

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

## Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



### **NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS**

**VOLUME 12 NUMBER 1** 

**OCTOBER 2022** 

CONTENTS	PAGE
Onubogu, O. H., Udealor, U. V. and Osuafor, O. O.: Willingness to Diversify to Orange Fleshed Sweet Potatoes (OFSP) Production among Cassava Farmers: A Proposition for a Resilient, Post-COVID-19 Future	1-10
<b>Emokpae, O. P., Umeokeke, N. I. and Okoruwa, V. O.:</b> Estimating the Interlink between Agricultural Production Decisions: A Case of Maize Farming Households in Nigeria	11-20
Oyekale, T. O., Koya, B. B., Adekunle, C. P., and Olugbire, O. O.: Gender Assessment of Climate Smart Agricultural Practices (CSAPs) and Technical Efficiency of Cassava Farmers in Ogun State	21-32
Arowolo, A. O., Aminu, R. O., , Ibrahim, S. B., Emmanuel, A. E., Falaye, F. J. and Sanusi, R. A: Profitability and Determinants of Adoption Intensity of Improved Plantain Production Technologies in Ogun State, Nigeria	33-43
<b>Ajibade, Y.E., Onoja, N. M., Salifu, J. O. and Odesanmi, A. B.:</b> Analysis of Maize Production among Beneficiaries and Non-Beneficiaries of Microfinance Bank Loan in Akure-South Local Government Area, Ondo State, Nigeria	44-56
Yaqoob, A. M., Adegbola, A. S., and Fasakin, I. J.: Impact of Agribusiness Programme on Welfare of Cassava-Based Youth Farmers in Oyo State: Evidence from National <i>Fadama</i> III Programme	57-67
<b>Edaba, M. E. I., Aroyehun, A. R. and Onyenma, G. C.:</b> Comparative Analysis of The Standard of Living of Farm Households in Oil Spilt and Non-Oil Spilt Agrarian Communities f Bayelsa State, Nigeria	68-80
<b>Ojedokun, A. O., Kekere-Ekun, U-K. A. and Oladejo, A. O.:</b> Do Coping Strategies Improve Household Welfare? Evidence from the COVID-19 Era in Lagos State, Nigeria	81-90
NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS (NJAE)-AUTHOR'S GUIDE	91-92

#### **NAAE National Executive Council**

#### President

Prof. J. N. Nmadu, fnaae. Federal University of Technology, Minna.

#### Vice-President I/Legal Adviser

Prof. C. C. Eze, fnaae, ffaman, fasn. Federal University of Technology Owerri.

#### **Vice-President II**

Prof. 'Shola O. Adepoju, fnaae. Director General, Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria.

#### **General Secretary**

Dr. Adeola Olajide University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

#### **Treasurer**

Dr. (Mrs.) Phidelia R. Waziri-Ugwu Federal University Gashua Yobe State

#### **Financial Secretary**

Prof. Abdul, M. Yaro Kano State University of Tech., Wudil.

#### **Internal Auditor**

Dr. Nnamdi C. Ehirim Federal University of Technology, Owerri.

#### **Public Relations Officer**

Dr. O. A. Ojeleye Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Ahmadu Bello University

#### **Business Manager**

Prof. Abiodun E. Obayelu Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

#### **Editor-in-Chief**

Prof. Idris A. Ayinde, fnaae, ffaman. Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

#### IPP/Ex-Officio Ex-Officio

Prof. Y. L. Fabiyi, fnaae.

#### Ex-Officio

Prof. (Sir) M. S. Igben, fnaae.

#### **Ex-Officio**

Dr. C. I. Ada-Okungbowa, fnaae. Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, University of Benin, Nigeria.

#### **Ex-Officio**

Prof. C. E. Onyeweaku, fnaae. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike.

#### **Ex-Officio**

Prof. Sheu Alhaji Musa, fnaae. Kano State University of Technology

#### **Editorial Board of the Journal**

#### Editor-in-Chief/Chairman

Prof. Idris A. Ayinde, fnaae, ffaman. Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

#### Members

#### North-East

Prof. Ntuokwa Ejor Tiku Federal University, Gashua, Yobe State. 08035859130

#### North-West

Prof. A. B. Mohamed Bayero university, Kano 08066149100

#### North Central

Prof. A. J. Jirgi Federal University of Technology, Minna 0816 898 3283

#### **South-West**

Prof. Obayelu Elijah Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta 08034146503

#### South-East

Dr. Bismarck Okwudilu Ibeagwa Federal University of Technology, Owerri 0803 427 7926

#### South-South

Dr. Adanna Henri-Ukoha University of Port Harcourt 08036682823

#### Associate Editors

Prof. Shehu Rahman, Former Vice Chancellor, Federal University, Gashua, Yobe State.

#### Editorial Assistants

Dr. Oyakhilomen Oyinbo Ahmadu Bello University Zaria 08032779442

#### **Business Manager**

Prof. Abiodun E. Obayelu Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

The Journal serves mainly as a medium for the publication of scientific research outputs in all fields of Agricultural Economics and allied disciplines. It also publishes review articles and theoretical papers concerned with agricultural development in the tropical world and Nigeria in particular.

#### Note:

Articles, notes and other materials published in the Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Economics are copyrighted and therefore, cannot be republished in full or part without express written permission of the Editor-in-Chief.

CONTENTS	PAGE
Onubogu, O. H., Udealor, U. V. and Osuafor, O. O.: Willingness to Diversify to Orange Fleshed Sweet Potatoes (OFSP) Production among Cassava Farmers: A Proposition for a Resilient, Post-COVID-19 Future	1-10
<b>Emokpae, O. P., Umeokeke, N. I. and Okoruwa, V. O.:</b> Estimating the Interlink between Agricultural Production Decisions: A Case of Maize Farming Households in Nigeria	11-20
Oyekale, T. O., Koya, B. B., Adekunle, C. P., and Olugbire, O. O.: Gender Assessment of Climate Smart Agricultural Practices (CSAPs) and Technical Efficiency of Cassava Farmers in Ogun State	21-32
Arowolo, A. O., Aminu, R. O., , Ibrahim, S. B., Emmanuel, A. E., Falaye, F. J. and Sanusi, R. A: Profitability and Determinants of Adoption Intensity of Improved Plantain Production Technologies in Ogun State, Nigeria	33-43
<b>Ajibade, Y.E., Onoja, N. M., Salifu, J. O. and Odesanmi, A. B.:</b> Analysis of Maize Production among Beneficiaries and Non-Beneficiaries of Microfinance Bank Loan in Akure-South Local Government Area, Ondo State, Nigeria	44-56
<b>Yaqoob, A. M., Adegbola, A. S., and Fasakin, I. J.:</b> Impact of Agribusiness Programme on Welfare of Cassava-Based Youth Farmers in Oyo State: Evidence from National <i>Fadama</i> III Programme	57-67
<b>Edaba, M. E. I., Aroyehun, A. R. and Onyenma, G. C.:</b> Comparative Analysis of The Standard of Living of Farm Households in Oil Spilt and Non-Oil Spilt Agrarian Communities f Bayelsa State, Nigeria	68-80
<b>Ojedokun, A. O., Kekere-Ekun, U-K. A. and Oladejo, A. O.:</b> Do Coping Strategies Improve Household Welfare? Evidence from the COVID-19 Era in Lagos State, Nigeria	81-90
NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS (NJAE)-AUTHOR'S GUIDE	91-92

COPYRIGHT: NIGERIAN ASSOCIATION OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMISTS (NAAE)

# PROFITABILITY AND DETERMINANTS OF ADOPTION INTENSITY OF IMPROVED PLANTAIN PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

\*Arowolo, A. O.<sup>1</sup>, Aminu, R. O.<sup>1,2</sup>, Ibrahim, S. B.<sup>1</sup>, Emmanuel, A. E.<sup>1</sup>, Falaye, F. J.<sup>1</sup> and Sanusi, R. A<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, PMB 2240, Alabata, Abeokuta, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom \*Corresponding author's email: <a href="mailto:arowoloao@funaab.edu.ng">arowoloao@funaab.edu.ng</a>

#### **ABSTRACT**

Nigeria must increase its current level of plantain production to meet the demands of its ever-growing population and exploit the crop's foreign exchange earning potential. Agricultural output growth can best be achieved through improved production technologies. However, the adoption and utilisation of these improved production technologies have not been encouraging in Nigeria. Therefore, this study examined the smallholder plantain farmers' awareness of improved production technologies, factors influencing their adoption intensity, and plantain production profitability in Ogun State, Nigeria. Primary data was collected with a well-structured questionnaire administered to two hundred and forty (240) plantain farmers randomly selected through a multistage sampling procedure. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Poisson regression model and Gross margin analysis. Findings showed a high level of awareness among farmers for some technologies, while awareness was very low for staking/propping, tissue culture, and de-budding. The Poisson regression model result revealed that the farmer's age, years of education, access to credit, farming experience, awareness index, and access to extension services (p<0.01) positively influenced the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies in the study area. The cost and return analysis revealed that plantain production is profitable in the study area with an estimated gross margin/ha and a benefit-cost ratio of ₹545,510 and ₹ 2.26, respectively, indicating that the enterprise will yield \(\frac{1}{2}\).26 for every \(\frac{1}{2}\)1 invested. The study recommends encouraging farmers' adoption of these technologies by fostering their awareness levels through extension agents and other relevant stakeholders.

Keywords: Adoption Intensity, Improved Technology, Plantain, Profitability, Nigeria

#### INTRODUCTION

Agriculture plays a pivotal role in the economic growth of developing countries (Mahama, Awuni, Mabe, & Azumah, 2020) with no exception in Nigeria (Chiemela et al., 2021), employing a significant portion of the population and contributing substantially to the country's GDP (Nwogwugwu, Nwokoye, & Osai, 2023; Onwutuebe, 2019). Nigeria has recently faced challenges such as declining soil fertility, climate change impacts, and the need to enhance food security amidst a growing population

(Abdulraheem et al., 2022; Dauda, 2023). Plantain (*Musa spp.*) production, a vital component of Nigeria's agricultural landscape, holds promise for addressing these challenges due to its nutritional value, economic importance, and potential for increased productivity through improved technologies (Okunola, Olapade-Ogunwole, & Adesiyan, 2022).

Plantain is considered an important staple food crop for many people in West and Central Africa (Lienou et al., 2022; Tenkouano et al., 2019), with no exception in Nigeria, one of the biggest producers and consumers of plantains in the world (Lescot, 2020; Salami, Osasona, Mark, Falola, & Subair, 2023). Plantain production is more profitable to farmers than other staple crops and contributes immensely to the nation's nutritional and food security (Udomkun et al., 2021). The consumption of plantain provides the body with essential minerals and vitamins (Oluseye, Sunday, & Damilola, 2019; Udomkun et al., 2021). Plantains can be consumed in ripened or unripe form, locally or industrially processed into various products such as chips and flour (Okunola et al., 2022). Among the various uses of plantain, plantain flour production is beginning to take a large share of its use in Nigeria. According to Okojie (2022), Nigeria's plantain production could not meet the plantain flour demand of 125000 Metric Tonnes; a plantain flour demand deficit of 99,800MT was reported in 2022. Also, despite its prominence as one of the largest plantainproducing nations globally, Nigeria is yet to feature among plantain-exporting countries as it produces mainly for domestic consumption rather than export (Olumba & Onunka, 2020). To continue to meet the plantain demand of her ever-growing population and exploit the crop's foreign exchange earning potential, the current level of plantain production in Nigeria must increase, which can be best achieved by adopting improved technologies.

Agricultural technologies have been found to include all forms of improved techniques and practices that contribute to the growth, efficiency and profitability of agricultural production, ranging from sophisticated techniques such as robots, temperature and moisture sensors, aerial images and global positioning system technologies in the developed world to less sophisticated ones including hybrid seeds and improved farm inputs, machinery, conservation agriculture, irrigation and improved agronomic practices standard in developing countries (Fadeyi, Ariyawardana, & Aziz, 2022). These technologies have the potential to increase yields, reduce production costs, and enhance the resilience of plantain farming against environmental stresses such

as climate change, thereby improving the livelihoods of smallholder farmers and fostering economic growth in low-income and climate-sensitive countries like Nigeria. Research institutes in Nigeria, including the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the National Horticulture Institute (NIHORT), have attempted and broke through in developing several improved techniques to boost the productivity of plantain farmers, amongst which are propping, hot water treatment, tissue culture, planting space, de-suckering, de-budding and improved hybrid varieties (Tenkouano et al., 2019). Despite the potential benefits of agricultural technological innovations, their adoption and utilisation have not been encouraging in sub-Saharan Africa, Nigeria inclusive, where agriculture is a mainstay of the economy (Ambali, Areal, & Georgantzis, 2021). Adopting improved production technologies is imperative to harness the vast arable land and resources available in Ogun state for plantain production and meet the growing demand. However, a farmer will only use what he is aware of; therefore, this study aims to access smallholder plantain farmers' awareness of various improved plantain technologies, the factors influencing their adoption intensity, and the profitability of plantain production in Ogun State, Nigeria.

#### METHODOLOGY

Study area: The study was conducted in Ogun State, Nigeria, between Longitude 6.9980°N and Latitude 3.4737°E in southwest Nigeria, with its state capital in Abeokuta. It is bounded in the North by Oyo and Osun States, east by Ondo State, south by Lagos State and west by the Republic of Benin. Ogun State covers a land mass of about 16,980.55 km² and has an estimated population of over 7 million (Ogun State, 2020). The more significant proportion of the state lies in the tropical rainforest zone, with a sizeable feature of guinea savannah in the far northern area of the state. The main occupation of the state is farming, which is primarily subsistence in scale. Ogun State is divided into four agricultural zones by the Ogun State

Agricultural Development Program (OGADEP): Ikenne, Ilaro, Abeokuta and Ijebu-Ode. Ijebu Ode zone was selected from these zones as the area of study primarily because it accommodates many plantain farmers.

Sampling Technique: Primary data were used for this study. A multistage random sampling procedure was employed to select the surveyed respondents. The first stage involved a purposive selection of the Ijebu-Ode ADP zone, a predominant plantain-producing zone. The second stage was a simple random selection of three blocks from the zones, while the third stage entailed a simple random sampling of two cells each from the selected blocks. In the fourth stage, four communities were randomly selected from each of the chosen cells, given the high concentration of plantain farmers in the communities. The last stage entailed a simple random selection of 10 respondents from each community based on the list of registered plantain farmers obtained from the OGADEP to make a sample size of 240 respondents. Of these, only 226 questionnaires were helpful for the study analysis.

Method of data analysis: The study data were analysed through:

Descriptive analysis: Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage and mean were used to analyse the plantain farmers' socioeconomic characteristics and the plantain production technologies employed.

Poisson regression model: The Poisson regression model was used to examine the factors influencing the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies among plantain farmers in the study area. Poisson regression is a nonlinear regression model that follows the Poisson distribution. It is suitable when the dependent variable is modelled as a count variable and the data does not fit the normal distribution. Following Wahyudi, Kuwornu, Gunawan, Datta, and Nguyen (2019), the Poisson regression model is presented as:

$$Prob(Y = y_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{e^{-\gamma_i} \gamma_i^{y_i}}{y_i!}, y$$
  
= 1, 2, ... 7

The most common formulation for  $\gamma_i$  is the log-linear model

$$ln \gamma_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{\beta} \tag{2}$$

The expected number of events per period is given by

$$E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = Var[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \gamma_i = e^{\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$
 (3)

Thus:

$$\frac{\partial E[y_i|x_i]}{\partial x_i} \tag{4}$$

The maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) techniques make estimating the parameters more straightforward. The log-likelihood function is specified as

$$\gamma_i = \exp\left(\beta_0 + \beta_i \, \boldsymbol{x}_i + \varepsilon\right) \tag{5}$$

Where:

 $\gamma$  = Number of improved plantain production technologies adopted by a farmer

 $\beta_i$  is the vector of parameters to be estimated, and  $X_i$  Represents the vector of the farmer's socioeconomic characteristics as defined below.

 $x_1 = Age$ 

 $x_2 = Gender$ 

 $x_3$  = Year of schooling

 $x_4$  = Household size

 $x_5 = \text{Farm size}$ 

 $x_6 = access to credit$ 

 $x_7$  = Farming experience

 $x_8$  = Awareness of improved technologies index

 $x_9$  = access to agricultural extension services

 $\varepsilon$  = Error term

<u>Profitability analysis</u>: The profitability of plantain production in the study area was examined using Gross Margin (GM) and Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR). Following Okunola et al. (2022), GM and BCR were calculated from the cost and return analysis as follows:

$$GM = TR - TVC \tag{6}$$

Benefit – Cost Ratio (BCR) = 
$$\frac{TR}{TC}$$
 (7)

Where:

GM = Gross Margin (N/ha)

TR = Total Revenue (₹/ha)

TVC = Total Variable Costs (₹/ha)

TFC = Total Fixed Costs ( $\frac{N}{ha}$ )

TC = Total Cost of production (N/ha) computed as

TVC + TFC.

The fixed inputs, such as hoes, machete, spade, cutlass, etc., were depreciated using the straight-line method as they are not typically used in a production cycle. We assumed that the salvage value was zero and computed the depreciated fixed costs as follows:

$$DC = \frac{PV}{N} \tag{8}$$

Where:

 $DC = Depreciated cost(\mathbb{N})$ 

PV = Purchase value ( )

N = Number of years of the asset's useful life

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Key demographic and farming characteristics of plantain farmers in the study area: Table 1 presents the summary statistics of the key demographic and farming characteristics of plantain farmers in the study area. On average, these farmers have adopted 3 out of the 7 identified improved plantain production technologies in the study area, suggesting a moderate level of technological adoption in the study area. The demographic variables show that the mean age of the sampled farmers is 41 years, indicating that they are predominantly in the economically active age range (Akerele, Akerele, Dada, & Akomolede, 2019). The gender variable shows a strong gender disparity in plantain farming, with 92% of the farmers being males, highlighting the patriarchal nature of plantain production in the study area. Each farming household comprises, on average, five members, reflecting a typical household size for agricultural families in the (Ibrahim, Akerele, Oyawole, Uthman, & Aminu, 2019; Oyawole, Ojo, Aminu, & Oyawole, 2022). The farmers have an average of 11 years of schooling, suggesting a relatively high educational attainment, which could influence their farming practices and adoption of new technologies.

Furthermore, the average farm size dedicated to plantain cultivation is 1.6 hectares, which aligns with small to medium-scale farming operations expected in the study area. Approximately two-thirds of the farmers have access to credit facilities, which will support their ability to finance their farming activities and possibly adopt new technologies. On average, the farmers have 5.5 years of experience in plantain farming, indicating a mix of novice and moderately experienced farmers. A significant proportion, 89%, of the farmers have access to extension services, which is crucial for disseminating knowledge and improving farming practices.

Farmers' awareness of improved plantain production technologies: Table 2 provides insights into the farmers' awareness level regarding the various improved plantain production technologies. The table shows farmers' familiarity with each of the seven identified technologies. Explicitly, most (93.8%) of the farmers are aware of the use of improved suckers. This high awareness suggests that this technology is well-known among farmers, likely due to its direct impact on plantain productivity. The small percentage of farmers who are unaware suggests that awareness campaigns and information dissemination have been largely successful for this technology. Adequate spacing has the highest awareness level among farmers, with 97.3% aware of this practice. A significant proportion of farmers (82.3%) are aware of IPM techniques, including pest and disease control strategies. Awareness of staking is notably low, with only 14.2% of farmers familiar with this technology. Tissue culture technology has a low awareness level, with less than a quarter (21.2%) of farmers aware of it. This indicates that despite its potential benefits for producing disease-free and high-quality plants, tissue culture is not widely known among farmers. About two-thirds of the farmers (67.3%) are aware of desuckering practices, which help manage plant density

and improve yields. Awareness of de-budding is extremely low, with only 8.8% of farmers knowing about it. This suggests that de-budding is not commonly discussed or understood in the community.

Farmers' level of adoption of improved plantain technologies: Table 3 presents farmers' adoption rates of the various improved plantain production technologies. The table reveals significant differences in how widely these technologies are embraced, shedding light on both high and low areas of adoption. Specifically, the high adoption rate of improved suckers (92%) suggests that most farmers recognise the benefits of using high-quality planting material. Most farmers (87.6%) practice adequate spacing, critical for optimising plant growth and reducing resource competition. IPM practices are adopted by 46.9% of the farmers, reflecting a moderate level of engagement with pest and disease control strategies. Staking is one of the least adopted technologies, with only 5.3% of farmers practising it. This suggests that staking might be perceived as too labour-intensive, costly, or unnecessary in the local farming context. The extremely low adoption rate of tissue culture (3.5%) indicates that this technology is either not well-known or not easily accessible to most farmers. De-suckering is adopted by 47.8% of the farmers, indicating a reasonable level of engagement with this practice. Similar to tissue culture, de-budding is scarcely adopted, with only 3.5% of farmers practising it. Therefore, it is deduced that the use of improved suckers and adequate spacing is widely accepted, suggesting these practices are wellintegrated into the farmers' routines and are seen as essential for productivity.

Factors influencing adoption of improved plantain production technologies: The parameter estimates of the Poisson regression used to model the determinants of the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies are presented in Table 4. The table shows that the likelihood ratio chi-square test statistic (LR Chi-square = 111.317) used to test the

overall model fit was significant at the 1% level  $(Prob > \chi^2 = 0.0000)$ , indicating that the model containing the complete set of predictors fits the data significantly better than the null (intercept only) model with no predictors. In other words, all the regression coefficients are significantly different from zero, and the chosen explanatory variables can be said to be relevant in explaining the model, and the model is a good fit. McFadden's pseudo-R-square, which describes the proportionate improvement in the model's fit with predictors relative to an interceptonly model, shows that our model has about 6% improvement relative to a null model. The estimated model shows that six (6) out of the eight (8) explanatory variables included in the model are significant determinants of the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies in the study area. These include the farmer's age, years of education, access to credit, farming experience, awareness index, and access to extension services, which all had a positive influence on the adoption improved intensity of plantain production technologies in the study area at a one per cent significance level (p<0.01).

The results showed that farmer's age positively and significantly affected the adoption of improved plantain technologies in the study area. An increase in the age of a farmer by one year will lead to an increase in the log of expected counts of the number of technologies that will be adopted by the farmer while holding the other variables in the model constant. This implies that older farmers tend to be more open to the trial of new things to enhance their productivity. Older farmers are believed to be more knowledgeable, experienced and better able to evaluate technical information than younger farmers since they have amassed more knowledge over time. This finding is in tandem with that of Pivoto et al. (2019), Anang, Amesimeku, and Fearon (2021) as well as Mahama et al. (2020), who found a positive and significant relationship between age and intensity of adoption of sustainable soybean production technologies in Northern Ghana. Mahama et al.

(2020) opined that farmers become more accountable to themselves and their close family members as they get older and, thus, frequently have a great desire to implement various technologies that might increase yields and raise revenues to support their families. On the contrary, Lamptey, Sulemana, Donkoh, Zakaria, and Azumah (2022) obtained an inverse relationship between age and adoption of improved rice variety, noting that older farmers are more cautious when embracing new ideas. Also, Fadeyi et al. (2022), in a systematic review of factors influencing technology adoption among smallholder farmers in Africa, indicated a decreasing enthusiasm for adopting novel and emerging technologies as the farmer ages.

The years of education significantly and positively influence the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies in the study area. A one-year increase in the number of years a plantain farmer spent in school will lead to an increase in the log of expected counts of improved technologies that the farmers will adopt. Our findings conform with that of Mahama et al. (2020), who reported a positive impact of the number of years spent in formal schooling on the adoption intensity of soybean production technologies in Northern Ghana as well as that of Dinh and Dung (2021), who found that a positive and significant interaction between the farmers' education level and their decision on the new technology adoption in Vietnam. Education is one of the key factors influencing the adoption of technology as it eases the introduction of knowledge and increases the farmers' ability to access and process information (Fadeyi et al., 2022; Ishola & Arumugam, 2019).

The awareness index was a positive and significant predictor of the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies in the study area. This implies that farmers with a higher level of awareness of the technologies were predicted to adopt more improved plantain production technologies than farmers with a low awareness level. Awareness is essential to adopting improved technologies as they can only adopt a technology they are aware of or

know about its uses (Ochieng et al. 2019). In a similar study, Addison, Anyomi, Acheampong, Wongnaa, and Amaning (2023) highlight the positive impact of awareness of technologies on their adoption intensity.

Extension visits also positively influenced the adoption intensity of improved technologies for plantain production in the study area. A unit increase in the number of extension officers' contacts a farmer gets will increase the log of expected counts for the number of technologies the plantain farmers will adopt. Farmers who have access to many visits by extension agents have a better opportunity to be aware of and understand the benefits of improved technologies and adopt them for increased productivity. Our result is consistent with the findings of Afodu et al. (2021) and Mahama et al. (2020), who reported that the provision of extension services during soybean production positively impacted the adoption intensity of technology in Northern Ghana. In conformity with similar studies in Vietnam (Dinh & Dung, 2021) and Ghana (Anang et al., 2021), farmers who have access to credit are predicted to adopt a higher number of technologies than those who do not. Finance has been a significant constraint to adopting improved technologies in Nigeria (Rilwanu, Sulaiman, & Bose, 2024). Adopting improved technologies, like improved hybrid varieties of fertiliser, amongst others, is capital intensive. Thus, access to credit will help smallholder farmers who are generally poor overcome budget constraints. Access to credit also supports risk management, scalability, and capacity building, ultimately contributing to increased productivity, profitability, and sustainability in farming practices.

Costs and returns to plantain production: Table 5 below reveals the analysis of cost and returns to plantain production per hectare of land per production season in the study area. The total variable cost (TVC), including labour, herbicides, pesticides, manure, suckers, and transportation costs, was №217,150, accounting for 86.3% of the total cost of production. Plantain production in the study area

depends on family and hired labour, and the cost for family labour was computed using the prevailing wage rate. Labour cost accounts for 40.24% of the total variable cost, indicating that labour is the most used variable among the respondents. The average fixed cost was estimated at ₹24,435, which covers rent on land and depreciation on fixed assets such as hoes, spades, cutlass, etc. The gross margin and a benefit-cost ratio of ₹545,510 and ₹ 2.26, respectively, reveal that plantain production is profitable in the study area as for every ₹1 invested, the enterprise will yield ₹2.26.

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concluded that plantain production is profitable in the study area. The adoption level of improved plantain sucker and adequate spacing is higher compared to other available technologies. Tissue culture and de-budding had a very low adoption rate. This could be attributed to their very low awareness level among the farmers in the study area relative to the high awareness levels of improved plantain sucker, adequate spacing, IPM and desuckering, among others. The farmer's age, years of education, access to credit, farming experience, awareness index, and access to extension services all positively influenced the adoption intensity of improved plantain production technologies in the study area.

Based on these findings, the following recommendations were made:

- There is a need for massive awareness and comprehensive information spread about the advantages and significance of each improved technology to enhance adoption.
- Extension agents should make efforts to increase their number of visits to farming communities because these encounters provide farmers with a forum to express their concerns and inquire about using these technologies while enhancing productivity in plantain farming.

- The farmers should be encouraged to acquire education as this will improve their understanding of these various technologies and raise their level of adoption.
- The government should create experimental programmes that reveal the benefits of adopting improved technologies to encourage laggard farmers.
- The government and other NGOs should help support the farmers financially by providing loans to enhance the adoption rate of the improved production technologies.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdulraheem, M. I., Naqvi, S. M. Z. A., Li, L., Ahmed, S., Wei, W., Su, R., . . . Hu, J. (2022). Soil fertility management: issues and challenges in tropical areas of Nigeria. In H. S. Jatav & V. D. Rajput (Eds.), Ecosystem services: types, management and benefits (pp. 313-226). USA: Nova Science Publishers.
- Addison, M., Anyomi, B. K., Acheampong, P. P., Wongnaa, C. A., & Amaning, T. K. (2023). Key drivers of adoption intensity of selected improved rice technologies in rural Ghana. *Scientific African*, 19, e01544.
- Afodu, O. J., Akinboye, O. E., Akintunde, A., Ndubuisi-Ogbonna, L., Shobo, B., & Oyewumi, O. (2021). Assessing the impact of technology adoption on productivity of plantain farmers in Nigeria. *Agricultural Socio-Economics Journal*, 21(4), 319-324.
- Akerele, E. O., Akerele, E. F., Dada, O. M., & Akomolede, L. A. (2019). Profitability Analysis of Plantain Production in Yewa Division, Ogun State. *KIU Journal of Social Sciences*, *5*(1), 45-53.
- Ambali, O. I., Areal, F. J., & Georgantzis, N. (2021). Improved rice technology adoption: The role of spatially-dependent risk preference. *Agriculture*, *11*(8), 691.
- Anang, B. T., Amesimeku, J., & Fearon, J. (2021). Drivers of adoption of crop protection and soil fertility management practices among smallholder soybean farmers in Tolon district of Ghana. *Heliyon*, 7(5).

- Chiemela, C. J., Nwangwu, K. N., Nzennwa, R. C.,
  Chiemela, S. N., Ibe, J. C., & Asogwa, B. U.
  (2021). Profitability of On and Off-season
  Plantain Marketing in Nsukka Local Government
  Area, Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 19(1), 134-149.
- Dauda, R. (2023). Climate Change and Food Security in Nigeria. *Economic and Policy Review*, 21(2), 17-23.
- Dinh, N. X., & Dung, N. M. (2021). Determinants on the adoption of modern agricultural technology at farm household level: a case study in Dong Anh District, Hanoi city, Vietnam. *International Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 9(2), 295-303.
- Fadeyi, O. A., Ariyawardana, A., & Aziz, A. A. (2022). Factors influencing technology adoption among smallholder farmers: a systematic review in Africa.
- Ibrahim, S. B., Akerele, D., Oyawole, F. P., Uthman, O. J., & Aminu, R. O. (2019). Effect of non-farm income on poverty status of farm households in Ogun state, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment, 15*(2), 40-49.
- Ishola, B. D., & Arumugam, N. (2019). Factors preventing the adoption of agricultural technology among banana and plantain growers: A mapping review of recent literature. *JoMOR 1* (1): 1, 11.
- Lamptey, C. Y., Sulemana, N., Donkoh, S. A., Zakaria, A., & Azumah, S. B. (2022). The effect of adoption of improved varieties on rice productivity in the northern region of Ghana. *Review of Agricultural and Applied Economics* (*RAAE*), 25(1), 42-54.
- Lescot, T. (2020). Banana genetic diversity. *Close-Up Fruitrop*, 269, 98-102.
- Lienou, J. A., Ngatat, S., Nanga Nanga, S., Doumtsop Fotio, A. R. P., Abang Fomumbod, A., Fotso Kuate, A., . . . Njukwe, E. (2022). Plantain hybrids for the humid forest agroecology of Central Africa—diseases and pests load, fruit yield and farmers perception. *Plant Production Science*, 25(4), 484-500.
- Mahama, A., Awuni, J. A., Mabe, F. N., & Azumah, S. B. (2020). Modelling adoption intensity of improved soybean production technologies in Ghana-a Generalized Poisson approach. *Heliyon*, 6(3)
- Nwogwugwu, U. C. C., Nwokoye, E., & Osai, V. A. (2023). The Role of Agriculture in Economic

- Development: in Nigeria. *Journal of International Economic Relations and Development Economics*, 3(1), 103-111.
- Ogun State. (2020). Profile of Ogun State. Official Website of the Ogun State Government, Nigeria. Available at www.ogunstate.gov.ng.
- Okojie, J. (2022). Opportunity mounts for plantain growers and Nigerians demand for flour, snacks rises. Business Day. Accessed 29th March, 2023. <a href="https://businessday.ng/agriculture/article/opportunity-mounts-for-plantain-growers-as-nigerians-demand-for-flour-snacks-rises/">https://businessday.ng/agriculture/article/opportunity-mounts-for-plantain-growers-as-nigerians-demand-for-flour-snacks-rises/</a>.
- Okunola, O. S., Olapade-Ogunwole, F., & Adesiyan, O. I. (2022). Profitability Analysis of Plantain Production in Ondo East Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 40(10), 1097-1106.
- Olumba, C., & Onunka, C. (2020). Banana and plantain in West Africa: Production and marketing. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development, 20*(2), 15474-15489.
- Oluseye, O. J. T., Sunday, O. A., & Damilola, K. A. (2019). Profitability of Investment in Plantain Value Chain in Osun State, Nigeria. *Ecology and Evolutionary Biology*, 4(2), 23-27.
- Onwutuebe, C. J. (2019). Patriarchy and Women Vulnerability to Adverse Climate Change in Nigeria. *Sage Open*, *9*(1), 2158244019825914. doi:10.1177/2158244019825914
- Oyawole, F. P., Ojo, A. M., Aminu, R. O., & Oyawole, G. A. (2022). Adoption of good agricultural practices among cotton farmers in Ogun State, Nigeria. *FUOYE Journal of Agriculture and Human Ecology*, 6(2), 41-48.
- Pivoto, D., Barham, B., Waquil, P. D., Foguesatto, C.
  R., Corte, V. F. D., Zhang, D., & Talamini, E.
  (2019). Factors influencing the adoption of smart farming by Brazilian grain farmers. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 22(4), 571-588.
- Rilwanu, S., Sulaiman, A., & Bose, A. (2024). Factors influencing adoption of improved rice production technologies in Western agricultural zone of Bauchi state, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology*, 4(2), 41-54.
- Salami, M. F., Osasona, K. K., Mark, M., Falola, A., & Subair, M. B. (2023). Economic assessment of plantain marketing in Ilorin metropolis, Kwara state, Nigeria. Western Balkan Journal of

Agricultural Economics and Rural Development (WBJAERD), 5(1), 1-14.

Tenkouano, A., Lamien, N., Agogbua, J., Amah, D., Swennen, R., Traoré, S., . . . Gnonhouri, G. (2019). Promising High-Yielding Tetraploid Plantain-Bred Hybrids in West Africa. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2019(1), 3873198.

Udomkun, P., Masso, C., Swennen, R., Innawong, B., Fotso Kuate, A., Alakonya, A., . . . Vanlauwe, B.

(2021). Consumer preferences and socioeconomic factors decided on plantain and plantain-based products in the central region of Cameroon and Oyo state, Nigeria. *Foods*, 10(8), 1955.

Wahyudi, A., Kuwornu, J. K., Gunawan, E., Datta, A., & Nguyen, L. T. (2019). Factors influencing the frequency of consumers' purchases of locally-produced rice in Indonesia: A Poisson regression analysis. *Agriculture*, *9*(6), 117.

**Table 1**: Key demographic and farming characteristics of plantain farmers in the study area (N=226)

Variable	Description	Mean	Std. Dev.
Count of improved technologies adopted	Number of improved plantain production technologies adopted	2.69	1.016
Age	Age of household head in years	41.301	9.147
Gender	Dummy for the gender of household head (male = 1)	0.929	0.257
Year of education	Number of years of schooling of household head (years)	11.08	8.078
Household size	Number of household members	5.473	1.841
Farm size	Area of land cultivated (Ha)	1.632	1.379
Access to credit	Dummy for access to credit by the household head (Have access =1)	0.712	0.454
Farming experience	Number of years of farming plantain	5.416	3.08
Access to extension services	Dummy for access to credit by the household head (Have access =1)	0.841	0.367

Source: Field Survey, 2019

Table 2: Distribution of farmers by awareness of improved plantain production technologies

S/N	Improved Technology	Frequency		Percentage		
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
1	Use of improved sucker	212	14	93.8	6.2	
2	Adequate spacing (3 by 2 or 2.5 by 2.5m)	220	6	97.3	2.7	
3	IPM – pest and disease control	186	40	82.3	17.7	
4	Staking (propping)	32	194	14.2	85.8	
5	Tissues culture	48	178	21.2	78.8	
6	Desuckering (2-3/stand)	152	74	67.3	32.7	
7	Debudding	20	206	8.8	91.2	

Mean Awareness index $^*$  = 0.552

Source: Field Survey, 2019

<sup>\*</sup>Awareness index is computed as the number of technologies the farmers are aware of divided by the total number of technologies

Table 3: Distribution of farmers by adoption of improved plantain production technologies

S/N	Improved Technology	Freque	Frequency		Percentage	
		Yes	No	Yes	No	
1	Use of improved sucker	208	18	92	8	
2	Adequate spacing (3 by 2 or 2.5 by 2.5m)	198	28	87.6	12.4	
3	IPM – pest and disease control	106	120	46.9	53.1	
4	Staking (propping)	12	214	5.3	94.7	
5	Tissues culture	8	218	3.5	96.5	
6	Desuckering (2-3/stand)	108	118	47.8	52.2	
7	Debudding	8	218	3.5	96.5	

Source: Field Survey, 2019

**Table 4**: Poisson regression model coefficient estimates of the determinants of adoption intensity of improved plantain technologies

Variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Age	0.011***	0.003	3.96	0.000
Gender	-0.009	0.107	-0.08	0.934
Year of education	0.01***	0.002	4.25	0.000
Household size	0.015	0.010	1.53	0.126
Farm size	0.013	0.009	1.51	0.132
Access to credit	0.25***	0.071	3.53	0.000
Farming experience	-0.002	0.006	-0.41	0.685
Awareness index	0.679***	0.203	3.35	0.001
Access to extension services	0.287***	0.082	3.48	0.000
Constant	-0.472**	0.199	-2.37	0.018
Pseudo r-squared	0.059	Number of obs	ervation	226
LR Chi-square	111.317	Prob > chi2		0.000
Akaike crit. (AIC)	714.371	Bayesian crit. (	BIC)	748.576

Note: \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

Source: Authors' computation

 Table 5: Costs and returns to plantain production in the study area

Cost and return items	Unit	Average value <del>N</del> / (ha)
Variable Costs		
Cost of chemicals (pesticides, herbicides)	Litres	8,930
Cost of fertiliser	Bags	17,850
Cost of sucker	Pieces	74,150
Cost of labour	Man-day	87,380
Cost of manure	Bags	20,725
Cost of transportation	-	8,115
<b>Total Variable Costs (TVC)</b>		217,150
Fixed Costs		
Land rent	ha	20000
Fixed asset depreciated (spade, cutlass, hoe, etc.)	Number	4435
<b>Total Fixed Costs (TFC)</b>		24,435
Total Cost (TC)		241,585
Revenue		
Plantain bunch	Bunches	685800
Plantain suckers	Pieces	76860
Total Revenue (TR)		762660
Gross Margin (GM) = TR - TVC		545,510
Benefit-cost ratio (TR/TC)		2.26

Source: Authors' computation