

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Josieh C. Folsom

Issued November 9, 1912.

RECEIVED

JUN 1 6 1948

St2B 103 U.S U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF STATISTICS-BULLETIN 94. VICTOR H. OLMSTED, Chief of Bureau.

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

BY

GEORGE K. HOLMES. Chief of Division of Production and Distribut



WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1912.



Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

ORGANIZATION OF BUREAU OF STATISTICS.

Statistician and Chief: VICTOR H. OLMSTED. Associate Statistician: NAT C. MURRAY. Assistant Statistician: SAMUEL A. JONES. Chief Clerk: FRANK G. KELSEY.

Division of Domestic Crop Reports: FRED J. BLAIR, Statistical Scientist in Charge. Division of Production and Distribution: GEORGE K. HOLMES, Statistical Scientist in Charge.

Division of Research and Reference: CHARLES M. DAUGHERTY, Statistical Scientist in Charge.

Crop Reporting Board:

VICTOR H. OLMSTED, Chairman: NAT C. MURRAY, GEORGE K. HOLMES; one or more persons called in from the corps of special field agents and State statistical agents.

Issued November 9, 1912.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF STATISTICS — BULLETIN 94. VICTOR H. OLMSTED, Chief of Bureau.

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

BY

GEORGE K. HOLMES, Chief of Division of Production and Distribution.



WASHINGTON: GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1912.

•

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Statistics,

Washington, D. C., June 30, 1912.

SIR: I have the honor to transmit herewith the results of an examination of the farm labor situation in respect to the supply of such labor, presented with a large collection of information bearing on this subject. This is the first comprehensive treatment of farm labor in the aspect of supply that has been published, and is a survey of the situation that should be illuminating to the public.

It is respectfully recommended that this report be published as Bulletin 94 of this bureau.

Very respectfully,

VICTOR H. OLMSTED, Chief of Bureau.

Hon. JAMES WILSON, Secretary of Agriculture.

3

6

ADDITIONAL COPIES of this publication may be procured from the SUPPRINTEND-ENT OF DOCUMENTS, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., at 10 cents per copy

.

	Page.
Element of agricultural occupations	9
Industrialism causes relative diminution	9
Various countries compared	9
Eventual equilibrium	11
TABLE 1.—Total of agriculture, forest work, and fisheries as an	
element of all occupations, in specified countries	11
The United States	11
Agriculture as a percentage	12
Number of persons in agricultural occupations	12
Agricultural laborers.	12
Negroes.	13
Outdoor labor of women	13
TABLE 2.—Number and percentage of persons 10 years old and	
over employed in all gainful occupations and in agriculture	
for all races and for negroes by sex, for specified censuses	14
Geographic divisions	15
Women and negroes in agriculture	16
Agricultural laborers	16
TABLE 3 — Number of persons 10 years old and over employed	10
in agriculture for all races and for negroes by sex and by	
States and geographic divisions consuses of 1880 1800 and	
1000	17
A grigultural accumptions as parcentages	20
Percentage of pogroes in agriculture	20
Percentage of activative laborers	21
Percentage of agricultural laborers	21
Percentage of memory	22
Tencentage of women.	44
TABLE 4.—Relation, by percentages, of agricultural to all other	
gamful occupations, and of agricultural laborers to all agricul-	
tural occupations, in number of persons to years old and over	
employed, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States	00
and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900	23
Our litition of work since 18/1.	27
Conditions of half a century ago.	27
Reduction of women's work to domestic affairs	29
Changes in geographic distribution	29
TABLE 5.—Relative importance of geographic divisions in number of	
agricultural laborers, census of 1870; of male agricultural laborers,	
censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900	30
Element of foreign born in total white laborers.	30
TABLE 6.—Number of white and of white foreign born agricultural	
laborers, and percentage of white foreign born of total white, by	
States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1890 and 1900	31
5	

Element of agricultural occupations—Continued.	Page.
Number of laborers with foreign parentage	31
TABLE 7.—Number of agricultural laborers of both sexes with foreign	
parentage, by principal foreign countries, and by States and geo-	
graphic divisions, census of 1900	32
Percentage of laborers with foreign parentage	34
Relative contributions of principal countries	34
TABLE 8.—Percentage of agricultural laborers of both sexes with	
foreign parentage of the total agricultural laborers, by principal	
foreign countries, and by States and geographic divisions, cen-	07
Sus of 1900.	35
Supply by immigration at low ebb.	30
Practing miningrants on the fand	30
Now York's farm amployment office	30 97
Agricultural colonies	2-
TABLE 9Immigration and its distribution to agriculture by the Bureau	37
of Immigration and Naturalization	37
TABLE 10 -Labor sent to farmers by the New York Department of Agri-	57
culture	38
Nearby cities as affecting farm wage rates.	38
Opposite effects discovered	38
Glamour of the city	38
TABLE 11.—Average wage rates of outdoor labor of men on farms.	
by States, 1909. Comparison of counties containing cities of	
more than 25,000 population with rest of State	39
Effect of industrial, urban, and productive conditions	40
Nonagricultural employments not decisive	41
The problem has other elements.	41
The urban problem	41
Wages related to the product	42
TABLE 12.—Comparison of average wage rates of outdoor labor of men	
on farms, per month in hiring by the year and season, and per day for	
day labor other than harvest work, with board, 1899, 1902, and 1909,	
with industrial, urban, and productive conditions in 1900, by States	
and geographic divisions.	43
Movement of prices of farm products.	44
Upward movement of wages more steady.	44
TABLE 13.—Prices index numbers, and average farm prices of farm	15
products and animals, total for the United States, 1866–1909	40
TABLE 14.—Comparative prices index numbers, and comparative	
average farm prices of farm products and animals, total for the Officed	47
Productivity of labor	48
Land area and the worker	48
Relative importance of farms of specified acreages	48
TABLE 15 — Percentage of farms classified according to the total of	10
improved and unimproved acreage, censuses of 1880–1910, by	
States and geographic divisions.	50
Average workers per farm and acres per worker.	52
TABLE 16.—Ratio of agricultural workers to improved area of	
farms, 1880, 1890, and 1900, by States and geographic divisions.	53

Productivity of labor-Continued.	Page.
Machinery as a substitute for labor	53
Increase of value	53
Average value per farm	54
Average value per worker	54
TABLE 17.—Value of implements and machinery on farms, as as-	
certained by the census, and average per farm and per agricul-	
tural worker, 1880–1910, by States and geographic divisions	55
National agricultural surplus	57
Exports and their tendency	57
Summary	58
Sufficiency of agricultural ability	59
Indictment of the farmer and his methods	59
Farmers' fear of overproduction	59
Hand and machine labor contrasted	59
Bureau of Labor investigation	59
Barley as a sample of results	60
Corn	60
Wheat	61
Hay	61
Economic power of horse and mule	61
TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor	62
Horse work in Minnesota	70
Average time of work	70
Cost of maintaining a horse	70
Equivalence of horse and human labor	70
TABLE 19.—Average number of hours worked daily on week days	
by horses on three farms in Minnesota, by months, average of	
1902–1907	71
TABLE 20.—Average annual cost of maintaining a farm work	
horse on four farms in Minnesota	71
TABLE 21.—Cost of horse labor per hour on four farms in Minne-	
sota	71
TABLE 22.—Annual cost of labor of horse and man compared, in	
Minnesota	72
Intensive agriculture as a source of wages	72
Higher rates and command of the best labor	72
Reports of State statistical agents	72
Local correspondents	73
Length of employment.	75
Higher rates of wages go with shorter periods	75
Predominance of season over year.	75
TABLE 23.—Percentage of male outdoor laborers on farms and of	
female domestics on farms, hired at a monthly rate, who are so	
nired for the entire year, by States and geographic divisions,	70
1909.	70
Small movement of normanent regidents	70
Tomporary workers	70
City disqualifications	11
Quality of labor required	79
Wide range of knowledge	79
and the go of another to ago the test of test	.0

•

	Page.
Supply of farm tenants.	78
Rise from hire to tenancy	78
Supply of owners.	79
Advancement from wage labor	79
TABLE 24.—Wage labor on farms, farm tenancy and farm ownership,	
ability of workers to rise, by States, 1909	80
Prospects of the future.	80
Holding country population to the soil.	80
Forces at work to preserve national self-sufficiency	80

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

ELEMENT OF AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS.

INDUSTRIALISM CAUSES RELATIVE DIMINUTION.

VARIOUS COUNTRIES COMPARED.

Farm labor in this country has presented the problem of a diminishing supply relative to population since the days of original settlement. It is the old familiar feature of the industrial nations of the world. Until recent years, the problem was almost entirely confined to the quantity of the supply, but, during the last decade or two it has assumed a new phase in which not only the amount of the supply relatively has almost critically declined, but the quality has almost absolutely declined, or has failed in an important degree to keep pace with the need for labor, more skill, and more intelligence.

The United States is in the class of industrial nations whose agriculture is still relatively important. To this class belong France, Germany, the Netherlands, and Switzerland. From the census statistics of this and many other countries it is not possible to determine directly and fully the fraction of the entire population that is agricultural. The nonworking members of agricultural families are not included in the count.

The census enumerators of the various countries report the number of persons employed in the adopted classifications of occupations with varying restrictions or liberality in admitting the persons to occupational enrollment. In consequence of differences of practice in census-taking countries the number of persons recorded as being engaged in agriculture constitute percentages of the entire populations of the various countries, which are not fairly comparable because of the varying elements of qualification to admission to the occupational list. If, however, the entire population be excluded from consideration and attention be restricted to the occupational list, the persons reported engaged in agriculture may be represented as a percentage of all persons having occupations with a considerable degree of comparability among the various countries.

Table 1 has been prepared to exhibit the percentage of persons in all occupations who are engaged in agriculture, forest work, and

fishing, in various countries for which census statistics are available, and for as many censuses as possible for each country. A rough comparison of countries may be permissible in this table, but a more trustworthy comparison is justified in the case of each country in its series of censuses. From the earliest to the latest census there is a trend in the percentage of the number of persons of all occupations who are engaged in agriculture, forest work, and fishing, and this trend, with hardly an exception, is toward smaller percentages.

In the United States in 1870 47.8 per cent of all persons having gainful occupations were employed in agriculture, forest work, and fishing; in 1880 the percentage had declined to 44.6; in 1890 to 37.9, and in 1900 to 35.9. These percentages are probably a little too low, especially the earlier ones, for the reason that enumerators have often reported agricultural laborers merely as laborers and thus prevented their inclusion in the agricultural occupations.

The persons in Germany included in these three general classes of occupations in 1882 were 43.4 per cent of the total number of persons in all occupations; the percentage had fallen to 37.5 in 1895, and by 1907 it dropped to 35.2 per cent. For France the figures are 44 per cent for 1891; 44.3 per cent for 1896, and 41.8 per cent for 1901, the decline being slightly arrested in the second year mentioned. A decrease in the percentage is observable also for the Netherlands; from 1889 to 1899 the fraction of these three groups of occupations fell from 32.7 per cent to 30.7 per cent.

Much smaller percentages and also declining ones are found in the United Kingdom, except in Ireland. In England and Wales, in 1881, the persons counted as belonging to these three groups of occupations were only 12.4 per cent of the number of persons in all occupations; the percentage fell to 10.4 in 1891, and to 8 in 1901. These are percentages for a country where industrialism has overshadowed agriculture in a greater degree than in any other country.

Details for the various countries may be observed in Table 1. The relative decline of agriculture, forest work, and fisheries, as occupational elements, is observable in all of these countries except in Ireland and Italy, and possibly in the case of Italy the increase of percentage is due to a change of definition and census practice.

In the rough comparison that may be made among the countries, it appears that in the latest year given the percentage standing for these three groups of occupations was 35.9 per cent for the United States; for Germany it was 35.2 per cent; for France, 41.8 per cent; for the Netherlands, 30.7 per cent; for Switzerland, 31.2 per cent. Among the higher percentages are 60.9 per cent for Austria, 69.7 per cent for Hungary, 67.2 per cent for the Indian Empire, 59.4 per cent for Italy, 49.8 per cent for Sweden.

EVENTUAL EQUILIBRIUM.

The agricultural element in populations has declined, and still the people are provided with food and raiment. Some of the countries have food and fiber to sell and other countries need to buy; but, it is logical that the relative decline of the agricultural element must eventually reach a point at which it is at equilibrium with industrialism. Increasing production per acre and increasing efficiency of human labor, promoted largely by implements and machinery, permit a reduction of the agricultural element in the population relatively to a lower point than could be reached and sustained by a crude agriculture.

TABLE 1.—Total of agriculture, forest work, and fisheries as an element of all occupations, in specified countries.

C. Below

ered

[Census of British Empire for 1901 for Australia, Indian Empire, New Zealand, United Kingdom, England and Wales, Scotland, and Ireland for 1901. Census of England and Wales for England and Wales for 1881 and 1891. Census of Scotland for Scotland for 1881 and 1891. Census of Ireland for Ireland for 1881 and 1891. Bureau of the Census for the United States. Statistisches Jahrbuch für das Deutche Reich for all other countries mentioned.]

Country and year.	Percentage of persons in all occu- pations engaged in agriculture, forest work, and fishing.	Country and year.	Percentage of persons in all occu- pations engaged in agriculture, forest work, and fishing.
Australia: 1901	$\begin{array}{c} 25.5\\ 64.4\\ 60.9\\ 22.9\\ 21.1\\ 44.0\\ 44.3\\ 41.8\\ 43.4\\ 47.5\\ 35.2\\ 71.0\\ 69.7\\ 67.2\\ 56.7\\ 59.4\\ 32.7\\ 30.7\\ 28.0\\ 49.6\\ 49.6\\ 41.0\\ \end{array}$	Sweden: 1890 1900 Switzerland: 1888 1900 United Kingdom: 1881 1891 1901 England and Wales 1891 1901 Scotland 1891 1901 Ireland 1891 1901 Ireland 1891 1901 Ireland 1891 1901 Ireland 1891 1901 Ireland 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 Ist 1891 1901 1901 1901 1891 1901 1901 1891 1901 1901 1901 1901 1901 1901 1901 1891 1901 1901 1901 1891 1900 1900 19	$54.0 \\ 49.8 \\ 37.4 \\ 31.2 \\ 17.5 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 10.4 \\ 8.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 10.4 \\ 8.0 \\ 12.8 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 41.8 \\ 43.7 \\ 44.6 \\ 37.9 \\ 35.9 \\ 35.9 \\ 10.1 \\ 10$

THE UNITED STATES.

It was necessary to combine with agricultural occupations those devoted to forest work and fishing for the United States, for the purposes of Table 1, because these three groups of occupations were combined for other countries. It is not necessary, however, to do this for the United States considered alone, and hereafter in the consideration of this topic the agricultural occupations will stand by themselves. In the census publications the total for agricultural occupations includes lumbermen and raftsmen, woodchoppers and turpentine farmers. These occupations are excluded from the totals for agriculture as hereafter used in this bulletin. In this country only the persons who work in gainful occupations have been counted as having occupations, and in recent years persons less than 10 years old have been excluded; the age limit varied in former years.

AGRICULTURE AS A PERCENTAGE.

Table 2 has been prepared from census reports to exhibit the number and percentage of persons 10 years old and over employed in all gainful occupations and in agriculture with totals for all races, for negroes, and for the sexes.

It appears in this table that 83.1 per cent of all persons reported as having gainful occupations were employed in agriculture in 1820. The fraction declined to 77.5 per cent in 1840, to 47.3 per cent in 1870, to 44.1 per cent in 1880, to 37.2 per cent in 1890, and to 35.3 per cent in 1900, or about one-third of the persons having gainful occupations. During the 80 years from 1820 to 1900, the agricultural fraction declined from 83.1 to 35.3 per cent, and thus suffered a loss of 47.8 per cent.

NUMBER OF PERSONS IN AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS.

While the percentage has declined, the absolute number of persons 10 years of age and over engaged in agriculture has increased to a large figure. The number was 2,068,958 in 1820 and was about five times as large in 1900, or 10,249,651 persons. The census indicates the general rule that one person employed in 1900 supports 2.6 persons, including himself. If this rule is applicable to the agricultural occupations, then it may be computed that the agricultural population of this country in 1910 was about 35,000,000.

AGRICULTURAL LABORERS.

Agricultural laborers are persons who work on farms for hire and supply most of the manual labor of the farm that is not supplied by the farmer and members of his family who do not receive wages.

It was reported in 1870 that the number of agricultural laborers was 2,885,996, and in 1900 that it was 4,410,877, an increase of over 50 per cent.

It may be observed in Table 2 to what extent the fraction of all persons employed in agriculture who worked for hire has changed.

The fraction for 1870 was 48.7 per cent, or nearly one-half of all persons employed in agriculture; the fraction declined to 43.4 per cent in 1880, and to 43 per cent in 1900. In 1890, however, the fraction declined to 35.5 per cent; perhaps the smallness of this percentage was due to the then recent acquisition of an enormous number of new farms by men unable to hire labor.

NEGROES.

It was reported in the census of 1900 that 2,108,980 negroes 10 years old and over had agricultural occupations, and these negroes were 52.8 per cent of all negroes in all gainful occupations. The corresponding percentage of the preceding census in 1890 was 55.5, so that negroes, as well as whites, had drifted away from agriculture relative to all gainful occupations. If the entire number of all persons having gainful occupations be taken into account, the agricultural element in 1900 was 35.3 per cent; if the negroes are considered by themselves their agricultural element in 1900 was 52.8 per cent.

The negro agricultural laborers increased from 1,106,728 in 1890 to 1,344,116 in 1900; but, although the number increased absolutely, it diminished relative to the total number of negroes having agricultural occupations, or from 64.9 per cent of the negroes of all agricultural occupations in 1890, to 63.7 per cent in 1900. Agricultural laborers, for negroes as well as for whites, have declined relative to those in all agricultural occupations.

In 1900 the agricultural laborers of all races were 43 per cent of the persons of all races employed in agriculture. For negroes the percentage in the same year was 63.7.

In comparing negroes with the total of all races it appears that in 1900, 20.6 per cent of all persons employed in agriculture were negroes, or an increase of 0.5 of 1 per cent in the percentage in 10 years.

Negro agricultural laborers, as an element of the total number of laborers of all races having agricultural occupations, are represented by 36.8 per cent in 1890 and 30.5 per cent in 1900, a decline in 10 years of 6.3 in the percentage. This accords with the generally accepted conclusion that a smaller fraction of the cotton crop is raised by negro labor year by year.

OUTDOOR LABOR OF WOMEN.

The outdoor labor of women on farms received the attention of the census as far back as 1870. In that year 6.7 per cent of all persons 10 years old and over having agricultural occupations were women and the percentage steadily increased to 9.5 in 1900. An accurate report of the women employed as agricultural laborers could hardly be expected of census enumerators, but, subject to the probability of error, the census reports indicate that 12.9 per cent of all persons employed as agricultural laborers in 1870 were women. In 1880 the number was 16.1 per cent; in 1890, 14.9 per cent; and in 1900, 15 per cent. So it appears that about one agricultural laborer in 7 is a woman in the general average for the United States.

The census reports permit an analysis of this matter of relative sex employment by giving a separate statement of facts for negroes. In 1890, 25.1 per cent of all negroes employed in agriculture were women, and the percentage rose to 27.6 in 1900.

The fraction that negro women are of negro agricultural laborers increased from 34.1 per cent in 1890 to 37.9 per cent in 1900. That this indicated relative increase was real may be doubted; the enumerators of 1900 did more thorough work than those of 1890.

TABLE 2.—Number and percentage of persons 10 years old and over employed in all gainful occupations and in agriculture, for all races and for negroes, by sex, for specified censuses.

Race, sex, and group of occu- pations.	1820	1840	1870	1880	1890	1900
ALL RACES.						
Number.						
Both sexes: All occupations Agricultural ¹ Agricultural laborers	2, 490, 77 0 2, 068, 958	4, 798, 869 3, 719, 951	12, 505, 923 5, 919, 993 2, 885, 996	17, 392, 099 7, 663, 043 3, 323, 876	22,735,661 8,466,363 3,004,061	$29,073,233 \\10,249,651 \\4,410,877$
Males: All occupations Agricultural ¹ Agricultural laborers			$\begin{array}{c} 10,669,635\\ 5,523,209\\ 2,512,664 \end{array}$	$14,744,942 \\7,068,658 \\2,788,976$	$18,821,090 \\ 7,787,539 \\ 2,556,957$	*23, 753, 836 9, 272, 315 3, 747, 668
Percentage for each group.						
Both sexes: • All occupations.			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
tions 1	83.1	77.5	47.3	44.1	37.2	35.3
Agricultural laborers of all agricultural occupations.			48.7	43.4	35.5	43.0
Males: All occupations			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Agricultural of all occupa-			51.8	47.9	41.4	39.0
Agricultural laborers of all			45.5	39.5	32.8	40.4
agricultural occupations.			10.0	00.0	02.0	10.1
NEGROES.						
Number.						
Both sexes: All occupations Agricultural ¹ Agricultural laborers					3,073,164 1,704,904 1,106,728	3,992,337 2,108,980 1,344,116
All occupations Agricultural ¹ Agricultural laborers				•	2,101,379 1,277,494 729,197	2,675,497 1,526,979 834,438

Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers.

TABLE 2.—Number and	percentage of	persons	10 years	old and	over	employed	in all
gainful occupations and	d in agriculture	e, for all	races and	for negro	es, by	sex, for sp	pecified
censuses-Continued.							

Race, sex, and group of occu- pations.	1820	1840	1870	1880	1890	1900
NEGROES-continued.						
Percentage for each group.						
Both sexes:					100.0	100.0
All occupations of negroes. Agricultural of all occupa-			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100.0	100.0
tions of negroes 1.					55.5	52.8
agricultural occupations						
of negroes Males:	•••••	•••••	•••••		64.9	63.7
All occupations of negroes.					100 <mark>.</mark> 0	100.0
tions of negroes 1					60.2	57.1
Agricultural laborers of all						
of negroes					56.6	54.6
PERCENTAGE OF NEGROES OF ALL RACES, FOR EACH GROUP.		-				
Both sexes:						
All occupations					13.5	13.7
Agricultural laborers					36.8	30.5
Males: All occupations					11.2	11.3
Agricultural laborars					16.4	16.5
Agricultural laboreis					20.0	22.0
PERCENTAGE OF FEMALES OF BOTH SEXES, FOR EACH GROUP.						
All races:						10.0
All occupations	•••••		14.7	15.2	17.2	18.3
Agricultural laborers			12.9	16.1	14.9	15.0
All occupations					31.6	33.0
Agricultural 1					$25.1 \\ 34.1$	27.6 37.9
]	1				

¹ Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers.

GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS.

Analysis of the agricultural element of the population that is employed may be pursued with details by States and by geographic groups of States. In Table 3 will be found the number of persons 10 years old and over employed in agriculture, with totals for all races and separate statements for negroes and for the sexes. Census analysis permits this statement to be made as far back as 1880, but the corresponding facts for 1910 have not been tabulated by the Bureau of the Census in time for inclusion in this bulletin. Extended comment on this table is not necessary.

Among the features of larger importance, it is observed that about one-third of the persons employed in agriculture in 1900 lived in the northern division of the Mississippi Valley and about one-third in the southern, or two-thirds within the entire valley, and that about one-third of the persons lived in the Atlantic divisions.

WOMEN AND NEGROES IN AGRICULTURE.

In 1900 there were 977,336 women having gainful occupations in agriculture, more than one-half of whom lived in the South Central States, and about one-third of whom lived in the South Atlantic; that is to say, about 85 per cent of those women lived in the Southern States.

Likewise the negroes employed in agriculture are found mostly in the Southern States. In 1900 about two-fifths of them were in the South Atlantic States and over one-half in the South Central. Only 1,114 negro women having gainful occupations in agriculture were found in 1900 outside of the South.

AGRICULTURAL LABORERS.

The agricultural laborers of 1900 were distributed, 159,629 to the Western division of States, 414,683 to the North Atlantic States, 1,223,143 to the North Central States, 1,047,591 to the South Atlantic States, 1,565,831 to the South Central States, and 2,613,422, or about three-fifths, to the Southern States.

Nearly the entire number of women who were agricultural laborers in 1900 were found in the South Atlantic and South Central States and 76.8 per cent of these were negroes. The number of white women in the United States who were agricultural laborers in 1900 was 153,531.

 TABLE 3.—Number of persons 10 years old and over employed in agriculture, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900.¹

AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS.2

	All races.						
State and geographic division.		Both sexes.		Male.			
	1880	1890	1900	1880	1890	1900	
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland District of Columbia Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin Minnesota Jowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. Nebraska. Kentucky Teanessee. Alabama. Mississippi. Louisiana. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Newada. Idaho Washington. Oregon. California.	$ \begin{array}{c} 82,130\\ 44,490\\ 55,251\\ 64,973\\ 10,945\\ 59,214\\ 301,109\\ 17,849\\ 90,926\\ 1,464\\ 254,097\\ 107,578\\ 358,493\\ 292,245\\ 430,106\\ 58,456\\ 397,490\\ 331,227\\ 436,371\\ 240,319\\ 195,901\\ 131,535\\ 303,557\\ 355,297\\ 305,573\\ 355,297\\ 28,508\\ 90,507\\ 206,080\\ 320,571\\ 294,153\\ 3330,690\\ 333,909\\ 205,305\\ 359,317\\ \hline \end{array} $	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 78,507\\ 41,310\\ 53,346\\ 69,108\\ 11,559\\ 45,115\\ 394,704\\ 68,164\\ 316,186\\ 18,107\\ 90,728\\ 1,725\\ 257,496\\ 17,868\\ 136,257\\ 496\\ 325,480\\ 413,848\\ 63,326\\ 398,817\\ 320,603\\ 430,385\\ 274,040\\ 229,849\\ 188,237\\ 320,603\\ 430,385\\ 274,040\\ 229,849\\ 188,237\\ 321,566\\ 68,139\\ 186,987\\ 325,512\\ 43,845\\ 68,139\\ 186,987\\ 325,566\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 358,572\\ 236,551\\ 428,528\\ 369,791\\ 436,821\\ 436,821\\ 232,236\\ 6,577\\ 20,007\\ 5,146\\ 13,223\\ 37,547\\ 44,574\\ 44,574\\ 129,715\\ \end{array}\right)$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.780\\ 37.625\\ 49.338\\ 65.692\\ 10.796\\ 44.234\\ 373.651\\ 19.002\\ 94.980\\ 1.488\\ 298.543\\ 149.265\\ 455.276\\ 390.990\\ 508.225\\ 455.276\\ 390.990\\ 508.225\\ 78.376\\ 413.361\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.631\\ 341.632\\ 362.882\\ 362.888\\ 302.989\\ 264.641\\ 360.281\\ 371.626\\ 82.714\\ 186.397\\ 370.957\\$	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 81, 887\\ 44, 299\\ 55, 037\\ 64, 746\\ 10, 910\\ 43, 936\\ 375, 211\\ 58, 819\\ 299, 806\\ 17, 609\\ 89, 175\\ 1, 445\\ 238, 949\\ 106, 980\\ 311, 848\\ 206, 333\\ 327, 775\\ 47, 197\\ 396, 115\\ 329, 601\\ 433, 796\\ 239, 346\\ 1194, 380\\ 130, 817\\ 302, 171\\ 351, 681\\ 283, 368\\ 89, 881\\ 205, 234\\ 315, 445\\ 275, 620\\ 291, 476\\ 225, 095\\ 147, 537\\ 330, 125\\ 195, 002\\ 4, 504\\ 1, 635\\ 13, 462\\ 231, 447\\ 1, 635\\ 13, 462\\ 3, 847\\ 1, 635\\ 13, 462\\ 3, 847\\ 1, 635\\ 13, 462\\ 3, 847\\ 12, 709\\ 27, 000\\ 78, 785\\ \end{array}\right.$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 77.045\\ 40.690\\ 52.698\\ 68,178\\ 11,375\\ 44,349\\ 386,114\\ 66,754\\ 44,349\\ 386,114\\ 17,801\\ 18,021\\ 1,668\\ 240,827\\ 115,433\\ 312,399\\ 237,039\\ 343,344\\ 53,558\\ 388,640\\ 312,256\\ 417,470\\ 267,943\\ 223,922\\ 184,417\\ 470\\ 267,943\\ 223,922\\ 184,417\\ 43,021\\ 184,417\\ 375,331\\ 43,75,331\\ 43,022\\ 166,531\\ 243,766\\ 306,868\\ 305,093\\ 288,814\\ 269,208\\ 181,101\\ 394,355\\ 13,587\\ 225,947\\ 13,506\\ 7,845\\ 36,134\\ 228,166\\ 6,477\\ 19,437\\ 15,056\\ 13,022\\ 36,937\\ 45,682\\ 126,711\\ \end{array}\right.$	$\begin{array}{c} 71, 648\\ 36, 067\\ 47, 870\\ 63, 810\\ 10, 512\\ 42, 685\\ 361, 280\\ 66, 64321, 112\\ 18, 494\\ 91, 440\\ 1, 440\\ 1, 440\\ 275, 869\\ 143, 885\\ 381, 157\\ 275, 911\\ 407, 907\\ 65, 296\\ 381, 158\\ 381, 157\\ 275, 911\\ 407, 907\\ 65, 296\\ 381, 158\\ 381, 18$	
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$1,039,596 \\1,611,214 \\2,716,792 \\2,116,320 \\179,121$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,077,999\\ 1,657,390\\ 3,083,669\\ 2,308,847\\ 338,458\end{array}$	$1,056,313 \\1,996,145 \\3,480,094 \\3,273,296 \\443,803$	1,034,6511,347,3112,701,3901,807,300178,006	$1,057,334 \\1,410,090 \\3,003,519 \\1,984,973 \\331,623$	$1,021,630 \\ 1,661,199 \\ 3,380,075 \\ 2,780,990 \\ 428,421$	
United States	7,663,043	8,466,363	10,249,651	7,068,658	7,787,539	9, 272, 315	

¹ The grouping of States by geographic divisions is as follows: North Atlantic: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. South Atlantic: Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida. North Central: Ohio. Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wiscossin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. South Central: Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi. Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas. Western: Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, and California. ² Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers.

54613°-Bull. 94-12-2

.

TABLE 3.—Number of persons 10 years old and over employed in agricultur, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

	All r <mark>aces.</mark>			Negroes.		
State and geographic division.	Female.			Both sexes.	Male.	Female.
	1880	1890	1900	1900	1900	1900
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island Connecticui New York New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland District of Columbia Virginia. North Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Hilnois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. Nebraska. Kansas. Kansas. Kansas. Missisippi Louisiana Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Mina. Misneso. Arkansas. Montana. Mistico. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Newada. Idaho. Newada. Idaho. Newada. Idaho. Newada. Idaho. Newada. Idaho. Celorado. Newada. Idaho. Newada. Idaho. California.	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 243\\ 191\\ 214\\ 227\\ 355\\ 90\\ 2,247\\ 395\\ 1,303\\ 240\\ 1,751\\ 915,148\\ 598\\ 46,645\\ 85,912\\ 102,331\\ 11,259\\ 1,375\\ 1,626\\ 2,575\\ 1,626\\ 2,575\\ 1,626\\ 3,616\\ 3,616\\ 1,521\\ 1,386\\ 3,616\\ 1,523\\ 1,521\\ 1,521\\ 1,525\\ 2,57,768\\ 846\\ 6,5,126\\ 1,523\\ 1,525\\ 1,595\\ 57,768\\ 89,153\\ 87,595\\ 57,768\\ 89,153\\ 87,595\\ 57,768\\ 89,153\\ 87,595\\ 57,768\\ 29,192\\ 21,653\\ 99\\ 4\\ 77\\ 114\\ 12\\ 80\\ 34\\ 111\\ 72\\ 91\\ 611\\ \end{array}\right.$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}1,462\\620\\624\\930\\184\\766\\8,590\\1,410\\6,055\\3,248\\55,600\\88,441\\70,56\\6,077\\8,347\\12,906\\6,097\\5,927\\3,947\\12,906\\6,097\\5,927\\3,847\\12,906\\6,097\\5,927\\3,847\\12,906\\6,097\\5,927\\3,847\\12,906\\6,097\\5,927\\3,847\\12,906\\8,082\\4,1,869\\3,447\\12,906\\8,082\\4,1,869\\3,455\\5,450\\3,4,173\\3,417\\3,311\\27,922\\89,364\\55,450\\3,4,173\\3,417\\3,311\\27,922\\669\\687\\420\\0\\100\\570\\90\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 132\\ 1, 558\\ 1, 468\\ 1, 882\\ 284\\ 1, 549\\ 12, 371\\ 1, 846\\ 10, 593\\ 508\\ 3, 540\\ 48\\ 22, 674\\ 5, 580\\ 74, 119\\ 115, 079\\ 100, 318\\ 13, 080\\ 14, 753\\ 8, 9833\\ 12, 167\\ 74, 119\\ 115, 079\\ 100, 318\\ 13, 080\\ 14, 753\\ 8, 9833\\ 12, 167\\ 74, 119\\ 15, 978\\ 8, 903\\ 8, 557\\ 6, 815\\ 8, 132\\ 15, 978\\ 8, 903\\ 8, 557\\ 6, 815\\ 8, 132\\ 15, 978\\ 8, 903\\ 128, 031\\ 159, 240\\ 8, 942\\ 47, 338\\ 9, 240\\ 8, 942\\ 47, 338\\ 159, 240\\ 8, 942\\ 47, 338\\ 47, 348\\ 47, 3$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 43\\ 86\\ 668\\ 344\\ 793\\ 2,529\\ 4,662\\ 3,659\\ 4,024\\ 27,078\\ 400\\ 102,769\\ 2,110\\ 1058,010\\ 1255,346\\ 265,346\\ 265,660\\ 35,042\\ 5,055\\ 2,637\\ 3,930\\ 1,284\\ 127\\ 2,223\\ 3,930\\ 1,284\\ 491\\ 13,989\\ 433\\ 3,717\\ 2,223\\ 3,930\\ 1,284\\ 491\\ 13,989\\ 493\\ 38\\ 433\\ 156\\ 3,717\\ 128\\ 498\\ 89,857\\ 276,050\\ 335,775\\ 187,371\\ 144,743\\ 12,305\\ 116,801\\ 11\\ 144,743\\ 122\\ 466\\ 55\\ 51\\ 116,801\\ 11\\ 122\\ 468\\ 55\\ 52\\ 21\\ 144\\ 202\\ 92\\ 22\\ 43\\ 458\\ 80\\ 12\\ 12\\ 14\\ 14\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 22\\ 43\\ 458\\ 80\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	$\begin{array}{c} 466\\ 422\\ 844\\ 6622\\ 338\\ 784\\ 2,493\\ 3,619\\ 3,952\\ 26,246\\ 394\\ 90,591\\ 2,059\\ 117,011\\ 171,298\\ 189,092\\ 25,293\\ 4,918\\ 2,574\\ 3,813\\ 1,251\\ 121\\ 2,221\\ 3,546\\ 4,918\\ 4,918\\ 4,918\\ 4,918\\ 2,574\\ 3,813\\ 1,251\\ 121\\ 2,221\\ 3,574\\ 4,918\\ 4,9$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 4\\ 1\\ 2\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 9\\ 9\\ 36\\ 6\\ 47\\ 40\\ 72\\ 832\\ 6\\ 6\\ 12, 178\\ 51\\ 140, 999\\ 94, 048\\ 76, 568\\ 9, 749\\ 137\\ 63\\ 117\\ 313\\ 6\\ 2\\ 2\\ 122\\ 143\\ 3\\ 1\\ 144\\ 977\\ 1, 193\\ 16, 330\\ 98, 706\\ 111, 590\\ 28, 374\\ 1, 206\\ 30, 365\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$
Geographic divisions: North Atlantic South Atlantic North Central South Central Western.	$\begin{array}{r} 4,945\\ 263,903\\ 15,402\\ 309,020\\ 1,115\end{array}$	$20,665 \\ 247,300 \\ 80,150 \\ 323,874 \\ 6,835$	34,683 334,946 100,019 492,306 15,382	12,834860,43933,6901,201,0141,003	$12,683 \\ 625,936 \\ 32,762 \\ 854,630 \\ 968$	151 234,503 928 346,384 35
United States	594, 385	678, 824	977, 336	2, 108, 980	1,526,979	582,001

AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS-Continued.

TABLE 3.—Number of persons 10 years old and over employed in agriculture, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

AGRICULTURAL LABORERS.

	All races.						
State and geographic division.		Both sexes.		Male.			
	1880	1890	19 00	1880	1890	1900	
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Jisland. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware Maryland District of Columbia. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Himois. Hinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michois. Horth Dakota. South Dakota. Missispi Louisiana Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. Nevada. Idaho. Nevada. Idaho. Nevada. Idaho. Nevada. Idaho. Nevada. Idaho. Oregon. California.	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 21,868\\ 13,893\\ 19,215\\ 22,553\\ 3,913\\ 15,704\\ 125,685\\ 22,672\\ 100,381\\ 15,704\\ 125,685\\ 22,672\\ 100,381\\ 15,704\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 198,147\\ 101,774\\ 198,147\\ 101,774\\ 198,147\\ 101,774\\ 198,147\\ 101,774\\ 198,147\\ 101,774\\ 118,807\\ 115,325\\ 5,6177\\ 70,845\\ 5,6177\\ 70,845\\ 5,617\\ 101,325\\ 5,506\\ 19,058\\ 54,902\\ 147,247\\ 138,185\\ 5,306\\ 19,058\\ 54,902\\ 147,247\\ 148,312\\ 107,479\\ 926\\ 443\\ 32,540\\ 4,009\\ 596\\ 44,137\\ 1,188\\ 5,933\\ 3,034\\ 46,598\\ 2,23,856\\ 101,188\\ 1,188\\ 5,933\\ 3,034\\ 46,598\\ 2,23,856\\ 101,188\\ 1,188\\ 5,934\\ 6,598\\ 2,23,856\\ 101,188\\ 1,188\\ 5,934\\ 6,598\\ 2,23,856\\ 101,188\\ 1,188$	$ \begin{array}{c} 17,058\\ 11,578\\ 11,578\\ 18,090\\ 27,488\\ 4,842\\ 15,193\\ 132,596\\ 28,686\\ 100,326\\ 8,004\\ 45,611\\ 15,512\\ 117,692\\ 35,066\\ 171,796\\ 195,267\\ 214,030\\ 23,562\\ 107,691\\ 195,267\\ 214,030\\ 23,562\\ 107,691\\ 195,267\\ 124,030\\ 23,562\\ 107,691\\ 125,964\\ 72,369\\ 60,983\\ 52,158\\ 52$	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 976\\ 12, 714\\ 18, 443\\ 31, 515\\ 5, 304\\ 19, 847\\ 148, 453\\ 33, 220\\ 123, 208\\ 9, 126\\ 33, 220\\ 123, 208\\ 9, 126\\ 33, 220\\ 148\\ 148, 168\\ 138, 613\\ 58, 796\\ 233, 288\\ 237, 326\\ 282, 347\\ 37, 343\\ 138, 066\\ 118, 498\\ 184, 959\\ 97, 527\\ 93, 718\\ 94, 195\\ 143, 450\\ 162, 916\\ 24, 193\\ 26, 749\\ 95, 601\\ 89, 271\\ 165, 432\\ 164, 757\\ 89, 601\\ 89, 271\\ 165, 432\\ 156, 432\\ 156, 455\\ 256, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 273, 188\\ 68, 478\\ 156, 455\\ 7, 578\\ 8, 979\\ 3, 318\\ 14, 825\\ 7, 7578\\ 8, 6979\\ 3, 318\\ 14, 825\\ 7, 7818\\ 14, 825\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 14, 825\\ 15, 84, 818\\ 15, 84, 8$	$ \begin{array}{c} 21,771\\ 13,839\\ 19,141\\ 22,490\\ 3,910\\ 15,682\\ 22,524\\ 99,907\\ 8,676\\ 49,974\\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 16, 965\\ 111, 527\\ 118, 012\\ 27, 359\\ 4, 801\\ 15, 131\\ 131, 967\\ 28, 528\\ 99, 290\\ 7, 951\\ 44, 570\\ 108, 008\\ 34, 587\\ 129, 448\\ 116, 952\\ 154, 541\\ 16, 783\\ 106, 932\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 154, 541\\ 125, 137\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 51, 701\\ 71, 818\\ 60, 400\\ 111, 409\\ 9, 906\\ 5, 946\\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 837\\ 12, 644\\ 18, 323\\ 31, 301\\ 5, 222\\ 19, 715\\ 146, 950\\ 32, 741\\ 122, 083\\ 8, 941\\ 48, 958\\ 614\\ 128, 147\\ 58, 107\\ 175, 316\\ 135, 548\\ 196, 555\\ 27, 577\\ 136, 757\\ 136, 757\\ 136, 757\\ 136, 548\\ 199, 153, 242\\ 100, 972\\ 23, 774\\ 26, 149\\ 117, 620\\ 161, 322\\ 163, 495\\ 163, 495\\ 177, 761\\ 151, 914\\ 114, 458\\ 233, 628\\ 163, 495\\ 177, 761\\ 151, 914\\ 114, 458\\ 233, 628\\ 8, 946\\ 3, 301\\ 14, 722\\ 7, 463\\ 3, 3055\\ 8, 624\\ 42, 729\\ 7, 758\\ 16, 548\\ 17, 180\\ 3, 555\\ 8, 624\\ 42, 729\\ 7, 758\\ 16, 548\\ 17, 180\\ 67, 031\\ 14, 722\\ 7, 463\\ 3, 955\\ 8, 624\\ 42, 729\\ 7, 758\\ 16, 548\\ 17, 180\\ 67, 031\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 180\\ 14, 722\\ 7, 768\\ 16, 348\\ 17, 180\\ 67, 031\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 180\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 14, 114\\ 114, 114,$	
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	345,884951,266845,0991,133,70747,920	355,857 811,610 778,016 956,537 102,041	$\begin{array}{r} 414,683\\ 1,047,591\\ 1,223,143\\ 1,565,831\\ 159,629\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 344,512\\ 706,651\\ 840,966\\ 849,170\\ 47,677\end{array}$	353,580 613,407 772,455 716,060 101,455	$\begin{array}{r} 410,856\\780,073\\1,209,612\\1,189,470\\157,657\end{array}$	
United States	3, 323, 876	3,004,061	4, 410, 877	2, 788, 976	2,556,957	3,747,668	

TABLE 3.—Number of persons 10 years old and over employed in agriculture, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

	All races.			Negroes.		
State and geographic division.		Female.		Both sexes.	Male.	Female.
	1880	1890	1900	1900	1900	1900
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware. Maryland District of Columbia Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Horida. Ohio. Indiana. Hinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minesota. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. Missisippi. Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah Nevada. Idaho. Washington. Oregon. California.	$\left.\begin{array}{c}97\\54\\74\\663\\3\\2\\2437\\148\\474\\117\\1,262\\250\\42,132\\81,452\\96,785\\99,800\\397\\586\\717\\204\\4527\\141\\354\\801\\199\\210\\177\\3,001\\15,707\\84,212\\82,350\\55,188\\24,517\\19,562\\41\\15\\19,562\\23\\19,562\\23\\18\\18\\24\\15\\19,562\\23\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\23\\18\\18\\22\\23\\23\\22\\23\\23$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 93\\ 51\\ 78\\ 129\\ 41\\ 629\\ 158\\ 1,036\\ 78\\ 1,036\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 139\\ 70\\ 120\\ 214\\ 82\\ 132\\ 1,466\\ 479\\ 1,125\\ 1,176\\ 4\\ 10,466\\ 9,57,972\\ 101,478\\ 85,782\\ 9,766\\ 1,302\\ 1,302\\ 1,302\\ 1,306\\ 1,160\\ 1,160\\ 1,160\\ 1,160\\ 1,160\\ 1,944\\ 1,944\\ 1,944\\ 107,754\\ 109,402\\ 338\\ 117\\ 103\\ 34,090\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 34,090\\ 1,560\\ 3,861\\ 3,$	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 29\\ 73\\ 576\\ 292\\ 676\\ 2922\\ 4,081\\ 3,087\\ 3,176\\ 21,443\\ 1,363\\ 104,046\\ 180,354\\ 180,354\\ 180,354\\ 181,565\\ 21,021\\ 2,910\\ 1,599\\ 2,268\\ 603\\ 644\\ 411\\ 270\\ 8,742\\ 26,100\\ 1,754\\ 26,100\\ 54,724\\ 180,864\\ 411\\ 277\\ 199,077\\ 1,754\\ 26,100\\ 54,724\\ 180,864\\ 411\\ 277\\ 199,517\\ 70\\ 5,450\\ 67,079\\ 222\\ 165\\ 312\\ 22\\ 166\\ 61\\ 00\\ 79\\ 9\\ 466\\ 11\\ 300\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 29\\ 72\\ 29\\ 72\\ 29\\ 72\\ 29\\ 676\\ 4\\ 001\\ 3\\ 019\\ 3\\ 13\\ 29\\ 20\\ 883\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 1\\ 357\\ 40\\ 8\\ 604\\ 15\\ 65\\ 1\\ 738\\ 25\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 2\\ 892\\ 1\\ 557\\ 1\\ 738\\ 25\\ 512\\ 40\\ 8\\ 604\\ 41\\ 355\\ 1\\ 755\\ 514\\ 4,780\\ 41\\ 586\\ 41\\ 586\\ 12\\ 22\\ 166\\ 5\\ 12\\ 20\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296\\ 296$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	1,372 244,615 4,133 284,537 243	2,277 198,203 5,561 240,477 586	3,827 267,518 13,531 376,361 1,972	$10,831 \\ 574,535 \\ 18,357 \\ 739,909 \\ 484$	$10,764 \\ 365,385 \\ 18,116 \\ 439,695 \\ 478$	$\begin{array}{r} 67\\209,150\\241\\300,214\\6\end{array}$
United States	534,900	447,104	663, 209	1,344,116	834,438	509,678

AGRICULTURAL LABORERS-Continued.

AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS AS PERCENTAGES.

In Table 4 the numbers of persons 10 years of age and over in agriculture have been converted into percentages to determine the relation of agricultural to all occupations and of agricultural laborers to all agricultural occupations for all races and for negroes, with separation of sexes. Percentages for the totals for the United States have been brought together in Table 2 and have already received attention. Details by geographic divisions and by States add to the interest of this subject and these may be found in Table 4.

Agriculture is relatively more general in the South Central States than in any other group; in this division 62.83 per cent of all persons gainfully employed were employed in agriculture in 1900, or almost exactly five-eighths. In the South Atlantic division almost exactly one-half of all persons having gainful occupations were engaged in agriculture, in the North Central States nearly three-eighths, in the Western States a little over one-fourth, while in the North Atlantic division the agricultural element in 1900 was almost exactly oneeighth, or about the same as in the United Kingdom. The average for all divisions is 35.25 per cent.

PERCENTAGE OF NEGROES IN AGRICULTURE.

The agricultural element in the negroes who have gainful occupations varies from that of the whites in the different geographic divisions in 1900. In the North Atlantic States the negro agricultural element in the negroes who have gainful occupations is about one-half of that of the whites who have gainful occupations; in the North Central division the negro agricultural element is about twofifths of that of the whites; in the Western division about one-fourth; in the South Atlantic and in the South Central divisions a little more than that of the whites.

PERCENTAGE OF AGRICULTURAL LABORERS.

The agricultural laborers as an element in the agricultural population, or rather that portion of the population having gainful occupations, are represented by fractions that are not very far apart when the different geographic divisions are compared. In the South Atlantic States the agricultural laborers in 1900 were 52.48 per cent of all persons employed in agriculture and in the South Central States 47.84 per cent. The percentages for the three northern divisions of States are somewhat lower, the percentage for the North Atlantic division being 39.26; for the North Central, 35.15; and for the Western, 35.97. The average for the United States is 43.03.

In every geographic division the negro agricultural laborers are relatively a larger element of the total negroes employed in agriculture than the white agricultural laborers. The highest percentage for the negroes, 84.39 per cent, is found in the North Atlantic States, and next in order is 66.77 per cent in the South Atlantic; third in order is 61.61 per cent for the South Central States, after which follows the North Central States with 54.49 per cent, and the Western States with 48.26 per cent.

PERCENTAGE OF NEGROES AS A RACIAL ELEMENT.

The negroes as constituting a racial element in agricultural population may next be considered. In the total for all gainful occupations in 1900 the negroes were 13.73 per cent; in the total of all agricultural occupations the negroes were 20.58 per cent; and in the total of agricultural laborers they were 30.47 per cent, these percentages being averages for the United States. The conclusion is plain that negroes are more generally found in agricultural than in other gainful occupations and more generally found as agricultural laborers than in other agricultural occupations.

In 1900 only 0.23 of 1 per cent of all persons employed in agriculture in the Western division of States were negroes; only 0.97 of 1 per cent in the North Central States; only 1.21 per cent in the North Atlantic States; while in the South Atlantic and South Central States, respectively, the percentages were 43.11 and 36.69.

The negro element among agricultural laborers is found to be somewhat over one-half in the South Atlantic States; a little under one-half in the South Central States; and 2.61 per cent of all agricultural laborers in the North Atlantic States; 1.50 per cent in the North Central States; and 0.30 of 1 per cent in the Western States.

PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN.

Women as an element in that portion of the population that has agricultural occupations are represented by small percentages in the three northern divisions of States, with a range from 2.87 per cent of the total for both sexes in 1900 in the North Central States to 3.47 per cent for the Western States. For the South Atlantic States the percentage representing women engaged in agriculture is 16.78 per cent; in the South Central States 15.04 per cent. The foregoing percentages cover all races. If attention is confined to the negroes alone, the percentage of women employed in agriculture is found to be much larger than among the whites, and this is because negro women are such a large element of negro agricultural laborers. **TABLE 4.**—Relation, by percentages, of agricultural to all gainful occupations, and of agricultural laborers to all agricultural occupations, in number of persons 10 years old and over employed, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900.

PERCENTAGE OF PERSONS IN ALL GAINFUL OCCUPATIONS WHO ARE ENGAGED IN AGRICULTURE 1-WITHIN EACH GROUP.

				Negr	oes.			
State and geographic division.	E	oth sexes.			Male.		Both sexes.	Male.
	1880	1890	1900	1880	1890	1900	1900	1900
Maine	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 35.40\\ 31.23\\ 46.59\\ 9.01\\ 9.01\\ 9.03\\ 14.92\\ 20.03\\ 14.92\\ 22.0\\ 32.03\\ 2.20\\ 51.41\\ 61.05\\ 74.63\\ 77.463\\ 77.463\\ 77.463\\ 71.94\\ 43.65\\ 42.22\\ 43.65\\ 42.23\\ 43.65\\ 42.23\\ 43.65\\ 43.65\\ 43.997\\ 52.16\\ 63.94\\ 43.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 443.65\\ 51.27\\ 56.52\\ 68.82\\ 49.24\\ 59.30\\ 41.66\\ 67.724\\ 81.75\\ 56.52\\ 68.82\\ 49.24\\ 15.42\\ 15$	$ \begin{array}{c} 30.54\\ 30.54\\ 25.08\\ 41.43\\ 7.03\\ 7.42\\ 14.23\\ 16.20\\ 11.94\\ 28.17\\ 28.07\\ 1.71\\ 1.61\\ 46.66\\ 53.03\\ 68.48\\ 31.33\\ 61.89\\ 44.28\\ 31.33\\ 61.89\\ 44.28\\ 36.88\\ 31.33\\ 61.89\\ 44.28\\ 36.88\\ 40.13\\ 50.89\\ 43.70\\ 66.82\\ 87.73\\ 53.99\\ 55.91\\ 61.55\\ 55.84\\ 73.12\\ 19.18\\ 19.28\\ 90\\ 22.80\\ 32.84\\ 19.28\\ 19.28\\ 19.28\\ 10.28\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.\ 02\\ 21.\ 05\\ 36.\ 564\\ 5.\ 63\\ 11.\ 47\\ 12.\ 47\\ 12.\ 47\\ 13.\ 55\\ 26.\ 63\\ 11.\ 47\\ 12.\ 47\\ 12.\ 47\\ 13.\ 55\\ 26.\ 63\\ 11.\ 47\\ 145.\ 63\\ 63.\ 82\\ 65.\ 83\\ 26.\ 74\\ 33.\ 44\\ 55.\ 83\\ 33.\ 44\\ 55.\ 83\\ 33.\ 44\\ 49.\ 84\\ 55.\ 94\\ 55.\ 83\\ 55.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 56.\ 36\\ 66.\ 81\\ 75.\ 43.\ 94\\ 54.\ 32.\ 96\\ 11.\ 17\\ 70.\ 19\\ 23.\ 98\\ 29.\ 61\\ 20.\ 61\ 20.\ 61\\ 20.\ 61\$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 41.\ 26\\ 39.\ 43\\ 53.\ 74\\ 11.\ 85\\ 12.\ 82\\ 22.\ 80\\ 24.\ 62\\ 17.\ 82\\ 24.\ 62\\ 24.\ 62\\ 24.\ 62\\ 24.\ 62\\ 24.\ 62\\ 79.\ 31\\ 58.\ 15\\ 73.\ 57\\ 71.\ 57\\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 63\\ 55.\ 61\\ 77\\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 63\\ 55.\ 61\\ 77.\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 65\\ 55.\ 82\\ 55.\ 61\\ 77.\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 65\\ 55.\ 81\\ 55.\ 61\\ 77.\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 65\\ 55.\ 81\\ 55.\ 61\\ 71.\ 27\\ 70.\ 39\\ 79.\ 65\\ 82.\ 55\\ 71.\ 57\\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\ 71.\$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 36.34\\ 31.83\\ 48.34\\ 9.48\\ 10.05\\ 18.06\\ 20.09\\ 14.53\\ 18.97\\ 33.00\\ 28.53\\ 2.42\\ 54.06\\ 57.12\\ 74.00\\ 76.12\\ 67.48\\ 48.51\\ 35.70\\ 48.85\\ 48.85\\ 48.85\\ 45.22\\ 45.71.75\\ 65.02\\ 51.18\\ 59.92\\ 60.53\\ 64.48\\ 70.16\\ 67.62\\ 65.02\\ 51.18\\ 59.92\\ 60.53\\ 64.48\\ 70.66\\ 57.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.66\\ 57.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.62\\ 71.75\\ 75.56\\ 65.02\\ 57.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 71.75\\ 75.56\\ 76.23\\ 64.48\\ 70.62\\ 70.62\\ 71.75\\ 71.75\\ 75.56\\ 77.62\\ 64.48\\ 79.66\\ 79.62\\ 70.62\\ 71.75\\ 77.56\\ 77.56\\ 77.56\\ 73.249\\ 23.42\\ 29.13\\ 24.05\\ 77.66\\ 26.20\\ 77.66\\ 26.20\\ 77.66\\ 26.20\\ 77.66\\ 26.20\\ 75.62$	$\begin{array}{c} 31,87\\ 26,33\\ 42,67\\ 7,26\\ 7,52\\ 114,57\\ 15,54\\ 11,68\\ 51,59\\ 230,81\\ 28,51\\ 1,68\\ 51,89\\ 28,51\\ 1,68\\ 51,54\\ 48,79\\ 68,48\\ 48,79\\ 68,48\\ 48,70\\ 68,48\\ 49,70\\ 89,82\\ 30,66\\ 42,97\\ 66,29\\ 55,64\\ 44,97\\ 53,16\\ 45,95\\ 66,29\\ 55,64\\ 75,95\\ 66,29\\ 55,64\\ 75,95\\ 67,46\\ 66,29\\ 55,64\\ 75,95\\ 67,46\\ 66,29\\ 55,64\\ 75,95\\ 71,98\\ 25,51\\ 13\\ 65,26\\ 72,93\\ 71,98\\ 25,51\\ 13\\ 65,26\\ 38,24\\ 31,48\\ 44,83\\ 24,87\\ 35,88\\ 25,33\\ 24,87\\ 35,88\\ 25,33\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7, 99\\ 10, 80\\ 20, 98\\ 4, 02\\ 7, 01\\ 9, 95\\ 9, 95\\ 33\\ 38, 35\\ 25, 45\\ 38, 36\\ 38, 46\\ 59, 54\\ 69, 54\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 10.11\\ 17.36\\ 27.54\\ 6.09\\ 11.34\\ 15.93\\ 7.33\\ 20.03\\ 6.49\\ 40.48\\ 37.11\\ 1.57\\ 48.26\\ 65.28\\ 62.49\\ 37.11\\ 1.82\\ 65.28\\ 76.28\\ 62.49\\ 13.86\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 12.01\\ 13.33\\ 14.32\\ 12.22\\ 13.16\\ 66.33\\ 65.86\\ 66.35\\ 66.33\\ 65.86\\ 66.35\\ 65.86\\ 66.35\\ 65.86\\ 66.35\\ 66.$
Geographic division: North Atlantic South Atlantic North Central South Central Western	$ 19.58 \\ 60.17 \\ 48.30 \\ 70.03 \\ 23.65 $	 15.46 53.15 40.18 63.50 25.32 	$12.31 \\ 49.90 \\ 36.32 \\ 62.83 \\ 26.06$	23.8863.5753.0972.5225.00	$ 19.08 \\ 57.99 \\ 45.09 \\ 66.77 \\ 27.36 $	15.1653.7141.3165.6228.41	6.27 52.02 14.88 63.52 6.05	9.56 57.34 19.38 67.33 7.54
United States.	44.06	37.24	35.25	47.94	41.38	39.04	52.83	57.07

¹ Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, woodchoppers, and turpentine farmers.

TABLE 4.—Relation, by percentages, of agricultural to all gainful occupations, and of agricultural laborers to all agricultural occupations, in number of persons 10 years old and over, employed, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

PERCENTAGE OF PERSONS IN ALL AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS¹ WHO ARE AGRICULTURAL LABORERS, WITHIN EACH GROUP.

		•	Negroes.					
State and geographic division.	1	Both sexes			Male.	Both sexes.	Male.	
	1880	1890	1900	1880	1890	1900	1900	1900
Maine	$ \left. \begin{array}{c} 26, 63\\ 31, 23\\ 34, 71\\ 35, 75\\ 33, 30\\ 38, 29\\ 38, 34\\ 49, 26\\ 55\\ 28, 01\\ 33, 30\\ 38, 29\\ 33, 34\\ 49, 26\\ 55\\ 28, 01\\ 38, 82\\ 56, 28\\ 67, 80\\ 66, 04\\ 93, 05\\ 35, 87\\ 34, 58\\ 29, 48\\ 29,$	$ \begin{array}{c} 21, 73\\ 28, 03\\ 33, 91\\ 39, 78\\ 41, 89\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 33, 59\\ 42, 08\\ 59, 99\\ 51, 73\\ 29, 55\\ 59, 99\\ 51, 72\\ 37, 21\\ 27, 00\\ 29, 27\\ 37, 21\\ 27, 00\\ 29, 27\\ 37, 21\\ 23, 04\\ 29, 27\\ 37, 21\\ 23, 04\\ 29, 27\\ 37, 21\\ 32, 37\\ 41\\ 26, 53\\ 27, 71\\ 23, 06\\ 42, 49\\ 32, 49\\ 36, 49\\ 50, 34\\ 42, 50\\ 34, 54\\ 423, 49\\ 50, 34\\ 461, 76\\ 30, 23\\ 7, 68\\ 34, 54\\ 461, 76\\ 30, 23\\ 7, 68\\ 34, 54\\ 461, 76\\ 30, 23\\ 7, 68\\ 34, 54\\ 461, 76\\ 30, 23\\ 7, 76\\ 83\\ 45, 57\\ 82, 30\\ 43, 57\\ 22, 30\\ 43, 57\\ 22, 30\\ 43, 57\\ 23, 99\\ 39, 93\\ 39, 9$	$\begin{array}{c} 29, 39\\ 33, 79\\ 37, 38\\ 47, 97\\ 49, 13\\ 44, 87\\ 39, 73\\ 48, 50\\ 52, 74\\ 48, 50\\ 52, 74\\ 48, 50\\ 52, 74\\ 54, 74\\ 56, 76\\ 55, 56\\ 54, 76\\ 55, 56\\ 54, 76\\ 55, 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54, 76\\ 56\\ 54\\ 56\\ 55, 58\\$	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 26, 59\\ 31, 24\\ 34, 78\\ 34, 74\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 35, 84\\ 36, 84\\ 38, 89\\ 35, 88\\ 36, 84\\ 36, 86\\ 36, 8$	$ \left\{\begin{array}{c} 22,02\\ 28,33\\ 34,18\\ 40,13\\ 42,21\\ 34,18\\ 42,74\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 30,48\\ 35,06\\ 38,28\\ 49,05\\ 49,67\\ 46,19\\ 40,69\\ 49,13\\ 88,01\\ 48,354\\ 42,64\\ 44,264\\ 44,20\\ 49,24\\ 48,19\\ 48,19\\ 44,19\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 50,00\\ 67,44\\ 84,88\\ 86,23\\ 84,28\\ 86,23\\ 84,28\\ 86,27\\ 83,00\\ 78,98\\ 79,19\\ 70,62\\ 64,60\\ 65,85\\ 67,97\\ 68,34\\ 65,84\\ 67,97\\ 68,34\\ 65,84\\ 65,84\\ 67,97\\ 68,34\\ 65,84\\ 65,84\\ 66,99\\ 66,58\\ 67,97\\ 68,34\\ 88\\ 44,88\\ 46,50\\ 65,50$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.35\\ 69.05\\ 88.71\\ 88.85\\ 83.39\\ 28.8\\ 83.22\\ 85.$
Geographic division: North Atlantic North Atlantic North Central South Central Western	$\begin{array}{c} 33.27\\ 59.04\\ 31.11\\ 53.57\\ 26.75\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 33.01 \\ 48.97 \\ 25.23 \\ 41.43 \\ 30.15 \end{array}$	39.26 52.48 35.15 47.84 35.97	$\begin{array}{c} 33.30 \\ 52.45 \\ 31.13 \\ 46.99 \\ 26.78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 33.44\\ 43.51\\ 25.72\\ 36.07\\ 30.59\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 40.22\\ 46.96\\ 35.79\\ 42.77\\ 36.80\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 84.39\\ 66.77\\ 54.49\\ 61.61\\ 48.26\end{array}$	84. 87 58. 37 55. 30 51. 45 49. 38
United States	43.38	35.48	43.03	39.46	32.83	40.42	63.73	54.65

¹Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers.

 TABLE 4.—Relation, by percentages, of agricultural to all gainful occupations, and of agricultural laborers to all agricultural occupations, in number of persons 10 years old and over employed, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

PERCENTAGE OF NEGROES OF ALL RACES WITHIN EACH GROUP.

	All gaini patie	ful occu- ons.	Agricultu patio	iral occu- ons. ¹	Agricultu er	Agricultural labor- ers.	
State and geographic division.	Both sexes, 1900.	Males 1900.	Both sexes, 1900.	Males, 1900.	Both sexes, 1900.	Males, 1900.	
Maine New Hampshire Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio Indiana. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. North Dakota. South Dakota. Nebraska Kansas. Kansas. Missouri. North Dakota. North Dakota. North Dakota. Nebraska Kansas. Kalabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Mortana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23\\ .22\\ .30\\ 1.37\\ 2.56\\ 2.07\\ 1.97\\ 1.97\\ 1.97\\ 1.97\\ 1.97\\ 1.78\\ 3.28\\ 18.67\\ 13.28\\ 18.67\\ 13.28\\ 2.07\\ 1.78\\ 3.28\\ 2.07\\ 1.78\\ 18.67\\ 2.30\\ 2.94\\ 12.30\\ 2.94\\ 1.2.30\\ 1.75\\ 6.56\\ 1.33\\ .77\\ 6.56\\ 1.33\\ .18\\ .91\\ 4.00\\ 2.51\\ 3.30\\ 66.03\\ 55.53\\ 3.7\\ .66\\ 33.58\\ .79\\ 1.30\\ 2.12\\ 1.46\\ 5.53\\ .38\\ .26\\ 6.08\\ 33.58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .25\\ 1.58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .25\\ .58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .25\\ .58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .26\\ .58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .26\\ .58\\ .26\\ .67\\ .43\\ .82\\ .26\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.20} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.27} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.27} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.18} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ \textbf{.21} \\ \textbf{.22} \\ .2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07\\ .11\\ .17\\ .102\\ 3.19\\ .68\\ .68\\ .681\\ .1.10\\ .26\\ .834\\ .42\\ .26\\ .88\\ .44\\ .21\\ .18\\ .28\\ .51\\ .26\\ .88\\ .44\\ .24\\ .26\\ .88\\ .44\\ .24\\ .26\\ .88\\ .44\\ .11\\ .12\\ .42\\ .26\\ .88\\ .44\\ .11\\ .10\\ .26\\ .88\\ .42\\ .44\\ .11\\ .10\\ .26\\ .68\\ .13\\ .05\\ .05\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06\\ .06$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.066\\ .12\\ .18\\ 1.04\\ 3.22\\ 1.84\\ 1.04\\ 3.22\\ 1.84\\ 1.13\\ 21.37\\ 28.70\\ 27.36\\ 32.84\\ 1.43\\ 30.70\\ 62.08\\ 46.38\\ .74\\ 1.23\\ 30.70\\ 62.08\\ 46.38\\ .74\\ 1.23\\ 30.70\\ 62.08\\ 46.38\\ .74\\ 1.23\\ 30.70\\ 62.52\\ 19.55\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ 1.37\\ .055\\ .068\\ .068\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07\\ .07$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11\\ .23\\ .40\\ .40\\ .50\\ .50\\ .50\\ .50\\ .50\\ .50\\ .50\\ .5$		
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	2.39 41.34 2.36 36.29 .97	$1.97 \\ 35.29 \\ 2.07 \\ 29.95 \\ .85$	1.2143.11.9736.69.23	$1.24 \\ 37.68 \\ .97 \\ 30.73 \\ .23$	$2.61 \\ 54.84 \\ 1.50 \\ 47.25 \\ .30$	2.62 46.84 1.50 36.97 .30	
United States	13.73	11.30	20.58	16.47	30.47	22.27	

¹ Not including lumbermen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers.

TABLE 4.—Relation, by percentages, of agricultural to all gainful occupations, and of agricultural laborers to all agricultural occupations, in number of persons 10 years old and over employed, for all races and for negroes, by sex, and by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900—Continued.

PERCENTAGE OF FEMALES OF BOTH SEXES WITHIN EACH GROUP.

	All ga	inful c	occupa	tions.	Agricu	ıltural	occup	ations.	tions. Agricultural laborer			
State and geographic division.	All races.		Ne-	All races.		Ne-	All races.			Ne-		
	1880	1890	1900	1900.	1880	1890	1900	1900.	1880	1890	1900	1900.
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New York. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Horida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. Nebraska. Kentucky. Tennessee. Alabama. Missisippi. Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Neva. Missioto. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Neva. Mashington. Oregon. Californita.	$\begin{array}{c} 14.45\\ 21.15.25\\ 31.36.32\\ 24.17\\ 19.12\\ 20.51\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 14.90\\ 14.53\\ 11.33\\ 30.63\\ 25.48\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 8.10\\ 10.41\\ 11.33\\ 10.42\\ 11.33\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.53\\ 22.38\\ 22.52\\ 22.52\\ 21.10\\ 19.50\\ 16.54\\ 19.50\\ 10.27\\ 40\\ 10.27\\ 10.57\\ 10.27\\ 10.57\\ 10.27$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.\ 76\\ 23.\ 37\\ 16.\ 86\\ 27.\ 23\\ 27.\ 14\\ 16.\ 86\\ 27.\ 23\\ 22.\ 43\\ 22.\ 99\\ 17.\ 62\\ 22.\ 99\\ 17.\ 72\\ 22.\ 99\\ 17.\ 72\\ 22.\ 33\\ 17.\ 72\\ 22.\ 33\\ 17.\ 72\\ 22.\ 33\\ 14.\ 76\\ 15.\ 90\\ 16.\ 33\\ 14.\ 76\\ 15.\ 90\\ 16.\ 33\\ 14.\ 76\\ 10.\ 94\\ 10.\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 27, 32\\ 39, 200\\ 25, 611\\ 39, 30\\ 39, 30\\ 38, 23\\ 34, 600\\ 38, 23\\ 36, 45\\ 30, 62\\ 28, 35\\ 33, 52\\ 48, 28\\ 22, 83\\ 33, 52\\ 48, 28\\ 22, 35\\ 33, 52\\ 48, 28\\ 22, 53\\ 33, 52\\ 33, $	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0.30\\ -339\\ -339\\ -355\\ -322\\ -20\\ -607\\ -355\\ -322\\ -20\\ -677\\ -355\\ -322\\ -20\\ -677\\ -355\\ -349\\ -595\\ -595\\ -595\\ -595\\ -349\\ -401\\ -355\\ -595\\ -349\\ -401\\ -23\\ -49\\ -69\\ -69\\ -69\\ -69\\ -69\\ -69\\ -69\\ -6$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.86\\ 1.500\\ 2.121\\ 1.35\\ 1.52\\ 2.98\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.298\\ 3.300\\ 2.258\\ 3.202\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.492\\ 2.244\\ 9.22\\ 2.492\\ 2.244\\ 9.22\\ 2.492\\ 2.244\\ 9.22\\ 2.492\\ 2.244\\ 9.244\\ 9.22\\ 2.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.242\\ 9.244\\ 9.242\\ 9.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.49\\ 4.144\\ 2.986\\ 2.63\\ 3.19\\ 2.66\\ 3.319\\ 2.67\\ 3.299\\ 2.67\\ 3.299\\ 2.67\\ 3.299\\ 2.67\\ 2.90\\ 2.66\\ 1.97\\ 2.90\\ 2.66\\ 1.97\\ 2.90\\ 2.66\\ 1.97\\ 2.90\\ 3.24\\ 3.24\\ 2.90\\ 3.20\\ 3.90\\ 2.90\\ 3.30\\ 4.79\\ 1.388\\ 3.50\\ 2.90\\ 3.50\\ 3.70\\ 2.80\\ 3.34\\ 4.90\\ 1.90\\ 2.90\\ 3.30\\ 3.90\\ 3.34\\ 4.90\\ 1.90\\ 2.90\\ 3.90$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.\ 000\\ 2.\ 33\\ .90\\ 1.\ 74\\ 1.\ 13\\ 1.\ 42\\ 2.\ 32\\ 2.\ 52\\ 52\\ 2.\ 52\ 52\\ 2.\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52\ 52$	$\left \begin{array}{c} 0.44\\ .999\\ .997\\ .99$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0.55\\ -444\\ +43\\ -437\\ -855\\ -141\\ -47\\ -52\\ -288\\ -258\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -288\\ -395\\ -552\\ -552\\ -288\\ -888\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63 \\ .555 \\ .668 \\ .677 \\ .999 \\ .444 \\ .2.35 \\ .655 \\ .675 \\ .991 \\ .444 \\ .2.35 \\ .655 \\ .675 \\ .655 \\ .675 \\ .733 \\ .244 \\ .57 \\ .733 \\ .244 \\ .57 \\ .791 \\ .770 \\ .7$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37\\17\\17\\74\\74\\75$
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central South Central. Western.	18.3920.859.5517.535.97	20. 49 22. 01 13. 20 18. 23 9. 31	21.5022.6814.5918.6511.64	$\begin{array}{c} 35.\ 20\\ 34.\ 00\\ 25.\ 30\\ 32.\ 87\\ 22.\ 61 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} .48\\ 16.38\\ .57\\ 14.60\\ .62\end{array}$	$1.92 \\ 14.92 \\ 2.60 \\ 14.03 \\ 2.02$	$\begin{array}{r} 3.28\\ 16.78\\ 2.87\\ 15.04\\ 3.47\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.18\\27.25\\2.75\\28.84\\3.49\end{array} $.40 25.71 .49 25.10 .51	.64 24.42 .71 25.14 .57	$\begin{array}{r} .92\\ 25.54\\ 1.11\\ 24.04\\ 1.24\end{array}$.62 36.40 1.31 40.57 1.24
United States	15.22	17.22	18.30	32.98	7.76	8.02	9. 54	27.60	· 16. 09	14.88	15.04	37.92

•

DECLINE OF WOMEN'S WORK SINCE 1871.

CONDITIONS OF HALF A CENTURY AGO.

The outdoor labor of women on farms has undergone immense reduction within a generation or two. In 1871 this department investigated the subject in all parts of the country, with results that may be found in the report of the Commissioner of Agriculture for 1871. The summary of that investigation is reprinted below:

In New England very little regular labor in the fields is performed by women. The variety of indoor employments issuch as to furnish work of a light and varied character, requiring every degree of skill. Yet in haying, laborers being scarce, the wives and daughters of farmers sometimes aid in spreading and raking hay. In planting, in a few cases girls are wont to aid in "dropping" corn or other seeds planted in hills or drills.

Women sometimes assist in milking, but not so generally as in former generations. In the care of poultry they still have by far greater share. One report states that in some districts in Vermont one-twentieth of the farm work is done by women. In Lincoln County, in Maine, the correspondent writes that "female outdoor labor is unknown—incompatible with New England institutions."

Girls are almost exclusively employed in hop picking wherever hops are grown, their nimble fingers rendering them superior to men or boys; but they usually receive but one-fourth the wages of men in the hopyard. In Barnstable County, Mass., the work of setting out cranberry vines, weeding them, and picking the fruit is mostly done by women, and they obtain for setting and weeding 10 to 12 cents per hour, the same rate paid to men, and $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 cents per quart for picking, in which they average $1\frac{1}{2}$ bushels per day. Women are more efficient than men at this labor.

Canadian women, and occasionally Irish, hire out or work on shares in different parts of New England, though the number employed is not large, and they will undertake nearly all kinds of farm work. "Many of them are as smart as the men," but as a rule they are less efficient and receive proportionately less pay.

Similar customs prevail in New York, comparatively little outdoor service being rendered by American-born women. In tying hopvines and picking hops, in which celerity in digital manipulation is a winning accomplishment—an occupation that is substantially an industrial picnic—they are universally preferred and are paid "by the job," or according to the measure of work done. In picking grapes and other fruit, and in packing fruit for market, they excel, and in some districts find agreeable employment in such service.

Most of the berries of New Jersey, grown so extensively for the markets of New York and Philadelphia, are picked by girls and women, at a given rate per quart, and they often make more than men at the same employment.

In many districts in Pennsylvania very little outdoor employment is undertaken by women, while in others, especially in those less improved, or with a large foreign element in the population, much and various farm work is done by women. In Butler County, which has a large immigrant element, "the women assist in every outdoor operation in which they can make themselves useful, so far as their spare time from the kitchen and dairy will permit, while their comfortable homes show that they do not neglect their household duty." These immigrants "not only do not lose their habits of industry, but are stimulated by the prospect of being able to accumulate enough to educate their children and for sickness and old age." Agricultural machinery is reducing the proportion of female labor required in harvesting, yet a woman may occasionally be seen driving the teams which are the motive power in reaping and mowing, and one who can bind or gather grain with celerity and skill is not difficult to find. The assistance of women in outdoor work is enjoyed to some extent in Delaware, especially in "saving corn fodder," which is much used as a substitute for hay, and in picking peaches for market. The wages paid to women is said to be three-fourths of the rate allowed to men, and "their efficiency is in the same ratio."

Among the poorer classes of whites in some counties in Maryland, the Germans especially, the women assist in such labor as planting, hoeing corn, weeding tobacco, and raking grain. Sometimes they obtain men's wages, but usually about threefourths as much. In such work they are often quite as efficient as men. Negro women have been accustomed to all kinds of farm labor, though generally employed in the lighter branches.

Women assist in farm labor to a very limited extent in Virginia. Since the war, negro women object to field work. Very generally, however, the "small farmers" have occasional assistance from wives and daughters in most of the branches of service enumerated in the record of woman's work in other States. They are especially useful in "worming, suckering, and stripping tobacco," often more efficient than men, but receiving only one-half to two-thirds as much pay. In some counties full wages are paid for work in planting and gathering corn; full pay is often given binders in the wheat harvest who can keep up with the reaper. In Nelson County, "some are expert at crating and seem pleased with it, regarding it as more or less of a frolic."

Throughout the Southern States a large portion of the females among the negroes were accustomed to general farm labor, most of whom now decline it, appearing to regard it as a relic of slavery and not "suited to ladies." It is stated of some States that not more than a fourth part as many do outdoor work as formerly.

White women in North Carolina, to a limited extent, render assistance to husbands and fathers who do their own farm work. In some districts of South Carolina it is said that "20 per cent of the farm labor is performed by women, black and white. On an average they are not paid more than half the wages of men, and their efficiency is in the same ratio.

Very little farm work is done by women in Georgia, never hiring out, except in some instances at cotton picking. Yet there are instances reported, as in Cherokee, in which "a few widows manage their farm without any adult males to help; and they plow, hoe, harvest, bind, and gather their crops, shear sheep, and carry on all farming operations." Similar cases are found in all the Gulf States. In the harvesting of the cane, and in the operations of sugar making, female labor is found efficient; while, in another State, a crusty bachelor maliciously hints that the agricultural occupation preferred by women in his section is "raising Cain."

A large portion of the gardening of Duval County, Fla., is done by women. In Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama, white women upon small farms assist in field occupations more than formerly. Picking cotton is preferred, and when employed for wages, pay is proportionate to the work accomplished. Occasional aid in the light work of the farm, as cotton seeding, or cotton picking, is given in Texas, Arkansas, and Tennessee.

Among the rich lands and large farms of Kentucky very little outdoor work is done by women, either white or black; but in the less opulent hill regions white women do more farm work, and black women less, than formerly. In Missouri, where the same general statement holds good, it is said that "one woman in a garden or at the sorghum kettle is considered equal to two men."

Very little farm work is done by native Americans in all the States of the Ohio Valley and the Lakes, that little being casual assistance in emergencies, as a matter of convenience and sometimes of necessity, as is reported of all other sections of the country. Gardening and fruit picking are preferred, and hop picking, where hops are grown. Immigrants do more outdoor work, "especially for a few years after coming here. As they become Americanized they work less on the farm." "They do all kinds of farm work," says a correspondent in Wisconsin, "and many kinds as well as

the men." As hep pickers in the Northwest they are preferred to men and secure the same pay, but for most farm work do not receive more than one-half or two-thirds of the wages of men.

In Minnesota female immigrants work extensively in all branches of farming. "In binding and shocking grain, some of them are equal to the best of men." Some of them, in times of scarcity of labor and high rates of wages, have received \$2.50 to \$3 per day, when male laborers obtained \$3 to \$3.50.

In Kansas the kitchen garden is generally in charge of the mistress of the farmhouse. But when employed for wages, women get about the same as men for the same amount of work, though this is not invariably the case. In some counties of Nebraska no outdoor work of women is reported; in others much is done in haying and harvesting, some can bind as much wheat as men, "though they can not bind it so tightly," in which cases they get the same pay for it. A correspondent says, "the day is passed in progressive Nebraska for the 'weaker vessel' to get less pay than men for the same work." In Utah it is claimed that women do not generally work out of doors. One report admits that women assist occasionally at harvest, and that they receive half the rate of wages paid to men. Less farm work is done by women in the Pacific States and in the Territories than elsewhere, on account of their comparative paucity of numbers.

REDUCTION OF WOMEN'S WORK TO DOMESTIC AFFAIRS.

With regard to very recent years census statistics of female agricultural labor afford no satisfactory conclusions. A general knowledge of farming conditions throughout the country, past and present, is more definite. The outdoor work of white women on the farms of medium and better sort has very greatly declined from early days, and the decline was more especially marked after the Civil War. Farmers' wives and daughters no longer milk the cows and work in the field and care for the live stock. They do not work in the kitchen garden as much as before, nor assist so much in fruit and berry harvest; they are making less butter, and cheese making on the farm has become a lost art. They may care for the poultry and the bees, do housework and gather vegetables for the table, and cook and keep the dwelling in order. Their domestic work is substantially the limit of their work on the farm.

CHANGES IN GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION.

Changes in the geographic distribution of agricultural laborers from 1870 to 1900 are indicated in Table 5. In 1900, 11 per cent of all male agricultural laborers in the United States were found in the North Atlantic division of States, 20.8 per cent in the South Atlantic States, 32.3 per cent in the North Central States, 31.7 per cent in the South Central States, and 4.2 per cent in the Western States. In the Southern States were found 52.5 per cent of the Nation's male agricultural laborers.

Since 1870 the geographic divisions have undergone changes in relative importance with respect to the number of these laborers. In the former year the South Central States had more of these laborers than any other division of States, the South Atlantic division was next in order, and the North Central division third, while it is now first.

During the 30 years under review, the North Central States gained from 25.2 per cent of the total agricultural laborers to 32.3 per cent, the South Central States gained slightly, while the South Atlantic States lost from 30.4 per cent in 1870 to 20.8 per cent in 1900. The percentage for the North Atlantic States declined slightly to 11 per cent in 1900, while the percentage for the Western States grew to 4.2 per cent in 1900.

 TABLE 5.—Relative importance of geographic divisions in number of agricultural laborers, census of 1870; of male agricultural laborers, censuses of 1880, 1890, and 1900.

	Percentage of United States total.				
Geographic division.	Agricul- tural	Male agricultural laborers.			
	laborers, 1870	1880	1890	1900	
North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central.	12.230.425.230.8	12. 425. 330. 230. 4	$ \begin{array}{r} 13.8 \\ 24.0 \\ 30.2 \\ 28.0 \end{array} $	11.0 20.8 32.3 31.7	
United States	1.4	1.7	4.0	4.2	

ELEMENT OF FOREIGN BORN IN TOTAL WHITE LABORERS.

The rapidity of the agricultural development of this country owes a great deal to the immigrants who came here to do hard work, live, cheaply, and save out of their thrift. They began as farm laborers, eventually bought farms by giving mortgages to secure a portion of the purchase money, and eventually paid off the mortgages.

It is possible to discover how large relatively the foreign-born element was in the white agricultural laborers of 1890 and 1900. The approaching exhaustion of the supply of cheap public land had caused a diminution of the foreign-born element in white agricultural laborers by 1900. In that year the foreign-born white agricultural laborers were 8.51 per cent of all white agricultural laborers, whereas the percentage was 13.10 in 1890.

In the great agricultural region embraced within the North Central division the percentage of white agricultural laborers who were foreign born declined from 19.65 per cent in 1890 to 11.83 per cent in 1900. The decline in the Western States was from 27.29 per cent to 20.86 per cent, and in the remaining divisions the decline was perceptible.

Table 6 has been prepared to show the total number of white agricultural laborers in 1890 and 1900, number of the foreign born among these, and the fraction that the foreign born were of the total.
 TABLE 6.—Number of white and of white foreign-born agricultural laborers and percentage of white foreign born of total white, by States and geographic divisions, censuses of 1890 and 1900.

		White agricultural laborers.								
State and geographic division.	То	tal.	Foreig	n born.	Percentage of foreign of total.					
	1890	1900	1890	1900	1890	1900				
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. Mississippi. Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. Weshington. Oregon. California.	$\begin{array}{c} 16,923\\ 11,533\\ 18,009\\ 26,936\\ 4,606\\ 4,606\\ 14,457\\ 129,718\\ 25,176\\ 97,458\\ 4,782\\ 24,141\\ 23,217\\ 33,235\\ 77,004\\ 42,180\\ 65,279\\ 7,762\\ 104,682\\ 82,830\\ 124,196\\ 65,279\\ 7,462\\ 104,682\\ 82,830\\ 124,196\\ 65,279\\ 7,462\\ 104,682\\ 82,830\\ 124,196\\ 65,279\\ 7,462\\ 104,682\\ 82,830\\ 124,196\\ 82,830\\ 124,196\\ 82,833\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 73,965\\ 74,929\\ 74,929\\ 74,912\\ 74,9$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,945\\ 12,614\\ 18,370\\ 30,915\\ 54,929\\ 19,024\\ 145,831\\ 129,163\\ 119,994\\ 5,948\\ 28,689\\ 77,316\\ 57,433\\ 127,979\\ 56,956\\ 100,779\\ 16,318\\ 135,154\\ 116,876\\ 182,687\\ 996,760\\ 93,468\\ 94,041\\ 133,174\\ 154,172\\ 23,996\\ 26,580\\ 59,441\\ 87,474\\ 154,172\\ 23,966\\ 26,582\\ 105,323\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 651\\ 1, 536\\ 2, 352\\ 9, 574\\ 1, 648\\ 4, 374\\ 22, 401\\ 15, 203\\ 5, 203\\ 5, 203\\ 1, 90$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,325\\ 1,759\\ 1,979\\ 1,979\\ 1,2,254\\ 4,23,726\\ 6,258\\ 6,467\\ 1,75\\ 1,627\\ 3200\\ 104\\ 222\\ 5,823\\ 2,607\\ 1,7,986\\ 15,490\\ 104\\ 222\\ 5,823\\ 2,607\\ 1,7,986\\ 15,490\\ 17,741\\ 27,601\\ 27,601\\ 12,761\\ 27,601\\ 11,405\\ 6,534\\ 4,90\\ 17,791\\ 3,619\\ 3,619\\ 3,077\\ 1,7,802\\ 3,077\\ 1,75\\ 1,97\\ 4,202\\ 3,973\\ 3,57\\ 2,150\\ 307\\ 1,75\\ 1,97\\ 4,202\\ 3,973\\ 3,57\\ 2,150\\ 307\\ 1,75\\ 1,97\\ 4,202\\ 3,772\\ 2,150\\ 3,020\\ 3,020\\ 3,020\\ 3,020\\ 2,127\\ 15,516\\ 0,51$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.76\\ 13.32\\ 13.06\\ 35.54\\ 30.26\\ 18.04\\ 20.67\\ 5.98\\ 4.81\\ 7.88\\ 22.26\\ 6.53\\ .68\\ .111\\ .23\\ .15\\ 4.36\\ 6.71\\ 3.89\\ 17.97\\ 21.69\\ 31.36\\ 6.034\\ 20.65\\ 5.66\\ 6.8.08\\ 46.74\\ 32.12\\ 14.28\\ .7.09\\ 7.93\\ 21.69\\ 31.36\\ 6.55\\ 5.66\\ 6.8.08\\ 46.74\\ 32.12\\ 14.28\\ .703\\ 7.93\\ 21.69\\ 31.36\\ 6.55\\ 5.66\\ 6.8.08\\ 46.74\\ 32.12\\ 14.28\\ .703\\ 7.93\\ 21.72\\ 17.85\\ 5.14\\ 41.84\\ 22.30\\ 41.484\\ 22.30\\ 41.84\\ 42.33\\ 41.88\\ 9.27.56\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 6.55\\ 7.75\\ 8.34\\ 8.90\\ 9.27.56\\ 17.18\\ 34.81\\ 1.88\\ 9.21\\ 17.88\\ 34.81\\ 1.88$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.59\\ 13.94\\ 10.77\\ 39.64\\ 40.77\\ 39.64\\ 39.20\\ 33.03\\ 16.27\\ 21.46\\ 5.39\\ 2.94\\ 5.67\\ 14.46\\ 7.14\\ .10\\ .10\\ .136\\ 4.31\\ .223\\ 9.85\\ 16.01\\ 18.98\\ 29.35\\ 13.52\\ 2.35\\ 47.53\\ 24.58\\ 16.45\\ .24\\ .24\\ .25\\ 13.52\\ .2.35\\ .2.35\\ .2.35\\ .2.35\\ .2.35\\ .2.45\\ .2.4\\ .24\\ .24\\ .24\\ .24\\ .24\\ .25\\ .25\\ .2.5$				
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Altantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	344,816 307,378 759,964 380,958 89,994	$\begin{array}{r} 402,785\\ 471,750\\ 1,203,823\\ 820,792\\ 139,734\end{array}$	55,566 3,303 149,324 13,843 24,558	62,985 2,819 142,394 21,136 29,145	$16.11 \\ 1.07 \\ 19.65 \\ 3.63 \\ 27.29$	$15.64 \\ .60 \\ 11.83 \\ 2.58 \\ 20.86$				
United States	1,883,110	3,038,884	246, 594	258, 479	13.10	8.51				

NUMBER OF LABORERS WITH FOREIGN PARENTAGE.

In continuation of a study of the contributions of foreign countries to the agricultural labor of this country, Table 7 has been compiled from the census report of occupations for 1900. It presents in detail the number of agricultural laborers that were of foreign parentage, of both sexes, in 1900, with classification of these laborers
according to the countries of parent nativity. Of the 4,410,877 agricultural laborers in the United States in 1900, 765,555 had foreign parentage. Details may be found by States and geographic divisions and by countries of parentage in Table 7.

TABLE 7.—Number of agricultural laborers of both sexes with foreign parentage, by principal foreign countries, and by States and geographic divisions, census of 1900.

		Ag	ricultural la	borers with	n foreign pa	rentage, 1900).				
State and geographic division.	Aggregate agricultu- ral laborers, 1900.	Total.	Having either both parents born as specified, or one parent born as specified and one parent native.								
			Austria- Hungary.	Canada- English.	Canada- French.	Germany.	Great Britain.				
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware. Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. West Virginia. North Carolina Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Hlinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. Kansas. Kansas. Kansas. Kansas. Kansas. Missisippi. Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. Washington. Oregon. California.	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 976\\ 12, 714\\ 18, 443\\ 31, 515\\ 5, 304\\ 19, 847\\ 148, 456\\ 33, 220\\ 123, 208\\ 9, 126\\ 50, 134\\ 6, 18\\ 138, 613\\ 58, 796\\ 233, 288\\ 237, 326\\ 232, 347\\ 37, 343\\ 138, 062\\ 233, 288\\ 237, 326\\ 233, 288\\ 237, 326\\ 118, 498\\ 134, 959\\ 97, 527\\ 93, 718\\ 94, 195\\ 133, 450\\ 126, 749\\ 97, 527\\ 93, 718\\ 94, 195\\ 133, 450\\ 126, 749\\ 97, 527\\ 93, 718\\ 89, 271\\ 133, 450\\ 126, 749\\ 133, 450\\ 24, 193\\ 26, 749\\ 97, 527\\ 93, 718\\ 89, 271\\ 133, 450\\ 256, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 266, 668\\ 173, 510\\ 273, 188\\ 68, 478\\ 3333\\ 8, 698$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,794\\ 3,028\\ 5,585\\ 17,694\\ 2,546\\ 9,418\\ 55,620\\ 10,222\\ 17,240\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,262\\ 3,768\\ 1,268$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 123\\ 3360\\ 621\\ 494\\ 336\\ 2\\ 171\\ 74\\ 8\\ 3\\ 3\\ 5\\ 8\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 2\\ 8\\ 2\\ 124\\ 389\\ 250\\ 2\\ 523\\ 2\\ 8\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 655\\ 779\\ 3\\ 11\\ 10\\ 9\\ 6\\ 32\\ 2\\ 4\\ 694\\ 4\\ 278\\ 108\\ 48\\ 4\\ 99\\ 4\\ 11\\ 26\\ 125\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 26\\ 125\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 11\\ 26\\ 125\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 109\\ 303\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 619\\ 937\\ 1, 189\\ 202\\ 3, 351\\ 43\\ 221\\ 3, 351\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 35\\ 15\\ 2\\ 23\\ 24\\ 391\\ 666\\ 965\\ 11, 102\\ 1, 666\\ 2, 218\\ 2, 011\\ 626\\ 11, 102\\ 1, 666\\ 2, 218\\ 2, 011\\ 624\\ 391\\ 1, 666\\ 3, 218\\ 396\\ 306\\ 1, 102\\ 1, 668\\ 3, 218\\ 396\\ 302\\ 1, 53\\ 331\\ 108\\ 478\\ 88\\ 88\\ 302\\ 15\\ 535\\ 613\\ 308\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 388\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 388\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 388\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 388\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 372\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, 398\\ 1, $	$\begin{array}{c} 879\\ 879\\ 754\\ 2,132\\ 1,920\\ 337\\ 445\\ 20\\ 39\\ \hline \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	$\begin{array}{c} 41\\ 50\\ 84\\ 663\\ 61\\ 1,230\\ 15,981\\ 3,376\\ 6,924\\ 4\\ 105\\ 2,302\\ 300\\ 506\\ 75\\ 139\\ 112\\ 134\\ 417,206\\ 35,457\\ 13,897\\ 14,262\\ 2,590\\ 4,644\\ 13,581\\ 13,581\\ 29,408\\ 14,262\\ 2,590\\ 4,644\\ 13,581\\ 13,581\\ 14,262\\ 2,590\\ 4,644\\ 13,581\\ 14,262\\ 2,590\\ 4,644\\ 13,581\\ 14,262\\ 2,590\\ 4,644\\ 465\\ 507\\ 12,375\\ 1,496\\ 10,82\\ 229\\ 10,220\\ 67\\ 40\\ 88\\ 1,477\\ 1,640\\ 4,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 4,678\\ 1,640\\ 4,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,640\\ 1,678\\ 1,6$	$\begin{array}{c} 299\\ 366\\ 572\\ 1, 602\\ 578\\ 913\\ 2, 239\\ 254\\ 245\\ 265\\ 203\\ 123\\ 244\\ 245\\ 265\\ 203\\ 143\\ 145\\ 3, 114\\ 1, 019\\ 5, 842\\ 25, 652\\ 914\\ 3, 314\\ 145\\ 3, 114\\ 1, 019\\ 5, 842\\ 2, 164\\ 4, 3, 314\\ 228\\ 964\\ 3, 384\\ 3, 3, 213\\ 3, 312\\ 3, 3$				
Geographic division: North Atlantic South Atlantic North Central. South central. Western.	$\begin{array}{r} 414,683\\ 1,047,591\\ 1,223,143\\ 1,565,831\\ 159,629\end{array}$	126, 1478, 719497, 5.7956, 42276, 088	1,95527414,7315,168730	$11,362 \\ 166 \\ 24,139 \\ 834 \\ 3,554$		$28,410 \\ 3,717 \\ 201,160 \\ 18,888 \\ 10,852$	15,068 1,274 35,873 3,237 11,563				
United States	4, 410, 877	765, 555	22, 858	40,055	16, 487	263,027	67,015				

		Agricultu	ıral laborei	s with fore	ign parent	age, 1900.			
State and geographic division.	Having either both parents born as specified, or one parent born as specified and one parent native.								
	Ireland.	Italy.	Poland.	Russia.	Scandi- navia.	Other countries	parent- age.		
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania. Delaware. Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia. West Virginia North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. North Dakota. North Dakota. Kansas. Kentucky. Tennessee. Alabama. Missisippi Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Misas. Montana. Montana. Missisippi Louisiana. Misas. Montana. Myoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Montana. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massa. Montana. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington. Oregon. California. Massington	$\begin{array}{c} 396\\ 503\\ 1,135\\ 6,238\\ 709\\ 3,030\\ 15,844\\ 2,731\\ 4,920\\ 2,731\\ 196\\ 373\\ 22,736\\ 1,656\\ 8,245\\ 3,73\\ 96\\ 144\\ 52\\ 2,766\\ 8,245\\ 5,087\\ 7,747\\ 7,191\\ 1,656\\ 8,245\\ 5,087\\ 7,747\\ 7,191\\ 2,191\\ 2,453\\ 2,766\\ 161\\ 161\\ 263\\ 3174\\ 935\\ 5598\\ 139\\ 555\\ 8,943\\ 50\\ 195\\ 679\\ 451\\ 3,943\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12\\ 18\\ 8\\ 8\\ 267\\ 221\\ 221\\ 221\\ 14\\ 15\\ 25\\ 3\\ 3\\ 6\\ 6\\ 11\\ 16\\ 62\\ 17\\ 60\\ 9\\ 18\\ 41\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 9\\ 2\\ 2\\ 5\\ 5\\ 18\\ 22\\ 28\\ 86\\ 14\\ 101\\ 3, 761\\ 4\\ 3\\ 3\\ 167\\ 13\\ 3\\ 167\\ 13\\ 3\\ 167\\ 17\\ 213\\ 3\\ 20\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 38\\ 33\\ 31,279\\ 61,046\\ 2,195\\ 5100\\ 2900\\ 3\\ 3\\ 108\\ \hline \\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 2\\ 116\\ 6\\ 248\\ 737\\ 1\\ 2\\ 305\\ 1,331\\ 42\\ 2\\ 87\\ 737\\ 182\\ 108\\ 448\\ 448\\ 448\\ 448\\ 448\\ 448\\ 18\\ 70\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 1\\ 808\\ 88\\ 18\\ 71\\ 1\\ 1\\ 808\\ 18\\ 18\\ 71\\ 1\\ 1\\ 808\\ 18\\ 18\\ 71\\ 1\\ 1\\ 808\\ 18\\ 18\\ 71\\ 1\\ 1\\ 808\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 11\\ 1\\ 1\\ 808\\ 18\\ 18\\ 11\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 59\\ 18\\ 294\\ 8\\ 8\\ 287\\ 506\\ 323\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 3\\ 3\\ 68\\ 68\\ 1\\ 1\\ 4\\ 29\\ 14\\ 234\\ 66\\ 27\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 70\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 70\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 70\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 7\\ 1,401\\ 2,189\\ 10\\ 3\\ 3\\ 11\\ 139\\ 103\\ 3\\ 114\\ 149\\ 103\\ 114\\ 140\\ 140\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100$	$\begin{array}{c} 268\\83\\48\\678\\292\\20\\1,004\\1,209\\268\\292\\20\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\292\\20\\35\\302\\13\\3084\\47,004\\5,776\\3,611\\21\\64\\400\\44\\43\\1,040\\191\\114\\114\\40\\40\\191\\114\\114\\40\\5,776\\3,611\\21\\35\\393\\4,1,000\\574\\40\\191\\111\\114\\43\\31,040\\20\\574\\40\\44\\43\\1,040\\20\\574\\40\\44\\43\\1,040\\20\\574\\40\\110\\110\\110\\10\\574\\1,576\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\20\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 42\\ 46\\ 82\\ 1,344\\ 510\\ 315\\ 2,700\\ 631\\ 215\\ 2,700\\ 671\\ 235\\ 315\\ 2,700\\ 671\\ 2,700\\ 630\\ 1,22\\ 294\\ 4,32\\ 2,266\\ 1,434\\ 2,226\\ 1,434\\ 3,459\\ 4,342\\ 2,266\\ 1,434\\ 3,459\\ 3,459\\ 3,459\\ 3,459\\ 3,452\\ 2,266\\ 1,434\\ 3,755\\ 1,404\\ 3,755\\ 201\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101\\ 101$	$\begin{array}{c} 232\\ 166\\ 275\\ 575\\ 60\\ 237\\ 2,173\\ 287\\ 556\\ 200\\ 101\\ 12\\ 2\\ 61\\ 411\\ 8\\ 6\\ 6\\ 233\\ 222\\ 830\\ 479\\ 2,014\\ 4,437\\ 2,670\\ 790\\ 1,215\\ 859\\ 1,636\\ 246\\ 246\\ 246\\ 246\\ 246\\ 246\\ 246\\ 24$		
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$\begin{array}{r} 35,506 \\ 1,706 \\ 52,821 \\ 3,543 \\ 6,828 \end{array}$	$2,804 \\ 101 \\ 374 \\ 4,523 \\ 3,995$	5,398 118 6,763 903 56	1,657897,171574545	${}^{4, 414}_{133}_{99, 774}_{1, 557}_{7, 812}$	6, 340 847 25, 990 15, 619 25, 656	4,561 284 21,975 1,428 4,248		
United States	100,404	11,797	13,238	10,036	113,690	74,452	32, 496		

54613°—Bull. 94—12—3

PERCENTAGE OF LABORERS WITH FOREIGN PARENTAGE.

From Table 7 percentages have been computed to be presented in Table 8 to express the relative importance of each foreign country in contributing agricultural laborers to this country as appeared in the census of 1900. Of the total number of agricultural laborers, 17.36 per cent had foreign parentage, but the percentage varies enormously among the geographic divisions. In the Western division, 48.04 per cent of the agricultural laborers had foreign parentage; in the North Central division, 40.68 per cent; in the North Atlantic division, 30.42 per cent; in the South Central division, 3.60 per cent; and in the South Atlantic division, 0.83 of 1 per cent.

RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PRINCIPAL COUNTRIES.

The country that contributed the largest fraction to the agricultural laborers was Germany, for which the percentage was 5.96 in the total number of such laborers in the United States. In the North Central division the percentage expressing German nativity was 16.45; in the North Atlantic division, 6.85; and in the Western division, 6.80. Neither Ireland nor Scandinavia equals the German contribution to the agricultural laborers of this country.

The contribution of Scandinavia to the agricultural laborers of the United States in 1900 was 2.58 per cent of all agricultural laborers, and this percentage places Scandinavia second to Germany in importance. The Scandinavian contribution to the North Central division was 8.16 per cent and to the Western division 4.89 per cent.

Ireland stands third as a contributor to the agricultural laborers of this country as found in 1900. The Irish contribution is 2.27 per cent of all agricultural laborers; the figures for the North Atlantic division being 8.56 per cent; for the North Central division, 4.32 per cent; and for the Western division, 4.28 per cent.

Great Britain stands fourth in importance as a contributor, with 1.52 per cent for the United States, 7.24 per cent for the Western division, 3.63 per cent for the North Atlantic division, and 2.93 per cent for the North Central division. TABLE S.—Percentage of agricultural laborers of both sexes with foreign parentage of the total agricultural laborers, by principal foreign countries, and by States and geographic divisions, census of 1900.

	Agricultural laborers with foreign parentage, 1900.												
		Havi	ng eith	er bot	h pare specifi	nts bo ed and	rn as s one pa	specifie arent r	ed or o lative.	ne par	ent bo	rn as	entage.
State and geographic division.	Total.	Austria - Hun- gary.	Canada, English.	Canada, French.	Germany.	Great Britain.	Ireland.	Italy.	Poland.	Russia.	Scandinavia.	Other countries.	Mixed foreign par
Maine	$\begin{array}{c} 21.81\\ 23.95\\ 30.28\\ 30.5\\ 30.61\\ 448.76\\ 7.7\\ 30.77\\ 30.77\\ 30.77\\ 30.77\\ 30.77\\ 30.77\\ 10.7\\ 30.77\\ 10.7\\$	0.06 0.05 0.39 0.6 0.5 0.42 0.27 0.22 0.7 0.24 0.1 0.24 0.27 0.22 0.21 0.24 0.22 0.21 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.25 0.55	$\begin{array}{c} 11.92\\ 7.41\\ 6.45\\ 8.60\\ 1.70\\ 2.26\\ 8.60\\ 1.02\\ 2.26\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 2.26\\ 1.02$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00\\ 5.96\\ 6.09\\ 6.45\\ 0.60\\ 0.60\\ 0.60\\ 0.60\\ 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 19 \\ -400 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.36\\ 2.900\\ 3.100\\ 0.508\\ 4.790\\ 3.275\\ 1.82\\ 2.75\\ 1.82\\ 2.75\\ 1.82\\ 2.75\\ 1.82\\ 2.75\\ 1.82\\ 1.82\\ 3.91\\ 1.91\\ 3.5\\ 0.02\\ 0.04\\ 3.91\\ 3.5\\ 0.02\\ 0.04\\ 3.91\\ 3.5\\ 0.02\\ 0.04\\ 3.16\\ 5.83\\ 3.10\\ 3.60\\ 0.6\\ 6.5\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 8.08\\ 1.021\\ 1.15\\ 1.02\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80\\ 3.98\\ 6.19\\ 8.00\\ 13.58\\ 2.23\\ 9.99\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 2.39\\ 1.08\\ 4.40\\ 0.1\\ 4.40\\ 1.44\\ 2.00\\ 1.44\\ 1.44\\ 2.00\\ 1.44\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05\\ .14\\ .04\\ .85\\ .4.23\\ .25\\ .25\\ .25\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .03\\ .01\\ .04\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .04\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .01\\ .04\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .01\\ .04\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .02\\ .03\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01\\ .01$	0.30 1.40 1.23 0.18 1.53 0.24 0.01 1.48 0.22 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03	0.02 .47 .100 .93 .15 .146 .47 .13 .03 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01	$\begin{array}{c} 1.22\\ .666\\ .266\\ .266\\ .260\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 19 \\ 3.6 \\ .$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 06 \\ 1 & 31 \\ 1 & 49 \\ 1 & 83 \\ 1 & 15 \\ 2 & 20 \\ 1 & 20 \\$
North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$30. 42 \\ .83 \\ 40. 68 \\ 3. 60 \\ 48. 04$.47 .03 1.20 .33 .46	$2.74 \\ .02 \\ 1.97 \\ .05 \\ 2.23$	2.09 .56 .01 .53	$\begin{array}{r} 6.85 \\ .35 \\ 16.45 \\ 1.20 \\ 6.80 \end{array}$	3.63 .12 2.93 .21 7.24	$8.56 \\ .16 \\ 4.32 \\ .22 \\ 4.28$.68 .01 .03 .29 2.50	$1.30 \\ .01 \\ .55 \\ .06 \\ .04$.40 .01 .59 .04 .34	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.07 \\ .01 \\ 8.16 \\ .10 \\ 4.89 \\ \end{array} $	$1.53 \\ .08 \\ 2.12 \\ 1.00 \\ 16.07$	$1.10 \\ .03 \\ 1.80 \\ .09 \\ 2.66$
United States .	17.36	. 52	. 91	. 37	5.96	1. 52	2.27	.27	. 30	• 23	2.58	1.69	.74

SUPPLY BY IMMIGRATION AT LOW EBB.

PLACING IMMIGRANTS ON THE LAND.

The long period of cheap and fertile public land available to the immigrant has expired. The rich contributions of Germans and Scandinavians and Celts to the agriculture of the Nation have apparently nearly ceased. Land in private ownership at moderate prices is still available, but the immigrant does not seek it. Immigration is to the city and to nonagricultural employment. Efforts to divert the immigrant to the land have been made and some of them are now in operation, but the success is not perceptible. The immigrant will not come.

An attempt was made a few years ago by a Southern State to induce immigration to agricultural land, but without results. Indeed, much of the South is averse to immigration. Some foreign nations maintain at several principal ports at which immigrants land offices through which agricultural laborers may be obtained, but the number of laborers so procured is small.

BY THE BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION.

The Division of Information in the Bureau of Immigration and Naturalization maintains a service through which employment is found for immigrants. A portion of the record of the work of that office is expressed in Table 9. In the first place, it is well to bear in mind that the population figures of immigration are to some extent and sometimes largely deceptive for the reason that the contrary flow of former immigrants back to their native countries always exists in proportions that are at least considerable. For instance, in the fiscal year 1908 over 750,000 immigrants were admitted to this country, and in the year 1911 more than 1,000,000, but during each of these years the immigrant departures were 50 per cent of the admissions. Although 750,000 immigrants arrived in 1909, the departures of immigrants during that year were 30 per cent of that number, and the departures of 1910 were 17 per cent of more than 1,000,000 immigrant arrivals.

The record of the Division of Information of the Bureau of Immigration and Naturalization shows that during the 15 months ending June 30, 1909, 2,636 immigrants were placed in agricultural work through the services of that office, a number which was 53 per cent of all persons for whom employment was found. The number of persons in the fiscal year 1910 for whom employment was found in agriculture was 2,761, or 64 per cent of all persons for whom employment was found. The number for 1911 was 3,087, and the percentage 60.

NEW YORK'S FARM EMPLOYMENT OFFICE.

As a sample of what some of the States are doing to direct laborers to the farm, New York is selected. The Department of Agriculture of the State of New York maintains at Albany an employment bureau for supplying labor to farmers, and the public record of that office may be found in Table 10. Through the efforts of that office in the year ending September 30, 1906, 4,171 persons were placed on farms in response to demands for labor, and, in 1907, 4,624 persons, some of these persons in each year being family members, not doing farm work. In 1908 the services of the employment office of the New York Department of Agriculture secured for farmers 3,295 single farm hands and 80 families containing 320 members, or 3,615 persons in all. The number was increased in 1909 and very much increased in 1910, in which year the number of single farm hands for whom employment was found was 4,576 and the number of families 122, with 368 members, or a total of 4,944 persons.

AGRICULTURAL COLONIES.

It is to be borne in mind that some immigrants are now going into agriculture in this country without passing through employment offices, but the number is comparatively small. In recent years there have been several movements to establish farming colonies. The Jewish Agricultural and Industrial Aid Society of New York City has placed a considerable number of agricultural colonies of Jewish immigrants at various points in New England and New Jersey. The Salvation Army has established two farming colonies, in Ohio and Colorado; and several Italian agricultural colonies have been established, usually with specialization in horticulture and viticulture.

TABLE 5.—Inthigration and	and Naturalization.	ine Darcaa of Immigration
	1	

migration and its distribution to agriculture by the Burgay of Immigration

		Immigrar	nt aliens.	
Year ending June 30—	Admitted.	Departed.	Remaining.	Percent- age re- maining.
1908. 1909. 1910. 1911.	782,870751,7861,041,5701,030,300	395,073 225,802 177,982 518,215	$\begin{array}{r} 387,797\\ 525,984\\ 863,588\\ 512,085\end{array}$	50 70 83 50

	Occupations found.									
Period.	Number of persons.									
	Farm workers.	Florists.	Ranch- men.	Settlers.	Total agri- culture.	Total all occupa- tions.	age in agri- culture.			
15 months ending June 30, 1909 12 months ending June 30, 1910 12 months ending June 30, 1911	2,565 2,747 3,083	39 7 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	31 5 3	2,636 2,761 3,087	5,008 4,283 5,176	53 64 60			

Veer ending September 20	Total	Single	Families.		
Teat ending September 30-	persons.	hands.	Number.	Persons.	
1906	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 4,171 \\ 1 4,624 \\ 3,615 \\ 3,883 \\ 4,944 \\ \end{array} $	3,295 3,635 4,576	80 82 122	320 248 368	

TABLE 10.—Labor sent to farmers by the New York Department of Agriculture.

¹ Including a fair percentage of families.

NEARBY CITIES AS AFFECTING FARM WAGE RATES.

OPPOSITE EFFECTS DISCOVERED.

When employments are competitive, their wage rates must be competitive. Many an agricultural laborer can become the conductor or motorman of a street, surburban, or interurban electric car; he can find employment in numerous directions in the nearby town or city, or shop or factory. If the farm does not meet the competition of other employments, it must suffer the loss of some of its laborers. This in fact is what has happened in this country. The farm has lost laborers and has been unable to obtain laborers because it has not met the wages of competitive employments. The effort of the farm to meet the competition for its labor is often apparent within a rim of country surrounding cities of considerable size. In the nineteenth investigation of the wages of farm labor made by this bureau, details of which are given in Bulletin 99, the farm wage rates of counties containing cities of more than 25,000 population are compared with wage rates in the rest of the State, with results that may be found in Table 11.

The difference between the farm wages of such counties and the rest of the State is sometimes small and is often higher in such counties, but not everywhere so. In case of a lower wage rate in a county containing a city of 25,000 persons or more than in the rest of the State, it may be that the sort of labor required by the farms in such county is not of as high an order as that required by farms in the rest of the State.

It is unnecessary to review in detail the testimony of Table 11. The contrast of city counties with the rest of each State presents a large amount of details which need explanation varying in accordance with the conditions prevailing in each State.

GLAMOUR OF THE CITY.

In spite of all that the farmer has done or been able to do, there has been a drift of labor from farm to city and industry, and the potential supply of farm labor has been diverted from the farm. The movement of farm labor to town and city, and to industry and transportation, is to be accounted for quite as much by the student of psychology as by the student of economics. To the farm laborer who has been in the city little if at all, there is a glamour in city life which has a powerful influence upon his volition. The case is similar to that of the boy who runs away from home to hunt Indians. When this is joined to the greater nominal rate of wages that can be earned in the city, the combination of a little reasoning with a good deal of imagination is likely to rob the farmer of his hired man.

TABLE 11.—Average wage rates of outdoor labor of men on farms, by States, 1909—Comparison of counties containing cities of more than 25,000 population with rest of State.

	Rate per	month, in	hiring by	the year.	Rate per month, in hiring by the season.					
State.	Without	t board.	With	ooard.	Without	t board.	With board.			
	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.		
Maine. New Hampshire. Wermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware. Maryland. Virginia. North Carolina. South Carolina. South Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio. Indiana. Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minesota. Jowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. Nebraska Kentucky. Tennessee. Alabama. Mosiana. Texas. Colabama. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona.	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c} \$33.\ 68\\ 35.\ 12\\ 34.\ 62\\ 37.\ 50\\ 30.\ 49\\ 29.\ 30\\ 29.\ 30\\ 27.\ 07\\ 22.\ 94\\ 22.\ 05\\ 19.\ 35.\ 90\\ 29.\ 30\\ 27.\ 07\\ 22.\ 94\\ 22.\ 05\\ 19.\ 35.\ 90\\ 29.\ 30\\ 29.\ 30\\ 29.\ 30\\ 29.\ 10\\ 25.\ 57\\ 17.\ 94\\ 22.\ 95\\ 20.\ 12\\ 25.\ 57\\ 20.\ 12\\ 34.\ 31\\ 26.\ 04\\ 40.\ 86\\ 35.\ 96\\ 35.\ 96\\ 35.\ 96\\ 35.\ 96\\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\\ 18.\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\\ 18.\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\\ 18.\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\ 18.\ 74\\ 23.\ 16\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.\ 18.$	\$24.62 21.00 22.56 24.09 22.09 18.62 17.21 16.67 16.47 16.47 16.48 19.25 15.17 16.33 19.86 18.92 23.59 21.94 23.96 27.70 23.96 27.70 24.29 22.61 17.11 12.80 14.58 13.40 17.02 14.58	$\begin{array}{c} \$22.98\\ 22.98\\ 22.53\\ 24.63\\ 23.67\\ 19.25\\ 23.00\\ 22.08\\ 18.88\\ 17.75\\ 14.90\\ 15.92\\ 12.28\\ 17.75\\ 10.92\\ 12.92\\ 12.92\\ 12.92\\ 12.92\\ 12.94\\ 19.10\\ 19.39\\ 22.82\\ 21.54\\ 24.42\\ 23.94\\ 24.42\\ 23.94\\ 24.42\\ 23.94\\ 12.60\\ 60\\ 25.01\\ 15.03\\ 13.32\\ 11.83\\ 11.96\\ 14.49\\ 13.32\\ 11.83\\ 12.92\\ 13.04\\ 16.64\\ 18.69\\ 14.49\\ 35.00\\ 30.75\\ 28.37\\ 9\\ 35.79\\$	$\begin{array}{c} & $40.00\\ 40.00\\ 40.00\\ \\ & $4.29\\ 51.25\\ 39.00\\ 35.81\\ 35.92\\ 30.90\\ 28.55\\ 32.41\\ 22.25\\ 37.50\\ \\ \hline \\ & $32.41\\ 22.25\\ 37.50\\ \\ \hline \\ & $30.63\\ 34.05\\ 35.96\\ 36.45\\ 55.00\\ 37.41\\ 33.34\\ \\ \hline \\ & $35.00\\ 35.00\\ 31.00\\ 35.00\\ 31.00\\ 35.00\\ 31.00\\ 35.00\\ 31.00\\ 35.00\\ 31.00\\ 32.66\\ 28.61\\ \\ \hline \\ & $22.50\\ 60.00\\ \\ \hline \\ & $47.50\\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$38.34\\ 38.82\\ 37.44\\ 43.75\\ 36.00\\ 38.33\\ 34.84\\ 32.79\\ 30.44\\ 23.25\\ 22.80\\ 20.21\\ 80.22\\ 80.66\\ 20.21\\ 16.39\\ 18.92\\ 25.28.66\\ 20.21\\ 16.39\\ 18.92\\ 25.28.69\\ 31.57\\ 33.73\\ 38.34\\ 39.70\\ 32.925\\ 28.69\\ 31.57\\ 33.73\\ 38.34\\ 39.70\\ 32.52\\ 28.65\\ 44.52\\ 38.32\\ 41.52\\ 38.34\\ 41.52\\ 35.34\\ 41.52\\ 4$	\$27.88 25.00 29.71 31.50 24.38 26.11 21.75 20.23 18.54 18.27 15.50 22.00 22.00 22.00 22.00 22.00 23.05 26.32 25.73 28.59 32.12 29.50 22.95 22.95 22.95 22.95 22.07 15.35 13.09 13.83 20.66 16.80 16.80 16.80	$\begin{array}{c} \$27.57\\ 26.47\\ 26.86\\ 28.33\\ 21.25\\ 28.00\\ 25.98\\ 22.14\\ 20.92\\ 16.44\\ 20.92\\ 16.44\\ 20.92\\ 16.64\\ 16.62\\ 20.99\\ 12.66\\ 16.02\\ 20.99\\ 12.65\\ 22.5.05\\ 22.03\\$		
Idaho Washington. Oregon. California	42.09 40.00 43.18	$\begin{array}{c c} 48.25 \\ 47.08 \\ 44.93 \\ 40.68 \\ 46.29 \\ \end{array}$	28.89 27.50 30.12	37.50 34.49 31.62 29.49 31.94	$\begin{array}{r} 46.64\\ 46.75\\ 46.39\end{array}$	$ 50.23 \\ 52.64 \\ 49.74 \\ 44.69 \\ 48.09 $	33.64 34.75 34.02	40.83 40.45 36.89 34.02 35.03		

39

TABLE 11.—Average wage rates of outdoor labor of men on farms, by States, 1909—Comparison of counties containing cities of more than 25,000 population with rest of State—Continued.

	Rat	e per day,	harvest w	ork.	Rate per day, other than harvest work.				
State.	Withou	t board.	With	board.	Withou	t board.	With board.		
	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.	City counties.	Rest of State.	
Maine. New Hampshire	\$1.62 2.01 2.251 2.88 2.08 2.01 1.95 1.63 1.95 .62 1.08 2.01 .62 .63 .33 .00 .63	$\begin{array}{c} \$2.05\\ 2.13\\ 2.14\\ 2.08\\ 1.62\\ 2.08\\ 1.65\\ 2.06\\ 2.00\\ 1.85\\ 2.06\\ 2.00\\ 1.85\\ 2.06\\ 2.10\\ 1.85\\ 1.37\\ 1.52\\ 1.37\\ 1.52\\ 1.37\\ 1.53\\ 1.20\\ 1.07\\ 1.12\\ 1.13\\ 1.20\\ 2.10\\ 2.10\\ 1.97\\ 2.10\\ 2.10\\ 1.97\\ 2.10\\ 2.10\\ 1.97\\ 2.10\\ 1.97\\ 2.10\\ 1.13\\ 1.13\\ 1.16\\ 1.35\\ 1.13\\ 1.13\\ 1.13\\ 1.16\\ 1.44\\ 1.81\\ 1.37\\ 2.38\\ 2.36\\ 2.46\\ 1.62\\ 2.13\\ 2.26\\ 2.13\\ 2.26\\ 1.62\\ 2.28\\ 1.62\\ 1.62\\ 2.28\\ 1.62\\ 1.62\\ 2.28\\ 1.62$	$\begin{array}{c} \$1.42\\ 1.62\\ 1.75\\ 1.54\\ 1.75\\ 1.44\\ 1.76\\ 1.68\\ 1.42\\ 1.59\\ 1.30\\ 91\\ 38\\ \hline \\ .50\\ 94\\ 1.17\\ 1.72\\ 1.82\\ 1.89\\ 1.74\\ 1.75\\ 2.12\\ 2.06\\ 1.77\\ 1.75\\ 2.12\\ 2.06\\ 1.77\\ 1.50\\ 1.66\\ .86\\ \hline \\ .86\\ 1.03\\ 1.00\\ 2.00\\ \hline \\ .160\\ \hline \\ .160\\ \hline \\ .120\\ 2.12\\ \hline \\ .120\\ 1.60\\ \hline \\ .120\\ 1.60\\ \hline \\ .120\\ 1.00\\ 1.00\\ \hline \\ .120\\ 1.00\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} \$1.65\\ 1.72\\ 1.73\\ 1.81\\ 1.00\\ 1.44\\ 1.78\\ 1.71\\ 1.42\\ 1.19\\ 1.21\\ 1.11\\ 1.01\\ 1.01\\ 1.02\\ 1.06\\ 1.65\\ 1.63\\ 1.75\\ 2.08\\ 2.38\\ 2.38\\ 2.17\\ 1.31\\ 1.11\\ 1.99\\ 2.55\\ 2.38\\ 2.38\\ 2.17\\ 1.31\\ 1.11\\ 1.99\\ 2.55\\ 2.38\\ 2.38\\ 2.17\\ 1.31\\ 1.11\\ 1.225\\ 1.99\\ .91\\ 1.20\\ 1.61\\ 1.11\\ 2.25\\ 1.99\\ .91\\ 1.20\\ 1.61\\ 1.11\\ 2.25\\ 1.99\\ 1.20\\ 0.02\\ 1.02\\$	\$1.50 1.67 1.53 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.57 .58 .52 .51 .56 .56 .57 .51 .51 .51 .51 .51 .51 .51 .51 .52 .53 .54 .55 .55 .55 .51 .51 .52 .53 .54 .56 .57 .58 .58 .57 .58 .57 .58 .57 .58 .50 .57 .58		$\begin{array}{c} \$1.25\\ 1.38\\ 1.08\\ 1.18\\ 1.06\\ 1.24\\ 1.04\\ 1.04\\ 1.05\\ 1.04\\ 1.05\\ 1.06\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.08\\ 1.28\\ 1.22\\ 1.39\\ 1.28\\ 1.22\\ 1.39\\ 1.28\\ 1.22\\ 1.32\\ 1.28\\ 1.22\\ 1.32$		

EFFECT OF INDUSTRIAL, URBAN, AND PRODUCTIVE CON-DITIONS.

In continuation of the subject of competitive wages, which quite generally confronts the farmer, Table 12 has been prepared. This table presents the average wage rates of the outdoor labor of men on farms per month in hiring by the season and per day for day labor other than harvest work, both with board, in 1899, 1902, and 1909, contained in Eulletin 99, and compares with these wage rates the industrial, urban, and productive conditions in 1900, as ascertained by the census. The purpose is to discover the character of the conditions covered by the table that are associated with high and low wage rates for farm labor within each State and each geographic division of States. Conditions in the western group of States are of such a nature that they do not lend themselves to a comparison with wage rates in a way that would justify conclusions with regard to relationship between them, and it may be that in the other divisions a relationship of cause and effect may not be fully inferred. However, the table is presented for such value as it may have.

NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENTS NOT DECISIVE.

THE PROBLEM HAS OTHER ELEMENTS.

If the Western States are omitted, the highest wage rates for agricultural labor are found in the North Central division, and the North Atlantic division stands second. In the North Central division the percentage of the population 10 years of age and over engaged in manufacturing, mining, mechanical pursuits, fishing, trading, and transportation is 16.33, while in the North Atlantic division it is 25.52, or much larger in a region where the agricultural wage rates are somewhat lower. The percentage of the occupational population 10 years of age and over engaged in agriculture in the North Central States is three times the corresponding percentage in the North Atlantic States. In comparison between these two divisions of States, it does not appear that the more general devotion of the people to nonagricultural employments brings the higher wages in agricultural employments.

If the North Atlantic and North Central groups of States are compared with the South Atlantic and South Central groups, the contrary result is indicated, as may be observed on referring to Table 12.

The factors that go to make and sustain farm wage rates are numerous and variable, and, as this table indicates, differences in relative prevalence of nonagricultural employments are not decisive.

THE URBAN PROBLEM.

The percentage of persons living in municipalities of 2,500 persons and over is expressed in Table 12 for the purpose of comparing with farm wage rates, and the results of the comparison are quite similar to those already observable with regard to nonagricultural employments.

The foregoing comparisons are based on the extensive regions covered by the adopted geographic divisions of States and are not sustained in all cases when the individual States are examined. Probably in both cases, and more especially in the case of the geographic divisions, the area is so large that numerous factors other than those considered enter to cause variations in their results. The comparison of counties containing cities of more than 25,000 population with the rest of each State in the matter of wage rates for farm labor, in Table 11, is more decisive probably because the areas are more restricted, but, even in that table, in some States unconsidered factors are so influential as to prevent uniformity of conclusions.

WAGES RELATED TO THE PRODUCT.

Competition between agricultural and other employments in determining wage rates and the flow of labor confronts the farmer almost everywhere in this country. Hence, it is important to the success of farming operations that they should produce commodities whose prices are high enough to sustain competitive wages on the farm.

As bearing upon this subject, the average value of farm products per agricultural worker in 1900 has been computed for Table 12. It will be observed upon examining the geographic divisions that there is at least association, if not the relationship of cause and effect, between high and low farm wage rates, respectively, and high and low average value of product per worker. From lowest to highest wage rates and from lowest to highest average values of agricultural products the geographic divisions maintain the same order. Whether the higher average value of products per worker causes the higher average wage rates, or only makes possible their existence, is a matter for argument which does not enter into the scope of this bulletin. TABLE 12.—Comparison of average wage rates of outdoor labor of men on farms, per month in hiring by the year and season, and per day for day labor other than harvest work, with board, 1899, 1902, and 1909, with industrial, urban, and productive con-ditions in 1900, by States and geographic divisions.

		Wag	e rate v	with be	oard.		Percenta populati years of and over gaged i	ge of on 10 age r en- n—	Perce of urb total lati	Value of	
State and geographic division.	Per month in hir- ing by the year and season.			Per day for day labor other than harvest work.			Manufac- turing, mining, mechan-				farm prod- ucts per agri-
	1899	1902	1909	1899	1902	1909	ical pur- suits, fishing, trade, and transpor- tation, 1900.	Agri- cul- ture, 1900.1	1900	1910	tural worker, 1900. ¹
Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut New York. New York. New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware. Maryland.	\$18.00 18.48 18.74 18.32 18.35 17.52 17.52 15.19 14.32 11.98 11.53	\$20. 84 20. 42 21. 40 19. 36 18. 25 19. 65 16. 90 16. 09 13. 81 12. 67	$\begin{array}{c} \$26.71\\ 25.18\\ 25.93\\ 26.52\\ 24.62\\ 24.61\\ 24.78\\ 20.50\\ 19.69\\ 17.12\\ 15.96\end{array}$	\$1.03 1.05 1.00 1.08 1.00 1.06 .98 .95 .84 .68 .64	\$1. 12 1. 11 1. 07 1. 14 1. 07 1. 05 1. 05 1. 02 .93 .81 .71	$\begin{array}{c} \$1.\ 28\\ 1.\ 31\\ 1.\ 21\\ 1.\ 04\\ 1.\ 12\\ 1.\ 14\\ 1.\ 26\\ 1.\ 09\\ 1.\ 04\\ .\ 95\\ .\ 90 \end{array}$	18. 97 22. 80 16. 97 28. 79 29. 84 27. 16 24. 91 27. 33 25. 06 19. 98 20. 18 20. 18	25. 89 20. 18 3. 55 5. 28 5. 48 11. 07 12. 06 8. 80 13. 11 25. 34 19. 93 9. 93	$\begin{array}{r} 48.6\\ 55.0\\ 40.5\\ 91.5\\ 95.1\\ 87.2\\ 72.9\\ 70.6\\ 54.7\\ 46.4\\ 49.8\end{array}$	51.459.247.592.896.789.778.875.260.448.050.8	\$496. 34 582. 90 680. 43 643. 89 586. 69 639. 26 656. 42 637. 43 626. 77 491. 03 461. 41
Virginia	$\begin{array}{c} 10.\ 43\\ 13.\ 55\\ 8.\ 56\\ 7.\ 34\\ 8.\ 05\\ 11.\ 32\\ 15.\ 27\\ 15.\ 45\\ 17.\ 76\\ 16.\ 95\\ 19.\ 20\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.\ 29\\ 15.\ 59\\ 9.\ 61\\ 8.\ 24\\ 9.\ 30\\ 12.\ 68\\ 17.\ 26\\ 16.\ 98\\ 19.\ 18\\ 20.\ 06\\ 22.\ 17\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.00\\ 20.33\\ 14.05\\ 11.96\\ 13.21\\ 17.86\\ 21.35\\ 21.40\\ 24.52\\ 24.36\\ 97.52\end{array}$.51 .65 .46 .42 .46 .60 .90 .84 .97 .97 .97	.57 .74 .50 .45 .51 .69 1.00 .92 1.05 1.09 1.14	.74 .89 .70 .60 .71 .86 1.18 1.13 1.33 1.26 1.25	23.20 11.98 14.07 8.04 7.48 8.51 13.22 19.48 15.33 20.16 16.84 15.25	$\begin{array}{c} 1.13\\ 41.65\\ 44.12\\ 53.18\\ 48.32\\ 47.19\\ 32.39\\ 25.78\\ 36.90\\ 24.90\\ 32.46\\ 94.88\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0\\ 18.3\\ 13.1\\ 9.9\\ 12.8\\ 15.6\\ 20.3\\ 48.1\\ 34.3\\ 54.3\\ 39.3\\ 29.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0\\ 23.1\\ 18.7\\ 14.4\\ 14.8\\ 20.6\\ 29.1\\ 55.9\\ 42.4\\ 61.7\\ 47.2\\ 47.2\end{array}$	588.40 289.90 299.94 196.17 174.61 205.28 233.83 621.89 598.46 749.16 483.69 598.27
Wisconsin Minnesota Iowa North Dakota South Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kensas Kentucky Tennessee Alabama	$\begin{array}{c} 19.20\\ 19.98\\ 19.32\\ 14.57\\ 21.82\\ 20.41\\ 18.87\\ 17.46\\ 12.24\\ 10.33\\ 8.63\end{array}$	22. 17 22. 79 22. 14 15. 74 25. 05 23. 55 20. 83 18. 63 12. 76 10. 81 9. 79	$\begin{array}{c} 27.52\\ 28.30\\ 28.14\\ 20.56\\ 32.33\\ 30.38\\ 27.50\\ 25.21\\ 17.13\\ 14.98\\ 13.19 \end{array}$	$1.06 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.11 \\ .71 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.06 \\ .98 \\ .60 \\ .54 \\ .48$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.14\\ 1.31\\ 1.24\\ .79\\ 1.30\\ 1.36\\ 1.17\\ 1.04\\ .61\\ .56\\ .54\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35\\ 1.53\\ 1.53\\ 1.00\\ 1.66\\ 1.69\\ 1.58\\ 1.44\\ .82\\ .74\\ .68\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.35\\ 15.52\\ 12.95\\ 15.30\\ 9.43\\ 9.09\\ 12.17\\ 11.24\\ 10.32\\ 9.70\\ 9.04\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.88\\ 38.22\\ 45.96\\ 39.62\\ 59.38\\ 58.73\\ 48.71\\ 52.07\\ 51.55\\ 51.69\\ 49.91 \end{array}$	38.2 34.1 25.6 36.3 7.3 10.2 23.7 22.5 21.8 16.2 11.9	$\begin{array}{c} 43.0\\ 41.0\\ 30.6\\ 42.5\\ 11.0\\ 13.1\\ 26.1\\ 29.2\\ 24.3\\ 20.2\\ 17.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 596.27\\ 635.59\\ 985.05\\ 476.45\\ 897.42\\ 798.93\\ 872.85\\ 774.44\\ 303.69\\ 258.93\\ 179.25\end{array}$
Mississippi Louisiana Texas Oklahoma Arkansas Montana Wyoming Colorado. New Mexico Arizona.	$\begin{array}{c} 9.\ 27\\ 10.\ 30\\ 12.\ 94\\ 14.\ 52\\ 10.\ 54\\ 32.\ 12\\ 29.\ 64\\ 23.\ 23\\ 18.\ 45\\ 28.\ 23\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.\ 36\\ 12.\ 74\\ 14.\ 03\\ 15.\ 80\\ 12.\ 49\\ 32.\ 00\\ 31.\ 21\\ 25.\ 22\\ 20.\ 45\\ 28.\ 99 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.21\\ 13.94\\ 18.47\\ 20.87\\ 16.31\\ 38.05\\ 34.53\\ 31.53\\ 25.62\\ 35.28\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} .53 \\ .60 \\ .68 \\ .77 \\ .57 \\ 1.41 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.12 \\ .75 \\ 1.21 \\ \end{array} $	57 .75 .77 .88 .70 1.39 1.44 1.17 .93 1.13	$ \begin{array}{r} .75\\.79\\.93\\1.12\\.83\\1.68\\1.54\\1.44\\1.06\\1.35\end{array} $	5.4610.078.156.997.1228.5625.2425.6211.1022.85	$\begin{array}{c} 55.58\\ 41.67\\ 56.39\\ 66.78\\ 60.45\\ 23.51\\ 29.09\\ 19.77\\ 39.30\\ 25.24 \end{array}$	7.7 26.5 17.1 7.4 8.5 34.7 28.8 48.3 14.0 15.9	$\begin{array}{c} 11.5\\ 30.0\\ 24.1\\ 19.3\\ 12.9\\ 35.5\\ 29.6\\ 50.7\\ 14.2\\ 31.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 210.\ 65\\ 249.\ 57\\ 373.\ 68\\ 391.\ 51\\ 233.\ 58\\ 1,039.\ 44\\ 908.\ 34\\ 745.\ 98\\ 377.\ 71\\ 444.\ 49 \end{array}$
Utah Nevada. Idaho. Washington Oregon. California.	$\begin{array}{c} 25.72\\ 31.76\\ 28.13\\ 25.06\\ 22.89\\ 25.64 \end{array}$	29.4534.1429.7928.3525.9829.38	$\begin{array}{r} 40.77\\ 40.30\\ 39.38\\ 35.43\\ 33.11\\ 34.17\end{array}$	$1.22 \\ 1.27 \\ 1.21 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.00 \\ 1.10$	$1.28 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.29 \\ 1.13 \\ 1.20$	$1.61 \\ 1.42 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.66 \\ 1.42 \\ 1.43$	15.6121.4017.1923.4617.7422.08	*33.37 28.30 41.60 22.57 31.98 21.88	38.117.06.240.832.252.4	$\begin{array}{r} 46.3\\ 16.3\\ 21.5\\ 53.0\\ 45.6\\ 61.8\end{array}$	564. 23 1, 176. 80 673. 87 659. 32 682. 52 903. 23
Geographic division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$16.60 \\ 9.26 \\ 17.36 \\ 10.97 \\ 25.19$	$18.47 \\10.41 \\19.41 \\12.14 \\28.20$	$\begin{array}{r} 20.\ 73\\ 13.\ 10\\ 25.\ 42\\ 16.\ 57\\ 35.\ 32 \end{array}$.95 .50 .97 .59 1 .11	$1.03 \\ .55 \\ 1.06 \\ .66 \\ 1.20$	1.16 .73 1.32 .82 1.48	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{25.52} \\ 11.75 \\ 16.33 \\ 8.57 \\ 21.70 \end{array}$	11.9141.5235.2853.3825.16	69.1 21.4 38.6 15.5 40.7	$74.1 \\ 25.4 \\ 45.1 \\ 20.5 \\ 48.8$	330.84 233.23 678.16 271.48 758.59
United States	13.90	15.51	20.80	.75	. 83	1.03	17. 32	31.89	40.5	46.3	460.25

¹ Not including lumbermen and raftsmen, wood choppers, and turpentine farmers. ² "Urban" population living in municipalities of 2,500 population and over.

MOVEMENT OF PRICES OF FARM PRODUCTS.

UPWARD MOVEMENT OF WAGES MORE STEADY.

The farmer has hardly been able to attract labor to the farm; the most that he has been able to do has been to hold labor with varying degrees of failure. Competition has forced him to raise the level of wages since the Civil War, with some retrogressions in periods of severe industrial depression. A diminishing cost of production of farm products may have sustained farmers in paying higher wage rates, but practically nothing is known with precision with regard to the trend of the cost of products. An increased value of production per worker would help to sustain higher wage rates, and this is shown in Table 12. An increased value of product per worker may be due to higher production of concrete commodities per worker or to higher prices of commodities produced or to both of these causes.

Table 13 has been constructed to present the average prices of farm products as ascertained by this bureau as far back as 1866, and the 46 years covered by the table have been condensed to various periods for which mean prices have been computed.

Table 13 has been converted into index numbers, with results that may be found in Table 14. For the purpose of constructing this table the mean price for the 10 years 1900–1909 is regarded as being represented by 100. The mean prices for the other periods have been converted into terms of this base number.

The extreme depression of farm prices of farm products from 1890 to 1899, during which time there was a severe industrial depression, is a conspicuous feature of this table. It is also at once apparent that prices of crops suffered a sharp decline from the first period to 1890–1899. There was some recovery during the 10 years 1900–1909 and a continuation of the upward movement of the prices in 1910 and 1911.

With regard to farm animals the trend of prices is somewhat different since the first period 1866–1869. The period during which the prices of animals were lowest was 1890–1899, as in the case of crops, but the mean prices of that period were not preceded by the uniform decline observable in the case of crops, and in recent years the advance in prices has been relatively greater than in the case of crops.

The import of Tables 13 and 14 is that farm wage rates have persisted in upward movement in spite of a downward price movement as well as during an upward price movement, although not in as great a degree as when prices were moving upward.

TABLE 13.—Prices index numbers and average farm prices of farm products and animals, total for the United States, 1866–1909.

[All prices are in gold.]

	Whole- sale prices			Farr	n price, De	ec. 1.		
Year.	index numbers (United States Bureau of La- bor).	Corn (per bushel).	Wheat (per bushel).	Oats (per bushel).	Barley (per bushel).	Rye (per bushel).	Buck- wheat (per bushel).	Potatoes (per bushel).
1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1877 1878 1876 1877 1878 1879 1881 1882 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1887 1888 1889 1889 1880 1881 1882 1884 1885 1887 1888 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893 1894 1895 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	112.9 111.7 106.1 105.6 96.1 93.4 90.4 90.4 90.4 90.4 90.4 91.1 10.5 108.5 112.9 113.0 115.9 113.0 115.9 113.0 115.9 122.5 122.8 122.8 126.5 131.6 129.3	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ {\it 47.4}\\ {\it 47.4}\\ {\it 57.0}\\ {\it 46.8}\\ {\it 49.4}\\ {\it 43.4}\\ {\it 43.4}\\ {\it 33.3}\\ {\it 44.2}\\ {\it 53.3}\\ {\it 44.2}\\ {\it 53.4}\\ {\it 36.7}\\ {\it 33.4.0}\\ {\it 33.6.0}\\ {\it 33.4.0}\\ {\it 33.6.0}\\ {\it 33.4.0}\\ {\it 33.6.0}\\ {\it 33.4.0}\\ {\it 33.6.0}\\ {$	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ {\it 152.7}\\ {\it 145.2}\\ {\it 7145.5}\\ {\it 94.4}\\ {\it 114.5}\\ {\it 111.4}\\ {\it 111.4}\\ {\it 111.4}\\ {\it 106.9}\\ {\it 88.95}\\ {\it 996.3}\\ {\it 777.6}\\ {\it 110.8}\\ {\it 88.95}\\ {\it 996.3}\\ {\it 100.8}\\ {\it 88.95}\\ {\it 996.3}\\ {\it 100.8}\\ {\it 88.95}\\ {\it 996.3}\\ {\it 100.8}\\ {\it 88.4}\\ {\it 995.1}\\ {\it 119.5}\\ {\it 119.2}\\ {\it 88.4}\\ {\it 995.1}\\ {\it 119.5}\\ {\it 88.4}\\ {\it 995.1}\\ {\it 119.5}\\ {\it 88.4}\\ {\it 88.8}\\ {\it 88.8}\\ {\it 88.8}\\ {\it 88.8}\\ {\it 88.8}\\ {\it 88.4}\\ {\it 996.2}\\ {\it 49.1}\\ {\it 50.8}\\ {\it 558.4}\\ {\it 61.9}\\ {\it 902.4}\\ {\it 72.6}\\ {\it 68.5}\\ {\it 558.4}\\ {\it 61.9}\\ {\it 902.4}\\ {\it 74.8}\\ {\it 858.2}\\ {\it 998.6}\\ {\it 88.3}\\ {\it 88.3}\\ {\it 87.4}\\ {\it 996.6}\\ {\it 88.3}\\ {\it 88.3}\\$	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ 35.1\\ 44.5\\ 1.7\\ 38.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 39.0\\ 47.1\\ 32.0\\ 47.1\\ 32.0\\ 47.1\\ 32.4\\ 42.4\\ 43.7\\ 52.8\\ 52.9\\ 30.4\\ 32.7\\ 27.7\\ 28.5\\ 29.6\\ 30.4\\ 32.7\\ 27.7\\ 29.5\\ 30.4\\ 32.7\\ 29.5\\ 30.4\\ 32.4\\ 431.5\\ 31.7\\ 29.4\\ 32.4\\ 31.5\\ 22.9\\ 30.4\\ 32.4\\ 31.5\\ 22.9\\ 30.4\\ 32.4\\ 31.5\\ 31.7\\ 29.4\\ 32.4\\ 31.5\\ 31.7\\ 31.4\\ 31.3\\ 32.4\\ 33.4\\ 4.5\\ 33.7\\ 34.1\\ 31.3\\ 32.9.1\\ 31.3\\ 32.9.1\\ 31.7\\ 34.1\\ 31.3\\ 32.9.1\\ 31.7\\ 34.1\\ 34.4\\ 4.2\\ 34.4\\ 4.2\\ 40.2\\ 35.6\\ 35$	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ {\it 70.2}\\ {\it 70.2}\\ {\it 70.1}\\ {\it 109.0}\\ {\it 70.8}\\ {\it 77.1}\\ {\it 109.0}\\ {\it 77.5.8}\\ {\it 86.0}\\ {\it 79.1}\\ {\it 175.8}\\ {\it 86.0}\\ {\it 86.7}\\ {\it 86.7}\\ {\it 86.6}\\ {\it 82.3}\\ {\it 85.7}\\ {\it 9}\\ {\it 86.6}\\ {\it 82.3}\\ {\it 82.3}\\ {\it 85.7}\\ {\it 9}\\ {\it 86.6}\\ {\it 82.3}\\ {\it 82.3}\\ {\it 85.7}\\ {\it 48.7}\\ {\it 95.9}\\ {\it 95.9}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ 82.2\\ 100.4\\ 97.0\\ 77.2\\ 100.7\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} {\it Cents.}\\ 67.\ 6\\ 78.\ 7\\ 78.\ 0\\ 71.\ 9\\ 78.\ 7\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 73.\ 5\\ 59.\ 4\\ 86.\ 5\\ 59.\ 4\\ 86.\ 5\\ 55.\ 6\\ 55.\ 6\\ 55.\ 6\\ 55.\ 7\\ 55.\ 6\\ 89.\ 2\\ 42.\ 1\\ 45.\ 0\\ 55.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 57.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 55.\ 8\\ 59.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 57.\ 6\\ 50.\ 6\\ 60.\ 7\\ 57.\ 6\\ 50.$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents. \\ 47.3 \\ 65.9 \\ 59.3 \\ 42.9 \\ 65.0 \\ 53.9 \\ 55.6 \\ 52.6 \\ 53.9 \\ 55.6 \\ 52.6 \\ 53.9 \\ 53.9 \\ 55.6 \\ 55.7 \\ 42.2 \\ 39.6 \\ 48.3 \\ 91.6 \\ 74.8 \\ 64.4 \\ 73.8 \\ 64.4 \\ 74.2 \\ 42.2 \\ 39.6 \\ 44.7 \\ 74.2 \\ 42.2 \\ 39.6 \\ 64.4 \\ 75.8 \\ 83.6 \\ 83.5 \\ 83.6 \\ 83.5 \\ 83.6 \\ 66.1 \\ 54.4 \\ 74.7 \\ 45.3 \\ 66.2 \\ 66 \\ 22.6 \\ 62$
Mean: 1866–1869 1870–1879 1880–1889 1890–1899 1900–1909	100. 1 117. 6	$52.8 \\ 40.5 \\ 40.6 \\ 34.5 \\ 47.6$	$120.7 \\99.3 \\83.5 \\65.4 \\77.0$	39. 8 33. 7 32. 0 27. 8 35. 5	80.0 71.3 58.2 43.3 47.9	88. 6 66. 4 60. 8 52. 3 62. 2	$74.0 \\ 67.4 \\ 64.1 \\ 50.7 \\ 62.8$	$53.8 \\ 54.1 \\ 51.2 \\ 48.1 \\ 57.4$

	Farm pric	ce, Dec. 1.	ec. 1. Farm price per head, all ages, Jan. 1, year following.							
Year.	Hay (per ton).	Tobacco (per pound).	Horses.	Mules.	Milch cows.	Other cattle.	Sheep.	Swine.		
1866. 1867. 1868. 1869. 1870. 1871. 1872. 1873. 1874. 1875. 1876. 1877. 1878. 1878. 1880. 1881. 1882. 1883. 1884. 1885. 1886. 1887. 1889. 1889. 1890. 1891. 1892. 1893. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1893. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1899. 1900. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1901. 1901.	$\begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 10, 14\\ 10, 21\\ 10, 08\\ 12, 47\\ 14, 30\\ 12, 94\\ 12, 53\\ 11, 94\\ 10, 78\\ 8, 97\\ 7, 20\\ 9, 32\\ 11, 65\\ 11, 82\\ 9, 73\\ 8, 77\\ 8, 77\\ 8, 77\\ 8, 77\\ 8, 77\\ 8, 78\\ 8, 99\\ 11, 82\\ 8, 66\\ 7, 04\\ 7, 87\\ 8, 76\\ 8, 76\\ 8, 70\\ 8, 76\\ 8, 65\\ 5, 6, 65\\ 6, 62\\ 6, 600\\ 7, 27\\ 8, 80\\ 10, 01\\ 1, 68\\ 8, 98\\ 8, 72\\ 8, 52\\ 10, 37\\ 11, 68\\ 8, 98\\ 8, 72\\ 2, 20\\ 10, 37\\ 11, 68\\ 8, 98\\ 10, 62\\ 12, 26\\ 14, 64\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} Cents.\\ 9.6\\ 9.4\\ 9.3\\ 9.6\\ 8.8\\ 9.2\\ 7.6\\ 6.8\\ 8.9\\ 9.2\\ 9.6\\ 8.8\\ 8.2\\ 7.6\\ 8.4\\ 9.0\\ 8.4\\ 9.0\\ 8.2\\ 7.7\\ 7.4\\ 10.6\\ 8.3\\ 8.5\\ 9.4\\ 8.1\\ 8.5\\ 8.4\\ 8.1\\ 8.5\\ 9.4\\ 8.1\\ 8.5\\ 1.2\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}\textit{ollars.} \\ 59.05 \\ 54.27 \\ 62.57 \\ 67.43 \\ 767.43 \\ 67.41 \\ 66.39 \\ 65.15 \\ 61.10 \\ 57.29 \\ 55.83 \\ 52.36 \\ 54.75 \\ 58.44 \\ 58.53 \\ 70.59 \\ 74.64 \\ 58.53 \\ 70.59 \\ 74.64 \\ 73.70 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 65.01 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.15 \\ 71.89 \\ 67.00 \\ 71.27 \\ 72.55 \\ 67.03 \\ 70.37 \\ 80.51 \\ 93.41 \\ 44.6$	$ \begin{array}{c} Dollars. \\ 66,94 \\ 56,04 \\ 79,23 \\ 90,42 \\ 91,98 \\ 87,14 \\ 85,155 \\ 71,89 \\ 66,407 \\ 62,013 \\ 56,000 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 66,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 62,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 62,00 \\ 61,26 \\ 63,00 \\ 71,35 \\ 79,68 \\ 82,28 \\ 87,55 \\ 70,68 \\ 62,17 \\ 47,555 \\ 70,68 \\ 62,17 \\ 47,555 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 53,55 \\ 70,68 \\ 62,17 \\ 47,55 \\ 53,55$	$ \begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 2b, 74\\ 26, 56\\ 32, 70\\ 33, 89\\ 29, 45\\ 26, 72\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 25, 74\\ 21, 71\\ 23, 95\\ 25, 89\\ 30, 21\\ 23, 94\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 21, 40\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 21, 40\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 21, 40\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 21, 40\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 23, 94\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 23, 94\\ 22, 14\\ 21, 62\\ 23, 94\\ 22, 14\\ 29, 66\\ 31, 60\\ 30, 00\\ 29, 23\\ 16\\ 29, 24\\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} Dollars.\\ 15, 79\\ 15, 06\\ 18, 73\\ 18, 87\\ 20, 78\\ 18, 87\\ 20, 78\\ 20, $	$ \begin{array}{c} Dollars. \\ 2.50\\ 1.62\\ 1.64\\ 1.96\\ 2.16\\ 1.2.71\\ 2.43\\ 2.55\\ 2.37\\ 2.13\\ 2.21\\ 2.07\\ 2.21\\ 2.07\\ 2.21\\ 2.37\\ 2.37\\ 2.13\\ 2.20\\ 2.37\\ 2.13\\ 2.21\\ 2.37\\ 2.14\\ 1.91\\ 2.01\\ 2.01\\ 2.01\\ 2.01\\ 2.58\\ 2.66\\ 1.98\\ 1.58\\ 2.66\\ 1.98\\ 1.58\\ 2.66\\ 3.48\\ 3.88\\ 3.43\\ 4.08\\ 3.91\\ 3.46\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \textit{Dollars.}\\ 4.03\\ 3.29\\ 4.655\\ 5.80\\ 5.61\\ 4.01\\ 3.67\\ 3.98\\ 4.55\\ 6.65\\ 5.66\\ 4.55\\ 5.66\\ 4.55\\ 5.66\\ 4.55\\ 5.67\\ 5.02\\ 4.26\\ 4.28\\ 4.77\\ 5.57\\ 5.02\\ 4.26\\ 4.28\\ 4.77\\ 4.28\\ 4.77\\ 5.57\\ 5.02\\ 4.26\\ 4.28\\ 4.28\\ 4.77\\ 5.97\\ 4.28\\ 4.28\\ 4.77\\ 5.97\\ 4.28\\ 4.$		
Mean: 1866-1869 1870-1879 1880-1889 1890-1899 1900-1909	$\begin{array}{c} 10.15\\ 10.88\\ 9.25\\ 7.62\\ 9.59\end{array}$	9.4 8.3 8.5	$\begin{array}{c} 60.83\\ 60.80\\ 69.19\\ 45.82\\ 78.35\end{array}$	73.1672.7378.3356.3291.60	$\begin{array}{c} 29.\ 29\\ 26.\ 32\\ 26.\ 53\\ 24.\ 29\\ 30.\ 54 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.11\\ 17.26\\ 19.68\\ 17.51\\ 17.54 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.98\\ 2.34\\ 2.22\\ 2.30\\ 3.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.44 \\ 4.60 \\ 5.22 \\ 4.84 \\ 6.87 \end{array}$		

TABLE 13.—Prices index numbers and average farm prices of farm products and animals, total for the United States, 1866–1909—Continued.

TABLE 14.—Comparative prices index numbers, and comparative average farm prices of farm products and animals, total for the United States, 1866–1909.

[100.0=mean for 1900-1909.]

	Whole- sale prices			Farn	1 prices, De	ec. 1.		
Year.	index numbers (United States Bureau of Labor).	Corn.	Wheat.	Oats.	Barley.	Rye.	Buck- wheat.	Potatoes.
1866. 1867. 1868. 1869. 1870. 1871. 1872. 1873. 1874. 1875. 1876. 1877. 1878. 1879. 1881. 1882. 1883. 1885. 1885. 1885. 1885. 1889. 1890. 1892. 1893. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1899. 1900. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1901. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1901. 1901. 1901. 1901.	96.0 95.0 96.0 95.0 96.0 96.0 95.0 96.0 90.2 88.8 81.7 79.4 88.5 94.0 96.6 96.1 98.6 96.1 98.6 96.1 98.6 104.2 110.1 104.4 107.6 111.9 100.9	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ bush.\\ 100.\ 0\\ 120.\ 3\\ 98.\ 7\\ 126.\ 2\\ 99.\ 7\\ 126.\ 2\\ 99.\ 7\\ 126.\ 2\\ 99.\ 7\\ 126.\ 2\\ 99.\ 7\\ 126.\ 2\\ 93.\ 7\\ 127.\ 4\\ 66.\ 9\\ 77.\ 2\\ 123.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 123.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ 133.\ 2\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} Per\ bush.\\ 198.3\\ 198.3\\ 198.4\\ 198.3\\ 198.5\\ 198.5\\ 198.5\\ 199.9\\ 199.4\\ 122.6\\ 144.7\\ 122.6\\ 144.7\\ 137.3\\ 100.8\\ 112.1\\ 137.3\\ 100.8\\ 112.1\\ 137.3\\ 100.8\\ 123.5\\ 154.8\\ 112.1\\ 137.3\\ 100.8\\ 143.9\\ 123.5\\ 154.8\\ 109.0\\ 100.0\\ 8\\ 100.0\\ 10$	$\begin{array}{c} Per\ bush.\\ Per\ bush.\\ 99.2\\ 125.7\\ 125.7\\ 117.8\\ 107.3\\ 100.2\\ 102.3\\ 814.5\\ 97.7\\ 133.1\\ 10.2\\ 90.4\\ 90.5\\ 98.5\\ 101.7\\ 133.1\\ 105.9\\ 99.4\\ 78.2\\ 80.5\\ 84.2\\ 85.9\\ 78.5\\ 84.2\\ 85.9\\ 78.5\\ 64.7\\ 119.8\\ 89.5\\ 83.1\\ 19.5\\ 55.6\\ 25.2\\ 88.3\\ 112.7\\ 78.6\\ 89.5\\ 55.6\\ 22.8\\ 112.7\\ 78.6\\ 89.5\\ 55.6\\ 22.8\\ 112.7\\ 78.6\\ 89.5\\ 52.6\\ 22.8\\ 112.7\\ 78.6\\ 38.3\\ 113.3\\ 313.5\\ 113.3\\ 3113.5\\ 97.2\\ 127.1\\ 120.2\\ 120.2\\ 127.1\\ 120.2\\ 120.2\\ 127.1\\ 120.2\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} Per\ bush.\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 147.\ 2\\ 148.\ 4\\ 158.\ 8\\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 155.\ 3\\ 138.\ 168.\ 5\\ 138.\ 6\\ 172.\ 5\\ 131.\ 4\\ 109.\ 9\\ 99.\ 6\\ 86.\ 2\\ 96.\ 5\\ 87.\ 2\\ 131.\ 4\\ 109.\ 9\\ 99.\ 6\\ 86.\ 2\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 7\\ 96.\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 96.\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 85.\ 7\\ 7\\ 96.\ 5\\ 87.\ 0\\ 139.\ 6\\ 148.\ 2\\ 121.\ 2\ 121.\ 2\\ 121.\ 2\ 121$	$\begin{array}{c} Per\ bush.\\ 161.9\\ 161.9\\ 162.6\\ 182.6\\ 181.9\\ 182.6\\ 181.9\\ 182.6\\ 182.$	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ bush.\\ 107.\ 6\\ 125.\ 3\\ 124.\ 2\\ 114.\ 5\\ 2\\ 114.\ 5\\ 2\\ 114.\ 5\\ 2\\ 114.\ 5\\ 2\\ 118.\ 6\\ 119.\ 4\\ 116.\ 1\\ 106.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 8\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 5\\ 108.\ 7\\ 108.\ 7\\ 106.\ 1\\ 100.\ 1\ 1\\ 100.\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\$	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ bush.\\ 82.5\\ 115.0\\ 0.103.5\\ 74.9\\ 113.4\\ 94.1\\ 93.4\\ 103.5\\ 107.3$
Mean: 1866–1869 1870–1879 1880–1889 1890–1899 1900–1909	85.1 100.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 111.3 \\ 85.5 \\ 85.6 \\ 72.8 \\ 100.0 \end{array} $	$156.8 \\ 129.0 \\ 108.4 \\ 84.9 \\ 100.0$	$ \begin{array}{r} 112.5 \\ 95.3 \\ 90.3 \\ 78.4 \\ 100.0 \end{array} $	$167.8 \\ 149.5 \\ 121.9 \\ 90.8 \\ 100.0$	$143. 0 \\107. 1 \\98. 0 \\84. 3 \\100. 0$	$117.9 \\ 107.4 \\ 102.0 \\ 80.8 \\ 100.0$	94.094.589.484.0100.00

TABLE 14	Comp	arative	prices	index	numbe	rs, and	compar	ative	average	farm	prices of	f
farm	products	and an	imals,	total j	for the	United	States, 1	1866-3	1909—Co	ontinu	ied.	

	Farm pric	ee, Dec. 1.	Farm	n price per	head, all a	ges, Jan. 1,	year follov	ving.
Year.	Hay.	Tobacco.	Horses.	Mules.	Milch cows.	Other cattle.	Sheep.	Swine.
1866. 1867. 1868. 1869. 1870. 1871. 1872. 1873. 1874. 1875. 1876. 1877. 1878. 1878. 1880. 1881. 1882. 1883. 1884. 1885. 1886. 1887. 1888. 1889. 1890. 1891. 1892. 1893. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1898. 1898. 1898. 1898.	$\begin{array}{c} Per \ ton. \\ 105. 7 \\ 106. 5 \\ 105. 1 \\ 106. 2 \\ 130. 0 \\ 149. 1 \\ 134. 9 \\ 130. 7 \\ 124. 5 \\ 1124. 5 \\ 112. 4 \\ 93. 5 \\ 87. 3 \\ 75. 1 \\ 97. 2 \\ 121. 5 \\ 122. 3 \\ 101. 5 \\ 85. 4 \\ 85. 2 \\ 90. 8 \\ 88. 2 \\ 104. 0 \\ 91. 3 \\ 73. 4 \\ 82. 1 \\ 84. 7 \\ 85. 5 \\ 89. 1 \\ 87. 1 \\ 85. 7 \\ 89. 1 \\ 87. 1 \\ 66. 3 \\ 69. 0 \\ 62. 6 \\ 75. 8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Per lb. \\ 112.9 \\ 110.6 \\ 109.4 \\ 109.4 \\ 100.4 \\ 112.9 \\ 103.5 \\ 111.8 \\ 89.4 \\ 138.8 \\ 89.4 \\ 138.8 \\ 81.2 \\ 80.0 \\ 65.9 \\ 68.2 \\ 96.5 \\ 112.9 \\ 96.5 \\ 99.6 \\ 68.7 \\ 112.9 \\ 99.6 \\ 87.6 \\ 100.6 \\ 97.6 \\ 97.6 \\ 100.0 \\ 84.7 \\ 70.6 \\ \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{c} \hline Dollars. \\ 73.1 \\ 61.2 \\ 86.5 \\ 98.7 \\ 100.4 \\ 99.0 \\ 88.8 \\ 72.6 \\ 69.9 \\ 67.7 \\ 61.1 \\ 66.9 \\ 96.7 \\ 77.9 \\ 86.8 \\ 85.4 \\ 8$	Dollars. 94.1 87.0 95.4 107.1 111.0 96.4 83.9 83.9 83.4 83.3 83.4 84.3 84.3 84.3 84.3 84.3 84.3 84.3 84.4 84.5 98.9 98.9 99.102.7 97.5.4 70.8 70.1 71.2 71.3 71.8 75.8 89.9 97.1 102.5			$ \begin{array}{c} \hline Dollars. \\ 58.7 \\ 47.9 \\ 67.7 \\ 84.4 \\ 81.7 \\ 84.4 \\ 53.4 \\ 57.9 \\ 69.9 \\ 87.3 \\ 82.4 \\ 70.6 \\ 62.3 \\ 62.3 \\ 62.3 \\ 62.4 \\ 86.9 \\ 98.3 \\ 81.1 \\ 73.1 \\ 62.0 \\ 65.2 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 72.5 \\ 84.3 \\ 84.3 \\ 68.7 \\ 60.4 \\ 67.0 \\ 98.3 \\ 35.9 \\ 70.0 \\ 84.0 \\ 87.0 \\ 98.3 \\ 84$
1839 1900 1901 1902 1903 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1907 1908 1909 1910 1911	92.7 104.4 94.5 94.7 90.9 88.8 108.1 121.8 93.6 110.7 127.8 152.7	77.6 85.3 82.4 80.0 95.3 100.0 117.6 120.0 121.2 118.8 109.4 110.6	$\begin{array}{c} 67.5\\ 67.5\\ 74.8\\ 79.5\\ 86.7\\ 89.8\\ 103.0\\ 119.2\\ 122.1\\ 138.1\\ 142.3\\ 135.2 \end{array}$	69.8 73.8 73.8 79.1 95.2 107.3 122.4 117.6 117.7 130.8 137.5 131.6	98.2 95.7 98.9 95.6 89.8 96.4 101.5 100.4 106.0 117.2 130.9 129.0	113. 6 107. 0 105. 2 93. 0 86. 4 90. 4 97. 5 96. 3 99. 7 110. 7 117. 1 120. 9	92.0 81.8 81.2 79.9 87.0 109.3 118.5 119.8 405.9 125.9 120.7 106.8	90.2 102.3 113.2 89.5 87.2 90.0 110.9 88.1 95.3 133.0 136.4 116.4
1866–1869 1870–1879 1880–1889 1890–1899 1900–1909	$105.8 \\ 113.5 \\ 96.5 \\ 79.5 \\ 100.0$	110. 6 97. 6 100. 0	77.677.688.358.5100.0	79.979.485.561.5100.0	95.9 86.2 86.9 79.5 100.0	97.5 98.4 112.2 99.8 100.0	$\begin{array}{c} 61.1 \\ 72.2 \\ 68.5 \\ 71.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$	64.6 67.0 76.0 70.5 100.0

PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR.

LAND AREA AND THE WORKER.

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF FARMS OF SPECIFIED ACREAGES.

It does not necessarily follow from the fact of the increase of prices of farm products that there has been a corresponding increase of net profit. The cost of production may have increased; perhaps a larger value of production per worker has been the main factor of increasing farm wages. In the period of nearly half a century under consideration, during which farm labor passed from abundance to scarcity, relative to the demand for it, there have been some changes in the areas of farm holdings and it may be worth while to examine these in connection with the relative diminishing labor supply. Theoretically, the tendency is toward confinement to the labor of the operating family.

The census reports of the number of farms in various classifications of acreage were first made in 1880. Table 15 has been made by converting the number of farms in each class of acreage into a percentage of the total number of farms. By so doing, it is possible to discover changes in the relative importance of the number of farms in each class of acreage.

In the North Atlantic States from 1880 to 1910 there was a relative increase in the number of farms containing less than 50 acres, and a relative decrease in the number of farms containing 50 and under 500 acres. The same general statement with small exceptions, applies to the western group of States.

In the North Central States there is no decisive tendency with regard to the relative number of farms containing less than 50 acres, but the decline in the relative number of farms containing 50 and under 100 acres is marked; and there is an increase in the relative number of farms containing 100 acres and over.

Difficulties have been encountered in census work with regard to preserving the individuality of tenant farms in the cotton belt, and it may be that not as many farms were reported in the early censuses embraced in Table 15 as should have been. However that may be, it appears that in the South Atlantic States the number of farms containing less than 50 acres relatively increased steadily from 1880 to 1910, and the same is true of the class of farms containing 50 and under 100 acres. The contrary tendency is also observable for classes containing 100 acres and over.

Relative increase in the number of farms containing less than 100 acres is observable in the South Central division of States with a steady contrary tendency in the case of farms containing 100 acres and more. The two southern divisions of States are characterized by the same tendencies.

In the average for the United States, the increase in the relative number of farms containing less than 50 acres, during the 30 years covered by the census, is fairly established. On the contrary, farms containing 50 and under 100 acres have declined in relative importance. There was an increase of relative importance in farms containing 100 and under 500 acres from 1880 to 1890, after which there was a decline. The very large farms appear to be slightly increasing in relative importance, but these farms are hardly 3 per cent of the total number. On the other hand, the very small farms, or those 54613°-Bull, 94-12-4 containing less than 50 acres, are increasing in importance and now comprise more than one-third of the Nation's farms. The intermediate farms, or those containing 50 and under 500 acres, have declined in relative number.

TABLE	15.—Percentage	of farms	classified	according	to t	the tota	l of i	mproved	and	unim-
	proved acreage,	censuses c	of 1880–19	10, by Sta	tes (and gec	bgraph	nic divisio	ns.	

		Pe	ercenta	ge.1			Percentage. ¹					
State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres and over.	State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres and over.	
Maine: 1880 1890	26.1 25.9 24.6	$ \begin{array}{r} 34.3 \\ 32.7 \\ 31.4 \end{array} $	$38.9 \\ 40.7 \\ 42.9$	0.6	0.2 .2 .2	Virginia: 1880 1890 1900	30.5 32.6 40.8	18.7 19.3 20.2	44.8 43.0 35.9	4.7 4.0 2.5	1.3 1.1	
1910. New Hampshire: 1880.	27.7 29.6	29.8 27.1	41.5 41.8	.8 1.2	.2	1910 West Virginia: 1880	44.6 23.6	20.8 23.1	32.1 48.3	1.9 3.6	. 5 1. 4	
1890 1900 1910	$28.1 \\ 29.9 \\ 33.7$	$25.2 \\ 24.3 \\ 23.1$	$ \begin{array}{r} 44.8 \\ 43.5 \\ 40.7 \end{array} $	$1.5 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.9$.4 .6 .6	1890 1900 1910 North Carolina:	25.8 34.9 36.9	27.3 27.5 27.7	43.6 35.4 33.5	$2.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.4$	1.(
1880 1890 1900 1910.	$20.4 \\ 19.8 \\ 20.5 \\ 24.6$	$\begin{array}{c c} 22.0 \\ 21.5 \\ 19.7 \\ 18.1 \end{array}$	55.7 57.4 57.9 55.1	$1.6 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.9$.3 .2 .3 .4	1880 1890 1900 1910	$\begin{array}{r} 34.9\\ 36.4\\ 41.5\\ 46.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.\ 6\\ 22.\ 6\\ 24.\ 5\\ 24.\ 5\\ 24.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.\ 2\\ 37.\ 6\\ 32.\ 2\\ 27.\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.2 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$	1. 1 . 8 . 4 . 3	
Massachusetts. 1880 1890 1900 1910 Dhada Jalandi	$\begin{array}{c} 41.6\\ 42.1\\ 47.1\\ 62.8 \end{array}$	$27.6 \\ 26.0 \\ 23.6 \\ 21.6$	$30.2 \\ 31.0 \\ 28.2 \\ 24.5$.6 .7 .9 .9	.1 .2 .3	1880 1890 1900 1910	$50.2 \\ 55.1 \\ 56.3 \\ 61.5$	$14.5 \\ 15.6 \\ 19.3 \\ 18.8$	29.525.522.318.1	$3.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.1$	1.7 1.2 .6 .5	
Rhode Island: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$39.1 \\ 41.2 \\ 47.0 \\ 47.6$	$27.4 \\ 26.1 \\ 22.8 \\ 23.9$	$32.6 \\ 31.8 \\ 29.1 \\ 27.1$.8 .7 .8 1.0	.1 .3 .5	1880 1890 1900 1910	$34.9 \\ 41.3 \\ 41.3 \\ 50.6$	$18.8 \\ 18.9 \\ 23.3 \\ 23.5$	38.7 34.7 32.5 24.0	5.1 3.5 2.1 1.4	2.5 1.6 .8	
Connecticut: 1880. 1890. 1900. 1910.	$\begin{array}{c} 41.9 \\ 40.0 \\ 42.1 \\ 46.0 \end{array}$	$26.5 \\ 26.5 \\ 25.8 \\ 24.7$	$31.1 \\ 33.0 \\ 31.3 \\ 28.4$.4 .4 .7 .7	$.1\\.1\\.1\\.1$	Florida: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$\begin{array}{r} 48.9 \\ 51.5 \\ 48.9 \\ 52.4 \end{array}$	$18.7 \\ 18.6 \\ 19.3 \\ 20.0$	$28.0 \\ 27.4 \\ 29.5 \\ 25.4$	$2.8 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.3$	1.6	
New York: 1880. 1890. 1900. 1910.	30.1 29.1 29.9 30.3	$29.3 \\ 30.0 \\ 28.1 \\ 26.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.9 \\ 40.4 \\ 41.4 \\ 42.8 \end{array}$.5 .4 .5 .5	.1 .1 .1 .1	Onio: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$30.2 \\ 31.3 \\ 33.7 \\ 32.8$	31.6 32.8 32.4 32.4 32.4	37.5 35.4 33.6 34.5	.5 .4 .3 .3	. 1 . 1 . 1 (²)	
New Jersey: 1880. 1890. 1900. 1910.	$\begin{array}{r} 40.2\\ 38.0\\ 43.9\\ 46.8\end{array}$	$28.0 \\ 28.7 \\ 25.6 \\ 24.5$	31.1 33.0 29.9 28.2	.4 .3 .3 .3	$^{.2}_{.1}_{.2}_{.2}$	Indiana: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$29.0 \\ 29.0 \\ 31.1 \\ 29.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.\ 0\\ 32.\ 9\\ 32.\ 0\\ 31.\ 2\end{array}$	$37.2 \\ 37.2 \\ 36.3 \\ 38.7$	$.7\\.7\\.5\\.4$.1 .1 .1	
Pennsylvania: 1880 1890 1900 1910. Delaware:	$32.6 \\ 32.7 \\ 34.2 \\ 35.8 $	$29.9 \\ 31.5 \\ 31.1 \\ 30.0$	$36.9 \\ 35.4 \\ 34.4 \\ 33.9$. 4 . 3 . 3 . 3	.1 .1 .1 .1	Illinois: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$23.1 \\ 20.6 \\ 23.0 \\ 21.3$	$29.7 \\ 28.6 \\ 24.9 \\ 23.0$	$\begin{array}{r} 45.6\\ 49.7\\ 51.2\\ 54.9\end{array}$	$1.3 \\ 1.0 \\ .8 \\ .7$.3 .2 .1 .1	
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Maryland:	$22.9 \\ 25.2 \\ 25.2 \\ 32.5 \\ 32.5 \\$	$23.3 \\ 25.1 \\ 26.9 \\ 27.5$	52.9 48.9 47.0 39.5	.8 .8 .7 .5	$(2)^{(2)}$	Michigan: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$33.9 \\ 36.1 \\ 35.7 \\ 31.2$	36.2 35.6 34.9 35.6	$\begin{array}{c} 29.5 \\ 28.0 \\ 29.0 \\ 32.7 \end{array}$.3 .3 .3 .3	.1 .1 .1 .1	
1880 1890 1900 1910. District of Colum-	30.0 30.8 34.4 38.5	$19.2 \\ 19.6 \\ 20.2 \\ 20.3$	48. 8 47. 9 43. 9 39. 9	1.8 1.5 1.3 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot 2 \\ \cdot 2 \\ \cdot 2 \\ \cdot 2 \\ \cdot 2 \end{array}$	Wisconsin: 1880 1890. 1900. 1910.	$21.2 \\ 20.4 \\ 20.6 \\ 19.3$	33.3 32.9 31.0 30.5	44.9 46.1 47.7 49.6	.5 .6 .6 .5	.1 .1 .1	
bia: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$71.0 \\ 81.4 \\ 83.6 \\ 86.2$	$15.4 \\ 12.3 \\ 11.5 \\ 7.8$	$13.1 \\ 6.3 \\ 4.1 \\ 6.0$. 5		Minnesota: 1880 1890 1900 1900	$10.4 \\ 10.0 \\ 11.7 \\ 11.3$	27.6 22.4 20.0 17.0	$\begin{array}{c} 61.0\\ 65.9\\ 66.1\\ 69.3 \end{array}$.8 1.4 1.9 2.2	.2 .2 .2 .2	

¹ Adjusted to add to not less than 99.7 nor more than 100.2. ² Less than 0.05 of 1 per cent.

51

		Pe	rcenta	ge.			Percentage.				
State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres and over.	State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres and over.
Iowa: 1880 1890 1900 1910	15.7 11.8 14.5 13.5	31.6 26.4 21.7 17.8	51.3 59.9 62.4 67.4	1.2 1.6 1.2 1.1	$0.2 \\ .2 \\ .1 \\ .1$	Montana: 1880. 1890. 1900. 1910.	$5.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 7.9 \\ 6.5$	4.1 3.4 4.2 4.8	82.7 81.0 68.9 72.1	$6.1 \\ 9.2 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.0$	$1.3 \\ 5.0 \\ 9.6 \\ 7.6$
Missouri: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$26.0 \\ 23.2 \\ 26.7 \\ 24.3$	$26.9 \\ 27.1 \\ 27.7 \\ 26.8$	$\begin{array}{r} 45.2\\ 47.9\\ 44.3\\ 47.6\end{array}$	$1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.2$	$ \begin{array}{c} .3 \\ .2 \\ .2 \\ $	Wyoming: 1880 1890 1900 1910	$16.9 \\ 2.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 6.9$	$8.8 \\ 2.6 \\ 4.2 \\ 5.9$	63.0 79.8 59.4 67.8	$8.3 \\ 8.8 \\ 11.9 \\ 9.0$	$3.1 \\ 6.8 \\ 15.0 \\ 10.5$
North Dakota: 1880 ¹ 1890 1900 1910. South Dakota:	$1.8 \\ .5 \\ 2.9 \\ .9 \\ .9$	$3.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6$	$93.2 \\ 91.2 \\ 81.2 \\ 77.2$	$1.4 \\ 5.0 \\ 11.3 \\ .17.0$	$.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 3.0 \\ 3.2$	Colorado: 1880 1890 1900 1910. Now Maxico:	$10.5 \\ 5.8 \\ 20.3 \\ 19.3$	$14.6 \\ 6.8 \\ 10.2 \\ 9.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 67.1 \\ 79.9 \\ 58.6 \\ 62.4 \end{array}$	$5.2 \\ 4.8 \\ 5.9 \\ 5.3$	$2.5 \\ 2.8 \\ 5.0 \\ 3.4$
1880 ¹ 1890 1900 1910	$1.3 \\ 3.3 \\ 2.5$	$3.5 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.1$	91. 8 75. 1 79. 1	3.0 13.4 12.5	.4 3.9 2.8	1880 1880 1890 1900 1910 Arizona:	$70.3 \\ 52.9 \\ 58.9 \\ 27.2$	$9.6 \\ 8.5 \\ 7.8 \\ 5.1$	$18.2 \\ 35.2 \\ 28.1 \\ 63.8$	$1.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.3$	$ \begin{array}{r} .9 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.6 \\ \end{array} $
1880 1890 1900 1910 Kansas:	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.9 \\ 3.6 \\ 7.2 \\ 6.9 \\ \end{array} $	$26.3 \\ 17.5 \\ 14.8 \\ 9.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.5 \\ 76.4 \\ 71.1 \\ 70.3 \end{array}$	$1.1 \\ 2.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 10.1$.2 .5 1.9 3.0	1880 1890 1900 1910 Utah:	$14.1 \\ 20.8 \\ 51.0 \\ 52.3$	$13.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 8.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.8 \\ 63.5 \\ 34.3 \\ 36.3 \end{array}$	$2.2 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.8$	$1.3 \\ .9 \\ 1.2 \\ .8$
1880 1890 1900 1910 Kentucky:	$8.9 \\ 7.3 \\ 11.2 \\ 10.5$	22. 420. 918. 514. 7	67.7 69.0 63.1 67.0	.8 2.3 5.1 5.9	$ \begin{array}{r} .2 \\ .6 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.9 \\ \end{array} $	1880 1890 1900 1910 Nevada:	57.5 52.8 48.8 47.1	$21.8 \\ 19.9 \\ 19.3 \\ 19.2$	$20.3 \\ 26.0 \\ 28.7 \\ 29.3$	$.4 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.5$	$.1\\.4\\1.3\\1.8$
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Tennessee:	30.8 30.1 40.4 44.0	24. 425. 825. 825. 4	$\begin{array}{c} 41.7\\ 41.7\\ 32.6\\ 29.6 \end{array}$	2.3 1.9 1.1 .8	.7 .5 .2 .2	1880 1890 1900 1910 Idaho:	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.9 \\ 9.5 \\ 21.3 \\ 22.0 \\ 10 \\ \hline 10 \\ $	$13.2 \\ 6.6 \\ 9.9 \\ 15.3 $	55.5 49.6 41.8 40.7	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ 16.4 \\ 12.0 \\ 9.2 \end{array} $	$6.0 \\ 17.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 12.8$
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Alabama:	35.1 33.9 43.7 48.6	23.9 24.8 25.5 24.4	38.2 39.0 29.7 26.0	2.1 1.7 .9 .8	.7 .5 .3 .2	1880 1890 1900 1910. Washington:	$ \begin{array}{r} 12.7 \\ 6.3 \\ 13.1 \\ 19.7 \\ \hline 7 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ 8.2 \\ 13.2 \\ 18.9 \\ \end{array} $	70.0 81.3 70.3 57.6	2.1 3.4 2.5 3.0	.4 .7 1.0 .8
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Mississippi:	$43.2 \\ 43.7 \\ 50.3 \\ 56.5 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.00 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 19.5 \\ 19.3 \\ 21.4 \\ 21.1 \\ \end{array} $	32.6 33.4 26.6 21.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 3.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.2 \\ .9 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.2 \\ .9 \\ 1.2 \\ .9 \\ 1.2 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ .9 \\ $	1.4 1.0 .4 .3	1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Oregon:	5.6 6.8 21.9 37.0	9.4 9.5 13.2 12.6	80.2 77.0 56.0 41.1	$3.9 \\ 4.9 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.2 $.9 1.7 2.8 3.1
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Louisiana:	$ \begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ 48.0 \\ 58.1 \\ 65.5 \\ \end{array} $	19.0 18.1 17.9 16.3	34.9 30.3 22.6 17.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 3.8 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.1 \\ .8 \\ .8 \end{array} $	$1.8 \\ 1.1 \\ .4 \\ .3 $	1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. California:	6.6 9.9 20.0 28.3	10.6 10.3 13.0 14.9	72.770.156.646.9	7.9 7.1 6.8 6.0	2.2 2.6 3.6 3.8
1880 1890 1900 1910 Texas:	$ \begin{array}{c} 44.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 60.7 \\ 62.8 \\ \hline 00000000000000000000000000000000000$	17.6 16.3 15.7 16.8	$ \begin{array}{r} 31.1 \\ 29.0 \\ 21.3 \\ 18.3 \\ 40.7 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 2.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 0 4 \end{array} $	2.7 1.8 .9 2.8	1880 1890 1900 1910	17.1 27.4 38.9 48.9	11.0 11.0 11.1 12.1	56.3 46.4 36.1 27.9	8.6 8.3 7.3 5.8	7.0 6.9 6.5 5.3
1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. Oklahoma: 1880	$36.8 \\ 35.1 \\ 36.0 \\ 30.7$	17.0 20.5 25.1 26.9	40.7 38.2 32.8 36.8	3.4 3.8 2.9 3.1	$2.2 \\ 3.4 \\ 2.2 \\ .7$	Geographic divi- sion: North Atlan- tic- 1880	31.7	29.2	38.4	.6	.1
1890. 1900. 1910. Arkansas:	3 24.2 20.4 34.1	2.2 15.1 20.5 23.1	93.9 57.6 57.3	3.4 1.8 1.4	.2 1.3 .5	1900 1910 South Atlan- tic-	31.1 32.7 34.6	29.7 28.3 26.9	38.3 37.8	.6 .6 .6	.1
1890 1900. 1910.	33.4 44.8 51.8	23.1 22.6 21.6 21.1	$\begin{array}{c} 40.2 \\ 42.1 \\ 32.7 \\ 26.3 \end{array}$	1.3	.5 .2 .2	1890 1890 1900 1910	39.0 42.9 48.7	$ \begin{array}{c} 19.3 \\ 20.3 \\ 22.5 \\ 22.7 \end{array} $	40.0 36.8 32.2 26.9	a.9 2.9 1.8 1.3	1.5 1.1 .6 .5

¹ South Dakota combined with North Dakota.

		Pe	rcenta	ge.			Percentage.					
State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres. and over.	State, geographic division, and year.	Un- der 50 acres.	50 and under 100 acres.	100 and under 500 acres.	500 and under 1,000 acres.	1,000 acres and over.	
Geographic divi- sion—Contd. North Cen- tral— 1880 1900 1910 South Cen- tral— 1880 1880 1880 1900 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 22.5\\ 20.1\\ 22.3\\ 20.1\\ 36.9\\ 37.5\\ 44.4\\ 46.5\\ \end{array}$	30.0 27.4 25.6 23.4 20.9 21.4 22.1 22.3	46. 4 51. 1 49. 7 53. 1 37. 9 37. 5 30. 9 29. 3	$0.9 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.2 $	$0.2 \\ .2 \\ .5 \\ .6 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.0 \\ .8 \\ $	Geographic divi- sion—Contd. Western— 1880 1900 1910 United States: 1880 1890 1990 1910	21. 2 19. 3 29. 5 32. 1 29. 3 28. 9 33. 7 35. 6	$12.1 \\ 10.1 \\ 11.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 25.8 \\ 24.6 \\ 23.8 \\ 22.6 \\ 1000 \\ 22.6 \\ 1000 \\ 22.6 \\ 1000 \\ 22.6 \\ 1000 \\ 1000 \\ 22.6 \\ 1000 \\ $	56.5 60.1 48.0 47.0 42.3 44.0 39.9 39.2	$ \begin{array}{c} 6.3\\ 6.4\\ 6.1\\ 5.3\\ \hline 1.9\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 2.0\\ \end{array} $	3.9 4.1 4.8 3.9 .7 .7 .7 .8 .8	

TABLE 15.—Percentage of farms classified according to the total of improved and unimproved acreage, censuses of 1880–1910, by States and geographic divisions—Continued.

AVERAGE WORKERS PER FARM AND ACRES PER WORKER.

Comparison may now be made between the number of agricultural workers and the improved area of farms for the census years 1880, 1890, and 1900. This is a comparison between the land worked and the persons doing the work. For this purpose Table 16 is presented.

The average number of acres of improved land per farm for the three years mentioned are 71, 78, and 72, respectively, and for the work upon this average acreage there were 1.912, 1.855, and 1.786 persons, respectively. Stated in another form for the three years mentioned, 37.1, 42.2, and 40.5 acres, respectively, were worked by by one person included in the census of agricultural occupations. It should be remembered that the census included persons 10 years of age and over who had gainful occupations.

It is interesting to turn to the great agricultural region in the North Central States. Improved area of farms in those States gained in average area from 80.59 acres in 1880 to 101.21 acres in 1900, but the average number of agricultural workers per farm remained about the same, while the average number of acres per agricultural worker increased from 50.4 acres in 1880 to 59.8 acres in 1890 and 63.9 acres in 1900.

Increase of improved acreage per worker is observable also in the South Central division from 1880 to 1890. There was an increase also in the South Atlantic division from 1880 to 1890, followed by a contrary tendency. In the North Atlantic and Western divisions there has been a marked tendency toward a smaller acreage per worker.

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

State and geographic division.	A ver impr	age num oved acre farm.	ber of es per	Avera perso and ov ployed	age num) ns 10 yea er gainfu 1 in agric per farm,	per of ars old lly em- ulture	Average number of acres of improved land per person 10 years old and over gainfully em- ployed in agriculture.			
	1880	1890	1900	1880	1890	1900	1880	1890	1900	
Maine New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts Rhode Island. Connecticut. New York New York New York New York New Jersey. Pennsylvania Delaware Maryland. District of Columbia. Virginia North Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio Indiana. Bouth Carolina. Georgia. Florida. Ohio Indiana. Michigan. Wisconsin Minesota. Jowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. South Dakota. North Dakota. South Dakota. Mortaska. Kentucky. Tennessee. Alabama. Missispipi Lousiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. Suth Dakota. Mortana. Mostana. Missispipi Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana. Wyoming Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho. California. Geographic division:	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 54. \ 19\\ 71. \ 72\\ 92. \ 52\\ 55. \ 42\\ 48. \ 02\\ 55. \ 42\\ 48. \ 02\\ 55. \ 42\\ 48. \ 02\\ 55. \ 42\\ 48. \ 02\\ 61. \ 10\\ 62. \ 86\\ 85. \ 36\\ 85. \ 36\\ 85. \ 36\\ 82. \ 50\\ 60. \ 51\\ 61. \ 10\\ 62. \ 86\\ 82. \ 50\\ 60. \ 51\\ 61. \ 10\\ 62. \ 86\\ 82. \ 50\\ 71. \ 8$	$\begin{array}{c} 49.10\\ 59.26\\ 81.54\\ 48.21\\ 49.91\\ 52.35\\ 72.45\\ 64.85\\ 72.45\\ 64.85\\ 72.45\\ 64.85\\ 72.45\\ 64.85\\ 72.43\\ 83.65\\ 75.24\\ 43.89\\ 76.24\\ 43.89\\ 75.23\\ 106.65\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.23\\ 125.95\\ 83.15\\ 75.24\\ 83.85\\ 83.15\\ 75.24\\ 83.85\\ 83.15\\ 75.24\\ 83.85\\ 83.15\\ 79\\ 47.46\\ 65.93\\ 53.66\\ 65.93\\ 55.95\\ 65$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.25\\ 36.72\\ 64.24\\ 34.26\\ 34.08\\ 39.50\\ 68.81\\ 57.06\\ 60.13\\ 59.01\\ 35.00\\ 77.84\\ 77.84\\ 22.06\\ 60.13\\ 59.21\\ 37.07\\ 80.37.07\\ 76.42\\ 77.104.86\\ 58.66\\ 24\\ 119.25\\ 75.17\\ 104.86\\ 58.66\\ 24\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 119.25\\ 214.47\\ 151.68\\ 19.39\\ 212.75\\ 58.56\\ 43.81\\ 151.68\\ 104.39\\ 92.87\\ 133.24\\ 262.34\\ 80.88\\ 99.287\\ 164.85\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 164.85\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 164.85\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 164.85\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 164.85\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 104.39\\ 104.39\\ 99.287\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.39\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30\\ 104.30$	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 1,277\\ 1,382\\ 1,555\\ 1,692\\ 1,761\\ 1,439\\ 1,566\\ 1,766\\ 1,410\\ 2,040\\ 2,244\\ 3,366\\ 2,274\\ 3,113\\ 3,103\\ 2,494\\ 1,608\\ 1,716\\ 6,2275\\ 3,113\\ 3,103\\ 2,494\\ 1,608\\ 1,766\\ 1,560\\ 1,560\\ 1,568\\ 1,428\\ 1,648\\ 2,971\\ 2,904\\ 2,971\\ 2,944\\ 2,971\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,209\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,099\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 1,958\\ 1,671\\ 2,099\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,978\\ 2,977\\ 2,047\\ 2,058\\ 1,539\\ 2,978\\$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1,266\\ 1,417\\ 1,417\\ 1,417\\ 1,417\\ 1,495\\ 2,214\\ 4,516\\ 2,214\\ 4,516\\ 2,224\\ 4,516\\ 2,224\\ 4,516\\ 2,224\\ 4,516\\ 2,214\\ 2,013\\ 2,830\\ 2,419\\ 1,556\\ 1,566\\ 1,566\\ 1,566\\ 1,566\\ 1,570\\ 1,566\\ 1,570\\ 1,566\\ 1,570\\ 2,412\\ 2,425\\ 2,344\\ 1,878\\ 1,358\\ 1,358\\ 1,358\\ 2,445\\ 2,455\\ 2,444\\ 1,878\\ 1,358\\ 2,445\\ 2,342\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,247\\ 5,212\\ 2,4612\\ 1,902\\ 2,003\\ 2,003\\ 2,009\\ 2,003\\ 2,009\\ 2,003\\ 2,009\\ 2,003\\ 2,009\\ 2,003\\ 2,003\\ 2,009\\ 2,003\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.261\\ 1.283\\ 1.490\\ 1.742\\ 1.964\\ 1.641\\ 1.648\\ 1.977\\ 1.479\\ 1.964\\ 5.532\\ 1.778\\ 1.607\\ 2.064\\ 5.532\\ 1.778\\ 1.607\\ 2.027\\ 2.517\\ 2.027\\ 2.517\\ 2.027\\ 2.517\\ 2.027\\ 2.517\\ 1.920\\ 1.$	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 42.4\\ 51.9\\ 59.52.8\\ 27.3\\ 37.3\\ 46.9\\ 35.4\\ 44.66\\ 41.9\\ 36.8\\ 8.66\\ 33.5\\ 35.3\\ 18.1\\ 19.1\\ 19.1\\ 16.2\\ 45.5\\ 42.1\\ 59.9\\ 9.34.5\\ 55.1\\ 65.4\\ 47.1\\ 1\\ 33.5\\ 28.9\\ 9.34.5\\ 28.9\\ 16.7\\ 1\\ 55.1\\ 65.4\\ 47.1\\ 1\\ 33.5\\ 28.9\\ 16.7\\ 1\\ 54.5\\ 28.9\\ 16.6\\ 58.2\\ 50.7\\ 15.4\\ 33.5\\ 2\\ 50.7\\ 15.4\\ 33.5\\ 2\\ 50.7\\ 15.4\\ 33.5\\ 2\\ 50.7\\ 15.4\\ 33.5\\ 2\\ 82.4\\ 51.2\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.81.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 9.1\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ 37.9\\ 13.4.4\\ 51.2\\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} 38.8\\ 41.8\\ 49.8\\ 49.8\\ 24.0\\ 23.7\\ 30.6\\ 41.5\\ 29.3\\ 41.8\\ 42.1\\ 37.6\\ 15.7\\ 35.4\\ 42.1\\ 37.6\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 123.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.1\\ 23.2\\ 18.1\\ 16.0\\ 47.1\\ 18.1\\ 19.1\\ 15.0\\ 42.6\\ 65.9\\ 11.3\\ 37.0\\ 28.9\\ 19.1\\ 16.0\\ 48.4\\ 40.6\\ 66.8\\ 49.5\\ 11.3\\ 15.8\\ 49.5\\ 11.3\\ 15.8\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 34.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 94.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 78.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 78.2\\ 48.5\\ 78.9\\ 78.2\\ 78.$	$\begin{array}{c} 31.9\\ 28.6\\ 43.1\\ 19.7\\ 17.4\\ 24.1\\ 14.8\\ 39.8\\ 49.8\\ 39.8\\ 49.6\\ 49.8\\ 49.6\\ 49.7\\ 134.7\\ 136.4\\ 99.2\\ 42.6\\ 60.4\\ 33.8\\ 25.0\\ 15.6\\ 136.4\\ 99.2\\ 45.1\\ 30.8\\ 25.6\\ 60.4\\ 30.5\\ 25.8\\ 65.6\\ 65.9\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.0\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82.6\\ 6\\ 59.6\\ 82$	
North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$\begin{array}{r} 66.63\\ \cdot56.13\\ 80.59\\ 56.17\\ 185.92\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 64.29\\ 55.60\\ 95.79\\ 61.00\\ 157.81\end{array}$	57.45 47.91 101.21 48.25 111.79	$\begin{array}{c} 1.493\\ 2.500\\ 1.600\\ 2.387\\ 2.139\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.637\\ 2.211\\ 1.603\\ 2.124\\ 2.320\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.559 \\ 2.075 \\ 1.584 \\ 1.974 \\ 1.827 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.\ 6\\ 22.\ 5\\ 50.\ 4\\ 23.\ 5\\ 86.\ 9\end{array}$	39.3 25.1 59.8 28.7 68.0	36.9 23.1 63.9 24.4 61.2	
United States	71.03	78.34	72.25	1.912	1.855	1.786	37.1	42.2	40.5	

TABLE 16.—Ratio of agricultural workers to improved area of farms, 1880, 1890, and 1900, by States and geographic divisions.

MACHINERY AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR LABOR.

INCREASE OF VALUE.

Farm implements and machinery, in the use of which animal labor is employed, as well as that of men and women, have been the means by which the agricultural labor of the United States has enormously increased its productivity, and so made possible higher rates of wages. The census ascertained the value of implements and machinery on farms from 1880 to 1910, and the census reports have been utilized to construct Table 17. The average value of implements and machinery per farm and the average value of implements and machinery per person 10 years old and over employed in agriculture have been computed, except that it is not possible to compute this average for 1910, for the reason that the Bureau of the Census has not published the report on occupations for 1910 at the time when this bulletin is prepared.

The value of implements and machinery on farms increased from \$406,520,055 in 1880 to \$1,265,149,783 in 1910, and each intermediate census recorded an increase over the preceding one. It is true that the increase of value of implements and machinery on farms is not an accurate measure of increase in their number, for the reason that prices change, but it is a fact that the implements and machinery used in agriculture have steadily increased in efficiency and have constantly made human and animal labor applied to agriculture more productive. If prices have increased, the increased investment of farms in implements and machinery implies an increasing dependence on these aids to labor and is an evidence of their economic gain in production.

AVERAGE VALUE PER FARM.

The average value of implements and machinery per farm was \$101 in 1880, it increased to \$108 in 1890, in 1900 there was a marked increase to \$131, and an enormous increase to \$199 in 1910.

AVERAGE VALUE PER WORKER.

Increase likewise is general in the average value of implements and machinery per person 10 years old and over employed in agriculture. This average was \$122 in 1880; it rose to \$165 in 1890, and to \$170 in 1900. It will be observed that the rate of increase in the total value of implements and machinery from 1890 to 1900 and in the average value per farm was much greater than the rate of increase of value per agricultural worker.

The North Atlantic States, with their more varied agriculture and greater dependence on crop rotation and smaller farms than in the North Central States, possessed in 1900 implements and machinery with an average value per farm and per worker much above the averages of the North Central division of States; and it will be noticed that the western division of States is close below the North Atlantic States in its averages. The averages of the South Central States are above those of the South Atlantic States and both of those divisions are far below the three northern divisions of States.

TABLE 17.—Value of	implements and ma	chinery on farms, as	ascertained by the census,
and average per farm	<i>i</i> and per agriculture	al worker, 1880–1910	, by States and geographic
divisions.			

					1		
State, geographic division, and year.	Value of imple- ments and machinery on farms.	Average value per farm.	Average value per person 10 years old and over gainfully em- ployed in agri- culture.	State, geographic division, and year.	Value of imple- ments and machinery on farms.	Average value per farm.	Average value per person 10 years old and over gainfully em- ployed in agri- culture.
Maine:				North Carolina:			
1880	\$4,948,048	\$77	\$226	1880	\$6,078,476	\$39	\$30
1890	5,499,413	89	322	1890	7,183,210	40	42
1900	8,802,720	148	401	1900	9,072,600	40	39
1910	14,490,535	241		1910	18, 441, 649	73	
New Hampshire:	2 060 240	05	991	1880	3 202 710	24	16
1800	3 594 850	123	310	1890	4 172 262	36	21
1900	5, 163, 090	176	406	1900	6,629,770	43	28
1910	5,877,657	217		1910	14,108,853	80	
Vermont:				Georgia:			
1880	4,879,285	137	254	1880	5,317,416	38	19
1890	4,733,500	145	262	1890	5,764,978 0,804,010	34	21
1900	1, 338, 490	225	409	1900	9, 804, 010	44	30
Massachusetts-	10, 100, 007	511		Florida:	20, 540, 030	12	
1880	5, 134, 537	134	228	1880	689,666	29	· 21
1890	5,938,940	173	216	1890	1,158,040	34	49
1900	8,828,950	234	280	1900	1,963,210	48	53
1910	11,563,894	313		1910	4,446,007	89	
Rhode Island:	002 925	145	021	Unio:	20 521 190	192	
1880	902, 825	145	201	1800	29 475 346	117	232
1900	1.270.270	231	239	1900	36, 354, 150	132	263
1910	1,781,407	337		1910	51,210,071	188	
Connecticut: •				Indiana:			
1880	3, 162, 628	103	201	1880	20,476,988	106	172
1890	3,075,495	184	202	1890	21, 172, 255	107	200
1900	6,916,648	258	243	1910	40, 999, 541	120	201
New York:	0,010,010	200		Illinois:	10,000,011	100	
1880	42, 592, 741	177	339	1880	33, 739, 951	132	224
1890	46,659,465	206	352	1890	34, 456, 938	143	274
1900	56,006,000	247	377	1900	44,977,310	170	243
1910	83,044,822	308	•••••	1910 Michigan	13, 124, 014	293	
1880	6,921,085	202	305	1880	19,419,360	126	274
1890	7,378,644	239	257	1890	22, 182, 600	129	307
1900	9,330,030	269	281	1900	28, 795, 380	141	295
1910	13, 109, 507	391	•••••	1910	49, 916, 285	241	
Pennsylvania:	35 473 037	166	252	Wisconsin:	15 647 106	116	270
1890	39,046,855	185	389	1890	19, 167, 010	131	314
1900	50,917,240	227	413	1900	29,237,010	172	312
1910	70,726,055	323		1910	52, 956, 579	299	
Delaware:	1 504 505	1.50	1.50	Minnesota:	10,000,700	1.10	
1880	1, 504, 507	1/2	172	1880	13,089,783	142	380
1900	2,150,560	222	225	1900	30,099,230	145	324
1910	3, 206, 095	296	200	1910.	52, 329, 165	335	020
Maryland:				Iowa:		1	
1880	5,788,197	143	113	1880	29,371,884	158	332
1890	6,540,090	160	143	1890	36,665,315	182	495
1910	11 859 771	242	112	1900	95 477 948	200	404
District of Colum-	-1,000,111	1 212		Missouri:		110	
bia:				1880	18,103,074	84	157
1880	36,798	85	90	1890	. 21,830,719	92	236
1890	79,760	209	137	1900	28,602,680	100	176
1900	92 350	200 496	223	North Dakota	. 00, 873, 994	183	
Virginia:	. 02,000	120		1880	1 2, 390, 091	1 137	1 450
1880	5, 495, 114	46	41	1890	6, 648, 180	241	542
1890	6,593,688	52	56	1900	14,055,560	310	581
1900	9,911,040	59	72	1910	43,907,595	590	
West Virginia	10, 110, 883	98		1880	(1)	(1)	(1)
1880.	2,699,163	43	65	1890	8,371,712	167	673
1890	3, 116, 420	43	89	1900	12, 218, 680	232	457
1900	5,040,420	54	86	1910	. 33, 786, 973	435	
1910	7,011,513	1 73		4	1	1	4

¹ South Dakota combined with North Dakota.

TABLE 17.— Value of implements and machinery on farms, as ascertained by the census, and average per farm and per agricultural worker, 1880–1910, by States and geographic divisions—Continued.

State, geographic division, and year.	Value of imple- ments and machinery on farms.	Average value per farm.	Average value per person 10 years old and over gainfully em- ployed in agri- culture.	State, geographic division, and year.	Value of imple- ments and machinery on farms.	Average value per farm.	Average value per person 10 years old and over gainfully em- ployed in agri- culture.
Nebraska:	27 290 017	\$102	8110	Arizona:	000 011	2110	61.00
1890	16,468,977	145	474	1890	196,580	138	129
1900	24,940,450	205	418	1900	765,200	132	226
Kansas:	44, 249, 700	941		Utah:	1, 101, 190	194	
1880	15,652,848	113	285	1880	946,753	100	229
1890	29,490,580	170	330	1900	2,922,550	151	336
1910	48, 310, 161	272		1910	4, 468, 178	206	
1880	9,734,634	58	66	1880	378, 788	270	319
1890	10,906,506	61	104	1890	537, 480	421	240
1900	15,301,860 20,851,846	60 80	92	1900	888,060	407	322
Tennessee:				Idaho:	, ,		
1880	9,054,863	55 57	66 83	1880	363,930 1,172,460	193	614
1900	15,232,670	68	83	1900	3,295,045	188	422
1910	21, 292, 171	87		1910 Washington:	10, 476, 051	340	
1880	3, 788, 978	28	16	1880	958, 513	147	316
1890	4,511,645	29	24	1890	3,150,200 6,271,630	174	383
1910	16,290,004	62		1910	16,709,844	297	
Mississippi:	4 885 636	- 18	23	Oregon: 1880	2,956,173	182	811
1890	5,968,865	41	33	1890	4,556,770	178	430
1900	9,556,805	44 62	37	1900	6,506,725 13,205,645	182	376
Louisiana:	10,000,012	02		California:		200	
1880	5,435,525	113	37	1880	8,447,744	235	354
1900	28, 536, 790	246	164	1900	21, 311, 670	294	316
1910	18, 977, 053	157		1910	36, 493, 158	414	
Texas: 1880	9,051,491	52	63	sion:			
1890	13,746,541	60	106	North Atlan-			
1910	56, 790, 260	136	110	1880	107,083,426	154	310
Oklahoma:				1890	116, 868, 252	177	328
1880	433, 580	49	406	1910	218, 279, 210	332	
1900	10, 512, 495	97	154	South Atlan-			
Arbansas:	27,088,860	142		1880	30, 812, 107	48	32
1880	4,637,497	49	43	1890	36, 444, 018	49	45
1890	5,672,400	45	65 56	1910	98,230,147	88	
1910	16, 864, 198	79		North Cen- tral-			
Montana:	101 195	96.1	122	1880	206,233,272	121	244
1890	1,356,010	242	421	1890	252,225,315	131	324 291
1900	3,671,900	275	409	1910	637, 742, 094	286	
Wvoming:	10,000,000	402		tral—			
1880	95,482	209	216	1880	46,588,624	53	41
1890	522,250 1,366,000	167 224	412	1890	58,343,772 126,692,285	54	61
1910	3,668,294	334		1910	195, 059, 710	98	
Colorado:	910 085	20.5	358	1880	15,802,626	189	330
1890	2,728,850	167	273	1890	30, 366, 110	208	298
1900	4,746,755 12,791 601	192	320	1900	115,838,622	310	331
New Mexico:				United States:			-
1880	255,162	50	64	1880	406, 520, 055	101	122
1900	1,151,610	93	150	1900	749,775,970	108	103
1910	4, 122, 312	116		1910	1,265,149,783	199	

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL SURPLUS.

EXPORTS AND THEIR TENDENCY.

One-third of the persons gainfully employed sustain the agricultural production of this country and sustain the entire population. One person engaged in agricultural production sustains eight persons and besides doing this produces a surplus of enormous proportions for export for foreign countries. The annual value of agricultural exports from this country has risen to about \$1,000,000,000, but it should be remembered that this value has been reached at a time of increasing prices, so that it does not accurately indicate the trend of the exports in quantities.

A detailed examination of the export statistics of the Department of Commerce and Labor from 1870 to 1911 discovers what the trend has been in the quantities of the national surplus of agricultural products. Let the exports of the 10 years 1900–1909 stand for 100, and the exports of each year or group of years can be related to 100 for a simple and easily understood comparison.

The cattle exports of the 10 years 1900-1909 being 100, those of 1870-1879 were 12.4. The index number rose to 85.3 in 1890-1899 and to 102.6 in the five years 1900-1904, from which time the decline was to 34.3 in the single year 1911.

The exports of horses, mules, and sheep reached their highest figure in 1900–1904. Swine eventually met adverse legislation on the Continent of Europe, and their exports declined from 236.5 in 1870–1879 to 31.7 in 1911.

Butter exports were highest in 1880–1889, for which period they are represented by 141.7, and fell to 35 in 1911. Cheese exports declined enormously from the highest figure, 494.8, in 1880–1889, to 47.8 in 1911. On the contrary, eggs have displayed a climbing tendency and have risen from 0.8 in 1870–1879 to 127 in the five years 1905–1909, and to 199.9 in 1911.

All beef and its products have been combined as far as they are ascertainable in pounds, and then it appears that the period of highest exports was the five years 1900-1904, the index number being 103. It was 49.1 in 1911. Canned beef was highest at 135.8 in 1890-1899 and fell to 21.9 in 1911; fresh beef dropped from 116.1 in 1900-1904 to 16.1 in 1911; oleomargarin, oleo oil, tallow, and salted and pickled beef were all highest in the five years 1905-1909.

The total for pork and its products reached the highest export mark, 102.2, in 1900-1904, and fell to 65.9 in 1911. Some pork exports were highest in 1905-1909, and these were salted and pickled pork and lard.

Lard compounds are represented by 16.8 in 1893–1899, by 68 in 1900–1904, by 132 in 1905–1909, and by 135.5 in 1911. Mutton also

is able to increase its export, and at the end of the period of 42 years under examination has the index number 164. Again, in the case of animal oils, not specially named, there is a similar tendency, and the number for 1911 is 229.8.

In the case of cotton the exports were 35.7 in 1870–1879, and the number steadily rose to 110.9 in the five years 1905–1909. It was 85.7 in 1910 and 107.8 in 1911.

Dried apples gained steadily until 101.1 was reached in 1905–1909, and fell to 64.6 in 1911, but fresh apples had gained to the last year, for which the number is 146.6. Both prunes and raisins have an upward tendency to 1911, the former being represented by 133.8 and the latter by 367.1. Glucose and grape sugar may be added to the list of products with gaining exports.

Barley has fallen from 109.9 in 1900–1904 to 89.1 in 1911; corn and corn meal, from 117.8 in 1900–1904 to 69.3 in 1911; oats, from 123.4 to 13.4; rye and rye flour, from 139.5 to 2; wheat, from 131.8 to 28.6; wheat flour, from 118.8 to 65.5. Bread and biscuit had highest exports, 124.8, in 1880–1884, and after a decline to 96.1 in 1905–1909 rose to 111.1 in 1911.

Hay declined from 111.8 in 1900–1904 to 72.2 in 1911; cotton seed, from 120 to 37.1; clover seed, from 133.3 to 39.7; beans and peas, from 102 to 77.8.

On the contrary, corn-oil cake advanced to 164.1 in 1905–1909 and to 275 in 1911; hops to 115.5 in 1905–1909; cottonseed oil cake and oil-cake meal to 104.4 in 1905–1909; flax seed, oil cake, and oil-cake meal to 110.7; cottonseed oil to 108.4; linseed oil to 134.3; rice to 165.8; rice bran, meal, and polish to 106.6; flax seed to 110.2; timothy seed to 123.1; onions to 125.2; potatoes to 124.9 in 1905– 1909 and to 262.9 in 1911.

Tobacco had the index number 85.4 in 1890–1899; 101.1 in 1900– 1904; 98.9 in 1905–1909; 110 in 1910; and 109.4 in 1911.

SUMMARY.

The numbers quoted in the foregoing presentation may be regarded as fairly indicating the upward or downward tendency of exports of the products mentioned.

Most of the cereals and their products, all of the animals, and most of the meats and their products are going down in quantity of exports, and these three great general classes of products have filled a large place in the body of exports. Only mutton and unspecified animal oils; rice and its bran, meal, and polish; corn-oil cake, glucose and grape sugar, and perhaps bread and biscuit, in these three great groups of exports, display a tendency to increase.

A long record of increase is presented by cotton, hops, and tobacco. Comparatively recent products have joined the old list and give evidence of increase. Among these are cottonseed oil and flaxseed and cottonseed-oil cake and oil-cake meal, linseed oil, flaxseed and lard compounds. Among the fruits that are gaining are prunes, raisins, and fresh apples, and among the vegetables are onions and potatoes.

SUFFICIENCY OF AGRICULTURAL ABILITY.

INDICTMENT OF THE FARMER AND HIS METHODS.

Notwithstanding the great surplus of agricultural products that this country exports, it is freely stated that production is beginning to fail national sustenance, the cause of these statements apparently being the high prices of produce. The national surpluses of products, even though they may be diminishing ones, are a sufficient answer to these statements.

There is another feature of agricultural production that has entered into the situation during the last two years. The production of many of the foods has not been as abundant as previously on account of adverse climatic conditions.

FARMERS' FEAR OF OVERPRODUCTION.

The farmer is continually facing the penalties of overproduction, and it is the old familiar rule, established centuries ago in England by Arthur Young, that as production increases by certain percentages prices decrease in greater percentages. The potato crop of 400,000,000 bushels may not be worth so much to the producers as one of 300,000,000 bushels, and consequently farmers, in their collective action, endeavor to produce about the quantity of a crop that they can market at profitable prices. An experience of years gives them a rough sort of judgment with regard to this quantity, but they can not foresee what the weather will do to their crops. Having made their planting and sowing plans, we will assume, with fairness to themselves and also to consumers, the crop suffers under unforeseen adversities, there is inadequate production, and the general conclusion is that the agriculture of the country is unable to meet national requirements. This conclusion is forgotten after one year of overproduction, or of only sufficient production. The foregoing remarks seem pertinent to the present situation with regard to the supply of labor for agricultural production.

HAND AND MACHINE LABOR CONTRASTED.

BUREAU OF LABOR INVESTIGATION.

Although the agricultural element of the population has declined, the productivity of this element has increased per individual worker by means of better implements and machines and their more general use. The reductions of time required and of money cost per unit of commodity by reason of the employment of more efficient implements and machinery were determined by an investigation made by the National Bureau of Labor a dozen years ago. The materials represented in the report of that investigation have been rearranged and subjected to some computations for the purpose of constructing Table 18.

BARLEY AS A SAMPLE OF RESULTS.

As a sample of one of the items of the investigation, attention may be directed to the statement for barley. This item is identified in the report of the Bureau of Labor as Unit 3. The production of barley was analyzed into the various distinctive operations, such as breaking ground, plowing, seeding, etc., and the time required for each operation was recorded, together with the money cost, and the time and money-cost statements were recorded for both human labor and animal labor, when there was animal labor. In the case of Unit 3, the investigation covered the production of barley in 1829– 30, at a time when only simple implements were used, and in 1895–96 when the operations were performed mostly by machines. The production in both instances is placed at 30 bushels from 1 acre.

At the earlier time the cost of producing barley per bushel was \$0.1199 for human labor and \$0.0096 for animal labor, compared with which at the later time is a cost of \$0.0201 for human labor and \$0.0154 for animal labor.

The time required by human labor for the production of 1 bushel of barley on the average, in the earlier year, was 127.2 minutes and for animal labor 46 minutes, whereas in the later year the time required for human labor was 5.4 minutes per bushel and for animal labor 18.4 minutes.

CORN.

From 1855 to 1894 the time of human labor required to produce 1 bushel of corn on an average declined from 4 hours and 34 minutes to 41 minutes. This was because inventors had given to the farmers of 1894 the gang plow, the disc harrow, the corn planter drawn by horses, and the four-section harrow for pulverizing the topsoil; because they had given to the farmer the self-binder drawn by horses to cut the stalks and bind them; a machine for removing the husks from the ears and in the same operation for cutting the husks, stalks, and blades for feeding, the power being supplied by a steam engine; because they had given to the farmer a marvelous corn sheller, operated by steam and shelling 1 bushel of corn per minute instead of the old way of corn shelling in which the labor of one man was required for 100 minutes to do the same work.

WHEAT.

In the matter of wheat production, 1894 being compared with 1830, the required human labor declined from 3 hours and 3 minutes to 10 minutes. The heavy, clumsy plow of 1830 had given way to the disk plow that both plowed and pulverized the soil in the same operation; hand sowing had been displaced by the mechanical seeder drawn by horses; the cradling and thrashing with flails and hand winnowing had given way to reaping, thrashing, and sacking with the combined reaper and thrasher drawn by horses.

HAY.

When men mowed the grass with scythes in 1860, spread and turned it over for drying with pitchforks, when they raked it into windrows with a hand rake, cocked it with a pitchfork, and baled it with a hand press, the labor time required per ton was 35½ hours; but when for this method were substituted a mechanical mower drawn by horses, a hay tedder, and a hayrake and hay gatherers and stackers, all drawn by horses, and a press operated by a horse, the labor time was reduced to 11 hours and 34 minutes.

ECONOMIC POWER OF HORSE AND MULE.

Herein lies the strength of the horse and the mule as economic animals. The horse has been assailed by the bicycle, the electric street and suburban car, and by the automobile, but all combined have not prevented horses from increasing in numbers and in value. As sources of farm power and as substitutes for human labor in combination with implements and machines, the economic place of the horse and the mule on the farm is more strongly established than ever before.

The matter found in Table 18, in which comparison is made between production by hand labor, many years ago, and by machine labor at the end of the nineteenth century, is exceedingly instructive in every detail, as well as in the averages that have been computed per unit of production.

,

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor.

UNIT 3.-BARLEY: 30 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

[Compiled from Thirteenth Annual Report of Commissioner of Labor.]

	1	Human lab	oor.		Animal lab	or.
Operation and period.	T	ime.		T	ime.	
1	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.
Hand, 1829–30.				-		
Breaking ground	6	40.0	\$0.3333	13	20.0	\$0.1667
Pulverizing topsoil and covering seed	2	50.0	.1417	5	40.0	.0708
Hauling sheaves to barn.	4	0.0	.2000	4	0.0	.0500
Winnowing	13 12 4	45.0 15.0	.6375 .2125			
Total		3,815.0	3.5958		1,380.0	. 2875
Per bushel		127.2	. 1199		46.0	. 0096
Machine, 1895–96.						
Breaking ground, sowing and covering seed, and pulverizing topsoil.	0	21.8	.1090		01.0	0100
Reaping, thrashing, measuring, and sacking	0	52.5	. 0363		21.8	.0182
Hauling water and fuel for engine Hauling barley to granary	0 1	$15.0 \\ 2.6$.0500	0 8	30.0 20.8	. 0250
Total		162.8	. 6020		552.6	. 4605
Per bushel		5.4	. 0201		18.4	.0154

UNIT 5.—BROOM CORN: 1 TON (3 ACRES).

					And an experimental statements and an experimental statements and and an experimental statements and an e	
Hand, 1860.						
Breaking ground Pulverizing topsoil. Furrowing ground Dropping seed Covering seed	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 7 \\ 30 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 30.0\\ 45.0\\ 30.0\\ 0.0\end{array}$	\$1.5000 .7500 .3750 .3750 3.0000	30 15 7	0.0 0.0 30.0	\$1.1250 .5625 .2813
Cultivating. Breaking stalks. Cutting brush from stalks	225 120 160	0.0	22.5000 12.0000 16.0000		0.0	2.8125
Hauling brush to barn. Laying brush on table	40	0.0	4.0000	40	0.0	1.5000
Removing seed from brush.	$200 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 $	0.0	20,0000	4	0.0	. 1500
Baling brush	12	0.0	1.2000			
Breaking ground Pulverizing topsoil. Furrowing, drilling, and covering seed Cultivating Breaking stalks.		40.0 27.3 52.5 15.0 0.0	.8333 .3069 .2344 1.4063 3.7500	$26 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 22$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.0\\ 49.3\\ 45.0\\ 30.0\end{array}$	1.3333.4911.18751.1250
Cutting brush from stalks		0.0 8.3 0.0 0.0	7.5000 2.1423 .5000 1.5000	17	8.3	. 8569
Removing seed and conveying brush to dry- ing shelves.	10	0.0	1,3000			2000
Placing brush on drying shelves Baling brush	$\frac{2}{4}$	$0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 5.0$.5000	4 1	25.0	. 0708

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

UNIT 8.-CORN: 40 BUSHELS (1 ACRE), YELLOW CORN, SHELLED; STALKS, HUSKS, AND BLADES CUT INTO FODDER.

	Human labor.			Animal labor.			
Operation and period.	Ti	ime.	<i>a</i> ,	Time.		Cost	
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	
Hand, 1855.							
Breaking ground	5	0.0	\$0.5000	10	0.0	\$0.3750	
Pulverizing topsoll.	$\frac{1}{2}$	15.0 30.0	. 1250	$\frac{2}{2}$	30.0	.0938	
Dropping seed in check rows	ī	25.8	.1430				
Covering seed	2	51.5	.2858		20.0		
Cultivating	10	0.0	1.0000	10	0.0	. 3750	
Cutting and shocking	5	0.0	.3750				
Husking	13	20.0	1,0000		0.0	3000	
Hauling stalks to barn	8	0.0	.6000	16	0.0	.6000	
Cutting stalks, husks, and blades into fodder	60	0.0	4.5000		•••••		
Hauling corn to granary	00	40.0	.0994	2	39.0	. 0994	
Weighing corn	. Õ	4.0	.0050				
Total		10,960.8	14.3082		3,249.0	2.0308	
Per bushel		274.0	. 3577		81.2	. 0508	
Machine, 1894.							
Breaking ground	2	0.0	. 2000	8	0.0	. 4000	
Pulverizing topsoil	0	37.5	.0625	2	30.0	.1250	
Planting seed in check rows	0	40.0	.0667		20.0	.0667	
Cultivating	5	0.0	. 5000	10	0.0	. 5000	
Cutting and binding.	1	15.0	. 2500	2	30.0	. 1250	
Hauling corn to husker		40.0	1.0000	13	20.0	. 6667	
Husking corn and cutting stalks, husks, and	ľ				-0.0		
blades into fodder	2	80.0	.8334		20.0	1667	
Hauling water to engine	1	40.0	. 2500	3	20.0	.1667	
Shelling	0	36.0	.1100				
Hauling water to engine	0	6.0 67 4	.0125	0	12.0	.0100	
Weighing corn	0	3.4	.0085	····· ²	17.0		
Total		1,650.3	4.2269		2,866.8	2.3891	
Per bushel		41.3	. 1057		71.7	. 0597	

UNIT 9.-CORN: 40 BUSHELS (1 ACRE), YELLOW CORN, HUSKED; STALKS LEFT IN FIELD

	1		1			
Hand, 1855.						
Breaking ground	5	0.0	\$0,5000	10	0.0	\$0,3750
Pulverizing topsoil.	1	15.0	. 1250	2	30.0	.0938
Marking check rows	2	30.0	.2500	2	30.0	.0938
Dropping seed in check rows	1	15.0	. 1250			
Covering seed	2	30.0	. 2500			
Pulverizing topsoil.	1	15.0	. 1250	2	30.0	.0938
Cultivating	10	0.0	1.0000	10	0.0	.3750
Husking and hauling corn to crib	15	0.0	1.2500	10	0.0	.3750
Total		2,325.0	3.6250		2,250.0	1.4064
Per hushel		59 1	0006		56.9	0359
i di busilei		30.1	.0900			. 0002
Machine, 1894.						
Breaking ground	2	0.0	.2000	8	0.0	. 4000
Pulverizing topsoil	l õ	35.3	.0588	2	21.2	. 1177
Planting seed in check rows	Ō	37.5	. 0625	1	15.0	.0625
Fulverizing topsoil	Ō	15.0	.0250	1	0.0	.0500
Cultivating.	5	0.0	. 5000	10	0.0	. 5000
Husking and hauling corn to crib	6	40.0	. 6667	13	20.0	. 6667
Total		907.8	1.5130		2,156.2	1.7969
Per bushel		22.7	.0378		53.9	. 0449

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor-Continued.

Operation and period.		Human lab	oor.	Animal labor.			
		Time.		Time.		Coat	
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	
Hand, 1841.							
Bedding land Opening beds	824	48.0 12.0 21.0	\$0.4000 .1000 2000	8 2	$48.0 \\ 12.0$	\$0.2000 .0500	
Covering seed.	2 27	12.0 0.0	.1000 1.2273	2	12.0	.0500	
Barring off. Cultivating.	4 28 77	24.0 36.0 0.0	.2000 1.3000 3.7500	4 28	$24.0 \\ 36.0$. 1000 . 6500	
Hauling to gin	13	12.0	. 6000	13	12.0	. 3000	
Total		10,068.0	7.8773		3,564.0	1.3500	
Per pound		13.4	. 1050		4.8	. 0018	

UNIT 10 .- COTTON: 750 POUNDS (1 ACRE), SEED COTTON.

1,000 POUNDS (1 ACRE), SEED COTTON.

Machine, 1895.					
Bedding land. Pulverizing top soil. Planting seed. Cultivating Chopping Picking. Hauling to gin.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 21.0\\ 30.0\\ 51.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0 \end{array}$	\$0.8000 .0350 .1500 .7850 .5000 5.0000 .6000	8 1 1 14 6	0.0 3.0 30.0 27.0	\$0.4000 .0525 .0750 .7225
Total	 4,722.0	7.8700		1,860.0	1.5500
Per pound	 4.7	.0079		1.9	.0016

UNIT 11.-HAY: HARVESTING AND BALING 1 TON (1 ACRE), TIMOTHY HAY.

	1		1	1	1	1
Hand, 1860.						
Mowing grass.	7	20.0	\$0.6667			
Tedding hav	3	40.0	. 1667			
Raking into windrows	3	40.0	. 3333			
Coalzing	ĭ	50.0	1667			
Uauling to harn	2	40.0	3333	2	40.0	\$0.1250
Deline	14	40.0	1 9999	0	40.0	00. 1200
Ballug.	14	40.0	1.0000			
weigning	0	40.0	.0000			
Per ton	35	30.0	3.0606	3	40.0	. 1250
Machine, 1894.						
Mowing gross	1	6.0	1250	2	12.0	1000
Mowing grass	0	22.0	0275	ő	22.0	. 1000
Delaing to steels	1	6.0	1950	9	19.0	+ 0200
Raking to stack	+	20.0	1075	2	12.0	. 1000
Stacking	Ť	39.0	. 1873	Ų.	33.0	. 0250
Baling.	G	30.0	. 6250	5	30.0	. 2500
Weighing	0	20.0	.0379			
Hauling to barn	1	20.0	. 1515	2	40.0	. 1212
Per ton	11	34.0	1.2894	13	40.0	. 6212

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

UNIT 12.-HAY: HARVESTING 1 TON (1 ACRE), TIMOTHY HAY.

	1	Iuman lab	oor.	Animal labor.		
Operation and period.	Т	ime.	Cent	Time.		Cost
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.
Hand, 1850.						
Mowing grass. Tedding hay. Raking hay into windrows. Cocking hay. Loading hay and hauling to barn. Unloading hay. Mowing hay.	7 3 1 1 1 0	$20.0 \\ 40.0 \\ 40.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 55.0 $	\$0.6667 .1667 .3333 .1667 .1667 .1667 .0833	1 3	40.0	\$0. 1667
Per ton	21	5.0	1.7501	3	40.0	. 1667
Machine, 1895.						
Mowing grass. Tedding hay Loading hay and hauling to barn. Unloading hay Mowing hay	1 0 0 0	6.0 33.0 55.0 55.0 27.5	.1250 .0375 .1042 .1042 .0521	2 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 12.0\\ 33.0\\ 55.0\\ 55.0\\ \end{array} $. 1000 . 0250 . 0417 . 0417
Per ton	3	56.5	. 4230	4	35.0	. 2084

UNIT 16 .- POTATOES: 220 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

Hand, 1866.						
Breaking ground	5	0.0	\$0.5000	10	0.0	\$0.5000
Pulverizing topsoil	3	20.0	. 3333	6	40.0	. 3333
Cutting potatoes for seed	4	0.0	. 4000	· • • • • • • • • •		
Hauling seed to field	0	15.0	. 0250	0	30.0	. 0250
Furrowing ground	2	0.0	. 2000	2	0.0	. 1000
Dropping seed	5	0.0	.0000		• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Covering seed	8 14	0.0	1 4222		40.0	6222
Digging	14	20.0	2000	12	40.0	2000
Picking up and putting into wagon	40	0.0	4,0000	т	0.0	. 2000
Hauling to pit.	5	0.0	. 5000	10	0.0	. 5000
Sorting	20	0.0	2.0000			
Total		6,535.0	10.8916		2,750.0	2.2916
Dan basebal		00.7	0.407		10. "	0104
Per bushel	•••••	29.7	. 0495	· · · · · · ·	12. 0	. 0104
Machine, 1895.						
Breaking ground	. 4	0.0	4000	12	0.0	6000
Pulverizing topsoil	Ô	30.0	. 0500	2	0.0	. 1000
Cutting potatoes for seed	1	36.0	. 1600			
Hauling seed to field	0	13.5	. 0225	0	27.0	. 0225
Planting	1	25.5	. 1425	2	51.0	. 1425
Cultivating	4	15.0	. 4250	9	30.0	. 4750
Digging.		40.0	. 1667	6	40.0	. 3333
Pleking up and putting into wagon	13	20.0	1. 3333			
Sorting	5	0.0	. 5000	10	0.0	. 5000
501 tillg	0	0.0	.0000	•••••		
Total		2,280.0	3.8000		2,608 .0	2. 1733
Per bushel		10.4	. 0173		11.9	. 0099

¹ Including 1 hour and 50 minutes' time of unloading.

54613°-Bull. 94-12-5

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

UNIT 13.-OATS: 40 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).1

	I	Human lab	or.	Animal labor.				
Operation and period.	Time.		Time.		Gent	Time.		Guid
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.		
Hand, 1830. Sowing seed Covering seed and pulverizing topsoil Reaping, binding, and shocking Hauling sheaves to barn Thrashing oats and stacking straw Winnowing. Gathering up and measuring Putting into bin	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ 20 \\ 16 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} $	25. 0 50. 0 40. 0 0. 0 0. 0 0. 0 5. 0 15. 0	\$0.0708 .1417 1.2500 .2000 1.0000 .8000 .2542 .0125	5 	40.0	\$0. 0708		
Total		3,975.0	3. 7292		580.0	. 1208		
Per bushel		99.4	. 0932		14.5	. 0030		
Machine, 1893.2								
Sowing seed. Covering seed and pulverizing topsoil. Pulverizing topsoil. Reaping and binding. Shocking sheaves. Hauling sheaves to thrasher. Thrashing and measuring oats and stacking	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 0\\ 50.\ 0\\ 15.\ 0\\ 40.\ 0\\ 20.\ 0\\ 55.\ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} .0417\\ .1042\\ .0313\\ .1000\\ .2000\\ .2880\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ \end{array}$	40. 0 20. 0 0. 0 0. 0 33. 6	.0333 .1667 •.0500 .1000 .1280		
straw. Hauling water for engine. Hauling oats to granary. Shoveling into bins.	$\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\0\\0\end{array}$	7.2 9.6 19.2 9.6	.2120 .0240 .0480 .0240	0 0	19. 2 38. 4	. 0160 . 0320		
Total		425.8	1.0732		631.2	. 5260		
Per bushel		10.6	. 0268		15.8	. 0132		

¹ Wheat stubble land; no plowing required. ² Corn stubble land; no plowing required.

UNIT 18.-RYE: 25 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

10 3	$0.0 \\ 20.0$	\$0.6250 .2084	20 6	$0.0 \\ 40.0$	\$0.6250 .2084
$1 \\ 1 \\ 11$	0.0 40.0 33.8	. 0625 . 1042 . 8673	. 3	20.0	. 1042
2 6 12	0.0 40.0 30.0	.1500 .4167 .7813	6	40.0	. 2083
10 3	41. 3 33. 8	.6680 .2227			
	3,778.9	4.1061		2,200.0	1. 1459
	151.2	.1642		88.0	. 0458
		-			
	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0 \end{array} $. 5000 . 1000 . 1000	10 3 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	. 6250 . 1876 . 1250
	0.0 0.0 40.0	.1000 .2000 .6667	2	0.0	. 1250
6 0 1	30.0 . 30.0 .30.0	.7875 .0500 1500	1	0.0	. 0625
	1.510.0	2. 6542		1,580.0	1. 6459
	60.4	. 1062		63.2	.066
	10 3 1 1 1 2 6 6 2 2 10 10 0 0 3 	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

UNIT 21.-SWEET POTATOES: 105 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

	1	Human lab	oor.	Animal labor.		
Operation and period.	T	ime.	<i>a</i> .	Time.		Gent
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.
Hand, 1868.						5
Breaking ground twice. Pulverizing topsoil. Smoothing ground. Making ridges.	$ \begin{array}{c} 13 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{array} $	$20.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 0.0$		26 5 5 10	40.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$1.6667 .3125 .3125 .6250
Dropping plants. Setting out plants. Watering. Pressing earth around plants.	20 20 20 20	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000 \\ 2.0000 \\ 2.0000 \\ 2.0000 \\ \end{array}$	40	0.0	2.5000
Cultivating potatoes Digging and throwing into windrows Sorting Picking up	$ \begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 30 \\ 33 \\ 16 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 40.0 \\ 19.0 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.0000 \\ 3.0300 \\ 1.6667 \\ .8333 \\ .5000 \end{array} $	10		
Ricking table potatoes in bins Dumping seed and feed potatoes into bins Putting 60 bushels of table potatoes into	6 0	12.0 0.0 24.0	. 5200 . 6000 . 0400		24.0	. 6900
Total		19,040.0	28.2333		5,824.0	6.0667
Per bushel		181. 3	. 2689		55.5	. 0578
Machine, 1895.						
Breaking ground twice. Pulverizing topsoil. Smoothing ground. Making ridges. Furnishing plants to feeders.		0.0 15.0 30.0 40.0 0.0	.8000 .1000 .2000 .1333 .0800	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 2\\ 5\\ 3\end{array}$	0.0 30.0 0.0 20.0	$1.0000 \\ .1250 \\ .2500 \\ .1667$
Setting out, watering, and pressing earth around plants. Scraping off ridges. Throwing dirt back on ridges. Dressing off ridges.	6 1 1 20	0.0 40.0 40.0 0.0	.3200 .1333 .1333 1.6000	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 3\\ 3\end{array}$	$0.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0$.2000 .1667 .1667
Digging potatoes. Throwing into windrows	1 10	40.0	. 1333	3	20.0	. 1667
Ficking up Hauling to storehouse Ricking table potatoes in bins Dumping seed and feed potatoes into bins	33 16 5 6	$ \begin{array}{c} 20.0 \\ 40.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 24.0 \end{array} $	1.3333 .6667 .4160 .4800 .0320	10	24.0	. 5200
Putting 60 bushels of table potatoes into barrels.	2	6.0	. 1680			
Total		7,327.0	7. 5292		3,314.0	2.7618
Per bushel		69.8	. 0717		31.6	. 0263

UNIT 22.-TOBACCO: 1,200 POUNDS (1 ACRE), LEAF TOBACCO.

Hand, 1844.						
Hauling brush and burning it on seed beds	2	0.0	\$0.0600	2	0.0	\$0. 1000
Preparing seed beds and sowing seed	1	12.0	.0360			
Breaking ground	8	0.0	. 2400	· 16	0.0	.8000
Pulverizing topsoil and smoothing ground	8	0.0	. 2400	16	0.0	. 8000
Marking rows	4	0.0	. 1200	8	0.0	. 4000
Pulling, dropping, and setting out plants	20	0.0	. 6000			
Cultivating	24	0.0	. 7200	4	0.0	, 2000
Worming.	30	0.0	. 9000			
Topping.	2	0, 0	.0600			
Suckering	8	0.0	. 2400			
Cutting and hanging on sticks	20	0.0	6000			
Hauling to barn	12	0.0	3600	12	0.0	6000
Strinning and grading	60	0.0	1 8000	12	0.0	. 0000
suppling and grading	00	0.0	1.0000			
Total		11,952.0	5.9760		3,480.0	2.9000
Per pound		10.0	. 0050		2.9	. 0024
TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

UNIT 22.-TOBACCO: 1,250 POUNDS (1 ACRE), LEAF TOBACCO-Continued.

]]	Human lab	oor.	Animal labor.			
Operation and period.	T	ime.		Т	ime.		
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	
Machine, 1895, 1,250 pounds (1 acre). Preparing seed beds and sowing seed Weeding. Breaking ground. Pulverizing topsoil. Smoothing ground. Pulling plants. Hauling vater and plants to field. Setting out and watering. Cultivating. Worming and topping Worming and suckering Cutting and suckering. Cutting to barns and hanging up. Stripping. Grading Prizing in hogsheads. Total. Per pound. UNIT 23 — TOBACCO: 1 500 POUNDS	6 8 7 2 2 5 5 8 43 43 43 48 68 66 67 14	33.0 15.0 42.0 12.0 12.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 15.0 20.1 30.0 15.0 21,190.5 17.0 38.E)	\$0. 4566 .5751 .5367 .1534 .4409 .2500 .6613 3. 45430 3. 8578 5. 5112 3. 0863 1. 5431 6. 3906 1. 6500 1. 4550 30. 2344 .0242	0 15 4 4 2 5 13 23 23 23 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 22 25 13 25 13 25 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	3.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 45.0 30.0 45.0 6.0 4,161.0 3.3 F TOBA	\$0.0023 .7000 .2000 .2000 .2500 .6250 	
UNIT 23.—TOBACCO: 1,500 POUNDS	S (I ACF	(E), SPAI	VISH SEI				
Hand, 1853. Breaking ground twice	$13 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 10 \\ 2 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 20.\ 0\\ 0.\ 0\\ 15.\ 0\\ 30.\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0.\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ $	\$1,0000 .7750 .0938 .1875 .7500 1.5000 1.5000 1.5000 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .7500 .3375 .3750 .3375 .3750 .3375 .3375 .3375 .3000 .3225		40. 0 0. 0 30. 0 30. 0 30. 0 30. 0 30. 0	\$1.0000 .3750 .0938 .0938 .0938 	
Total		18,683.0	23. 3538		4,000.0	2.5002	
Per pound		12.5	. 0156		2.7	. 0017	
Machine, 1895. Breaking ground. Pulverizing topsoil. Leveling ground. Hauling water and plants to field. Setting out and watering. Cultivating. Topping. Suckering. Cutting. Gathering in hakes. Spearing. Hauling to shed. Hanging in shed. Piling in bulk. Strapping and tying in bundles. Grading and tying in hands. Packing in cases.	52022752225520081001002537710004	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 30.0\\ 37.8\\ 30.0\\ 30.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} .5000\\ .2500\\ .2500\\ .5750\\ 2.2500\\ .5000\\ .5000\\ .0000\\ .0000\\ 1.0000\\ 1.0000\\ 1.0000\\ .2500\\ .5000\\ .5000\\ 0.3.7500\\ 10.0000\\ .4280\end{array}$	10 6 1 5 5 20 		. 5000 . 3125 . 0630 . 2500 1. 0000 5000	
Total		15,174.6	25.1160		3,450.6	2.8755	
Per pound		10.1	. 0167		2.3	. 0019	

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

TABLE 18.—Hand and machine labor—Continued.

	1	Human lab	oor.	Animal labor.			
Operation and period.	T	ime.	Guit	T	ime.		
	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost.	Hours.	Minutes.	Cost,	
Hand, 1829–1830.							
Breaking ground	6	40.0	\$0.3333	13	20.0	\$0.1667	
Pulverizing topsoil and covering seed	2	30.0	.1250	5	0.0	.0625	
Hauling sheaves to barn	20 4	0.0	. 2000	4	0.0	.0500	
Winnowing wheat	10 3	20.0 0.0 20.0	.5000				
Total		3,665.0	3.5542		1,340.0	. 2792	
Per bushel		183.2	.1777		67.0	. 0140	
Machine, 1895–1896.							
Breaking ground Sowing seed. Pulverizing topsoil and covering seed. Reaping, thrashing, and sacking. Hauling wheat to granary		$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 15.0\\ 12.0\\ 60.0\\ 52.2 \end{array}$.1500 .0375 .0300 .3125 .1305	$ \begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 6 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 0 \\ 15.\ 0 \\ 36.\ 0 \\ 30.\ 0 \\ 57.\ 6 \end{array}$. 6000 . 0125 . 0800 . 3250 . 3480	
Total		199.2	. 6605		1,638.6	1.3655	
Per bushel		10.0	. 0330		81.9	. 0683	

UNIT 26.-WHEAT: 20 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

UNIT 27.-WHEAT: 20 BUSHELS (1 ACRE).

	and the second se	Contract of the second s		the second se	the second se	and the second se
Hand, 1829–1830.						
Breaking ground	6	40.0 25.0	\$0.3333 .0708	13	20.0	\$0.1667
Pulverizing topsoil and covering seed	20	50.0	.1417	5	40.0	.0708
Hauling sheaves to barn.	4	0.0	.2000	4	0.0	. 0500
Winnowing wheat	$13 \\ 12 \\ 4$	0.0	. 6000			
Total		3,855.0	3.7125		1,380.0	. 2875
Per bushel		192.8	. 1856		69.0	. 0144
Machine, 1895–1896.						
Breaking ground, sowing and covering seed,	0	30.0	. 1695			
Hauling water and fuel for engine	0	15.0	. 0500	0	30.0	. 0250
Hauling water and fuel for engine Hauling wheat to granary	0	$18.0 \\ 52.2$.0600	0 6	$\begin{array}{r} 36.0 \\ 57.6 \end{array}$.0300 .3480
Total		178.2	. 7180		483.6	. 4030
Per bushel		8.9	. 0359		24.2	. 0202

SUPPLY OF FARM LABOR.

HORSE WORK IN MINNESOTA.

AVERAGE TIME OF WORK.

Another investigation of the cost of agricultural production, conducted along scientific lines, has been made by the Division of Agriculture of the Minnesota Experiment Station in cooperation with this bureau. From Bulletin 73, of this bureau, a small amount of material has been extracted concerning the time worked by horses and the cost of horse labor. It was ascertained in that investigation during the six years 1902–1907 that on three farms in different townships and operated under somewhat different conditions the average time worked daily on week days by a horse throughout the whole year was 3.03 hours on the farm at Northfield, 3.29 hours on the farm at Marshall, and 3.14 hours on the farm at Halstad. The average number of hours worked by a horse during each week day and in each month is stated in Table 19.

COST OF MAINTAINING A HORSE.

The average annual cost of maintaining a farm work horse on four farms in Minnesota is stated in detail in Table 20. It appears that this average cost ranged from \$65.23 to \$90.40. About two-thirds of the cost was for feed and the item of cost next in importance was that of human labor required for the care of a horse.

Having ascertained the cost of maintaining a horse and the time devoted to labor, the Minnesota station computed the average cost of horse labor per hour with the following results: On one farm this average cost was 7.32 cents; on another farm it was 7.46 cents; on still another farm 8.36 cents; and the highest cost of horse labor per hour was found on the fourth farm with an average of 9.25 cents. Details may be found in Tables 20 and 21.

EQUIVALENCE OF HORSE AND HUMAN LABOR.

It has seemed worth while to compare the annual cost of maintaining a work horse on a Minnesota farm with the wages of the labor of a man working on the farm. The comparison is made in the manner expressed in Table 22. The large farm is excluded because not represented and the statement for the cost of maintaining a horse is confined to three farms.

If the interest on the value of a horse is included, the cost of maintenance for one year is \$84.16, but, if the interest is excluded, the cost is \$79.03.

For this cost of maintaining one horse during one year, for how long a time can a farm laborer be employed? This has been computed with results contained in Table 22. One laborer can be employed without board at the Minnesota average rate of wages in hiring by the year and season for 2.15 months to 2.29 months, according to the exclusion or inclusion of interest on the value of the horse. That is to say, using money as an equivalent for the cost of labor, one horse for one year costs the same as one man for somewhat over two months. This is a striking testimonial to the economic value of the horse as a source of power in comparison with human labor.

 TABLE 19.—Average number of hours worked daily on week days by horses on three farms in Minnesota, by months, average of 1902–1907.

Farm at—	A verage of 12 months.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Northfield Marshall Halstad	$3.03 \\ 3.29 \\ 3.14$	$1.16 \\ .81 \\ .71$	$1.14 \\ .96 \\ .80$	$1.34 \\ 1.72 \\ .86$	$4.54 \\ 4.46 \\ 2.48$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \\ 4.66 \\ 5.06 \end{array}$	3.11 3.55 3.14	$3.44 \\ 3.68 \\ 3.24$	4.78 5.07 5.21	$\begin{array}{c} 4.07 \\ 5.08 \\ 6.07 \end{array}$	$3.86 \\ 4.61 \\ 6.56$	3.05 3.57 2.77	1.55 1.37 .71

 TABLE 20.—Average annual cost of maintaining a farm work horse on four farms in Minnesota.

•	Northfield.					Marshall.				
Item of cost.	Aver- age.	1904	1905	1906	1907	Aver- age.	1904	1905	1906	1907
Interest on investment Depreciation Harness depreciation Sheeing Feed Labor Miscellaneous expenses		\$3.24 15.48 1.47 1.11 63.49 11.77 .55					\$3.79 9.86 1.47 .53 68.96 13.14 .62	$\begin{array}{r} \$4.96\\ 4.20\\ 2.18\\ .41\\ 51.91\\ 13.92\\ .55\end{array}$		
Total	90.40	97.11	72.18	88.05	104.27	87.00	98.37	78.13	78.30	93.22

	Halstad.					1,820-acre farm (Norman County).				
Item of cost.	Aver- age.	1904	1905	1906	1907	Aver- age.	1904	1905	1906	1907
Interest on investment Depreciation Harness depreciation Shoeing Feed Labor Miscellaneous expenses	\$5.16 5.82 1.35 .12 42.34 19.68 .61		5.55 6.20 1.27 .11 37.69 16.86 1.64		\$4.32 2.60 .32 .12 47.15 22.73 .18	\$4.24 1.04 1.10 40.21 18.62 .03	\$4.47 1.56 40.18 14.24	$\begin{array}{r} \$4.56\\ 1.77\\ 1.45\\ \hline 37.29\\ 18.06\\ .12\\ \end{array}$	\$4.29 1.33 36.54 20.54	\$3.64 2.38 .05 46.82 21.64
Total	75.07	77.30	69.32	76.24	77.42	65.23	60.45	63.25	62.70	74.53

TABLE 21.—Cost of horse labor per hour on four farms in Minnesota.*

Farm at—	A ver- age.	1904	1905	1906	1907
Northfield. Marshall. Halstad 1,820-acre farm (Norman County).	Cents. 9.25 8.36 7.32 7.46	Cents. 8.33 8.95 7.27 6.60	Cents. 8.52 7.16 6.72 7.68	Cents. 9.13 8.31 7.62 6.79	Cents. 11.02 9.02 7.67 8.77

Farm at—	Annual con taining 1 on each o Minnesot for 1904–1	st of main- work horse f 3 farms in a; average 907.
*	Interest on value of horse in- cluded.	Interest on value of horse ex- cluded.
Northfield Marshall Halstad	\$90.40 87.00 75.07	\$84.86 82.32 69.91
A verage	84.16	79.03
Equal to number of months of labor of 1 man without board in hiring by the year and season at rate of \$36.69 in Minnesota in 1906	2.29	2.15

TABLE 22.-Annual cost of labor of horse and man compared, in Minnesota.

INTENSIVE AGRICULTURE AS A SOURCE OF WAGES.

HIGHER RATES AND COMMAND OF THE BEST LABOR.

In pursuing the nineteenth investigation of farm wage rates throughout the country for Bulletin 99, many thousands of correspondents were requested to mention the special manner of farming and the special crops that enabled farmers to pay the higher wages and get the better laborers. The information received in response to this specific inquiry is not uniform and, indeed, can not be so in a country possessing the great variety of agricultural and market conditions found in the United States. The general fundamental fact, however, is that the higher rates of wages in any community or larger region are sustained by the more intensive agriculture. This kind of agriculture embraces the more profitable lines of production in each community or larger area and probably the intensive methods are the cause of the profitable results. The intensive agricultural method carried on by intelligent men sustains a higher agricultural wage rate.

REPORTS OF STATE STATISTICAL AGENTS.

The information supplied by correspondents throughout the breadth and width of the country concerning the kinds of commodities and the character of the agriculture that sustains the higher wages may briefly be reviewed with profit.

The question was, "What special manner of farming and what special crops enable farmers to pay the higher wages and to get the better laborers?"

The State statistical agent for Maine reported that this question would be answered differently for the different counties of the State, and that in Aroostook County the advantageous product is potatoes; in other counties where butter factories are in operation that dairying would be the favored specialty, while in still other counties it would be sweet corn for canning. In Vermont the higher wages are found in market gardening, dairying, and fruit harvest; in truck farming, and dairy farming on a large scale in Rhode Island; while in New York the best fruit growers, particularly those who market their product at retail, truck farming, and the breeding of pure-bred stock were designated.

The special agriculture that sustains the higher wages in New Jersey is fruit growing and general trucking; in Delaware, fruit growing combined with potatoes, both sweet and white; fruit growing and trucking in West Virginia.

From the State statistical agent for South Carolina the answer is, "intensive diversified farming, planting of cotton, corn, and small grain, with hay and stock raising;" from Ohio the report is, "diversified farming with well-planned rotations enables the farmer to employ help for the whole year; more intelligent laborers may be employed and higher wages paid."

The situation is thus described in North Dakota: "Our grain farmers pay rather the higher wages, but our mixed farmers are better able to pay higher wages and they get the better men on account of their assurance that men and women will have work for the entire year."

In Kansas, as well as in other States, wheat harvest pays the highest day rates of wages; otherwise the farmer who so manages his affairs as to be able to employ a man throughout the whole year is able to get the better quality of labor and must pay the highest rate.

In Alabama, "the laborer, good, bad, or indifferent, prefers to cultivate corn and cotton." The rice laborer is paid the best wages in Louisiana for the reason that this crop requires more skillful laborers than others do; the land is plowed with gang plows; disk harrows are used; the grain is seeded with seeders and then harvested with harvesters and binders.

It is the observation of the State statistical agent for Washington that "fruit growing appeals to the men of a higher order of intelligence, and the competent man in this line is paid the best wages." In Oregon, dairying appears to secure the better laborers on account of steady employment, but the commercial apple growers also are able to pay higher wages, and perhaps as a class pay the highest.

LOCAL CORRESPONDENTS.

From reports made by local correspondents the following extracts are indicative. In New York State various vegetable specialties pay the highest wages. In one county the breeding of registered stock is mentioned. In another county the apple-orchard interests predominate, and the best wages go to the laborers who have acquired the needed skill. Among the other products mentioned in various places in New York are hops and potatoes.

Agricultural specialties in Virginia that command the better labor and pay the higher wages are fruit, potatoes, strawberries, and various vegetables for sale in Northern markets.

Reports from North Carolina mention diversification of crops, market gardening, more intensive agriculture, berries, tobacco, and cotton when raised intensively. From Georgia the reports include melons, general trucking, fruit growing, and especially peach growing, while the various correspondents mention intensive methods and diversification of crops.

Michigan has many specialties that are mentioned as possessing advantages over general agriculture without intensive treatment. Among these specialties are spearmint, peppermint, wormwood, and tansy, dairying near a city, ginseng, clover raised for hay and seed, chickory and sugar beets, celery, growing pease under contract with seed firms, strawberries, onions, and cucumbers, the latter for pickling; the raising of nursery stock, and small white beans. Several correspondents have observed that the highest wages are paid by the farmers who maintain the best farms and use the best labor-saving machinery. "It is not so much in the crop as in the person who employs and secures the highest production per acre."

The Iowa farmer finds an advantage in good riding machines and good horses; and under conditions in Illinois, "mixed farming with a systematic rotation of crops enables the farmer to pay the highest wages if he could be assured of getting the most trustworthylaborers." Among the specialties that pay the higher wages and get the better labor in Tennessee are truck gardening and tobacco.

The report of a local correspondent in Louisiana is: "Intensive and diversified farming. In this section alfalfa for hay seems to pay best, but where the land is suitable and convenient to market, trucking is quite profitable." In another part of Louisiana the advantage goes to sugar cane and elsewhere to cane grown for sirup and to vegetable and berry growing for northern markets.

California has a great variety of special products that pay the higher rates of wages. Among these are the citrus fruits, pears, apples, plums, prunes, apricots, olives, walnuts, sugar beets, garden seed crops, alfalfa, lima beans, berries, potatoes, hops, grapes, peaches, celery, and cantaloupes.

From every quarter the crop correspondents have observed that the higher wages and ability to select the better laborers are found on farms managed in the more intelligent ways and on which the cultivation is of the more intensive sort.

LENGTH OF EMPLOYMENT.

HIGHER RATES OF WAGES GO WITH SHORTER PERIODS.

An important matter in determining the rate of farm wages and the supply of labor is the period for which employment is given. The farmer who manages his affairs so as to be able to keep a laborer throughout a whole year has the advantage over farmers who can employ only for the fraction of a year. Regarding the temporary summer job on the farm, the Nebraska Farmer comments as follows:

From experience and observation the laboring man knows that the summer job on the farm, held in harvest or haying, is at best short lived and that then he must shift again. This kind of employment appeals only to a certain roving class. To be sure of plenty of help farmers should so arrange their crops as to give continuous employment. Otherwise to supply the temporary needs of the farm for help they must depend upon the number of men in the country, who because of their disposition or because of economic pressure will go out after the transient job. To have too many of this class gives a decidedly unhealthy tone to society.

PREDOMINANCE OF SEASON OVER YEAR.

The average time during which farm laborers who were hired by the month were employed during the year in Kansas was ascertained by the Bureau of Labor and Industry in 1893. It was ascertained that the average period of employment was 6.76 months during the year and no day labor was included in the average.

In the nineteenth investigation of the wages of farm labor conducted by this bureau for Bulletin 99, inquiries were made in every township. with regard to the percentage of male outdoor laborers on farms, hired at a monthly rate, who were so hired for the entire year. The results of this inquiry may be found in Table 23. It appears that 28.6 per cent of all male outdoor laborers on farms who worked at a monthly rate of pay were employed for the entire year, so that 71.4 per cent of the male laborers working at monthly rates were employed for less than one year, or, more emphatically stated, for much less than one year.

The highest percentage of laborers hired by the year is found in the South Atlantic States, where it is 34.9 per cent. Next in order is the North Atlantic division, with 33.9 per cent; the South Central States are third in order, with 28.5 per cent, after which follow the North Central States with 23.8 per cent, and last of all the western States with 21.8 per cent. The highest percentage found among the States is 50 per cent for Maryland. Other States with high percentages are New Jersey, 48 per cent; Massachusetts, 43 per cent; Connecticut, Virginia, South Carolina, each 40 per cent.

TABLE	23.—Percent	age of	male outdoor	r laborers or	ı farms,	hired at a	monthly rat	e, who
	are so hired	for the	entire year,	by States an	d geogra	aphic divisi	ions, 1909.	

State and geographic division.Percent- age, 1909, for male outdoor laborers.Percent- age, 1909, for male division.Percent- age, 1909, for male division.Percent- age, 1909, for male division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age division.Percent- age division.Percent- division.Percent- division. <th>Percent- ge, 1909,</th>	Percent- ge, 1909,
	or male outdoor aborers.
Maine20Illinois26WyomingNew Hampshire29Michigan21ColoradoVermont33Wisconsin25New MexicoMassachusetts43Minnesota18ArizonaRhode Island39Iowa24UtahConnecticut40Missouri24NevadaNew York31North Dakota16IdahoNew York31North Dakota20WashingtonPennsylvania33Nebraska24OregonDelaware13Kansas22CaliforniaMaryland50Kentucky30Geographic division:West Virginia29Mississippi32South AtlanticNorth Carolina40Louisiana33North CentralSouth Carolina40Louisiana32North CentralFlorida25Oklahoma20WesternOhio26Arkansas22United States.	$\begin{array}{c} 222\\ 24\\ 21\\ 19\\ 100\\ 16\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 24\\ \end{array}$

BACK TO THE LAND.

SMALL MOVEMENT OF PERMANENT RESIDENTS.

"Back to the land" is the cry that is now often heard. It is made by those who believe that the dearth of agricultural production and inability of this country to sustain itself is at hand. It is made by some social theorists and also by social economists who would relieve the congestion of cities of the attendant want and misery.

The movement of people in this country from town and city to country and farm began about the middle of the last century in the establishment of country homes in Berkshire County, Mass., by wealthy men. That, of course, was not primarily an agricultural movement, although agriculture resulted. Since that beginning the countryward movement of this sort has grown enormously, often reaching out 100 miles or more from a city and in instances much farther. This movement is of such a sort that it adds to the local demand for farm labor, which may be supplied locally or, if not, by labor brought from other country places or from cities.

The movement from city to farm for the purpose of permanent farm life and labor, either for hire or under ownership, has hardly become general enough in this country to present recognizable proportions. There is a little of this movement here and a little there, but nearly all cases are sporadic. Many colonies have been organized and established during the last century and some of them have been successful in agriculture, but as far as they represent a movement from city to farm all of them combined have not contributed a perceptible movement. The success of the Salvation Army with several colonies of very poor people taken from cities to establish agricultural communities would seem to indicate that there is room for development along the same line, but this development requires a strong arm of control, the ability to command credit and to advance money to the colonists; it demands constant supervision and control for at least a considerable number of years; and, most important of all in a movement of this sort, it requires the selection of the very best and most industrious, intelligent, and promising families. Experience with labor and agricultural colonies in Europe has clearly demonstrated that it is only with picked families, if they are taken from the slums, that economic success can be achieved.

TEMPORARY WORKERS.

But there is one sort of labor that goes from city to farm which has become large enough to be perceptible, and that is seasonable labor for employment, not in general farming operations, but for special purposes. The migration of men from cities to follow the wheat harvest from Oklahoma to North Dakota is the best-known feature of this sort of farm labor. It is not so generally known that women and children and some men, too, go from the city to the farm at certain seasons to harvest cucumbers to be sold to the pickle factory, to pick, grade, pack, and dry fruits, to harvest hops and berries and dig potatoes, and so on with other crops that need a rush of labor at time of harvest. Some labor of this sort is applied also to the cultivation of crops, as in pulling weeds from beets and onions; but this labor does not seem to be used much for cultivating crops and not at all for planting. The conspicuous feature of the agriculture that utilizes this seasonal labor is that it is intensive. There is high production per acre, so that the wages paid are fully competitive with city rates.

CITY DISQUALIFICATIONS.

It is one of the strange facts of life that a man born and bred in the city is adaptable to the country with difficulty, if at all, whereas the countryman readily adapts himself to the city and to all sorts of occupations therein. It may seem senseless in social economy that there should be many thousands of idle men in the city and a long "bread line" at a time when farmers are worrying because of a short labor supply, but as a matter of fact the idle workmen if taken to the farm would need constant and close supervision for a long time, and the net result of their labor would not warrant the payment of customary wages, and perhaps not wages above sustenance. As for the bread line, it is safe to say that any farmer would prefer a plague of insects.

Another obstacle to the migration of labor from the city to the farm is the change from noise to quietude. It would seem as though

the incessant pounding of violent sound waves upon the nerves creates a craving for their continuance, just as frequent and continued use of morphine creates an irresistible habit. Whether this is to be accepted as a statement of a pathological condition or as only a simile, the fact seems to be that, psychologically and economically, the man born and bred in the city appears to be shut up there like a rat in a trap.

QUALITY OF LABOR REQUIRED.

WIDE RANGE OF KNOWLEDGE.

The requirements of the farm in the character of the labor employed are changing radically. The labor to be performed by the owner should be governed by extensive information and considerable scientific knowledge. A successful farmer at the present time may need considerable knowledge of chemistry, of bacteriology, of economic entomology, of the pathology and physiology of plants and animals; of plant and animal breeding, of fungicides and insecticides, of the conservation of soil moisture; of botany, pomology, viticulture, horticulture, and certainly much concerning the practical handling and marketing of his products. The hired laborer does not need to know so much, and yet he should be at least moderately intelligent and well informed. The hired man must know that it will not do to strike with his milking stool the cow he is milking, nor to set the dog upon her, and he must habitually enter the poultry house without causing a commotion among the fowls, or else milk and egg production will be diminished. He must have some knowledge of the strength of materials in order that tools and machinery may not be broken. He must be familiar with the tricks of plowing, and he must understand that he should not let the corn cultivator run deep enough to sever the roots of the corn plants. In a thousand and one particulars knowledge and intelligence are required in the operations of the most successful farmer.

The deterioration of the quality of farm labor in this country in recent years is a subject of widespread and frequent complaints, and these complaints apply to hired labor as well as to tenants. The farm tenancy also is steadily increasing, but there is a dearth in the supply of farm tenants of a competent sort, as well as farm laborers for hire.

SUPPLY OF FARM TENANTS.

RISE FROM HIRE TO TENANCY.

In beginning the nineteenth investigation of the wages of farm labor, made by this bureau for Bulletin 99, it was deemed advisable to obtain information with regard to the possible supply of farm tenants, and so correspondents were requested to return answer to the question, "About what proportion of male farm laborers are fit to become farm tenants?". They reported in percentages, and these percentages were properly weighted in making averages for the geographic divisions and for the United States.

The results of the inquiry were that 42.7 per cent of the male farm laborers of this country were reported competent to become farm tenants. The highest percentage established is 47.8 for the South Central division of States; next to that is 46.4 per cent for the North Central division, and third in order is the Western division with 37.2 per cent. Next following is the South Atlantic division with 35.3 per cent, and lowest of all is the North Atlantic division with 33.2 per cent. The foregoing figures and those for the separate States may be found in Table 24.

SUPPLY OF OWNERS.

ADVANCEMENT FROM WAGE LABOR.

The acquisition of farms in this country by industrious and thrifty families has been a conspicuous feature of national economy from the beginning. The situation has attracted multitudes of agricultural workers from European countries who had the prospect of becoming farm owners within a few years.

Has that long-standing promise of farm ownership to those who work for it diminished amid the changes in economic conditions? With the hope that some information with regard to this might be discovered, in connection with the preparation of Bulletin 99, many thousands of correspondents; representing almost every agricultural neighborhood in this country, were requested to supply an answer to the following question:

Is it now reasonably possible for a farm laborer to save enough out of his wages, or a farm tenant to save enough out of his receipts, to buy a farm large enough to support himself and family, especially if he makes only part payment of the purchase price and secures the remainder by mortgage?

Responses to this inquiry were freely made, and the results may be found in Table 24. Of the answers to the question, 71.7 per cent agree that it is reasonably possible for farm laborers and tenants to acquire the ownership of a farm. The percentages for the five geographic divisions are quite uniform and range only from 70.1 to 78.5.

In the opinion of men who live on the spot, and under local conditions, it is fairly possible for farm laborers and tenants to become farm owners throughout the length and breadth of this land. The old familiar proceeding that resulted in the wonderful production in the northern half of the Mississippi Valley was the beginning as a farm laborer, followed by farm purchase under mortgage, and eventual ownership free from debt. This process can still be employed in the East, in the South, and in the Pacific Northwest, and even in the North Central States where farmers are "rich."

State and geo- graphic divi- sion.	Percent- age of male outdoor farm laborers fit to become farm	Is it r possible laborer ants to enough a farm suppor even v of a m	reasonably e for farm s and ten- o s a v e to buy that will t a family, with help ortgage?	State and geo- graphic divi- sion.	Percent- age of male outdoor farm laborers fit to become farm	Is it possible laborer ants t enough a fa.m suppor even of a me	easonably of for farm s and ten- o s a v e to buy that wilt t a family. with help ortgage?
	tenants.	Percent- age, yes.	Percent- age, no.		tenants.	Percent- age, yes.	Percent- age, no.
Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut New York New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Pelaware Maryland Virginia West Virginia North Carolina Georgia Florida Ohio Indiana	$\begin{array}{c} 45\\ 29\\ 20\\ 38\\ 26\\ 33\\ 25\\ 38\\ 36\\ 26\\ 29\\ 39\\ 37\\ 31\\ 42\\ 42\\ 41\\ 41\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 81.1\\ 87.5\\ 88.2\\ 81.8\\ 75.0\\ 100.0\\ 83.8\\ 83.1\\ 66.2\\ 73.6\\ 61.5\\ 80.2\\ 76.1\\ 74.9\\ 60.4\\ 4\\ 70.7\\ 60.0\\ \end{array}$	$18.9 \\ 12.5 \\ 11.8 \\ 25.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 33.8 \\ 38.5 \\ 10.8 \\ 38.5 \\ 19.8 \\ 26.4 \\ 38.5 \\ 31.8 \\ 23.9 \\ 25.1 \\ 35.6 \\ 29.3 \\ 40.0 \\ 10.0 \\ $	Tennessee. Alabama. Mississippi Louisiana. Texas. Oklahoma. Arkansas. Montana Wyoming. Colorado. New Mexico. Arizona. Utah. Nevada. Idaho Washington. Orggon California.	$\begin{array}{c} 45\\ 45\\ 45\\ 57\\ 52\\ 32\\ 32\\ 32\\ 30\\ 40\\ 46\\ 43\\ 11\\ 50\\ 8\\ 46\\ 43\\ 30\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.8\\ 72.0\\ 73.4\\ 59.1\\ 78.3\\ 71.0\\ 68.6\\ 100.0\\ 90.0\\ 83.7\\ 52.4\\ 78.1\\ 80.0\\ 66.7\\ 77.3\\ 83.0\\ 69.3\\ 79.1 \end{array}$	30.2 28.0 26.6 40.9 21.7 29.0 31.4 10.0 16.3 47.6 21.9 20.0 0 33.3 47.7 17.0 0 30.3 22.7 17.0 0 30.3 20.7 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.9 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.2 20.0 30.4 47.5 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 47.5 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 47.5 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.0 30.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 30.2 20.0 30.3 20.0 30.3 30.7 20.0 30.3 30.7 20.0 30.0 30.7 20.0 30.0 30.7 20.0 30.0 30.7 20.0 30.7 20.0 30.0 30.7 20.0 30.0 30.7 20.0 20.
Illinois. Michigan. Wisconsin. Minnesota. Iowa. Missouri. North Dakota. South Dakota.	$ \begin{array}{r} 44\\ 40\\ 45\\ 48\\ 54\\ 48\\ 40\\ 51\\ \end{array} $	61.2 81.8 73.5 69.9 71.7 66.0 91.3 76.2	35.8 18.2 26.5 30.1 28.3 34.0 8.7 23.8	G e o g r a p h i c division: North Atlantic. South Atlantic. North Central. South Central. Western.	$\begin{array}{c} 33.2\\ 35.3\\ 46.4\\ 47.8\\ 37.2 \end{array}$	78.572.470.270.178.1	21.5 27.6 29.8 29.9 21.9
Nebraska Kansas Kentucky	54 53 45		19.7 20.2 40.6	United States	42.7	71.7	28.3

 TABLE 24.—Wage labor on farms, farm tenancy and farm ownership: Ability of workers to rise, by States, 1909.

PROSPECTS OF THE FUTURE.

HOLDING COUNTRY POPULATION TO THE SOIL.

FORCES AT WORK TO PRESERVE NATIONAL SELF-SUFFICIENCY.

The farmer would not need to get his labor from the cities if he could hold the country population to the soil, and the recognition of the importance of retaining the children on the farm and of keeping country labor from migrating to cities is governing most of the work by Nation and States in behalf of agriculture.

The old practice was to trust to the printed page for the instruction of the farmer, but in the course of time it was found that this was poorly productive of results. Then followed the farmers' institute movement, which consisted of lectures, sometimes later with practical demonstrations. In the meantime the United States Department of Agriculture and the experiment stations got into more practical lines of work by means of special advice in special cases, formerly by mail and now also by personal visits; so that it has been discovered that the most successful promotion of agricultural knowledge and practice is caused by practical demonstration under the observation of the farmers to be instructed.

In 1904 the department inaugurated on a small scale what is now known as its "Farmers' Cooperative Demonstration Work." The initial efforts met with such emphatic success that the work was gradually increased until within four years the whole cotton belt and many outlying regions were covered by a large force of trained field agents, all practical farmers. These men are wielding a wonderful influence among the farmers of the South to adopt better agricultural methods and to use improved seed and thus to increase their profits. In 1912 the movement was extended to the Eastern States.

Striking proof of the success of this work is that the results have attracted so much attention that voluntary private contributions toward its extension have almost reached the total amount appropriated by Congress for its maintenance. Large districts which had been almost deserted on account of the boll weevil are now more prosperous than at any time in their history, and many men who have been renters are buying land and raising cotton profitably as a result of better systems of management.

Closely related to this work are the farm management investigations of the department, consisting primarily of a detailed study of the practices followed on the most successful farms in well-defined communities and the application or adaptation of these practices to other and less prosperous farms throughout the country. The aim in all this work is to bring the farm up to its maximum producing power through systematic management, both as to cultural practices and as to business methods.

Along with this is the very recent movement to instruct country children in agriculture at the beginning of their school life and to continue this instruction to the high school and the college. In this way the foundation will be laid for successful farming, and such farming implies the retention of children upon the farm.

Still further and to the same end many agencies are at work upon the country people to improve their dwellings, their modes of living, their home life, and their social activities, which are already beginning to count against the unpleasantness of country life and in favor of making such life attractive. Influences of this sort, joined to the agricultural education of the young and to the practical teaching of the farmer how to do by doing, at a time when farming is prosperous and profitable, may be depended upon to save to our agriculture all the labor it will need for the maintenance of our national self-sufficiency.

Ο

-

*

*



