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# agricultural production and PRICES. 

By George K. Holmes, Assistant Statistician.

## MAGNITUDE AND DEVELOPMENT.

Foremost among countries in agricultural resources, equipment, and production, the United States affords an interesting and important subject for statistical examination with respect to agriculture. Here is a country covering the breadth of the North American continent and extending almost to antarctic regions on the north and fully to semitropical regions on the south, with an area of $2,939,000$ square miles ${ }^{1}$ of land surface, of which $623,218,619$ acres were in farms in 1890 and $357,616,755$ acres were under cultivation, and within this great area the variations in soil, altitude, heat, moisture, rainfall, and other agricultural conditions are so numerous and so considerable in degree, that the products of agriculture are of many kinds and bountiful, so that the world market is largely affected by many of them.

## GROWTH OF FARMS.

Great and rapid development has characterized the agriculture of this country. The number of farms increased 215 per cent from 1850 to 1890 , or from $1,449,073$ to $4,564,641$; their total acreage increased 112.3 per cent, or from $293,560,614$ acres to $623,218,619$; their improved acreage, 216.2 per cent, or from $113,032,614$ to $357,616,755$ acres, and their unimproved acreage, 47.1 per cent, or from 180,528,000 acres to $265,601,864$. The largest percentage of increase of improved land within a decade since 1850 was 50.7 -from 1870 to 1880 ; next to this was an increase of 44.3 per cent-from 1850 to 1860 ; third in order was the decade 1880 to 1890 , with an increase of 25.6 per cent, while the lowest percentage of increase was in the decade in which the civil war occurred, and was 15.8.

Upon examining the figures for the different geographical divisions, ${ }^{*}$ the rate of growth of improved land is found to be much greater in the regions where there were public and railroad lands that could be

[^0]acquired for agriculture than in the older States. In the North Atlantic division the acreage of improved land increased 24.7 per cent from 1850 to 1890 ; in the South Atlantic division, 38.9 per cent; in the North Central division, 590.7 per cent; in the South Central division, 200.8 per cent, and largest of all, 6,518 per cent in the Western division.

The following table exhibits a more detailed statement of increases, not only of improved land but also of unimproved land and of farms, and shows that they have been rapid outside of the Atlantic States. The table also shows a marked slackening in the increase during the last decade, when public land suitable for agriculture had approached more nearly the point of exhaustion of supply.
Percentage of increase ( + ) or decrease ( - ) of number and acreage of farms, by geographical divisions and by census decades.

| Geographical division and decade. | Number of farms. | Acreage. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total. | Improved. | Unimproved. |
| North Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860. | + 15.4 | $+10.7$ | $+14.8$ | $+4.2$ |
| 1860 to $18 \% 0$. | $+6.5$ | $+2.7$ | + 5.5 | - 2.1 |
| $18 \% 0$ to 1880 | + 15.7 | $+8.4$ | $+12.8$ | $-0.1$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | 5.4 | $-7.7$ | - 8.7 | $-5.5$ |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 34.5 | $+13.7$ | $+24.7$ | $-3.8$ |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860. | + 21.6 | $+14.0$ | + 16.3 | $+13.0$ |
| 1860 to 1870 | + 23.9 | $-15.3$ | + 13.5 | $-16.2$ |
| 1870 to 1880. | + 72.3 | $+12.4$ | + 19.8 | $+8.7$ |
| 1880 to 1890. | + 16.3 | $-1.2$ | + 15.2 | $-10.4$ |
| 1850 to 1890 | $+202.0$ | + 7.2 | $+38.9$ | - 7.7 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 76.5 | + 72.1 | + 96.1 | $+54.4$ |
| 1880 to $18 \% 0$ | + 45.7 | + 29.0 | + 49.9 | + 9.4 |
| $18 \% 0$ to 1880. | + 50.9 | $+48.7$ | + 74.5 | $+15.4$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 13.3 | +24.0 | $+34.7$ | + 3.1 |
| 1850 to 1890. | $+339.6$ | +309.3 | $+590.7$ | $+100.8$ |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 38.8 | $+53.2$ | + 50.8 | $+54.2$ |
| 1860 to $18 \% 0$ | + 38.0 | $-16.5$ | - 6.4 | -20.4 |
| 1870 to 1880. | + 73.5 | $+34.4$ | + 60.2 | $+22.6$ |
| 1880 to 1890.. - | + 22.6 | $+17.2$ | $+33.1$ | + 7.7 |
| 1850 to 1890. | + 30\%.3 | $+101.5$ | + 200.8 | $+6.3 .1$ |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 416.4 | $+172.7$ | + 959.9 | $+10.9$ |
| 1860 to 1870 | + 39.1 | $+2 \% .5$ | + 119.8 | $-10.1$ |
| 1870 to 1880. | + 73.7 | $+61.5$ | + 92.1 | $+31.0$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 74.2 | $+80.5$ | + 47.9 | $+128.3$ |
| 1850 to 1890. | +2063.4 | $+913.7$ | $+6,518.0$ | +46\%. 1 |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860. | + 41.1 | $+38.7$ | + 44.3 | $+35.2$ |
| 1860 to 1870 | + 30.1 | $+0.1$ | + 15.8 | $-10.4$ |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 50.7 | $+31.5$ | + 50.7 | $+14.8$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 13.9 | $+16.2$ | + 25.6 | + 5.7 |
| 1850 to 1890. | + 215.0 | +110.3 | + 216.4 | + 47.1 |

Conspicuous among the causes of the rapid and enormous development of agriculture in the United States is the large area of public land that has been available to immigrants as well as to natives at small prices and waiting for the exploitation of fertility to begin. Previous to July 1, 1897, final homestead entries to the number of 529,051 had been made for $70,396,856$ acres belonging to the National Government and disposed of under the homestead act of May 20, 1862, while the number of entries made, both final and pending, cover 102,280,228 acres.

During the twenty-two years preceding July 1, 1897, the public and Indian lands disposed of for cash and under the homestead laws, under the timber-culture laws, located with agricultural college and other kinds of scrip, located with military bounty land warrants, and selected by States and railroads embraced 299,961,357 acres.

In addition to this, some of the States and many railroad companies have been selling land, mostly for farms, amounting in the aggregate to a vast area. The number of sales on credit of tracts of land large enough to be measured by acres has been ascertained for the ten years 1880 to 1889 , and these are: By States, 60,431 sales for $\$ 30,533,142$; by railroads, 140,190 sales for $\$ 81,591,299$.

## EXTENSION OF RAILROALS.

Railroad companies have facilitated the acquisition of public land by farmers by constructing their lines through that portion of our domain extensively enough to enable them to carry away the crops that have been raised, and in many instances railroad projection has antedated settlement, so as to make settlement possible. The increase and magnitude of the railroad mileage in the various geographical divisions should be noted in connection with this.

From 1870 to 1896 this mileage was increased from 14,203 to 27,538 miles in the North Atlantic division, or 93.9 per cent; in the South Atlantic division, from 7,349 to 21,924 miles, or 198.3 per cent; in the North Central division, from 22,747 to 80,820 miles, or 255.3 per cent; in the South Central division, from 6,073 to 28,297 miles, or 366 per cent, and in the Western division, from 2,550 to 24,198 miles, or 848.9 per cent; while in the United States the increase during the twenty-six years was from 52,922 to 182,777 miles, or 245.4 per cent. Thus, it appears that the growth of railroad lines corresponds geographically with the multiplication of farms and farm acreage.

FARM CAPITAL AND PRODUCTS.
In magnitude of value farm capital and products reach stupendous figures, as the table following shovs. A prominent feature of
the table is the fact that about one-half of the capital and products is found in the North Central States, the great wheat and corn producing region. The farm capital of the United States, as reported by the census of 1890 , was valued at $\$ 15,982,267,689$ as the aggregate of these items: Land, fences, and buildings, $\$ 13,279,252,649$; implements and machines, $\$ 494,247,467$; live stock on hand, $\$ 2,208,767,573$. The product of farms in the year previous to June, 1890, was valued at $\$ 2,460,107,454$.

Talue of farm property and products in 1890, by geographical divisions.

| Geographical division. | Total farm capital. | Land, fences, and buildings. | Implements and machines. | Live stock on hand. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farm prod- } \\ & \text { ucts. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic | \$2,969, 971, 293 | \$2,539,200,537 | \$116, 868, 252 | \$313, 902,504 | \$418,309, |
| South Atlantic | 1,333, 395, 489 | 1,135, 319, 670 | 36, 444, 018 | 161,631, 801 | 292, 847, 80 |
| North Central | 8,517, 238, 731 | 7,069, 767, 154 | 252, 225, 315 | 1,195, 246,262 | 1,112, 949, 82 |
| South Central | 1,849,395, 198 | 1,440,022,598 | 58, 343, 772 | 351,028,828 | 480,33 |
| Wester | 1,312,266,978 | 1,094, 942, 690 | 30, 366, 110 | 186,958,178 | 155,662,99 |
| The United States | 15, 982, 267, 689 | 13,279, 252,649 | 494,247, 467 | 2,208,767,573 | 2,460,107, 4 |

INOREASE OF CAPITAL AND PRODUCTS.
The table following has been computed to discover the percentage of increase or decrease of the value of farm capital and products every ten years from 1850 to 1890 , and for the whole period of forty years. During the full period the value of land, fences, and buildings increased 305.9 per cent; implements and machines, 226 per cent; live stock, 305.9 per cent; the aggregate of these three classes of capital, 302.8 per cent, and the value of the annual products, 1870 to 1890, 15.5 per cent.

The decade exhibiting the highest degree of increase of capital is from 1850 to 1860 , for which the percentage is 101.2 ; next is the decade 1880 to 1890 , the percentage being 28.8 ; the intermediate decades have nearly the same percentage, the smaller one being 21.2 for 1870 to 1880 .

From 1870 to 1880 the value of farm products increased 3.9 per cent; from 1880 to $1890,11.2$ per cent. It is a conspicuous fact that the value of capital increased in a much greater degree than the value of products.

Percentage of increase $(+$ ) or decretse ( - ) of the value of farm property and products, by geographical divisions and by census decades.
[Values for 1870 are in gold.]

| Geographical division and decade. | Total farm capital. | Land, fences, a! d buildings. | Implements and machines. | Live stock on hand. | Farm prod ucts. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 45.7 | + 45.8 | + 36.3 | $\div \quad 47.1$ |  |
| 1860 to 1870 | $+30.7$ | + 29.6 | $+32.2$ | - 39.2 |  |
| 1870 to 1880 | 3.2 | + 2.0 | + 9.8 | $\cdots \quad 20.5$ | - 20.2 |
| 1880 to 1890 | 6.8 | 9.4 | + 9.1 | + 9.7 | 5.1 |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 76.3 | + 74.5 | + 115.7 | + 278.7 | - 24.2 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 71.0 | + 74.9 | + 38.1 | + 56.9 |  |
| 1860 to 1870 | -- 33.2 | 34.2 | 36.0 | - $9 \% .1$ | . |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 22.9 | + 34.3 | + 41.4 | + 7.4 | $-0.0$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 23.2 | + 27.3 | + 18.3 | + 25.3 | + 9.2 |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 88.8 | + 96.9 | + 47.8 | + 254.0 | + 9.2 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | $+175.9$ | + 183.4 | + 104.8 | $\div 151.7$ |  |
| 1860 to 1870 | + 77.1 | + 76.3 | - 84.6 | $+81.0$ |  |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 33.6 | $+\quad 36.6$ | + $\mathbf{7} 3.4$ | + 31.4 | + 18.6 |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 35.5 | + 37.8 | + 22.3 | + 56.7 | + 10.1 |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 831.2 | + 840.5 | + 609.2 | + 2888.3 | + 30.6 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 159.1 | + 174.0 | + 66.8 | $+130.2$ |  |
| 1860 to 1870 | 41.0 | 43.8 | - 47.0 | - 27.1 |  |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 20.0 | + 33.0 | + 43.5 | + 8.4 | $+0.3$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 40.3 | + 46.7 | + 25.2 | + 49.7 | + 20.6 |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 186.6 | + 200.3 | + 58.8 | + ${ }^{2} 17 \% .2$ | + 30.9 |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 652.5 | + 711.2 | $+823.5$ | + $571 . \%$ |  |
| 1860 to $18 \% 0$ | + 72.7 | + 120.3 | + 6\%.2 | + 4.6 |  |
| $18 \% 0$ to 1880 | + 115.3 | + 151.8 | + 127.8 | + 72.6 | + 56.5 |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 148.9 | + 180.1 | + 92.2 | $+\quad 112.1$ | + 64.2 |
| 1850 to 1890 | +7, 898. 2 | $+12,501.6$ | --6,660.7 | +22,472.0 | $+157.0$ |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 101.2 | + 103.1 | + 6\%.4 | $+100.2$ |  |
| 1860 to 1870 | + 21.3 | + 21.3 | + 19.1 | + 21.9 |  |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 21.2 | + 26.5 | + 38.6 | + 13.0 | + 3.9 |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 28.8 | + 30.2 | + 21.6 | + 47.2 | + 11.2 |
| 1850 to 1890 | + 302.8 | + 305.9 | + 226.0 | + 2305.9 | + 15.5 |

[^1]CROP INCREASE AND DFCREASE.
The magnitude and growth of farm area and pronerty having been shown, the tendency of the principal crops may now be noted. The following table discloses the percentage of increase or decrease of area devoted to each crop from 1880 to 1890, as shown by the census. Corn acreage increased 15.6 per cent; rye, 17.9 per cent; oats, 75.4 per cent; barley, 61.2 per cent; cotton, 39.3 per cent; sugar cane, 20.7 per cent; hay, 72.9 per cent, and tobacco, 8.8 per cent; while wheat acreage decreased 5.2 per cent; buckwheat, 1.3 per cent, and rice, 7.4 per cent.

Percentage of increase $(+$ ) or decrease (-) of acreage of farm crops, 1880 to 1890 , by geographical divisions.

| Geographical division. | Corn. | Wheat. | Rye. | Oats. | Barley. | Buckwheat. | Cotton. | Hay. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tobac- } \\ \text { co. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic | $-20.7$ | $-20.5$ | $-16.1$ | + 8.1. | $-2.0$ | $-13.1$ |  | + 9.8 | $-1.7$ |
| South Atlanti | - . 9 | $-17.7$ | $-5.3$ | $-1.2$ | $+8.6$ | $-54.2$ | $+30.6$ | $+\pi 0.7$ | $-2.7$ |
| North Central | $+25.7$ | $-5.6$ | +74.6 | $+124.6$ | $+112.6$ | +75.5 | +80.6 | $+108.0$ | +11.2 |
| South Central | + 7.8 | $-28.7$ | $-39.0$ | $+40.2$ | - 56.5 | $-58.9$ | $+44.0$ | $+202.1$ | +20.1 |
| Western | $+60.1$ | $+57.6$ | $+85.6$ | + 65.4 | $+39.1$ | $-21.5$ |  | $+172.5$ | -51.0 |
| The United States. | $+15.6$ | -5.2 | $+17.9$ | + \% 2.4 | $+61.2$ | -1.3 | $+39.3$ | + 72.9 | $+8.8$ |

A census of agricultural production was first taken in 1840, and the increase or decrease to 1890 is contained in the table following for principal crops. The product of corn increased 462.2 per cent during the fifty years, or to $2,122,327,5 \pm 7$ bushels; wheat, 452.2 per cent, or to $468,373,968$ bushels; rye, 52.4 per cent, or to $28,421,398$ bushels; oats, 557.6 per cent, or to $809,250,666$ bushels; barley, $1,782.3$ per cent, or to $78,332,976$ bushels; buckwheat, 66.1 per cent, or to $12,110,349$ bushels; cotton, 372.7 per cent, or to $7,472,511$ bales $(9,476,435$ in 1894-95) ; hay, 552.1 per cent, or to $66,831,480$ tons; rice, 59.1 per cent, or to $128,590,934$ pounds; tobacco, 122.8 per cent, or to $488,256,646$ pounds, and Irish potatoes, from 1850 to $1890,230.6$ per cent, or to $217,546,362$ bushels.

The decade 1870 to 1880 is a prominent one during the fifty years with respect to the rate of increase of corn, wheat, cotton, sugar cane, and tobacco; and the decade 1880 to 1890 for rye, oats, and hay. In each of the two decades since 1870 the production of most of the crops increased faster than the population.

Percentage of increase ( + ) or decrease ( - ) of production of farm crops, by geographical divisions and by time periods.

| Geographical division and period of time. | Corn. | Wheat. | Rye. | Oats. | Barley. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | + 97.4 | + 13.2 | $-\quad 39.0$ | + 6\%.3 | + 100.8 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | + 24.8 | + 48.2 | 50.5 | + 2.0 | $2 \pi .9$ |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | +1,410.4 | +1,067.7 | $+1,473.8$ | + 2,026.7 | + 9,910.2 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | $+142.6$ | + 133.3 | 59.5 | + 128.6 | + 771.9 |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | +1,208.5 | +11,729.0 | +135,634.8 | +21,580.5 | +183,306.6 |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1850 | + 56.8 | + 18.5 | - 23.9 | + 19.1 | + 24.2 |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 41.7 | + 72.3 | + 48.7 | + 17.8 | + 206.3 |
| 1860 to 1870 | - 9.3 | + 66.2 | - 19.8 | + 63.4 | + 88.0 |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 130.6 | + 59.7 | + 17.2 | + 44.6 | $+\quad 47.8$ |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 21.0 | + 1.9 | + 43.3 | + 98.4 | + 78.0 |
| 1840 to 1890 | + 462.2 | + 452.2 | $+\quad 52.4$ | $+557.6$ | + 1,782.3 |
| Geographical division and period of time. | Buckwheat. | Cotton. | Hay. | Tobacco. | Potatoes <br> (Irish). |
| North Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890. | + 45.0 |  | + 106.6 | +5,693.5 | $1 \div 24.8$ |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | $-19.4$ | + 299.6 | + 229.2 | $-14.0$ | $1+154.8$ |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | + 243.5 | +2,393.1 | + 2,473.2 | + 345.9 | $1+810.6$ |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1890 | $-12.7$ | + 414.3 | + 1,293.3 | $+210.5$ | ${ }^{1}+201.6$ |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1850 to 1890 .-. | +3,904.6 |  | $+73,145.7$ | + 151.8 | +6,826.4 |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1840 to 1850 | + 22.8 | + 56.2 | + 35.0 | - 8.9 |  |
| 1850 to 1860 | + 96.2 | + 118.2 | + 37.9 | + 117.4 | + 68.9 |
| 1860 to 1870 | - 44.1 | - 44.1 | + 43.1 | - 39.5 | + 29.0 |
| 1870 to 1880 | + 20.3 | + 91.1 | + 28.7 | 79.9 $+\quad 3.5$ | + 18.2 |
| 1880 to 1890 | + 2.5 | + 29.8 | + 90.1 | + 3.3 | + 28.4 |
| 1840 to 1890 | + 66.1 | + 372.7 | $+552.1$ | $+122.8$ | -1+230.6 |

${ }^{1}$ From 1850 to 1890.

Farm animals are commensurate in number with the magnitude of farming operations. At the census of 1890 there were $14,969,467$ horses on farms, $2,295,532$ mules and asses, $1,117,494$ working oxen, $16,511,950$ milch cows, $33,734,128$ other cattle, $57,409,583$ swine, and $35,935,364$ sheep, not including spring lambs, and in the census year the wool clip amounted to $165,449,239$ pounds, not including pulled wool and wool clipped on ranges, which were sufficient, according to the estimates of the Department, to make the entire wool clip for the census year $276,000,000$ pounds.

In forty years, from the census of 1850 to that of 1890, the number of horses on farms increased 245.2 per cent; mules and asses, 310.4 per cent; milch cows, 158.6 per cent; other cattle, 248 per cent; swine, 89.1 per cent; sheep, not including spring lambs, 65.4 per cent, and the farm wool clipincreased 215 per cent; but working oxen decreased 34.3 per cent. The following table shows further details:

Percentage of increase ( + ) or decrease ( - ) of farm animals in the United States, by census decades.

${ }^{1}$ Not including pulled wool nor wool clipped on ranges.
The increase of population compares with the preceding increases of farm property and products as follows: Increase, 1840 to 1850, 35.9 per cent; 1850 to $1860,35.6$ per cent; 1860 to $1870,22.6$ per cent; 1870 to $1880,30.1$ per cent; 1880 to $1890,24.9$ per cent; 1840 to $1890,266.9$ per cend; 1850 to 1890,170 per cent.

## ECONOMIC (ONDITIONS.

agricultural oceupations.
By far the largest portion of the people are engaged in agriculture in comparison with the other great groups of occupations, known as mining, fishing, manufacturing, domestic and personal service, professional service, and trade and transportation. The number of persons reported in 1890 to be engaged in agriculture for gain was $8,395,634$, of whom 678,142 were women, and the entire number is 36.9 per cent of all persons having gainful occupations. This percentage may be regarded as substantially representing the agricultural portion
of the population if the farm family is of about the same size as that of the rest of the population. The table following exhibits the number of persons in agricultural pursuits:

Number of persons in the United States ten years of age and orer rngaged in agriculture, by specified occupations, 1890.

${ }^{1}$ In agricultural districts "agricultural laborers" are often reported by census enumerators simply as "laborers."

FARM TENANCY.
What effect upon agricultural production the drift toward farm tenancy has it is impossible to establish, but the common supposition is that in this country farm tenancy is detrimental to production, because the tenant's interest in maintaining the productivity of the farm is not as great as that of the owner.

The following table shows that from 1880 to 1890 farm tenancy increased from 25.6 to 28.4 per cent, the increase being 2.8 farms in 100 , and being about the same in all the geographical divisions, except the Western, where tenancy decreased:

Percentage of farms cultivated by owners and tenants, 1880 and 1890, by geographical divisions.

| Geographical division. | Percentage cultivated by- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Owners. |  | Tenants. |  |
|  | 1880. | 1890. | 1880. | 1890. |
| North Atlantic | 84.0 | 81.6 | 16.0 | 18.4 |
| South Atlantic. | 63.9 | 61.5 | 36.1 | 38.5 |
| North Central | 79.5 | 76.6 | 20.5 | 23.4 |
| South Central | 63.8 | 61.6 | 36.2 | 38.4 |
| Western | 86.0 | 87.9 | 14.0 | 12.1 |
| The United States | 74.4 | 71.6 | 25.6 | 28.4 |

On account of the increase of farm tenancy and because of the diminishing demand for labor relative to quantity of products, due to the increasing use of machinery, the number of agricultural laborers who work for hire, who were 48.9 per cent of all agricultural workers in 1870, became 43.6 per cent in 1880, and fell to 35.8 per cent in 1890.

WAGES OF FARM LABOR.
The productivity of farm labor as measured in wages is represented by a low figure, as is the case with unskilled labor in general. Wage rates for this labor have been ascertained by the Department for a long series of years, beginning with 1866, when the monthly pay of an agricultural laborer without board was $\$ 19.07$. It rose to $\$ 19.49$ in 1869 and fell to. $\$ 16.42$ in 1879. In 1882 the rate was $\$ 18.94$, and in $1885, \$ 17.97$, after which there was a rise to $\$ 19.10$ in 1893 , followed by a fall to $\$ 17.69$ in 1895 , during the financial depression. Details for geographical divisions will be found in the table following. The divisions are unlike those mentioned elsewhere throughout this paper, and are the ones established long ago for the tabulation of farm-wage statistics in the Department, and are sufficiently indicated by their names:

Wages of farm labor per month without board, by geographical divisions and by years.
[In gold for all years.]

| Geographical division. | 1895. | 1894. | 1893. | 1892. | 1590. | 1888. | 1885. | 1882. | 1879. | 1875. | 1869. | 1866. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastern States | \$29.00 | , | 9.07 | \$26. 46 | \$26.64 | \$26.03 | \$25. 30 | \$26. 55 | \$21.36 | \$25. 24 | 24.08 | 3.64 |
| Midale States | 23.80 | 23.64 | 24.82 | 23.83 | 23.62 | 23.11 | 23.19 | 23.21 | 20.24 | 23.49 | 21.95 | 21.17 |
| Southern State | 12.71 | 13.04 | 14.07 | 14.86 | 14.77 | 14.54 | 14.27 | 14.67 | 12.65 | 13.30 | 12.40 | 11.80 |
| Western S | 21.82 | 21.50 | 23.12 | 22.61 | 22.01 | 22.23 | 22.27 | 23.26 | 19.81 | 20.23 | 19.84 | 19.76 |
| Mountain States | 30.04 | 29.95 | 33.97 | 32.16 | 31.91 | 33.37 | 30.24 | 36.50 |  |  |  | 19.33 |
| Pacific States | 31.68 | 34.15 | 36.95 | 36.15 | 34.87 | 36.73 | 37.78 | 37.22 | 40.11 | 43.50 | 46.38 | 44.60 |
| The United States | 17.69 | 17.74 | 19.10 | 18.60 | 18.33 | 18.24 | 17.97 | 18.94 | 16.42 | 17.29 | 19.49 | 19.07 |

SIZE OF FARMS.
In this age of agricultural machines the area of a farm has some relationship to agricultural production. A farm may be so small that its owner can not afford to own expensive machines, and, although this difficulty is obviated in many parts of the country in the cases of some crops, as in the ginning of cotton and in the thrashing of wheat by men who do this work for a neighborhood of farmers at a rate per pound or bushel, yet, generally speaking, a farmer with a small farm does feel his limitations in the purchase and use of machines.

While it might be too much to claim that the average area of farms is economically the best one, it may be more reasonable to suggest that it is adjusted to the financial ability of the owners. At any rate, whatever may be the cause or causes of changes in average farm areas, the fact is that they uninterruptedly diminished from 1850 to 1880, and, outside of comparatively small regions where new land has been taken in large farms for wheat raising, mostly between the Missouri River and the arid region and on the Pacific Coast, there was
a diminished average farm area from 1880 to 1890. This may be verified by reference to the "Abstract of the Eleventh Census," from which the table following has been computed.

It is better, however, to take the average farm areas of improved land as more truly responding to economic conditions, if there is such a response. In 1850 the average farm had 78 acres of improved land; in 1860, 80 acres; in 1870 and 1880, 71 acres, and in 1890, 78 acres, or the same number as at the beginning of the forty-year period under consideration. So it appears that the number of acres under cultivation on each farm on the average has remained substantially the same in the days of the use of machines and improved tools and of convenient railroads as it was in the days of hand labor, the ox team, and restricted markets.

Average acreage of farms, by geographical divisions and by census years.

| Geographical division and year. | Average num- <br> ber of acres. |  | Geographical division and year. | Average number of acres. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved. | Entire farm. |  | Improved. | Entire farm. |
| North Atlantic: |  |  | South Central: |  |  |
| 1850. | 69 | 113 | 1850. | 83 | 291 |
| 1860. | 69 | 108 | 1860. | 90 | 321 |
| 1870. | 68 | 104 | 1870. | 61 | 194 |
| 1880 | 67 | 98 | 1880. | 56 | 151 |
| 1890. | 64 | 95 | 1890. | 61 | 144 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  | Western: |  |  |
| 1850. | 121 | 376 | 1850 | 52 | 695 |
| 1860. | 116 | 353 | 1860. | 106 | $36 \%$ |
| $18 \% 0$ | 81 | 241 | $18 \% 0$. | 168 | 336 |
| 1880 | 56 | 157 | 1880. | 186 | 313 |
| 1890 | 56 | 134 | 1890. | 158 | 324 |
| North Central: |  |  | The United States: |  |  |
| 1850. | 61 | 143 | 1850. | 78 | 203 |
| 1860. | 68 | 140 | 1860. | 80 | 199 |
| 1870 | 70 | 124 | 1870 | 71 | 153 |
| 1880 | 81 | 122 | 1880. | 71 | 134 |
| 1890. | 96 | 133 | 1890. | 78 | $13 \%$ |

As having further bearing on this subject, it may be said that 29.3 per cent of the farms of 1880 and 28.9 per cent of those of 1890 had less than 50 acres each; the farms of 50 and less than 100 acres were 25.8 per cent of the total in 1880 and 24.6 per cent in 1890; the farms of 100 and less than 500 acres were 42.3 per cent in 1880 and 44 per cent in 1890; those of 500 and less than 1,000 were 1.9 per cent in 1880 and 1.8 per cent in 1890; while those of 1,000 acres and over were 0.71 of 1 per cent in 1880 and 0.69 of 1 per cent in 1890 .

> PROPORTIONS OF CLASSES OF CAPITAL.

As a contribution to information regarding the economic conditions attending agriculture the table on page 588 has been computed. In
this it appears that the value of farm real estate in 1890 was 83.1 per cent of the value of the farm capital reported by the census and the portion was very nearly the same in 1880 and 1870.

The value of implements and machines was 3.1 per cent of the value of capital in 1890, 3.4 per cent in 1880, and 3 per cent in 1870, so that they can hardly be said to have gained in relative importance in value as an element of capital during the twenty years; and the same remark applies to the value of live stock on hand, which was 13.8 per cent of the value of capital in 1890 , 12.4 per cent in 1880 , and 13.7 per cent in 1870.

## RATIO OF PRODUCT TO CAPITAL.

While the three specified elements of the capital of the farm have maintained about the same relationship to one another during the twenty years, a decided change in the ratio of the value of product to that of capital has taken place. This ratio is expressed by 22 per cent for 1870 , by 18.3 per cent for 1880 , and by 15.4 per cent for 1890 , and, since there has been no decrease in crop production per acre, the inference is that a fall in crop prices is responsible for the diminishing ratio.

Percentage that the value of farm property and products is of the total farm capital, by geographical divisions and by census years.

${ }^{1}$ Includes betterments and additions to stock in 1870.

CAPITAL AND PRODUCT AVERAGES.
Quite similar results are shown in another way by means of averages in the table following. The average value of land, buildings, and fences per farm in 1870 was $\$ 3,030$, in $1890, \$ 2,909$; of implements and machines in 1870, $\$ 111$, in $1890, \$ 108$; of live stock on hand in $1870, \$ 499$,
in $1890, \$ 484$; of farm products in $1870, \$ 801$, in $1890, \$ 539$. During this time the increase in the number of acres of improved land per farm was 7 , or from 71 to 78 ; but notwithstanding this the average amount of each of the three classes of farm capital slightly decreased, while the average value of products very considerably decreased.

Average value of capital and products per farm, by geographical divisions and by census years.
[Values for 1870 are in gold.]

| Geographical division and year. |  |  |  |  | Geographical division and year. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic: $1870 .$ | \$4,570 | \$162 | \$598 | \$917 | South Central: $1870 \text { _ }$ | \$1,444 | \$64 | \$423 | \$777 |
| 1880 | 4,027 | 154 | 411 | 633 | 1880 | 1,107 | 53 | 265 | 449 |
| 1890 | 3,856 | 177 | 477 | 635 | 1890 | 1,325 | 54 | 323 | 442 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  | Western: |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 | 1,775 | 58 | 321 | 716 | 1870 | 3,220 | 144 | 1,059 | 1,257 |
| 1880 | 1,384 | 48 | 200 | 416 | 1880. | 4,669 | 189 | 1,053 | 1,133 |
| 1890. | 1,515 | 49 | 216 | 391 | 1890. | 7,506 | 208 | 1,282 | 1,067 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  | The United States: |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 | 3,338 | 119 | 516 | 757 | 1870 | 3,030 | 111 | 499 | 801 |
| 1880. | 3,021 | 121 | 449 | 595 | 1880 | 2,544 | 101 | 374 | 552 |
| 1890. | 3,675 | 131 | 621 | 579 | 1890. | 2,909 | 108 | 484 | 539 |

Upon turning to the geographical divisions it will be noticed that the average value of farm real estate increased during the twenty years in the North Central and Western divisions; that the average value of implements and machines increased in the North Atlantic, North Central, and Western divisions; that the average value of live stock increased in the North Central and Western divisions, all of these being exceptions to the general tendency for the United States, but there are no exceptions among the geographical divisions to the conclusion that for the United States the average value of products per farm has materially diminished during the period under consideration.

## RELATION OF IMPLEMENTS TO PRODUCTS.

The value of farm implements and machines increased from 1870 to 1890 relative to the value of farm products, being 13.8 per cent of the products in 1870, 18.4 per cent in 1880, and 20.1 per cent in 1890. Every geographical division shows an increasing percentage. The highest percentage for 1890 for any geographical division is 27.9 for the North Atlantic; next, 22.7 per cent for the North Central; third, 19.5 per cent for the Western; fourth, 12.4 per cent for the South Atlantic; and, fifth, 12.2 per cent for the South Central.

The ratio of the value of farm implements and machines to farm real estate was higher in 1850 than in any subsequent census year, but has not materially changed since 1860 . The ratio was 4.6 per cent in 1850, 3.7 per cent in 1860, 3.6 per cent in 1870, 4 per cent in 1880, and 3.7 per cent in 1890. Since 1860, therefore, changes in the value of farm real estate have been commensurate with the changes in the value of equipment of machines and implements.

In the present consideration of values it should be borne in mind that farm machines and tools are more varied, better, and cheaper than in the earlier year of comparison, and that the cost of producing most, if not all, of the farm crops may be less than before.

EARNINGS OF FARM LAbORERS IN 1890.
It is possible to compute the wages paid to farm laborers (those working for hire) in 1890, the wage rates for the States and Territories, the number of laborers, and the time employed being known. The result of this computation is that the agricultural laborers of the United States were paid $\$ 645,460,352$ in 1890 , or 26.2 per cent of the value of the farm product. Board is not included, nor is there included any compensation to farmers (working on farms owned or hired by them), nor to their wives, children, and others working without wages or merely for board and keeping.

## PRODUCTS MEASURED BY RAILROAD TRAINS.

So large in quantities are the crops produced in the United States that numbers of pounds, tons, and bushels fail to convey anything more than a vague conception of their amounts. To put the matter in form for better intellectual grasp, computations have been made to ascertain the number of railroad freight cars, each of 15 tons capacity, required to haul the crops of 1897, and what their length would be.

To haul the hay crop 4,017,933 cars would be needed, and the length of the train would be 25,112 miles, or more than long enough to encircle the earth at the equator; for the corn crop there must be $3,540,257$ cars, making a train 22,127 miles long; the wheat crop would take $1,060,000$ cars, with a total length of 6,625 miles, or farther than from New York to Cape Horn; a train of 772,098 cars, extending 4,826 miles, or from New York to the Congo River, would be required for the oat crop; a train of 327,354 cars, and 2,046 miles long, to move the potato crop, and this train would extend from New York to Utah; a train to haul the cotton crop would be as long as from New York to Chicago, and one to haul the barley crop would reach from Washington, D. C., to Atlanta, Ga.

## EXPORTS.

Raising, as this country does, a larger amount of agricultural products than its people can consume, the exports constitute a considerable
portion of some of the crops, as the table following shows. The average portion of the corn crop exported annually from 1894 to 1896 was 5.4 per cent; of wheat, 16 per cent; of rye, 12.2 per cent; of oats, 2.2 per cent; of barley, 13 per cent; of tobacco, 67.4 per cent; of cotton, 73.6 per cent.

Percentage of crops exported.

| Crop. | Annual average. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1868-1872, | 1878-1882. | 1888-1892. | 1894-1896. |
| Corn.... | 1.84 | 4.82 | 3.49 | 5.39 |
| Wheat.. | 12.83 | 27.84 | 17.68 | 15.96 |
| Rye. | 1.78 | 10.30 |  | 12.21 |
| Oats | . 13 | . 37 | . 80 | 2.22 |
| Barley... | . 93 | 1.55 |  | 12.96 |
| Potatoes. |  | . 37 |  | . 30 |
| Tobacco . | 71.12 | 55.84 | ----------- | 67.42 |
| Cotton. | 72.81 | 72.80 | 66. 79 | 73.60 |
| Hay |  | . 03 | ---.-----..- | . 10 |

It is to be remembered that large portions of some of the crops are exported in the form of animals and animal products. In 1895 the exports of beef products were $344,598,139$ pounds; of hog products, $1,092,024,847$ pounds; of mutton, 591,449 pounds, and of oleomargarine $88,199,775$ pounds.

SURPLUS ACREAGE.
In recent years predictions have been made of the near approach of the time when our domestic consumption will overtake domestic production of various crops, especially of wheat, but the predictions seem hardly nearer realization as time passes, and the potential expansion of acreage, as demand and price become strong and high, promises a surplus for export for many years to come.

For domestic requirements 28.6 bushels of corn are needed per capita, 5.5 bushels of wheat, and 10.7 bushels of oats, the computations being made in the usual way upon the figures of exports, imports, production, and population, and the annual average for 1888-1892 being adopted.

Therefore, it follows that 1.15 acres in corn are required per capita for domestic consumption, 0.43 of 1 acre in wheat, and 0.43 of 1 acre in oats. This gave us a surplus area in corn in 1890 of 2,648,404 acres above domestic requirements, of $11,264,478$ acres in wheat, and 238,162 acres in oats.

VALUES AND PRICES.

## AVERAGE VALUE OF PRODUCTS PER ACRE.

There is space for only a brief reference to crop production and value per acre and to prices, and the tables presented need little comment. The first table following shows that the average value of farm
products per improved acre decreased from $\$ 11.28$ in 1870 to $\$ 7.77$ in 1880 , and then to $\$ 6.88$ in 1890. The geographical divisions show the same tendency, with the exception that the average value increased from 1880 to 1890 in the North Atlantic division and in the Western.

Average value of farm products per improved acre, by geographical
divisions and by census years.

| Geographical division. | 1870. ${ }^{1}$ | 1880. | 1890. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic. | \$13.42 | \$9.50 | \$9.88 |
| South Atlantic | 8.88 | 7.41 | 7.03 |
| North Central. | 10.87 | 7.38 | 6.04 |
| South Central | 12. 78 | 8.00 | 7.25 |
| Western | 7.48 | 6.09 | 6.73 |
| The United States | 11.28 | 7.77 | 6.88 |

${ }^{1}$ Farm products include betterments and additions to stock; values are in gold.

Average value and yield of cereal crops in the United States, by geographical divisions and by periods of years.
[Values are in gold.]

| Geographical division and period. | Corn. |  |  | Wheat. |  |  | Oats. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { farm } \\ & \text { price } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { bushel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { yield } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { acre. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { ager } \\ & \text { value } \\ & \text { par } \\ & \text { acre. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { farm } \\ \text { price } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { bushel. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { yield } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { acre. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { ager } \\ & \text { value } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { acre. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { farm } \\ \text { price } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { bushel. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { yield } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { pere. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { value } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { acre. } \end{aligned}$ |
| North Atlantic: |  | Bush. |  |  | Bush. |  |  | Bush. |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | \$0.66 | 34.8 | \$23.09 | \$1.33 | 14.2 | \$18.94 | \$0.43 | 31.6 | \$13.56 |
| 1880 to 1 | . 59 | . 7 | 18.11 | 1.02 | 13.3 | 13. | . 39 | 28.4 | 11.06 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 53 | 32.0 | 16.81 | . 81 | 14.9 | 11.99 | . 35 | 27.3 | 9.68 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879. | . 66 | 15.0 | 9.89 | 1.31 | 9.0 | 11.81 | . 51 | 15.6 | 7.90 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 57 | 13.7 | 7.80 | 1.02 | 8.3 | 8.49 | . 48 | 11.3 | 5.46 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 50 | 14.4 | 7.29 | . 80 | 9.4 | 7.47 | . 44 | 13.1 | 5.71 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 .- | . 33 | 32.3 | 10.56 | . 96 | 13.0 | 12.50 | . 28 | 30.8 | 8.67 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 32 | 28.9 | 9.41 | . 79 | 12.6 | 9.94 | . 23 | 31.0 | 8.14 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 30 | 28.4 | 8.46 | . 62 | 13.3 | 8.28 | . 25 | 27.2 | 6.87 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 58 | 21.2 | 12.21 | 1.11 | 9.0 | 9.98 | . 48 | 20.5 | 9.82 |
| 1880 to 1889 .. | . 50 | 18.5 | 9.19 | . 91 | 8.1 | 7.34 | . 44 | 15.8 | 6. 91 |
| 1890 to 1896 .. | . 44 | 18.8 | 37 | . 73 | 9.8 | 7.15 | . 39 | 17.7 | 6.93 |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 88 | 31.0 | 27.26 | 1.10 | 13.9 | 15.18 | . 62 | 32.5 | 20.01 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 72 | 26.3 | 18.84 | . 80 | 14.1 | 11.31 | . 46 | 29.5 | 13.54 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 57 | 23.4 | 13.30 | . 68 | 14.7 | 9.95 | . 39 | 30.7 | 11.96 |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879. | . 426 | 27.1 | 11.54 | 1.049 | 12.4 | 13.00 | . 353 | 28.4 | 10.03 |
| 1880 to 188 | . 393 | 4.1 | 48 | . 827 | 12.1 | 9.8 | . 309 | 26.6 | 8.22 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 355 | 24.1 | 8.55 | . 658 | 13.0 | 8.54 | 286 | 25.2 | 7.21 |

Average value and yield of cereal crops in the United States, by geographical divisions and by periods of years-Continued.
[Values are in gold.]

| Geographical division and period. | Barley. |  |  | Rye. |  |  | Buckwheat. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average farm per bushel. | Average yield per acre. | Average value per acre. | Average farm per bushel. | Average yield per acre. | Average value per acre. | Average price per bushel. | Average <br> yield per acre. | Average value per acre. |
| North Atlantic: |  | Bush. |  |  | Bush. |  |  | Bush. |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | \$0.86 | 21.8 | \$18.76 | \$0.83 | 13.8 | \$11.47 | \$0.72 | 18.4 | \$13.16 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 75 | 22.5 | 16.79 | . 72 | 11.3 | 8.09 | . 63 | 13.5 | 8.50 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 58 | 21.8 | 12.68 | . 53 | 15.2 | 8.10 | . 48 | 18.5 | 8.89 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 89 | 15.0 | 13.38 | . 81 | 9.9 | 8.03 | . 71 | 16.5 | 11.77 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 86 | 15.5 | 13.35 | . 79 | 6.9 | 5.43 | . 66 | 10.7 | 7.05 |
| 1890 to 1896 |  |  |  | . 62 | 9.3 | 5.70 | . 56 | 16.9 | 9.40 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 62 | 23.5 | 14.50 | . 55 | 16.4 | 9.10 | . 70 | 15.7 | 11.04 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 51 | 21.9 | 11.13 | . 52 | 14.0 | 7.34 | . 69 | 11.2 | 7.74 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 31 | 23.7 | 7.44 | . 39 | 13.5 | 5.22 | . 52 | 13.8 | 7.12 |
| South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 89 | 22.7 | 20.26 | . 79 | 11.3 | 8.92 | . 81 | 12.9 | 10.51 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 68 | 18.7 | 12.71 | . 75 | 8.1 | 6.08 | . 67 | 8.6 | 5.82 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 49 | 19.0 | 9.39 | . 60 | 10.7 | 6.46 | . 58 | 14.8 | 8.55 |
| Western: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | . 80 | 20.9 | 16.68 | . 98 | 17.5 | 17.14 | 1.25 | 24.9 | 31.13 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 63 | 21.1 | 13.31 | . 77 | 11.5 | 8.89 | . 74 | 17.9 | 13.28 |
| 1890 to 1896 .-. | . 44 | 21.4 | 9.31 | . 58 | 14.6 | 8.51 | . 64 | 20.0 | 12.75 |
| The United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 .. | . 738 | 22.1 | 16.34 | . 701 | 14.1 | 9.92 | . 715 | 17.7 | 12. 25 |
| 1880 to 1889 | . 589 | 21.7 | 12.79 | . 622 | 11.9 | 7.39 | . 642 | 12.8 | 8.24 |
| 1890 to 1896 | . 374 | 22.8 | 8.52 | . 467 | 13.6 | 6.35 | . 490 | 17.4 | 8.51 |

FARM PRICES OF CROPS.
As exhibited in the above table, corn had the average farm price of 42.6 cents per bushel in the ten years 1870 to 1879, 39.3 cents in 1880 to 1889 , and 35.5 cents in 1890 to 1896 . The farm price of wheat declined in a more marked degree, the prices for the three periods being 104.9, 82.7 , and 65.8 cents, respectively. The farm prices of the other cereals also declined during the twenty-seven years.

In farm value of product per acre, corn averaged $\$ 11.54$ in 1870 to 1879, $\$ 9.48$ in 1880 to 1889 , and $\$ 8.55$ in 1890 to 1896 ; while wheat averaged $\$ 13$ in 1870 to $1879, \$ 9.98$ in 1880 to 1889 , and $\$ 8.54$ in 1890 to 1896. A decline will be noted for the other cereals.

## PRODUCTION PER ACRE.

Nothing conclusive with regard to increasing or decreasing fertility of soil is revealed in crop statistics of acreage and production. The extension or contraction of crop area may have the effect of raising or lowering the average yield per acre in the whole country. The average bushels of corn produced per acre were 27.1 in 1870 to 1879
and 24.1 in each of the periods 1880 to 1889 and 1890 to 1896 ; of wheat, 12.4 in 1870 to $1879,12.1$ in 1880 to 1889 , and 13 in 1890 to 1896. Oats declined from 28.4 to 25.2 bushels from the first to the last period, while barley, rye, and buckwheat did not change materially from first to last, except that the production of rye and buckwheat per acre was small in the middle period.

## PRICES OF COTtON aND wheat.

The tables following exhibit the average prices of cotton and wheat for a long series of years. Fluctuations appear in the prices, due to scarcity, to plenty, to wars, and to other causes, but the general fact of decreasing price during the present century is conspicuous. A brief reference to wool may be added. The average price of medium washed clothing Ohio fleece wool in the Eastern markets was 43 cents per pound from 1852 to $1859,{ }^{1} 43$ cents from 1860 to $1869,{ }^{1} 45$ cents from 1870 to 1879,40 cents from 1880 to 1889 , and 28 cents from 1890 to 1896. In 1890 the price was 37 cents per pound, and the decline was unbroken to 20 cents in 1896.

Average prices of cotton per pound in New York and Liverpool, 1791 to 1896, by periods of years.
[In gold for all years.]

| Period of years. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | In Liverpool. | Year. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In New } \\ & \text { York. } \end{aligned}$ | In Liverpool. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents. | Cents. |  | Cents. | Cents. |
| 1791 to 1799. | 34.4 | 48.9 | 1890. | 11.5 | 12.2 |
| 1800 to 1809 | 23.2 | 36.0 | 1891. | 9.0 | 9.9 |
| 1810 to 1819 | 20.4 | 38.5 | 1892. | 7.6 | 8.5 |
| 1820 to 1829 | 13.2 | 15.4 | 1893. | 8.2 | 9.3 |
| 1830 to 1839 | 12.4 | 14.5 | 1894. | 7.7 | 8.5 |
| 1840 to 1849 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 1895. | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| 1850 to 1859 | 11.4 | 12.5 | 1896. | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| 1880 to 1869 | 29.4 | 30.5 |  |  |  |
| 1870 to 1879 | 14.4 | 16.3 |  |  |  |
| 1880 to 1889 | 10.8 | 12.1 |  |  |  |
| 1890 to 1896 | 8.3 | 9.1 |  |  |  |

Average prices of wheat in England, 1041 to 1896, by periods of years.

| Period of years. | Number of years represented. | Price per bushel. | Period of years. | Number of years represented. | Price per bushel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1041 to 1100 | 7 | \$0.351 | 1800 to 1809 | 10 | \$2.493 |
| 1114 to 1197 | 10 | . 511 | 1810 to 1819 . | 10 | 2.693 |
| 1202 to 1294 | 27 | 1.828 | 1820 to 1889 | 10 | 1. 764 |
| 1301 to 1391 | 29 | 1.032 | 1830 to 1839 | 10 | 1.651 |
| 1401 to 1500 | 39 | . 494 | 1840 to 1849 | 10 | 1.649 |
| 1504 to 1600 | 41 | . 737 | 1850 to 1859 | 10 | 1.575 |
| 1601 to 1700 | 96 | 1.108 | 1860 to 1859 | 10 | 1.518 |
| 1701 to 1800. | 85 | 1.096 | 1870 to 1879 | 10 | 1. 514 |
| 1801 to 1896 . | 96 | 1.690 | 1880 to 1889 | 10 | 1.091 |
|  |  |  | 1890 to 1896 ..... | 7 | . 833 |

${ }^{1}$ In gold.

Farm prices of wheat and freight rates from Chicago to New York, by years.
[Prices and rates in gold.]

| Year. | Farm prices per bushel. | Average freight rate per bushel. | Number of bushels which could be carried for farm price of 1 bushel. | Year. | Farm prices bushel. | Average freight rate per bushel. | Number of bushels which could be carried for farm price of 1 bushel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cents. |  |  |  | Cents. |  |
| 1867 | \$1.436 | 32.38 | 4.44 | 1892. | \$0.624 | 13.80 | 4.52 |
| 1872 | 1.104 | 31.13 | 3.55 | 1893. | . 538 | 14.63 | 3.68 |
| $187 \%$. | 1.034 | 19.56 | 5.29 | 1894. | . 491 | 13.20 | 3.72 |
| 1882. | . 882 | 14.47 | 6.10 | 1895 | . 509 | 11.89 | 4.28 |
| 1887. | . 681 | 15.75 | 4.32 | 1896 | . 726 | 12.00 | 6.05 |

PRICES BECOMING MORE STEADY.
The prices of agricultural products, especially those that have a world market, have tonded toward a narrower range of fluctuations in a marked degree. Cotton prices may be cited as an illustration. The range in the prices of middling upland cotton per pound in New York has been ascertained for each year from 1821 to 1895, and the ranges have been averaged for groups of years. For the ten years 1821 to 1830 the average range of prices was 7.35 cents; 1831 to 1840, 7.60 cents; 1841 to 1850, 4.12 cents; 1851 to 1860, 3.46 cents; 1861 to 1870, 43.95 cents; 1871 to $1880,4.16$ cents; 1881 to $1890,1.77$ cents; 1891 to 1895, 2.21 cents. Here may be seen the steadying of prices due to the telegraph, the publication of trade and market news, to crop reporting and estimating, and to the anticipation of higher or lower prices in the future by raising or lowering present prices.

## INFLUENCES THAT DEPRESS PRICES.

## TRANSPORTATION.

That the wholesale market price of wheat and many other farm products should be less now than in earlier years is partly accounted for by the diminished cost of transportation. The tables following disclose this. The freight rate on 100 pounds of wheat from Chicago to New York in 1870 was 42 cents; in 1896 it was 20 cents. The rate per mile per ton of freight on thirteen large railroads was 1.37 cents in 1870; it was .71 of 1 cent in 1896. On all of the railroads of the United States this rate declined from 1.29 cents in 1880 to .81 of 1 cent in 1896. So greatly have the freight rates declined that the farm value of 1 bushel of wheat in 1896 paid for the transportation of 6.05 bushels from Chicago to New York, as against 4.44 bushels in 1867.

Freight rates, by years.

| Year. | Rates in cents per 100 pounds. |  |  |  | Coal, per ton. | Rates in cents per mile. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wheat. |  | Flour. | Packed meats. |  | Freight, per ton. | Freight, per ton. | Per passenger. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Anthra- } \\ \text { coale } \\ \text { coal,per } \\ \text { ton. } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  | Chicago to New York. | St. Louis to New York. | St. Louis to New York. | Cincinnati to Now | Clearfield region to Jersey City. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thirteen } \\ \text { rail- } \\ \text { ways. } \end{gathered}$ | All railways of United States. | All railways of United States. | Lehigh Valley Rail- road. |
| $1870{ }^{1}$ | 42 |  |  | 38 |  | 1:37 |  |  |  |
| $1875{ }^{1}$ | 30 |  |  | 23 | \$3.20 | 1.03 |  |  | 1.61 |
| 1880 | $33 \frac{1}{6}$ | 42 | 42 | $33{ }^{2}$ | 4.17 | 1.01 | 1.29 | 2.51 | 1.43 |
| 1885. | 235 | $22 \frac{1}{7}$ | 221 | $21{ }_{10}^{10}$ | 2.72 | . 83 | 1.04 | 2.20 | 1.22 |
| 1890. | 235 | 275 | 27 等 | 23 990 | 2.25 | . 77 | . 94 | 2.17 | . 84 |
| 1894 | $21 \frac{1}{3}$ | 243 | 24. | 26 | 2.10 | . 76 | . 87 | 1.98 | . 74 |
| 1895 | 2018 | $223 \frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }^{2} 231$ | 26 | 2.10 | . 72 | . 84 | 2.04 | . 65 |
| 1896 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 1.95 | . 71 | . 81 | 2.02 | . 68 |

${ }^{1}$ The rates are in gold.
${ }^{2}$ Actual rates probably lower.
COST OF MARKETING COTTON.
For the purpose of discovering in detail how much the cost of marketing cotton has declined since 1840, one of the special agents of the Department, living in Mobile, Ala., was requested to duplicate for 1897 the itemized statement of cost for 1840, published in Hunt's Merchant's Magazine ${ }^{1}$ fifty-seven years ago. It cost $\$ 18.15$ to market a bale of upland middling cotton weighing 420 pounds in 1840 , or 4.32 cents a pound, and the cost fell to $\$ 7.89$ in 1897 , on account of the elimination of some charges and the reduction of others. This makes the present cost of marketing 1.58 cents per pound, a saving of 2.74 cents per pound from the beginning to the end of the fifty-seven years.

## Charges for marketing a bale of cotton, 1840 and 1897.

[The charges exhibited in this table were incurred at Mobile, Ala., exclusive of insurance, calculated on a bale of 420 pounds of middling upland cotton at 10 cents in 1840, with ocean freight at $\frac{2}{4} d$.; and on a bale of 500 pounds of middling upland cotton at $5 \frac{1}{4}$ cents in 1897, ocean freight at $\frac{15}{4} d$.]

| Charge. | In 1840. | In 1897. | Charge. | In 1840. | In 1897. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wharfage (if by river)....... | \$0.10 | ${ }^{1} \$ 0.08$ | Commission on purch | \$0.80 | ${ }^{3} \$ 0.00$ |
| Weighing - | . $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | . 10 | Freight and primage. | $6.64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2.461 |
| Draying to press | . $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | . 10 | Chargeable to |  |  |
| Storage | . 20 | . 25 | chaser .-......... | 8.00 | 3.75 |
| Factor's commissions. | . 80 | . 05 | Compressing | 80 | . 00 |
| Add for freight to city (by river) | 1.50 | . 75 | Lighterage to lower bay. | . 25 | .00 .00 |
| Chargeable to planter.- | 2.85 | 1.93 |  | . 25 | . 35 |
| Brokerage | . 25 | . 50 | Chargeable to vess | 1.30 | . 35 |
| Storage until compressed... | . $12 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2.00 | Total charges on a bale.....- | 12.15 | 6.03 |
| Drayage to vessel or lighter- | . 08 | . 00 | Add port charges at Liverpool | 6.00 | 1.86 |
| Wharfage.... | . 10 | . 04 |  | 6.00 | 1.86 |
| Compressing | . 00 | . 75 | Total, on both sides, per bale | 18.15 | 7.89 |

[^2]
## EFFECT OF INVENTIONS.

Perhaps it has not occurred to the reader that the chief causes of our nearly ten-million-bale cotton crop were ideas that were in the minds of inventors many years ago. This great crop is absolutely dependent upon the invention of the machines of the cotton mills and upon the cotton gin, which have made the cost of production of cotton fabrics very cheap, and thus made markets for enormous quantities of them. This is brought out forcibly in the table following, which mentions various inventions and the consequent extraordinary increase in the imports of cotton into Great Britain.

The extraordinary importance of some of these machines may be understood from the statement that before Whitney's invention of the cotton gin one person could pick the seed from only about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of cotton lint in ten hours, while at the present time one machine will gin from 1,500 to 7,500 pounds of lint in the same time, the quantity varying according to the size and power of the gin.

Inventions and cotton production. ${ }^{1}$

| Invention. | Year of advent of in-vention. | Cotton imported to Great Britain. | Year of im-portation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hargreave's spinning jenny (patented 1770) for weft only | 1764 | Pounds. 3,870,392 | 1764 |
| Calico printing introduced into Lancashire | 1764 |  |  |
| Arkwright perfects $W$ yatt's spinning frame (patented 1769), liberating cotton from dependence on linen warp. | 1768 |  |  |
| Arkwright's mill built at Crawford | 1771 | 4,764,589 | 1771 to 1775 |
| Arkwright takes patents for carding, drawing, roving, spinning.-. | $17 \% 5$ |  |  |
| Crompton's mule completed (combining jenny and water frame, producing finer and more even yarn) | 1779 | 5,198,775 | 1781 |
| Cartwright's powerloom; Watt and Boulton's first engine for cotton mills. | 1785 | 18, 400, 384 | 1785 |
| Whitney's saw gin | 1792 | 34, 907, 497 | 1792 |
| Horrock's dressing machine. | 1813 | 51,000,000 | 1813 |
| The "Throstle" (almost exclusively used in England for spinning warp) | 1830 | 261,200,000 | 1830 |
| Roberts's self-acting mule perfected | 1832 | 287, 800,000 | 1832 |
| Bullough's improved power loom; ring spinning (largely used in United States of America, recently introduced into Lancashire) | 1841 | 489, 900, 000 | 1841 |

${ }^{1}$ The Evolution of Modern Capitalism (Hobson), p. 60.
RESULTS OF USE OF FERTILIZERS.
Intensive agriculture, as affecting the economics of farming, has supplied few statistics beyond those collected by the Department for 1896 in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama with regard to the use of commercial fertilizers in cotton raising. It is a practical question to the farmer whether by the use of fertilizers his profit per bale is more or less than it has been without fertilizers;
and if the fact is established that it is profitable to use them, it is important to know when the point of diminishing returns is reached.

From returns made by 1,495 cotton planters in the States named, the table on page 599 has been prepared. The crop planted in 1896 is the one represented, and the crop brought a profit to 1,268 planters and a loss to 227. All of them used commercial fertilizers, and they are classified according to the value of the fertilizers used; those making a profit being in one group, and those suffering a loss in another.

It appears that twenty-one planters spent 74 cents apiece, on the average, for fertilizers for 1 acre, and that they each derived a profit of $\$ 4.62$, on the average, above all costs of raising the crop. The planters who spent from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 1.99$ for fertilizers, made a profit of $\$ 5.09$; from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.99$, a profit of $\$ 5.34$; from $\$ 3$ to $\$ 3.99$, a profit of $\$ 5.91$; from $\$ 4$ to $\$ 4.99$, a profit of $\$ 7.96$; from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 5.99$, a profit of $\$ 8.76$; and those whose fertilizers cost them $\$ 6$ and more, made a profit of $\$ 12.51$.

So it is evident that, so far as the table shows, the point of diminishing returns was not reached, when the crop was profitable, at any degree of fertilization. The returns from the planters who suffered a loss, while at first seeming to indicate a conclusion contrary to the above, in reality do not, because their crops were subject to abnormal conditions and were partial failures, the cause generally having been a drought, in which the fertilizer is likely to "burn" the plants. It will be observed that in the cases of the planters who lost on their crops the loss is greater as the cost of fertilizers is greater, and had climatic conditions been favorable the loss would have been a profit.

The word "profit" as here used should mean the excess of returns over expenses, including the theoretical one of rent, and for the most part has such a significance; some small charges against the crop may have been omitted from the schedules, such as the acre's share of the general farm expenses of insurance, repairs, and renewals.

Percentages of increase of profit and loss by each class over the preceding class have been computed to discover whether there is much, if any, uniformity in the increase, as there is in the classification of cost of fertilizers, but the uniformity does not appear.

Relationship between the average cost of fertilizers and the proft in raising 1 acre of cotton in 1896 in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Alabama.

| Classification of cost of fertilizers per acre. | For plantations having a- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Profit. |  |  |  | Loss. |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber of } \\ \text { farms } \\ \text { report- } \\ \text { ing. } \end{gathered}$ | Average cost of fertilizers. | Average profit | Percent- <br> age of <br> increase <br> of profit over <br> preced. <br> ing <br> class. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Num- } \\ \text { ber of } \\ \text { farms } \\ \text { report } \\ \text { ing. } \end{gathered}$ | Average cost of fertilizers. | Average loss. | Per-centage of increase of loss over preceding class. |
| Under \$1. | 21 | \$0.74 | \$4.62 |  | 3 | \$0.74 | \$1.48 |  |
| \$1 and unde: \$2. | 291 | 1.40 | 5.09 | 10.2 | 60 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.4 |
| \$2 and under \$3. | 656 | 2.20 | 5.34 | 4.9 | 126 | 2.20 | 1.89 | 26.0 |
| \$3 and under \$4. | 118 | 3.13 | 5.91 | 10.7 | 16 | 3.13 | 2.75 | 45.5 |
| \$4 and under \$5. | 82 | 4.11 | 7.96 | 34.7 | 9 | 4.11 | 3.69 | 34.2 |
| \$5 and under \$6. | 49 | 5.14 | 8.76 | 10.1 | 7 | 5.14 | 3.89 | 5.4 |
| \$6 and over | 51 | 9.11 | 12.51 | 42.8 | 6 | 9.11 | 3.95 | 1.5 |
| Total | 1,268 | 2.52 | 5.71 |  | 227 | 2.52 | 1.91 |  |

Statistics of agriculture are mainly concerned with quantities, areas, values, and prices, and comparatively little statistical work with an economic bearing has been done. It was not the design of this paper to bring together the old matter of this sort, but rather to use the new matter at hand and to present some of the old in a new light. ${ }^{1}$

## HAND AND MACHINE LABOR.

A very remarkable economic investigation into the old and new processes employed in manufacture, agriculture, and transportation was recently made by the United States Department of Labor, the results of which will be shown in a forthcoming report of that Department. The endeavor was to ascertain the time and labor cost by the latest processes of production and to compare them with the processes that obtained twenty to fifty years ago.

Certain facts relating to agriculture have been taken from thirtythree pairs of schedules, and these are presented in the table following.

Each pair of schedules has one relating to hand labor and one relating to machine labor, and the two are to be compared with each other, since they represent the same area of ground-an acre in the case of every schedule included in the table-and the same quantity of product. Each pair of schedules also covers the same extent of operations, although by different means. The information was obtained by special agents, and has been very carefully scrutinized by them and by other experts. It seems desirable to give this assurance, since the table is such an extraordinary one.

[^3]Hand and machine labor in
[The words "hand" and "machine" within

| No. | Crop and predominating labor. | Units of produc. tion on 1 acre. | Year of op eration. | Time worked. |  |  |  | Cost of labor. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { employees. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{By} \\ & \text { animals. } \end{aligned}$ |  | For employees. | For animals. | Total. |
| 1 | Corn-hand... bushe | 40 | 1858 | Hrs. 34 | Min. 38.5 | Hrs. 34 | Min. | 42 | 1.2898 |  |
| 2 | machine ...d | 40 | 1894 | 16 | 30.3 | 38 | 41.2 | 1.6505 | 1.9344 | 3.5849 |
| ( 3 | Corn-hand | 40 | 1855 | 38 | 45.0 | 37 | 30.0 | 3.6250 | 1.4064 | 5.0314 |
| 4 | machine | 40 | 1894 | 15 | 7.8 | 35 | 56.2 | 1.5130 | 1.7969 | 3. 3099 |
| ( 5 | Corn-hand | 40 | 1855 | 182 | 40.8 | 54 | 9.0 | 14.3032 | 2.0308 | 16.3390 |
| 6 | machine | 40 | 1894 | 27 | 30.3 | 47 | 46.8 | 4. 2269 | 2.3891 | 6.6160 |
| 7 | Corn-hand | 40 | 1858 | 169 | 27.0 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 16.9451 | 1.8392 | 18.7843 |
| 8 | machin | 40 | 1894 | 27 | 17.0 | 47 | 30.2 | 4.1502 | 2.3753 | 6.5255 |
| 9 9 | Wheat-hand .-...d | 20 | 1830 | 64 | 15.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 3.7125 | . 2875 | 4.0000 |
| 10 | machine do | 20 | 1896 | 2 | 58.2 | 8 | 3.6 | . 7180 | . 4030 | 1.1210 |
| 11 | Wheat-hand.... do | 20 | 1830 | 61 | 5.0 | 22 | 20.0 | 3.5542 | . 2792 | 3.8334 |
| $\{12$ | machine . do | 20 | 1896 | 3 | 19.2 | 27 | 18.6 | . 6805 | 1.3655 | 2.0260 |
| 13 | Oats-hand ....... do | 40 | 1830 | 60 | 25.0 | 9 | 0.0 | 3.4375 | 1125 | 3.5500 |
| 144 | machine .-.do | 40 | 1893 | 7 | 10.8 | 10 | 51.2 | 1.0836 | . 5427 | 1. 6963 |
| \{15 | Oats-hand .......do | 40 | 1830 | 66 | 15.0 | 9 | 40.0 | 3.7292 | . 1208 | 3.8500 |
| $\{16$ | machine .-.do | 40 | 1893 | 7 | 5.8 | 10 | 31.2 | 1.0732 | . 5260 | 1.5992 |
| $\{17$ | Rye-hand .......- do | 25 | 1848 | 62 | 58.9 | 36 | 40.0 | ${ }^{1} 4.1061$ | 1.1459 | 5.2520 |
| $\{18$ | machine...-do | 25 | 1895 | 25 | 10.0 | 25 | 50.0 | 12.6542 | 1.6459 | 4.3001. |
| $(19$ | Rye-hand..-.....d | 25 | 1848 | 66 | 3.8 | 38 | 0.0 | ${ }^{1} 3.3031$ | 1. 4250 | 4.7281 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}10 \\ 20\end{array}\right.$ | machine...-do | 25 | 1895 | 25 | 10.0 | 26 | 20.0 | 12.6542 | 1.3167 | 3.9709 |
| (21 | Barley-hand ....-d | 30 | 1830 | 63 | 35.0 | 23 | 0.0 | 3.5958 | . 2875 | 3.8833 |
| $\{22$ | machine.d | 30 | 1896 | 2 | 42.8 | 9 | 12.6 | . $60 \% 0$ | . 4605 | 1.0625 |
| 23 | Barley-hand....-do | 30 | 1830 | 58 | 5.0 | 22 | 20.0 | 3.3208 | 2792 | 3.6000 |
| $\{24$ | machine_do | 30 | 1896 | 3 | 24.4 | 26 | 18.0 | . 7136 | 1.3150 | 2.0286 |
| (25 | Potatoes (Irish)-hand, bushels. | 220 | 1866 | 108 | 55.0 | 45 | 50.0 | 10.8916 | 2.2916 | 13.1832 |
| 26 | Potatoes (Irish)-machine, bushels. | 200 | 1895 | 38 | 0.0 | 43 | 28.0 | 3.8000 | 2.1733 | 5.9733 |
| 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Potatoes (Irish)-hand, } \\ & \text { bushels. } \end{aligned}$ | 220 | 1870 | 108 | 55.0 | 45 | 50.0 | 10.8916 | 2.2916 | 13.1832 |
| 288 | Potatoes (Irish)-machine, bushels. | 220 | 1895 | 38 | 21.0 | 44 | 10.0 | 3.8350 | 2. 2083 | 6.0433 |
| 29 | Potatoes (sweet)-hand, bushels. | 105 | 1868 | 317 | 20.0 | 97 | 4.0 | 28.2334 | 6. 0666 | 34.3000 |
| 30 | Potatoes (sweet)-machine, bushels. | 105 | 1895 | 122 | 7.0 | 55 | 14.0 | 7.5292 | 2. 7618 | 10.2910 |
| 31 | Potatoes (sweet)-hand, bushels. | 105 | 1868 | 317 | 20.0 | 97 | 4.0 | 28.2334 | 6. 0666 | 34.3000 |
| \{32 | Potatoes (sweet)-machine, bushels. | 105 | 1895 | 122 | 7.0 | 55 | 14.0 | 7.5292 | 2.7618 | 10.2910 |
| [33 | Tobacco-hand, pounds. | 1,500 | 1853 | 311 | 23.0 | 66 | 40.0 | ${ }^{1} 23.3538$ | 2. 5002 | 25.8540 |
| \{34 | Tobacco-machine, pounds. | 1,500 | 1895 | 252 | 54.6 | 57 | 30.6 | 125.1160 | 2.8755 | 27.9915 |
| (35 | Cotton (seed)-hand, pounds. | 1,000 | 1866 | 115 | 58.0 | 25 | 18.0 | 8.7921 | 1.9461 | 10.7382 |
| \{35 | Cotton (seed)-machine, pounds. | 1,000 | 1895 | 111 | 58.0 | 23 | 18.0 | 6. 6133 | 1.3441 | 7.9574 |
| $\int^{37}$ | Sugar cane-hand, tons. | 20 | 1855 | 351 | 21.0 | 184 | 18.0 | 37.9409 | 2.3773 | 40.3182 |
| $\{38$ | Sugar cane-machine, tons. | 20 | 1895 | 191 | 33.0 | 111 | 6.0 | 11.3189 | 5. 0500 | 16.3689 |

${ }^{1}$ With board.
agriculture in the United States.
each brace cover the same extent of operations.]

| Average total labor per bushel, etc. | Average human labor per bushel, etc. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Human } \\ \text { labor } \\ \text { pequired } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { bushel, } \\ \text { etc. } \end{gathered}$ | Extent of operation and means employed. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0.1138 | \$0.0816 | Minutes. | Stalks not cut from ground and corn not shelled; shovel | ${ }^{1}$ |
| . 0896 | . 0413 | 24.8 | plow, hoe, husking peg. <br> Gang plow, disk and four-section harrows, corn planter, and cultivator. | $2\}$ |
| . 1258 | . 0906 | 58.1 | Stalks not cut from ground and corn not shelled; shovel plow, hoe, husking peg. | ${ }^{3}$ |
| . 0827 | . 0378 | 22.7 | Gang plow, disk and four-section harrows, corn planter, and cultivator. | $4\}$ |
| . 4085 | . 3577 | 274.0 | Stalks cut from ground and made into fodder and corn shelled; corn knife, horses. | ${ }^{5}$ |
| . 1654 | . 1057 | 41.3 | Machine for cutting and binding stalks, and for husking and cutting into fodder; gang plow, corn planter, steam cornsheller. | $6\}$ |
| . 4696 | . 4236 | 254.2 | Stalks not cut from ground and corn not shelled; shovel plow, hoe, husking peg. | 7 |
| . 1631 | . 1038 | 40.9 | Gang plow, disk and four-section harrows, corn planter, and cultivator. | $8\}$ |
| . 2000 | . 1856 | 192.8 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing--.----- | 9 |
| . 0560 | . 0359 | 8.9 | Steam gang plow, seeder and harrow, steam reaper and thrasher. | $10\}$ |
| . 1917 | .1777 | 183.2 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing.....---- | 11 |
| . 1013 | . 0330 | 10.0 | No steam power, disk plow, seeder and harrow, reaper and thrasher. | 12 |
| . 0888 | . 0859 | 90.6 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing. | 13 ) |
| .0407 | . 0271 | 10.8 | Self-binding reaper, steam thrasher, spading harrow. | 14 ) |
| . 0962 | . 0932 | 99.4 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing. | ) |
| . 0400 | . 0268 | 10.6 | Self-binding reaper, steam thrasher, spading harrow - | 16 |
| . 2101 | . 1642 | 151.2 | Oxen, sickle, flail, hand winnowing - | 17 |
| . 1720 | . 1062 | 60.4 | Self-binding reaper, steam thrasher | 18) |
| . 1891 | . 1321 | 158.6 | Oxen, sickle, flail, hand winnowing | 19) |
| . 1588 | . 1062 | 60.4 | Self-binding reaper, steam thrasher | $20\}$ |
| . 1294 | . 1199 | 127.2 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing. | 21 |
| . 0354 | . 0201 | 5.4 | Steam gang plow, seeder and harrow, steam reaper and thrasher. | $22\}$ |
| . 1200 | . 1107 | 116.2 | Oxen, brush harrow, sickle, flail and hand winnowing. | 23 |
| . 0676 | . 0238 | 6.8 | No steam power, disk plo | 24 ) |
| . 0599 | . 0495 | 29.7 | Shovel plow, hoe; potatoes cut by han | 25 |
| . 0272 | . 0173 | 10.4 | Potato cutter, planter, digger | 26 |
| . 0599 | . 0495 | 29.7 | Shovel plow, hoe; potatoes cut by han | 27 |
| . 0275 | . 0174 | 10.5 | Potato cutter, planter, digger | 28 |
| . 3267 | . 2689 | 181.3 | Plants set out by hand; hoe, spade for digging | 29 |
| . 0980 | . 0717 | 69.8 | Ridger, transplanter, digger | 30 |
| . 3267 | . 2689 | 181.3 | Plants set out by hand; hoe, spade for digging | 31 |
| . 0980 | . 0717 | 69.8 | Ridger, transplanter, digger | 32 |
| . 0172 | . 0156 | 12.5 | Plants set out by hand. | 33 |
| . 0187 | . 0167 | 10.1 | Transplanter | 34 |
| . 0107 | . 0088 | 7.0 | Fertilizer distributed and seed planted by hand | 35 |
| . 0080 | . 0066 | 6.7 | Fertilizer distributor, planter | 36 |
| 2.0159 | 1.8970 | 1,054.0 | Hoe; hand planting |  |
| . 8184 | . 5659 | 574.6 | Cane planter, disk cultivator to cover cane, cultivator. | 38 |

Hand and machine labor in agriculture
[The words "hand" and "machine" within

| No. | Crop and predominating labor. | Units of pro-duction on 1 acre. | Year of op tion. | Time worked. |  |  |  | Cost of labor. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{By} \\ \text { employees. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\text { animals. }}{\stackrel{\mathrm{By}}{2}}$ |  | For employees. | For animals. | Total. |
|  |  |  |  | Hrs. | Min. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\int^{39}$ | Carrots-hand, tons. | 30 | 182ัّ | 418 | 30.0 | 68 | 30.0 | \$27.1875 | \$3.4250 | \$30.6125 |
| \{40 | Carrots-machine, tons. | 30 | 1895 | 257 | 20.0 | 60 | 40.0 | 26.1667 | 3.7917 | 29.9584 |
| $\left\{{ }^{41}\right.$ | Carrots-hand tons. | 30 | 1850 | 480 | 30.0 | 81 | 30.0 | 34.6375 | 4.0750 | 38.7125 |
| $\{42$ | ```Carrots-machine, tons.``` | 30 | 1895 | 238 | 3.0 | 73 | 3.0 | 23.5550 | 3.6525 | 27.2075 |
| ${ }^{43}$ | Turnips-hand, bushels. | 350 | 1850 | 456 | 0.0 | 39 | 30.0 | 31.1375 | 1.9750 | 33.1125 |
| \{44 | Turnips-machine, bushels. | 350 | 1895 | 217 | 18.5 | 34 | 48.5 | 19.5433 | 1.7404 | 21.2837 |
| 45 | Turnips-hand, bushels. | 350 | 1855 | 399 | 0.0 | 35 | 30.0 | 23.8525 | 1.7750 | 25.6275 |
| \{46 | Turnips-machine, bushels. | 350 | 1895 | 240 | 50.0 | 30 | 40.0 | 21.4292 | 1.9167 | 23.3459 |
| ${ }^{47}$ | Tomatoes-hand, bushels. | 150 | 1870 | 324 | 20.0 | 128 | 40.0 | 30.1833 | 6.4333 | 36.6160 |
| \{48 | Tomatoes-machine, bushels. | 150 | 1895 | 134 | 52.5 | 69 | 45.0 | 12.3894 | 3.4875 | 15.8769 |
| (49 | Tomatoes-hand, bushels. | 150 | 1870 | 322 | 20.0 | 124 | 40.0 | 29.9833 | 6.2333 | 36.2166 |
| \{00 | Tomatoes-machine, bushels. | 150 | 1895 | 134 | 12.5 | 68 | 25.0 | 12.3327 | 3.4208 | 15.7535 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 51 \\ 5 . \end{array}\right.$ | Beets-hand_.-bushels... machine.-.do... | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1850 \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 441 \\ & 202 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | 37 32 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | 30.4500 18.3833 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8500 \\ & 1.6292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.3000 \\ & 20.0125 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 53 \\ 54 \end{array}\right.$ | Beets-hand ......-do....- | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1855 \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 383 \\ & 225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \\ & 20.0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{23} 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \\ & 40.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1500 \\ & 20.1667 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. } 6667 \\ & 1.7917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.8167 \\ & 21.9584 \end{aligned}$ |
| [55 | Strawberries-hand, quarts. | 4,000 | 1872 | 1,728 | 20.0 | 84 | 40.0 | 226.2084 | 4.2333 | 230.4417 |
| \{56 | Strawberries-machine, quarts. | 4,000 | 1895 | 676 | 40.0 | 93 | 40.0 | 93.5667 | 4.6833 | 98.2500 |
| $\left\{_{c}^{57}\right.$ | Strawberries-hand, quarts. | 4,000 | 187\% | 1,732 | 20.0 | 92 | 40.0 | 226.6417 | 4.6333 | 231.2750 |
| \{58 | Strawberries-machine, quarts. | 4,000 | 1895 | 675 | 21.2 | 89 | 43.6 | 93.4353 | 4.4863 | 97.9216 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 59 \\ 60 \end{array}\right.$ | Peas-hand_...-bushels.- machine... do $^{-}$. | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1856 \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 38.0 \end{array}$ | 49 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 20.0 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8126 \\ & { }^{1} 4.7534 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8500 2.0109 | 6. 6626 <br> 6.7643 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 61 \\ 62 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Peas-hand_-....-.do..... } \\ \text { machine .--do... } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1855 \\ & 1895 \end{aligned}$ | 82 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 38.0 \end{array}$ | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1251 \\ & 4.7534 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4668 \\ & 2.5136 \end{aligned}$ | 7.5919 <br> 7.2670 |
| $\{63$ | Onions-hand'.-.-.do.. | 250 | 1850 | 433 | 55.0 | 35 | 20.0 | 30. 7938 | 1.7667 | 32.5605 |
| \{64 | machine -do...- | 250 | 18 | 223 | 22 | 31 | 11.6 | 22.3277 | 1.5597 | 23.8874 |
| \{ 65 | Onions-hand -...-do | 250 | 1855 | 501 | 30.0 | 32 | 0.0 | 28.5125 | 1. 6000 | 30.1125 |
| \{66 | machine -do. | 250 | 1895 | 343 | 44.0 | 27 | 28.0 | 29.9667 | 1.7167 | 31.6834 |

${ }^{1}$ With board.
in the United States-Continued.
each brace cover the same extent of operations.]

| Average total lisbor per etc. | Average human <br> labor per bushel, etc. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Human } \\ \text { labor } \\ \text { required } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { bushel, } \\ \text { etc. } \end{array}$ | Extent of operation and means employed. | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.0204 | \$0.9062 | Minutes. <br> 837.0 | Hoe; seed planted by hand | 39 |
| . 9986 | -. 8722 | 514.7 | Drill, cultivator. | 40 |
| 1.2904 | 1.1546 | 961.0 | Hoe; seed planted by hand | 41 |
| . 9069 | . 7852 | 476.1 | Drill, cultivator, weeding hoe | $42\}$ |
| . 0946 | . 0890 | 78.2 | Hoe; bottle with hole in cork to drill seed | 43 |
| . 0608 | . 0558 | 37.3 | Drill, cultivator, weeding hoe. | 44 |
| . 0732 | . 0682 | 68.4 | Hoe; bottle with hole in cork to drill seed | 45 |
| . 0667 | . 0612 | 41.3 | Drill, cultivator, weeding hoe | 46 |
| . 2441 | . 2012 | 129.7 | Plants set out by hand; hoe. | $47)$ |
| . 1058 | . 0828 | 54.0 | Transplanter, cultivator, fertilizer drill | $48\}$ |
| . 2414 | . 1999 | 128.9 | Plants set out by hand; hoe | 49 |
| . 1050 | . 0822 | 53.7 | Transplanter, cultivator, fertilizer drill........--.................- | $50\}$ |
| .1077 | .1015 | 88.2 | Hoe; seed planted by hand | $51\}$ |
| . 06827 | . 0613 | 40.5 | Drill, cultivator, weeding ho | $52\}$ |
| . 0732 | . 0672 | 45.1 | Drill, cultivator, weeding ho | $5 \pm$ |
| . 0576 | . 0566 | 25.9 | Plant set out by hand; hoe. | 55 |
| . 0246 | . 0234 | 10.2 | Transplanter, cultivator, weeder | $56\}$ |
| . 0578 | . 0567 | 26.0 | Plant set out by hand; hoe | 57 |
| . 0245 | . 0234 | 10.1 | Transplanter, cultivator, weeder | 58 |
| . 3331 | . 2406 | 231.0 | Seed sown by hand, covered by harrow; scythe, flail........... | 59 |
| . 3332 | . 2377 | 136.9 | Drill, mower, thrasher.- | $60\}$ |
| . 3796 | . 2563 | 246.0 | Seed sown by hand, covered by harrow; scythe, flail. |  |
| . 3634 | . 2377 | 136.9 | Drill, mower, thrasher .-..................- | 62 |
| . 1302 | . 1232 | 104.1 | Hoe: seed planted by hand | 63 ) |
| . 0955 | . 0893 | 53.6 | Drill, cultivator, weeding hoe | 64 |
| . 1205 | . 1140 | 120.4 | Hoe; seed planted by hand.- | $65\}$ |
| . 1267 | . 1198 | 82.5 | Drill, cultivator, weeding hoe... | 66 |

REMARKABLE EFFECTS OF MACHINES.
Examination of a pair of schedules will show how the table on pages $600-603$ is to be understood. Schedule 5 represents the raising of corn on 1 average acre in 1855, with the implements and in the manner of that day, the shovel plow being used for marking the rows and for cultivating, the hoe for planting, and a peg in husking by hand. The stalks were cut with knives, and cut for fodder with an old-fashioned cutter turned by hand, and the corn was shelled by hand.

From the plowing of the ground to the depositing of the corn in the granary the human labor required per acre was equal to that of one man for 182 hours and 40.8 minutes, and labor of horses was required equal to that of one horse for 54 hours and 9 minutes. The cost of the human labor was $\$ 14.3082$; animal, $\$ 2.0308$; total labor per acre, $\$ 16.3390$, or 40.85 cents per bushel. The human labor per bushel was 274 minutes, and cost 35.770 cents.

On the other hand, schedule 6 is for the raising of the same quantity of corn ( 40 bushels) on the same area ( 1 acre) in 1894 with the use of the best implements, machines, and methods. The plowing was done with a gang plow and corn planter; machine for cutting and binding stalks, a combined husking and fodder-cutting machine, and a steam cornsheller were used.

The human labor required was equal to that of one man for 27 hours and 30.3 minutes; the animal labor, 47 hours and 46.8 minutes. The cost of the human labor was $\$ 4.2269$; animal, $\$ 2.3891$; total, \$6.6160.

The increased effectiveness of labor when aided by machines is clearly brought out in the table under consideration. Machines and improved implements in raising corn reduced the human labor cost per bushel from 35.770 to 10.57 cents, or 25.20 cents, or 70.5 per cent, and reduced the time of human labor from 274 to 41.3 minutes, or 84.9 per cent. A very remarkable reduction in human labor not appearing in the table is in the shelling of the corn, which is from 100 minutes per bushel when the work was done by hand to 1 minute when the steam sheller is used, or 99 per cent.

## REDUCTION OF COST OF LABOR.

A comparison of schedules discovers the following reductions in the cost of human and animal labor per bushel caused by the use of machines and implements: Corn, from 12.58 to 8.27 cents; wheat, 19.17 to 10.13 cents; wheat (another pair of schedules), 20 to 5.60 cents; oats, 8.88 to 4.07 cents; rye, 21.01 to 17.20 cents; barley, 12.94 to 3.54 cents; Irish potatoes, 5.99 to 2.72 cents.

The reduction of human labor per bushel is as follows for selected pairs of schedules: Corn, from 58.1 to 22.7 minutes; wheat, 183.2 to 10 minutes; oats, 90.6 to 10.8 minutes; rye, 151.2 to 60.4 minutes; barley, 116.2 to 6.8 minutes; Irish potatoes, 29.7 to 10.4 minutes.

Every pair of schedules in the table shows a saving of human labor in time, and all but six pairs show a saving in animal labor in time. This should be remembered in any consideration of the number of farm horses, mules, and oxen, with comparison of dates, and also in similarly considering the number of persons engaged in agriculture.

The cost of the human labor required to produce the unit of product is shown to have been reduced with the substitution of machine for hand labor by all but two pairs of schedules, and the exceptions are due to higher wage rates at the later or machine time. With respect to the cost of animal labor, the reverse is more generally true, although thirteen out of the thirty-three pairs of schedules show a decreased cost. The increase is due to the greater rate of cost of animal labor in the later years.

## CONCLUSION.

It is not the purpose of this paper to predict the future of agriculture in this country. For some years past magazine and newspaper writers have been prophesying that upon complete or nearly complete disposal of the better public land the production of corn and wheat, at least, would be arrested, and, while domestic consumption is absolutely increasing, the exported fraction of these crops would be diminished; but the prophets have not taken into account the possible redistribution of cultivated land among the various crops, nor the conversion of unimproved into cultivated land, nor have they recognized the expanding consumption of commercial fertilizers, especially in the cotton States, and the dissemination of information with regard to technical and scientific agriculture through the efforts of the Department, the boards of agriculture of the various States, and the many experiment stations, all of which agencies are in more or less close touch with millions of the farmers of the country, and whose services can be made available to everyone at the cost of a letter.

The changes that agricultural production, especially the preparation of agricultural products for the market, have undergone within the last half century, and still more within the last quarter century, are remarkable and important. There is a great difference in results between the time when, as ascertained by the United States Department of Labor, 20 minutes of human labor were required to husk a bushel of corn by hand, with the use of a husking peg, and 102 minutes to haul the stalks required to produce a bushel of corn to a barn and cut them into fodder, and the time, as at present, when $17 \frac{1}{2}$ minutes are sufficient to haul the same stalks to a husker and, by the use of a machine operated by steam, to husk the corn and at the same time cut the stalks into fodder; and there was a transition from one agricultural age to another when a man ceased to expend 100 minutes of labor in shelling corn by hand, and employed a steam sheller by
which a bushel of corn is shelled in a mirate and a half. When farmers reaped their wheat with sickles and bound the straw by hand, hauled the sheaves to the barn and thrashed the grain with flails, these operations, applied to 1 bushel of wheat, required the labor of one man for 160 minutes, whereas this work is now done, by the use of a combined reaper and thrasher operated by steam, with 4 minutes of human labor.
Present conditions indicate that a subject of growing importance in agriculture will be the use of fertilizers, both homemade and commercial. There was a time when it was the practice of the cotton planters to crop the soil until it became so unfertile that it was abandoned, whereupon new land was cleared of its forest and the exploitation of soil fertility repeated. But such a practice as this, in the case of nearly all agricultural land, must end in the poorest sort of agriculture, if not in the abandonment of agriculture, and so farmers have resorted, and now are still more resorting, to the use of fertilizers. The use of these, as discovered in a recent investigation by the Division of Statistics, in the cultivation of cotton, presents economic advantages to farmers, and teaches them rather to cultivate well the land that they cultivate at all than to cultivate poorly a larger area.
The foregoing consideration has been sufficient to account on economic grounds for some of the reduction in prices of farm productsproduction increasing faster than population, necessitating the meeting of cheaper foreign agricultural labor in the world market; cheaper transportation; cheaper cost of production due to machines and improved implements; reduced expenses of marketing; the dissemination of information and the multiplying of the means and facilities of transportation, preventing scarcity with respect both to time and place, and thus steadying prices.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not including Alaska and Indian Territory.
    ${ }^{2}$ The geographical divisions of the Eleventh Census are adopted, as follows: North Atlantic-Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania. South Atlantic-Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida. North Central-Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas. South Central-Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, Arkansas. Western-Montana, W yoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, California.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes betterments and additions to stock in 1870.
    ${ }^{2} 1870$ to 1890.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ If by railroad, no wharfage charge.
    ${ }^{2}$ No charge if shipped in ten days.
    ${ }^{3}$ Included in charges for brokerage.
    ${ }^{4}$ Purchaser pays charge for compressing.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For valuable discussions of economic conditions with respect to agriculture, see Wells's Recent Economic Changes.

