



*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

Date	Submitted	Accepted	Published
	25 <sup>th</sup> August 2023	30 <sup>th</sup> August 2023	29 <sup>th</sup> February 2024

## ABUNDANCE, DIVERSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL NEMATODES IN KANGAITA AND WERU TEA CATCHMENTS OF KIRINYAGA AND THARAKA NITHI COUNTIES, KENYA

Mogeni IH<sup>1</sup> and N Bitange<sup>2\*</sup>



**Mogeni Isaac Harrison**

\*Corresponding author email: [mokayanaphis@gmail.com](mailto:mokayanaphis@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Science and Crop Protection, University of Nairobi

<sup>2</sup>CAB International P.O Box 633-00621 Nairobi, Kenya

## ABSTRACT

Nematodes are the most abundant animals on earth and play essential roles in ecosystem functioning hence their abundance and diversity affect soil health. Nematodes have been reported in tea fields in some parts of Kenya and previous studies indicate that they may be a cause for the decline of tea population in some tea fields in Kenya. Nematodes of *Moloidogyne spp* have also been reported to be responsible for death of tea plants in nursery conditions. A survey was carried out in Weru and Kangaita tea factories catchment areas in Tharaka Nithi and Kirinyaga counties respectively. The survey aimed to determine the abundance and diversity of nematodes in small holder tea farms. Kangaita represented the high elevation site while Weru represented the low elevation site. Soil samples were collected from smallholder tea farms from which nematodes were extracted, identified based on their morphological characteristics, and classified according to their feeding habits then quantified using standard protocols. Nematodes from 23 *genera* were recovered in the two study sites representing all the five feeding groups: plant feeders, fungal feeders, bacterial feeders, omnivores, and predatory nematodes. Of the 23 *genera*, 11 were plant feeders, 6 bacterial feeders, 3 fungal feeders 2 omnivores and 1 predatory nematode. Kangaita, being a high elevation site reported higher population density in most *genera* reported than Weru which is a low elevation site. This is a departure from most studies that have reported higher nematode population densities in low altitudes. This can be attributed to differences in climatic and soil conditions in the two study sites in the same season. Kangaita was cooler with deep, well-ventilated, and loose soils while Weru was hotter with mostly compacted, shallow, and poorer soils in the tea farms. There is need for further research on the effect of elevation and farming practices on the distribution, abundance, and diversity of nematodes in tea fields.

**Key words:** Nematodes, abundance, soil health, tea fields, elevation, diversity, feeding group

## INTRODUCTION

Tea is an evergreen shrub from the genus *Camellia*. Among the *camellia* species, tea is the most grown and cultivated and most important commercially. Tea is processed to produce a stimulant brew. The brew from tea is classified as a major beverage worldwide, only second to water because of its health benefits [1]. In Kenya, tea is a major foreign exchange earner and supports approximately over 560,000 small holder farmers directly, large plantation farmers and others in the value chain [2]. Kenya is the leading tea exporter and among the leading tea producers in the world after China and India. Kirinyaga and Tharaka-Nithi counties are some of the major tea growing counties in Kenya in addition to Meru, Kericho, Bomet, Kisii, Nyamira, Embu among others [3]. Tea grown and processed in Kenya accesses mainly the export market while a small percentage is consumed locally [3, 4]. Tea plants are widely adaptable to different agro-ecological zones with variations in climate and physical features that affect growth, yield, and quality [5]. Tea is largely a mono crop that loses diversity. As such, after long seasons of tea cultivation such soils cannot support biodiversity. Farmers engage in various cultural practices in a bid to increase the productivity and profits of their tea fields. These practices include inorganic fertilizer (NPK 26.5.5) application, animal manure application and neglect of the farms with neither weeding, plucking nor fertilizer application. These practices affect the soil microorganisms which in turn affect nutrient availability and their eventual uptake by the plant and the productivity of the tea plant [6, 7, 8] and abundance of nematodes [9]. Nematodes are the most abundant animals on earth and the dominant component of soil [10,11]. Nematodes of the *genera* *Aphelenchus spp.*, and *Pratylenchus spp.*, have been reported to be widespread in the tea fields of Ngere tea factory catchments soils [12].

Nematodes have been reported to reduce the leaf area and stem girth of tea plants and cause tiny galls, for example the Root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne incognita* [13]. *Meloidogyne javanica*, *M. incognita*, have also been reported to affect tea in the nursery while *M. brevicaula* infests mature tea plants [13]. Infested tea bushes are stunted, chlorotic leaves though they may not die instantly. Plant parasitic nematodes on the other hand have been reported to lead to the decline in tea yields, and eventual death of tea bushes after pruning especially in high elevation areas [14]. The abundance and diversity of nematodes in soil is affected by the chemical, bio-physical and hydrological characteristics of soil [15] and elevation gradient [16]. There is limited information on nematode associated with tea and their distribution. Therefore, this study focused on the identification and diversity of nematodes in tea farms belonging to small holders within Weru and Kangaita catchment areas in Tharaka Nithi and Kirinyaga counties, respectively.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was carried out in Kangaita and Weru tea factory catchment small holder farms within Kirinyaga and Tharaka-Nithi counties, Kenya, respectively. Each factory catchment was zoned into three based on elevation (high LH0, medium LH1, and low UM1) as described by Jaetzold *et al.* [17]. Three farms were randomly selected in each of the three zones in each factory catchment within Kirinyaga and Tharaka Nithi counties. Kangaita tea catchment is in high elevation with most of the farms lying above 2,000 meters above sea level while Weru, in Tharaka-Nithi County is in low elevation of about 1,400 meters above sea level.

Random soil sampling was done in each farm under study. Five soil sub samples of approximately 200g each were collected in each farm from the surface to a depth of 45 cm using a soil auger. Nine farms were sampled per county making total sampled farms 18. The soil sub samples were mixed thoroughly to come up with a composite sample of 500g. The samples were transported to the laboratory in a cool box at 15°C.

Centrifugal floatation technique as described by Jenkins [18] was employed to extract the nematodes. Initially, 200g soil sample was dissolved in 5 liters of water in a bucket and stirred to make homogenate slurry. The stirring was necessary to release the nematodes from the soil. The slurry was then passed through sieves of fine apertures; 250µm, 150 µm and 38 µm sieves. The solution collected from the 38 µm aperture sieve was backwashed and loaded into 50 ml falcon tubes. The solution in the tubes was centrifuged at 1700 rpm for 7 minutes. The supernatant obtained from the first spin was discarded and the pellet was topped up with sugar solution to balance at the 30ml mark. The sugar solution was prepared by dissolving 454g of sugar in 1 liter of water. This formed a sugar solution at 1.18 s.g. The contents of the falcon tubes topped up with the sugar solution underwent a second spin at 1700 rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant formed was then passed over a 38µm sieve. The contents were then backwashed to make a 3 ml nematode suspension. This suspension was placed in tubes and the nematodes were fixed using formalin at 75°C. Morphological features were used to identify the nematodes to genus level. This was done by observation through a compound microscope. The nematode numbers per *genera* were determined by counting. The nematode *genera* were assigned to trophic groups as described by Yeates *et al.* [19]

These nematodes were grouped into the five main trophic levels namely, herbivores/plant feeders, bacteriophores, fungivores, omnivores and predatory nematodes. The nematodes were assigned to the colonizer-persister value (C-P Value) based on a scale of 1-5 [20].

Where:

C-P 1s - are colonizers characterized by short generation time.

C-P 5 s -are persisters characterized by long generation time.





The population densities of different nematode species in the samples were calculated using equations 1 and 2 below [21]

$$FR = \frac{\text{Number of times individual nematodes occurred}}{\text{sample size}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

FR-Frequency rating

$$N = \frac{\text{Number of individuals of a species in a sample}}{\text{total of all individuals in a sample}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

N-Nematode population

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using XLSTAT software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nematodes from 20 families and 23 *genera* were recovered in the two study sites: Kangaita and Weru (Table1). The nematodes were grouped into the five main trophic levels namely Herbivores/Plant feeders (PF), Bacteriophores (BF), Fungivores (FF), Omnivores (OM), and Predatory (PR) nematodes. Out of the 23 recovered nematode genera, eleven of them were plant parasitic nematodes (Plant Feeders). The plant parasitic nematodes identified were *Criconebella Spp.*, *Filenchus Spp.*, *Helicotylenchus Spp.*, *Hemicyclophora Spp.*, *Heterodera Spp.*, *Longidorus Spp.*, *Meloidogyne Spp.*, *Pratylenchus Spp.*, *Rotylenchus Spp.*, *Tricodorus Spp.*, and *Tylenchus Spp.*

Six *genera* of bacterial feeders were identified and these included *Alaimus*, *Cephalobus*, *Cervidellus*, *Eucephalobus*, *Prismatolaimus*, and *Wilsonema*. Three Fungal feeding nematode *genera* were identified including *Aphelenchus*, *Ditylenchus* and *Leptonchus*. Two *genera* of Omnivorous nematodes identified were *Dorylaimus* and *Prodorylaimus*. Only one genus of predatory nematodes was identified, and this was *Mononchus*.

From the survey, it was observed that the nematodes in the genus *Meloidogyne* and *Rotylenchus* are well distributed in the Kangaita and Weru, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). This is consistent with research carried earlier in India which concluded that root knot nematodes which are plant parasitic nematodes; *Meloidogyne javanica*, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Meloidogyne brevican* are widely distributed in tea farms [22] Five trophic groups of nematodes were recovered in the study areas. These are herbivores, bacteriovores, fungivores, omnivores and predators. This is in line with previous research findings which have indicated that the five trophic levels are represented in almost every soil sample [12, 23].

Nematodes of *Aphelenchus* and *Tylenchus spp.* were widespread in the tea fields of both Kangaita and Weru, respectively. These findings agree with studies conducted previously in tea fields [12, 24]. The study also revealed that more nematode species are found in tea farms than those observed earlier in other studies. The current study revealed that 23 *genera* of nematodes in tea farms while previous studies [24] revealed



16 *genera* of nematodes. This variation could be due to increased use of manure on tea fields or variations in climatic conditions.

In Kangaita, the most frequently occurring nematodes were *Aphelenchus*, *Cephalobus*, *Criconemella*, *Eucephalobus*, *Filenchus*, *Helicotylenchus*, *Leptonchus*, and *tylenchus* at 100% frequency rating with a population of 1373, 1073, 887, 600, 320, 467, and 767 nematodes per 200g of soil respectively (Table 2). *Meloidogyne* had the highest population of 1527/200g of soil followed by *Aphelenchus* (1373/200g of soil) and *Cephalobus* (1073/200g). *Cervidellus* had the least population of 13/200g of soil. Fungal feeders were also frequently occurring in the tea fields. Nematodes of *Aphelenchus spp.*, a fungal feeder, were widespread in the tea fields of both Kangaita and Weru with a frequency rating of 100% in both study areas. These findings agree with studies previously conducted in tea fields [12,24]. [12] noted that large numbers of fungal feeders like *Aphelenchus spp.* could be an indication of decomposition of substrates with high C: N ratios by fungi and colonization of the tea root zones with large number of fungi. Similar findings were also reported by Feng et al [24] and Koenning *et al.* [26].

In Weru, the most frequently occurring nematodes were *aphelenchus*, *cephalobus*, *eucephalobus*, *meloidogyne*, *mononcus* and *tylenchus* at 100% frequency rating with a population of 444, 774, 762, 822, 186 and 1338 nematodes/200g of soil respectively (Table 3). The least frequently occurring nematodes in Weru were *hemicyclophora*, *heterodera*, *longidorus*, *prodorylaimus* and *Trichodorus* at 33.3% frequency rating and population of 162, 174, 36 and 48 nematodes/200g of soil respectively. *Rotylenchus* had the highest population/200g of soil at 2628 with a frequency rating of 66.7% followed by *Tylenchus* and *Meloidogyne* in that order. *Cervidellus* had the least population of 18/200g of soil with a frequency rating of 44%.

Kangaita recorded higher nematode abundance in most *genera* recovered than in Weru. This is a departure from most studies that have reported higher nematode population densities in low altitudes [16]. This can be attributed to differences in climatic and soil conditions or crop diversity [27] in the two study sites in the same season. Kangaita was cooler with deep, well ventilated, and loose soils while Weru was hotter with mostly compacted, shallow, and poorer soils in the tea farms. This information is important considering the limited land resources and the need to cultivate tea in lowlands.

## CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT

The study demonstrated that the two sites, Kangaita and Weru catchments, harbors soil nematodes. A total of 23 *genera* were recovered in both catchments. The fields had a high occurrence of both plant parasitic nematodes and fungal feeders. More studies need to be done to determine the distribution of nematodes in different elevations within the same catchment area. There is also need for further research on the effect of elevation and farming practices on the distribution, abundance, and diversity of nematodes in tea fields.



**Table 1: Family, genera, C-P value, and trophic groups of nematodes recovered at Kangaita and Weru**

Family	Genera	C-P Value	Trophic Group
Alaimidae	Alaimus	4	BF
Aphelenchidae	Aphelenchus	2	FF
Cephalobidae	Cephalobus	2	BF
Cephalobidae	Cervidellus	2	BF
Criconematidae	Criconemella	3	PF
Anguinidae	Ditylenchus	2	FF
Dorylaimidae	Dorylaimus	4	OM
Cephalobidae	Eucephalobus	2	BF
Tylenchidae	Filenchus	2	PF
Hoplolaimidae	Helicotylenchus	3	PF
Hemicyclophoridae	Hemicyclophora	3	PF
Heteroderidae	Heterodera	2	PF
Leptonchidae	Leptonchus	4	FF
Longidoridae	Longidorus	5	PF
Meloidogynidae	Meloidogyne	3	PF
Monochidae	Mononchus	4	PR
Pratylenchidae	Pratylenchus	3	PF
Prismatolaimidae	Prismatolaimus	3	BF
Bunonematidae	Prodorylaimus	5	OM
Hoplolaimidae	Rotylenchus	3	PF
Trichodoridae	Trichodorus	4	PF
Tylenchidae	Tylenchus	2	PF
Plectidae	Wilsonema	2	BF

C-P: Colonizer-persister scale 1-5 where cp 1 are colonizers characterized by short generation time and cp 5 are persisters characterized by long generation time (Bongers, 1990). PF – plant feeders, BF – bacterial feeders, FF – fungal feeders, PR – predatory, OM - omnivores



**Table 2: Nematode population and frequency of occurrence in Kangaita**

Genera	C-P Value	Population/ 200g of soil	Frequency of occurrence	*Frequency rating (%)	**Nematode population (%)
Alaimus	4	360	8.0	88.89	3.82
Aphelenchus	2	1373	9.0	100.00	14.59
Cephalobus	2	1073	9.0	100.00	11.40
Cervidellus	2	13	2.0	22.22	0.14
Criconemella	3	387	9.0	100.00	4.11
Ditylenchus	2	33	3.0	33.33	0.35
Dorylaimus	4	60	3.0	33.33	0.64
Eucephalobus	2	887	9.0	100.00	9.42
Filenchus	2	600	9.0	100.00	6.37
Helicotylenchus	3	320	9.0	100.00	3.40
Hemicyclophora	3	53	3.0	33.33	0.57
Heterodera	2	40	3.0	33.33	0.42
Leptonchus	4	467	9.0	100.00	4.96
Longidorus	5	87	6.0	66.67	0.92
Meloidogyne	3	1527	8.0	88.89	16.22
Mononchus	4	133	7.0	77.78	1.42
Pratylenchus	3	33	3.0	33.33	0.35
Prismatolaimus	3	367	6.0	66.67	3.89
Prodorylaimus	5	67	5.0	55.56	0.71
Rotylenchus	3	87	3.0	33.33	0.92
Trichodorus	4	53	3.0	33.33	0.57
Tylenchus	2	767	9.0	100.00	8.14
Wilsonema	2	627	6.0	66.67	6.66

\*  $n/N \times 100$  (n = number of times individual nematodes occurred and N = Sample size (9)). \*\*  $In/TN \times 100$  (In = Individual nematode in all the samples and TN = Total Population of all the nematodes extracted in all the samples)

**Table 3: Nematode population and frequency of occurrence in Weru**

Genera	C-P Value	Population/ 200g of soil	Frequency of occurrence	*Frequency rating (%)	**Nematode population (%)
Alaimus	4	167	6	66.70	1.75
Aphelenchus	2	444	9	100.00	4.69
Cephalobus	2	774	9	100.00	8.12
Cervidellus	2	18	4	44.00	0.21
Criconemella	3	372	8	88.90	3.92
Ditylenchus	2	78	6	67.00	0.84
Dorylaimus	4	24	4	44.00	0.28
Eucephalobus	2	762	9	100.00	7.98
Filenchus	2	576	8	88.90	6.02
Helicotylenchus	3	306	8	88.90	3.22
Hemicyclophora	3	162	3	33.30	1.68
Heterodera	2	174	3	33.30	1.82
Leptonchus	4	138	6	66.70	1.47
Longidorus	5	36	3	33.00	0.35
Meloidogyne	3	822	9	100.00	8.61
Mononchus	4	186	9	100.00	1.96
Pratylenchus	3	198	6	66.70	2.10
Prismatolaimus	3	162	6	66.70	1.68
Prodorylaimus	5	36	3	33.00	0.35
Rotylenchus	3	2628	6	66.70	27.57
Trichodorus	4	48	3	33.00	0.49
Tylenchus	2	1338	9	100.00	14.06
Wilsonema	2	78	6	67.00	0.84

\*  $n/N \times 100$  (n = number of times individual nematodes occurred and N = Sample size (9)). \*\*  $In/TN \times 100$  (In = Individual nematode in all the samples and TN = Total Population of all the nematodes extracted in all the samples)

## REFERENCES

1. **Fang J, Sureda A, Silva AS, Khan F, Xu S and SM Nabavi** Trends of tea in cardiovascular health and disease: Trends in food science and technology. A critical review, 2019; **88**: 385-395.
2. **Bore J K and WD Nyabundi** Impact of climate change on tea and adaptation strategies (Kenya) Report of the working group on climate Change of the FAO Intergovernmental Group on Tea (Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) 2016: 45– 60.
3. **TBK.** Tea Board of Kenya June News. Posted on 18 June 2013 .
4. **TRFK.** Tea research foundation of Kenya, strategic plan, 2005–2010.
5. **Owour P O, Wachira F N and WK Ng'etich** Influence of region of production on relative clonal plain tea quality parameters in Kenya. *Food Chemistry*, 2010; **119**: 1168–1174.
6. **Hamid FS** Yield and quality of tea under varying conditions of soil and nitrogen availability. PhD dissertation. Department of plant sciences, Faculty of biological sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan 2006.
7. **Thenmozhi K, Manian S and S Paulsamy** Influence of long-term nitrogen and potassium fertilization on the biochemistry of tea soil. *Journal of research in Agriculture*, 2012; **1(2)**: 124- 135.
8. **Sultana J, Siddique MNA, Kamaruzzaman M and MA Halim** Conventional to Ecological: Tea Plantation Soil Management in Panchagarh District of Bangladesh. *Journal of Science, Technology and Environment Informatics*, 2014; **1(1)**: 27–35.
9. **Liu T, Li Y, Shen Q, Li H and JK Whalen** Soil nematode community response to fertilisation in the root-associated and bulk soils of a rice-wheat agroecosystem. *Nematology*, 2016; **18**: 727-741.
10. **Bardgett RD and WH Van Der Putten** Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature*, 2014; **515**: 505–511.
11. **Van den Hoogen J, Geisen S, Routh D, Ferris H, Traunspurger W and DA Wardle** Soil nematode abundance and functional group composition at a global scale. *Nature*, 2019; **572**: 194–198.

12. **Kibet TK, Johnson K, Daniel K, Edward GM and O Justus** Diversity and distribution of soil nematodes in Ngere tea catchment area of Murang'a County, Kenya *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2003; **8**: 1986-1989.
13. **Orisajo SB** Distribution of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with tea in Nigeria. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2012; **8(5)**: 459-463.
14. **Gnanapragasam NC** Slow decline observed in identification and control of root knot nematodes in nematode tolerant tea cultivar. *International Journal of Nematology*, 2002; **12**: 232-233.
15. **Bertrand B, Anthony F and P Lashermes** Breeding for resistance to *Meloidogyne exigua* in *Coffea arabica* by introgression of resistance genes of *Coffea canephora*. *Plant Pathology*, 2001; **50(5)**: 637-643.
16. **Afzal S, Nesar H, Imran Z and A Wasim** Altitudinal gradient affect abundance, diversity, and metabolic footprint of soil nematodes in Banihal-Pass of Pir-Panjial mountain range. *Scientific reports*, 2021; **11**: 16214.
17. **Jaetzold R, Hornetz B, Shisanya CA and H Schmidt** (Eds., 2005- 2012): Farm Management Handbook of Kenya. - Vol. I-IV (Western, Central, Eastern, Nyanza, Southern Rift Valley, Northern Rift Valley, Coast), Nairobi.
18. **Jenkins WRA** rapid centrifugal floatation technique for separating nematodes from soil. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 1964; 48:692.
19. **Yeates GW, Bongers T, De Goede RG, Freckman DW and S Georgieva** Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera-an outline for soil ecologists. *The Journal Nematology*, 1993; **25**: 315-331.
20. **Bongers T** The maturity index: An ecological measure of environmental disturbance based on nematode species composition, *Oecology* 1990; **83**: 14-19.
21. **Norton DC** Ecology of plant parasitic nematodes. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.1978.
22. **Glover PM** Nematodes in N. E. India. Two and a Bud, 1961; 8:6-7.
23. **Freckman DW and JG Baldwin** Nematoda. In: "Soil Biology Guide". D.L. Dindal (ed.), John Willey and Sons, Inc., New York. 1990 155-200.
24. **Kimenju JW, Karanja NK, Mutua GK, Rimberia BM and PM Wachira** Nematode community structure as influenced by land use and intensity of cultivation. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*, 2009; **11**: 353-360.



25. **Feng Y, Motta AC, Reeves DW, Burmester CH, Santen van E and JA Osborne** Soil microbial communities under conventional-till and no till continuous cotton systems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2003; **35**: 1693-1703.
26. **Koenning SR and KR Barker** Influence of litter applications on nematode communities in cotton agroecosystems. *Journal of Nematology*, 2004; **36**: 524-533.
27. **Wang L, Wang Y, Xiu W, Tan B, Li G, Zhao J, Yang D, Zhang G and Y Zhang** Responses of soil microbial and nematode communities to various cover crop patterns in a Tea Garden of China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and public Health*, 2022; **19(5)**: 2695.