



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

AGRO PRODUCTIVIDAD

Analysis of the
structure of the global
avocado
(Persea americana Mill)
trade network

pág. 109

Año 17 • Volumen 17 • Número 3 • marzo, 2024

Effectiveness of feed restriction to improve feed efficiency in finishing pigs 3

The contribution of herbal medicine in health problems of the indigenous community of Oaxaca, Mexico 11

Sensitivity to fungicides of *Botrytis cinérea* (Pers.) isolated from raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) 21

Evaluation of the influence of pH modification on food proteins structure by FT-IR AND AFM 29

Hydroponic corn (*Zea mays* L.) fodder production through the implementation of mineral fertilization: a comparative study 39

Acclimation of *Agave potatorum* Zucc. micropropagated plants 47

y más artículos de interés...



Colegio de
Postgraduados

Hydroponic corn (*Zea mays* L.) fodder production through the implementation of mineral fertilization: a comparative study

Chiquito-Contreras, César J.¹; Cocoltzi-Vásquez, Eliezer²; Ricaño-Rodríguez, Jorge^{3*}

¹ Universidad Veracruzana, Facultad de Ciencias Agrícolas, Av. de las Culturas Veracruzanas s/n, Col. Emiliano Zapata, Zona Universitaria, Campus USBI, Xalapa, Veracruz, México, C. P. 91060.

² Universidad Veracruzana, Facultad de Biología, Av. de las Culturas Veracruzanas s/n, Col. Emiliano Zapata, Zona Universitaria, Campus USBI, Xalapa, Veracruz, México, C. P. 91060.

³ Universidad Veracruzana, Centro de EcoAlfabetización y Diálogo de Saberes. Av. de las Culturas Veracruzanas s/n, Col. Emiliano Zapata, Zona Universitaria, Campus USBI, Xalapa, Veracruz, México, C. P. 91060.

* Correspondence: jricano@uv.mx

ABSTRACT

Objective: To produce hydroponic corn forage using a mineral fertilization planning with three different seed types and to determine the optimal concentration for production and livestock feeding.

Design/Methodology/Approach: A completely randomized experimental design was used. The following study variables were included in the design: plant height, root length, stalk thickness, and fresh biomass. Five hundred grams of three different variety seeds were selected, washed, disinfected, soaked, covered, aerated, and placed in plastic trays. Starting from the fifth day, the seeds were watered with formulated solutions until the end of production.

Results: Plant height reached 17.25 cm, at a 50% concentration; root were 12.55 cm long, at a 25% concentration with Sinaloa seeds; stalks were 2.40 mm thick, at a 25% concentration with Bajío seeds; and biomass recorded 1.184 kg at a 50% concentration with Sinaloa seeds.

Study Limitations/Implications: A greenhouse is not essential for fodder production, as long as the minimum necessary conditions (light, humidity, and temperature) are met.

Findings/Conclusions: The 50% nutrient solution had a positive influence on the study variables that used Sinaloa variety seeds. Therefore, hydroponics would be a reliable and practical technique for producers, useful during periods of prolonged drought; it would significantly counteract agroclimatological setbacks in the agricultural, livestock, and environmental sectors.

Key words: nutrient solution, hydroponics, agronomic variables, mineral treatments.

Citation: Chiquito-Contreras, C. J., Cocoltzi-Vásquez, E., & Ricaño-Rodríguez, J. (2024). Hydroponic corn (*Zea mays* L.) fodder production through the implementation of mineral fertilization: a comparative study. *Agro Productividad*. <https://doi.org/10.32854/agrop.v17i3.2580>

Academic Editors: Jorge Cadena Iñiguez and Lucero del Mar Ruiz Posadas

Guest Editor: Daniel Alejandro Cadena Zamudio

Received: May 02, 2023.

Accepted: January 15, 2024.

Published on-line: April 24, 2024.

Agro Productividad, 17(3). March. 2024. pp: 39-46.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International license.



INTRODUCTION

Hydroponic green fodder (HGF) is obtained from the germination of seeds or grains and can be used as a nutritional supplement for several animal species. It has an excellent protein percentage (Contreras *et al.*, 2015), an adequate balance between soluble and insoluble fiber, high dry matter digestibility, and a good energy contribution (Bedolla-Torres *et al.*, 2015). The intensive production of HGF in a protected environment is less prone to climate change, enables a scheduled and periodical production all year round, making an efficient use of water and reducing the use of agrochemicals and labor (Candia, 2015).

This technique does not require soil, its fodder mass can be fully (100%) consumed, it provides an ongoing supply of goods every day of the year, it prevents digestive alterations, and has lower disease incidents (Suarez, 2015). Several species, including pulses and leguminous plants, have been used for HGF production (Naik *et al.*, 2017).

Hydroponics is an alternative to agriculture: this technique provides the ideal conditions for the development and growth of plants, using only water and a full nutrient solution (Gilsanz, 2007). According to Núñez-Torres and Guerrero-López (2021), watering with a nutrient solution helps to increase biomass production, improves nutritional quality, and optimizes cutting time.

Consequently, the purpose of this project was to compare the effects of the application of mineral nutrient solutions on various morphological variables in three corn varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in Xalapa, a city located in northern Veracruz, at 1,460 m.a.s.l. In average, the climate is humid-temperate, with a mean temperature of 18 °C. A 4×4 m² space was used, with concrete walls, cement tiles, and a 2-m long × 2.19-m tall window. Mean temperatures ranged from 10 to 15 °C and a 20-75% relative humidity were recorded. Seeds from three different varieties of commercial hybrid corn were used (Sinaloa, Bajío, and Exportación), to obtain a total of 24 kg of seed.

Experiment design

HGF was produced according to the method proposed by Reyes *et al.* (2012), with some modifications: seed selection (whole, dry seeds, and 85% germination capacity); prewashing of 500 g of seeds per variety (Mejia-Castillo and Orellano-Núñez, 2019); disinfection with 2% sodium hypochlorite; washing and submersion in water for a full day; then allow aeration and rest for another 24 hours, ensuring the samples are enshrouded in black bags. The seeds were sown in 36 plastic trays watered with 250-ml sprinklers. Only water was used during the first four days, followed by a nutrient solution (NS) from days 5 to 14; treatments with a 0, 25, 50, 75% dilution of NS with minerals (hydroponic nutriment) (Linch) were applied in demijohns labelled A and B (FAO, 2001). A Steren[®] thermo-hygrometer was used to record the mean temperature (11 °C) and humidity (75%).

Statistical Design

A completely randomized design was used, consisting of four NS treatments (0, 25, 50, and 75%), three repetitions per treatment, and a total of 36 trays. Each treatment was evaluated in two periods (6 and 14 days). Ten seedlings were randomly selected per each NS treatment, corn variety, and repetition. HGF production was determined at 6 and 14 days, considering plant height (cm), root length (cm), and stalk thickness (mm). Fresh weight (g) was determined weighing all the plants per tray per NS treatment and corn variety (N=36). The statistical analyses were carried out using the MiniTab statistical software ver. 21.3 (Minitab, 2023). A three-way ANOVA was used to consider the 0, 25, 50, and 75% NS treatments in the corn varieties as fixed factors, at 6 and 14 days of germination. The interactions between the factors were calculated with post hoc Tukey's HSD tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Evaluation of seedling height

Table 1 shows the results of the height evaluation of corn HGF. The Bajío and Sinaloa varieties recorded the greatest increase from day 6 to day 14 of the experiment. The Bajío variety increased by 10.73 cm, while the Sinaloa variety plants grew 10.81 cm. The Exportación variety increased by 8.33 cm. At 14 days, the Sinaloa variety plants were in average 16.05 cm tall, followed by Bajío (15.01 cm) and Exportación (13.26 cm). The tallest plants had been supplemented with 50% NS, followed by plants that received 25% NS (15.07 cm). Plants supplemented with 75% NS recorded the lowest average height (14.36 cm). Statistical comparison suggests that the Sinaloa variety has the best development at 14 days of watering with 25 and 50% NS concentrations. On the contrary, corn plants from the Exportación variety were the smallest at 14 days, with 0 and 75% NS.

Table 1. Plant height in several periods, varieties, and NS concentrations.

Period *Variety (%)*NS	N	Average	Group																	
14 Sinaloa 50	10	17.25	A																	
14 Sinaloa 25	10	15.97	A	B																
14 Sinaloa 75	10	15.5		B	C															
14 Sinaloa 0	10	15.38		B	C															
14 Bajío 50	10	15.3		B	C															
14 Bajío 75	10	15.1		B	C															
14 Bajío 25	10	15		B	C	D														
14 Bajío 0	10	14.6			C	D														
14 Exportación 25	10	14.25			C	D														
14 Exportación 50	10	13.7				D	E													
14 Exportación 0	10	12.6					E													
14 Exportación 75	10	12.5					E													
6 Sinaloa 25	10	6.87						F												
6 Sinaloa 50	10	6.75						F												
6 Exportación 25	10	6.7						F												
6 Exportación 50	10	5.7						F	G											
6 Bajío 50	10	5.1							G	H										
6 Bajío 75	10	4.5							G	H	I									
6 Sinaloa 75	10	4.42							G	H	I	J								
6 Bajío 25	10	4.2								H	I	J								
6 Exportación 75	10	3.95								H	I	J	K							
6 Exportación 0	10	3.35									I	J	K							
6 Bajío 0	10	3.15										J	K							
6 Sinaloa 0	10	2.82											K							

Means without a common letter significantly differ.

Evaluation of root length

The Sinaloa variety recorded the greatest increase in root length: 6.61 cm from day 6 to day 14. During the same period, the Bajío and Exportación varieties increased by 2.23 and 2.36 cm, respectively. The greatest increase regarding root length, from day 6 to day 14, was recorded with 25% (3.38 cm) and 75% NS (3.37 cm). A significant increase was likewise recorded with 25% NS (8.63 cm), followed by 50% (8.35 cm). A significant increase was equally recorded with 25% NS (8.63 cm), followed by 50% (8.35 cm). The tallest plants at 14 days belonged to the Sinaloa variety supplemented with 25 and 50% NS. Finally, the lowest growth was recorded at 14 days by the Bajío variety, with 25 and 75% NS (Table 2).

Evaluation of stalk diameter

The Bajío variety recorded the highest increase in stalk diameter (0.1 cm) from day 6 to day 14. The Exportación and Sinaloa varieties increased by 0.08 and 0.09, respectively. Diameter increased by 0.1 cm with 25% and 75% NS concentrations. For their part, the Bajío and Sinaloa varieties recorded a greater diameter at 14 days with 25% NS. Finally, the Exportación variety recorded the lowest values with 75 and 0% NS (Table 3).

Table 2. Tukey's multiple comparison of root length.

Period *Variety (%) *NS	N	Average	Group																	
14 Sinaloa 25	10	12.55	A																	
14 Sinaloa 50	10	12.4	A																	
14 Sinaloa 0	10	11.55	A	B																
14 Sinaloa 75	10	10.5		B	C															
14 Exportación 25	10	9.5			C															
14 Bajío 0	10	7.3				D														
6 Sinaloa 50	10	7.1				D														
6 Sinaloa 25	10	7				D														
14 Exportación 50	10	6.75				D	E													
6 Exportación 25	10	6.5				D	E	F												
14 Bajío 50	10	5.9				D	E	F												
14 Exportación 75	10	5.8				D	E	F												
6 Exportación 50	10	5.75				D	E	F												
14 Exportación 0	10	5.7				D	E	F	G											
6 Bajío 50	10	4.9					E	F	G	H										
14 Bajío 75	10	4.75						F	G	H	I									
14 Bajío 25	10	3.85							G	H	I	J								
6 Sinaloa 75	10	3.46									H	I	J							
6 Exportación 75	10	3.35									H	I	J							
6 Bajío 75	10	3.05									H	I	J							
6 Sinaloa 0	10	2.97										I	J							
6 Exportación 0	10	2.7											J							

Means without a common letter significantly differ.

Table 3. Tukey's multiple comparison test of stalk diameter.

Period *Variety * (%) NS	N	Average	Group							
14 Bajío 25	10	2.4	A							
14 Sinaloa 25	10	2.39	A							
14 Exportación 50	10	2.37	A	B						
14 Sinaloa 50	10	2.37	A	B						
14 Exportación 25	10	2.33	A	B	C					
6 Sinaloa 50	10	2.31	A	B	C	D				
6 Sinaloa 25	10	2.29	A	B	C	D	E			
14 Bajío 50	10	2.27	A	B	C	D	E			
6 Bajío 50	10	2.27	A	B	C	D	E			
6 Exportación 50	10	2.25	A	B	C	D	E			
6 Exportación 25	10	2.24	A	B	C	D	E	F		
14 Sinaloa 75	10	2.19	A	B	C	D	E	F		
14 Sinaloa 0	10	2.17	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
6 Bajío 25	10	2.16	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
14 Bajío 75	10	2.15	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
14 Bajío 0	10	2.13		B	C	D	E	F	G	H
14 Exportación 75	10	2.09			C	D	E	F	G	H
6 Sinaloa 0	10	2.08			C	D	E	F	G	H
6 Bajío 0	10	2.07				D	E	F	G	H
6 Sinaloa 75	10	2.06				D	E	F	G	H
6 Bajío 75	10	2.05					E	F	G	H
6 Exportación 75	10	1.99						F	G	H
14 Exportación 0	10	1.92							G	H
6 Exportación 0	10	1.91								H

Means without a common letter significantly differ.

Evaluation of total biomass

The Sinaloa variety recorded the largest biomass production values at 14 days (787.83 g), followed by Bajío (712.08 g) and Exportación (671.66 g). The greatest biomass was reported with 50% NS (920 g), followed by 25% NS (702.77 g) and 75% NS (630.66 g). The Sinaloa variety, fertilized with 50% NS, showed the highest biomass amount.

HGF production involves a methodology for the development of plant biomass from the early seedling stages (embryogenesis and early progress phases), using seeds with a high germination index to generate a nutritious live fodder with outstanding digestibility, which is adequate for animal consumption (Bedolla-Torres *et al.*, 2015; Contreras *et al.*, 2015).

Consequently, the results of this research suggest that the Sinaloa variety recorded the greatest height (17.25 cm), with only 50% of fertilizer concentration. Tomalá (2021) reported an average height of 25 cm in corn germinules treated with bokashi fertilizers.

For his part, Suarez (2015) reports 28.79 cm at 12 days, using the FAO's nutrient solution. Meanwhile, Víquez and Soto (2017) recorded between 26.38 and 28.13 cm at 14 days with mineral nutrition. In turn, Machaca (2018) recorded 26.9 cm with liquid cattle manure. Likewise, Silva (2017) reported a 5.67-12.80 cm range with 6-12-day fertilizations, using various solutions supplemented with Raizal[®], Cytokin[®], and Newgibb[®] phytohormones. Finally, Valverde *et al.* (2017) reported an average of 14 cm at 12 days after germination, watering corn with conventional chemical and organic fertilizers.

Vélez (2020) reported a similar root length (10.99 cm) using a mineral fertilization process. Morales *et al.* (2012) observed 12.3-23.03 cm root growth in 10-14-day cultivars. Likewise, Valverde *et al.* (2017) recorded 12.49 cm in white corn at 12 days after fertilization. The stalk thickness results match the findings of Duran (2007), who reported 2.27 and 2.40 mm at 6 and 9 days after the fertilized germination, respectively. Espinosa (2019) suggests that supplying a nutrient diluted solution through a drip irrigation system would result in an up to 2.66 mm diameter at 12 days. In that sense, Cuadra-Quispe (2019) observed a 2.96 mm growth when certified corn seeds (type: V-53) were irrigated with 3.5% NS minerals. Finally, Varela Rojas (2016) proved that the diameter of HGF produced from certified corn seeds (NB-6, NB-S), using a chemical fertilizer, would reach approximately 3.3 mm at 15 days.

HGF has been established as an innovative technique for the generation of livestock sustenance that remediates inherent setbacks of traditional production, including deprived plots, scarce rainfall, high evaporation, and very low-quality water and soil resources. Consequently, it is taking shape as an alternative to conventional production procedures, contributing to the sustainability of farming activities in arid and semi-arid areas (Varela Rojas, 2016).

The biomass production results of this research were compared with those of previous studies. In the first place, Vélez (2020) recorded 2.11 kg/m² of sprouts using a 2 g/L dose of zeatin. Muñoz *et al.* (2008) reported 1.8-2.3 kg/m² corn production with several NS types and doses. Likewise, Preciado *et al.* (2014) applied vermicompost to corn, recording 1:7 germination ratios at 14 days; they recorded 1:5-1:6 ratios between native corn and organic NS, reporting 18.1-19.7 kg/m² of sprouts at 16 days. Finally, Tomalá (2021) observed a 2.38 kg yield with the use of mineral NS.

The germination process is unleashed when the seed is moisturized (watering). When roots and the first leaves appear, the plant is able to obtain nutrients from its surroundings and other essential elements for the synthesis of its own sustenance through photosynthesis. Therefore, the specimen must be subjected to ideal nutritional conditions, including watering processes that use mineral solutions (Gilsanz, 2007; Núñez-Torres and Guerrero-López, 2021).

CONCLUSIONS

HGF production complements commercial products used to feed cattle; it is particularly useful during drought periods, when fresh forage is scarce. Hydroponic crops are viewed as an outstanding nutrient source for animal diets; therefore, additional research must be carried out on this subject, using a greater variety of fodder plant species, to offer

more options for producers. Consequently, HGF production is an efficient alternative that guarantees a constant supply of food for the animals, significantly counteracting agroclimatic setbacks.

REFERENCES

- Bedolla Torres M. H., Palacios Espinosa A., Palacios O. A., Choix F. J., Ascencio Valle F. D. J., López Aguilar D. R., Espinoza Villavicencio J. I., de Luna de la Peña R., Guillen Trujillo A., Ávila Serrano N. Y. y Ortega Pérez R. (2015). La irrigación con levaduras incrementa el contenido nutricional del forraje verde hidropónico de maíz. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología*, 47, 236-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2015.04.002>
- Candia, L. (2015). Evaluación de la Calidad Nutritiva de Forraje Verde de Cebada (*Hordeum vulgare*) Hidropónico, fertilizado con soluciones de guano de Cuy *Cavia porcellus* a dos concentraciones. *PE. Revista Salud y Tecnología Veterinaria*, 2(1). 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.20453/stv.v2i1.2202>
- Contreras, J. L., Tunque, M. & Cordero, A. G. (2015). Rendimiento hidropónico de la arveja con cebada y trigo en la producción de germinados. *Revista Investigaciones veterinarias, Perú*. 26(1), 9-19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15381/rivep.v26i1.10910>
- Cuadra Quispe, E. J. (2019). Efecto de la solución nutritiva “la molina” en el crecimiento y productividad de *Zea mays* L. v-53 a “maíz” en condiciones de campo experimentales. [Tesis de licenciatura, Universidad Nacional de Trujillo]. Perú.
- Duran Barón, Ricardo & Velez, German & Robles, Juan. (2007). Comportamiento fisiológico del maíz blanco en condiciones de hidroponía con diferentes dosis de nutrientes para producción de forraje verde en el Norte del Cesar. *Revista Documentos de ingeniería*, 6. 16-25.
- Espinosa, W. (2019). Evaluación de densidades de siembra en maíz, arroz y frijol vigna en la producción de forraje verde hidropónico. *Investigaciones Agropecuarias*, 1(2), 15-27. https://www.revistas.up.ac.pa/index.php/investigaciones_agropecuarias/article/view/493.
- FAO, 2001. Manual Técnico “Producción de Forraje Verde Hidropónico”. Oficina Regional De La Fao Para América Latina Y El Caribe Santiago, Chile p 55.
- Gilsanz, J. C. (2007). Hidroponía. Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria, 1-32. <http://www.ainfo.inia.uy/digital/bitstream/item/520/1/1178812100715574.pdf>
- Machaca, D. (2018). Efectos de tres niveles de biol bovino en la producción de HGF de maíz en la localidad de Viacha departamento de la Paz. [Tesis Ingeniero Agrónomo. Universidad Mayor de San Andrés]. La Paz, Bolivia. p 83.
- Mejía Castillo, H., & Orellana Núñez, F. (2019). Forraje verde hidropónico: una alternativa de producción ante el cambio climático. *Revista Iberoamericana De Bioeconomía y Cambio Climático*, 5(9), 1103-1120. <https://doi.org/10.5377/ribcc.v5i9.7947>
- Minitab (2023). Free Software. (versión 21.3) URL: www.minitab.com.
- Morales RHJ, Gómez-Dané AA, Juárez LP, et al. (2012). Forraje verde hidropónico de maíz amarillo (*Zea mays* L.) con diferente concentración de solución nutritiva. *Abanico Veterinario*, 2(3), 20-28.
- Muñoz, G; Camero, L; Ramírez, C. (2008). Evaluación Biológica y Económica del Uso de Forraje Verde Hidropónico (HGF) en la Producción de Leche. Informe Final. Proyecto de Investigación 5402-2151-7501. Cartago, Costa Rica. Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Extensión. Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica. 66 p.
- Naik, P. K.; Swain, B. K.; Chakurkar, E. B. & Singh, N. P. (2017). Effect of seed rate on yield and proximate constituents of different parts of hydroponics maize fodder. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 87(1), 109-112.
- Núñez-Torres, O. P., & Guerrero-López, J. R. (2021). Forrajes hidropónicos: una alternativa para la alimentación de animales domésticos. *Journal of the Selva Andina Animal Science*, 8(1), 44-52. <https://doi.org/10.36610/J.JSAAS.2021.080100044>
- Preciado, J. García, M. Segura, L. Salas, A. Ayala, J. Esparza, E. Troyo, (2014). Efecto del lixiviado de vermicomposta en la producción hidropónica de maíz forrajero. *Terra Latinoamericana*, 32(4), 333-338.
- Reyes, J; Soto, M; Ahumada, R; Cervantes, G; Lozano, R; y Barragán, B. (2012). Producción de biomasa y valor nutricional del forraje verde hidropónico de trigo y avena. *Revista Interciencia*, 37(12), 906-913. <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=33925592007>
- Silva, D. (2017). Producción de forraje hidropónico de cebada (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Y maíz (*Zea mays* L.) Con la aplicación de tres hormonas. [Tesis Ingeniero. Agrónomo. UNL. Loja] Ecuador. p 97.

- Suárez Reyes, Y.G. (2015). Efecto de soluciones nutritivas y tiempos de cosecha en el rendimiento y calidad nutricional del forraje verde hidropónico de maíz (*Zea mays*) en Santa Elena. La Libertad. [Tesis licenciatura. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias], p 95.
- Tomalá Flores, N.M. (2021). Producción de forraje verde hidropónico bajo la aplicación de biofertilizantes. La Libertad. UPSE, Matriz. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias. p 52.
- Valverde, Yhony A, Mera Aurora C.C, y Gabriel Ortega J. (2017). Producción de forraje hidropónico de maíz (*Zea mays* L.) utilizando fertilizantes químicos y orgánicos. *Journal of the Selva Andina Biosphere*, 5(2), 144-151. http://www.scielo.org.bo/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2308-38592017000200009&lng=es&tlng=es.
- Varela Rojas, P. M. (2016). Producción de biomasa y calidad nutritiva de Forraje Verde Hidropónico. [Tesis de Maestría, Universidad Nacional Agraria] Managua Nicaragua.
- Vélez Sáenz, A.E (2020). Dosis de zeatina como estimulante de crecimiento y su efecto en el maíz forrajero hidropónico. [Tesis de Maestría, Escuela Superior Politécnica Agropecuaria de Manabí] Ecuador.
- Viquez, Carolina y Soto, F. (2017). Efecto de la nutrición mineral sobre la producción de forraje verde hidropónico de maíz. *Agronomía Costarricense*, [http:41. 10.15517/rac.v41i2.31301](http://rac.v41i2.31301).

