proceedings of International Rice Research Conference. Feb.13-17. IRRI, Manila, Philippines. pp.25-38.
- Sankar, U. and K. P. Kalirajan, (2001) Globalizing Indian agriculture: Opportunities and challenges. In: *Accelerating Growth through Globalization of Indian Agriculture*. Eds: K. P. Kalirajan, G. Mythili and U. Sankar. Chennai: MacMillan Press.

- Sareen, S., (1998) Emerging scenario in food quality: Specific emphasis on rice. *Rice India*, Feb issue: 8-10.
- Shobha, Rani, N. *et al.* (1996) Breeding for quality rices for domestic and export markets. In: *Report of the INGER Monitoring Visit and Fine-Grain Aromatic Rice in India, Iran, Pakistan and Thailand,* September 21-October 10,1996. IRRI, Manila, Philippines, pp.45-88.
- Singh, R. K., U.S. Singh and G.S. Khush, (2000) *Aromatic Rices*. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing Company. Pvt Limited.
- Singh, R. K. and U.S. Singh (2003) *A Treatise on the Scented Rices of India*. New Delhi: Kalyani Publishers.