

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

25(2): 1-5, 2018; Article no.AJAEES.41961

ISSN: 2320-7027

An Improvement of Robust Estimator Using Known Values of Probability Weighted Moment for Finite Population Variance

M. A. Bhat^{1*}, S. Maqbool¹, S. A. Mir¹, N. A. Sofi¹, Ab. Rauf¹, Immad. A. Shah¹ and Mir Subzar¹

¹Division of Agricultural Statistics, SKUAST-Kashmir (190025), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author MAB designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and first draft of the manuscript. Authors SM, SAM, NAS and AR managed the analyses of the study. Authors IAS and MS managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2018/41961

Editor(s).

(1) Ian McFarlane, School of Agriculture Policy and Development, University of Reading, UK.

(1) Marwa Osman Mohamed, Zagazig University, Egypt.

(2) Thomas L. Toulias, Technological Educational Institute of Athens, Greece. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/24857

Original Research Paper

Received 19th March 2018 Accepted 19th May 2018 Published 30th May 2018

ABSTRACT

In this study new improved robust estimator has been proposed for precise estimation of finite population variance in simple random sampling by incorporating as auxiliary information of probability weighted moment. Properties associated with proposed estimators are assessed by mean square error and bias through numerical demonstration. We have also provided theoretical efficiency comparison of the study.

Keywords: Ratio estimator; probability weighted moment; SRSWOR; MSE; bias and efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Here we consider a finite population $U = \{U_1, U_2, ..., U_N\}$ of N distinct and identifiable

units. Let Y be a real variable with value Y_i measured on $U_i, i=1,2,3...N$ given a vector $\begin{bmatrix} Y_1,Y_2,Y_3......Y_N \end{bmatrix}$. Sometimes in sample

surveys information on auxiliary variable X correlated with study variable Y. is available can be utilized to obtain the efficient estimator for the estimation of Population variance. With this this modification our aim is to estimate the population

 $S_y^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ random sample selected from population U" to obtain the improved and precise estimates. Various authors have proposed different ratio estimators for finite population variance by utilizing the ancillary information of different parameters of population such as Isaki [1], Kadilar and Cingi [2], Subramani and Kumarapandiyan [3] and Bhat et al. [4].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Notations

N =Population size. n =sample size. $y = \frac{1}{n}$,Y= study variable. X= Auxiliary variable. \overline{X} , \overline{Y} = Population means. \overline{x} , $\overline{y} =$ Sample means. S_y^2 , S_x^2 = Population variances. S_y^2 , S_x^2 = sample variances. C_x , C_y = Coefficient of variation. $\rho = \text{Correlation coefficient.}$ $\beta_{1(x)} = \text{Skewness of the auxiliary variable.}$ $\beta_{2(x)} = \Rightarrow \hat{S}_p^2 = s_y^2 (1 + e_0) \left[\frac{S_x^2 + \alpha S_{pw}}{s_x^2 + e_1 S_x^2 + \alpha S} \right]$ variation. $\rho = \text{Correlation coefficient.}$ $\beta_{1(x)} =$ Kurtosis of the auxiliary variable. Kurtosis of the study variable. B(.)=Bias of the estimator.

MSE(.)= Mean square error. $\hat{S}_{\scriptscriptstyle R}^{\,2}=$ Ratio type variance estimato. S_{pw} = probability Weighted Moments

3. SUGGESTED ESTIMATOR

$$\hat{S}_{MS1}^{2} = s_{y}^{2} \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} + S_{pw}}{s_{x}^{2} + S_{pw}} \right]$$

We have derived here the bias and mean square error of the proposed estimator to first order of approximation as given below:

$$e_0 = \frac{s_y^2 - S_y^2}{S_y^2} \qquad e_1 = \frac{s_x^2 - S_x^2}{S_x^2}$$
 Let
$$s_y^2 = S_y^2(1 + e_0) \text{ and } s_x^2 = S_x^2(1 + e_1)$$
 and from the definition of e_0 and e_1 we obtain:

$$E[e_0] = E[e_1] = 0 \quad E[e_0^2] = \frac{1 - f}{n} (\beta_{2(y)} - 1)$$

$$E[e_1^2] = \frac{1 - f}{n} (\beta_{2(x)} - 1)$$

$$E[e_0 e_1] = \frac{1 - f}{n} (\lambda_{22} - 1)$$

The proposed estimator is given below:

$$\hat{S}_{p}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} + \alpha S_{pw}}{S_{x}^{2} + \alpha S_{pw}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{p}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} (1 + e_{0}) \left[\frac{S_{x}^{2} + \alpha S_{pw}}{S_{x}^{2} + e_{1} S_{x}^{2} + \alpha S_{pw}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{p}^{2} = \frac{S_{y}^{2} (1 + e_{0})}{(1 + A_{p} e_{1})}$$

$$A_p = \frac{S_x^2}{(S_x^2 + \alpha S_{pw})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{p}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} (1 + e_{0}) (1 + A_{p} e_{1})^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{p}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} (1 + e_{0}) (1 - A_{p} e_{1} + A_{p}^{2} e_{1}^{2} - A_{p}^{3} e_{1}^{3} + \dots)$$

Expanding and neglecting the terms more than 3rd order, we get

$$\hat{S}_{p}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} + S_{y}^{2} e_{0} - S_{y}^{2} A_{p} e_{1} - S_{y}^{2} A_{p} e_{0} e_{1} + S_{y}^{2} A_{p}^{2} e_{1}^{2}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{p}^{2} - S_{y}^{2} = S_{y}^{2} e_{0} - S_{y}^{2} A_{p} e_{1} - S_{y}^{2} A_{p} e_{0} e_{1} + S_{y}^{2} A_{p}^{2} e_{1}^{2}$$
(2)

By taking expectation on both sides of (1), we get

$$E(\hat{S}_{p}^{2} - S_{y}^{2}) = S_{y}^{2}E(e_{0}) - S_{y}^{2}A_{p}E(e_{1}) - S_{y}^{2}A_{p}E(e_{0}e_{1}) + S_{y}^{2}A_{p}^{2}E(e_{1}^{2})$$

$$BiasE(\hat{S}_{p}^{2}) = S_{y}^{2}A_{p}^{2}E(e_{1}^{2}) - S_{y}^{2}A_{p}E(e_{0}e_{1})$$

$$BiasE(\hat{S}_{p}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{2}A_{p}[A_{p}(\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - (\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(3)

Squaring both sides of (2), neglecting the terms more than 2nd order and taking expectation, we get

$$E(\hat{S}_{p}^{2} - S_{y}^{2})^{2} = S_{y}^{4}E(e_{0}^{2}) - S_{y}^{4}A_{p}^{2}E(e_{1}^{2}) - 2S_{y}^{4}A_{p}E(e_{0}e_{1})$$

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{p}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4}[(\beta_{2(y)} - 1) + A_{p}^{2}(\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2A_{p}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$

4. EFFICIENCY CONDITIONS

In this section, we have derived the efficiency conditions of proposed estimators with other existing estimators under which proposed estimator are performing better than the existing estimators.

The bias and Mean square error of existing ratio type estimators up to the first order of approximation is given by

BiasE
$$(\hat{S}_{K}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{2} R_{K} [R_{K} (\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - (\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
 (1)

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{K}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} [(\beta_{2(y)} - 1) + R_{K}^{2}(\beta_{2(x)} - 1) - 2R_{K}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(2)

Where $R_K = \text{Constant}$. K = 1,2,3

Bias, MSE and constant of proposed estimators is given by

$$Bias(\hat{S}_{P}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{2} R_{P} [R_{P}(\beta_{2x} - 1) - (\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(3)

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{P}^{2}) = \gamma S_{y}^{4} [(\beta_{2y} - 1) + R_{P}^{2}(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2R_{P}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$
(4)

 $R_p = \text{Constant}, p = 1$

From Equation (4) and (6), we have

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{P}^{2}) \leq MSE(\hat{S}_{K}^{2}) f \lambda_{22} \geq 1 + \frac{(R_{P} + R_{K})(\beta_{2x} - 1)}{2}$$

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{P}^{2}) \leq MSE(\hat{S}_{K}^{2})$$

$$\gamma S_{V}^{4} [(\beta_{2v} - 1) + R_{P}^{2}(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2R_{P}(\lambda_{22} - 1)] \leq \gamma S_{V}^{4} [(\beta_{2v} - 1) + R_{K}^{2}(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2R_{K}(\lambda_{22} - 1)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\left(\beta_{2y} - 1 \right) + R_P^2 \left(\beta_{2x} - 1 \right) - 2R_P \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) \right] \le \left[\left(\beta_{2y} - 1 \right) + R_K^2 \left(\beta_{2x} - 1 \right) - 2R_K \left(\lambda_{22} - 1 \right) \right]$$
(6)

$$\Rightarrow \left[1 + R_P^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2R_P(\lambda_{22} - 1)\right] \le \left[1 + R_K^2(\beta_{2x} - 1) - 2R_K(\lambda_{22} - 1)\right] \tag{7}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1)(R_P^2 - R_K^2) \left[-2R_P(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right] \le \left[-2R_K(\lambda_{22} - 1) \right]$$
(8)

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1)(R_P^2 - R_K^2) \left[-2(\lambda_{22} - 1)(R_P - R_K) \right] \le 0$$
(9)

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1)(R_P^2 - R_K^2) \le [2(\lambda_{22} - 1)(R_P - R_K)]$$
(10)

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1) \le \frac{2(\lambda_{22} - 1)(R_P - R_K)}{(R_P^2 - R_K^2)}$$
(11)

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1) \le \frac{2(\lambda_{22} - 1)(R_P - R_K)}{(R_P - R_K)(R_P + R_K)}$$
(12)

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_{2x} - 1) (R_P + R_K) \le 2(\lambda_{22} - 1) \tag{13}$$

By solving equation (13), we get

$$MSE(\hat{S}_{P}^{2}) \le MSE(\hat{S}_{K}^{2}) f \lambda_{22} \ge 1 + \frac{(R_{P} + R_{K})(\beta_{2x} - 1)}{2}$$

5. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

We use the data of Murthy [5] page 228 in which fixed capital is denoted by X (auxiliary variable) and output of 80 factories are denoted by Y(study variable).we apply the proposed and existing estimators to this data set and the data statistics is given below:

N=80 ,Sx=8.4542, , n=20, Cx=0.7507,
$$\overline{X} = {}_{11.2624}, \beta_{2(x)} = {}_{2.8664}, \overline{Y} = {}_{51.8264}, \beta_{2(y)} = {}_{2.8664}, \rho = {}_{0.9413}, \beta_{1(x)} = {}_{1.05}, \lambda_{22} = {}_{2.2209}, S_y=18.3569, Md=7.5750, Q1=9.318, C_y=0.3542, G=9.0408 D=8.0138 S_{pw} = 7.9136$$

Table 1. Bias and Mean Square Error of the existing and the proposed estimators

Estimators	Bias	Mean Square Error
Isaki	10.8762	3925.1622
Kadilar&Cingi	10.4399	3850.1552
Subramani&Kumarapandiyan	6.1235	3180.7740
Proposed	5.2264	2474.4535

Table 2. Percent relative efficiency of proposed estimators with existing estimators

Estimators	Isaki	Kadilar and Cingi	Subramani and Kumarapandiyan
Proposed	158.6274	155.5961	128.5445

6. CONCLUSION

From the above study we revealed that our suggested estimator perform better than the existing estimators as the mean square error as well as bias s too much lower than existing estimators.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Isaki CT. Variance estimation using auxiliary information. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1983; 78:117-123.

- Kadilar C, Cingi H. Improvement in Variance estimation using auxiliary information Hacettepe Journal of mathematics and Statistics. 2006a;35(1): 117-115.
- Subramani J, Kumarapandiyan G. Generalized modified ratio type estimator for estimation of population variance. Sri-Lankan journal ofapplied Statistics. 2015; 16-1.69-90.
- Bhat MA, Maqbool S, Saraf SA, Rouf A, Malik SH. Variance estimation using linear combination of skewness and quartiles. Journal of Advances in Research. Article no. 37321. ISSN: 2348-0394, NLMID: 101666096. 2018;13(2):1-6.
- 5. Murthy MN. Sampling Theory and Methods. Statistical publishing Society, Calcutta; 1967.

© 2018 Bhat et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/24857