

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.



RTG 2654 Sustainable Food Systems

University of Goettingen

SustainableFood Discussion Papers

No. 18

Nitrogen and Phosphorus pollution mitigation through down-scaling cattle production in Germany

Karen Arcia Stephan von Cramon-Taubadel

December 2024

RTG 2654 Sustainable Food Systems · Heinrich Düker Weg 12 · 37073 Göttingen · Germany www.uni-goettingen.de/sustainablefood

ISSN (2750-1671)

Suggested Citation

Arcia, K., S. von Cramon-Taubadel (2024). Nitrogen and Phosphorus pollution mitigation through down-scaling cattle production in Germany. SustainableFood Discussion Paper 18, University of Goettingen.

Imprint

SustainableFood Discussion Paper Series (ISSN 2750-1671)

Publisher and distributor: RTG 2654 Sustainable Food Systems (SustainableFood) – Georg-August University of Göttingen Heinrich Düker Weg 12, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded from the RTG website:

www.uni-goettingen.de/sustainablefood

SustainableFood Discussion Papers are research outputs from RTG participants and partners. They are meant to stimulate discussion, so that comments are welcome. Most manuscripts that appear as Discussion Papers are also formally submitted for publication in a journal. The responsibility for the contents of this publication rests with the author(s), not the RTG. Since discussion papers are of a preliminary nature, please contact the author(s) of a particular issue about results or caveats before referring to, or quoting, a paper. Any comments should be sent directly to the author(s).

Nitrogen and Phosphorus pollution mitigation through down-scaling cattle production in Germany

Karen Arcia^{a,b,*}, Stephan von Cramon-Taubadel^{a,b}

^aResearch Training Group 2654: Sustainable Food Systems, Heinrich-Düker-Weg 12, Göttingen, 37073, Germany ^bDepartment of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Platz der Göttinger Sieben 5, Göttingen, 37073, Germany

4 Abstract

3

Reactive nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) pollution in Germany is mainly caused by production of cattle 5 meat and milk, which is mostly consumed domestically. This pollution comes at a high external costs not 6 yet addressed by current policies. We explore scenarios where reduced domestic cattle production aims to 7 lower N and P pollution. We also analyze the potential effects of two policy measures, cattle buy-outs and 8 input taxation, on reducing production. The research discusses the need to decrease cattle milk and meat 9 consumption alongside cattle production reduction to ensure that negative environmental effects such as N 10 and P pollution are not merely shifted to other production regions. Further research should examine the 11 policies under consequential computational economic framework toward precise magnitude of effects. 12

Keywords: Nutrient pollution mitigation, Policy measures, Buyout, Taxation, Grassland utilization, Nutrient cycles
 JEL: Q52, Q53, Q18, H23

15 1. Introduction

Reactive Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) pollute air, water, and ecosystems. They adversely affect 16 human health, the environment, and climate, spanning from local to global effects (Oenema, 2006; Sakadevan 17 and Nguyen, 2017; Rockström et al., 2009). Demand for animal-sourced commodities in developed and 18 transitioning economies is the main driver of this pollution (Uwizeye et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2017). In 19 Germany, a global hotspot for N and P pollution, national indicators for domestic N losses show some 20 improvement in air quality, mainly due to reduced ammonia emissions since 2015 (Figure 1a). However, the 21 condition of water bodies and terrestrial ecosystems remains critically affected by excess of reactive N and P. 22 with most emission reduction targets yet to be attained (Figure 1b-f). Domestic production of cattle meat 23 and milk, mainly consumed within Germany, is the primary source of pollution from both nutrients. 24

Global frameworks, such as the Global Partnership on Nutrient Management (GPNM) and the UNEP 25 Working Group on Nitrogen, typically result in voluntary territorial-based political responses. These non-26 binding frameworks often lead to inaction or weak and unfocused pollution-control policies that fail to con-27 sider consumption-side policies for effective mitigation, perpetuating these issues. In the German context, 28 supranational and national legal frameworks, developed to aid in achieving N and P reduction targets, are 29 predominantly governed by detailed production-side command-and-control provisions. These policies often 30 suffer from enforcement deficits, rebound effects, and shifting effects (Garske and Ekardt, 2021; Gazzani, 31 2017). Backed by the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), numerous agri-environmental subsidies have also 32 been ineffective in addressing hotspots of both nutrient imbalances (Früh-Müller et al., 2019; Uthes et al., 33 2010). So far, neither CAP policies nor command-and-control provisions have considered intervening cattle 34 production as the main N and P immediate polluter. 35

While we anticipate comprehensive policies targeting the main driver of pollution, animal-sourced food consumption, we focus on targeting cattle production as the primary immediate reactive N and P domestic polluter. Here we explore,

i) How would down-scaling domestic cattle production in Germany contribute to N and P pollution
 reductions in Germany?

ii) What do cattle buy-out schemes and taxation of nutrient-intensive inputs offer as means to address
 N and P pollution in Germany? New in implementation but not in discussion, these policies seem set to
 determine the N and P outcomes of the ongoing decade in the EU context.

^{*}Corresponding author(s).

⁻ Email address: karcia@uni-goetingen.de (Karen Arcia)

Our study provides insights into whether we can expect different outcomes or similar results as the past with upstream production-side policies but now directed at the primary immediate polluter.

With upstream production side policies but now uncected at the primary infincentic policies. We utilize quantitative attributional analysis to answer the first question, by extending previous estimates of German cattle N and P nutrient budgeting and external pollution costs. For the second question, we review the literature concerning both policy instruments. We discuss the need to decrease cattle milk and meat consumption alongside reductions in cattle production to ensure that negative environmental effects such as N and P pollution are not merely shifted to other production regions, also known as leakage effects. Here,

⁵¹ 'technical' refers to how biophysical production reductions translate into domestic consumption decreases

⁵² rather than being an outcome of a specific policy. The remainder of this work is structured as follows: in

⁵³ Section 2, we present the methods used, followed by the results in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss

54 the main findings, limitations, broader implications, and conclusion.



Figure 1: Status of key Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) pollution indicators for Germany. a. Ammonia emissions: total national and agriculture (1990-2020), cattle primary level of production-related (2020) with discrimination of emission origin, and national reduction target (2010-2020 and 2030); b. Area share of sensitive terrestrial ecosystems where the load limits of acidification are exceeded, several years between 2000 and 2019, reduction target, 2030. c. Share of measuring points for Nitrate groundwater where the limit value (50 mg l^{-1}) has been exceeded, modeled development, 2008-2020, reduction target with no date specified. d. Area share of sensitive terrestrial ecosystems where the load limits of eutrophication are exceeded, modeled development, several years between 2000 and 2019, reduction target, 2030. e. Share of transitional and coastal water bodies in at least good status; 2010, 2015, 2021, and reduction target to 2027. Annual data refers to the year of reporting to the EU. When reporting for the year 2010, information was gathered up until 2008. The data for the 2015 reporting year covered the time frame 2009-2014 and for 2021, 2014-2019. f. Share of measuring points that exceed the requirement for good status for total P in rivers, 1982-2021 and reduction target, Vos et al. (2022); cattle-related, calculated in a previous own independent study. b, d, Schaap et al. (2023). c, e, f, Umweltbundesamt (2022).

55 2. Methods

⁵⁶ 2.1. Scenarios of downs-scaled domestic cattle production to reduce related N and P related ⁵⁷ nutrient surpluses

In a separate study, we have estimated Germany's N and P cattle primary production-related surpluses and potential reactive compounds fate for 2020. We refer to these estimates in the following as 'reference 2020'.

In this paper, such an estimation based on nutrient budgeting serves as our basis for exploring five scenarios 60 for reducing the domestic surpluses of both nutrients. These scenarios, abbreviated onward as Sn, factor in 61 reductions in cattle production inputs and stocking rates on the reference 2020 nutrient budget, specifically: 62 S1, limiting domestic cattle production to the permanent domestic grassland potential, S2, decreasing current 63 feed use, and S3, decreasing current fertilizer rates in feed procurement for domestic cattle. The following two 64 options involve cattle proportionally reducing its N surpluses, aligning with two distinct levels of ambition. 65 Under S4, the aim is moderate, requiring cattle to reduce surpluses commensurate with their share in national 66 agricultural pollution and in line with national N surplus reduction targets. For S5, the ambition is higher, 67 following expert recommendations to halve N surplus, with cattle contributions adjusted accordingly. The 68 scenarios are described below, along with a summary in Table 1 on how the criteria mentioned above are 69 combined. The scenarios express only technical, non-market mediated agricultural reductions for Germany as 70 a whole. We modify the nutrient budgeting of the reference 2020, as highlighted in the scenario description, 71 to obtain alternative production figures, land use, feed demand, main nutrient flows, nutrient use efficiency, 72 and surplus fate for each alternative scenario. In addition, the estimated production reductions are expressed 73 in terms of the reduction needed in domestic cattle milk and meat consumption while keeping other domestic 74 food consumption unchanged (and its N and P pollution generated). This serves as an indicator of the 75 changes that a policy in consumption would have to induce to avoid simply geographically relocating effects. 76

Table 1: Scenarios (Sn) to reduce N and P Surpluses originating from a down-scaled German cattle production.

				Reducing f	eed use
Sn		Production limited to grassland	Reducing N fertilizer	Lower ambition in N surplus reduction	Higher ambition: halving N surplus
S1. gras	s	х			
S2. cap-	fert			x	
S3. gras	s-cap-fert	x	х		
S4. cap-	feed-low			x	
S5. cap-	fert-feed-high		x		x

S1. grass: reduction of domestic cattle production to the available permanent grassland 77 potential with unchanged N fertilization rates. Implementation of exclusively grassland-based feeding 78 systems in mixed dairy farming has the potential to reduce N input in agriculture by decreasing the use of 79 concentrates in the feeding ratio (Mack and Huber, 2017). This scenario assumes domestic cattle to be fed 80 according to the current permanent grassland potential and not on imported or domestic feed from arable 81 land (Garnett, 2009). The demand for grassland by ruminants is maintained at the same proportion as in 82 the reference year 2020. The assumption seems rigid but allows excess manure to be transferred to other 83 agricultural lands to support cropping to maintain the EU legal maximum manure application limit of 170 kg 84 N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Recognizing legumes' key role in supporting the EU-protein transition, increasing N fixation, 85 and improving forage yield, quality, and seasonal distribution (Lüscher et al., 2014), grasslands are enriched 86 with legume mixtures. The output of marketed products, such as milk and cattle live animals derivatives, are 87 adjusted to reflect output quantity differences between grass-fed and concentrate diets. N and P in manure 88 production are recalculated to reflect the shift to a grass-feed diet (Sebek et al., 2014; van Krimpen et al., 89 2014). Pasture grazing remains the same as in the reference year, but pasture exercising is increasingly 90 implemented from spring to autumn. Total fertilizer demand per land unit remains as in the reference year 91 2020, and seedling material is readjusted to reflect grassland resowing needs. 92

cap-fert: reduction of N fertilization rates in domestic cattle feed production based S2.93 on the social optimum and P fertilization bound to P bio-available legacies in topsoil. This 94 scenario operates under similar assumptions as the reference year 2020, except that N and P fertilization 95 regimes are reduced as follows. Total domestic N fertilizer demand is reduced to promote a socially optimal 96 N rate as proposed by von Blottnitz et al. (2006), rather than pursuing the typical agronomic optimum 97 rate of N fertilization. To find a proxy for the socially optimal N rate, we refer to studies by van Grinsven 98 et al. (2015) and Henke et al. (2007), which suggest social optimum N fertilization levels for winter wheat 99 and rape seed representative for Northwest Europe and German conditions, respectively. Based on van 100 Grinsven et al. (2015), we assume that a 27% reduction in N fertilization (falling within the original range 101 proposed by the authors of 25-30%) will result in a 15% decrease in plant yield (situated within the original 102 range of 10-20%) compared with the reference year 2020. The assumption of manure re-circulation in our 103 balance formulation yields a 15% reduction in N manure and 38% in inorganic N fertilizer. P fertilization 104 is entirely covered via cattle manure and the bio-available legacies of P in topsoil (0–20 cm). In contrast, P 105 inorganic fertilizer is disregarded, and its utilization is only reconsidered when bio-available topsoil legacies 106 are substantially reduced. P legacy bio-utilization increases from the reference year 2020 utilization, i.e., 8.14 107 kg P ha⁻¹ to 8.85 kg P ha⁻¹, discounting legacies from the average 83 kg P ha⁻¹ estimated to be labile in 108 German agricultural topsoil according to Panagos et al. (2022). While this strategy could minimize import 109

dependency on mined P, such a P fertilization approach would necessitate country-wide manure procurement
strategies. Additionally, it requires a comprehensive understanding of the spatial arrangement of pre-existing
P legacies, their bioavailability for plant uptake, and site-specific conditions, such as soil texture and organic
matter content (Buczko et al., 2019).

S3. grass-cap-fert: reduction of domestic cattle production to the available permanent grass-114 land with N fertilization bound to a social optimum. This option combines the premises of extensi-115 fication and low-input farming by adopting the assumptions of S2 regarding N and P fertilization reduction 116 rates and S1 regarding feed use based on the grassland potential. We recreate the effects of climate change on 117 crop yields by adding the hurdle of grassland yield reductions as in a warmer-than-average condition year, i.e., 118 we take 2018 Germany's grassland yields as reference (BMEL, 2021). The assumption of lower yields adjusts 119 cattle numbers further so that stocking rates for grassland support an average of 0.5 livestock units (LSU) 120 ha⁻¹. This scenario assumes pasture access from spring to autumn and hay/silage grass in the wintertime. 121

S4. cap-feed-low: reduction of absolute domestic cattle numbers bounded to domestic agri-122 culture N surplus reduction target. In this scenario, domestic cattle numbers are decreased to meet the 123 moderate 2030 goal of reducing Germany's agricultural N surplus from 80 to 70 kg N ha⁻¹ utilized agricul-124 tural area (UAA) yr^{-1} . We assume that the reduction in cattle numbers will be strategically distributed 125 nationwide. A reduction in cattle absolute numbers implies a reduction in cattle feed-related domestic arable 126 land and imported feed use, while permanent grassland utilization remains the same as in the reference year 127 2020. Imported feed and green fodder (mostly silo maize) are reduced more than proportionally, whereas the 128 remainder of the domestic arable land feed components are reduced proportionally until the target is reached. 129

S5. cap-fert-feed-high: reducing absolute domestic cattle numbers and N fertilization toward achieving a more ambitious N surplus reduction target. This scenario combines the assumptions in S2 with an absolute reduction in cattle numbers to ensure cattle, proportionally to its N surplus, contributes to halving the reference 2020 domestic N agricultural losses. This ambitious target, which exceeds current national goals for N surplus reduction, was previously employed by Leip et al. (2022). They identified such a reduction as required to avoid exceeding critical limits of N losses into air and water in the EU, provided that, in practice, spatial allocation is appropriately considered.

137 3. Results

$_{138}$ 3.1. Cattle production scenarios to reduce related N and P surpluses

Table 2 summarizes the domestic cattle production scenarios designed to reduce related N and P domestic 139 surpluses. These scenarios involve reducing the use of fertilizers, land, feed, and, ultimately, reduced cattle 140 numbers. Here, we assume that other domestic N and P emission sources remain unchanged, and domestic 141 cattle milk and meat consumption are reduced in line with related production reductions. The proposed 142 domestic down-scaled cattle production pictures cattle related domestic N and P surpluses reductions between 143 15% and 48% and between 14% and 94%. Such a domestic reduction in reactive components' pollution would 144 require a contraction of the domestic cattle herd between 15% and 75%. Additionally, it would necessitate 145 a shrinkage in domestic inorganic N and P fertilizer consumption for cattle feed procurement between 16% 146 and 54%, and between 29% and a cessation of imports, correspondingly. Major nutrient use efficiencies are 147 achieved unequivocally via scenarios that consider fertilizer reduction (S2 and S5). While these measures 148 would considerably reduce societal external costs (i.e., damage avoidance) compared with the reference, 149 they would not be enough to internalize the total human health, climate, and ecosystem costs identified. 150 Reductions of external costs range from 13% to 53% in the case of N and 27% to 65% in the case of P. 151

Note that S5, the most ambitious scenario for N surplus reduction, which evidently yields lower reactive
 N compound into air, water, and soil, and lower external costs does not necessarily deliver the best results
 for reactive P reductions. S3 presents the most considerable reduction in P surplus, which is anticipated due
 to the potential for utilizing labile P, yet elusive to achieve in practice without comprehensive nationwide P
 stocks monitoring.

While S5 suggests almost halving (reducing by 44% and 43%) domestic cattle meat and milk consumption, S3 proposes even more prominent cuts in consumption. Essentially, S3 implies that to achieve the goal of sourcing all cattle-related domestic demand from pasture-based sources while simultaneously targeting a twothirds reduction in current sector-related P surplus, German consumers would need to reduce their intake of cattle meat to under one-quarter of their current consumption and limit their consumption of cattle dairy to less than one-fifth, other sources of livestock consumption unchanged.

¹⁶³ 3.2. Pursuing N and P pollution reductions via two production-side policies

¹⁶⁴ 3.2.1. Livestock buy-out schemes

The livestock buy-out scheme is a state-subsidized scheme the Dutch government has introduced as part of a new policy package to reduce N pollution from domestic livestock production. This scheme offers

Table 2: Technical down-scaled cattle production scenarios (Sn) in Germany designed to reduce related N and P surpluses compared to 2020 reference. Increased hue of red colors for options indicates increasing production or mean of production or consumption, while increased hue of yellow and purple colors indicate increasing social costs associated with N and P, respectively. **Data sources:** Physical figures for reference 2020 sourced from the same authors' separate research, and remaining data from the present study.

Main category	Indicator	2020	S1.	S2.	S3.	S4.	S5.
		rei	grass	cap-iert	grass-cap-iert	cap-feed-low	cap-iert-ieed-nign
Production figures	Cattle animal numbers (million head)	11.3	5.2(-54%)	9.6(-15%)	2.8(-75%)	8.1 (-28%)	6.4 (-43%)
	Dairy cows (million head)	3.0	1.9(-54%)	3.3(-15%)	2.0(-75%) 1.0(-74\%)	2.8 (-28%)	4.0 (-45%) 2.2 (-44%)
	Cattle meat output (million tons CW)	1.09	0.45(59%)	0.93(-15%)	0.24(-78%)	0.78(-28%)	0.62(-43%)
	Milk output (million tons)	33.6	11.2(-67%)	28.6 (15%)	5.8 (83%)	24.2(28%)	19.2(-43%)
	Cattle output (Billion Euro yr^{-1})	13.8	4.9 (-64%)	11.8 (-14%)	2.5 (-82%)	10.0 (-27%)	7.9 (-43%)
Land use	Domestic UAA allocated to feed cultivation (million ha)	6.9	4.2 (-39%)	6.9(0%)	4.2 (-39%)	5.5 (-20%)	5.5 (-20%)
	thereof arable land (million ha)	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	1.3	1.3
	Stocking rate (LSU Domestic UAA allocated for feed cultivation ⁻¹)	1.2	0.9(-25%)	1.0(-17%)	0.5(-58%)	1.1 (-8%)	0.8 (-33%)
Feed demand	Imported rich protein feed (million tons)	1.6	0.0 (-100%)	1.4 (-12%)	0.0 (-100%)	1.0 (-37%)	0.7 (-56%)
Domestic consumption	Cattle meat (kg cattle meat capita ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	10	4 (-60%)	8.3 (-17%)	2.2 (-78%)	7.1 (-29%)	5.6 (-44%)
	Cow milk & dairy products (kg cow milk capita ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	333	111 (-67%)	283 (-15%)	57 (-83%)	240 (-28%)	190 (-43%)
Nitrogen							
Main flows	Total N surplus (Gg N)	753	573 (-24%)	486 (-35%)	494 (-34%)	641 (-15%)	387 (-48%)
	N Surplus in area basis (kg Total Germany UAA 2020 $^{-1}$)	45	35 (-22%)	29 (-36%)	30 (-33%)	39 (-13%)	23 (-49%)
	N in cattle manure (Gg N)	724	341 (-52%)	615 (-15%)	179 (-75%)	521 (-28%)	413 (-43%)
	N inorganic Fertilizer (Gg N)	775	478 (-38%)	479 (-38%)	419(-46%)	652 (-16%)	355 (-54%)
	Virgin N (Gg N)	988	638 (-35%)	686 (-31%)	579 (-41%)	811 (-18%)	512 (-48%)
	Recycled to total N (ratio)	0.65	0.59 (-9%)	0.69~(6%)	0.43 (-34%)	0.62 (-5%)	0.67(3%)
N Use efficiency (NUE)	NUE_food (ratio)	0.076	0.031~(59%)	0.089~(17%)	0.017~(78%)	0.060~(21%)	0.082~(8%)
Surplus fate	Air emission (NH_3, N_2O, NO_x) (Gg N)	217	171 (-21%)	130 (-40%)	144 (-34%)	188 (-13%)	106 (-51%)
	Denitrification (N2)_a (Gg N)	376	292 (-22%)	246 (-35%)	257 (-32%)	321 (-15%)	198 (-47%)
	Leaching and run-off_a (Gg N)	160	110 (-31%)	109 (-32%)	92 (-42%)	132 (-18%)	83 (-48%)
	Human health	4.8	4.1 (-15%)	2.9 (-40%)	3.1 (-35%)	4.1 (-15%)	2.3 (-52%)
Erternel costa	Climate	0.3	0.2 (-33%)	0.2 (-33%)	0.2 (-33%)	0.3~(0%)	0.2 (-33%)
(Dillion Funo m^{-1})	Ecosystems	2.2	1.6 (-27%)	1.4 (-36%)	1.3(-41%)	1.8 (-18%)	1.1 (-50%)
(Billon Euro yr)	Cattle production	-4.1	-3.1 (-24%)	-2.6 (-37%)	-2.7 (-34%)	-3.5 (-15%)	-2.1 (-49%)
	Total N related external cost	3.2	2.8 (-13%)	1.9(-41%)	2.0 (-38%)	2.8 (-13%)	1.5(-53%)
Phosphorus							
Main flows	Total P surplus including slaughterhouse waste (Gg P)	145	77 (-47%)	124 (-14%)	55 (-62%)	109 (-25%)	8 (-94%)
	P Surplus in area basis (kg P Total Germany UAA in 2020 $^{-1}$)	8.8	4.6 (-48%)	7.5 (-15%)	3.3 (-62%)	6.6 (-25%)	5.3 (-40%)
	P inorganic fertilizer (Gg P)	17	10 (-41%)	0 (-100%)	0 (-100%)	12 (-29%)	0 (-100%)
	P cattle manure (Gg P)	107	56(-48%)	91 (-15%)	39 (-64%)	77 (-28%)	61 (-43%)
	P Soil stock depletion/utilization (Gg P)	62	34(-45%)	61 (-2%)	34(-45%)	45 (-27%)	45 (-27%)
	Virgin P from other sources (Gg P)	115	50 (-57%)	84 (-27%)	22 (-81%)	82 (-29%)	57 (-50%)
	Domestically recycled to total P (ratio)	0.76	0.79 (4%)	0.79(4%)	0.85 (12%)	0.77 (1%)	0.80(5%)
P Use efficiency (PUE)	PUE_food (ratio)	0.062	0.043~(59%)	0.071~(15%)	0.054~(12%)	0.062~(0%)	0.071 (15%)
Surplus fate	Accumulation in soil (Gg P)a	121	64 (-47%)	104 (-14%)	45 (-63%)	91 (-25%)	73 (-40%)
	Leaching and run-off_c (Gg P)a	24	13 (-46%)	21 (-12%)	10 (-58%)	18 (-25%)	14 (-42%)
	Ecosystems: eutrophication and leaching to drinking water	146	78 (-47%)	125 (-14%)	55 (-62%)	110 (-25%)	88 (-40%)
Ertornal	Ecosystems: loss of biodiversity	15	8 (-47%)	11 (-27%)	5 (-67%)	11 (-27%)	7 (-53%)
(Million Euro yr ⁻¹)	Human health: Cd potential to cause several health damage	142	76 (-46%)	105 (-26%)	45 (-68%)	103 (-27%)	70 (-51%)
(Annion Euro yr)	Total P related external cost	304	162 (-47%)	241 (-21%)	105(-65%)	223 (-27%)	166 (-45%)

financial support for decommissioning dairy, pig, and poultry herds to help reduce their size. (Government 167 of the Netherlands, 2020). At the moment, Germany focuses on animal welfare and nutrient management 168 rather than buy-outs to tackle N pollution (Boezeman et al., 2023). The first potential concern with a 169 buy-out scheme implementation in Germany is its voluntary basis, in which farms willing to participate 170 in the program may not correspond to the geographical hotspot areas of N and P pollution. Adopting a 171 mandatory approach for the buy-out scheme with national livestock permits with strategic issuance targeting 172 minimizing current nutrient pollution hotspots could solve this. However, this proposition will encounter 173 considerable resistance from most stakeholders. The second concern with implementing a buy-out scheme 174 in Germany lies in the insufficient reasons supporting its jurisdictional effectiveness. In the case of the 175 Netherlands, the implementation of the scheme is mainly justified as a considerable portion of the nutrient 176 pollution externalities generated by intensive livestock production are not driven by local demand. However, 177 Germany's conditions are different. With a self-sufficiency rate for cattle meat at 98.2% and milk at 111.9% 178 (Rasche et al., 2023), any substantial reduction in dairy cattle could impact meat production, as much of 179 the beef supply is linked to the dairy industry. Reducing dairy cattle to achieve 100% self-sufficiency in 180 milk production could inadvertently increase N and P pollution elsewhere as cattle production might shift to 181 other countries, thus offloading Germany's environmental responsibilities and avoiding accountability for the 182 reactive compounds generated by its own consumption. Targeting German pig production could prove to be 183 more jurisdictionally effective for localized nutrient pollution reduction since its national pork self-sufficiency 184 stands at 132%. However van Grinsven et al. (2018) estimated that relocating intensive pig production 185 within Germany would result in total external cost increases and intensive pig production relocation within 186 the EU27, specifically to Romania, could reduce EU27 N pollution external costs by 10%. In contrast, we 187 argue that relocating Germany's livestock to other EU state members would only move and possibly amplify 188 existing issues to another site in the same yard by increasing environmental inequality across Europe. Central 189 and South-Eastern Europe experience higher exposure to particulate matter than the West (Ganzleben and 190

¹⁹¹ Kazmierczak, 2020), an environmental problem that can exacerbate with increased ammonia emissions from

¹⁹² increased livestock farming intensity. Therefore, a more comprehensive approach is needed than a within-

¹⁹³ EU-intensive livestock relocation to maintain consistency with policies targeting sustainable and inclusive

194 EU growth.

¹⁹⁵ 3.2.2. Taxing the use of nutrient intensive inputs or generation of nutrient surplus

Taxing inputs such as inorganic fertilizer and commercial animal feed, or taxing farm reactive component 196 surplus, has been a topic in EU agricultural economic literature for decades. These measures aim to reduce 197 domestic N and P pollution, specifically to protect water. Some studies concluded that given the inelastic 198 demand for mineral N and P fertilizer, a fertilizer tax would need to be set at a very high rate to induce 199 such fertilizer use reduction (WBAE and WBW, 2016). Some results of ex-ante simulations of N taxation 200 within economic frameworks in the German context are summarized in Table 3. The potential market-201 mediated effects of N taxation in Germany as a whole or in part of it, include decreased crop yields, reduced 202 farmer profits, and lower agricultural sector income. Mixed effects are observed on livestock production, 203 with mixed jurisdictional effectiveness in N reduction in areas of intensive livestock production. Neufeldt 204 and Schäfer (2008) stated the primary mechanism of mitigation is expected to be through the reduction of 205 mineral N use, with little effect on livestock and organic N. Interestingly, taxation of N fertilizers was found 206 to be more effective at reducing N-species emissions than livestock extensification. For both intensive and 207 forage-based farms, selling livestock would not be financially beneficial due to high meat and dairy prices. 208 Consequently, farmers may opt for lower N intensity in feed crop production, leading to decreased emissions 209 and improved agricultural practices. Overall, the evidence suggests N surplus reductions, but considerable 210 economic challenges associated with such fertilizer taxation. These studies do not estimate leakages to non-211 taxed areas, but in some studies, such leakages are also a concern. Germany, thus, did not implement it. In 212 contrast, various European countries taxed N and P pollution despite expected negative effects (Table 4). 213

Table 3: Ex-ante simulations of N within economic frameworks in the German context.

Author	Study area	Ex-ante simulation	Market mediated effects		
Hartmann and Schmitz (1994)	West-Germany	Halving mineral N fertilizer use	28-40% decrease in farmers' profits, and 4-8% reduction in animal production.		
Neufeldt and Schäfer (2008)	Baden-Württemberg	Tripling synthetic N fertilizer price	10% income decrease and $15%$ reduction in N ₂ O-species emissions.		
Wendland et al. (2005) Gömann et al. (2005)	Ems & Rhine catchments	200% tax increase on mineral fertilizer	Reduces N use by 10-25 kg/ha/yr, N surplus by 27-34%, and N-input into waters by 25%.		
Henseler et al. (2020)	Whole Germany	N tax level varying from 20% to 80% increase in N fertilizer	3-15% drop in cereal and cash crops production, 3-10% agricultural income loss, and 2-7% N balance drop.		

As of today, the measures have been lifted in most of these countries mainly due to their accession to the EU and the need to comply with more harmonized environmental directives. Evidence is mixed regarding the post-implementation effectiveness of these taxes. Some studies indicate that they have led to a reduction in fertilizer use, with minor or no effect on agricultural production output and income, and overall positive environmental outcomes. Others argue that the tax levels have been too modest to induce considerable changes in usage or positive environmental effects so that the outcomes may have been influenced by a combination of policies, including CAP support and global economic factors, rather than solely by the tax.

Regardless of the non-conclusive post-effectiveness evaluation, it is clear that the limited results of the pol-221 icy were partly due to its geographical scope and addressee. The European Economic Area (EEA) should have 222 been the implementation scope, as the interconnected trade within the AEE posed a risk of 'leakage', where 223 farms could bypass national taxes by sourcing inputs from other AEE nations. Additionally, implementing 224 taxes at the import level is considerably more feasible than at the farm level, given that a limited number of 225 addresses can make the measure operational and maintain it under reasonable administrative costs. A par-226 tial solution for internalizing these N and P pollution issues is the recently implemented EU Carbon Border 227 Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM). Despite covering the geographical scope and addressee mentioned above, 228 the measure has been motivated only by climate mitigation rather than the full environmental externalities 229 embedded in nutrient trading. Even with its objective of climate mitigation, the current EU CBAM covers 230 fertilizers but not agri-food, leaving loopholes such as animal feed trading. During the transitional phase of 231 the EU CBAM, importers are not compulsorily taxed. However, with full CBAM implementation from 2026, 232 fertilizer costs are expected to increase. For instance, ammonia fertilizer production costs are expected to 233 double from 30 to 60 Euros ton⁻¹ (McDonald, 2023), and will be passed onto producers, potentially causing 234 policy backslash. Starting in December 2023 and ongoing into January 2024, Germany has seen protests 235 against the government's plan to cut the diesel fuel tax rebate, a climate-damaging subsidy (Clean Energy 236 Wire, 2024), suggesting potential resistance to future reforms. 237

Table 4: Overvi	ew of I	productio	meside tax implemente	id by some European nations on N	I and P fertilizer use, P o	commercial animal feed use, and N a	und P farm-surplus. Data
sources: Table Andersen et al.	e adapt (2022)	ted from ; Döring	OECD (2017) with a and Smith (2013); Gaz	dditional data added regarding ins zzani (2017); Prestvik et al. (2013);	strument implemented, el Rougoor et al. (2001); O	ECD (2020). Note: Used 3-letter ISO	WBAE and WBW (2016); O country abbreviations.
Instrument	Country	Application period	Main objective	Instrument design	Revenue use	Possible effects (mostly correlation not proven causation)	Political and practical constraints
P- inorganic fertilizer input tax	FIN	1976-1995	Reduce P fertilizer use	Revised multiple times	Financing export subsidies		Repealed due to concerns about effects on farmers' competitiveness and administrative difficulties in implementing the tax
N- inorganic fertilizer input tax	NOR	1988-2000	Fund other policy measures to the benefit of agriculture	Ad-valorem for N-based fertilizers, Tax gradually increased from 1% to 20% in 1991	Finance environmentally friendly cultivating practices and information measures	1	
N, P and K- inorganic fertilizer price regulation	SWE	1984-2010	Reduce chemicals leakage into soil	Approximately 20% of the fertilizer price	Finance export subsides and $R\&D$ measures for agriculture	Positive reductions but no direct causation. Fertilizer use reduced by 15-20% (1991-1992), 10% in 1997. Agricultural production remained stable, due to improved fertilizer efficiency. Farmers' incomes unchanged. high mput costs harmed Dy subsidies.	ſ
N and P-inorganic fertilizer input tax	AUT	1986-1994	Soil and water conservation and create incentives for alternative cropping	Initially at a rate of 3.5 ATS (0.25 Euro) kg $\rm N^{-1}$ and 2 ATS (0.15 Euro) kg $\rm P_2O_5^{-1}.$ gradually increased over the years	Finance export refunds for cereals and other agriculture policy measures	Fertilizer use decreased by 3% annually, during the tax period. Fertilizer prices increased as of 10% , Administration costs were low, about 0.75% of the tax revenues.	Abolished upon Austria joining the EU
N fertilizer input tax	DNK	1998-2016	Reduce nitrate pollution	DKK 5 per kg N input, with broad exemptions for agrentium, levied on the sale of N fertilizers, applies to both chemical fertilizers and organic fertilizers.	Channeled back to farmers via reductions in land use tax. Amual N-based tax revenue: DKK 20 million (3 million Euro).	From 1990 to 2011 the use of imported N lettilizers reduced 42%. Mid-1980s-2010 farm NUE doubled (from 20% to 40%), N load in costal waters dropped by a third. NHg emissions from agriculture and NHg adposition reduced by 30% and 20-25%, respectively, N ₂ O emissions (though not targeted) decreased by 35%. Coundwater and drinking water nitrate concentrations not clear effects, rather increased slightly.	Due of its exemptions, users were generally unaware of the tax
P-commercial feed input tax	DNK	2005-2019	Reduce P saturation in solls, leaching to surface waters	Tax on commercial imported and domestically produced animal feed phosphate acto feed brostock: Pet food and own livestock feed produce exempted. DKK 4 (Euro 0.53) kg P^{-1} . Levied on the point of sale Administered with the value-added tax.	I	Mixe devidence. Limited effect than anticipated: ex-ante assessment, Limited effect than anticipated: ex-ante assessment, Actual reductions (33% to 37% from baseline. Actual reductions (33% to 37% from baseline. The same actual price of miteral P had probably reduced the consumption of P independently of the tax. The tax increased feed orsis by 25%, while phytase could be added at a cost of only DKK 2 per kg. On farm P serubus reduced from 33 Gg P in 2001 Provided relief to surface waters, but lakes contine eutrophica due to P soil deposits; Constarre efficiency in the use of animal feed	No revenue loss neutral for farmers
N and P surplus tax	NLD	1998-2005	Increase fertilizer use efficiency	levy on kg surplus N and P ₂ O ₅ 'above a levy free allowance' per ha of a regulated threshold; fevied acress all farm types as part of the MINAS program (Mineral Accounting System). Tightening up of the system over the period, and the levy free surpluses declining, and the rates of levy increasing steeply; especially in the case of P. (surplus) and some pigs and poulty farms. The levy applied to all farm holdings since 2002. Basic manure are: 0.01 Euro kg N ⁻¹ and P Basic manure are: 0.01 Euro kg N ⁻¹ and P	Feeds into general government budget, not earmarked.	for non-runniants. String concentrations in sandy regions improved dedining from about 135 mg/l helore MINAS to about 055 mg/l in the last 4 years. Nitrate concentrations on farm in clay and peat regions show no clear trend. P concentrations in surface water have not decreased at all The ecological status of diches and brooks remains poor. The ecological status of diches and brooks remains poor. P surplus by 30% since 1997, soils are still accumulating P and P leaching did not decrease, pointing to the difficulty to observe results in medium term due to large P legacies.	Specific price elasticity were unavailable. The price elasticities of demand applied relate to demand for fertilizer per se, and not to surplus nutrients. MINAS abandoned to comply with EU Nitrates Directive.
Surplus manure tax	BEL	1991-2020	Prevent the excessive production and use of manure and fertilizer	Overproduction of manure tax Overproduction of manure tax 0.99 Euro log N^{-1} and P production above the amount allowed Tax for farmers who have not met requirements 0.99 Euro log N^{-1} and P not processed or exported recarding mandatory manure processing or exported			- In the Walloon and Brussels region no manure levy exists.

238 4. Discussion and conclusion

Germany continues to exceed the established ceilings for most N and P pollution indicators. Under its 230 current policies, it is likely to miss any future targets for pollution reduction, with dire consequences. In this 240 study, we built upon our previous work on nutrient budgeting and damage cost valuation for N and P cattle 241 production. This enabled us to explore the technical plausibility and external costs of down-scaling cattle 242 production in Germany as a means to mitigate reactive N and P pollution. We have formulated such produc-243 tion scenarios in alignment with domestic reduction targets and supranational academic recommendations 244 for sustainability. They picture considerable local domestic improvements regarding N and P surpluses and 245 lower external pollution costs. Fertilization reduction strategies seem more promising than reducing domestic 246 cattle numbers. In addition, the most effective scenario for N surplus reduction does not necessarily yield 247 the best outcomes for reactive P. This emphasizes the importance of Germany's technological advances in P 248 recovery and differentiated reactive compound mitigation strategies. Although specific studies for Germany 249 are lacking, our results are similar to those evaluating reactive N and/or P pollution reduction via livestock 250 number shrinkages in Nordic countries (e.g., Röös et al. (2016); Karlsson and Röös (2019)). Some limitations 251 in our biophysical scenarios are: First, the assumption that farmers depend entirely on P soil stocks and 252 P in manure as a P source in one of the scenarios might not be practical due to the uneven geographical 253 distribution of these stocks. Without a specific soil analysis, it could be risky to yield stability, a critical 254 asset for farmers. Second, the scenarios should have considered resource circularity beyond manure, which is 255 crucial for optimizing biomass utilization in agricultural production. 256

However, the scenarios only provide an attributional perspective on the problem. To achieve the under lying reductions in fertilizer and domestic herd size would require ambitious policy measures. Consequently,
 this would not only affect the livestock and agriculture sectors but also have economy-wide effects and face
 political opposition.

We focused on the production side in this paper. We have qualitatively examined two supply-side upstream 261 policy measures, buy-out and taxation, that could induce such N and P pollution reductions. Evidence 262 suggests that taxing, rather than reducing animal numbers via a buy-out, might be more effective in inducing 263 such nutrient surplus reductions within national boundaries. However, such effectiveness could be limited due 264 to the simplified goal of the taxation approach, leakages that were often ignored or incompletely in previous 265 consequential estimations, and the stakeholders' response to the measure. In the recently implemented EU 266 CBAM, the magnitude of N and P reactive pollution reductions achieved may be minimal, as the policies only 267 attempt to address the internalization of climate effects but not the broader environmental issues related to 268 transboundary nutrient cycle imbalances. The behavioral producer responses to the measure, ranging from 269 absorbing the input cost increases to outright opposition, might also dilute or impede the anticipated tax 270 effects. We argue that solely relying on upstream, production-side instruments for internalization, even if 271 they extend beyond climate change to other nutrient-related externalities, could simply shift negative nutrient 272 pollution effects to other regions, potentially deteriorating global nutrient cycles. This highlights the necessity 273 of broader strategies beyond just improving nutrient flows within national or EU boundaries. 274

Thus, we suggest policymakers should aim at a mix that addresses the cattle supply chain from both 275 ends. Currently, German policies do not touch upon inducing reductions in consumption behaviors that 276 contribute to intensive N and P pollution. In our simplified attribution analysis, we translate the reduction 277 in the production of the herd into a decrease in the average German consumer's consumption of milk and 278 meat while assuming other nutrient-intensive food demands remain unchanged. However, relying on just one 279 source of intensive reactive pollution for reductions is too simplistic, and all livestock supply chains would 280 have to be considered. Simultaneously, policies for N and P cycle balancing should promote less nutrient 281 surplus-intensive production and consumption and more local food consumption. A promising starting point 282 is taxation of demand, which, unlike production, is mainly unregulated today and can drive desired changes 283 in production patterns. 284

Our research is based on attributional quantifications and previous ex-ante modeling exercises, which did not explore the depth of the danger of leakages. A consequential modeling framework should be used in future studies to understand the quantitative market-mediated effects fully. So far, such models have failed to capture these dynamics adequately since nutrient budgeting in developing countries is poorly documented. We hope that this gap will be addressed soon.

290 Author contributions

KA Conceptualized and drafted the study. SvCT Conceptualized the study, reviewed all sections, contributed to them, and acquired the funding.

²⁹³ Funding information

KA received funding from the German Research Foundation (DFG) via the Research Training Group 2654 "Sustainable Food Systems"; The Chair of Agricultural Policy at Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, and the Colombian
Ministry of Science, Technology, and Innovation under the scholarship "Doctorados en el Exterior call 885-2020".

²⁹⁷ Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this chapter.

299 References

- Andersen, M.S., Arler, F., Dubgaard, A., Hasler, B., Hvelplund, F., Jensen, H.T., Jensen, J.D., Jacobsen, B.H.,
 Kronbak, L.G., Lassen, J., Refsgaard, K., Wier, M., 2022. Phosphorus Tax in Denmark: Design and Implications
 for Future Policy-Making. Technical Report. Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP). URL: https:
 //ieep.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/DK-Phosphorus-Tax-final.pdf. retrieved 03-19-2023.
- von Blottnitz, H., Rabl, A., Boiadjiev, D., Taylor, T., Arnold, S., 2006. Damage costs of Nitrogen fertilizer in Europe
 and their internalization. Journal of environmental planning and management 49, 413–433.
- BMEL, 2021. Statistisches Jahrbuch über Ernährung, Landwirtschaft und Forsten, several years, German only.
 https://www.bmel-statistik.de/archiv/statistisches-jahrbuch/. Accessed: 2022 05 22.
- Boezeman, D., De Pue, D., Graversgaard, M., Möckel, S., 2023. Less livestock in North-western Europe? Discourses
 and drivers behind livestock buyout policies. EuroChoices 22, 4–12.
- Buczko, U., Steinfurth, K., Van Laak, M., 2019. Meta-analysis of the yield response to Phosphorus fertilization based
 on long-term field experiments. Poljoprivreda i Sumarstvo 65, 7–14.
- Clean Energy Wire, 2024.Farmer protests continue in Germany after govt backtracks 312 URL: on cuts for climate-damaging subsidies. https://www.cleanenergywire.org/news/ 313 farmer-protests-continue-germany-after-govt-backtracks-cuts-climate-damaging-subsidies. [Accessed 314 01-06-2024]. 315
- ³¹⁶ Döring, S., Smith, L., 2013. Case studies on Nitrogen management in agriculture: Denmark. Technical Re ³¹⁷ port. ClimateXChange. URL: https://www.climatexchange.org.uk/media/2080/eu_case_studies_denmark_-_
 ³¹⁸ agricultural_nitrogen_management.pdf. retrieved 03-19-2023.
- Früh-Müller, A., Bach, M., Breuer, L., Hotes, S., Koellner, T., Krippes, C., Wolters, V., 2019. The use of agri environmental measures to address environmental pressures in germany: Spatial mismatches and options for im provement. Land Use Policy 84, 347–362.
- Ganzleben, C., Kazmierczak, A., 2020. Leaving no one behind-understanding environmental inequality in europe. Environmental Health 19, 1–7.
- Garnett, T., 2009. Livestock-related greenhouse gas emissions: impacts and options for policy makers. Environmental
 science & policy 12, 491–503.
- Garske, B., Ekardt, F., 2021. Economic policy instruments for sustainable Phosphorus management: taking into
 account climate and biodiversity targets. Environmental Sciences Europe 33, 1–20.
- Gazzani, F., 2017. Economic and environmental evaluation of nitrogen fertilizer taxation: A review. International
 Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology 2, 238859.
- Gömann, H., Kreins, P., Kunkel, R., Wendland, F., 2005. Model based impact analysis of policy options aiming
 at reducing diffuse pollution by agriculture—a case study for the river ems and a sub-catchment of the rhine.
 Environmental Modelling & Software 20, 261–271.
- Government of the Netherlands, 2020.New to tackle nitrogen pollution ofsteps 333 URL: fer prospects for farmers. https://www.government.nl/latest/news/2020/02/07/ 334 new-steps-to-tackle-nitrogen-pollution-offer-prospects-for-farmers. accessed: 03-14-2023. 335
- van Grinsven, H.J., van Dam, J.D., Lesschen, J.P., Timmers, M.H., Velthof, G.L., Lassaletta, L., 2018. Reducing
 external costs of nitrogen pollution by relocation of pig production between regions in the European Union. Regional
- Environmental Change 18, 2403–2415.
- van Grinsven, H.J., Erisman, J.W., De Vries, W., Westhoek, H., 2015. Potential of extensification of European
 agriculture for a more sustainable food system, focusing on Nitrogen. Environmental Research Letters 10, 025002.
- Hartmann, M., Schmitz, P.M., 1994. The economic implications of chemical use restrictions in agriculture. Technical
 Report.
- Henke, J., Breustedt, G., Sieling, K., Kage, H., 2007. Impact of uncertainty on the optimum nitrogen fertilization rate
 and agronomic, ecological and economic factors in an oilseed rape based crop rotation. The Journal of Agricultural
 Science 145, 455–468.
- Henseler, M., Delzeit, R., Adenäuer, M., Baum, S., Kreins, P., 2020. Nitrogen tax and set-aside as greenhouse
 gas abatement policies under global change scenarios: A case study for Germany. Environmental and Resource
 Economics 76, 299–329.
- Karlsson, J.O., Röös, E., 2019. Resource-efficient use of land and animals—environmental impacts of food systems
 based on organic cropping and avoided food-feed competition. Land Use Policy 85, 63–72.

- van Krimpen, M., Šebek, L., Bikker, P., van Vuuren, A., 2014. Default Phosphorus excretion fac-351 tors of farm animals: Task 6 - Overview and lessons learnt. Technical Report. Eurostat, European 352 Commission. URL: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/2393397/8259002/LiveDate_2014_Task6.pdf/ 353 207ebcf9-5971-4b7d-bfbc-d48458e49009. retrieved March 3, 2023. 354
- Leip, A., Caldeira, C., Corrado, S., Hutchings, N.J., Lesschen, J.P., Schaap, M., de Vries, W., Westhoek, H., van 355 Grinsven, H.J., 2022. Halving nitrogen waste in the European Union food systems requires both dietary shifts and 356 farm level actions. Global Food Security 35, 100648. 357
- Liu, Q., Wang, J., Bai, Z., Ma, L., Oenema, O., 2017. Global animal production and Nitrogen and Phosphorus flows. 358 Soil Research 55, 451-462. 359
- Lüscher, A., Mueller-Harvey, I., Soussana, J.F., Rees, R., Peyraud, J.L., 2014. Potential of legume-based grassland-360 livestock systems in Europe: a review. Grass and forage science 69, 206–228. 361
- Mack, G., Huber, R., 2017. On-farm compliance costs and n surplus reduction of mixed dairy farms under grassland-362 based feeding systems. Agricultural Systems 154, 34–44. 363
- McDonald, J., 2023. CBAM, ETS reform to impact fertilizer trade. URL: https://www.spglobal.com/ 364 commodityinsights/en/market-insights/blogs/agriculture/020823-cbam-ets-impact-fertilizer-trade. 365 [Accessed 01-06-2024]. 366
- Neufeldt, H., Schäfer, M., 2008. Mitigation strategies for greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture using a regional 367 economic-ecosystem model. Agriculture, ecosystems & environment 123, 305–316. 368
- OECD, 2017. Environmental fiscal reform: Progress, prospects and pitfalls. https://www.oecd.org/tax/ 369 environmental-fiscal-reform-progress-prospects-and-pitfalls.htm. Retrieved 03-19-2023. 370
- OECD, 2020. Taxation in Agriculture. OECD Publishing, Paris. doi:https://doi.org/10.1787/073bdf99-en. 371
- Oenema, O., 2006. Nitrogen budgets and losses in livestock systems, in: International Congress Series, Elsevier. pp. 372 262 - 271.373
- Panagos, P., Köningner, J., Ballabio, C., Liakos, L., Muntwyler, A., Borrelli, P., Lugato, E., 2022. Improving the 374 Phosphorus budget of European agricultural soils. Science of the Total Environment 853, 158706. 375
- Prestvik, A.S., Kvakkestad, V., Øystein Skutevik, 2013. Agriculture and the environment in the Nordic countries: 376 Policies for sustainability and green growth. Number 2013:558 in TemaNord, Nordic Council of Ministers, Copen-377 hagen, Denmark. Retrieved 03, 19, 2023. 378
- Rasche, L., Schneider, U.A., Steinhauser, J., 2023. A stakeholders' pathway towards a future land use and food system 379 in Germany. Sustainability Science 18, 441-455. 380
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin III, F.S., Lambin, E., Lenton, T.M., Scheffer, M., Folke, 381 C., Schellnhuber, H.J., et al., 2009. Planetary boundaries: exploring the safe operating space for humanity. Ecology 382 and society 14. 383
- Röös, E., Patel, M., Spångberg, J., Carlsson, G., Rydhmer, L., 2016. Limiting livestock production to pasture and 384 385 by-products in a search for sustainable diets. Food Policy 58, 1–13.
- Rougoor, C.W., Van Zeijts, H., Hofreither, M.F., Bäckman, S., 2001. Experiences with fertilizer taxes in Europe. 386 Journal of environmental planning and management 44, 877–887. 387
- Sakadevan, K., Nguyen, M.L., 2017. Livestock production and its impact on nutrient pollution and greenhouse gas 388 emissions. Advances in agronomy 141, 147–184. 380
- Schaap, M., Hendriks, C., Kranenburg, R., Kuenen, J., Segers, A., Schlutow, A., Nagel, H., Ritter, A., Banzhaf, S., 390 2023. PINETI-4: Modellierung in Deutschland. Texte Umweltbundesamt. 391
- Umweltbundesamt, 2022. Important Environmental Indicators. https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/data/ 392 environmental-indicators. Accessed: 2022 - 12 - 06. 393
- Uthes, S., Matzdorf, B., Müller, K., Kaechele, H., 2010. Spatial targeting of agri-environmental measures: cost-394 effectiveness and distributional consequences. Environmental management 46, 494–509. 395
- Uwizeye, A., de Boer, I.J., Opio, C.I., Schulte, R.P., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., Teillard, F., Casu, F., Rulli, M., 396 Galloway, J.N., et al., 2020. Nitrogen emissions along global livestock supply chains. Nature Food 1, 437–446. 397
- Vos, C., Rösemann, C., Haenel, H.D., Dämmgen, U., Döring, U., Wulf, S., Eurich-Menden, B., Freibauer, A., Döhler, 398 H., Schreiner, C., et al., 2022. Calculations of gaseous and particulate emissions from German agriculture 1990–2020: 399 Report on methods and data (RMD) submission 2022. Technical Report. 400
- WBAE and WBW, 2016. Klimaschutz in der Land- und Forstwirtschaft sowie den nachgelagerten Bereichen 401 Ernährung und Holzverwendung. Technical Report. Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL). URL: 402 https://www.wbae.nrw/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/160907_Klimaschutz-in-Land-und-Forstwirtschaft_ 403 sowie_nachgelagerten_Bereichen.pdf. Retrieved 03-19-2023. 404
- Wendland, F., Bogena, H., Goemann, H., Hake, J., Kreins, P., Kunkel, R., 2005. Impact of Nitrogen reduction 405 measures on the Nitrogen loads of the river Ems and Rhine (Germany). Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts 406 A/B/C 30, 527–541. 407
- Šebek, L., Bikker, P., van Vuuren, A., van Krimpen, M., 2014. Nitrogen and Phosphorous ex-408
- cretion factors of livestock: Task 2, In-depth analyses of selected country reports. Techni-409
- cal Report. URL: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/2393397/8259002/LiveDate_2014_Task2.pdf/ 410 c940eabf-1736-40af-a6fe-397ccbb1d361. retrieved 03-03-2023.
- 411