



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

COMMENT

R.M. Briones' Comment on "The Future of Rice in Asia: Public and Private Roles"

■ David Dawe and C. Peter Timmer

Roehlano M. Briones

Senior Research Fellow, Philippine Institute for Development Studies (PIDS)
and Board Member, Brain Trust Inc., Philippines

✉ roehlbriones@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.37801/ajad2025.22.1.1a>

This is a thoughtful meditation by two renowned experts on the future of rice in Asia. All the themes covered are salient and skillfully tackled. However, such an ambitious title invites comments about this or that topic that the reviewer would like to be covered—colliding, perhaps, with the authors' view of what to emphasize and prioritize. Nonetheless, may I introduce my own biases on what themes are worthy of discussion, and how:

Structural transformation. The supply side of structural transformation (pp 3–4) discusses labor productivity and land consolidation. A broader aspect of transformation is diversifying into greater value adding, while still maintaining strong vertical linkages, i.e., from farming to agrifood systems. This phenomenon was briefly discussed under supermarkets and the like, in relation to modern price stabilization. However, the phenomenon may need further elaboration on its own terms, concerning quality shifts, diversification into processing and modern retail, and the implications of such changes.

Price stabilization. The argument for a market-based, publicly-driven stabilization is basically sound. While the rationale for public intervention was relegated to a citation, perhaps explicit discussion is warranted, especially on the political stability argument. This, I think, is more relevant to emerging economies of Asia, rather than welfare arguments that are more suitable for

Asian Journal of
Agriculture and
Development (AJAD)
Vol. 22 No. 1 June 2025
[complete lineup](#)

The Future of Rice in Asia:
Public and Private Roles
D. Dawe and C.P. Timmer

Comments

- 1 R.M. Briones
- 2 L.C.Y. Wong

Authors' Rejoinder

D. Dawe and C.P. Timmer

Toward Sustainable
Agriculture: An Evaluation
of Mechanization Practices
in Small-Scale Paddy
Farming in the Mekong
River Delta, Vietnam
H.A. Hoang and M.D.H. Hanh

Foreign Direct Investment
and Agricultural Growth:
Panel Data Evidence on
Chinese FDI Inflows
in African Countries
L.A. Abdulrazzaq, X. Huang,
and Z. Ukasha

Determinants of Farmers'
Understanding of Digital
Transformation in
Agriculture: Evidence from
the Red River Delta, Vietnam
V.D. Luu and T.T.H. Le

Factors Influencing the
Technical Efficiency of
Smallholder Cacao Farmers
in Davao de Oro, Philippines
S.G.P. Placencia, A.K.E. Carbonell, L.N. Digal,
and C.Q. Balgos

Cultural Risk
Communication Framework:
The Case of a Riverine
Community in Infanta,
Quezon, Philippines
K.Z.G. Lavadia, M.S.C. Tirol, S.B. Jamias,
M.O. Moscoso, and J.T. Dizon

lower income, subsistence-oriented economies. Moreover, the authors seem to overestimate the scope of market-based stabilization by modern supermarkets. I disagree that supermarkets stabilize because they control supplies. Rather, their behavior is characterized by price stickiness due to coordination failures (and similar arguments in the relevant New Keynesia literature). As such, there will be severe enough shocks that will prevent them from managing price instability, even if it may be socially worthwhile to do so from a political economy perspective.

Resource scarcity and climate change. Surely the biophysical challenge faced by rice production should be a prominent element in discussing the future of rice. There are allusions here and there in the discussion of rice research, and in the concluding section. But I think this theme deserves to be highlighted, including how the rice industry should adapt to climate change, water scarcity, and deteriorating soil health – and how rice industry can mitigate its own footprint on these current and future risks to sustainability.