Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 43, Issue 3, Page 54-65, 2025; Article no.AJAEES.131995
ISSN: 2320-7027

Evolution of Sustainable Development in Japan: From Historical Foundations to Green Transformation

Arthur Martins Bosquerolli [a*]

[a] *Department of Economics, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2025/v43i32701

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131995

Review Article

Received: 24/12/2024
Accepted: 26/02/2025
Published: 01/03/2025

ABSTRACT

Aim: This review examines Japan's trajectory toward sustainable development, analyzing its historical evolution from the 20th century to present-day initiatives. It highlights Japan's response to industrial and environmental crises, emphasizing its transition from rapid post-war economic expansion to the implementation of sustainability-driven policies. The study specifically explores the Green Transformation (GX) strategy as a key initiative aimed at achieving carbon neutrality and aligning Japan with global sustainability objectives.
Methodology: This is a narrative review based on academic literature, policy documents, and case studies related to Japan's environmental and economic sustainability strategies. Key themes include:(i) historical environmental challenges and the evolution of policy responses, particularly in the wake of industrial pollution and energy crises;(ii) the role of technological advancements and regulatory frameworks in shaping Japan's sustainability agenda;(iii) the Green Transformation (GX)

[++] *Master's in Public Policy;*
**Corresponding author: E-mail: arthurmartins@ufpr.br;*

Cite as: *Bosquerolli, Arthur Martins. 2025. "Evolution of Sustainable Development in Japan: From Historical Foundations to Green Transformation". Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology 43 (3):54-65. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2025/v43i32701.*

initiative, assessing its potential impact on domestic and international sustainability efforts. A critical analysis of Japan's policies offers insights into the nation's capacity to address environmental challenges while maintaining economic growth.

Findings of Results: Japan's experience demonstrates how policy-driven sustainability strategies can foster economic and environmental resilience. While the GX initiative represents a transformative commitment to achieving carbon neutrality, its success depends on overcoming technological, economic, and institutional challenges. The review highlights the importance of adaptive policy frameworks, sustained investment in green technology, and international collaboration in driving effective sustainability transitions.

Conclusion: Japan's approach provides valuable insights for nations seeking to balance industrial growth with environmental protection. Future research should explore the long-term effectiveness of the GX initiative, the role of public and private sector collaboration, and the applicability of Japan's sustainability strategies in different socio-economic contexts.

Keywords: Sustainable development; Japan; Green Transformation (GX); environmental policy; carbon neutrality.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of sustainable development, formally articulated in the 1980s, stems from a relatively recent recognition of the need to balance economic growth with environmental conservation. Traditionally, economic development has been characterized by a relentless pursuit of industrial production and the expansion of economic opportunities, mainly focusing on GDP increase. However, as the environmental challenges of the 20th century began to surface, the perils of unchecked development became increasingly evident. Pivotal works such as Rachel Carson's groundbreaking book Silent Spring (1962), Kenneth E. Boulding's seminal essay The Economics of the Coming Spaceship Earth (1966), and the Club of Rome's influential report Limits to Growth (1972) fundamentally transformed global perspectives on these critical issues. These publications served as stark reminders of the planet's finite resources and that the pursuit of industrial advancement carries significant ecological costs.

The concept of sustainable development, however, gained prominence on the global stage later on, with the release of the Brundtland Report in 1987. This seminal document introduced the definition: "sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (World Commission on Environment and Development 1987). This definition encapsulates the essence of sustainable development, emphasizing the necessity of balancing present-day demands with the imperative of preserving resources for future generations. It underscores a truth so seemingly obvious yet profoundly significant: progress today must not come at the expense of tomorrow's existence. This shift signifies the emergence of a growing global concern for environmental issues, showing their impact on societies and ecosystems worldwide.

The inter-relationship of post-World War II beginnings in economic revitalization and sustained industrial growth with the discourse on sustainability within Japan has been very complex (Broadbent 1998). In Japan, as the modernization during the 1950s and 1960s (specifically its large-scale industrial expansion) did lead to significant environmental degradation (Ui 1992). The tipping point was the acute pollution events like Minimata and Itai-itai diseases linked to mercury and cadmium poisoning. These public health disasters caused a realization of the devastating consequences of industrial pollution and brought forth the first wave of environmental regulations in Japan. (Ui, 1992).

The dominant government environmental approach in Japan during the 1970s and into the 1980s was proactive intervention to face environmental problems (Weidner, 1989; Okano-Heijmans, 2012), creating one of the world's most systematic pollution control framework which positioned the country to be an environmental leadership role mode (Broadbent, 1998). In the 2000s, Japan faced a new set of challenges as climate change was increasingly recognized worldwide as a critical environmental crisis. This period necessitated a shift from traditional command-and-control strategies toward more expansive and integrated

sustainability practices. As Kameyama (2016) notes, Japan's climate change policy evolved significantly during this time, with the government adopting a more flexible and multi-stakeholder approach, incorporating market-based mechanisms and voluntary initiatives alongside regulatory measures. This transition reflected a broader commitment to addressing global environmental concerns while balancing economic growth and energy security.

Japan's transition to a leading role in global environmental efforts and its commitment to sustainable development were exemplified by its key role in establishing the Kyoto Protocol in 1997 (UNFCCC, 1997). This landmark agreement was an aggregated acknowledgment that cooperation is needed globally to address climate change, and a significant expression of what Japan hoped to lead the world promoting advancement on the environmental governance.

In the context of a global economy increasingly defined by transformative shifts toward decarbonization, Japan is now embarking on an ambitious green transition known as the Green Transformation (GX). This initiative, integral to Japan's overarching climate strategy, signifies a new chapter in the pursuit of sustainable development, targeting the establishment of a net-zero society through the widespread adoption of renewable energy sources, green technologies, and sustainable practices across various sectors (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2022). This holistic approach not only addresses Japan's response to the pressing global climate crisis but also positions the nation as a potential exemplar for other countries navigating their own transitions to low-carbon economies.

To ensure a structured and transparent analysis, this paper employs a narrative review methodology, synthesizing academic literature, policy documents, and historical records on Japan's sustainable development. This approach is well-suited for examining broad and complex topics, as it enables the integration of diverse perspectives while tracing the evolution of sustainability policies over time.

The review process follows a thematic structure, identifying key theoretical frameworks, historical developments, and policy trends. By mapping Japan's sustainability trajectory, the study highlights how past environmental challenges have shaped contemporary strategies, particularly within the framework of the Green Transformation (GX) initiative. Additionally, understanding the global evolution of sustainable development is essential to contextualizing Japan's experience, as the concept has been shaped by international debates, agreements, and environmental crises that transcend national borders. The analysis is guided by recurring themes in environmental governance, technological adaptation, and economic policy, ensuring a coherent discussion of Japan's evolving sustainability efforts.

Following this introduction, Section 2 outlines the historical foundations of sustainable development, first in a global context (2.1), providing the necessary background on how the concept emerged and evolved internationally, before turning to Japan's specific experience (2.2). Section 3 examines Japan's current sustainability challenges and international role, considering both domestic barriers (3.1) and its broader influence on global environmental governance (3.2). Finally, Section 4 presents the study's conclusions, summarizing key insights and discussing implications for future sustainability policies.

2. HISTORY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (GLOBAL AND JAPAN-SPECIFIC)

The notion of sustainable development is a chronological sequence of scientific, ecological and economic constructs which developed during the twentieth century. On a macro scale, sustainable development arose as a response to the socio-environmental costs associated with the ever-increasing pace of industrialization and has become a comprehensive framework for tackling, not only the issue of economic growth, but also concerns of resource scarcity and social inequity.

A fundamental question in this discourse is: What exactly are we striving to sustain? The answer varies depending on the chosen framework, encompassing objectives such as economic growth, utility, social indicators, and environmental quality. The United Nations' approach to sustainable development emphasizes the integration of three interconnected dimensions: economic growth, social inclusion, and environmental protection. This holistic framework seeks to balance trade-offs among these areas, ensuring that progress in one does not come at the expense of the

others (Mensah, 2019). However, there is a broad scientific consensus that sustainable development, as defined by the integration of economic, social, and environmental dimensions, serves as a foundational concept for understanding its historical evolution. Since this definition is widely accepted in current academic discussions, it provides a solid base from which to explore its origins and transformations over time. (Kates, Parris & Leiserowitz, 2005; Purvis, Mao & Robinson, 2019; Mensah, 2019).

2.1 Global Origins and Evolution

Historically, the idea of sustainability—especially in terms of resource conservation—emerged long before the advent of contemporary industrial practices. It was foresters and ecologists in the late 19th and early 20th centuries who first launched the conservation movement (Grove, 1995). Their efforts aimed to ensure the preservation and continued availability of essential natural resources, emphasizing that current actions should contribute to a better future for generations to come (Kemp, 1986). However, the principles of present-day sustainable development gained prominence in the post-World War II era. The rapid growth and industrial advances of this period spurred a historic economic renewal, but also highlighted the environmental degradation caused by unchecked resource extraction and the unsustainable nature of continuous growth (Hays, 1987; McNeill, 2000). As the economy transformed, it became increasingly urgent to find a way to balance economic progress with responsible ecological stewardship (Jorgenson & Clark, 2012).

The 1960s and 1970s were important in world environmental perception. Influential publications, such as Rachel Carson's groundbreaking work, Silent Spring (1962), and the Club of Rome's seminal report, Limits to Growth (1972), served as catalysts for change by exposing the detrimental effects of pollution and the overexploitation of resources on both ecosystems and human health. Carson's meticulous research underscored the urgent need to reconsider the prevailing paradigms of economic growth, revealing their inherent unsustainability. Limits to Growth similarly underscored the urgent need to reconsider the prevailing assumptions about economic progress at the time, revealing the inherent unsustainability of perpetual growth. Through its detailed modeling, it highlighted the finite nature of global resources and the potential consequences of ignoring ecological limits, calling for a fundamental shift in how economies approach development and resource use. (Carson, 1962; Meadows et al., 1972). These revelations ignited a wave of environmental activism across the globe, prompting calls for comprehensive policy interventions aimed at addressing the escalating environmental crises.

The momentum for sustainable development gained further traction in the 1980s, as the concept began to be formally integrated into international policy frameworks. The World Conservation Strategy, introduced by the International Union for Conservation of Nature in 1980, underscored the imperative of aligning development efforts with conservation principles (Lafferty, 1999). This foundational work set the stage for the landmark 1987 Brundtland Report, Our Common Future, which articulated a widely accepted definition of sustainable development: the pursuit of development that satisfies "the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987), a definition that not only crystallized the concept of sustainability, but also laid the groundwork for subsequent international commitments, including the United Nations' Agenda 21, which was adopted at the 1992 Earth Summit. (Lafferty, 1999).

This historic agreement also formally maintained sustainable development as an overall global goal and stressed the need for the joint policy of developing countries to tackle the multifaceted environmental sustainability problems (United Nations, 1992; Baker, 2016). Through establishment of partnerships among the government, the business, and civil society, Agenda 21 sought to encourage sustainable behavior across all fields of activity to the extent of preventing the ecological integrity being sacrificed because of economic growth (United Nations 1992). This integrative approach brought an increased emphasis on the need for environmental factors to be integrated into all elements of decision making and set the scene for future work in trying to achieve development and conservation synergy (Sachs 2015; Baker 2016).

2.2 Japan's Path Toward Sustainable Development

Japan's journey toward sustainable development has been profoundly shaped by its unique socio-

economic and environmental context. The aftermath of World War II marked a transformative era for the nation, characterized by an extraordinary economic recovery that propelled Japan into the ranks of the world's leading industrialized countries (Nakamura, 1995). However, this rapid industrialization came at a significant cost, resulting in widespread environmental degradation and severe public health crises (Ui, 1992). The surge in industrial production during this period led to alarming levels of pollution, which, in turn, caused serious health issues among the population (George, 2002). These challenges underscored the urgent need for Japan to balance economic growth with environmental and social well-being, setting the stage for its ongoing efforts to achieve sustainable development.

By the 1960s, the consequences of unchecked industrial growth became glaringly evident through several catastrophic pollution incidents. Notably, Minamata disease, a devastating neurological condition caused by mercury poisoning and Itai-itai disease, linked to cadmium contamination, emerged as stark reminders of the perils associated with rapid industrial expansion (Weidner, 1989; George, 2002; Hachiya, 2006). These high-profile cases not only garnered national and international focus but also sparked a passionate public call to action for legislative efforts to respond to the mounting environmental emergencies. Social demand for change made it a watershed moment and to this effect, the Japanese government took action to implement broad environmental policies (Hachiya, 2006).

To meet these urgent issues, Japan passed the Basic Law concerning Environmental Pollution Control in 1967, which marked the first integrated legislative policy to tackle environmental problems. This landmark legislation empowered the government to regulate pollution effectively and impose penalties on industries that failed to comply with environmental standards. The enactment of this law marked a significant point in the environmental governance history of Japan, signaling the intention to adopt sustainable solutions and the construction of regulatory systems expecting industry to take responsibility for its environmental impact (Weidner, 1989).

Amidst escalating environmental challenges, the Japanese government took a decisive step by establishing the Environmental Agency in 1971. This agency was tasked with playing a central role in coordinating pollution control measures and promoting environmental protection across various sectors of society (Miyamoto, 1991). The creation of the Environmental Agency represented a landmark moment in institutionalizing environmental governance, providing a strong foundation for Japan’s enduring commitment to sustainable development (Broadbent, 1998). These early initiatives not only established a framework for environmental responsibility within the industrial sector but also cultivated a broader culture of awareness and accountability regarding environmental issues (Ui, 1992; Park, 2008). Through these efforts, Japan began to address the pressing need to harmonize economic progress with ecological preservation, setting a precedent for future environmental policies.

The 1970s and 1980s witnessed a notable evolution in Japan’s environmental strategy, transitioning from a primary focus on pollution control to a broader emphasis on energy efficiency and resource conservation (Hase, 1981). The oil crises of 1973 and 1979 served as a wake-up call, exposing Japan’s heavy reliance on imported energy sources and prompting the government to recognize energy conservation as a vital component of national security and economic stability (Broadbent, 1998). Consequently, Japan developed a package of measures with the intent to mitigate cold energy intensity in industrial sectors and encourage use of energy efficient technologies (Miyamoto, 1991).

The emphasis on energy conservation and efficiency became integral to Japan's sustainable development narrative, showcasing the potential for economic growth to align with environmental stewardship. Research by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP, 2019) underscores the importance of integrating energy efficiency into national policies, illustrating how Japan's model provides valuable lessons for developing countries. Through such an integrative approach, not only Japan's capacity for coping has been improved, but Japan, in turn, has become an example for global sustainability (Yamaguchi, 2003).

Countries are increasingly drawing lessons from Japan's experiences as they strive to implement sustainable practices. This collaborative effort resembles an experiment, with nations working together to enhance global sustainability. Such

coordination is crucial, as addressing the environmental crisis requires a united global response (Kim, 2009). No single nation can effectively combat the multifaceted challenges of sustainability in isolation; only through international cooperation and the shared implementation of effective practices can meaningful progress be achieved (Hurrell 1994).

The Energy Conservation Law, enacted in 1979, marked a pivotal moment in Japan's commitment to enhancing energy efficiency across various sectors. (Miyamoto, 1991; Fukasaku, 1995; Broadbent, 1998). By drawing up strict regulatory requirements for industrial energy use, this legislation is creating a climate in which R&D and technology development can flourish (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2014). Through the encouragement of the use of pollution control technologies by the heavy industries, the government eventually managed to reconcile industrial activities with wider and environmental goals. As a result, Japan achieved significant reductions in both pollutant emissions and overall energy consumption, positioning itself as a global benchmark for energy-efficient industrial practices. (Miyamoto, 1991; Yabar, 2009; Sugiya, 2019).

In the 1990s, Japan emerged as a key player on the international stage of environmental governance (Dahaner, 1998). Its pivotal role as the host nation and principal architect of the 1997 Kyoto Protocol exemplified the country's unwavering commitment to combating climate change at a global level (Peng, 1993). As Grubb (2004) explains, the Kyoto Protocol introduced legally binding greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction targets for developed countries, marking a groundbreaking advancement in international climate policy. Under the Protocol, 37 industrialized countries and the European Community committed to reducing GHG emissions by an average of 5.2% below 1990 levels during the first commitment period from 2008 to 2012. This collective commitment aimed to address the growing concerns over global warming and set a precedent for future international climate agreements.

Japan's leadership in the formulation of the Kyoto Protocol underscored its strong commitment to global climate action and set a significant precedent for future multilateral environmental agreements (UNFCCC, 1997). The protocol's impact extended far beyond international diplomacy, profoundly shaping Japan's domestic policy landscape (Miller & Moore, 1991). It compelled the government to introduce a range of measures aimed at reducing carbon emissions and advancing sustainable practices (Kameyama, 2016). Throughout the 2000s, Japan intensified its focus on renewable energy initiatives and launched innovative campaigns such as Cool Biz, which encouraged businesses and individuals to adopt energy-saving practices, particularly during the summer months (Masaki, 2007). These efforts reflected Japan's proactive approach to integrating environmental sustainability into both policy and everyday life, further solidifying its role as a global leader in climate action.

These initiatives were in line with Japan's overarching objective of reducing energy dependence while simultaneously decreasing GHG emissions (Kondoh, 2009). However, the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster in 2011 represented a profound inflection point in Japan's energy and environmental policy framework (Omura, 2012). Triggered by the Great East Japan Earthquake and the ensuing tsunami, the disaster laid bare the vulnerabilities inherent in Japan's nuclear energy infrastructure, prompting a nationwide reassessment of energy sources. Public sentiment shifted dramatically against nuclear energy, leading to the closure of most nuclear reactors and a renewed emphasis on renewable energy alternatives (Omura, 2012; OECD, 2021).

In response to this crisis, the Japanese government implemented the Feed-in Tariff (FIT) system in July 2012, designed to stimulate investment in renewable energy by providing guaranteed fixed payments for electricity generated from renewable sources, such as solar, wind, and biomass (Mortha et al., 2024). This policy catalyzed a rapid expansion of solar energy initiatives, propelling Japan into the ranks of the world's largest solar energy markets, with solar capacity increasing from 5 GW in 2012 to over 70 GW by 2021 (IRENA, 2021).

The FIT policy underscored Japan's commitment to diversifying its energy portfolio and reducing reliance on nuclear power, however, the rapid growth of renewable energy also introduced challenges, such as grid instability and the need for significant infrastructure upgrades to accommodate intermittent energy sources (Suwa & Jupesta, 2012). Additionally, the high costs associated with the FIT program led to revisions in 2016 and 2020, including reduced tariff rates

and the introduction of competitive bidding for large-scale projects to ensure the program's sustainability. Despite these challenges, the FIT system has been instrumental in advancing Japan's renewable energy goals, with renewables accounting for over 20% of the nation's electricity generation by 2021 (IEA, 2021).

Japan's latest phase of sustainable development, termed the Green Transformation (GX), represents an ambitious and comprehensive strategy aimed at achieving carbon neutrality by 2050. Launched by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) in 2022, the GX initiative delineates a robust framework for decarbonization across multiple sectors, including energy, industry, and transportation. Central to the GX framework is a strong emphasis on technological innovation and investment in green infrastructure, with particular attention devoted to hydrogen technology, offshore wind energy, and carbon capture and storage (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2022).

The GX initiative reflects Japan's strategic pivot towards a sustainable economy that harnesses advanced technologies to tackle climate challenges while ensuring economic competitiveness. Furthermore, it seeks to cultivate international partnerships to facilitate technology transfer and collaborative efforts on global decarbonization projects. Through the GX, Japan aspires not only to reduce domestic emissions but also to take a leadership role in global sustainability innovation, reinforcing its commitment to shaping a low-carbon future.

3. CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE FUTURE: CHALLENGES AND GLOBAL IMPACT OF JAPAN'S GREEN TRANSFORMATION

As Japan advances its ambitious Green Transformation (GX) initiative, it encounters a multitude of significant challenges that necessitate meticulous analysis and strategic planning (Ohta & Barrett, 2023). The pressing imperative to combat climate change, together with the objective of attaining carbon neutrality by 2050 (Sugiyama, 2019), imposes considerable pressure on the nation to reform its energy systems and economic frameworks. The delicate equilibrium between these lofty sustainability objectives and the imperative to sustain continuous economic growth is paramount, particularly in light of Japan's status as a leading industrialized nation. This dual emphasis mandates innovative solutions and a cohesive effort across various sectors to guarantee both environmental and economic robustness. (Yabar, 2009; Ohta & Barrett, 2023).

The discourse surrounding these challenges is crucial not only for shaping Japan's domestic policy environment, but also for reinforcing its position as a global frontrunner in sustainability. A sophisticated comprehension of the complexities inherent in this transition will provide valuable insights for other nations pursuing analogous sustainability trajectories. By examining the specific barriers Japan faces—spanning technological innovation, energy security, and societal acceptance of transformative changes—stakeholders can devise more efficacious strategies that enhance resilience and adaptability in response to urgent environmental problems. Ultimately, the outcomes of these deliberations will significantly affect Japan's ability to effectively execute its GX initiative and to contribute substantively to global sustainability endeavors. (Kostyukova, 2022; Ohta & Barrett, 2023).

3.1 Challenges

A primary challenge in Japan's GX undertaking is the rapid transition to renewable energy sources while concurrently diminishing dependence on fossil fuels. In light of Japan's limited domestic energy resources, the nation must navigate the intricate equilibrium between ensuring energy security and attaining environmental sustainability. This multifaceted endeavor may impose substantial strain on economic resources and necessitate considerable investments in renewable energy technologies and infrastructure (Kurachi et al., 2022).

Another notable obstacle is the acquisition of broad societal and industrial endorsement for the GX objectives. Industries that are heavily reliant on carbon-intensive processes—such as manufacturing and automotive sectors—will face economic pressures as they adapt to progressively stringent emissions regulations (Yamaguchi 2023). For the general populace, the ramifications of energy price volatility, lifestyle alterations, and potential job transitions associated with the GX may engender resistance unless policies are designed to integrate incentives and effectively engage the public (Kurachi et al., 2022).

Furthermore, Japan's aging population introduces profound complexities that affect the nation's capacity to execute Green Transformation (GX) initiatives. This demographic transition, characterized by a shrinking workforce and an increasing dependency ratio, necessitates a nuanced approach to resource allocation and labor management (Canon et al., 2015). The successful implementation of GX strategies relies on harmonizing economic revitalization with equitable labor distribution, particularly in sectors that are predominantly dependent on physical labor or advanced technological competencies.

The challenge lies in ensuring that the economic benefits of a green transition—such as reduced reliance on fossil fuels, improved energy security, and potential job creation in green industries—outweigh potential disruptions. (Kostyukova, 2022; Ohta & Barrett, 2023). These disruptions could manifest as labor shortages, increased fiscal pressure to support the aging population and the risk of inequitable outcomes for rural or less developed regions. Moreover, fiscal pressure could intensify as governments allocate funds to support these transitions, particularly in regions with aging populations, creating a need for effective fiscal policy design. Balancing the economic costs of this shift with the potential long-term savings from reduced healthcare costs and environmental damage will be a key challenge. (Hendriks et al., 2006; Carone et al., 2008).

A targeted investment in green technology must be paired with strategic workforce development to effectively address the challenges of structural changes brought about by green policies, ensuring sustainable economic transitions and balancing environmental goals with socio-economic stability (Breen, 2015; Liu, Chen, & Zhang, 2021). Tailored initiatives, such as reskilling programs and local economic diversification plans, could mitigate the uneven impact on less developed or rural areas. Equally important is ensuring that green policies do not unintentionally exacerbate existing inequalities, requiring careful policy coordination and a focus on inclusive growth (Meyer, 2014). By adopting a holistic approach that combines technology innovation, social support, and fiscal responsibility, the challenges of green transition can be met while maintaining socio-economic stability (World Bank, 2012).

3.2 International Influence

The implications of Japan's GX strategy reach far beyond its national borders, particularly as developing countries, for decades now, seek to Japan as a paradigm for sustainable development (Miller & Moore, 1991; Peng, 1993). Historically, Japan has maintained a leading position in environmental technology, with nations in Asia, Latin America, and Africa often mirroring its methodologies in energy efficiency and disaster mitigation (Peng, 1993; Norbom, 2012). Should Japan's GX initiative achieve its objectives, it could serve as a catalyst for a new era of global green policies, illustrating how a developed nation can proficiently diminish emissions while ensuring economic stability.

Furthermore, Japan's GX strategy not only aims to meet domestic sustainability targets but also amplifies its stature in the realm of global economic diplomacy. Japan has a well-established tradition of amalgamating environmental and energy technologies into its foreign policy, regarding 'green' economic diplomacy as a tactical pathway to attain economic and political stability through international collaboration. Since the late 1980s, both governmental and private sectors in Japan have advocated for targeted innovation policies, concentrating on sectors such as railways, nuclear energy, and advanced automobiles to cultivate new international markets and enhance collaborative global relationships (Okano-Heijmans, 2012; Miller & Moore, 1991). In this context, Japan's GX strategy may function as a diplomatic tool, fostering global partnerships that align with sustainability objectives while concurrently promoting Japan's economic ambitions.

Nevertheless, Japan's trajectory may also highlight challenges from which other nations could derive valuable insights. Emerging economies with limited resources may encounter significant obstacles in emulating Japan's magnitude of investment in green technology and infrastructure, particularly if Japan's GX entails substantial financial and technological expenditures—elements that are frequently intertwined with such economic shifts (Crespi, 2016). By functioning as a practical case study in extensive green transformation, Japan's GX possesses the potential to shape global policy structures, prompting other nations to embark on their own sustainability endeavors, albeit necessitating adaptations suited to their distinct

circumstances. This dynamic could cultivate a collaborative milieu where knowledge exchange and technological advancement are instrumental in surmounting challenges faced by emerging economies, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable global economy (Aho & Uden, 2014).

4. CONCLUSION

The trajectory toward sustainable development in Japan exemplifies a multifaceted interplay among its historical context, the progression of its policies and the prevailing values within its society. Commencing from the post-war era, characterized by rapid industrial expansion accompanied by a neglect of environmental considerations, Japan has gradually recognized the pressing imperative for sustainable practices. The introduction of the Green Transformation (GX) initiative not only perpetuates this historical legacy but also represents a pivotal advancement toward a more equitable and sustainable future.

As Japan pursues the goal of achieving carbon neutrality by the year 2050, it emerges as a vital case study for other nations. The GX strategy not only highlights the significance of innovative technologies and sustainable methodologies, but also accentuates the necessity for international cooperation and the exchange of knowledge. The insights garnered from Japan's experiences in reconciling economic advancement with ecological accountability can furnish valuable guidance for developing nations aspiring to establish their own sustainability programs and goals.

Furthermore, the ramifications of Japan's GX initiative reach beyond its national borders, potentially shaping global sustainability frameworks and policies. As the international community increasingly grapples with the implications of climate change, Japan's aptitude for reconciling economic and environmental priorities will be subjected to rigorous examination. The outcomes of the GX strategy, whether successful or fraught with challenges, may function as a metric for global advancement toward sustainability, underscoring the interdependence of national policies and international environmental aspirations.

Japan finds itself at a crucial juncture in its quest for sustainability, with the Green Transformation (GX) initiative poised to reshape its trajectory and influence the global sustainability discourse. Japan's capacity to set a precedent by aligning economic growth with environmental stewardship presents a compelling paradigm for a sustainable future. As the world grapples with the intricate challenges of sustainable development, Japan's commitment to the GX initiative embodies resilience, forward-thinking innovation, and a profound dedication to a shared global responsibility, illuminating a path toward a more balanced and sustainable future.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Aho, A. M., & Uden, L. (2014). Knowledge sharing in international innovation course. In L. Uden, L. Wang, J. Corchado Rodríguez, H. C. Yang, & I. H. Ting (Eds.), *The 8th International Conference on Knowledge Management in Organizations* (pp. 471-478). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7287-8_44

Baker, S. (2016). Sustainable development as a global goal: The implications for governance. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy, 34*(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263774X15614634

Boulding, K. E. (1966). The economics of the coming spaceship Earth. In H. Jarrett (Ed.), *Environmental quality in a growing economy* (pp. 3–14). Resources for the Future.

Breen, C. E. (2015). *Beyond petroleum: Strategic workforce planning and climate change*

policies (PhD dissertation). University of Victoria. http://hdl.handle.net/1828/6119

Broadbent, J. (1998). *Environmental politics in Japan: Networks of power and protest*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511571060

Canon, M. E., Kudlyak, M., & Reed, M. (2015). Aging and the economy: The Japanese experience. *The Regional Economist, 23*(4), 12–13.

Carone, G., Costello, D., Diez Guardia, N., Eckefeldt, P., & Mourre, G. (2008). Economic growth and fiscal sustainability in the EU: The impact of an ageing population. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.1997174

Carson, R. (1962). *Silent spring*. Houghton Mifflin.

Crespi, F. (2016). Policy complexity and the green transformation of the economies as an emergent system property. *Green Growth, Eco Innovation and Sustainable Transitions, Environmental Economics and Policy Studies, 18*, 143–157.

Danaher, M. (1998). Towards sustainable development in Japanese environmental policy-making. *Sustainable Development, 6*(3), 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1719(199812)6:3<101::AID-SD91>3.0.CO;2-6

Fukasaku, Y. (1995). Energy and environment policy integration: The case of energy conservation policies and technologies in Japan. *Energy Policy, 23*(12), 1063-1076.

George, T. S. (2002). *Minamata: Pollution and the struggle for democracy in postwar Japan*. Harvard University Asia Center.

Grove, R. H. (1995). *Green imperialism: Colonial expansion, tropical island Edens, and the origins of environmentalism, 1600–1860*. Cambridge University Press.

Grubb, M. (2004). Kyoto and the future of international climate change responses: From here to where. *International Review for Environmental Strategies, 5*, 15–38.

Hachiya, N. (2006). The history and the present of Minamata disease. *Japan Medical Association Journal, 49*, 112–118.

Hase, T. (1981). Japan's growing environmental movement. *Environment, 23*(2), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.1981.9933109

Hays, S. P. (1987). *Beauty, health, and permanence: Environmental politics in the United States, 1955–1985*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511664106

Hendriks, Ch. F., Vogtländer, J. G., & Janssen, G. M. T. (2006). The eco-costs/value ratio: A tool to determine the long-term strategy for delinking economy and environmental ecology. *International Journal of Ecodynamics, 1*(2), 136-148. https://doi.org/10.2495/ECO-V1-N2-136-148

Hurrell, A. (1994). A crisis of ecological viability? Global environmental change and the nation state. *Political Studies, 42*(S1), 146–165. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1994.tb00010.x

Jorgenson, A. K., & Clark, B. (2012). Are the economy and the environment decoupling? A comparative international study, 1960–2005. *American Journal of Sociology, 118*(1), 1–44. https://doi.org/10.1086/665990

Kameyama, Y. (2016). *Climate change policy in Japan: From the 1980s to 2015*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315733920

Kates, R. W., Parris, T. M., & Leiserowitz, A. A. (2005). What is sustainable development? Goals, indicators, values, and practice. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 47*(3), 8–21.

Kim, S. (2009). Translating sustainable development: The greening of Japan's bilateral international cooperation. *Global Environmental Politics, 9*(2), 24–44. https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP.2009.9.2.24

Kondoh, K. (2009). The challenge of climate change and energy policies for building a sustainable society in Japan. *Organization & Environment, 22*(4), 415–433. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026609333418

Kostyukova, K. S. (2022). The green transformation of Japan and some contours of the new national energy policy. *π-Economy, 15*(6), 54–70. https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.15604

Kurachi, Y., Morishima, H., Kawata, H., Shibata, R., Bunya, K., Moteki, J. (2022). Challenges for Japan's economy in the decarbonization process. *Research and Statistics Department, Bank of Japan*. https://www.boj.or.jp/en/research/brp/ron_2022/data/ron220609a.pdf

Lafferty, W. M. (1999). Introduction: The pursuit of sustainable development—Concepts, policies, and arenas. *International*

Political Science Review, 20(2), 147–161. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512199202001

Liu, D., Chen, J., & Zhang, N. (2021). Political connections and green technology innovations under an environmental regulation. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 298*, 126778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126778

Masaki, H. (2007). Japan's new energy strategy. In *Energy perspectives on Singapore and the region* (pp. 228–248). ISEAS Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1355/9789812305794-022

McNeill, J. R. (2000). *Something new under the sun: An environmental history of the twentieth-century world*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens III, W. W. (1972). *The limits to growth*. Universe Books.

Mensah, J. (2019). Sustainable development: Meaning, history, principles, pillars, and implications for human action: Literature review. *Cogent Social Sciences, 5*(1), 1653531. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1653531

Meyer, N. (2014). Barriers and solutions for a green transition. In A. Brunnengräber & M. Di Nucci (Eds.), *Im Hürdenlauf zur Energiewende* (pp. 143–157). Springer VS. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-06788-5_7

Miller, A., & Moore, C. (1991). *Japan and the global environment* (Vol. 1). Center for Global Change, University of Maryland, College Park. https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1202&context=delpf

Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry. (2022). Green transformation (GX) – Realizing a sustainable and resilient society. https://www.meti.go.jp/english/policy/growth_strategy/gx/index.html

Ministry of the Environment (MOE). (2018). *Basic Environment Plan*. https://www.env.go.jp

Miyamoto, K. (1991). Japanese environmental policies since World War II. *Capitalism Nature Socialism, 2*, 71–100. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:147749123

Mortha, A., Yajima, N., & Arimura, T. H. (2024). Impact of the feed-in-tariff exemption on energy consumption in Japanese industrial plants. *Japan and the World Economy, 69*, 101241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japwor.2024.101241

Nakamura, T. (1995). *The postwar Japanese economy: Its development and structure* (2nd ed.). University of Tokyo Press.

Norbom, S. N. (2012). Japan as a clean energy leader. *Gettysburg Economic Review, 6*(1), 6. https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/ger/vol6/iss1/6

OECD/NEA. (2021). *Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident, ten years on: Progress, lessons and challenges*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/124c2774-en

Ohta, H., & Barrett, B. F. D. (2023). Politics of climate change and energy policy in Japan: Is green transformation likely? *Earth System Governance, 17*, 100187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esg.2023.100187

Okano-Heijmans, M. (2012). Japan's 'green' economic diplomacy: Environmental and energy technology and foreign relations. *The Pacific Review, 25*(3), 339–364. https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2012.685090

Omura, T. (2012). Japan moves to green: Natural disaster empowers Japanese citizens. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2179692

Park, J. (2008). Strategy, climate change, and the Japanese firm: Rethinking the global competitive landscape of a warming planet. *Asian Business & Management, 7*(3), 343–365. https://doi.org/10.1057/ABM.2008.17

Peng, Y. (1993). The earth summit and Japan's initiative in environmental diplomacy. *Futures, 25*(1), 67–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-3287(93)90001-A

Popp, D. C. (2010). Innovation and climate policy. *Annual Review of Resource Economics, 2*, 275–298. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.resource.012809.103929

Purvis, B., Mao, Y., & Robinson, D. (2019). Three pillars of sustainability: In search of conceptual origins. *Sustainability Science, 14*, 681–695. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0627-5

Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. Columbia University Press. https://doi.org/10.7312/sach17314

Sugiyama, M., Fujimori, S., Fujimori, S., Wada, K., Endo, S., Fujii, Y., Komiyama, R., Kato, E., Kurosawa, A., Matsuo, Y., Oshiro, K., Sano, F., & Shiraki, H. (2019). Japan's long-term climate mitigation policy: Multi-model assessment and sectoral challenges. *Energy, 169*, 938–952. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2018.10.091

Suwa, A., & Jupesta, J. (2012). Policy innovation for technology diffusion: A case-study of Japanese renewable energy public support programs. *Sustainability Science, 7*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-012-0175-3

Ui, J. (1992). *Industrial pollution in Japan*. United Nations University Press. https://archive.unu.edu/unupress/unupbooks/uu35ie/uu35ie00.htm

United Nations. (1992). *Agenda 21: Programme of action for sustainable development*. United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/Agenda21.pdf

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). (1997). *Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf

Weidner, H. (1989). Japanese environmental policy in an international perspective: Lessons for a preventive approach. In S. Tsuru & H. Weidner (Eds.), *Environmental policy in Japan* (pp. 479–552). Sigma.

Worster, D. (1994). *Nature's economy: A history of ecological ideas* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

World Bank. (2012). *Inclusive green growth: The pathway to sustainable development*. Washington, DC. http://hdl.handle.net/10986/6058

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.

Yabar, H., Hara, K., Uwasu, M., Yamaguchi, Y., Zhang, H., & Morioka, T. (2009). Integrated resource management towards a sustainable Asia: Policy and strategy evolution in Japan and China. *International Journal of Environmental Technology and Management, 9*(3), 257–270. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJETM.2009.027609

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131995