

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. Visited States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service P.O. Box 2890 Washington, D.C. 20013

August 19, 2013

Economics Technical Note No.: 200-ECN-1 Subject: ECN – Basic Economics Analysis Using T-Charts

Purpose. To distribute the attached Technical Note, "Basic Economics Analysis Using T-Charts."

Effective Date. Effective upon receipt.

**Explanation.** This technical note is one of a series — providing information on carrying out economics analysis utilizing T-charts. T-charts are a simple way to conduct economic analysis through partial budgeting. A T-chart systematically identifies only the benefits and costs that change, in each alternative. This technique simplifies data collection and analysis. The T-chart also describes the resource setting, resource concerns, and the conservation system.

**Distribution.** This directive is available on the NRCS Electronic Directives System Web site at <a href="http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/">http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/</a>.

**Filing Instructions.** Due to printing and distribution costs, the availability of this information is limited to electronic format.

**Contact.** For assistance, contact center economists in each national technology support center or Kalven Trice, senior economist, at (501) 351-7783.

LESIA

Deputy Chief for Strategic Planning and Accountability

C. Wayne Honeycutt, Ph.D. Deputy Chief for Science and Technology

Attachment

DIST: R, S, L, and National Center Directors

Helping People Help the Land An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer

United States Department of Agriculture NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE Economics Technical Note No.: TN 200-ECN-1 August 2013

## ECONOMICS TECHNICAL NOTE

# **Basic Economic Analysis Using T-Charts**

Ву

Hal Gordon, WNTSC Economist

NRCS assists land owners in managing natural resources on private land. Our core "product" is a conservation plan that provides a roadmap to solve natural resource problems on private land. The most successful conservation plans address the landowner's resource concerns while maintaining farm or ranch viability. Therefore, it is the policy of the NRCS that economic principles are included in all planning and agency resource allocation activities (Title 200, General Manual, Part 400, Subpart A).

Most landowners want to know the benefits and costs of their conservation plan before they make important land use decisions. The level of economic detail depends upon the client, but basic economic information is something most NRCS employees can easily provide.

A good conservation plan utilizing the "nine steps" planning process clearly and concisely presents technical and economic information to the landowner. To fully inform the landowner of the potential benefits and costs of the plan, the conservation planner's responsibilities include—

- Documenting environmental, social and economic effects in the planning process.
- Identifying physical and monetary benefits of implementing conservation systems.
- Identifying negative impacts and costs of conservation systems.

#### **Benefit and Cost Analysis**

The goal of a conservation plan is for benefits to exceed costs. Benefits and costs can be quantitative and qualitative. If a monetary value cannot be assigned, the environmental or social benefit or cost should be quantified and included in the analysis. Economic analysis requires four steps:

- 1. Estimate Costs
- 2. Estimate Benefits
- 3. Convert to "Like Terms"
- 4. Compare Costs and Benefits

There are two <u>benefit</u> subcategories: *Increased Revenue* and *Reduced Costs*. Increased revenue may include increased crop yields, livestock production, and hunting fees. Reduced costs may include fewer passes over the field or less labor. There are two <u>cost</u> subcategories: *Increased Costs* and *Reduced Revenue*. Increased costs include purchasing equipment, materials, or hiring more labor. Reduced revenue may include land taken out of production or reduced crop yields. Be

aware that some nonmonetary values, such as improved wildlife habitat or pretty landscapes, may be a benefit to one individual and a cost to another. For example, an increase in waterfowl may be a benefit to a bird watcher or duck hunter, but a cost to a farmer experiencing excessive crop losses, trespass, or land lost to wetland. Once costs and benefits are estimated, convert them to the same units over the same time period. You cannot compare benefits and costs unless they are reduced to the same terms. Typically, benefits and costs are summarized as dollars per acre per year (\$/acre/year).

#### **Partial Budgeting**

Partial budgeting is a method that systematically displays the benefits and costs of an alternative where only changes from the baseline (or current) condition are considered. This technique simplifies data collection. For example, only the costs and beneficial impacts of installing a conservation practice are considered in the analysis, rather than gathering information about the whole farm enterprise where the practice is installed.

#### **T-Chart**

A simple way to conduct economic analysis through partial budgeting is with a T-chart. A T-chart systematically identifies only the benefits and costs of a conservation alternative. This technique simplifies data collection and analysis. The T-chart also describes the resource setting, resource concerns and the conservation system. The best information used in the T-chart comes from your client, a discipline specialist's recommendations, and technical references.

Name: Location: Date: Conservation Treatment:	<b>Resource Concerns/Benchmark Condition:</b>
Positive Effects	<u>Negative Effects</u>

#### **T-Chart**

There can be three levels of analysis using the T-chart:

- Level I Includes only qualitative statements
- Level II Qualitative statement plus units of measurement and dollars
- Level III Complete economic or financial analysis

The conservation planner should complete as many T-chart levels as they are comfortable with and then request assistance if the decision maker needs additional analysis. The planner only develops enough information for the client to make an informed decision. The decision maker may lose interest if too much irrelevant information is provided and waste planner's time. A T-chart can be developed on whatever media the decision maker finds most useful.

#### **T-Chart Example**

The following example demonstrates how to use a T-chart to analyze the benefits and costs of a conservation system. The level-I T-chart below displays a list of benefits and costs without units of measure or dollars. The qualitative statements identify the "effects" of the conservation system on addressing the resource concerns. Level I may contain enough information for some decision makers to make a decision, but most land users ask for more information.

Name: Sandy Clayton	<b>Resource Concerns/Benchmark Condition:</b>						
Location: Columbia Basin, Oregon	600 acres of cropland producing 70 bushels wheat and						
<b>Date:</b> 2008	50 bushels barley per acre in a two year rotation.						
	Conventional tillage, nutrient and pest management.						
	Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil						
	Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction,						
	Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and						
	Wildlife.						
<b>Conservation Treatment:</b>							
Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/S	pring Barley)						
Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)							
Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)							
Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)							
<b>Positive Effects</b>	Negative Effects						
<u>Reduced Costs</u>	Increased Costs						
<u>Reduced Costs</u> Change in Crop Rotation	<u>Increased Costs</u> No-Till Drill						
Change in Crop Rotation	No-Till Drill						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied	No-Till Drill Pest Management						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field	No-Till Drill Pest Management						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u> Wheat yield increase	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u>	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u> Wheat yield increase Financial Assistance Payment	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u> Wheat yield increase Financial Assistance Payment <u>Other</u>	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u> Wheat yield increase Financial Assistance Payment <u>Other</u> Improved soil and water quality	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						
Change in Crop Rotation Decreased fertilizer applied Reduce six tillage passes over the field Reduce fuel and labor <u>Increased Revenue</u> Wheat yield increase Financial Assistance Payment <u>Other</u>	No-Till Drill Pest Management Nutrient/Fertilizer Management <u>Reduced Revenue</u>						

#### T-Chart, Level I, Cropland – Soil Quality Improvement

Level II includes units of measure and dollar estimates of the conservation "effects." The decisionmaker may still not be able to make a decision because all the units are not in similar terms (same denominator). The cost of the No-Till Drill is in \$/each while the other values are in \$/acre/year.

Name: Sandy Clayton	Resource Concerns/Benchmark Condition:								
Location: Columbia Basin, Oregon									
-	600 acres of cropland producing 70 bushels wheat and 50 bushels barley per acre in a two year rotation.								
<b>Date:</b> 2008	Conventional tillage, nutrient and pest management.								
	Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil								
	Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction,								
	Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and								
	Wildlife.								
Conservation Treatment:									
Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/S	pring Barley)								
Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)									
Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)									
Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)									
<b>Positive Effects</b>	<b>Negative Effects</b>								
<u>Reduced Costs</u>	Increased Costs								
• Change in Crop Rotation = <b>\$25/ac/yr</b>	• No-till drill equipment = <b>\$25,000/Drill</b>								
2 -year Conventional Rotation Net Returns	(not included in crop budgets)								
Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr	• Pest Management \$10.10/ac/yr								
Spring Barley <u>\$50/ac/yr</u>	• Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = <b>\$2/ac/yr</b>								
\$75/ac/yr									
3-year No-Till Rotation	<u>Reduced Revenue</u>								
Winter Wheat \$130/ac/yr	Possible lost aftermath grazing								
Canola \$100/ac/yr									
Spring Barley <u>\$70/ac/yr</u>									
\$100/ac/yr									
Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/ac									
Reduce six tillage passes over the field									
Reduce fuel and labor									
Increased Revenue									
• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)									
• Financial Assistance Payment <b>\$10/ac/yr</b>									
<u>Other</u>									
<ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> </ul>									
• Upland bird habitat improvement									
Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr	Total Dollar Costs = \$2/ac/yr plus								
i otai Donai Denentis – \$55/aC/yi	\$25,000/Drill								
	₹ <b>25,000/D</b> riii								

#### T-Chart, Level II, Cropland – Soil Quality Improvement

Level III has converted all benefits and costs to similar terms. The cost of the No-Till Drill has been amortized from a one-time cost to an annual payment. Now all costs and benefits are in similar terms and can be compared by the decision maker.

### T-Chart, Level III, Cropland – Soil Quality Improvement

Name:       Sandy Clayton         Location:       Columbia Basin, Oregon         Date:       2008         Subscription       600 acres of cropland producing 70 bushels wheat and 50 bushels harley per acre in a two year rotation. Conventional tillage, nutrient and pest management. Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil         Conservation Treatment:       Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley)         Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)       Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.         Positive Effects       Negative Effects         Reduced Costs       Nereased Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation       Net Returns: Non-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$100/ac/yr         Nutrient Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$20/ac/yr         Stor and Labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue       > Sol/ac/yr         • What yield increase (no estimate available)         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         • What yield increase (no estimate available)         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr      <		
Date: 2008       50 bushels barley per acre in a two year rotation. Conventional tillage, nutrient and pest management. Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction, Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.         Conservation Treatment: Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley) Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till) Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids) Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)         Reduced Costs       Negative Effects         Conservation Treatments: Winter Wheat       Negative Effects         Nutrient Management (Pertilizer Management)       Negative Effects         Vinter Wheat       \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley       No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr (tot included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)         Pest Management \$100/ac/yr Canola       \$100/ac/yr \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr         Nutrient/Fertilizer Management       \$100/ac/yr Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fitel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Interased Revenue • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         • Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		
Conventional tillage, nutrient and pest management. Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction, Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.Conservation Treatment: Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley) Residue Management (Fertilizer Management)Negative EffectsReduced Costs • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr 2-year Conventional Rotation Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr 3-year No-Till Rotation Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$100/ass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$520/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)Interwent #10/ac/yr Possible lost grazing opportunitiesIncreased Revenue • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available) • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr • Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Location: Columbia Basin, Oregon	· · · ·
Resource concerns include: Sheet & Rill Soil Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction, Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.         Conservation Treatment: Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley) Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till) Pest Management (Carasses and Aphids) Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)       Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.         Positive Effects       Negative Effects         Reduced Costs • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Betwee factilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.575.Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce fact illage passes over the field: \$100/ass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce fact and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr         Increased Revenue • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available) • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue • Upland bird habitat improvement       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	<b>Date:</b> 2008	
Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction, Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley)Reduced CostsNegative EffectsNegative EffectsIncreased Costs• No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 0% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr (not included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)• Storac/yr Spring BarleyStorac/yr Storac/yr Spring BarleyIncreased Costs• No-Till Rotation Winter Wheat\$130/ac/yr \$100/ac/yrPest Management \$10.10/ac/yr• No-Till Collac/yr explained below)Positive Effects• Winter Wheat\$130/ac/yr \$100/ac/yr· Notifilizer Management \$2/ac/yr • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management \$2/ac/yr• Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.57/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)Pest Management \$10/ac/yr • Possible lost grazing opportunitiesIncreased Revenue• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)· Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr • Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr• Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		
Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and Wildlife.         Conservation Treatment:         Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley)         Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)       Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)         Nutrient Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)       Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)         Positive Effects         Negative Effects         Reduced Costs       Increased Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr       Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr       No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. loan, 6% interest, 600 Acros = \$9,900/ac/yr         (not included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)       • Pest Management \$10.10/ac/yr         3-year No-Till Rotation       \$130/ac/yr       • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr       • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr         Pest Management \$20 Lbs N/Ac       20 Dbs/Ac * \$.75.Db / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr       Pest Management §10.10/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue       • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate ava		
Wildlife.Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Conservation Treatment:Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)Pest Management (Fertilizer Management)Notitive EffectsNegative EffectsNotal Net ReturnsWinter Wheat\$100/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrReduce fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yrNetwork fertilizer Management \$10.10/ac/yrNutrient/Fertil		Erosion, Organic Matter Depletion, Compaction,
Conservation Treatment:         Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley)         Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)         Positive Effects         Negative Effects         No-Till Doltar Costs = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr         No-Till Doltar Costs = \$2,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr         No-Till Rotation         Winter Wheat \$130/ac/yr         Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr         \$100/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/ac		Surface Water Contaminants, Plant Productivity, and
Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/Canola/Spring Barley)       Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)         Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)       Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)         Positive Effects       Negative Effects         Reduced Costs       Negative Effects         Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr       No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$100/ac/yr         Octraced fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       No-Till Drill = \$2/ac/yr         Bulce and labor       \$100/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       Reduce fuel and labor         (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Passes)         Increased Revenue       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Other       Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr		Wildlife.
Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)         Post Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids)         Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)         Positive Effects         Reduced Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr         2-year Conventional Rotation       Net Returns         Winter Wheat       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         3-year No-Till Rotation       No Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr (not included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)         3-year No-Till Rotation       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management \$10.10/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         St00/ac/yr       Reduced Revenue         Obcycares & \$7.5/Lb / 3 Yrs       \$S20/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue       Negative Effects         Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	<b>Conservation Treatment:</b>	
Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphids) Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)       Negative Effects         Positive Effects       Negative Effects         Reduced Costs       Increased Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr 2-year Conventional Rotation Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr S100/ac/yr       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management \$\$10.10/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr       Reduced Revenue       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue       • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         Increased Revenue       • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         • Upland bird habitat improvement       • Upland bird habitat improvement       • Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Conservation Crop Rotation (Winter Wheat/C	Canola/Spring Barley)
Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Management)         Positive Effects       Negative Effects         Reduced Costs       Increased Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr       >100/ac/yr <u>Spring Barley</u> \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         * 75/ac/yr       \$75/ac/yr         3-year No-Till Rotation       \$130/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         \$100/ac/yr       > Pest Management \$10.10/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       > Possible lost grazing opportunities         \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr       Reduce fuel and labor         (included in the reduced tillage passes)       Increased Revenue         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Residue Management (Direct Seed/No-Till)	
Negative EffectsReduced CostsNegative Effects• Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr 2-vear Conventional Rotation Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr Spring Barley \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$100/ac/yr Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$100/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)Increased Costs • No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr • Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr• Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)• Possible lost grazing opportunitiesIncreased Revenue • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available) • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr• Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Pest Management (Annual Grasses and Aphie	ds)
Reduced Costs       Increased Costs         • Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr       No-Till Drill = \$25,000, amortized at 5 Yr. Ioan, 6% interest, 600 Acres = \$9.90/ac/yr (not included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         3-year No-Till Rotation       \$130/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         Stillov/ac/yr       Nutrient/Fertilizer Management \$10.10/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr         Reduced Revenue       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr       • Possible lost grazing opportunities         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)       • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr       • Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Nutrient Management (Fertilizer Managemen	t)
<ul> <li>Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr</li> <li><u>2-year Conventional Rotation</u> Net Returns Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr 3-year No-Till Rotation Winter Wheat \$130/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr %100/ac/yr</li> <li>Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce sit tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)</li> <li><u>Increased Revenue</u></li> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> </ul>	Positive Effects	Negative Effects
<ul> <li>Change in Crop Rotation = \$25/ac/yr</li> <li><u>2-year Conventional Rotation</u> Net Returns Winter Wheat \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$50/ac/yr 3-year No-Till Rotation Winter Wheat \$130/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley \$70/ac/yr %100/ac/yr</li> <li>Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce sit tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)</li> <li><u>Increased Revenue</u></li> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> </ul>		
2-year Conventional Rotation       Net Returns         Winter Wheat       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$50/ac/yr         \$75/ac/yr       \$75/ac/yr         3-year No-Till Rotation       \$130/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue       •         •       Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)         •       Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other       •         •       Improved soil and water quality         •       Upland bird habitat improvement         Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		Increased Costs
Winter Wheat\$100/ac/yr(not included in crop budgets, amortization explained below)Spring Barley\$50/ac/yr\$75/ac/yr3-year No-Till RotationWinter Wheat\$130/ac/yrWinter Wheat\$130/ac/yrNutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yrWinter Wheat\$100/ac/yrNutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrNutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yrSpring Barley\$70/ac/yrPest Management \$10.10/ac/yrDecreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yrPossible lost grazing opportunitiesReduce six tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yrPossible lost grazing opportunitiesIncreased RevenueImproved fullage passes)Improved fullage passes)Increased RevenueNutrientse available)Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yrOtherImproved soil and water qualityTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		
Spring Barley\$50/ac/yr \$75/ac/yrexplained below)3-year No-Till Rotation Winter Wheat\$130/ac/yr \$75/ac/yr CanolaPest Management \$10.10/ac/yrWinter Wheat\$130/ac/yr \$100/ac/yr \$100/ac/yrNutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yrDecreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)Possible lost grazing opportunitiesIncreased RevenueIncreased RevenuePossible lost grazing opportunities• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available) • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yrIntercovent \$10/ac/yrOther • Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		
<ul> <li>Pest Management \$10.10/ac/yr</li> <li>Pest Management \$10.10/ac/yr</li> <li>Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr</li> <li>Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = \$2/ac/yr</li> <li>Reduced Revenue</li> <li>Possible lost grazing opportunities</li> <li>Possible lost grazing opportunities</li> <li>Possible lost grazing opportunities</li> <li>Possible lost grazing opportunities</li> </ul>	Winter Wheat \$100/ac	
<ul> <li><u>3-year No-Till Rotation</u> Winter Wheat \$130/ac/yr Canola \$100/ac/yr Spring Barley <u>\$70/</u>ac/yr \$100/ac/yr</li> <li>Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)</li> <li><u>Increased Revenue</u></li> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li><u>Other</u></li> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> </ul>	Spring Barley <u>\$50/</u> ac	
Winter Wheat       \$130/ac/yr         Canola       \$100/ac/yr         Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         \$100/ac/yr       \$100/ac/yr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr         Reduce six tillage passes over the field:       \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue       •         Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)         Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other         •       Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr	\$75/ac	
Canola\$100/ac/yrReduced RevenueSpring Barley\$70/ac/yr• Possible lost grazing opportunitiesDecreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr• Possible lost grazing opportunities20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yrReduce six tillage passes over the field:• Possible lost grazing opportunities\$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yrReduce fuel and labor• Possible lost grazing opportunities(included in the reduced tillage passes)Increased Revenue• Possible lost grazing opportunities• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)• Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr• Upland bird habitat improvementInproved soil and water quality• Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yrTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	3-year No-Till Rotation	• Nutrient/Fertilizer Management = <b>\$2/ac/yr</b>
Spring Barley       \$70/ac/yr         \$100/ac/yr       Possible lost grazing opportunities         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac       20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr         Reduce six tillage passes over the field:       \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor       (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue       •         •       Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)         •       Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other       •         •       Improved soil and water quality         •       Upland bird habitat improvement         Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Winter Wheat \$130/ac	⊳/yr
\$100/ac/yr         Stordactyr         Stordactyr         Stordactyr         Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac         20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr         Reduce six tillage passes over the field:         \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor         (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other         • Improved soil and water quality         • Upland bird habitat improvement         Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr	Canola \$100/ac	
Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac 20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes) <u>Increased Revenue</u> • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available) • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr <u>Other</u> • Improved soil and water quality • Upland bird habitat improvement Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Spring Barley <u>\$70/</u> ac	• Possible lost grazing opportunities
<ul> <li>20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr</li> <li>Reduce six tillage passes over the field: \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr</li> <li>Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)</li> <li><i>Increased Revenue</i></li> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li><i>Other</i></li> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>	\$100/ac	/yr
Reduce six tillage passes over the field:         \$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr         Reduce fuel and labor         (included in the reduced tillage passes)         Increased Revenue         • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)         • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr         Other         • Upland bird habitat improvement         Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr    Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Decreased fertilizer applied 20 Lbs N/Ac	
<ul> <li>\$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)</li> <li><u>Increased Revenue</u> <ul> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Other</u> <ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> </ul> </li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>	20 Lbs/Ac * \$.75/Lb / 3 Yrs = \$5/ac/yr	
Reduce fuel and labor (included in the reduced tillage passes)Increased Revenue• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)• Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yrOther• Improved soil and water quality• Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yrTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Reduce six tillage passes over the field:	
(included in the reduced tillage passes)   Increased Revenue   • Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)   • Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr   Other   • Improved soil and water quality   • Upland bird habitat improvement   Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr   Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	\$10/Pass * 6 Passes / 3 Yrs = \$20/ac/yr	
Increased Revenue• Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)• Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yrOther• Improved soil and water quality• Upland bird habitat improvementTotal Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yrTotal Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr	Reduce fuel and labor	
<ul> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li>Other         <ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> </ul> </li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>	(included in the reduced tillage passes)	
<ul> <li>Wheat yield increase (no estimate available)</li> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li>Other         <ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> </ul> </li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Financial Assistance Payment \$10/ac/yr</li> <li>Other         <ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> </ul> </li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>		
Other         • Improved soil and water quality         • Upland bird habitat improvement         Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr         Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		ole)
<ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>	• Financial Assistance Payment <b>\$10/ac/yr</b>	
<ul> <li>Improved soil and water quality</li> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>	Other	
<ul> <li>Upland bird habitat improvement</li> <li>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr</li> <li>Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr</li> </ul>		
Total Dollar Benefits = \$35/ac/yr       Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr		
	- Optand one naonat improvement	
\$35/ac/vr Total Benefits - \$22/ac/vr Total Costs = \$13/ac/vr Net Benefits	<b>Total Dollar Benefits = \$35</b> /ac/yr	Total Dollar Costs = \$22/ac/yr
$\varphi = \varphi =$	\$35/ac/yr Total Benefits - \$	22/ac/yr Total Costs = \$13/ac/yr Net Benefits

Now that all the conservation "effects" are in similar terms, the decisionmaker can compare the benefits and costs and make an informed decision. In this case, the monetary benefits are greater than the costs (net benefits are positive) and the decisionmaker should feel comfortable adopting the example conservation system from an economic perspective. However, economics is only one factor in decisionmaking. The land user should also consider environmental and social effects and how this conservation system fits into the overall agricultural operation before making a decision.

#### Amortization

The process of amortization is simply converting a one-time value to an annual value. Four pieces of information are required for amortization: 1) initial cost, 2) interest (bank loan) rate, 3) life of the loan (years), and 4) an amortization table (or equation). In our example the No-Till Drill cost \$25,000. If the farmer could get a loan for \$25,000 from the bank at 6-percent interest, over 5 years, the amortization factor would be 0.237 (from the amortization table below where the interest column intersects with the year row). Multiplying 0.237 by \$25,000 results in an annual cost of \$5,940/year. Dividing the \$5,940 by 600 acres gives the No-Till Dill a cost of \$9.90/acre/year. (Note: this table is for "yearly" payments; a similar table is available for "monthly" payments).

LIFE	% INTEREST RATE												
YEARS	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
2	0.523	0.530	0.538	0.545	0.553	0.561	0.568	0.576	0.584	0.592	0.599	0.607	0.615
3	0.354	0.360	0.367	0.374	0.381	0.388	0.395	0.402	0.409	0.416	0.424	0.431	0.438
4	0.269	0.275	0.282	0.289	0.295	0.302	0.309	0.315	0.322	0.329	0.336	0.343	0.350
5	0.218	0.225	0.231	0.237	0.244	0.250	0.257	0.264	0.271	0.277	0.284	0.291	0.298
6	0.185	0.191	0.197	0.203	0.210	0.216	0.223	0.230	0.236	0.243	0.250	0.257	0.264
7	0.161	0.167	0.173	0.179	0.186	0.192	0.199	0.205	0.212	0.219	0.226	0.233	0.240
8	0.142	0.149	0.155	0.161	0.167	0.174	0.181	0.187	0.194	0.201	0.208	0.216	0.223
9	0.128	0.134	0.141	0.147	0.153	0.160	0.167	0.174	0.181	0.188	0.195	0.202	0.210
10	0.117	0.123	0.130	0.136	0.142	0.149	0.156	0.163	0.170	0.177	0.184	0.192	0.199
11	0.108	0.114	0.120	0.127	0.133	0.140	0.147	0.154	0.161	0.168	0.176	0.183	0.191
12	0.100	0.107	0.113	0.119	0.126	0.133	0.140	0.147	0.154	0.161	0.169	0.177	0.184
13	0.094	0.100	0.106	0.113	0.120	0.127	0.134	0.141	0.148	0.156	0.163	0.171	0.179
14	0.089	0.095	0.101	0.108	0.114	0.121	0.128	0.136	0.143	0.151	0.159	0.167	0.175
15	0.084	0.090	0.096	0.103	0.110	0.117	0.124	0.131	0.139	0.147	0.155	0.163	0.171
16	0.080	0.086	0.092	0.099	0.106	0.113	0.120	0.128	0.136	0.143	0.151	0.160	0.168
17	0.076	0.082	0.089	0.095	0.102	0.110	0.117	0.125	0.132	0.140	0.149	0.157	0.165
18	0.073	0.079	0.086	0.092	0.099	0.107	0.114	0.122	0.130	0.138	0.146	0.155	0.163
19	0.070	0.076	0.083	0.090	0.097	0.104	0.112	0.120	0.128	0.136	0.144	0.153	0.161
20	0.067	0.074	0.080	0.087	0.094	0.102	0.110	0.117	0.126	0.134	0.142	0.151	0.160
25	0.057	0.064	0.071	0.078	0.086	0.094	0.102	0.110	0.119	0.127	0.136	0.145	0.155
50	0.039	0.047	0.055	0.063	0.072	0.082	0.091	0.101	0.111	0.120	0.130	0.140	0.150
100	0.032	0.041	0.050	0.060	0.070	0.080	0.090	0.100	0.110	0.120	0.130	0.140	0.150

**Amortization Table - Yearly** 

#### **Economic Analysis versus Financial Analysis**

Economic analysis answers the question: Is it <u>profitable</u>? Financial analysis determines if it is <u>affordable</u>. This distinction is important. An activity may be economically justified but not a financially wise thing to do. Economic analysis compares the benefits and costs over the life of the alternative, where financial analysis compares the benefits and costs over the life of the finance period (such as a bank loan).

For example, if a No-Till Drill has a useful farm life of 20 years and the farmer can get a bank loan (discount rate) at 6 percent (amortization factor = 0.087), then the "economic" cost of the drill is \$2,175/year (or if divided by 600 acres in crop production \$3.63/acre/year). If the bank offered a 5-year loan, the "financial" cost of the drill would be \$9.90/acre/year (recognizing that the drill will continue to provide benefits for 15 years beyond when the loan is paid). If the No-Till Drill created benefits of \$8.00/acre/year, the purchase of the drill would be "economical" but fall short "financially," and possibly create a cash flow concern until the 5-year loan is paid. Conservation program financial assistance may be available to minimize cash flow problems while adopting conservation activities.