

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

The War in Ukraine Disrupts Agricultural Value Chains, but Trade Policy Measures Can Mitigate the Impacts

Maksym Chepeliev, Maryla Maliszewska, and Maria Filipa Seara e Pereira

Selected presentation for the International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium's (IATRC's) 2022 Annual Meeting: Transforming Global Value Chains, December 11-13, 2022, Clearwater Beach, FL.

Copyright 2022 by Maksym Chepeliev, Maryla Maliszewska, and Maria Filipa Seara e Pereira. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.





Agricultural Economics College of Agriculture

Global Trade Analysis Project

The War in Ukraine Disrupts Agricultural Value Chains, but Trade Policy Measures Can Mitigate the Impacts

Maksym Chepeliev,* Maryla Maliszewska** and Maria Filipa Seara e Pereira*

*Purdue University, **The World Bank

2022 IATRC Annual Meeting 11-13 December, 2022

Center for Global Trade Analysis Department of Agricultural Economics, Purdue University 403 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2056 USA

contactgtap@purdue.edu http://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu

Outline

- The importance of Black Sea region and mounting price pressure
- The War in Ukraine is disrupting commodity markets
 - There are winners and losers
- The impact of war is exacerbated in many other ways
 - Adverse weather events
 - Energy sanctions on Russia
- Conclusion

The Black Sea region is an important commodity supplier

Ukraine's and Russia's share of global trade, 2018-2020



Russia's share in global fuel production (2017)



Top potash fertilizer producers in 2021



Country

Source: USGS 2022 Mineral Commodity Summary Note: Volumes are USGS estimates.

Source: Bloomberg (2022)

Price pressure has been mounting long before the war



European & US Natural Gas*



Corn, Wheat (\$US/bushel)



Metals Index** (2016=100)







Source: Green Markets, Bloomberg

"Soaring Fertilizer Prices Are About to Increase the Cost of Food," by Elizabeth Elkin. Bloomberg News (March 1, 2022).

Source: Kammer et al. (2022)

The direct impact of war is exacerbated in many ways

Increasing demand following recovery from the Covid pandemic

• Growing demand for energy, transportation services

Adverse weather events are impacting yields worldwide:

- Devastating floods in South Sudan
- Heat waves in India
- Droughts in North America and Europe (e.g. France)
- Drought stress and heat waves in Brazil (heavy crop losses in the Southern region and part of the Brazilian Midwest)

Evolution of the share of global trade, in calories, impacted by export restrictions



X-axis shows the week of the year. 1= first week of the year. Data extracted from the Export restriction tracker on April 12th 2022.

Chart: David Laborde • Source: IFPRI

High fertilizer and energy prices -> impacting agricultural production costs

Food export restrictions put pressure on global food security:

For instance, India announced in May that it is shutting down all grain exports as a domestic food security measure

U.S. Drought Monitor has been showing mounting pressure



Data valid: December 6, 2022



Source: https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/

Intensity and Impacts





- Delineates dominant impacts
 S - Short-term impacts, typically less than 6 months (agriculture, grasslands)
 L - Long-term impacts, typically greater than 6 months (hydrology, ecology)

SL - Short- and long-term impacts

Global drier conditions have been observed

Rainfall (percent of average) in the 6 months ending 31 May 2022



Source: World Food Program https://reliefweb.int/report/ukraine/global-climate-context-ukraine-war-june-2022

Adverse weather impacted crop production perspectives



Source: World Food Program <u>https://reliefweb.int/report/ukraine/global-climate-context-ukraine-war-june-2022</u>

Broader context of the war and potential response policies remain largely unexplored

- Several earlier studies have explored potential implications of the war:
 - Adverse impacts for food security over 47 mln could suffer from acute hunger (WFP, 2022).
 - Countries in the Global South are particularly vulnerable to the agricultural supply chain disruptions (e.g. Glauber et al., 2022; Ali et al., 2022).
 - Importance of interdependencies across countries (Hellegers, 2022).
 - The war has winners and losers (Chepeliev et al., 2022).
 - Specific policy actions can be implemented to ease the adverse impacts of the war (Ben Hassen and El Bilalli, 2022; Osendarp et al., 2022).

• Focus of this study:

- Quantify implications of the war for agricultural trade and value chains.
- Take into account a broader context of sanctions, climate impacts and trade restrictions.
- Provide quantitative assessment of the potential policy measures that could mitigate the adverse implications of the war in Ukraine.

ENVISAGE CGE model is used for the assessment

Global computable general equilibrium (CGE) model

• Static version -> short/mid-term analyzes (van der Mensbrugghe, 2019)

Coupled with a GTAP MRIO database

Distinguishes bilateral trade a tariff flows by agents (end-users) (Carrico et al., 2020)

Enriched with nutritional module

- An approach traces changes in food, calories, fats, proteins and carbohydrates supply along the global value chains (Chepeliev, 2022).
- Model aggregation: 25 activities and 23 regions.

Scenario framework

- **1.** *Country-specific agricultural productivity shock*: 35% reduction in supply of crops in Ukraine (USDA, 2022).
- 2. Restrictions on exports of crops from India (PIIE, 2022).
- 3. An increase in the price of imported fertilizer: 50% growth (Baffes and Chian Koh, 2022).
- **4.** Agricultural productivity shock due to adverse weather (2%) -> FAO (2022) projected a decline in global cereal output of 1.7% in 2022 (wrt 2021) -> should be further refined.
- 5. Economy-wide productivity shock in the Black Sea Region corresponding to GDP declines.
- 6. Restrictions on exports of electronics to Russia.
- **7.** *Restrictions on imports of metals and chemicals* from Russia and Belarus by the Western European countries (PIIE, 2022).
- 8. Restrictions on imports of fossil fuels from Russia by the US and UK (PIIE, 2022).
- **9.** Restrictions in the global fossil fuel supply (15% downward shift) reflecting supply adjustments by the OPEC aimed at limiting supply and price controls (CNBC, 2022).
- **10. Restrictions on energy imports by the EU** from Russia: 40% reduction in natural gas imports and 90% reduction in petroleum products imports (Chepeliev et al., 2022b).

Tariff liberalization (elimination of import tariffs on agricultural and food commodities)

Trade facilitation measures (reduction in NTBs, on average range between 12% and 16%)

Direct agricultural and food shocks

Weather and fertilizer-related shocks

Energy-related shocks and trade restrictions

GVC-friendly policies

11

Net agricultural and energy importers are hit hardest



Notes:

- (1) Agricultural shock: 35%
 reduction in crops supply from
 Ukraine; selected agricultural
 export restrictions.
- (2) Fertilizer and climate shock:
 50% increase in price of imported fertilizer; global agricultural productivity shock of 2%.
- (3) Other shocks: restrictions on imports of selected commodities from Russia, including metals, chemicals and fossil fuels; EU energy import restrictions; global fossil fuel supply restrictions.

Countries underlined blue are net agricultural importers and those underlined red are net energy importers.

Change in real income in selected countries and regions, percent (decomposed across scenarios)

Impacts of the war are exacerbated by other shocks



Notes:

- (1) Agricultural shock: 35% reduction in crops supply from Ukraine; selected agricultural export restrictions.
- (2) Fertilizer and climate shock: 50% increase in price of imported fertilizer; global agricultural productivity shock of 2%.
- (3) Other shocks: restrictions on imports of selected commodities from Russia, including metals, chemicals and fossil fuels; EU energy import restrictions; global fossil fuel supply restrictions.

Change in agricultural and food exports across countries and regions, million USD

Reduction in domestic food supply is a key driver of impacts in developing countries



Changes in kcal and carbohydrates supply across most-impacted developing countries and regions under the "UkrWar" scenario (all shocks combined)

Agricultural commodities become more integrated into global values chains (when price effect is accounted for)



Notes:

- GVC participation is estimated as a sum of forward and backward GVC participation rates (measured as a share of global exports).
- Forward GVC participation = Domestic value added embodied in third country exports (percent of exports).
- Backward GVC participation = imported inputs in exports (percent of exports).
- Percent changes are measured relative to the reference level of the GVC participation rate.

Change in global average GVC participation rate across sectors and a reference level of GVC participation, percent

Developing countries and regions benefit the most from tariff reductions and trade facilitation measures



Change in real income in selected countries and regions following and implementation of trade policies, percent

GVC-friendly policies increase food availability



Impacts of trade policies on the value of exports (panel a) and caloric food supply (panel b)

GVC-friendly policies also boost countries' integration into agricultural and food value chains



Impacts on agricultural and food GVCs following an implementation of trade policies

Notes: Panel (a) reports changes in forward and backward participation rates across countries and regions. Changes are reported in percentage points and percent change relative to the reference participation rate under the "UkrWar" scenario. GVC participation is measured as a share of global exports of all goods and services. Panel (b) provides estimates of changes in global GVC participation rates across agricultural and food sectors. GVC participation is measured as a share of country's sectoral exports.

Conclusions and policy implications

- It is important to consider a broad context of the ongoing policies and market distortions
 - Indirect impacts are of a higher magnitude than direct implications.
 - War in Ukraine is putting disproportionate pressure on low-income households in developing countries (targeted support measures might be needed).
 - Agricultural trade restrictions should be avoided.
- Trade facilitation and tariff reduction measures could help to improve food security
 - If implemented, such measures could fully overweigh implications of the war in Ukraine and that of a broader disruptions
 - Such measures can increase food availability, benefit incomes and boost integration of agricultural commodities into global value chains



Thank you!

mchepeli@purdue.edu

Center for Global Trade Analysis Department of Agricultural Economics, Purdue University 403 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2056 USA

Stay Connected with GTAP! www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu



References

Ali, A. A., Azaroual, F., Bourhriba, O., & Dadush, U. 2022. The Economic Implications of the War in Ukraine for Africa and Morocco. Policy Center for the New South, PB-11/22, February.

Baffes, J., and Chian Koh, Wee. 2022. Fertilizer prices expected to remain higher for longer. World Bank Blogs. <u>https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/fertilizer-prices-expected-remain-higher-longer</u>

Ben Hassen, T.; El Bilali, H. 2022. Impacts of the Russia-Ukraine War on Global Food Security: Towards More Sustainable and Resilient Food Systems? *Foods* 2022, *11*, 2301. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11152301</u>

Bloomberg. 2022. Russia Jolts Global Fertilizer Market by Seeking End to Exports. <u>https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2022-03-04/russia-calls-on-domestic-fertilizer-producers-to-halt-exports</u>

Carrico, C., E. Corong, and D. van der Mensbrugghe. 2020. The GTAP version 10A Multi-Region Input Output (MRIO) Data Base. GTAP Memorandum 34. Center for Global Trade Analysis, Purdue University. <u>https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/resources/download/10043.pdf</u>

Chepeliev, M. 2022. Incorporating Nutritional Accounts to the GTAP Data Base. Journal of Global Economic Analysis, 7(1), 1–43. https://doi.org/10.21642/JGEA.070101AF

Chepeliev, M, M Maliszewska and M Seara e Pereira. 2022a. Effects on trade and income of developing countries, in M. Ruta (ed.), *The Impact of the War in Ukraine on Global Trade and Investment*, World Bank Trade Investment and Competitiveness Report. <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/37359</u>

Chepeliev, M., Hertel, T., & van der Mensbrugghe, D. 2022b. Cutting Russia's fossil fuel exports: Short-term economic pain for long-term environmental gain. *The World Economy*, 00, 1– 30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.13301</u>

CNBC. 2022. OPEC+ to cut oil production by 2 million barrels per day to shore up prices, defying U.S. pressure. https://www.cnbc.com/2022/10/05/oil-opec-imposes-deep-production-cuts-in-a-bid-to-shore-up-prices.html

References (cont.)

Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO). 2022. World Food Situation. Cereal production, utilization, stocks, and trade all forecast to contract in 2022/23. <u>https://www.fao.org/worldfoodsituation/csdb/en/</u>

Glauber, J. and Laborde, D. 2022. How will Russia's invasion of Ukraine affect global food security? FPRI Blog: Issue Post. https://www.ifpri.org/blog/how-will-russias-invasion-ukraine-affect-global-food-security

Hellegers, P. Food security vulnerability due to trade dependencies on Russia and Ukraine. Food Sec. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-022-01306-8

Osendarp, S.; Verburg, G.; Bhutta, Z.; Black, R.E.; de Pee, S.; Fabrizio, C.; Headey, D.; Heidkamp, R.; Laborde, D.; Ruel, M.T. Act now before Ukraine war plunges millions into malnutrition. Nature 2022, 604, 620–624.

Peterson Institute for International Economics (PIIE). 2022. Russia's war on Ukraine: A sanctions timeline. https://www.piie.com/blogs/realtime-economics/russias-war-ukraine-sanctions-timeline

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2022. Crop Explorer - World Agricultural Production (WAP) Briefs - Ukraine, Moldova and Belarus. https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/cropexplorer/pecad_stories.aspx?regionid=umb&ftype=prodbriefs

van der Mensbrugghe, D. 2019. The Environmental Impact and Sustainability Applied General Equilibrium (ENVISAGE) Model. Version 10.01. Center for Global Trade Analysis, Purdue University. <u>https://mygeohub.org/groups/gtap/envisage-docs</u>

World Food Programme (WFP). 2022. Projected increase in acute food insecurity due to war in Ukraine. https://www.wfp.org/publications/projected-increase-acute-food-insecurity-due-war-ukraine