

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Harvesting Trade Opportunities: Staggered DID of Impact and Structural Gravity of Future of EU-UK Agrifood Trade

Oleksa	ndr	She	potv	lo
--------	-----	-----	------	----

Selected presentation for the International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium's (IATRC's) 2023 Annual Meeting: The Future of (Ag-) Trade and Trade Governance in Times of Economic Sanctions and Declining Multilateralism, December 10-12, 2023, Clearwater Beach, FL.

Copyright 2023 by Oleksandr Shepotylo. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Harvesting trade opportunities

Staggered DID of impact and structural gravity of future of EU-UK agrifood trade

Oleksandr Shepotylo

December 12, 2023



Motivation

On 1st Jan 2021 UK left EU Customs Union and Single Market and started trading with the EU under the Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA)





entre for Business Prosperity



- Export and import with EU are down by 20% and 25% (Du, Shepotylo and Shi, 2023) - Agricuture and food products being most affected



Goals of the project

- We study the impact of deep free trade agreements (FTAs) on agrifood trade
- All trade agreements are not created equal, so we focus on heterogeneity of impact
 - as function of depth of the agreement
 - as function of legal enforceability of the agreement
- We use structural gravity model to develop counterfactual scenarios for UK agrifood trade under different policy scenarios



Structural estimation or causal inference approach?

- Existing literature uses **structural gravity model**: an average PTA effect of 23% increase in trade (Larch and Yotov, 2023), but there is heterogeneity across specific PTAs, sectors, and periods
- We use causal inference:
 - Apply difference in difference (Calloway and Sant'anna, 2021): staggered implementation of PTAs that stretches along a quarter of a century
 - Decompose the impact of PTAs on agrifood trade at extensive and intensive margins:
 - duration
 - timing
 - cohorts



Key findings

- Staggered difference in difference is well suited for studying the impact of PTAs on trade
- **Deeper and legally enforceable agreements** have a stronger and more robust impact on agrifood trade, especially at **extensive** margins
- Deep agreements with the EU generate most agrifood trade
- Deepening UK-EU TCA would help to **mitigate** the negative impact of Brexit on UK agrifood exports



Literature

- Prolifiration of deep free trade agreements (FTAs) in the last 25 years (Limao, 2016)
- New World Bank data on PTAs (Hofmann et al., 2019, Matoo et al., 2020)
- Heterogeneity of impact of PTAs (Baier et al, 2019; Larch and Yotov, 2023)
- Explosion of literature on causal inference, with focus on staggered implementation (Callaway and Sant'Anna, 2021)
- Structural gravity models (Anderson and van Wincoop, 2003; Head and Mayer, 2014; Anderson et al., 2018)



Data and methodology



Data

- Data from World Bank on 279 PTAs and COMTRADE exports data from 1995-2019 are used for analysis (Hofmann et al., 2019)
 - Use information on extent of agriculture and SPS provisions in PTAs
 - PTAs are classified into 4 categories: Agriculture, Agriculture Plus, Agriculture Extra, and SPS
 - Each type can be legally enforceable (LE) or not legally enforceable (NLE)
- Trade data 1995-2019 COMTRADE in HS Chapters 1-24
 - Animal (HS1-5)
 - Vegetable (HS6-14)
 - Fat (HS15)
 - Food (HS16-24)



Depth of PTAs

- PTAs are classified into 4 categories:
 - Agriculture
 - Agriculture Plus
 - Agriculture Extra
 - SPS



Legal enforceability of PTAs

- PTAs can be legally enforceable (LE) or not legally enforceable (NLE)
- Legal enforceability is measured by the presence of a dispute settlement mechanism (DSM) in the agreement

.



Methodology

- Derive estimated equations from a model with heterogeneous firms and agrgregate it up to extensive (number of varieties) and intensive (average export value) margins for agrifood trade (or its components) between country-pairs
 - Gravity model, Poisson Pseudo Maximum Likelihood (PPML) estimator (Silva and Tenreyro, 2006)
- Use staggered implementation of PTAs to estimate the impact of PTAs on agrifood trade (Callaway and Sant'Anna, 2021)
 - Event study approach
 - Cohorts of agreements
 - Duration of agreements
- Use structural gravity model to simulate impact of counterfactual scenarios for UK agrifood trade under different policy scenarios (Anderson et al., 2018)



Model

- Firms are heterogeneous in productivity and markets are monopolistically competitive
- There are fixed costs of exporting
- Trade in good g from i to j at time t is described by a gravity equation

$$x_{ij,t}^g = N_{i,t}^g {\left({{ ilde \phi }_{ij,t}^g}
ight)^{ - heta}} A_{j,t}^g {\left({ au _{ij,t}^g}
ight)^{ - heta}}$$



Aggregation

Aggregation to a product group G:

$$ext{Export}_{ij,t}^G = N_{i,t}^G {\left({{ ilde \phi }_{ij,t}^G}
ight)^{ - heta}} A_{j,t}^G {\left({ au _{ij}^G}
ight)^{ - heta}}$$

• Extensive margins of trade

$$ext{EM}_{ij,t}^G = \sum_{g \in G} \mathbb{1}(x_{ij,t}^g > 0) = N_{i,t}^G \Big(\phi_{ij,t}^G\Big)^{- heta}$$

• Intensive margins of trade

$$ext{IM}_{ij,t}^G = rac{ ext{Export}_{ij,t}^G}{ ext{EG}_{ij,t}^G} = A_{j,t}^G \Big(au_{ij}^G\Big)^{- heta}$$



Trade policy

- PTAs impact variable and fixed costs of exporting
- Variable costs

$$au_{ij,t}^G = \gamma PTA_{ij,t} + au_{ij}^G + au_{j,t}^G + e_{ij,t}^G$$

Fixed costs

$$ilde{\phi}_{ij,t}^G = \kappa PTA_{ij,t} + f_{ij}^G + f_{j,t}^G + arepsilon_{ij,t}^G$$



Structural estimation: gravity model of trade



Structural estimation reslts

Notes: Standard errors clustered at country pair is in parentheses Panels B and C also control for PTA, Agri and SPS, but the estimated coefficients are not included due to space limits. + p<0.1, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

					•	' '	, ,		
	Total	Animal	Food	Total EXT	Animal EXT	Food EXT	Total INT	Animal INT	Food INT
A: SPS									
PTA	.382**	.007	.536**	.041	.106	.010	.253	.337	.343*
	(.124)	(.115)	(.187)	(.061)	(.103)	(.079)	(.205)	(.259)	(.162)
SPS	.229**	.460**	.149*	.167**	.223**	.122**	.057	.297**	.004
	(.053)	(.101)	(.067)	(.020)	(.027)	(.020)	(.059)	(.091)	(.065)
B: Agri	Extra								
Agriculture Extra	.169**	.107	.221**	.121**	.187**	.099**	.139**	.125*	.069
	(.049)	(.075)	(.061)	(.018)	(.025)	(.018)	(.053)	(.062)	(.067)
C: LE									
Agri Extra LE	.107+	.152	.251**	.205**	.394**	.119**	.180*	.286**	.228**
	(.061)	(.099)	(.069)	(.025)	(.036)	(.025)	(.072)	(.094)	(.081)
SPS LE	.086	.163	.021	.043	.086*	.031	019	.017	Aston University Cer Bus
									BIRMINGHAM UK Pro

Total	Animal	Food	Total EXT	Animal EXT	Food EXT	Total INT	Animal INT	Food INT
(.069)	(.133)	(.083)	(.029)	(.042)	(.030)	(.090)	(.116)	(.121)



Heterogeneity of impact

- Impact of PTA is highly heterogeneous
- Only few agreements generate positive impact
- Long tail of agreements with no impact

Aston University

BIRMINGHAM UK

Centre for Business Prosperity

Individual agreements

Standard errors clustered at country pair in parentheses. + p<0.1, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

Variable	Coef.	SE	Variable	Coef.	SE
China - Macao, China	-1.552	(.305)**	Turkey - Malaysia	1.203	(.325)**
EC- Palestinian Authority	-1.274	(.688)+	EFTA	1.21	(.266)**
Georgia - Turkmenistan	-1.271	(.181)**	EFTA - Accession of Iceland	1.212	(.266)**
EFTA - Mexico	-1.187	(.399)**	Turkey - Syria	1.214	(.354)**
EFTA - Macedonia	-1.012	(.343)**	Japan- Switzerland	1.219	(.250)**
EFTA - Tunisia	-1.002	(.261)**	Chile-Korea	1.278	(.288)**
EFTA - Albania	-0.973	(.290)**	Dominican Republic - Central America	1.317	(.354)** Aston University Cent Busin



Variable	Coef.	SE	Variable	Coef.	SE
Hong Kong, China - Chile	-0.847	(.250)**	EFTA - Montenegro	1.418	(.310)**
China-Peru	-0.828	(.283)**	Panama - Singapore	2.072	(.571)**
EFTA - Egypt	-0.778	(.719)	Ukraine - Montenegro	4.759	(.888)**



Causal inference approach: staggered difference-in-difference



Event study approach

- Look at intensive and extensive margins
- Agri Extra and SPS LE have strongest impact
- Cumulative effect increases over time at extensive margin

Aston University

BIRMINGHAM UK

Centre for
Business
Prosperity

Calendar approach

- Impact is pro-cyclical
- Strongest impacts 2004-2009 and 2014-2019
- Poor estimations for 1995-2003



Aston University

BIRMINGHAM UK

Centre for
Business
Prosperity

Cohort approach

- Strongest cohorts are 2004 and 2007
- EU enlargement in 2004 and 2007
- Strong extensive margin effect



Simulations: UK trade policy scenarios post Brexit



Counterfactual scenarios

Scenario	Withdrawal from EU	New PTA agreement with EU	PTA coef
1	Yes	None	0
2	Yes	PTA EU	0.221
3	Yes	Veterinary agreement	0.248
4	Yes	Agriculture Extra EU	0.382
5	Yes	Agriculture Extra LE EU	0.623
0	No	EU membership	0.89



UK agrifood trade under different policy scenarios: Structural gravity simulation results

Outcome	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 5
	Hard Brexit	TCA	VA	Agri Extra	Agri Extra LE
Producer price change, %	2.67	2.00	1.92	1.51	2.02
Value added in agriculture change, %	-0.58	-0.38	-0.36	-0.26	-0.14
Agrifood export change, %	-52.59	-43.38	-42.10	-35.24	-20.88
Agrifood import change, %	-2.55	-1.46	-1.36	-0.90	4.13
Intranational trade change,	8.10	5.77	5.50	4.21	4.68



Conclusions

- Staggered difference in difference is well suited for studying the impact of PTAs on trade
- **Deeper and legally enforceable agreements** have a stronger and more robust impact on agrifood trade, especially at extensive margins
- UK should **deepen** its trade agreement with the EU to **Agri Extra LE** to mitigate the negative impact of Brexit on UK agrifood exports



Questions and comments?

- Oleksandr Shepotylo, Aston Business School, Centre for Business Prosperity: <u>link</u>
- Email: o.shepotylo@aston.ac.uk
- Twitter (X): @shepotylo

