

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.



## Zamorano Al servicio de las Américas y del mundo

Luis A. Sandoval M. Ph.D.

Associate Professor | Department of Agribusiness Management Institutional Research Coordinator | Office of the Vicepresident and Academic Dean Panamerican Agricultural School, ZAMORANO. Honduras, Central America

Labor Omnia Vincit www.zamorano.edu 🕨 🖸 f 🎔 in /eapzamorano



### Comparison of visual attention and purchase intention of traffic light and warning disc supplementary nutritional labels in the Zamorano University student population

Luis Sandoval, Francisco Menendez, Juan Garcia Ajche, Brenda Mamani Department of Agribusiness Management

Adriana Hernández Department of Food Science

Panamerican Agricultural School, ZAMORANO

Presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Inter-Conference Symposium, 6to Congreso Regional de Economía Agraria & 1<sup>st</sup> Latin-American Economies".



- 39% of adults and overweight and 13% obese (WHO).
- 360 million adults are overweight or obese in Latin America and the Caribbean (Hruby & Hu, 2015)
- Non-communicable diseases such as coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes.
- Lower quality of life and economic burden.



- Cause: poor quality diet.
  - Excess calories, sodium, sugars, and saturated fats (UNICEF et al., 2021).
- What to do about it?
  - Fiscal policy
  - Non-fiscal policy
- Nutritional labeling
  - Nutritional facts label
  - Supplemental nutritional information labels





To evaluate the factors affecting purchase intention of processed and ultra-processed Food products, including supplementary nutritional information labels, knowledge, habits, use of nutritional information, and <u>visual attention</u> to supplementary dietary information labels.

#### Literature review



- Understanding (nutritionists)
- Post-facto analyses
- Biometrics



- 90 participants from the student population at Zamorano University.
- 10 processed and ultra-process Food products
- Control + 2 treatments
  - No supplementary nutritional information labels
  - Hipotetical Traffic-light label (RTE INEN 022 (2R)) (2014)
  - Hipotetical Warning disc label (NOM-051) (2020)
- Questionnaire
  - Gender, nutritional knowledge, use of labels, healthy habits (consumption and exercise).
- Areas of interest
  - Brand, Price, serving size, expiration date, nutritional information label, ingredients, and supplementary information label.
  - Time to the first fixation, duration of the first fixation, fixation count, revisit count, time of permanence.
- ANOVA and Regression Analysis



ZAMORANO®

- 63% men
- 16 28 years old
- 11 countries
- 78% some health and nutritional education
- 18% healthy habits (eating or exercise healthy habits)
- 56% (said) understand the nutritional facts labels
- 28% (said) use the nutritional facts label when deciding to purchase a food product
- 62% familiar with the traffic light label
- 46% familiar with warning discs
- Preference:
  - 61% Traffic light
  - 33% Warning discs
  - 6% none

<complex-block></complex-block>	DECLARACIÓN NUTRIMENTAL   Contenido energético por envase: 7/2 kcal (3 244 kJ)   Tamaño de Porción: 100 g 25 g (1 pieza)   Porciones por envase: 2 8   Cantidad por Porción: 2 8   Contenido energético: 386 kcal (1 622 kJ) 97 kcal (406 kJ)   Grasas Totales (Lípidos): 14.9 g 3.7 g   Grasas Totales (Lípidos): 14.9 g 3.7 g   Grasas Trans: 139 mg 35 mg   Grasas Totales (Lípidos): 14.9 g 1.7 g   Grasas Polinisaturadas: 2.6 g 0.6 g   Colesterol: 43 mg 11 mg   Sódio: 319 mg 78 mg   Hidratos de carbono disponibles: 59 g 14.8 g   Azúcares: 48.2 g 12.1 g   Azúcares: 49 g 1 g   Rotais de carbono disponibles: 59 g 1.8 g   VNR (125 g) 1.2 g   Calcio 8% 1.7 g   Porcentajes de Valores Nutrimentales de Referencia (%VNR) de acuerdo a la NOM-051-SCFI/SSA1-2010.   Noncentajes de Valores Nutrimentales de Calcio, sobasto poisonado de acondo sobas	ECENTION ADDATES SALURADAS SECRETARIA DE SALUR DECRETARIA DE SALUR DECRETARIA DE SALUR DECRETARIA DE SALUR	DECLARACIÓN NUTRIMENTAL     Contenido energético por envase:   772 kcal   (3 244 kJ)     Tamaño de Porción:   100 g   25 g (1 pieza)     Porciones por envase:   2   8     Cantidad por Porción:   100 g   25 g (1 pieza)     Contenido energético:   386 kcal (1 622 kJ)   97 kcal (406 kJ)     Grasas Totales (Lípidos):   14,9 g   3,7 g     Grasas Totales (Lípidos):   14,9 g   3,7 g     Grasas Tans:   139 mg   35 mg     Grasas Totales (Lípidos):   14,9 g   1,7 g     Grasas Totales (Lípidos):   14,9 g   0,6 g     Colesterol:   43 mg   1 mg     Grasas Poliinsaturadas:   2,6 g   0,6 g     Colesterol:   43 mg   1 mg     Azúcares Añadidos:   48,2 g   12,1 g     Azúcares Añadidos:   48,8 g   1,7 g     Fibra dietética:   1,8 g   0,5 g     Proteínas:   4 g   1 g     % VNR   (25 g)   Calcio     Calcio   8%   Nortomes Actematores, Anadro
	ERRENCE DE LE RECENTION DE LE	DECLARACIÓN NUTRIMENTAL   Contenido energético por envase: 772 kcal (3244 kJ)   Tamaño de Porción: 100 g 25 g (1 pieza)   Porciones por envase: 2 8   Cantidad por Porción:   Contenido energético: 386 kcal (1 622 kJ) 97 kcal (406 kJ)   Grasas Totales (Lípidos): 14,9 g 3,7 g   Grasas Totales (Lípidos): 14,9 g 3,7 g   Grasas Sturadas: 5,6 g 1,4 g   Grasas Monoinsaturadas: 2,6 g 0,6 g   Colesterol: 43 mg 11 mg   Grasas Poliinsaturadas: 59 g 14,8 g   Azúcares: 48,2 g 12,1 g   Azúcares: 48,2 g 12,1 g   Azúcares: 48,2 g 12,1 g   Azúcares: 4 g 1 g   % VNR (25 g) Calcio   Calcio 8% Porcentajes de Valores Nutrimentales de Referencia (% VNR) de acuerdo a la NOM-051-SCFI/SSA1-2010.	

#### **Findings: Visual attention**



• With no supplementary nutritional information label

	TTFF AOI (ms)	First fixation duration (ms)	Revisit count	
Brand	2796.36	174.44	1.47	
Price	5583.9	202.76	1.43	
Nutritional label	6738.59	165.23	1.71	
Ingredients	11565.51	204.42	0.67	
Expiration date	9397.83	251.69	0.52	
Portion size	6969.94	215.15	0.97	



• With warning discs supplementary nutritional information label

	TTFF AOI (ms)	First fixation duration (ms)	Revisit count	
Brand	1743.52	165.77	2.04	
Price	5402.64	222.56	1.07	
Nutritional label	6069.35	173.92	1.84	
Ingredients	12235.21	238.89	1.01	
Expiration date	8321.51	294.63	0.71	
Portion size	8901.7	244.39	0.71	
Front labeling: warning discs	6193.91	182.54	0.98	



• With traffic light supplementary nutritional information label

	TTFF AOI (ms)	First fixation duration (ms)	Revisit count	
Brand	1274.84	197.42	2.22	
Price	4255.63	260.9	1.24	
Nutritional label	6173.29	191.1	1.58	
Ingredients	11243.29	199.59	0.79	
Expiration date	7963.65	257.17	0.62	
Portion size	8374.98	264.41	0.5	
Front labeling: traffic light	4737.58	194.06	1.05	



Measures	Cont	rol	Warning		Traffic light		F	Р	n
	Μ	SD	Μ	SD	Μ	SD			
Chorizo Parrillero	7.75	1.96	6.13	2.43	6.30	2.41	3.56	0.03	0.076
Jamon Virginia	6.80	2.55	6.63	2.40	6.50	2.30	0.12	0.89	0.003
Caribas	7.40	2.13	6.90	2.11	6.43	2.67	1.31	0.28	0.029
Coke	7.70	3.01	7.27	3.02	6.97	3.03	0.45	0.64	0.01
Doritos	6.30	2.60	6.50	2.32	6.55	2.21	0.08	0.92	0.002
Fanta naranja	7.27	2.33	6.30	2.25	7.07	2.12	1.57	0.22	0.035
Maruchan	6.50	2.73	5.60	2.99	5.30	2.86	1.43	0.24	0.032
Pingüinos	6.93	2.36	7.20	2.54	7.30	2.42	0.18	0.84	0.004
Tajadas	6.80	2.40	6.60	2.42	7.43	1.79	1.15	0.32	0.026
Zamodelfia	6.20	2.64	6.00	2.36	5.70	2.55	0.30	0.74	0.007

#### **Results regression analysis**



- Supplementary nutritional information labels do not predict purchase intention.
- For 9 of the 10 food products, gender was not a good predictor of purchase intention.
- Nutritional knowledge was not statistically significant in any of the products.
- In 3 of the 10 food products, familiarity with the supplementary nutritional information label was statistically significant.
- Healthy habits was not statistically significant for any of the food products.





- The presence of supplementary nutritional information labels did not significantly reduce the purchase intention of processed and ultra-processed food products.
- Other variables of interest, such as demographics and physical activity, are not good predictors of purchase intention of processed and ultra-processed food products.
- Participants did notice the supplementary nutritional information labels, but not before price and brand.
- Participants revisited more the traffic-light nutritional label than the warning discs.



Zamorano Al servicio de las Américas y del mundo

# Thank you! Questions?

www.zamorano.edu

Luis A. Sandoval M., Ph.D. Isandoval@zamorano.edu

Labor Omnia Vincit www.zamorano.edu 🕨 🖸 🖬 /eapzamorano