



*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

## CHARACTER ASSOCIATIONS AND PATH ANALYSIS IN BAMBARA GROUNDNUT GROWN IN MID-ALTITUDE HIGHLAND OF JOS-PLATEAU, NIGERIA

Namo OAT<sup>1\*</sup> and A Damfami<sup>2</sup>



Timothy Namu

\*Corresponding author email: [akunamo@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:akunamo@yahoo.co.uk)

<sup>1</sup>Cytogenetics and Plant Breeding Unit, Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Cytogenetics and Plant Breeding Unit, Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria

## ABSTRACT

Bambara groundnut is an indigenous African legume, which is the third most important after peanut and cowpea in terms of consumption and socio-economic impact in semi-arid Africa. There is, therefore, the need for adequate research attention, especially with regard to yield improvement. In this study, nine genotypes of the Bambara groundnut (Cream with white eye, Black with white eye, Cream with brown eye, Cream with black eye, Cream with black eye and brown stripes, Cream with brown stripes, Deep-brown with white eye, Cream with black stripes and Brown white eye) were evaluated at Kuru, Nigeria (Lat. 09° 44'N, Long. 08° 47'E, altitude 1, 217 m above sea level) in 2018 in order to determine character associations and to analyse the pathways to grain yield. Results showed that emergence rate, plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area index, relative growth rate, harvest index, number of pods per plant and shelling percentage were positively correlated with total grain yield. The Results of the path coefficient analysis showed that the number of days to onset of flowering exerted the highest direct influence on total grain yield. Then followed by harvest index, emergence rate, 100-seed weight, net assimilation rate, shelling%, days to 50% flowering, relative growth rate, number of seeds per pod, and number of pods per plant. The highest total effect (0.988) was observed in 100-seed weight, followed by days to first flowering (0.837), days to 50% flowering (0.701), shelling % (0.658), emergence rate (0.574), number of pods per plant (0.557), relative growth rate (-0.375), net assimilation rate (0.226), harvest index (0.183) and number of seeds per pod (-0.126) in that order. The study demonstrated that combining correlation and path coefficient analyses is important in determining reliable trait associations that can be used for developing superior genotypes. Therefore, days to first flower, days to 50% flowering, harvest index, emergence rate and 100-seed weight should be considered as major selection indices for the improvement of the Bambara groundnut in the Jos-Plateau environment in Nigeria.

**Key words:** Correlation, direct effect, indirect effect, grain yield, *Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.

## INTRODUCTION

Bambara groundnut [*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc] is an indigenous African legume, which is the third most important after peanut and cowpea in terms of consumption and socio-economic impact in semi-arid Africa [1]. The annual world production is 330, 000 tonnes with West Africa accounting for 45-50%; Nigeria alone accounts for 33, 000-49, 000 tonnes annually [2]. It is an herbaceous, intermediate annual plant with creeping stems at the ground level. It has a well developed taproot system with geotropic lateral roots. New roots often appear where nodes contact the soil. The fibrous lateral roots form nodules for nitrogen fixation [3, 4]. The flowers spread out close to the ground level on hairy peduncles. Flowers may be light-yellow or deep-yellow. After fertilisation, the pods are borne under the ground.

The subterranean pods are rich in carbohydrates (63-65%), protein (18-19%) and fat (6.5%), and are, therefore, used to address nutritional problems in humans and livestock [5, 6]. Bambara groundnut seeds are richer than peanuts in essential amino acids such as isoleucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine and valine. Consequently, Bambara groundnut has high potential for use to complement foods lacking in these amino acids [7, 4]. In African countries such as Nigeria and Ghana, the seeds are pounded and made into flour, which is usually added to maize to enrich the traditional preparations [8]. It is also used to make a variety of cakes or mixed with cereals to prepare several types of porridge [4]. The seeds can also be used to make different kinds of traditional foods such as “Kosai”, “Moimoi” and “Okpa” [9], while the haulms are used to feed livestock [10]. Bambara groundnut fixes atmospheric nitrogen through symbiosis with *Rhizobium* bacteria and is, therefore, useful in crop rotation and intercropping [11, 12]. Tilapia fish feed, consisting of Bambara groundnut and leaf protein from *Leucaena leucocephala* or *Gliricidia sepium*, has been reported to make fish grow well [13]. White seeds are mixed with guinea fowl meat to treat diarrhoea while black seeds are mixed with water and ground into powder to cure skin rashes or to alleviate swollen jaw disease in children [8].

Despite the nutritional and medicinal values of the crop, the research and extension activities in Nigeria majorly focus on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] with little attention given to the Bambara groundnut, which is now regarded as an orphan crop. Attempts at improving the Bambara groundnut have not been successful due to lack of accessions with stable and predictable yields [14].

Yield is a complex and quantitatively inherited trait. In addition to simple correlation coefficient [15], path coefficient analysis provides the relative importance of direct and indirect effects of the yield components on yield [16]. Knowledge of the associations that exist between yield and yield-related traits is essential to identify selection procedure which is important for the improvement of grain yield [10]. Most cultivated varieties of Bambara groundnut in sub-Saharan Africa are landraces which are products of introduction and selection [10]; these need further improvement for productivity and nutritional quality. This study investigated character associations and path analysis in the Bambara groundnut grown in the mid-altitude highland environment of the Jos-Plateau in Nigeria, with the aim to identifying characters that have maximum effect and which could be used as indices for grain yield improvement.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during the rainy season between July and October 2018 at potato sub-station of the National Root Crops Research Institute, Kuru in Plateau State, Nigeria (Fig.1). The sources and agronomic characteristics of the nine genotypes of Bambara groundnut used in the study are as shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the weather conditions during which the experiment was carried out. Plates 1-9 show the seed coat characteristics of the genotypes used in the study.

The genotypes were laid out in the field using the randomised complete block design in five replicates. Each net plot, measuring 4 m x 3.2 m, consisted of four rows each measuring 4 m x 0.8 m. The seeds were sown on July 22, 2018 at inter-row and intra-row spacing of 0.8 m and 0.2 m, respectively, to give a total of 125,000 plants per hectare. Weeding was done manually at the fourth and seventh week after sowing. The plots were earthed up at nine weeks after sowing to protect the young pods.

Data were collected on emergence rate, days to first flower, days to 50 % flowering, relative growth rate, net assimilation rate and harvest index. The plots were harvested at 127 days after sowing, after which the number of pods per plant, shelling percentage, number of seeds per pod and total grain yield were assessed. A multiple correlation analysis was carried out amongst growth and yield-related attributes. Statistical analysis with respect to correlation was done using the SPSS version 22.0 software while path coefficient analysis was done using Microsoft excel 2010 [17]. The correlation coefficient was partitioned into direct and indirect effects by solving the simultaneous equation [18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Path coefficients were computed using the following simultaneous equations which express basic relationships between correlation and path coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 + r_{12}P_2 + r_{13}P_3 + r_{14}P_4 + r_{15}P_5 + r_{16}P_6 + r_{17}P_7 + r_{18}P_8 + r_{19}P_9 + r_{110}P_{10} &= r_{1y} \\
 r_{12}P_1 + P_2 + r_{23}P_3 + r_{24}P_4 + r_{25}P_5 + r_{26}P_6 + r_{27}P_7 + r_{28}P_8 + r_{29}P_9 + r_{210}P_{10} &= r_{2y} \\
 r_{13}P_1 + r_{23}P_2 + P_3 + r_{34}P_4 + r_{35}P_5 + r_{36}P_6 + r_{37}P_7 + r_{38}P_8 + r_{39}P_9 + r_{310}P_{10} &= r_{3y} \\
 r_{14}P_1 + r_{24}P_2 + r_{34}P_3 + P_4 + r_{45}P_5 + r_{46}P_6 + r_{47}P_7 + r_{48}P_8 + r_{49}P_9 + r_{410}P_{10} &= r_{4y} \\
 r_{15}P_1 + r_{25}P_2 + r_{35}P_3 + r_{45}P_4 + P_5 + r_{56}P_6 + r_{57}P_7 + r_{58}P_8 + r_{59}P_9 + r_{510}P_{10} &= r_{5y} \\
 r_{16}P_1 + r_{26}P_2 + r_{36}P_3 + r_{46}P_4 + r_{56}P_5 + P_6 + r_{67}P_7 + r_{68}P_8 + r_{69}P_9 + r_{610}P_{10} &= r_{6y} \\
 r_{17}P_1 + r_{27}P_2 + r_{37}P_3 + r_{47}P_4 + r_{57}P_5 + r_{67}P_6 + P_7 + r_{78}P_8 + r_{79}P_9 + r_{710}P_{10} &= r_{7y} \\
 r_{18}P_1 + r_{28}P_2 + r_{38}P_3 + r_{48}P_4 + r_{58}P_5 + r_{68}P_6 + r_{78}P_7 + P_8 + r_{89}P_9 + r_{810}P_{10} &= r_{8y} \\
 r_{19}P_1 + r_{29}P_2 + r_{39}P_3 + r_{49}P_4 + r_{59}P_5 + r_{69}P_6 + r_{79}P_7 + r_{89}P_8 + P_9 + r_{910}P_{10} &= r_{9y} \\
 r_{110}P_1 + r_{210}P_2 + r_{310}P_3 + r_{410}P_4 + r_{510}P_5 + r_{610}P_6 + r_{710}P_7 + r_{810}P_8 + r_{910}P_9 + P_{10} &= r_{10y} \\
 R_x(\text{Residual}) &= 1 - \sqrt{(r_{1y} + r_{2y} + r_{3y} + r_{4y} + r_{5y} + r_{6y} + r_{7y} + r_{8y} + r_{9y} + r_{10y})}
 \end{aligned}$$

Where,

- 1= Emergence rate
- 2= Number of days to onset of flowering
- 3= Number of days to 50% flowering
- 4= Relative growth rate
- 5= Harvest index
- 6= Net assimilation rate
- 7= Number of pods per plant
- 8= Shelling %
- 9= Number of seeds per pod
- 10= 100-seed weight
- r = correlation
- y = grain yield
- P = direct contribution
- R = Residual effect






Figure 1: Plateau State, Nigeria showing the study areas





**Plate 1: Genotype Cream with white eye**



**Plate 2: Genotype Black with white eye**





**Plate 3: Genotype Cream with brown eye**



**Plate 4: Genotype Cream with black eye**



**Plate 5: Genotype Cream with black eye and brown stripes**



**Plate 6: Genotype Cream with brown stripes**





**Plate 7: Genotype Deep brown with white eye**



**Plate 8: Genotype Cream with black stripes**



**Plate 9: Genotype Brown with white eye**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total grain yield was positively correlated with emergence rate, plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area index, relative growth rate, harvest index and number of pods per plant. The net assimilation rate, number of days to onset of flowering, 50% flowering, number of seeds per pod and 100-seed weight were negatively correlated with seed yield (Table 3).

The net assimilation rate was negatively and significantly correlated with plant height at 56 DAS ( $-0.806^{**}$ ), but it was positively correlated with 100-seed weight ( $*0.836$ ). The 100-seed weight and total stand count were positively correlated ( $0.787^{*}$ ). The number of pods per plant and the number of seeds per pod were negatively correlated ( $-0.703^{*}$ ). The number of days to first flower and number of days to 50 % flowering were positively correlated ( $0.880^{**}$ ).

As observed in this study, a positive correlation has been reported between seed yield and emergence rate, plant height and pod yield per plant [10], which corroborated similar findings in the Bambara groundnut [9] and okra [23]. A positive correlation of shelling % and 100-seed weight with grain yield [24] as well as the number of pods per plant with grain yield [25] have been reported. The results suggest that seed yield in Bambara groundnut could be improved through



selection for these characters. The positive correlation between plant height and seed yield may be ascribed to a greater photosynthetic capacity of the plant to develop a considerable height which will carry more leaves and flowers for higher pod yield, especially in the tropics where Bambara groundnut is cultivated [10]. Similar findings have been reported for sugarcane [26] and okra [27]. A negative correlation between seed yield and number of pods per plant as well as the number of seeds per pod has been reported in Bambara groundnut [28]. In this study, however, a positive relationship between seed yield and number of pods per plant was observed. Strong, positive correlations amongst characters show that they make positive indirect contribution to final grain yield [28]. The negative relationships amongst yield components could result from competition for ambient resources such as nutrients, moisture and light or from genetic causes such as linkage and pleiotropy [29].

The path coefficient analysis involving direct (bold-faced diagonally) and indirect contributions of growth and yield attributes to total grain yield in Bambara groundnut is shown in Table 4. There was a positive, direct association between grain yield and all the attributes studied. The correlation coefficient of grain yield with the attributes studied was positive except for the number of seeds per pod. The number of days to first flower exerted the highest direct influence on total grain yield (0.977), which was followed by emergence rate (0.560), 100-seed weight (0.403), harvest index (0.191), shelling percentage (0.156), days to 50 % flowering (0.154), net assimilation rate (0.092), relative growth rate (0.050), number of pods per plant (0.026) and number of seeds per pod (0.014).

The indirect, positive contribution to total grain yield was highest in the number of days to first flower through the net assimilation rate (0.901), followed by the number of days to 50% flowering through the net assimilation rate (0.843), number of days to first flower through 100-seed weight (0.427) and 100-seed weight through the number of days to 50% flowering (0.330) (Table 4). The indirect, negative contribution to total grain yield was highest in the number of days to first flower through the number of pods per plant (-0.900), followed by the number of days to first flower through shelling % (-0.877) and the number of days to 50% flowering through the number of pods per plant (-0.301) (Table 4).

The highest total effect (0.988) was observed for 100-seed weight, followed by days to first flower (0.837), days to 50% flowering (0.701), shelling % (0.658), emergence rate (0.574), number of pods per plant (0.557), relative growth rate (0.375), net assimilation rate (0.226), harvest index (0.183) and number of seeds per pod (-0.126) in that order. In terms of percent contribution to total grain yield,

the highest direct contribution was observed in the number of days to first flower, followed by harvest index, emergence rate, 100-seed weight, net assimilation rate, shelling%, days to 50% flowering, relative growth rate, number of seeds per pod and number of pods per plant in that order (Table 5). The residual effect was 0.221, representing 4.44 % of the total effect.

The high positive correlation and direct positive contribution of emergence rate, plant height, days to first flower, emergence rate, 100-seed weight and harvest index has demonstrated the significance of these characters in the improvement of the Bambara groundnut. It has been suggested that kernel yield per plant, 100-seed weight and shelling% could be selected for further improvement of the peanut [30]. Path coefficient analysis provides a means of separating the direct and indirect causes of associations, thereby permitting for an in-depth examination of the factors responsible for a given correlation and measuring the relative importance of each factor [28]. Correlation, along with path coefficient analysis, has been described as a powerful tool for determining reliable associations of traits useful in the development of superior genotypes [31].

The variability observed amongst the Bambara groundnut genotypes used in this study underscores the potential for the genetic improvement of the crop to meet the increasing demand for food and nutritional security for both humans and animals in the Sub-Saharan Africa [32]. Bambara groundnut is an indigenous African crop which produces almost completely balanced food, is easy to cultivate, and makes little demand on the soil; yet, it has been relegated to its own countries. Indigenous crops have a comparative advantage of resilience in their local environment, compared to exotic species that have assumed more popularity over time [32]. With little attention given to their improvement, production and value-chain addition through scientific research, these indigenous crops have yet to be exploited and have remained primordial in their development and integration.

As Africa attempts to achieve food security, emphasis needs to be placed on hitherto underutilised indigenous African crop species that have both food and nutrient security potentials for the sub-region. Several international fora and engagements on meeting the food sufficiency and nutrition needs of a fast-growing population have prompted the Food and Agriculture Organisation to re-discover the lost food crops of the world and re-invigorate research around their production and utilisation as a means of curbing hunger. This re-invigoration should include giving a new prominence to such crops like the Bambara groundnut beyond the local use [32].

## CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT

The results of the correlation analysis in this study have shown that emergence rate, plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area index, relative growth rate, harvest index, stand count at harvest, number of pods per plant and shelling percentage were positively correlated with total grain yield. Net assimilation rate, number of days to first flower and 50% flowering, number of seeds per pod and 100-seed weight were negatively correlated with total grain yield. Results of the path coefficient analysis revealed that the number of days to first flower exerted the highest direct influence on total grain yield, followed by emergence rate, 100-seed weight, harvest index, shelling%, days to 50% flowering, net assimilation rate, relative growth rate, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod. Considering the results of correlation and path analyses together, emergence rate, days to first flower, days to 50% flowering, harvest index and 100-seed weight could be considered as major indices for the improvement of Bambara groundnut in the Jos-Plateau environment in Nigeria. Developing new varieties of the Bambara groundnut could contribute to addressing the food security challenge in sub-Saharan Africa. Result of the final grain yield showed that the accessions differed from 410.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the accession Deep brown with white eye to 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the accession Brown with white eye, but the differences were not statistically significant. Therefore, the nine accessions of the Bambara groundnut used in this study can be grown in the Jos-Plateau environment.

**Table 1: Agronomic characteristics of the Bambara groundnut genotypes used in the study**

Genotype	Seed coat colour	Source	No. of plants ha <sup>-1</sup>	NDM (WAS)	Average yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	NDFF (WAS)	NDF 50% (WAS)
V <sub>1</sub>	Cream with white eye	Nasarawa ADP	125,000	18	0.254	8	10
V <sub>2</sub>	Black with white eye	Bauchi ADP	125,000	18	0.301	9	10
V <sub>3</sub>	Cream with brown eye	Ebonyi ADP	125,000	18	0.398	9	10
V <sub>4</sub>	Cream with black eye	Ebonyi ADP	125,000	18	0.401	8	9
V <sub>5</sub>	Cream with black eye and brown stripes	Nasarawa ADP	125,000	18	0.254	8	10
V <sub>6</sub>	Cream with brown stripes	Bauchi ADP	125,000	18	0.191	9	10
V <sub>7</sub>	Deep brown with white eye	Bauchi ADP	125,000	18	0.413	8	9
V <sub>8</sub>	Cream with black stripes	Gombe ADP	125,000	18	0.313	9	10
V <sub>9</sub>	Brown with white eye	Ebonyi ADP	125,000	18	0.183	9	10

ADP = Agricultural Development Programme

WAS = Weeks after sowing

NDM= Number of days to maturity

NDFF= Number of days to onset of flowering

NDF50%=Number of days to 50% flowering



**Table 2: Mean values of meteorological data collected during the experimental period (June-October, 2018)**

Months	Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity	Rainfall	Sunshine hour
	Min.	Max.	(%)	(mm)	(Hours)
June	17	22	73	156.4	170.2
July	18	24	80	283.2	186.4
August	18	24	81	384.8	152.3
September	17	26	80	208.6	200.4
October	15	27	68	79.4	167.2

Source: National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Kuru, Plateau State (Lat. 09° 44' N, Long. 08° 47' E, Altitude 1,293 m)

**Table 3: Correlation matrix of some growth and yield attributes of Bambara groundnut grown in Kuru, Nigeria in 2018**

Traits	ER	PH56	NL56	LAI90	RGR90	NAR90	HI120	NFF	NDF50	TSC	NPP	SP	NSPP	HSW	TGY
ER	1														
PH56	0.182	1													
NL56	0.660	-0.069	1												
LAI90	0.409	0.437	-0.057	1											
RGR90	0.203	-0.451	0.135	0.428	1										
NAR90	0.110	-0.806**	0.259	-0.043	0.762*	1									
HI120	0.299	0.629	0.254	0.388	0.190	-0.340	1								
NFF	-0.224	-0.191	-0.120	0.319	0.065	0.173	-0.491	1							
NDF50	-0.184	-0.320	-0.219	0.322	0.173	0.329	-0.667*	0.880**	1						
TSC	0.308	-0.361	0.390	-0.014	0.264	0.664	-0.372	0.294	0.418	1					
NPP	0.024	0.011	-0.069	0.346	0.430	0.230	0.235	0.370	0.321	0.398	1				
SP	0.263	0.245	-0.381	0.498	0.305	0.003	0.265	-0.136	0.050	0.120	0.452	1			
NSPP	0.040	0.113	-0.289	-0.220	-0.315	-0.252	-0.145	-0.558	-0.260	-0.302	-0.703*	-0.015	1		
HSW	0.193	-0.467	0.281	0.078	0.543	0.836**	-0.246	0.229	0.294	0.787*	0.167	0.024	-0.316	1	
TGY	0.645	0.307	0.335	0.233	0.104	-0.091	0.451	-0.291	-0.233	0.273	0.557	0.578	-0.126	-0.151	1

ER = Emergence rate, PH 56 = plant height at 56 days after sowing, NL 56 = number of leaves per plant at 56 days after sowing, LAI 90 = leaf area index at 90 days after sowing, RGR 90 = relative growth rate at 90 days after sowing, NAR 90 = net assimilation rate at 90 days after sowing, HI 120 = harvest index at 120 days after sowing, NFF = number of days to onset of flowering, NDF 50 = number of days to 50% flowering, TSC = total stand count at harvest, NPP = number of pods per plant, SP = shelling percentage, NSPP = number of seeds per pod, HSW = 100–seed weight, TGY = total grain yield

**Table 4: Path coefficient analysis showing direct (bold-faced diagonally) and indirect contributions of growth and yield attributes to total grain yield in Bambara groundnut**

Character	ER	NDFF	NDF 50	RGR 90	HI 120	NAR 120	NPP	SP	NSPP	HSW	TGY
ER	0.560	-0.034	-0.029	-0.039	0.016	0.005	-0.007	0.091	0.015	-0.004	0.574
NDFF	-0.034	0.997	0.141	0.013	0.128	0.901	-0.900	-0.877	0.041	0.427	0.837
NDF 50	-0.028	0.141	0.154	0.121	-0.001	0.843	-0.301	-0.189	-0.105	0.066	0.701
RGR 90	0.031	0.001	-0.034	0.050	0.002	0.066	-0.032	0.085	0.118	0.088	0.375
HI 90	0.034	-0.043	0.026	0.030	0.191	0.091	-0.113	0.110	-0.215	0.072	0.183
NAR 90	0.040	-0.107	0.118	0.029	0.157	0.092	-0.020	-0.132	-0.095	0.144	0.226
NPP	0.004	-0.014	0.040	0.216	-0.086	-0.093	0.026	0.156	0.257	0.051	0.557
SP	-0.040	-0.031	0.220	0.178	0.032	-0.015	0.156	0.156	-0.005	0.007	0.658
NSPP	-0.006	-0.014	-0.167	0.179	0.137	0.076	-0.243	-0.005	0.014	-0.097	-0.126
HSW	0.021	-0.022	0.330	0.031	-0.052	0.144	0.058	0.008	0.067	0.403	0.988

Residual effect = 0.221. Bold values are for the direct effects of attributes on yield (path coefficient); other values show indirect effects via different paths except the total correlation with grain yield

ER = Emergence rate, NDFF = number of days to onset of flower, NDF 50 = number of days to 50% flowering, RGR 90 = relative growth rate at 90 days after sowing, HI 120 = harvest index at 90 days after sowing, NAR 120 = net assimilation rate at 120 days after sowing, NPP= number of pods per plant, SP= shelling percentage, NSPP= number of seeds per pod, HSW = 100-seed weight, TGY = total grain yield



**Table 5: Path analysis showing percent contributions of ten attributes to total grain yield of Bambara groundnut grown in mid altitude highland of Jos-Plateau, Nigeria**

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
1.	Emergence rate					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>1</sub> Y)	0.560	97.56			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	No of days to first flower(P <sub>2</sub> Yr <sub>12</sub> )			-0.034	5.92	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>13</sub> )			-0.029	5.05	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>14</sub> )			-0.39	6.79	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>15</sub> )			0.016	2.79	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>16</sub> )			0.005	0.87	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>17</sub> )			-0.007	1.22	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>18</sub> )			0.091	15.85	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>19</sub> )			0.015	2.61	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>10</sub> )			-0.004	0.70	
c)	Total effect					0.574



No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
2.	No of days to first flower					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>2</sub> Y)	0.997	119.12			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>21</sub> )			-0.034	4.06	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>23</sub> )			0.141	16.85	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>24</sub> )			0.013	1.56	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>25</sub> )			0.128	15.29	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>26</sub> )			0.901	107.65	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>27</sub> )			-0.900	107.53	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>28</sub> )			-0.877	104.78	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>29</sub> )			0.041	4.90	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>10</sub> )			0.427	51.02	
c)	Total effect					0.837

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
3.	No of days to 50% flowering					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>3</sub> Y)	0.154	21.97			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>31</sub> )			-0.028	3.99	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Yr <sub>32</sub> )			0,141	20.11	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>34</sub> )			0.121	17.26	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>35</sub> )			-0.001	0.14	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>36</sub> )			0.843	120.26	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>37</sub> )			-0.301	42.94	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>38</sub> )			-0.189	26.96	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>39</sub> )			-0.105	14.98	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>310</sub> )			0.066	9.42	
c)	Total effect					0.701

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path Coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
4.	Relative growth rate					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>4</sub> Y)	0.050	13.33			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>41</sub> )			0.031	8.27	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>42</sub> )			0.001	0.27	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>43</sub> )			-0.034	9.07	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>45</sub> )			0.002	0.53	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>46</sub> )			0.066	17.60	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>47</sub> )			-0.032	8.53	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>48</sub> )			0.085	22.67	
	No of seeds per plant (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>49</sub> )			0.118	31.47	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>410</sub> )			0.088	23.47	
c)	Total effect					0.375

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
5.	Harvest index					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>5</sub> Y)	0.191	104.37			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>51</sub> )			0.034	18.58	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>52</sub> )			-0.043	23.50	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>53</sub> )			0.026	14.21	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>54</sub> )			0.030	16.39	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>56</sub> )			0.091	49.73	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Y <sub>57</sub> )			-0.113	61.75	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>58</sub> )			0.110	60.11	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>59</sub> )			-0.215	117.49	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>510</sub> )			0.072	39.34	
c)	Total effect					0.183



No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
6.	Net assimilation					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>6</sub> Y)	0.092	40.71			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>61</sub> )			0.040	17.70	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>62</sub> )			-0.107	47.35	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>63</sub> )			0.118	52.21	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>64</sub> )			0.029	12.83	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>65</sub> )			0.157	69.47	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>67</sub> )			-0.020	8.85	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>68</sub> )			-0.132	58.41	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>69</sub> )			-0.095	42.03	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>610</sub> )			0.144	63.72	
c)	Total effect					0.226

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
7.	No of pods per plant					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>7</sub> Y)	0.026	4.67			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>71</sub> )			0.004	0.72	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>72</sub> )			-0.014	2.51	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>73</sub> )			0.040	7.18	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>74</sub> )			0.216	38.78	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>75</sub> )			-0.086	15.44	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>76</sub> )			-0.093	16.70	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>78</sub> )			0.156	28.01	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>79</sub> )			0.257	46.14	
	100-seed weight(P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>710</sub> )			0.051	9.16	
c)	Total effect					0.557

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
8.	Shelling %					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>8</sub> Y)	0.156	23.71			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>81</sub> )			-0.040	6.07	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>82</sub> )			-0.031	4.71	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>83</sub> )			0.220	33.43	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>84</sub> )			0.178	27.05	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>85</sub> )			0.032	4.86	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>86</sub> )			-0.015	2.28	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>87</sub> )			0.156	23.71	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>89</sub> )			-0.005	0.76	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>810</sub> )			0.007	1.06	
c)	Total effect					0.658


No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
9.	No of seeds per pod					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>9</sub> Y)	0.014	11.11			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>91</sub> )			-0.006	4.76	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>92</sub> )			-0.014	11.11	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>93</sub> )			-0.167	132.54	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>94</sub> )			0.179	142.06	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>95</sub> )			0.137	108.73	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>96</sub> )			0.076	60.32	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>97</sub> )			-0.243	192.86	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>98</sub> )			-0.005	3.97	
	100-seed weight (P <sub>10</sub> Yr <sub>910</sub> )			-0.097	76.98	
c)	Total effect					-0.126

No.	Pathways of association	Direct effect Path coefficient(p)	% contribution	Indirect effect path coefficient (pxr)	% contribution	Correlation coefficient (r)
10.	100-seed weight					
a)	Direct effect (P <sub>10</sub> Y)	0.403	40.79			
b)	Indirect effect via:					
	Emergence rate (P <sub>1</sub> Yr <sub>101</sub> )			0.021	2.13	
	No of days to first flower (P <sub>2</sub> Y <sub>102</sub> )			-0.022	2.23	
	No of days to 50% flowering (P <sub>3</sub> Yr <sub>103</sub> )			0.330	33.40	
	Relative growth rate (P <sub>4</sub> Yr <sub>104</sub> )			0.031	3.14	
	Harvest index (P <sub>5</sub> Yr <sub>105</sub> )			-0.052	5.26	
	Net assimilation rate (P <sub>6</sub> Yr <sub>106</sub> )			0.144	14.57	
	No of pods per plant (P <sub>7</sub> Yr <sub>107</sub> )			0.058	5.87	
	Shelling % (P <sub>8</sub> Yr <sub>108</sub> )			0.008	0.81	
	No of seeds per pod (P <sub>9</sub> Yr <sub>109</sub> )			0.067	6.78	
c)	Total effect					0.988



## REFERENCES

1. **Rachie, KO and P Silvestre** In: Leakey CLA and JB Wills (Eds.). Food Crops of Lowland Tropics. London (U.K.), Oxford University Press, 1977. 345p.
2. **PROTA (Plant Research of Tropical Africa)**. Cereals and Pulses: In: Brink M and G Belay (Eds.). PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands, 2006:213-217.
3. **National Research Council (NRC)**. Lost Crops of Africa, Volume 2: Vegetables. Washington DC. The National Academies Press. 2006. Doi: 10.1722011-1763.
4. **Bamshaiye MO, Adebola JA and El Bamshaiye** Bambara groundnut: an under-utilized nut in Africa. *Adv. Agric. Biotech.* 2011; **1**: 60-72.
5. **Linnemann AR and SA Azam-Ali** Bambara groundnut [*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc]: Underutilised crop Series II:Pulses and Vegetables. Chapman and Hall, London. 1993: 13-57.
6. **Massawe FJ, Dickson M, Roberts JA and SN Azam-Ali** Genetic diversity in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc) landraces revealed by AFLP markers. Published on NRC Research press website at <http://genome.nrc.ca> Canada, 2002.
7. **Ihekoronye AI and PO Ngoddy** Integrated Food Science and Technology for the Tropics. University of Ibadan Press, Ibadan, 1985: 283p.
8. **Akpalu MMA, Tubilla IA and D Oppong-Sekyere** Assessing the level of cultivation and utilization of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (Verdc)) in the Sumburu community of Bolgatanga, Upper East Region of Ghana. *Int. J. Plt. Animal Env. Sci.* 2013; **3(3)**: 68-75.
9. **Kadams AM and AA Sajo** Variability and correlation studies in yield and yield components in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc). *J. Appl. Sci. Mgt.* 1998; **2**: 66-70.
10. **Jonah PM, Adeniji OT and DT Wammanda** Genetic correlations and path analysis in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) *J. Agric. Soc. Sci.* 2010; **6(1)**: 1-5.
11. **Mukumbira LM** Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and precious grain legume crop on maize yields. *Zimbabwe J. Agric.* 1985; **82**: 177-179.



12. **Karikari SK, Chaba O and B Molosiwa** Effects of intercropping Bambara groundnut on pearl millet, sorghum and maize in Botswana. *Afric. Crop Sci. J.* 1999; **7**: 143-152.
13. **Adeparusi EO and JO Agbede** Evaluation of Laucaena and Gliricidia leaf protein concentrate as supplement of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L) Verdc) in the diet of Tilapia Fish. *Agric. Nutri.* 2002; **12(2)**: 345-344.
14. **De Kock C** Lost crops of Africa, Volume II: Vegetables. The National Academies Press, Washington. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.17220/11763>
15. **Tomar NS, Nair, SK and CR Gupta** Character association and path analysis for yield components in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) *J. Spic. Arom. Crops.* 2005; **14(1)**: 75-77.
16. **Manohar-Rao A, Ventaka-Rao P, Narayana-Reddy Y and M Ganesh** Path coefficient analysis in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 2006; **404(4)**: 286-289.
17. **Akintunde AN** Path analysis step-by-step using Excel. *J. Tech. Sci. Technol.* 2012; **1(1)**: 9-15.
18. **Wright S** Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.* 1921; **20**: 557-585.
19. **Dewey DF and KH Lu** A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. *Agron. J.* 1959; **51**: 515-518.
20. **Li CC** An introduction to population genetics. National Peking University Press, Peiping. 1948:152pp.
21. **Singh RK and BD Chaudhary** Biometric methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, 1985:253-260.
22. **SAS (Statistical Analysis System).** SAS Statistics User's Guide, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. SAS Institute Inc., Carry, U.S.A. 1997:1028pp.
23. **Ariyo OJ, Aken'ova ME and GA Fatokun** Plant character correlations and path analysis of pod yield in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Euphytica.* 1987; **36**: 677-686.
24. **Tanimu B** Effects of sowing date, fertilizer levels and intra-row spacing on the agronomic characters and yield of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdcourt). Unpublished PhD Thesis, ABU, Zaria. 1996.

25. **Misangu RN, Azimo A, Reuben, SOWM, Kusalwa PM and LS Mulungu** Path coefficient analysis among components of yield in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc) landraces under screen house conditions. *J. Agron.* 2007; **6(2)**: 317-323.
26. **Ishaq MN, Echekwu CA, Olurunju PE, Gupta US and SM Misari** Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). *Nigerian J. Gen.* 2000; **15**: 22-28.
27. **Murtadha S, Ariyo OJ and OB Kehinde** Character association of seed yield and its components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Ogun J. Agric. Sci.* 2004; **3**: 222-232.
28. **Maunde SM, Tanimu B and M Mahmud** Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield characters in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc). *Afric. J. Env. Sci. Tech.* 2015; **9(1)**: 12-15.
29. **Wigglesworth DJ** The potential for genetic improvement of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) in Botswana. Proceedings of the International Bambara Groundnut Symposium, July 23-25, 1996, Azam-Ali, S.N., Sesay, A. and ST Collins (Eds.), University of Nottingham, UK, 1996: 181-191.
30. **Surbhi J, Singh PB and PP Sharma** Correlation and path analysis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Res.* 2016; **8(8)**: 35811-35813.
31. **Ado SG, Tanimu B, Echekwu CA and SO Alabi** Correlations and path analysis between yield and other characters in sunflower. *Nigerian J. Agron.* 1988; **3**: 1-4.
32. **Effa EM and AE Uko** Food security potentials of Bambara groundnut [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Verdc.]. *Int. J. Dev. & Sust.* 2017; **6(2)**: 1919-1930.