



The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.


Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



Perceived Effect of Dry Season Farming on Household Food Security in Goronyo Local Government Area of Sokoto State

D. H. Yakubu^{1*}, N. Nwolisa¹, E. A. Kehinde², M. B. Muhammad³, H. Shuaibu⁴ and T. Usman¹

¹*Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.*

²*Samaru College of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.*

³*Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Federal University, Dutse, Jigawa State, Nigeria.*

⁴*Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Institute for Agricultural Research, Faculty of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author DHY designed the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the protocol. Author NN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors EAK, MBM, HS and TU managed the analyses and the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2019/v35i130210

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Rajesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary and A. H. Extension Education, College of Veterinary Sciences, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (LUVAS), India.

Reviewers:

(1) Md. Abdul Quddus, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh. (2) Lawal Mohammad Anka, Nigeria.

(3) I. Merlin Kamala, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49848>

Received 02 May 2019

Accepted 06 July 2019

Published 14 August 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Some farm households engage in dry season farming to reduce the problem of food deficit due to low access to food and low income from agriculture. Coping strategy and daily calorie intake of farm households used to determine the level of food security is often subject to bias, thus determining the farmers' perceived level of food security becomes imperative. This study was therefore conducted with main objective of assessing the perceived effects of dry season farming

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dnlami_y@yahoo.com;

on household food security in Goronyo Local Government Area (LGA) of Sokoto State. A sample of 100 farmers was used. Data were obtained with the use of an interview schedule. Descriptive (frequencies and percentages) and inferential (Chi-square analysis) statistics were used to analyze the data. Findings indicated that the entire farmers were male; majority of whom were in their economically active age group of less than 50 years, married (73.0%), with no formal education (83.0%). Farming was their major occupation (94.0%), to which they devoted 1-5 hectares (91.0%) of their personal land (69.0%) for dry season farming. They cultivated mainly rice (76.0%) during the season and perceived that they were food secured (85.0%). They reported that dry season farming improved their standard of living ($\bar{X} = 4.26$), served as a source of income ($\bar{X} = 3.84$) and lowered their food insecurity level ($\bar{X} = 3.65$), among others. Result of the Chi-square analysis indicated that a significant relationship between educational attainment of the farmers and their perceived level of food security ($\chi^2 = 0.003 < P$ value = 47.69). It was concluded that dry season farmers in Goronyo LGA of Sokoto State believed that dry season farming had a positive effect on their household food security.

Keywords: Perceived effect; dry season; farming; household; food security.

1. INTRODUCTION

Food is the one of the basic human needs for survival, health and productivity. It is the foundation for human and economic development [1]. In a broad sense, food security exists when "all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences to living an active and healthy life" [2]. On the other hand, food insecurity occurs when food systems are stressed such that food is not available, accessible or utilized properly.

Household food security has become a national and international concern in recent years which has been connected with the food crisis been experienced in the world. Food security is a flexible concept as reflected in many attempts at definition in research and policy usage [3]. The concept of food security has been in use for the last thirty years to reflect changes in official policy thinking [3,4]. According to Maxwell and Smith [5], the term first originated in the mid-1970s when World Food Conference of 1974 defined food security in terms of food supply – assuring the availability and price stability of basic foodstuffs at the international and national level: "Availability at all times of adequate world food supplies of basic foodstuffs to sustain a steady expansion of food consumption and to offset fluctuations in production prices."

Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia are the regions most affected by food insecurity, being home to 60% of the world's food insecure people. In the case of Sub-Saharan Africa, the food situation is further aggravated by low per

capita food availability, high fluctuations in food supply and lack of innovative ideas as well as responsive policies for sustainable use and management of natural resources. The predominance of rain-fed agriculture often results in food systems that are highly sensitive to rainfall variability [6]. The region thus remains susceptible to frequent food crises and famines. Sub-Saharan Africa is the only region of the world where hunger is projected to worsen over the next two decades unless drastic measures are taken to improve governance of its natural resources and achieve the economic development required to reverse the current trends [7].

Despite agricultural policies and strategies in Nigeria, food insecurity remains a fundamental challenge in the country [8]. Although agriculture remains a very component of the country's economy, contributing about 41% of GDP and employing about 70% of the active population, it receives less than 10% of the annual budgetary allocations. As a result, the agricultural sector has failed to supply sufficient food in quantity and quality to feed the constantly growing population. Therefore, the level of food insecurity in Nigeria has continued to increase steadily since the 1980s. Food insecurity rose from about 18% in 1986 to about 41% in 2004 [9]. With an estimated population of 150 million, this implies that over 61 million Nigerians are food insecure, that is, are either hungry, undernourished or starving. This is not surprising given that about 52% of the population live under the poverty line.

Dry season farming through irrigation; has been described as the application of water to soil to make available essential moisture for plant

growth. It also serves as insurance against drought and to provide a cooling effect on the soil environment for plant growth and development. [10] reported that irrigation has been a key to achieving food security in many parts of the world and that about 250 million hectares are irrigated worldwide today. It has been possible to increase and protect the harvest and grow crops that could otherwise be cultivated under the condition of extreme drought. Furthermore, irrigation has made higher and more reliable yield possible [11]. Sokoto-Rima River Basin Development Authority [12] has observed that crops can be planted more than once in the tropics in a year, apart from the bigger and reliable yield as against yearly cultivation, which is often at the mercy of seasonal rainfall.

In spite of the importance of food to humans, the dry land sector of Sudan is characterized by the traditional farming system and rainfall fluctuations assemble with difficult management of crops cultivation. Thus, the majority of farm households is facing a problem of food deficit due to low access to food and lack of income earning from agriculture and related activities. Coping strategy and daily caloric intake of farmers household are used to determine the level of their food security which is subject to bias, thus determining the perceived level of food security becomes imperative. This study was therefore conducted with the main objective of assessing the perceived effects of dry season farming on household food security in Goronyo Local Government Area (LGA) of Sokoto State. It specifically described the demographic characteristics of the farmers, determined the major crops produced by the farmers, determined the perceived food security of the farmers and examine the farmers' perceived benefits of dry season farming in the area.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted in Goronyo LGA of Sokoto State. Located between latitude 11°00' and 14° 00' N and longitude 3°50' and 8°00' E, it has an area of 1,705 km² and a population of 182,296 [13]. The LGA has a long history of intensive irrigation farming and commerce. The major industries are a craft, bridle bags and leather cushions cottage industries. There is also a long distance trading involving goods such as kola nuts, dates, salt, cloth, leather, rice, onion, garlic, pepper and spices, fishes and so on [14].

In general, there is a prominent seasonal variation in temperature and diurnal range of

temperature. The daily minimum temperature is about 36°C. During the harmattan season, the daily minimum temperature falls below 17°C. The highest temperature of 44°C is recorded between February and April. Average annual rainfall is about 740mm. Rainfall of the area is also highly seasonal and controlled by the movement of the Inter-tropical Discontinuity (ITD). Most rainfall is experienced during the relatively short but intense localized thunderstorm covering small areas [14].

Two-stage sampling technique was used to obtain the study sample. In the first stage, five (5) villages were purposively selected having the highest population of dry season farmers in the LGA. They are villages found close to Goronyo dam located in the LGA, where dry season farming is carried out. They include Keta, Falalia, Gorau, Takakumi and Rimawa. In the second stage, 20 farmers each were selected from the 5 villages using simple random sampling, which gave a total of 100 farmers as a sample for this study. Data were obtained from the farmers with the aid of an interview schedule. The data were analyzed using frequencies, percentages and chi-square analysis.

To determine the farmers' perceived benefits of dry season farming, the farmers were required to score some statements on perceived benefits of dry season farming from 0-5. Mean score for each of the statement was determined and the statements were ranked in terms of their mean scores to indicate the most important benefits of dry season farming as perceived by the farmers. The chi-square model was use to test the relationship between some demographic characteristics of the farmers and their perceived level of food security.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Farmers

Table 1 shows that 46.0% of the farmers were less than 30 years, 44.0% were within the range of 30 – 49 and only 10.0% were 50 years and above. Therefore the majority of the farmers were less than 50 years old. This shows that the farmers were within their productive ages.

The entire farmers (100.0%) were male, indicating the predominance of males in farming activities in the area (Table 1). It can also be attributed to the cultural and religious belief of the

people in the study area, which prohibits women to go out freely and engage in certain activities such as farming. This finding is in line with [15] reported that only 12.7% households in Nigeria were female headed.

The majority (73.0%) of the farmers were married. Only 27.0% were single (Table 1). This implies that majority of the farmers is married with family responsibilities. Such responsibility is expected to influence their involvement in dry season farming so that they can attain an adequate level of food security.

Table 1 also shows that majority (58.0%) of the farmers had a family size of 1 – 9 individuals, 32.0% of them had 10 – 18 member, while 10.0% had within 19 – 27 members in their families. Large family size often has an advantage in the provision of farm labour and it also saves the farmer a lot in employing labourers. Furthermore, family size serves as a source of prestige in some social settings. However, family size can be a source of pressure for the farmers to look for other alternatives of producing more food crops for the house, hence engaging in dry season farming.

The majority (83.0%) of the farmers had no formal education. They had Islamic education as their highest educational attainment, 13.0% of them had primary education, 3.0% had secondary education and only 1.0% had tertiary education (Table 1). Education is expected to have a positive influence on the farmers' perception of their food security level.

Table 1 shows that the majority (94.0%) of the farmers had farming as their major occupation, 3.0% of them were traders, while 2.0% and 1.0% were students and civil servants respectively. It indicated that the majority of farmers spent more hours of their working time on the farm and rely basically on farming for livelihood.

3.2 Major Crops Produced

Table 2 shows that the majority (76.0%) of the farmers cultivated rice, 13.0% cultivated pepper, while 9% and 2% cultivated tomatoes and onions, respectively. The result revealed that rice is the major crop cultivated by the farmers under dry season farming. In a study report for rice production in Nigeria, Ecosystems Development Organization [16] revealed that the dominant rice

Table 1. Distribution of the farmers according to their demographic characteristics

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age (years)		
< 30	46	46.0
30 – 49	44	44.0
50 – 69	10	10.0
Sex		
Male	100	100.0
Female	0	0.0
Marital status		
Married	73	73.0
Single	27	27.0
Household size		
1 – 9	58	58.0
10 – 18	32	32.0
19 – 27	10	10.0
Highest educational attainment		
Non-formal education	83	83.0
Primary education	13	13.0
Secondary education	3	3.0
Tertiary education	1	1.0
Major occupation		
Farming	94	94.0
Trading	3	3.0
Student	2	2.0
Civil servant	1	1.0

Source: Field survey, 2014

Table 2. Distribution of the farmers based on major crops produced

Major crops produced	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Rice	76	76.0
Pepper	13	13.0
Tomatoes	9	9.0
Onion	2	2.0
Total	100	100.0

Source: Field survey, 2014

Table 3. Distribution of the farmers based on food security

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Perceived food security		
Food secured	85	85.0
Food insecure	15	15.0
Perceived level of food security		
At all times	48	48.0
Sometimes	45	45.0
Not at all	7	7.0
Food security status		
For a few months	41	41.0
For a more than a year	32	32.0
For a year	24	24.0
For a month	2	2.0
For a week	1	1.0

Source: Field survey, 2014

systems found in Nigeria are rain-fed field rice, also known as the dry upland system and waterlogged shallow *fadama* field rice system. The rain-fed field rice system is very widespread. It is particularly important in the Savannah zones. The waterlogged shallow *fadama* system is also widespread. It is an important system given the fact that it is practiced along with the major drainage systems such as the Niger/Benue troughs as well as minor watercourses and tributaries.

3.3 Food Security

The majority (85.0%) of the farmers perceived that they were food secured, while only 15.0% perceived themselves as being food insecure (Table 3). This indicates that the farmers had access to enough food.

On their perceived level of food security, 48.8% of the farmers were food secured at times, 45.0% were secured sometimes and 7.0% insecure at all times (Table 3). This indicates that although the farmers felt that they were food secured, the majority of them were not food secured at all times. There is, therefore, need for the farmers to boost their production level for the increased level of food security.

On their food security status, 41.0% the farmers considered themselves food secured for a few months, 32.0% for more than a year and 24.0% for a year (Table 3). Majority of the farmers, therefore, were not food secured throughout the year.

3.4 Perceived Benefits of Dry Season Farming

Among the benefits of dry season farming as perceived by the farmers, improvement in their standard of living was ranked first having a mean score of 4.26 (Table 4). It indicates that dry season farming improved their level of wealth, comfort, material goods and necessities. Increase in income level was ranked second with a mean score of 3.84. Lowering of food insecurity level had a mean score of 3.65 and was ranked third. This finding is in line with the finding of [17] that irrigation farming boosts crop production thereby leading to higher income level and thus improves the farmers' standard of living. [18] also reported that dry season farming on *fadama* land has the twin advantage of crop diversification such that if a crop fails or are damaged, another crop will ensure food security and economic returns as dry season crops allow the farmers

Table 4. Distribution of the farmers based on perceived benefits of dry season farming

Benefits	Total score	Mean score	Rank
Improve the standard of living	426	4.26	1 st
Source of income	384	3.84	2 nd
Lowers food insecurity level	365	3.65	3 rd
Source of food	347	3.47	4 th
Source of employment	236	2.36	5 th

Source: Field survey, 2014

Table 5. Relationship between some demographic characteristics of the farmers and their perceived level of food security

Variable	χ^2 value	Df	p-value	Decision
Age and perceived level of food security	0.590	8	1.93	Ho accepted
Marital status and perceived level of food security	0.172	6	9.02	Ho accepted
Household size and perceived level of food security	0.826	2	1.17	Ho accepted
Total land size and perceived level of food security	0.194	4	62.75	Ho accepted
Educational attainment and perceived level of food security	0.003	4	47.69**	Ho rejected

** Significant at 1%; χ^2 = Chi-square calculated value; Df = Degree of freedom

improve household economic security and investment on one hand and money to buy food in case of crop failure on the other hand. This will boost the economic fortunes of farmers and alleviate their problem in the event of adverse conditions or disasters. [19] also observed that the role of agriculture in income generation for the poor, particularly women, is more important for food security than its role in food production.

3.5 Relationship between Some the Demographic Characteristics of the Farmers and Their Perceived Level of Food Security

The chi-square analysis shows that there was no significant relationship between the age of farmers and the perceived level of food security of the farmers. There was also no significant relationship between marital status of farmers and their perceived level of food security. Household size of farmers had no significant relationship with their perceived level of food security. Land size of farmers also had no significant relationship with their perceived level of food security and their perceived level of food security (Table 5).

However, there was a significant relationship between the educational attainment of the farmers and their perceived level of food security ($\chi^2 = 0.003 < P\text{-value} = 47.69$) (Table 5). This implies that the educational level of a farmer has a great influence on their food security. This is

contrary to the findings of [20] that total household's income, quality of food from own production, educational status of household head and household size were significant in explaining the variation in the food security status of households in Kwara State. [21] also reported the factors that influenced household food security in the Lake Chad area as household size, stock of home-produced food, and numbers of income earners in the household. [22], in her study of the role of Fadama II project on the household food security of rural women in Kaduna State, revealed that age, household size, and marital status were the factors that affected participants' food security while credit and access to consumption credit affected the food security of non-participants.

4. CONCLUSION

Dry season farmers in Goronyo LGA of Sokoto State believed that dry season farming had a positive effect on their household food security. Producing mainly rice, the farmers felt food secured albeit within a short time, in addition to other benefits of the dry season farming. However, the perceived level of food security was mainly controlled by the educational attainment of the farmers.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the finding of this study, it is recommended that:

- i. Husbands should be enlightened on the need to allow their wives to participate actively in farming, particularly the dry season farming. The women should be encouraged to participate in farming activities in the area.
- ii. The low level of formal education among the farmers should be addressed by all stakeholders (both governments and NGOs) in educational development.
- iii. Farm inputs like fertilizers and chemicals should be made available to the farmers at subsidized rates by government and non-governmental organizations interested in improving food security through agriculture.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Lisa LC, Alderman H, Aduayom D. Food insecurity in Sub-Saharan Africa: New estimates from household expenditure surveys. Research Report. 2006;146.
2. FAO. The State of Food Insecurity in the World. Rome: Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Washington D.C, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI); 2001.
3. Clay E. Food security: Concepts and measurement. Paper for FAO expert consultation on trade and food security: Conceptualizing the Linkages, Rome; 2002.
4. Heidhues F, Atsain A, Nyangito H, Padilla M, Ghersi G, Le Vallée J. Development strategies and food and nutrition security in Africa: An Assessment, 2020 Discussion Paper No. 38; 2004.
5. Maxwell S, Smith M. Distinguishing between chronic and transitory food insecurity in emergency needs assessment. United Nations World Food Programme Report. Rome, Italy. 1992;39.
6. Cooper PJM, Dimes J, Rao K, Shapiro B, Twomlow S. Coping better with / current climate variability in the rain-fed farming system of Sub-Saharan Africa: An essential first step in adapting to future climate change. *Agric. Ecosystem Environ.* 2008;126:24-35.
7. FAO. Food Security and Agricultural Development in Sub-Saharan Africa: Building a case for more Public Support. Rome: Food and Agricultural Organization; 2006.
8. Sanusi RA, Adebukola BC, Oyindamola YB. Measuring household food insecurity in selected Local Government Areas of Lagos and Ibadan, Nigeria. *Pakistan journal of Nutrition*, 2006; 5(1):62-67.
9. Rosegrant MWX, Cai and Cline SA. World water and food to 2005: Dealing with scarcity and food policy Report. Washington: IFPRI and IWMI; 2002.
10. Worl P. The problem of sustainability of irrigation system. *Applied Geography*. 1995; (45/46):55-62.
11. S.R.R.B.D.A. The Bakalori irrigation project visitors' brochure, Sokoto-Rima River Basin Development Authority; 1984.
12. National Population Commission (NPC). Federal Republic of Nigeria, Population Census. National Population Commission; 2015. Available: www.nigerianstat.gov.ng
13. Odjugo PAO. Quantifying the cost of climate change impact in Nigeria: Emphasis on wind and Rainstorms, *journal of Human Ecology*. 2010;28(2):93-101.
14. FAO. Smallholders' data portrait; 2018. Available: www.fao.org/family-farming/data-sources/dataportrait/farm-size/en
15. EDO. Nigeria case study report on rice production; Multi-agency partnerships for technical change in West African Agriculture: Ecosystems Development Organization (EDO), Jos, Nigeria; Overseas Development Institute (ODI). 2003;72.
16. Akinbile LA, Ashimolowo OR, Oladoja MA. Rural youth participation in infrastructural development of Ibarapa East Local Government Area of Oyo state Nigerian. *Journal of Rural Sociology*. 2006;6(1&2):40-48.
17. Yahaya MK. Development and Challenges of Bakolori Irrigation Project in Sokoto State, *Nordic Journal of African Studies*. 2002;11(3):411-430.
18. Sanchez PM, Swaminathan MS, Dobie P, Yuksel N. Halving hunger; it can be done. A report of the UN Millennium Project, Taskforce on Hunger (Hunger Task Force) UN Development.
19. Program, New York. Available at http://www.un_millennium-project.org/documents/hunger-lowres-complete.pdf

(Accessed October 2006)

20. Babatunde RO, Omotesho OA, Sholotan OS. Socio-economic characteristics and food Security status of farming household in Kwara State, North-Central Nigeria. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2007;6(1):49-58.

21. Goni M. Determinants of household food security in the Lake Chad Area of Borno State of Nigeria. Journal of Research in Agriculture. 2006;3(4):29-34.

22. Shuaibu H. The role of Fadama II project on household food security of rural women in Kaduna State. A dissertation submitted to the postgraduate school, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria in Partial Fulfillment of the Degree of Masters of Agricultural Extension; 2010.

© 2019 Yakubu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49848>