



*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

# vcemway: A one-stop solution for robust inference with multiway clustering

Ariel Gu  
Newcastle Business School  
Northumbria University  
Newcastle, UK  
ariel.gu@northumbria.ac.uk

Hong Il Yoo  
Durham University Business School  
Durham University  
Durham, UK  
h.i.yoo@durham.ac.uk

**Abstract.** Most Stata commands allow `cluster(varname)` or `vce(cluster clustvar)` as an option, popularizing the use of standard errors that are robust to one-way clustering. For adjusting standard errors for multiway clustering, there is no solution that is as widely applicable. While several community-contributed packages support multiway clustering, each package is compatible only with a subset of models that Stata’s ever-expanding library of commands allows the researcher to fit. We introduce a command, `vcemway`, that provides a one-stop solution for multiway clustering. `vcemway` works with any estimation command that allows `cluster(varname)` as an option, and it adjusts standard errors, individual significance statistics, and confidence intervals in output tables for multiway clustering in specified dimensions. The covariance matrix used in making this adjustment is stored in `e(V)`, meaning that any subsequent call to postestimation commands that use `e(V)` as input (for example, `test` and `margins`) will also produce results that are robust to multiway clustering.

**Keywords:** `st0582`, `vcemway`, `ivreg2`, `cmgreg`, `reghdfe`, `boottest`, two-way clustering, multiway clustering

## 1 Introduction

The use of one-way clustered standard errors in empirical research is now commonplace. Compared with usual heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, which assume the independence of regression errors across all observations, clustered standard errors offer an extra layer of robustness by allowing for correlations across observations that belong to the same cluster. In the analysis of a labor-force panel survey, for example, repeated observations on an individual may form a cluster, and standard errors may be clustered at the individual level to attain robustness to within-individual correlations over time. Cameron and Miller (2015) provide a masterful review of the methods and literature.

In recent years, the use of multiway clustered standard errors has also received growing attention. This extension robustifies one-way clustered standard errors further by allowing for other clusters within which regression errors may be correlated. For example, in the analysis of panel data on bilateral trade flows, two-way clustering may make standard errors robust to within-country correlation and within-country pair correlation over time (Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller 2011). In labor economics,

Dube, Lester, and Reich (2010, 2016) analyze earnings and employment data on pairs of U.S. counties that share state border segments, and they apply two-way clustering to account for within-state correlations and within-border segment correlations. In the analysis of firm-level panel data in finance, Thompson (2011) has pioneered the use of two-way clustering that accounts for within-firm correlations over time and within-time period correlations across firms. Gow, Ormazabal, and Taylor (2010) show that many well-known findings in the accounting literature may be sensitive to the use of two-way clustering that similarly accounts for within-firm and within-time period correlations.

Most Stata commands allow `cluster(varname)` or `vce(cluster clustvar)` as an option, facilitating and popularizing the use of one-way clustered standard errors. No similar one-stop solution is available for multiway clustering. Popular community-contributed commands such as `cmgreg` (Gelbach and Miller 2009), `ivreg2` (Baum, Schaffer, and Stillman 2002), and `reghdfe` (Correia 2014) support multiway clustering, but only with specific models (for example, `cmgreg` with `regress`; `ivreg2` with `ivregress`; and `reghdfe` with `areg`, `xtreg`, `fe`, and `xtivreg, fe`). The versatile `boottest` package (Roodman et al. 2019) supports multiway clustering with all aforementioned models as well as nonlinear models using `ml maximize`, but it still leaves out many commands that allow `cluster(varname)` as an option, such as `xtreg`, `re` and an ever-expanding library of community-contributed commands. Moreover, `boottest` does not store multiway clustered covariance matrices in `e(V)`, meaning that it does not adjust for multiway clustering to postestimation commands such as `margins` and `predictnl`.<sup>1</sup> In general, to apply robust inference with multiway clustering in a new context, Stata users may need to conduct a fresh search for a relevant command, which may not always exist.

In this article, we describe a simple approach to obtain almost any Stata command's output with multiway clustered standard errors, and we describe a new command, `vcemway`, that automates the process. The main idea is to use `ereturn repost` to replace an active output's covariance matrix, stored in `e(V)`, with its multiway clustered counterpart that has been computed by the researcher. Computing a multiway clustered covariance matrix is relatively straightforward when the researcher can use

---

1. The `boottest` package does not return an asymptotic covariance matrix in `e(V)` because it is primarily intended as a tool to implement a type of percentile-*t* bootstrapping. Percentile-*t* methods compute *p*-values and confidence sets based on the simulated distributions of relevant test statistics across bootstrapped samples. Many Monte Carlo studies suggest that in finite samples, percentile-*t* methods may produce *p*-values and confidence sets that are more accurate than their counterparts derived using asymptotic distributions. The researcher, however, needs to trade off the ease of use for this potential benefit. For example, `boottest` is incompatible with widely used postestimation commands as mentioned earlier, and it also displays results for different hypotheses in separate blocks of output similarly to Stata's native `test`. The latter feature may make it difficult to obtain an overview of the results when the model includes many coefficients and the researcher would like to test the individual significance of each coefficient. In addition, high computational costs may discourage the use of percentile-*t* bootstrapping when the researcher is working with many hypotheses, a large-sample size, and a nonlinear model that requires numerical methods for estimation. While `boottest` comes with a parallel command, `waldtest`, that computes asymptotic *p*-values and confidence intervals using analytic formulas without bootstrapping, `waldtest` also displays results for each hypothesis in a separate block of output and returns no `e(V)` that postestimation commands can access.

`cluster(varname)` to adjust for one-way clustering in each dimension of interest separately.

The command `vcemway` provides a one-stop solution for multiway clustering in Stata, comparable with what option `cluster(varname)` already provides for one-way clustering. Specifically, `vcemway` works with any estimation command that allows `cluster(varname)` as an option. It has an intuitive syntax diagram and adjusts standard errors, individual significance statistics, and confidence intervals in output tables for multiway clustering in specified dimensions. The covariance matrix used in making this adjustment overwrites the estimation command's  $\mathbf{e}(V)$ , meaning that any subsequent call to postestimation commands that use  $\mathbf{e}(V)$  as input (for example, `test`, `margins`, and `predictnl`) will also produce results that are robust to multiway clustering.

## 2 Adjusting for multiway clustering

To facilitate discussion, let us suppose that the researcher is interested in running a linear regression of outcome  $y$  on two regressors  $X$  and  $Z$  by executing `regress y X Z`. The researcher is also interested in adjusting standard errors for clustering in  $m$  nonnested dimensions, identified by variables `id1`, `id2`,  $\dots$ , `idm`, respectively. Let  $\mathbb{M}$  denote a set of all  $2^m - 1$  distinct combinations of the  $m$  cluster variable names, including singletons. For example, when  $m = 2$ , there are  $2^2 - 1 = 3$  elements in  $\mathbb{M}$ : `id1`, `id2`, and `(id1, id2)`. When  $m = 3$ , there are  $2^3 - 1 = 7$  elements in  $\mathbb{M}$ : `id1`, `id2`, `id3`, `(id1, id2)`, `(id1, id3)`, `(id2, id3)`, and `(id1, id2, id3)`. Extensions to  $m \geq 4$  are straightforward.

Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011) show that an asymptotically valid  $m$ -way clustered covariance matrix,  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$ , may be conveniently computed in Stata and other software packages that allow for one-way clustering. Specifically,  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  can be obtained by combining  $2^m - 1$  one-way clustered covariance matrices as follows:

$$\mathbf{V}_{mway} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{M}} (-1)^{1+|g|} \mathbf{V}_g \quad (1)$$

$\mathbf{V}_g$  is a covariance matrix adjusted for one-way clustering in “groups” formed by variables in  $g \in \mathbb{M}$ , and  $|g|$  is the number of variables listed in  $g$ . The “groups” in this context are defined in the sense of Stata’s `egen` function `group(varlist)`. For example, if  $g$  involves only one variable, say,  $g = \text{id1}$ , the groups coincide with the clusters identified by `id1`; executing `regress y X Z, cluster(id1)` stores  $\mathbf{V}_g$  in  $\mathbf{e}(V)$  of Stata’s `ereturn` results. If  $g$  involves two or more variables, say,  $g = (\text{id1}, \text{id2})$ , the groups can be identified by a new variable `id1.id2` generated using `egen id1.id2 = group(id1 id2)`; executing `regress y X Z, cluster(id1.id2)` stores  $\mathbf{V}_g$  in  $\mathbf{e}(V)$ .

To see the algebraic structure of (1) more clearly, let us examine two-way ( $m = 2$ ) and three-way ( $m = 3$ ) clustering in detail. A two-way clustered covariance matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{V}_{mway} = \mathbf{V}_{\text{id1}} + \mathbf{V}_{\text{id2}} - \mathbf{V}_{(\text{id1}, \text{id2})} \quad (2)$$

and a three-way clustered covariance matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{V}_{mway} = \mathbf{V}_{id1} + \mathbf{V}_{id2} + \mathbf{V}_{id3} - \mathbf{V}_{(id1,id2)} - \mathbf{V}_{(id1,id3)} - \mathbf{V}_{(id2,id3)} + \mathbf{V}_{(id1,id2,id3)}$$

As these examples illustrate, the multiplication factor  $(-1)^{1+|g|}$  in (1) means that  $\mathbf{V}_g$  is added to the sum when  $g$  involves an odd number of variables and subtracted from the sum when  $g$  involves an even number of variables.

$\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  can be used to construct Wald statistics in the usual manner to draw inferences robust to  $m$ -way clustering. To construct  $t$  statistics, the researcher can obtain  $m$ -way clustered standard errors by taking the square roots of the diagonal entries in  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$ . Let  $n_c$  denote the number of clusters identified by variable  $c \in \{id1, id2, \dots, idm\}$ , that is, the number of distinct values in variable  $c$ , and  $G$  denote the minimum of these  $m$  cluster sizes,  $G = \min(n_{id1}, n_{id2}, \dots, n_{idm})$ . As  $G$  grows arbitrarily large, the usual asymptotic distributions apply: a Wald statistic testing  $q$  restrictions is approximately  $\chi^2(q)$  distributed, and a  $t$  statistic is approximately standard normal. When  $G$  is small, there is no guaranteed method to improve finite-sample inference. One useful starting point would be to conduct  $F$  tests using  $F_{q,G-1}$  critical values in lieu of the asymptotic Wald tests using  $\chi^2(q)$  critical values and  $t$  tests using  $t_{G-1}$  critical values in lieu of the standard normal critical values (Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller 2011; Cameron and Miller 2015).

The results of Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011) allow the researcher to write a relatively simple Stata program to robustify test statistics to  $m$ -way clustering. As a minimal example, consider the following program, `mymway`, that adjusts for two-way clustering on `id1` and `id2`.

```
. program define mymway, eclass
1.      quietly regress y X Z, cluster(id1)
2.      matrix V_id1 = e(V)
3.      quietly regress y X Z, cluster(id2)
4.      matrix V_id2 = e(V)
5.      quietly regress y X Z, cluster(id1_id2)
6.      matrix V_id1_id2 = e(V)
7.      matrix V_mway = V_id1 + V_id2 - V_id1_id2
8.      ereturn repost V = V_mway
9.      ereturn scalar df_r = .
10.     regress
11. end
```

Lines 1–6 fit the linear regression model of interest, accounting for one-way clustering on each  $g \in \mathbb{M}$  and create matrices to store each  $\mathbf{V}_g$ . Line 7 combines the three stored matrices to compute an  $m$ -way clustered covariance matrix  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  using (1), or more directly (2). Line 8 is an important step that replaces the active covariance matrix `e(V)` in Stata's memory with `V_mway`; this allows the researcher to robustify test statistics produced by all existing postestimation commands, such as `test`, `margins`, and `predictnl`, to  $m$ -way clustering, without having to modify or rewrite such programs. Line 9 effectively sets the residual degrees of freedom to  $\infty$ , thereby requesting the use of asymptotic  $t$  tests and Wald tests; replacing `df_r = .` with `df_r = #` would request the use of  $t$  and  $F$  tests based on  $t_{\#}$  and  $F_{q,\#}$  critical values, where  $G - 1$  mentioned in

the preceding paragraph is one possible choice for integer  $\#$ . Finally, line 10 reports the output of **regress** that has been modified to include  $m$ -way clustered standard errors as well as the corresponding asymptotic  $t$  statistics (or “ $z$ ” statistics in Stata’s parlance) and confidence intervals. Once defined, the program can be executed by entering **mymway** at Stata’s command prompt.

While generalizing the minimal example to accommodate other estimation commands and clustering in  $m \geq 3$  dimensions is seemingly straightforward, there are several implementation issues that deserve close attention. First, repeatedly fitting the same model  $2^m - 1$  times may require substantial computer time, especially when the sample size is large or the estimation command of interest solves a complicated numerical optimization task. Whenever postestimation command **predict** can be used to compute observation-level residuals (for example, after **regress**) or scores (for example, after **ml**, **maximize**), Stata’s programming command **\_robust** can save time.<sup>2</sup> Using the observation-level residuals or scores, **\_robust** can compute a covariance matrix adjusted for one-way clustering on *varname*, even when the preceding estimation run did not specify **cluster(varname)** as an option. This allows the researcher to execute the time-consuming step of fitting the model only once and compute each  $\mathbf{V}_g$  subsequently via a simple algebraic task.

Second, the researcher should be on alert for the consequences of missing values in cluster identifiers. Continuing with the minimal example above, let us suppose that **id1** has missing values for one set of observations and **id2** has missing values for another set of observations, meaning their group variable **id1\_id2** has missing values for the union of the two sets. Then, each instance of **regress** in lines 1, 3, and 5 will use a different estimation sample, making the covariance matrix **V\_mway** in line 7 invalid. A similar problem arises for **\_robust** because each one-way clustered covariance matrix will be computed over a different set of observations. Stata command **markout** makes it easy to define an estimation sample that excludes observations with missing values in any cluster identifier.

Third, using a one-way clustered covariance matrix produced by Stata’s built-in **cluster(varname)** as  $\mathbf{V}_g$  is equivalent to adopting a particular small-cluster correction factor. As Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011) point out, when computing  $\mathbf{V}_g$ , Stata multiplies the underlying large-sample covariance formula by  $\{n_g/(n_g - 1)\} \times \{(N - 1)/(N - \#)\}$  to mitigate small-sample bias, where  $n_g$  is the number of clusters or groups identified by  $g \in \mathbb{M}$ ,  $N$  is the number of observations in the estimation sample, and  $\#$  is the number of estimated coefficients for some commands (for example, **regress**) and 1 for others (for example, **ml**, **maximize**). Our minimal example and its possible extensions therefore apply a potentially different correction factor to each component matrix  $\mathbf{V}_g$  of  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  in the same manner as community-contributed command **cmgreg** (Gelbach and Miller 2009). Of course, the researcher may choose to apply the same correction factor to all component matrices. For example, community-contributed command **reghdfe** applies a more conservative correction factor, specifically

2. The community-contributed command **reghdfe** (Correia 2014) exploits this advantage to allow for multiway clustering with **areg**, **xtreg**, **fe**, and **xtivreg**, **fe**. We thank an anonymous referee for alerting us to **reghdfe** and its use of **\_robust**.

$\{G/(G-1)\} \times \{(N-1)/(N-\#)\}$ , where  $G$  is the minimum cluster size defined above. Community-contributed command `ivreg2` also applies this conservative factor when option `small` is specified; otherwise, it uses a large-sample formula directly without any small-sample correction.

Fourth, Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011) point out that in some applications,  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  based on (1) may not be positive semidefinite. As a solution, they suggest that the researcher may replace negative eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  with 0s and reconstruct the covariance matrix using the updated eigenvalues and the original eigenvectors. By taking advantage of Stata's Mata environment, this approach can be implemented by inserting the following command lines between lines 7 and 8 in the minimal example.

```
. mata: syemeigensystem(st_matrix("V_mway"), EVEC = ., eval = .)
. mata: eval = eval :* (eval :> 0)
. mata: st_matrix("V_mway", EVEC*diag(eval)*EVEC')
```

In section 3, we will introduce the command `vcemway`, which generalizes the minimal example above, accounting for the major implementation issues. Before proceeding, remember that like one-way clustering, multiway clustering should be applied with careful attention to the model of interest. For example, in nonlinear models such as `probit`, the presence of cluster-specific random effects is a form of model misspecification that can render parametric estimators inconsistent; it would be inappropriate to consider `probit` with multiway clustered standard errors as a substitute for a more fundamental modeling solution such as the crossed random-effects probit model that `meprobit` supports. Cameron and Miller (2015, sec. VII.C) and references therein provide further information on cluster-robust inferences in nonlinear models.

Even for linear models, the potential limitations of multiway clustering should be recognized. A prominent example arises in the analysis of paired or “dyadic” data such as bilateral trade-flow data, where each observation is on a distinct country pair,  $\{A, B\}$ . While two-way clustering on variables identifying  $A$  and  $B$  adjusts for error correlation in  $\{\text{Australia, Canada}\}$  and  $\{\text{Australia, USA}\}$  and in  $\{\text{USA, UK}\}$  and  $\{\text{Canada, UK}\}$ , it fails to account for correlation in  $\{\text{Australia, USA}\}$  and  $\{\text{USA, UK}\}$ ; the last two country pairs share neither  $A$  nor  $B$  because  $\text{USA}$  appears in alternate positions. More general clustering methods for dyadic data have been developed by Aronow, Samii, and Assenova (2015) and Cameron and Miller (2014).

For linear models with multiway fixed effects, Correia (2015) advises that the researcher should drop singleton groups in each dimension of fixed effects iteratively until no singleton group remains, before clustering standard errors in those dimensions or upper dimensions that nest them (for example, county-level fixed effects and state-level clustering). Including singleton groups that comprise one observation may have undue effects on statistical inference by making small-sample correction factors smaller than otherwise, even though they have no effect on coefficient estimates and large-sample covariance formulas. Correia's (2015) community-contributed command `reghdfe` allows for multiway clustering for linear models with multiway fixed effects and automates this advice.

### 3 The `vcemway` command: A one-stop solution for multiway clustering

`vcemway` is a new community-contributed command that automates the multiway clustering approach described in section 2. The researcher can apply `vcemway` to any existing estimation command that allows one-way clustering via `cluster(varname)` as an option and obtain standard errors and a covariance matrix that have been adjusted for clustering in  $m \geq 2$  dimensions.

`vcemway` expands on our minimal example in section 2, `myway`, to provide a convenient tool that addresses the implementation issues that we had subsequently discussed. When `predict` can be applied to compute observation-level residuals or scores for the estimation command of interest, `vcemway` speeds up execution time by avoiding repeated estimation of the same model with iterative one-way clustering; instead, it uses `_robust` to obtain the component matrices  $\mathbf{V}_g$  of (1).<sup>3</sup> `vcemway` builds in a sample marker that ensures that every component matrix is computed using the same estimation sample, specifically a set of observations in which none of the  $m$  cluster identifiers is missing. When the resulting  $m$ -way clustered covariance matrix  $\mathbf{V}_{mway}$  is not positive semidefinite, `vcemway` reconstructs the matrix after replacing its negative eigenvalues with zeros and displays a telltale warning message. Finally, `vcemway` offers options that allow the researcher to choose his or her preferred small-sample adjustment factor and customize the residual degrees of freedom for  $t$  and  $F$  tests.

#### 3.1 Syntax

The syntax for `vcemway` is

```
vcemway cmdline_main, cluster(varlist) [vmcfactor(type) vmdfr(#)  
      cmdline_options]
```

#### 3.2 Options

`cluster(varlist)` accepts *varlist* that lists the names of  $m \geq 2$  variables that identify the clustering dimensions of interest. As we will explain shortly, the optional options `vmcfactor()` and `vmdfr()` allow the researcher to supply his or her preferred small-sample correction factor and residual degrees of freedom. In the remaining syntax diagram, *cmdline\_main* refers to the main component of an estimation command line that the researcher would like to execute, such as `xtreg y X Z`; and *cmdline\_options* refers to required and optional options in that command line, such as `re` and `nonest`. To complete this example, we see that executing `vcemway xtreg y X Z, cluster(id1 id2 id3) re nonest` will report a linear random-effects regres-

---

3. For some estimation commands (for example, `xtreg`, `re`), `predict` does not support an option that computes the observation-level residuals or scores. In such cases, `vcemway` still applies the repeated estimation approach as outlined in `myway`.



sion, with standard errors that have been adjusted for clustering in the three variables and computed using the default settings (see below) for the options `vmcfactor()` and `vmdf()`. `cluster()` is required.

`vmcfactor(type)` specifies the type of small-cluster correction factor that `vcemway` applies to the component covariance matrices  $\mathbf{V}_g$  of (1). *type* may be `default`, `minimum`, or `none`. Recall that each component matrix  $\mathbf{V}_g$  of (1) is a one-way clustered covariance matrix. When computing  $\mathbf{V}_g$ , Stata incorporates a small-sample correction factor of  $\{n_g/(n_g - 1)\} \times \{(N - 1)/(N - \#)\}$ , where  $n_g$  is the number of clusters or groups identified by  $g \in \mathbb{M}$ ,  $N$  is the number of observations in the estimation sample, and  $\#$  is the number of estimated coefficients for some commands (for example, `regress`) and 1 for others (for example, `ml`, `maximize`). The small-cluster correction factor henceforth refers to the first term in the product,  $n_g/(n_g - 1)$ .

Unless specified otherwise, `vcemway` assumes the `default` type that uses Stata's one-way clustered covariance matrices without further modification. That is, each  $\mathbf{V}_g$  incorporates its own small-cluster correction factor of  $n_g/(n_g - 1)$ .

The `minimum` type requests the use of a conservative correction factor that is identical across all component matrices. Specifically, every  $\mathbf{V}_g$  is recalculated by replacing  $n_g/(n_g - 1)$  with  $G/(G - 1)$ , where  $G$  is the size of the smallest clustering dimension. For instance, suppose that `cluster(id1 id2 id3)` has been specified and there are 180, 30, and 78 clusters in `id1`, `id2`, and `id3`, respectively.  $G$  will be 30 in this case.

Finally, the `none` type requests the use of no small-cluster correction. In this case, every  $\mathbf{V}_g$  is recalculated by replacing  $n_g/(n_g - 1)$  with 1.

`vmdf(#)` specifies the residual degrees of freedom for  $t$  and  $F$  tests. The default setting varies from command to command. In case the estimation command in question reports large-sample test statistics (for example, `ivregress` and `ml`, `maximize`),  $\#$  is set to missing so that the researcher can carry out the large-sample tests instead of  $t$  and  $F$  tests. In case the estimation command reports small-sample statistics (for example, `regress`),  $\#$  is set to  $(G - 1)$ , where  $G$  is the size of the smallest clustering dimension.

*cmdline\_options* specifies required and optional options in that command line, such as `re` or `fe`. To complete this example, we see that executing `vcemway xtreg y X Z, cluster(id1 id2 id3) re nonest` will report a linear random-effects regression, with standard errors adjusted for three-way clustering.

## 4 Examples

Consider `nlswork.dta` used by examples in Stata's help menu for `xtreg`. This file provides a panel dataset wherein variable `idcode` identifies individuals and variable `year` identifies survey years. We will fit a linear regression model that specifies the logarithm of an individual's wage (`ln_wage`) as a function of years of schooling (`grade`), work experience (`ttl_exp`), and squared work experience. The following example applies

`vcemway` with `regress` to compute the ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimates of this model with standard errors adjusted for two-way clustering in `idcode` and `year`.

```
. webuse nlswork
(National Longitudinal Survey. Young Women 14-26 years of age in 1968)
. vcemway regress ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(idcode year)

Linear regression                                Number of obs   =   28,532
                                                F(3, 4708)      =  1302.74
                                                Prob > F         =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3003
                                                Root MSE       =   .39996

                                (Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on idcode year)
```

ln_wage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
grade	.0733695	.0029983	24.47	0.000	.0669388	.0798002
ttl_exp	.0450585	.0075979	5.93	0.000	.0287627	.0613543
c.ttl_exp# c.ttl_exp	-.0006412	.0004239	-1.51	0.153	-.0015505	.0002681
_cons	.5140105	.0294174	17.47	0.000	.4509165	.5771046

Notes:

Std. Err. adjusted for 2-way clustering on idcode year

Number of clusters in idcode = 4709

Number of clusters in year = 15

Stata's default small-cluster correction factors have been applied. See  
> `vcemway` for detail.

Residual degrees of freedom for t tests and F tests = 14

F(,) and Prob > F above only account for one-way clustering on idcode.

Use test to compute F(,) and Prob > F that account for 2-way clustering.

At the end of the usual output table, `vcemway` includes notes to convey key information concerning its implementation.<sup>4</sup> While one cannot tell from the output log in black and white, the notes display `vcemway` and `test` as blue-colored hyperlinks to the relevant help files. Options `vmcfactor()` and `vmdfr()` will change these notes in an expected manner. For example, `vmcfactor(minimum)` will induce `vcemway` to report that The small-cluster correction factor is  $G/(G-1)$  where  $G = 15$ , the minimum of 2 cluster sizes. The null hypothesis for the joint test statistic (for example,  $F$  test above) that Stata reports as part of an output table is command specific, and automatically identifying the null is a difficult task; `vcemway` therefore does not attempt to update that part of Stata output. Nevertheless, because postestimation commands after `vcemway` will make use of a multiway clustered covariance matrix, the researcher can use `test` to carry out a multiway clustered version of the relevant joint hypothesis test.

4. After execution of `vcemway`, typing `estimates replay` or equivalent command lines will display the output table without the notes. Typing `vcemway` without any other component will redisplay the output table with the notes.

For comparison, consider the following two command lines that produce  $t$  statistics that are robust to clustering only in one of the two dimensions at a time.

```
. regress ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(idcode)
(output omitted)
. regress ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(year)
(output omitted)
```

With adjustment for one-way clustering on `idcode`, the  $t$  statistics are 33.83, 18.71,  $-4.32$ , and 19.31, from `grade` to `_cons`. With adjustment for one-way clustering on `year`, the  $t$  statistics are 31.07, 6.07,  $-1.56$ , and 27.25, in the same order. Thus, each two-way clustered  $t$  statistic, shown in the output table above, is smaller than either of its one-way clustered counterparts. In general, whether multiway clustering leads to smaller  $t$  statistics (or equivalently, larger standard errors) than one-way clustering is an empirical question that cannot be answered *ex ante*. Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2011, sec. 4) provide several empirical applications comparing two-way clustering to one-way clustering. In those applications, two-way clustered standard errors turn out to be larger than the average of two one-way clustered standard errors, and sometimes they also turn out to be larger than both one-way clustered standard errors as in the present example.

Applying `vcemway` alongside estimation commands that have more complex syntax diagrams is equally straightforward. For illustration, we will extend the OLS regression above to incorporate random effects at the individual level (that is, `idcode` level). The following example estimates the resulting random-effects model and adjusts its standard errors for two-way clustering in `idcode` and `year`. Because the model accounts for `idcode`-level random effects and `year` is not nested in `idcode`, the researcher must specify `xtreg`'s native `nonest` option to allow Stata to produce a covariance matrix adjusted for clustering in `year`.

```
. xtset idcode
      panel variable:  idcode (unbalanced)
. vcemway xtreg ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(idcode year)
> re nonest
Random-effects GLS regression              Number of obs   =    28,532
Group variable: idcode                    Number of groups  =     4,709
R-sq:                                     Obs per group:
      within = 0.1410                      min =           1
      between = 0.4042                     avg =          6.1
      overall = 0.2996                     max =          15
Wald chi2(3) =    3792.57
corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)                 Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
                                           (Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on idcode year)
```

ln_wage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
grade	.0726953	.0045887	15.84	0.000	.0637015	.081689
ttl_exp	.0475898	.0062452	7.62	0.000	.0353494	.0598302
c.ttl_exp# c.ttl_exp	-.0009575	.0003564	-2.69	0.007	-.0016559	-.000259
_cons	.5130608	.0542205	9.46	0.000	.4067905	.6193311
sigma_u	.2833309					
sigma_e	.29686061					
rho	.4766933	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

Notes:

Std. Err. adjusted for 2-way clustering on idcode year  
 Number of clusters in idcode = 4709  
 Number of clusters in year = 15

Stata's default small-cluster correction factors have been applied. See  
 > vcemway for detail.

chi2() and Prob > chi2 above only account for one-way clustering on idcode.  
 Use test to compute chi2() and Prob > chi2 that account for 2-way clustering.

For comparison, consider one-way clustered  $z$  statistics obtained by executing the following command lines:

```
. xtreg ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(idcode) re
(output omitted)
. xtreg ln_w grade ttl_exp c.ttl_exp#c.ttl_exp, cluster(year) re nonest
(output omitted)
```

With adjustment for one-way clustering on `idcode`, the  $z$  statistics are 35.06, 24.24,  $-8.94$ , and 19.89 from `grade` to `_cons`. With adjustment for one-way clustering on `year`, the  $z$  statistics are 15.92, 7.79,  $-2.73$ , and 9.47, respectively. As in the OLS example above, two-way clustering leads to more conservative inferences (that is, smaller  $z$  statistics) than either of the one-way clustering approaches.

## 5 Acknowledgments

We thank an anonymous referee for many useful references and constructive suggestions. We also thank Kenju Kamei for helpful discussion.

## 6 Programs and supplemental materials

To install a snapshot of the corresponding software files as they existed at the time of publication of this article, type

```
. net sj 19-4
. net install st0582      (to install program files, if available)
. net get st0582          (to install ancillary files, if available)
```

## 7 References

- Aronow, P. M., C. Samii, and V. A. Assenova. 2015. Cluster-robust variance estimation for dyadic data. *Political Analysis* 23: 564–577.
- Baum, C. F., M. E. Schaffer, and S. Stillman. 2002. ivreg2: Stata module for extended instrumental variables/2SLS and GMM estimation. Statistical Software Components S425401, Department of Economics, Boston College. <https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s425401.html>.
- Cameron, A. C., J. B. Gelbach, and D. L. Miller. 2011. Robust inference with multiway clustering. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 29: 238–249.
- Cameron, A. C., and D. L. Miller. 2014. Robust inference for dyadic data. <http://faculty.econ.ucdavis.edu/faculty/cameron/research/dyadic-cameron-miller-december2014-with-tables.pdf>.
- . 2015. A practitioner's guide to cluster-robust inference. *Journal of Human Resources* 50: 317–372.
- Correia, S. 2014. reghdfe: Stata module to perform linear or instrumental-variable regression absorbing any number of high-dimensional fixed effects. Statistical Software Components S457874, Department of Economics, Boston College. <https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s457874.html>.
- . 2015. Singletons, cluster-robust standard errors and fixed effects: A bad mix. <http://scoreia.com/research/singletons.pdf>.
- Dube, A., T. W. Lester, and M. Reich. 2010. Minimum wage effects across state borders: Estimates using contiguous counties. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 92: 945–964.
- . 2016. Minimum wage shocks, employment flows, and labor market frictions. *Journal of Labor Economics* 34: 663–704.

- Gelbach, J. B., and D. L. Miller. 2009. The community-contributed command cgmreg version 3.0.0. <http://cameron.econ.ucdavis.edu/research/cgmreg.ado>.
- Gow, I. D., G. Ormazabal, and D. J. Taylor. 2010. Correcting for cross-sectional and time-series dependence in accounting research. *Accounting Review* 85: 483–512.
- Roodman, D., J. G. MacKinnon, M. Ø. Nielsen, and M. D. Webb. 2019. Fast and wild: Bootstrap inference in Stata using boottest. *Stata Journal* 19: 4–60.
- Thompson, S. B. 2011. Simple formulas for standard errors that cluster by both firm and time. *Journal of Financial Economics* 99: 1–10.

**About the authors**

Ariel Gu is a lecturer in finance at Newcastle Business School, Northumbria University, UK.

Hong Il Yoo is an associate professor in economics at Durham University Business School, Durham University, UK.