



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

Thysanoptera diversity associated with Mexican lemon (*Citrus aurantifolia* Christm.)

Avendaño-Gutiérrez, Francisco Javier^{1*}; Aguirre Paleo Salvador¹; Morales Guerrero Alejandro¹; Quesada-Béjar Venecia¹

¹Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Facultad de Agrobiología "Presidente Juárez" Paseo General Lázaro Cárdenas y Berlín S/N. Col. Viveros C.P. 60170 Uruapan, Michoacán, México.

*Corresponding author: bioavendano@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: To calculate the monthly relative abundance of *Thysanoptera* species, according to the Margalef, Simpson and Shannon-Wiener diversity indices.

Design/Methodology/Approach: The work was carried out in three geographic units with conventional management, during January–December, 2019 in the Reserva de la Biosfera Zicuirán-Infiernillo (Biosphere Reserve), Michoacán, Mexico. In each unit, 10 trees were selected through simple random sampling. Thrips counts were performed on ten shoots per tree every 15 d, for a total of 7200 shoots in the three geographic units. *Thysanoptera* individuals were placed in entomological jars. The variables were: number of thrips collected per shoot in sampled tree and geographic unit (orchard). To estimate the specific richness and structure of species, the program "calculation of diversity indices DIVERS" was used.

Results: In the three geographical units studied, the recorded presence of *Thysanoptera* accounted for 12 to 17 species. For Nueva Italia 12 recorded species, two were permanent (16.66%), five abundant (41.66%), one scarce (8.3%) and four rare (33.33%). In Zicuirán, three species were permanent (17.64%), six abundant (35.29%), two scarce (11.76%) and six rare (35.29%). In Los Hoyos, four species were permanent (26.66%), four abundant (26.66%) and seven rare (46.66%). The abundance of species was represented by the genus *Frankliniella* and the species *Scolothrips sexmaculatus* and *Scirtothrips citri*. The highest species richness and abundance was found from January to May. In October and November, the value of the calculated indices was zero, which shows less richness and abundance of individuals. The best species uniformity was recorded during January and December, which meant a more stable and homogeneous relation.

Study limitations/Implications. Pest resurgence, presence of *Candidatus liberibacter* spp. and its vector *Diaphorina citri*.

Findings/Conclusions: in Nueva Italia, 12 species were taxonomically determined; in Los Hoyos 15, and in Zicuirán 17 species, which are reported for the first time in the state of Michoacán, Mexico. At the geographic unit "Los Hoyos" diversity was higher, uniform and stable.

Keywords: *Citrus*, *Frankliniella bispinosa*, *Scolothrips sexmaculatus*, *Scirtothrips citri*, thrips.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of diversity has been intensively discussed by ecologists for years, deriving some semantic, conceptual, and technical problems (Hurlbert, 1971). Diversity indices then, provide utility and scientific relevance, despite some debates and precautions



which may arise when applying them. High levels of biodiversity suggest good functions in the ecosystems, a high capacity to react to external pressures and optimal adaptation to a changing environment (Odum and Warrett, 2008). Diversity studies make it possible to relate changes in the composition of species with environmental and edaphic variables (Durán and Zambrano, 2002), and also suggest obtaining a more detailed scenario of the interrelations among environmental factors and the distribution of species (Palmer *et al.*, 2000).

For the diversity-alpha represents the species in a specific habitat, its importance relies on the application of the Simpson (Simpson, 1949), and Shannon-Wiener (Shannon, 1948) indices that express the values of richness and relative abundance in an agroecosystem. Low equity (or high dominance by few species) or equity in the representation of individuals of each species detected in the samplings (Carmona and Carmona, 2013). The advantage of the indices is that they summarize diverse information in a single value and allow comparisons, via statistical verification, between the diversity at the same or different habitats over time (Moreno, 2001). Mexico along with Brazil, Colombia and Indonesia rank among the first places of species richness on the planet (Ceballos *et al.*, 2005).

In Mexico, the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, in Michoacán, is the Protected Natural Area that preserves one of the most fragile and riskiest ecosystems, such as the dry tropical forest, which is also a provider of environmental goods and services such as the water catchment, soil retention, climate regulation, carbon reduction in the atmosphere, hydrological services; and it is an important site which concentrates a great diversity of species and endemism (SEMARNAT, 2006).

From an agricultural point of view, citrus (*Citrus* sp.) is the main crop in this region. Trees that have multiple shoots throughout the year, thanks to the warm climate, with the absence of a defined winter, which favors the

presence of diverse biological organisms (Medina *et al.*, 2001). Thrips are among them, playing an important role, by reducing the yield and quality of the fruits (Curti *et al.*, 2000). Given the great importance that this group of insects represents in the cultivation of Mexican lemon (*Citrus aurantifolia*), it was considered to carry out this work in the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, with the objective of calculating the monthly relative abundance of the *Thysanoptera* species, according to the Margalef, Simpson and Shannon-Wiener diversity indices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The work was carried out in three geographic units or Mexican lemon orchards with conventional management, from January to December 2019. These units were ejidos 1) Nueva Italia, municipality of Fco. J. Mújica; 2) Zicuirán, municipality of Huacana; and 3) Los Hoyos municipality of Apatzingán, Michoacán, Mexico. The three areas are located in the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, considered a great valley in which altitudes above sea level fluctuate from 200 m in the lower parts to 1600 m in the highland areas that delimit it (Rzedowski, 1978; INEGI 1985) (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Location of the three geographic units, in the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve (Rzedowski 1978; Google Earth, 2020).

In each unit, ten trees were selected by simple random sampling (Castillo, 2002). Thrips counts were made on ten shoots per tree every 15 d, to make a total of 7200 shoots in the three geographic units. The methodology consisted of washing the vegetative parts with a spray bottle containing soapy solution (5 mL of cationic softener[®] in 95 mL of water),

in order to collect the thrips (Johansen, 1987). A plastic container 25 cm long by 20 cm wide and 8 cm deep was placed at the bottom of the atomizer and the bud. Stored contents were then filtered on an analytical sieve with tight permanent tissue with a measuring range of 45 μm so that the insects would remain on the mesh and we can easily isolate them with a brush. *Thysanoptera* were deposited in entomological flasks containing 70% alcohol, with their respective passport data. The variables studied were the number of thrips collected per shoot in the sampled tree and geographic unit (orchard). To know the specific richness and structure of species, the program "calculation of diversity indices DIVERS" was used (Pérez and Sola, 1993), and in this study, they were the following:

Margalef Diversity Index

$$D_{Mg} = \frac{S-1}{\ln N}$$

Table 1. Number of *Thysanoptera* individuals per species and geographical unit, in the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, Michoacán, Mexico. 2019.

Especie	Unidades geográficas		
	Nueva Italia	Los Hoyos	Zicuirán
<i>Scirtothrips citri</i>	369	372	465
<i>Leptothrips mcconnelli</i>	97	92	83
<i>Scolothrips sexmaculatus</i>	395	444	466
<i>Frankliniella cephalica</i>	250	204	94
<i>Liothrips mexicanus</i>	1	0	0
<i>Karnyothrips</i> sp.	1	3	3
<i>Frankliniella bispinosa</i>	185	252	238
<i>Lacandonithrips elegantis</i>	1	2	0
<i>Microcephalothrips</i> sp. 1	1	0	0
<i>Frankliniella curticornis</i>	205	126	124
<i>Frankliniella minuta</i>	187	69	63
<i>Stomatothrips brunneus</i>	20	40	30
<i>Scolothrips pallidus</i>	0	0	51
<i>Liothrips</i> sp.	0	1	1
<i>Microcephalothrips</i> sp. 2	0	0	2
<i>Leptothrips macro-ocellatus</i>	0	2	2
<i>Frankliniella insularis</i>	0	0	10
<i>Scirtothrips totonacus</i>	0	0	1
<i>Leucothrips theobromae</i>	0	0	1
<i>Caliothrips phaseoli</i>	0	0	10
<i>Frankliniella cubensis</i>	0	3	0
<i>Leucothrips furcatus</i>	0	1	0
<i>Neurothrips punanus</i>	0	1	0
Número total de individuos (N)	1712	1612	1644
Número total de especies (S)	12	15	17

where: S=number of species. N=total number of individuals.

Its result, generally, is different from "zero" (Mallet, 1996). To know the dominance of species, the Simpsons Index was calculated

$$\lambda = \sum p_i^2$$

where: p_i^2 =proportional abundance of species "i", that is, the number of individuals of species "i" divided by the total number of individuals in the sample. This value shows the probability that two individuals, taken at random from a sample, are of the same species. To know the average uncertainty, the Equity Index (Shannon-Wiener) was calculated (Moreno, 2001).

$$H' = -\sum(p_i \ln p_i)$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This work exemplifies the use of effective numbers of species that give confidence and consistency to the biological diversity of thrips. Species numbers also comply with a series of mathematical properties, consistent with the intuitive interpretation of the biological concept of diversity (Jost, 2006), and that avoid plausibly inaccurate conclusions in conservation biology (Jost et al., 2010). In the three geographic units studied, the recorded presence of *Thysanoptera* in *Citrus aurantifolia* trees was 12 to 17 species (Table 1, Figure 2).

Table 1 shows that the number of species of thrips shows heterogeneity within an ecosystem, since the minimum value was 12 and the maximum 17, respectively. Case and Cody (1987) point out that the appreciation of biota in a given place is influenced not simply as a relic of past historical events, but as an entity in constant change. Halffter and Escurra (1992) attribute the simplification of ecosystems to the application of toxic products, which result in biomass and diversity losses. In Nueva Italia, of 12 registered species, two were permanent (16.66%), five abundant (41.66%), one scarce (8.3%) and four rare (33.33%). In Zicuirán, three species were permanent (17.64%), six abundant (35.29%), two scarce (11.76%) and six rare




Figure 2. *Thysanoptera* genera and species collected in vegetative shoots of Mexican lemon, in three geographic units of the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, Michoacán, Mexico; 2019.

(35.29%). In Los Hoyos, four species were permanent (26.66%), four abundant (26.66%) and seven rare (46.66%) (Table 2).

The information in Table 2 coincides with Segnini (1995), when mentioning that biodiversity depends not only on species richness, but on the relative dominance and abundance of each of them. As well as on the physical structure of the environment, since some species of thrips can just stay on, others feed of, and some others reproduce on plants (Mound, 1997). According to the number of individuals collected monthly per species (Table 3, 4 and 5), the diversity indices were calculated for each geographic unit (Table 6, 7 and 8).

Regarding Tables 3, 4, and 5, it can be seen that the species distribution pattern is only explained by the physical conditions of the environment. At the same time, it is observed that the abundance of species in the three localities was represented mainly by the genus

Frankliniella and the species *Scolothrips sexmaculatus* and *Scirtothrips citri*. The percentage of *Thysanoptera* previously mentioned at Nueva Italia was 92.92, at Los Hoyos, 91.19; and at Zicuirán 88.80. The above coincides with classic works by Moulton (1948), Priesner (1933) and Wilson and Schmida (1984), cited by Johansen (1987), who points out the importance of thrips in Mexico, where the *Frankliniella* genus stands out. Pertaining to the absence or reduced number of collected thrips, perhaps because the specific microhabitat where they live is unknown; because their reproduction is scarce, due to the phytosanitary management that is carried out in the crops; or, in other cases, because there have not been recent collections in the localities. However, the results obtained are important for Entomology since, according to the Thysanopterii-fauna inventory of the year 1993, from the state of Michoacán only 9.3% of Suborder *Terebrantia* and 22.85% of Tubulifera within the total species described in the country (Johansen 1987) are known. Halffter (1998) and Zuccaro and Bulla

Table 2. *Thysanoptera* species in *C. aurantifolia*, grouped into abundance classes, in three geographic units in the Zicuirán-Infiernillo Biosphere Reserve, Michoacán, Mexico. 2019.

Locality	Species			
	Permanent ^z	Abundant ^y	Scarce ^x	Rare ^w
Nueva Italia	<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	<i>S. citri</i>	<i>S. brunneus</i>	<i>Karyothrips</i> sp.
	<i>F. bispinosa</i>	<i>L. mcconnelli</i>		<i>Liothrips mexicanus</i>
		<i>F. cephalica</i>		<i>L. elegantis</i>
		<i>F. curticornis</i>		<i>Microcephalothrips</i> sp. 1
Zicuirán	<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	<i>F. cephalica</i>	<i>F. insularis</i>	<i>Liothrips</i> sp.
	<i>S. citri</i>	<i>F. bispinosa</i>	<i>C. phaseoli</i>	<i>Karyothrips</i> sp.
	<i>L. mcconnelli</i>	<i>S. pallidus</i>		<i>Microcephalothrips</i> . sp. 2
		<i>F. curticornis</i>		<i>Leptothrips</i> sp.
		<i>F. minuta</i>		<i>S. totonacus</i>
Los Hoyos		<i>S. brunneus</i>		<i>L. theobromae</i>
	<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	<i>L. mcconnelli</i>		<i>Liothrips</i> sp.
	<i>S. citri</i>	<i>F. cephalica</i>		<i>Karyothrips</i> sp.
	<i>F. bispinosa</i>	<i>F. minuta</i>		<i>L. elegantis</i>
	<i>F. curticornis</i>	<i>S. brunneus</i>		<i>F. cubensis</i>
				<i>L. furcatus</i>
			<i>Leptothrips</i> sp.	
			<i>N. punanus</i>	

^z Present for 11 and 12 months of the year; ^y present for eight to ten months; ^x present from three to seven months; ^w present in one and two months of the year.

S. sexmaculatus=*Scolothrips sexmaculatus*, *S. citri*=*Scirtothrips citri*, *S. brunneus*=*Stomatothrips brunneus*, *F. bispinosa*=*Frankliniella bispinosa*, *L. mcconnelli*=*Leptothrips mcconnelli*, *F. cephalica*=*Frankliniella cephalica*, *F. curticornis*= *Frankliniella curticornis*, *F. minuta*=*Frankliniella minuta*, *L. elegantis*=*Lacandonithrips elegantis*, *S. pallidus*=*Scolothrips pallidus*, *F. insularis*=*Frankliniella insularis*, *C. phaseoli*=*Caliothrips phaseoli*, *S. totonacus*=*Scirtothrips totonacus*, *L. theobromae*=*Leucothrips theobromae*, *F. cubensis*=*Frankliniella cubensis*, *L. furcatus*=*Leucothrips furcatus*, *N. punanus*=*Neurothrips punanus*.

Table 3. Number of recorded thrips of each species of *Thysanoptera* per month, in the *C. aurantifolia* cultivation at the geographic unit ejido Nueva Italia, Michoacán. 2019.

Species	Number of thrips collected in <i>C. aurantifolia</i>												Total
	jan	feb	mar	apr	may	jun	jul	aug	sep	oct	nov	dec	
<i>S. citri</i>	67	54	79	51	53	41	12	8	2	0	0	2	369
<i>L. mcconnelli</i>	11	12	21	17	13	9	8	5	0	0	0	1	97
<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	61	56	68	62	65	39	20	10	3	2	3	6	395
<i>F. cephalica</i>	54	27	60	32	34	21	10	6	2	1	0	3	250
<i>L. mexicanus</i>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Karnyothrips</i> sp.	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>F. bispinosa</i>	26	23	38	29	26	21	8	7	3	1	1	2	185
<i>L. elegantis</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Microcephalothrips</i> sp. 1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>F. curticornis</i>	39	31	37	27	24	22	17	7	0	0	0	1	205
<i>F. minuta</i>	24	20	38	35	31	22	14	3	0	0	0	0	187
<i>S. brunneus</i>	2	4	1	3	5	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	20
Accumulated species	8	9	9	10	10	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	12

Table 4. Number of recorded thrips of each species of *Thysanoptera* per month, in the *C. aurantifolia* cultivation at the geographic unit ejido Zicuirán, Michoacán. 2019.

Species	Number of thrips collected in <i>C. aurantifolia</i>												Total
	jan	feb	mar	apr	may	jun	jul	aug	sep	oct	nov	dec	
<i>S. citri</i>	71	68	67	69	65	53	49	14	4	0	0	5	465
<i>L. mcconnelli</i>	19	21	12	9	11	5	3	2	0	0	0	1	83
<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	82	74	69	61	57	53	46	21	0	0	0	3	466
<i>S. pallidus</i>	18	11	7	3	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	51
<i>F. cephalica</i>	21	13	11	16	10	12	7	4	0	0	0	0	94
<i>Liothrips</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Karnyothrips</i> sp.	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<i>F. bispinosa</i>	42	47	39	42	36	21	9	0	0	0	0	2	238
<i>F. curticornis</i>	27	22	25	13	21	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	124
<i>F. minuta</i>	13	17	11	9	7	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	63
<i>S. brunneus</i>	8	3	5	7	2	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	30
<i>Microcephalothrips</i> sp. 2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>L. macro-ocellatus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
<i>F. insulares</i>	4	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	10
<i>S. totonacus</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>L. theobromae</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>C. phaseoli</i>	6	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Accumulated species	12	12	13	15	16	16	17	17	17	17	17	17	17

(1985), point out that it is difficult to imagine a social development like the current one, without affecting the natural environment, and the most fragile element is biodiversity, which is why abundance of species was contrasting.

The values in Table 6 show that, during the months of March, May, August, September and October, the uniformity value was higher, which means the relation that exist between abundance and species richness, since collected individuals presented roughly the same

Table 5. Number of recorded thrips of each species of *Thysanoptera* per month, in the cultivation of *C. aurantifolia* at the geographic unit ejido Los Hoyos, Michoacán, Mexico. 2019.

Species	Number of thrips collected in <i>C. aurantifolia</i>												Total
	jan	feb	mar	apr	may	jun	jul	aug	sep	oct	nov	dec	
<i>S. citri</i>	62	56	58	60	48	35	24	13	6	2	3	5	372
<i>L. mcconnelli</i>	16	18	21	13	7	9	6	2	0	0	0	0	92
<i>S. sexmaculatus</i>	66	71	73	72	53	42	31	15	6	4	2	9	444
<i>F. cephalica</i>	38	32	41	34	28	19	9	2	0	0	0	1	204
<i>Liothrips</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<i>Karyothrips</i> sp.	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<i>F. bispinosa</i>	31	39	46	41	37	29	11	9	2	0	1	6	252
<i>L. elegantis</i>	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<i>F. cubensis</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
<i>L. furcatus</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>L. macro-ocellatus</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
<i>F. curticornis</i>	14	17	23	24	18	13	9	3	1	0	1	3	126
<i>F. minuta</i>	12	9	11	13	10	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	69
<i>S. brunneus</i>	7	9	3	6	9	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	40
<i>N. punanus</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Accumulated species	11	12	13	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	4	15	15

Table 6. Result of the DIVERS program that shows the diversity indices corresponding to the geographical unit of ejido Nueva Italia, Michoacán 2019 (Pérez and Sola, 1993).

Month	No. of individuals (abundance)	Species richness (S)	Uniformity (E)	Index			ln "S" ^z
				Margalef	Simpson	Shannon	
February	228	9	0.86670	1.47342	0.160	1.90	2.197
March	342	8	0.90518	1.19974	0.161	1.88	2.079
April	257	9	0.87884	1.44168	0.156	1.93	2.197
May	251	8	0.91275	1.26685	0.164	1.89	2.079
June	179	9	0.88093	1.54220	0.155	1.93	2.197
July	91	9	0.89697	1.77350	0.142	1.97	2.197
August	46	7	0.97328	1.56714	0.138	1.89	1.945
September	11	5	0.96096	1.66813	0.145	1.54	1.605
October	4	3	0.94639	1.44270	0.166	1.03	1.098
November	4	2	0.81128	0.72135	0.500	0.56	0.693
December	15	6	0.88560	1.84635	0.190	1.58	1.79

^z Natural logarithm of species richness.

amount in their respective species. The value of the Margalef index (0.72135) indicates that in the month of November there was no species richness, since only three individuals of *S. sexmaculatus* and one of *F. bispinosa* were collected. The main characteristic of this index is that the higher its value (greater than one), the greater diversity exists.

In the Simpson index, the maximum value was obtained in the month of November (0.500) close to one, which

indicates that in this month diversity was lower. But, at the same time, this value represents a 50% probability that, of the four individuals collected, two taken at random, would be of the same species. However, in the months from December to October, as the species richness (S) and number of individuals increased, the value of the Simpson index decreased (0.1). Referring to the Shannon index, when its value is equal to zero, most of the individuals in a population correspond to the same species. Thus, the higher it is or it approaches

Table 7. Result of the DIVERS program that shows the values of the diversity indices corresponding to the geographical unit of ejido Zicuirán, Michoacán, 2019 (Pérez and Sola, 1993).

Month	No. of individuals (abundance)	Species richness (S)	Uniformity (E)	Index			ln "S" ^z
				Margalef	Simpson	Shannon	
February	278	10	0.83358	1.59925	0.174	1.91938	2.302
March	249	11	0.78884	1.81234	0.188	1.89157	2.397
April	234	13	0.73490	2.19969	0.195	1.88499	2.564
May	220	11	0.77280	1.85404	0.194	1.85310	2.397
June	159	7	0.80340	1.18369	0.248	1.56335	1.945
July	127	12	0.63842	2.27076	0.285	1.58642	2.484
August	46	7	0.73210	1.56714	0.299	1.42460	1.945
September	5	2	0.72193	0.62133	0.600	0.50040	0.693
October	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
December	12	5	0.88486	1.60972	0.212	1.42413	1.609

^z Natural logarithm of species richness.

Table 8. Result of the DIVERS program that shows the diversity indices corresponding to the geographical unit of ejido Los Hoyos, Michoacán, 2019 (Pérez and Sola, 1993).

Month	No. of individuals (abundance)	Species richness (S)	Uniformity (E)	Index			ln "S" ^z
				Margalef	Simpson	Shannon	
January	249	11	0.79055	1.81243	0.17820	1.89565	2.397
February	253	9	0.85801	1.44577	0.17642	1.88525	2.197
March	278	10	0.81496	1.59925	0.17290	1.87652	2.302
April	263	8	0.88871	1.25625	0.17862	1.84803	2.079
May	211	9	0.85770	1.49481	0.17174	1.88457	2.197
June	157	9	0.84266	1.58220	0.17712	1.85152	2.197
July	97	8	0.86715	1.53015	0.19201	1.80319	2.079
August	46	7	0.83701	1.56714	0.21739	1.62875	1.945
September	15	4	0.85279	1.10781	0.29524	1.18222	1.386
October	6	2	0.91830	0.55811	0.46667	0.63651	0.693
November	8	5	0.92838	1.92359	0.14286	1.49418	1.609
December	29	8	0.87038	2.07882	0.16502	1.80999	2.079

^z Natural logarithm of species richness.

to the ln (natural logarithm) of "S" (species richness), the greater the diversity and, according to the discriminatory criterion of the statistical program proposed by Pérez and Sola (1993), it is inferred that in most months of the year there was a general population rich in species.

Table 7 shows that the highest species richness and abundance was from January to May. In October and November, the value of the calculated indices was zero, which means less richness and abundance of individuals. The values corresponding to uniformity in the months of January and December were the highest, which meant a more stable and homogeneous relation among species. When analyzing the Margalef

index, it can be seen that in the months of October and November its result was zero and, according to Moreno *et al.* (2001), when this result is obtained there is no richness and the population studied is represented by a single species. However, in the months of April and July, their values were 2.19969 and 2.27076, respectively, which means that the higher the richness, the higher the abundance of species. In the Simpson index, the highest value corresponded to the month of September (0.600). During this month only two species were collected: *Scirtothrips citri*, with four individuals, and *Leptothrips macro-ocellatus*, with one. This means that if two individuals are chosen at random, there is a high probability that both would correspond to *S. citri*,

suggesting strong influence by the dominant species. In relation to the Shannon-Wiener index, the highest value (2.06303) was recorded in January and represented high uncertainty that two individuals taken at random were of the same species, since the abundance was more uniform when collecting 12 out of 17 species reported in our study, with an average collection of 26 individuals per month in each one. These data coincide with that reported by González and Torruco (2001), by pointing out that when this index increases, the diversity in the study area is greater. On the contrary, in the month of September, the value was 0.50040, which represents low uncertainty that two individuals taken at random would correspond to the same species.

The values in Table 8 indicate that the uniformity was stable, so that the equity among the different species was more homogeneous. As at the geographical unit ejido Nueva Italia, at least one species was present throughout the year. According to Magurran (1988), in an ecological context, the components of an ecosystem, such as "variety and relative abundance of species", are well correlated. In addition, they indicate the way in which resources and energy are shared in the biological systems and populations (Sheldon, 1969). The Margalef index, in the month of October, presented the lowest value (0.55811) when collecting four individuals of *S. sexmaculatus* and two of *S. citri*. These values are closely related to the Simpson index, whose highest value was 0.46667. The value of the Shannon-Wiener index, in the month of October, represented low uncertainty, by indicating to which species the next collected individual will belong, since two species were recorded that month, of which *S. sexmaculatus* was dominant. The values corresponding to the other months represented high uncertainty, since their value was close to the natural logarithm of "S" (species richness).

The information obtained in the three locations shows that the species were distributed, according to their hierarchy of abundance, from very abundant to some very rare. According to what Halffter and Ezcurra (1992) cited, the greater the degree of dominance of some species and rarity of the others, the lower the biodiversity in the community. However, Peet (1974) points out that it is normal to find these conditions, since the earth is nothing more than a fine mosaic of conditions and resources that define different niches that are habitable or not by different species.

CONCLUSIONS

In Nueva Italia, 12 species were taxonomically determined; in Los Hoyos, 15; and in Zicuirán, 17, the latter are reported for the first time for Michoacán, Mexico. In the three units, the abundance of species was represented by five species: *Scirtothrips citri*, *Frankliniella bispinosa*, *F. cephalica*, *F. curticornis* and *Scolothrips sexmaculatus*. While *Liothrips* sp., *Stomatothrips totonacus*, *Leucothrips theobromae*, *Leucothrips furcatus*, *Neurothrips punanus*, *Leptothrips elegantis*, *Leptothrips* sp., *Karnyothrips* sp., and *Microcephalothrips* sp.1 were registered as rare species, since, on average, just one to three individuals of each species were collected during the year. The species *Stomatothrips brunneus*, *Frankliniella insularis* and *Caliothrips phaseoli* were also considered scarce species. In the geographical unit Los Hoyos, diversity was higher, homogeneous and stable, compared to that registered in Nueva Italia and Zicuirán.

REFERENCES

- Carmona-Galindo, Víctor D. y Carmona, Tiziana V. (2013). La diversidad de los análisis de diversidad. *Bioma*. 14: 20-28.
- Castillo, M., L. E. (2002). Elementos de Muestreo de Poblaciones. Ed. Universidad Autónoma Chapingo. Chapingo, Estado de México. México. 238 p.
- Case, T. J. y M. L. Cody. (1987). Testing theories of island biogeography. *Am. Sci.* 75: 402-411.
- Ceballos, G., Arroyo-Cabrales J., Medellín R. A., Medrano-González L., Oliva G. (2005). Diversidad y conservación de los mamíferos de México. p. 21-66. En: Ceballos G., Oliva G. (ed.) Los Mamíferos Silvestres de México. CONABIO. FCE. México.
- Curti, D. S. A., Loredó S. X., Díaz, Z. U., Sandoval R. J. A., Hernández H. J. (2000). Tecnología para Producir Limón Persa. INIFAP-CIRGOC. Campo Experimental Ixtacuaco. Libro Técnico 8. Veracruz, México. 144 p.
- Durán, L. E. G., y L. Zambrano. (2002). El paisaje en ecología. *Ciencias*. 67: 44-500.
- Google-Earth. (2020). El globo terráqueo virtual. (Disponible en línea en https://earth.google.com/web/search/reserva+de+la+bi+osfera+zicuiran+infiernillo/@18.846817,102.0041535,178.95997962a,993.46966143d,35y,0h,45t,0r/data=CigiJgokCVm3iTz28URAEQxTqMjr8URAGXdb7ro9_ChAIdptzmPT-yhAKAl). (Revisado el 15 de abril de 2020).
- González S. A., Torruco G. D. (2001). La fauna béntica del estero de Sabancuy, Campeche, México. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 49: 31-45.
- Halffter, G. (1998). A strategy for measuring landscape biodiversity. *Biol. Int.* 36: 3-17.
- Halffter, G. y Ezcurra, E. (1992). ¿Qué es la biodiversidad?. pp. 3-24. En: La biodiversidad de Iberoamericana. *Acta Zoológica Mexicana* (n.s.). Volumen especial de 1992. G. Halffter (ed.). CYTED-D. Programa Iberoamericano de Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo. México D. F. 389 p.
- Hurlbert, S. H. (1971). The Nonconcept of Species Diversity: a Critique and Alternative Parameters. *Ecol.* 52 (4): 577-586.

- INEGI (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía). (1985). Atlas Geográfico del Estado de Michoacán. SPP. México.
- Johansen, R. M. (1987). Monografías del Instituto de Biología. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. 3: 1-246.
- Jost, L. (2006). Entropy and diversity. *Oikos*. 113:363-375.
- Jost, L., P. DeVries, T. Walla, H. Greeney, A. Chao y C. Ricotta. (2010). Partitioning diversity for conservation analyses. *Diversity and Distributions* 16:65-76.
- Magurran, A. (1988). *Ecological Diversity and its Measurement*. Princeton University. New Jersey, USA. 179 p.
- Medina, U. V. M., Robles G. M. M., Becerra R. S., Orozco R. J., Orozco S. M., Garza L. J. G., Ovando C. M.E., Chávez C. X., Félix C. F.A. (2001). *El Cultivo de Limón Mexicano*. Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias. Colima. Libro Técnico 1. Colima, México. 188 p.
- Moreno, C. E., Felipe Barragán, Eduardo Pineda y Numa P. Pavón. (2001). Reanálisis de la diversidad alfa: alternativas para interpretar y comparar información sobre comunidades ecológicas. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad*. 82: 1249-1261.
- Moreno, C. E. (2001). *Métodos para Medir la Biodiversidad*. M&T-Manuales y Tesis. Vol. 1. Ed. Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa. Zaragoza, España. 84 p.
- Mound, L. A. (1997). *Biological Diversity*. pp. 197-215. *In: Trips as Crop Pests*. T. Lewis (ed.). CAB International. Wallingford, UK. 740 p.
- Moulton, D. (1948). The genus *Frankliniella* Karny, with keys for the determination of species (Thysanoptera). *Rev. Entomol.* 19: 55-14.
- Odum, E. P., y Gary W. Barrett. (2008). *Fundamentos de Ecología* 5a. ed., Ed. Thompson. México.
- Palmer, M. W., D. B. Clark y D. A. Clark. (2000). Is the number of three species in small tropical forest plots nonrandom? *Ecol.* 1: 95-101.
- Peet, R. K. (1974). The measurement of species diversity. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 5: 285-307.
- Pérez, L. F. J., Sola F. F. M. (1993). DIVERS: Programa para el cálculo de los índices de diversidad. [En línea]. Disponible en: <http://perso.wanadoo.es/jp-1/descargas.htm>. (Consultado en enero de 2020).
- Priesner, H. (1933). Neue Thysanopteren aus Mexiko, gesammelt von Prof. Dr. A. Dampf. *Wiener Ent. Zeit.* 50 (1): 49-63.
- Rzedowski, J. (1978). *La vegetación de México*. Editorial Limusa. México., 432 pp
- Segnini, S. (1995). Medición de la diversidad en una comunidad de insectos. *Bull. Entomol. Venezolano* 10: 105-113.
- SEMARNAT (Secretaría del Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales). (2006). "Estudio Previo Justificativo para el establecimiento de la Reservad de la Biosfera Zicuirán Infiernillo. México, D.F. 181 p.
- Shannon, C.E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*. 27: 379-423.
- Sheldon, A.L. (1969). Equitability indices: dependence on the species count. *Ecol.* 50: 466-467.
- Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nat.* 163: 688.
- Wilson, M.V. & A. Schmida. (1984). Measuring Beta Diversity with Presence-Absence Data. *J. of Ecol.* 72: 1055-1064.
- Zuccaro, G. y Bulla, L. (1985). Estudio comparativo de la entomofauna en cuatro sabanas venezolanas. *Acta Científica Venezolana*. 36: 365-372.

