

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

### Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

# DELINQUENT FARM LOANS AT INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS, DELINQUENT LOANS AT-RURAL BANKS,

AND

LOAN-LOSS, PROFIT, AND CAPITAL RATIOS AT AGRICULTURAL BANKS

Preliminary Estimates for 1983

Data for U.S. and States

WAITE MEMORIAL BOOK COLLECTION
DEPT. OF AGRIC. AND APPLIED ECONOMICS

Presented to the

National Agricultural Credit Committee Washington, D.C.

March 19, 1984

Emanuel Melichar

Senior Economist

Division of Research and Statistics

Board Of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

Washington, D.C. 20551

## DELINQUENT FARM LOANS AT INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS

On December 31, 1982, and quarterly thereafter, insured commercial banks have reported the outstanding amounts of farm and other delinquent loans. Every bank was required to report the total amount of loans and lease financing receivables in each of four delinquent categories. Not all banks, however, were required to report separately the amounts of farm, business, and other types of loans in each of the four delinquent categories; in addition, not all banks were required to report farm loans separately in any of the four categories. Thus great care must be used in citing these data and in drawing comparisons, not only among types of loans and groups of banks, but also among regional and other groupings that may be correlated with the reporting requirements.

This report presents final data from the first four quarterly reports and also estimates from reports submitted as of December 31, 1983. The latter are based on reports from nearly all banks which have been reviewed for obvious errors such as those introduced in key-entry of data. However, because not all reports are yet available and other errors will be found and corrected during further processing, final data may differ from these estimates.

Data for December 1983 are particularly useful because they provide the first year-over-year comparison, and thus the first definitive indication of ongoing trends. Because these data were not collected in earlier years, it is difficult to know whether the quarterly changes observed during 1983 reflect seasonal patterns or other developments; a definitive indication of normal seasonal patterns must await the accumulation of data covering several more years. Also, lack of comparable data for earlier years severely constrains analyses of the data now available. It is difficult to assess the relative problem posed by current levels of delinquent loans without information on levels experienced during earlier periods of farm and business prosperity and recession.

Past due loans. Only banks at which farm production loans (loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers not secured by real estate) exceeded 1 percent of total loans were required to report the amount of past due farm production loans that were still accruing interest. Nationally, such banks accounted for 96 percent of total farm production loans at all banks (Table 2). On December 31, 1983, 2.5 percent of the outstanding amount of farm production loans at the reporting banks was past due 30 through 89 days, and 1.2 percent was past due 90 days or more (Table 1, first panel). These percentages may be compared with data for business and total loans at the same banks (Table 1, second and third panels).

All banks were required to report past due business loans and total loans that were accruing interest (last two panels of Table 1). These data are highly

Table 1

	Past due	Pas	t due			
Date	30 to 89 days and still accruing	and	days more still ruing	Nonaccrual	Renegotiated "troubled" debt	Total
	BANKS AT W	HICH	FARM P	RODUCTION L F TOTAL LOA	OANS EXCEED 1 NS	PERCENT
			Farm	production	loans	
				Mainly 1	arge banks	
December 31, 1982	2.6		1.2	3.5	. 5	na
March 31, 1983	2.8		1.8	4.6	. 4	na
	1.3		1.3	4.2	- 4	na
June 30, 1983	1.4		1.2	4.7	. 2	na
September 30, 1983			1.2	5.3	.3	na
December 31, 1983	2.5		1.2	3.3		
		_				
		Cof	nmerc1a	i and indus	trial loans	
				•	arge banks	
December 31, 1982	3.1		1.4	3.6		na
March 31, 1983	2.9	-	1.5	4.2	. 9	na
June 30, 1983	2.5		1.2	4.5	- 9	na
September 30, 1983	2.6		1.5	4.6	. 8	na
December 31, 1983	2.6	-	1.2	4.3	- 4	na
			180	17		
		00	-	Total loan	<u> •</u>	
		100		10001	134	
Dba- 71 1997	2.8	7	1.3	1.9	. 4	6.4
December 31, 1982	2.7		1.5	2.2	.3	6.7
March 31, 1983			1.3	2.2	. 4	6.1
June 30, 1983	2.3			2	3	6.1
September 30, 1983	2.3		1.3	2.2		_
December 31, 1983	2.6	-	1.1	2.2	- 2	6.1
				ALL BANKS	5	
		Co	mmercia	al and indus	strial loans	
				Mainly 1	large banks	
December 31, 1982	2.3		1 : 1	3.2	.5	na
March 31, 1983	2.3		1.1	3.8	.5	na
June 30, 1983	2.0		1,1	4.1	.5	na
	2.0		1.2	4.3	.5	na
September 30, 1983 December 31, 1983	2.1		1.0	3.9	.3	na
8				Total load	ns‡	
32					_ >(	
December 31, 1982	2.3		1.0	1.9	· 4	5.7
	2.3		1.1	2.2	. 4	6.0
March 31. 1983						
March 31, 1983			1.0	2.2	- 4	5.5
March 31, 1983 June 30, 1983 September 30, 1983	1.9		1.0	2.2 2.2	. 4 . 3	5.5 5.5

Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 2

Comparative number and farm loan volume of banks reporting delinquent farm production loans

September 30, 1983

States and areas	Nu	umber of b	anks		productions of	on loans dollars)
in which farm		At whi	ch farm		At han	ks at which
production loans			ion loans			production
at banks generally	! Total		1 percent	Total		ceed 1 per-
exceed \$25 million		of tota	l loans	1		total loans
		Total	lainly large banks		Total	Mainly large banks
	·			'		
United States	14,426	9,060	1,348	39,103	37,444	13,640
New England	314	29	10	110	<b>6</b> 3	36
Alabama	282	206	17	269	254	59
Arizona	35	10	-6	440	439	438
Arkansas	258	213	18	519	514	87
California	396	58	22	4,195		
Colorado	396				4,133	4,051
	370	188	58	888	881	401
Delaware	26	6	3	28	22	21
Florida	438	97	24	202	145	79
Georgia	398	270	87	335	312	117
Idaho	27	22	7	579	579	463
Illinois	1,248	789	132	2,602	2,407	611
Indiana	392	319	45	1,098	1 041	274
Iowa	637	623	55		1,061	
Kansas	622	563		3,879	3,877	542
Ventueles			26	2,270	2,269	185
Kentucky	336	264	27	667	659	200
Louisiana	286	138	14	378	365	89
Maryland	90	32	10	73	41	19
Michigan	373	185	40	544	489	211
Minnesota	755	587	31	2.366	2,322	275
Mississippi	164	134	20	472	466	178
Missouri	735	528	133	1,523	1,501	508
Montana	167	145	20	/ OF		
Nebraska	464	445	20	685	683	167
New Mexico	92	51	18	3,044	3,042	443
New York	199		10	219	215	112
North Carolina	71	82 45	22 25	785 334	259 301	171 282
North Dakota	174	171				
Obio	176	171	15	976	975	146
Ohio	345	221	40	618	592	262
Oklahoma	523	399	31	1,254	1,239	282
Oregon	83	46	39	359	358	351
Pennsylvania	334	118	37	346	2 <b>9</b> 2	212
South Carolina	71	47	. 6	86	67	33
South Dakota	146	144	11	1,333	1,333	555
Tennessee	336	245	97	395	365	176
Texas	1,700	890	102	2,682	2,494	697
Utah	60	30	6	103	93	64
Virginia	197	98	1.6	244	504	4.47
Washington			16	244	206	113
	100	54	8	702	697	559
West Virginia	235	48	14	30	27	8
Wisconsin	612	428	38	1,143	1,118	116
Wyoming	111	79	5	279	277	37

Only banks at which farm production loans exceeded 1 percent of total loans were required to report delinquent farm production loans. Of this group, only banks with total assets of \$100 million or more (as well as banks with foreign branches, foreign subsidiaries, or Edge Act subsidiaries) were required to report nonaccrual or renegotiated farm production loans. However, some smaller banks also reported such loans; therefore, the banks providing such data are herein referred to as "mainly large banks."

Table 3

Past due, nonaccrual, and renegotiated farm production loans as a percentage of total farm production loans

Insured commercial banks at which farm production loans exceed 1 percent of total loans

December 31, 1983

States and areas	Past due 30 to 89	Past due 90 days	Mainly la	arge banks	Addendum: Percentage of farm produc-
production loans	days and	or more		Popposition and	
		and still	N=====================================	Renegotiated	tion loans at
			Nonaccrual	"troubled"	"mainly large
exceed \$25 million	accruing	accruing		debt 	banks"
United States	2.5	1.2	5.3	<b>3</b>	35
	1.7	4	7		70
New England		. 6	.3	., O	<b>32</b> ,
Alabama	3.5	.8	7.4	1.2	22
Arizona	1.2	1.2	10.8	. 0	99
Arkansas	3.1	1.1	3.7	. 4	17
California	6.7	2.3	9.8	. 3	<del>9</del> 7
Colorado	2.0	1.9	4.0	- 4	45
Delaware	<b>.</b> O	.3	.0	- O	74
Florida	7.6	- 6	2.2	1.1	39
Georgia	4.5	1.7	1.2	. 4	35
Idaho	1.7	.7	2.1	- 1	80
Illinois	1.1	. 8	1.6	. 9	23
Indiana	1.8	1.3	3.2	.4	25
Iowa	1.7	. 9	3.6	.1	14
Kansas	1.6	1.0	3.4	.2	B
Kentucky	1.7	1.2	2.0	.0	30
Louisiana	4.9	1.6	1.2	4.3	23
Maryland	1.7	.6	. 6	.0	26
Michigan	1.7	1.4	2.1	.5	39
Minnesota	2.3	1.2	4.9	. 6	12
Mississippi	2.7	1.1	2.3	.0	38
Missouri	2.2	1.0	2.5	.0	33
Montana	4.3	1.2	3.6	.0	24
Nebraska	1.5		4.1	.2	15
New Mexico	2.5	-4	4.3	.0	51
New York	∠.5 .9			151-	22
North Carolina	10.2	. 7 . 9	1.7 .6	.0	22 85
North Dakota	4.2	1.3	5.1	<b>√-1</b>	15
Ohio	1.6				
		1.0	6.8	- 9	42
Oklahoma	1.3	- 9	1.3	- 4	23
Oregon Pennsylvania	4.0 3.3	2-1 -8	3.5 6.1	- 1 - 9	98 61
=					
South Carolina	3.3	-6	4.9	<b>.</b> 0	38
South Dakota	1.9	. 7	5.4	.2	42
Tennessee	3.7	1.5	1.8	.6	45
Texas	1.2	. 7	2.5	. 1	26
Utah	4.6	1.3	3.1	.0	43
Virginia	1.7	. 4	. 9	.2	46
Washington	2.1	1.1	2.9	.0	80
West Virginia	1.2	2. i	.0	.0	26
Wisconsin	-2.1	1.8	2.2	-0	10

Table 4

Farm production loans past due 30 through 89 days as a percentage of total farm production loans

Insured commercial banks at which farm production loans exceed 1 percent of total loans

States and areas in which farm production loans at banks generally exceed \$25 million	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
United States	2.6	2.8	1.3	1.4	2.5
United States	2.0	2.0			7.0
New England	9.5	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.7
Alabama	5.2	2.0	1.6	1.7	3.5
Arizona	4.4	12.7	3.0	2.2	1.2
Arkansas	4.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.1
California	6.0	6.3	2.2	3.1	6.7
Colorado	1.9	3.1	1.2	1.5	2.0
Delaware	- 1	.8	. 1	.6	.0
Florida	1.9	1.9	1.4	2.0	7.6
Georgia	4.5	2.7	1.8	2.1	4.5
Idaho	2.6	3.6	2.0	.7	1.7
Illinois	1.2	1.9	1.0	.8	1.1
Indiana	1.5	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.8
Iowa	1.5	2.2	1.1	1.0	1.7
Kansas	1.1	1.0	- 9	1.5	1.6
Kentucky	1.6	1.7	- 9	1.0	1.7
Louisiana	7.2	4.1	1.3	.8	4.7
Maryland	2.3	2.2	1.0	1.2	1.7
Michigan	1.5	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.7
Minnesota	2.3	2.4	1.1	1.4	2.3
Mississippi	3.7	2.9	1.7	1.5	2.7
Missouri	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.7	2.2
	4.3	2.3	2.1	1.0	4.3
Montana	1.4	2.0	- 9	9	1.5
Nebraska	3.2	2.0	1.9	. ģ	2.5
New Mexico		1.6	1.7	1.2	.9
New York	.5	1.1		1.2	10.2
North Carolina	2.6	1-1	-6	1.2	10.2
North Dakota	3.9	3.8	1.1	1.0	4.2
Ohio	1.7	2.8	1.4	1.1	1.6
Oklahoma	1.2	1.3	2.3	2.1	1.3
Oregon	8.5	5.9	1.2	1.8	4.0
Pennsylvania	3.3	5.0	1.9	2.4	3.3
South Carolina	3.7	1.5	.7	. 9	3.3
South Dakota	2.3	1.9	1.2	. 9	1.9
Tennessee	3.4	2.0	1.9	1.8	3.7
Texas	2.0	2.3	. 9	1.1	1.2
Utah	3.8	2.8	2.4	2.5	4.6
Virgin <mark>ia</mark>	1.3	1.6	1 . 4	1.0	1.7
Washington	4.3	3.0	- 9	1.1	2.1
West Virginia	1.4	3.3	1.6	3.3	1.2
Wisconsin	1.9	2.4	1.2	1.5	2.1
Wyoming	4.0	1.5	. 8	1.1	1.1

Table 5

Farm production loans past due 90 days or more as a percentage of total farm production loans

Insured commercial banks at which farm production loans exceed 1 percent of total loans

at banks generally   exceed \$25 million	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/8
·				دسدها تلا وجودتان	
United States	1.2	- 1.8	1.3	1.2	1.2
New England	1.0	-6	1.6	.5	.6
Alabama	1.9	2.4	1.1	1.2	.8
Arizona	.2	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.2
Arkansas	1.0	2.7	1.6	1.3	1.1
California	2.9	4.4	2.4	1.8	2.3
Colorado	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.9
Delaware	1.8	1.B	1.1	.3	.3
Florida	1.8	1.7	.7	1.0	- 6
Georgia	1.6	2.5	2.0	2.1	1.7
Idaho	1.2	1.8	1.1	.8	.7
Illinois	. 9	1.2	1.1	.9	.8
	1.2	1.7	1.3	1.4	1.3
Indiana	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.0	.9
Iowa	.7	.9	. 8	1.1	1.0
Kansas		1.1	. 9		1.2
Kentucky	1.5		2.7	1.7	1.6
Louisiana	1 . 4	4.4	2.7	1.7	1.0
Maryland	.6	.8	-8	.6	1.4
Michigan	1.1	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.2
Minnesota	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.4	
Mississippi	1.6	3.2	2.1	1.4	1.1
Missouri	1.3	1.4	- 9	1.1	1.0
Montana	1.1	2.4	1.8	1.7	1.2
Nebraska	.5	1.0	. 9	.9	-8
New Mexico	-6	1.7	1.3	2.4	- 4
New York	.5	1.0	.8	1.2	- 7
North Carolina	.8	- 6	- 4	.3	- 9
North Dakota	1.1	2.5	1.4	1.2	1.3
Ohio	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.0
Oklahoma	.7	.8	.7	. 9	.9
	3.4	5.2	3.6	2.9	2.1
Oregon Pennsylvania	2.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	.8
Court	.8	.8	.5	-7	-6
South Carolina	.9	1.2	ិន	. B	- 7
South Dakota	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.4	1.5
Tennessee		1.4	1.7	7.7	.7
Texas	1.0	3.1	1.5	1.7	1.3
Utah	2.2	2.1	1.3	***	
Virginia	.7	1.1	- 6	.5	. 4
Washington	1.4	2.6	1.4	1.2	1:1
West Virginia	. 4	1.1	- 9	1.4	2. 1
Wisconsin	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.0	· 1.8
Wyoming	1.1	2.6	1.4	1.3	1.1

Table 6

Nonaccrual farm production loans as a percentage of total farm production loans

Mainly large insured commercial banks at which farm production loans exceed 1 percent of total loans

in which farm production loans at banks generally exceed \$25 million	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
United States	3.5	4.6	4.2	4.7	5.3
New England	11.1	.4	.2	.3	.3
Alabama	6.3	7.1	4.9	6.6	7.4
Arizona	6.2	7.2	7.4	6.9	10.8
Arkansas	1.2	1.0	2.8	2.9	3.7
California	5.6	7.9	6.9	8.4	9.B
Colorado	2.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	4.0
		0.0	2.0	3.0	4.0
Delaware	.0	.0		^	
Florida	2.0	2.5	2.5	-0	.0
Georgia	.8	.8	1.0	2.2	2.2
Idaho	1.3	2.1	2.0	1.2	1.2
Illinois				1.4	2.1
111111015	- 9	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.6
Indiana	1.8	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.2
Iowa	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.5	3.6
Kansas	. 4	1.0	1.4	1.5	3.4
Kentucky	.3	.5	.7	1.3	
Louisiana	.3	.9	.6		2.0
		• 7	. 0	. 9	1.2
Maryland	.0	1.0	.7	-3	-6
Michigan	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.2	2.1
Minnesota	6.5	4.9	4.4	4.0	4.9
Mississippi	2.6	4.0	3.8	3.2	2.3
Missouri	1.3	1.8	3.2	3.5	2.5
Montana	1.0		4 0		
Nebraska		1.6	1.8	1.6	3.6
New Mexico	1.6	3.1	3.0	2.7	4.1
	3.9	6.8	6.7	4.3	4.3
New York	. 4	-8	1.0	.8	1.7
North Carolina	.8	1.2	- 6	1.2	. 6
North Dakota	3.9	5.2	6.6	6.5	5.1
Ohio	B.0	8.0	7.1	6.7	6.8
Oklahoma	1.1	1.7	2.2	1.9	1.3
Oregon	3.2	3.9	3.1	3.1	3.5
Pennsylvania	3.4	4.8	8.0	6.6	6.1
South Carolina	1.0	3.7	4.2	4.6	4.9
South Dakota	3.6	3.9	4.4		
Tennessee	1.3			4.7	5.4
Texas		.8	1.0	2.2	1.8
	3.0	3.3	2.7	3.4	2.5
Utah	12.4	14.8	3.1	3.1	3.1
Virginia	.0	.3	.3	.3	. 9
Washington	3.3	4.6	2.6	2.9	2.9
West Virginia	.0	.0	.0	.5	.0
Wisconsin	.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	2.2
MT 3COU3TU					

comparable with the data on farm loans, because the banks required to report past due farm loans accounted for most farm lending.

Nonaccrual loans. Of the banks at which farm production loans exceeded 1 percent of total loans, only banks with total assets of \$100 million or more were required to report the amounts of farm and business loans in nonaccrual status as well as the amounts of "troubled" loans that had been renegotiated. However, some smaller banks elected to report these data as well; therefore, the group that reported these items is herein called "mainly large banks." These banks accounted for only 35 percent of total farm production loans at all banks, of which a disproportionate amount—nearly one—third—was in California (Table 2). Therefore, relative amounts of nonaccrual and renegotiated farm loans at the "mainly large banks" are not representative of experience with farm loans at banks in general.

That caution stems from the maldistribution of the banks required to report nonaccrual and renegotiated farm loans and is applicable regardless of the data reported. At this time, however, it needs special emphasis because banks in California reported an unusually high proportion--9.8 percent--of farm production loans in nonaccrual status (Table 3). With California included, 5.3 percent of farm production loans at the "mainly large banks" was in nonaccrual status on December 31, 1983. Excluding California, the proportion was 3.4 percent.

The same caution applies when citing the proportions of nonaccrual and renegotiated farm loans in particular states. The last column of Table 3 provides a guide which indicates that in only a few states--Arizona, California, Idaho, North Carolina, Oregon, and Washington--do the "mainly large banks" account for most of farm lending. In other states, the farm lending experience reported by these banks may or may not be indicative of average experience at all banks in the state.

#### DELINQUENT LOANS AT RURAL BANKS

All banks were required to report their total amounts of each of the four categories of delinqent loans, as summarized in the last panel of Table 1. To observe how the experience of rural banks differed from those of other banks, as well as to provide indications of the probable impact of farm lending on such experience, Tables 8 through 14 present data for banks grouped into 18 classes according to the relative importance of farm loans (including farm mortgage loans) in their loan portfolio. To aid in assessing these data, Table 7 shows the number and average size of the banks in each class, and also the amount and relative importance of their farm and total loans.

Table 7

Comparative number, size, and farm and total loan volume of banks in classes for which data on total delinquent loans are shown in Tables 8 through 14

Insured commercial banks September 30, 1983

		Average	Billio	ns of d	lollars	Percent	tage of	total
Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	Number of banks	assets, millions of dollars	Farm production loans	Total farm loans	Total loans#	Farm production loans	Total farm loans	Total loans
All banks	14.426	134	39.1	48.2 1	.085.6	100.0	100.0	100-0
na banassississississississississississississi	1 1, 120	101	0.11	10.2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10010	100.0
Total assets:								
	425	0.040	9-1	10.7	703.7	23.4	22.2	64.8
\$500 million or more		_,		37.5	381.8	76.6	77.8	35.2
Less than \$500 million	14,001	52	30.0	37.5	381.8	70.0	//.8	35.∠
Banks with total assets								
less than \$500 million—								
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank:								
(2) 2) 15								
Under 1	4,181	76	- 1	.3	170.2	. 4	6	15.7
1 to 4	2,000	67	1.0	1.7	70.1	2.5	3.5	6.5
5 to 9	1,261	54	1.7	2.6	35.7	4.4	5.4	3.3
10 to 14	922	44	1.8	2.6	21.0	4.6	5.3	1.9
15 to 19	821	41	2.2	3.0	17.3	5.6	6.2	1.6
20 to 24	631	37	2.0	2.7	12.0	5.2	5.6	1.1
25 to 29	595	35	2.4	3.0	10.9	6.0	6.3	1.0
30 to 34	536	31	2.2	2.8	8.7	5.5	5.9	.8
35 to 39	491	29	2.2	2.7	7.2	5.6	5.6	.7
40 to 44	430	26	2.1	2.5	5.8	5.4	5.1	.5
45 to 49	384	25	1.9	2.3	4.8	4.9	4.7	. 4
50 to 54	347	23	1.9	2.2	4.1	4.8	4.5	.4
55 to 59	. 318	21	1.8	2.0	3.5	4.6	4.2	.3
60 to 64	318		1.9	2.1	3.4	4.9	4.4	. 3
65 to 69	267	18	1.6	1.7	2.6	4.1	3.6	. 2
70 to 74	202	18	1.3	1-4	1.9	3.4	2.9	.2
75 to 79	149	16	- 9	1.0	1.3	2.4	2.1	- 1
80 and over	148	15	. 9	. 9	1.1	2.3	1.9	- 1

<sup>\*</sup> Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 8

Past due, nonaccrual, and renegotiated loans as a percentage of total loans\*

Insured commercial banks December 31, 1983

	Past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing	Past due 90 days or more and still accruing	Nonaccrual	Renegotiated "troubled" debt	Total
All banks	2.1	a .9	2.0	. 2	5.3
	125	0.	<u>.</u>	17 9	
Total assets:					020
\$500 million or more	2.1	<b>7</b>	2.5	. 3	5.5
Less than \$500 million		1.2	1.1	.2	4.8
	17				
Parks with Askal seeds					
Banks with total assets less than \$500 million					-
Tess Chan #500 militon					
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank:					
Under 1	2.1	1.1	1.1	. 2	4.5
1 to 4		1.1	1.1	.2	4.6
5 to 9		1.3	1.1	.2	5.0
10 to 14	2.5	1.3	1,1	.2	5.1
15 to 19		1.5	1.2	.2	5.5
20 to 24	2.6	1.6	1.1	-2	5.5
25 to 29	2.5	1-6	1.1	.2	5.4
30 to 34		1.7	1.1	-1	5.4
35 to 39		1.7	1.2	.3	5.5
33 (0 3/111111111111111111111111111111111111	×			<u></u>	
40 to 44	2.4	1.7	1.5	.2	5.8
45 to 49		1.4	1.2	. 1	5.0
50 to 54		1.6	1.8	- 2	5.7
		4 =			
55 to 59		1.5	1.1	: <b>-1</b>	4.6 4.9
60 to 64		1.3 1.4	1.4	-1 -1	4.7
65 to 69	2.0	14	1.1	1.50	7.0
70 to 74	1-8	1.2	191	. 2	4.3
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1.4	1.4	• <b>1</b>	4.6
75 to 79					

Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 9

Total past due, nonaccrual, and renegotiated loans as a percentage of total loans\*

Insured commercial banks

Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	5.7	6.0	5.5	5.5	5.3
Total assets:			14.2		'a
\$500 million or more	5.9	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.5
Less than \$500 million	5.2	5.3	4.8	4.8	4.8
Ranks with total assets				- 100	
less than \$500 million					
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank:					
Under 1	5.4	5.3	4.7	4.7	4.5
1 to 4	4.9	5.1	4.8	4.8	4.6
5 to 9	5.1	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.0
10 to 14	5.4	5.6	5.2	5.0	5.1
15 to 19	5.4	5.6	5.2	5.1	5.5
20 to 24	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.2	5.5
25 to 29	5.0	5.5	4.9	5.5	5.4
30 to 34	5.2	5.5	5.1	5.2	5.4
35 to 39	5.1	5.9	4.9	4.9	5.5
40 to 44	5.0	5.8	4.9	5.1	5.8
45 to 49	4.8	5.4	5.5	5.0	5.0
50 to 54	4.7	5.4	4.5	5.1	5.7
55 to 59	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.5	4.6
60 to 64	3.8	4.6	3.5	4.3	4.9
65 to 69	4.2	4.5	3.9	4.1	4.6
70 to 74	3.4	4.4	3.6	4.7	4.3
75 to 79	3.3	3.7	3.2	_ 3.6	4.6
80 and over	2.4	3.1	2.7	3.1	3.6

<sup>\*</sup> Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 10

Total loans past due 30 days or more as a percentage of total loans

Insured commercial banks

Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	3.4	3.4	2.9	2.9	3.0
Total assets:		*			
\$500 million or more	3.0	3.1	2.6	2.7	2.8
Less than \$500 million	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.4
Banks with total assets less than \$500 million					
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank:					
Under 1	4.0	3.8	3.4	3.3	3.1
1 to 4	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.3
5 to 9	4.1	4.1	3.B	3.6	3.7
10 to 14	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.6	3.8
15 to 19	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.7	4.1
20 to 24	4.6	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.2
25 to 29	4.2	4.4	3.8	4.1	4.0
30 to 34	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.2
35 to 39	4.1	4.5	3.5	3.5	4.0
40 to 44	4.1	4.5	3.5	3.6	4.1
45 to 49	3.9	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.7
50 to 54	3.7	4.3	3.3	3.7	3.7
55 to 59	3.4	3.9	3.1	3.3	3.4
60 to 64	3.2	3.9	2.7	3.1	3.4
65 to 69	3.4	3.5	2.8	3.0	3.4
70 to 74	2.8	3.7	2.6	3.5	3.0
75 to 79	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.5	3.1
80 and over	2.1	2.7	2.0	2.4	2.7

Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 11

Total loans past due 30 through 89 days as a percentage of total loans:

Insured commercial banks

Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	2.3	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.1
Total assets:			2		
\$500 million or more	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.8	2.1
Less than \$500 million	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.2
Banks with total assets 🦠					
less than \$500 million					
Farm loans as percentage					
of total loans at bank:					
Under 1	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.1
1 to 4	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.2
5 to 9	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.2	2.4
10 to 14	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.2	2.5
15 to 19	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.6
20 to 24	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.6
25 to 29	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5
30 to 34	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.5
35 to 39	2.4	2.6	1.9	1.9	2.3
40 to 44	2.4	2.5	1.8	1.8	2.4
45 to 49	2.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	2.2
50 to 54	2.2	2.4	1.6	1.8	2.2
55 to 59	2.0	2.2	1.6	1.7	1.9
60 to 64	1.9	2.2	1.4	1.5	2.0
65 to 69	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.6	2.0
70 to 74	1.7	2.1	1.2	1.9	1.8
75 to 79	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.4	1.7
80 and over	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.2	1.7

Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 12

Total loans past due 90 days or more as a percentage of total loans:

Insured commercial banks

Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/B3	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	. 9
Total assets:					
\$500 million or more	.8	- 9	. B	9	7
Less than \$500 million	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2
Banks with total assets less than \$500 million					
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank:					
Under 1	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
1 to 4	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
5 to 9	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3
10 to 14	1-6	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.3
15 to 19	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5
20 to 24	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6
25 to 29	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.9	1.6
30 to 34	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7
35 to 39	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.7
40 to 44	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7
45 to 49	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.4
50 to 54	1.5	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.6
55 to 59	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5
60 to 64	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.3
65 to 69	1.4	1-6	1.4	1.3	1.4
70 to 74	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.2
75 to 79	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.4
80 and over	.8	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.0

<sup>#</sup> Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 13

Total nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans:

Insured commercial banks

Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.0
Total assets:					
\$500 million or more Less than \$500 million	2.4 1.0	2.8	2.8	2.7 1.2	2.5 1.1
Banks with total assets less than \$500 million					
Farm loans as percentage of total loans at oank:			0		
Under 1	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
1 to 4 5 to 9	1.0	.9	1.0	1.1	1.1
10 to 14	. 9	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1
15 to 19 20 to 24	. 9 . 8	1.2 1.0	1.2 1.1	1.2	1.1
25 to 29	.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1
30 to 34	.8	1.1 1.2	1.0	1.2	1.1
40 to 44	.8	1.1	1.2.	1.2	1.5
45 to 49 50 to 54	-8	.9	1.2	1.2	1.2 1.8
55 to 59	.5	.8	. 8	1.1	1.1
60 to 64		.7 .9	.7 .9	1.1	1.4
70 to 74	. 5	.6	- 9	1.0	1.1
75 to 79 80 and over	-4	.3	.8	1.0	1.4

<sup>#</sup> Includes lease financing receivables.

Table 14

Total renegotiated "troubled" debt as a percentage of total loans\*

Insured commercial banks

	<del></del>				
Banks by size and by farm loan ratio	12/31/82	3/31/83	6/30/83	9/30/83	12/31/83
All banks	4	. 4	. 4	.3	.2
Total assets:	_	s	_	<b>-</b>	.3
\$500 million or more Less than \$500 million.		.5	.5 .2	. 2	.2
Banks with total assets					
less than \$500 million		3.40			
Farm loans as percentagon of total loans at bank:	e				
Under 1	2	.2	.2	. 2	.2
1 to 4		. 2	. 2	. 2	.2
5 to 9	2	.2	.2	<b>2</b>	.2
= 10 to 14	1	.2	.3	. 2	.2
15 to 19		<b>1</b>	.2	. 2	.2
20 to 24	1	. 1	. 2	.1	.2
25 to 29	2	.1 ==	.2	.2	.2
30 to 34		. 2	5 .2	.3	. 1
35 to 39		.2	.3	.3	.3
	1/	187	3	_	-
40 to 44		.3	-2	-3	.2
45 to 49		2 1	.2	:e:1	.1
50 to 54	2	.2	- 1	. 1	• 2
55 to 59	1	<b>= 1</b>	. 1	:#: <b>1</b>	.1
60 to 64	1	. 1	- 1	. 1	-1
65 to 69	.2	- 1	. 2	. 1	. 1
70 to 74	1	. 1	. 1	. 1	.2
75 to 79	1.51.51	. 1	. 1	. 1	. 1
80 and over		. 1	. 1	. 1	. 1

<sup>#</sup> Includes lease financing receivables.

In general, the percentage of total loans delinquent at the smaller banks did not differ greatly with the degree to which the banks were involved in farm lending, and was only slightly lower at small than at large banks (Tables 8 and 9). Large banks had relatively more loans in nonaccrual status, but smaller banks had relatively more loans that were past due but still accruing interest (Tables 8 and 10-14).

### LOAN-LOSS, PROFIT, AND CAPITAL RATIOS AT AGRICULTURAL BANKS

As already noted, analysis of the information on delinquent loans is hampered by lack of historical reference. In contrast, closely related data on the loan losses, profits, and capital of individual banks is available back to 1960, which permits the current situation of agricultural banks to be compared

(continued on page 28)

Table 15

Percentage distribution of agricultural banks by return to equity and provision for possible loan losses, 1983

Provision for		Net inco	e as perce	ntage of av	erage equity	at bank	
percentage of l loans at bank	Negative	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 and over
Under 0.10	.2	a: .7	3.2	7.7	6.3	2.3	.7
0.10 to 0.39	.1	.7	2.8	8.1	6.8	1.8	
0.40 to 0.69	.1	.7	2.7	6.B	4.4	1.3	.3
0.70 to 0.99	.2	.4	2.4	5.0	2.9	.7	2
1.00 to 2.49	1.2	2.74	5.8	7.0	3.2	.7	.3
2.50 and over	4.6	1.7	1.6	.8	.2	.0	0

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Rate of return to equity is net income after taxes as a percentage of the average of equity at the beginning and end of 1983. Relative provision for possible loan losses is the provision for possible loan losses made in 1983 as a percentage of total loans outstanding on December 31.

Table 16

Loan loss rate at agricultural and other smaller banks
(percent)

(Banks with total assets under \$500 million)

Farm loans as i percentage of i total loans at bank	1970	1971	1972	1 <b>9</b> 73	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Under 1	.4	.3	.2	.3	.4	.6	.6	.4	.3	.3	.4	.4	.ċ	.6
1 to 4	.3	.3	. 2	.2	.3	.4	.4	.3	.3	3.	.4	.4	.6	.8
5 to 9	3	2	.2	.2	.3	.4	.3	.3	.3	.2	4	.4	.6	.7
10 to 14	.3	.3	.2	.2	.3	.3	.3	.2	.3	.3	.4	.4	.7	.8
15 to 19	. 2	.2	.2	.2	.3	.3	:3	.2	.2	.2	.3	.4	.6	.9
20 to 24	.2	.3	.2	.2	.3	.3	.2	.2	.2	.3	.3	.4	7	.9
25 to 29	.3	.2	.2	.2	.3	.3	.3	.2	.2	.2	.3	.4	.6	.9
30 to 34	.2	.2	::*:2	.2	.3	.2	.3	.2	. 2	.2	.4	.5	.6	1.0
35 to 39	.2	.3	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.3	.4	.8	1.0
10 to 44	.3	.2	.,1	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	. 2	.2	.3	.4	.6	.9
15 to 49	.3-	.2	. 2	.1	.3	.2	. 2	.2	.2	.1	.3	.3	.8	. 9
50 to 54	.3	.3	.1.	.1	.2	.2	.2	.2	. 2	.2	.4	.4	.8	1.1
55 to 59	.2	.2	-1	1	.2	.2	2	.2	.1	.1	.3	.5	6	1.1
60 to 64	.2	.2	<u>,1</u>	.1	.2	.1	· .2	.2	. 2	.1	.3	, 4	.7	.7
65 to 69	.3	. 2	.1	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.1	.2	⊛ <del>1</del>	.7	1.0
70 to 74	.2	.1	· 1	.0	. 2	.1	.1	.2	.1	.1	.3	.4	.5	1.0
75 to 79	.1	.2	.1	.1	.2	.2	.2	.2	.1	.1	.2	.3	.9	.5
80 and over	. 2	.2	. 1	.1	2	-1	.2	.2	. 2	.1	.3	.4	.7	.9
All banks	.3	.3	2	. 2	.4	,4	.4	.3	.3	.3	.4	.4	.6	
Under 25	.3	.3	.2	. 2	4	.5	.4	3	3	. <u>.</u> .3	.4	4	.6	ž
25 and over	-2	.2	*1	. 2	.2	.2	. 2	.2	. 2	. 2	.3	. 4	.7	3
Addendus: Annu	al prov	ision (	for poss	ible lo	an loss	ies as i	percei	ntage of	f total	loans (	outstan	ding on	Decemb	er 31
All banks	.3	.3	.2	.3	.4	.5	.5	.4	.4	.4	.5	.5		•
Under 25	.3	.3	2	.3	.4	.5	5	4	*4	,4	.5	,5	.7	
25 and over	• 3	. 2	. 2	. 2	¥3	· 2	3	.3	· 3	3	.4	.5	.8	ı.

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million, classified according to the ratio of total farm loans to total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Loan loss rate is losses charged to allowance for possible loan losses, less recoveries credited to allowance, as a percentage of total loans outstanding on December 31.

Table 17

Rate of return to equity at agricultural and other smaller banks (percent)

(Banks with total assets under \$500 million)

Farm loans as percentage of total loans at bank	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Under 1	13	12	12	12	11	10	10	11	12	14	14	13	12	12
i to 4	13	13	13	13	12	12	12	13	14	14	14	12	12	11
5 to 9	13	13	13	14 -	13 =	12	13	13	14	15	14	13	12	11
10 to 14	13	13	13	14	14	13	13	14	14	14	14	12	11	11
15 to 19	13	14	14	15	15	13	14	14	14	15	14	14	12	12
20 to 24	13	12	13	15	15	13	14	14	14	15	15	14	13	11
25 to 29	13	14=	13	15 –	15 -	14	14	14	14	15	15	14	13	11
30 to 34	14 -	13	13	15	15	14	14	14	14	15	15	14	14	11
35 to 39	13	13	13	16	16	14	14	14	14	16	16	15	13	11
40 to 44	13	13	13	15	16	15	15	14	14	15	16	15	14	11
45 to 49	12	13	13	15	16	15	15	14	14	16	16	16	14	12
50 to 54	13	12	12	15	16	14	14_	14	14	16	16	16	14	11
55 to 59	13	12	12	16	16	15	15	14	14	16	17	16	15	12
60 to 64	12	13	12	16	16	14	15	13	13	16	17	17	15	13
65 to 69	12	12	12	15	16	14	15	13	14	16	18	17	15	12
70 to 74	13	12	11	15	16	14	14	14	13	16	18	18	17	12
75 to 79	14	12	12	14	16	15	15	13	13	15	18	18	15	13
80 and over	12	12	11	15	16	14	14_	14	13	17	19	18	16	13
All banks	13	13	13	13	13	11	12	12	13	14	14	13	12	11
Under 25	13	13	13	13	12	11	11,	12	13	14	14	13	12	11
25 and over	13	13	13	15	16	14	14	14	14	16	16	15	14	11
Addendum: Rate	of retu	ırn to a	ssets (	net inc	ome aft	ter tax	es as a	percent	tage of	total	assets	on Dece	mber 31	)
All banks	9	.9	. 9	. 9	.9	.8	.8	.9	. 9	1.0	1.i	1.0	.9	. 9
Under 25 25 and over	.9 1.0	.9 1.0	. B . 9	.9 1.0	.8 1.1	.8 1.0	.8 1.1	.8 1.1	.9 1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	.9 1.2	1.0

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million, classified according to the ratio of total farm loans to total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Rate of return to equity is net income after taxes as a percentage of the average of equity at the beginning and end of the year.

Table 18

Capital ratio at agricultural and other smaller banks (percent)

(Banks with total assets under \$500 million)

Fare loans as percentage of total loans at bank	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Under 1	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.4	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.7	7.7	7.9	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.B
1 to 4	7.5	7.4	7.2	7.4	7.6	7.6	7.9	7.8	7.9	7.9	8.1	8.0	7.9	7.8
5 to 9	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.6	7.6	8.0	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2
10 to 14	7.5	7.5	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.9	7.8	8.1	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.3
15 to 19	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.6	7.6	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.5	8.4	B. 4	8.4
20 to 24	7.7	7.6	7.3	7.3	7.6	7.7	8.2	B.1	8.3	8.3	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.5
25 to 29	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.8	7.9	8.1	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.4
30 to 34	7.8	7.6	7.3	7.2	7.6	7.6	8.0	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.7	8.6	8.7	8.6
35 to 39	7.8	7.7	7.3	7.3	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.0	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.8	8.7	8.8
40 to 44	8.0	7.8	7.5	7.4	7.7	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.3	B.5	8.7	8.6	8.8	8.8
45 to 49	8.1	7.9	7.5	7.4	7.7	7.7	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.6.	8.9	8.8	9.0	8.9
50 to 54	8.3	7.9	7.6	7.2	7.7	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.5	8.7	8.8	9.1	9.2	9.4
55 to 59	8.1	8.0	7.7	7.2	7.7	7.6	<b>B.</b> 3	8.4	8.5	8.8	9.1	9.1	9.3	9.4
60 to 64	8.2	8.1	7.7	7.5	7.8	7.8	8.2	8.7	8.6	8.8	7.1	9.2	9.3	9.4
65 to 69	8.5	8.3	7.9	7.5	7.6	7.9	8.4	8.7	8.7	9.0	9.1	9.3	9.3	9.8
70 to 74	8.8	8.5	8.1	7.7	8.0	8.2	8.7	8.7	8.8	8.8	9.6	9.4	9.7	9.9
75 to 79	7.8	8.9	8.4	7.5	8.1	B.0	8.6	9.2	9.0	9.6	9.7	10.0	10.1	10.4
80 and over	9.6	9.3	8.5	8.1	8.6	8.6	9.4	9.6	9.6	9.8	10.0	10.5	10.6	11.3
All banks	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.6	8.0	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.1	8.1
Under 25 25 and over	7.5 8.0	7.4 7.9	7.2 7.5	7.3 7.4	7.5 7.7	7.6 7.7	7.9 8.1	7.8 8.3	7.8 8.4	B.0 8.6	8.1 8.8	8.1 8.9	8.0 8.9	7.9 9.0

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million, classified according to the ratio of total farm loans to total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Capital ratio is capital and surplus as a percentage of total assets on December 31.

Table 19

Percentage distribution of agricultural banks by annual provision for possible loan losses

Provision for loan losses as percentage of loans at bank	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Under 0.10	49.0	50.6	54.3	52.5	49.0	50.6	44.8	50.1	43.0	37.8	33.1	31.4	25.3	21.2
0.10 to 0.39	27.5	27.4	26.9	26.4	28.6	29.2	33.0	29.6	34.9	38.7	33.7	29.3	23.7	21.1
0.40 ta 0.69	13.5	13.3	12.0	13.5	13.5	12.9	13.8	11.8	12.1	13.4	16.6	18.6	18.2	16.2
0.70 to 0.99	4.6	3.7	3.1	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.6	4.1	4.9	7.0	7.3	9.8	11.9
1.00 to 1.29	1.9	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.0	3.9	4.7	6.9	17.6
1.30 to 1.59	1.1	. 9	1.0	.8	1.0	.8	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.7	2.3	4.2	4.5
1.60 to 1.89	.8	.6	.6	.4	.8	.4	.4	.4	.7	.6	1.0	1.6	2.8	3.5
1.90 to 2.19	.5	.5	.3	.3	.5	.3	.3	.4	.3	<b>4</b>	.8	1.4	2.2	2.9
2.20 to 2.49	.1	.2	.2	.1	.1	.1	.2	.2	.3	.3	.5	.8	1.7	2.1
		ž.												
2.50 to 4.99	.8	.6	.2	.3	.7	.6	.6	.6	.8	4	1.4	2.3	4.0	6.2
5.00 to 7.49	<b>1</b>	.0	. 1	.1	.2	.1	.1	.2	.1	.2	.2	.3	.7	1.6
7.50 and over	1	.2	.1	.0	.1	.1	.1	.1	.1	.0	.2	.2	.5	1.3
	8													
All banks	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Banks are classified according to the ratio of the annual provision for possible loan losses to the total loans outstanding on December 31.

Table 20
Percentage distribution of agricultural banks by rate of return to equity

Net income as a percentage of a verage equity at bank	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
	2						•							
Negative	1	1	i	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	7
0 to 4	5	5	ó	2	2	4	4	4	3	2	2	3	5	6
5 to 9	24	26	28	12	12	15	14	16	14	8	9	11	15	18
10 to 14	43	41	43	39	34	38	41	45	47	36	31	31	33	36
15 to 19	21	20	18	33	34	30	31	27	28	28	36	33	29	24
20 to 24	5	4	4	10	13	9	8	5	6	12	15	13	11	7
25 and over	2	2	<sub>@</sub> 1	. 4	5	2	2	1	1	3	6	7	4	2
All banks	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Banks are classified according to the ratio of net income after taxes to the average of equity at the beginning and end of the year.

Table.21

Loan loss rate at agricultural banks in selected states
(percent)

State !	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1 <b>9</b> 77	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Alabama	.37	.52	. 28	.31	. 38	.31	.50	.44	42 ر	.34	.49	.83	. 70	1.02
Arkansas	.28	. 18	14	.15	. 19	. 21	.20	. 26	. 32	.32	.20	.33	. 55	. 64
Colorado	.30	. 20	. 13	.13	- 21	. 25	.31	.32	.14	.16	.42	. 87	.80	1.04
Georgia	.26	.22	.21	.32	.32	.38	.39	.46	.40	-47	.54	.80	.86	. 88
Idaho	.19	.19	. 20	.09	.12	-46	. 28	. 26	.47	.37	.22	. 25	.51	. 86
Illinois	.21	.20	.15	.14	. 15	.13	.15	.13	.16	.17	.37	.43	. 65	.71
Indiana	. 26	.22	. 15	.18	. 26	.20	. 23	.19	.22	.22	.31	. 40	. 96	. 87
lowa	.18	.14	.09	.10	10	.13	. 10	.10	.12	.12	.31	.33	. 62	. 90
Kansas	.30	.24	. 15	.16	. 24	.17	<u>.</u> 27	- 24	.26	.23	<b>41</b>	.54	. 84	. 98
Kentucky	.17	.16	. 15	.15	. 17	.19	. 18	. 23	. 23	.23	.49	.57	.76	. 88
Louisiana	.24	.28	.15	.32	.36	.59	. 42	. 21	.32	.36	.25	.71	. 98	. 87
Michigan	.17	.18	.16	.19	. 26	.22	. 29	. 16	.13	-16	.23	. 45	. 56	. 49
Minnesota	.16	.12	.11	.11	.08	.09	. 09	.08	- 07	.08	. 20	. 25	.42	.70
Mississippi	.28	. 34	.18	. 25	. 34	. 29	.32	.36	. 34	. 25	. 40	. 87	. 90	1.01
Missouri	.27	.18	.12	.22	. 20	.21	. 21	.19	. 16	.20	- 36	. 50	.75	1.02
Montana	.17	. 24	.10	.14	. 22	. 22	.16	.17.	.14	11	. 21	. 36	. 69	.85
Nebraska	.22	.20	. 15	.11	. 20	.14	.18	- 22	. 14	. 14	.32	.30	.73	.92
New Mexico	.28	- 26	.23	.44	<b>. 5</b> 3	.40	.69	.42	-14	. 22	. 56	. 63	.38	2.01
North Dakota	.18	.17	. 09	<sub>-</sub> 06	10	.06	.12	.12	.09	.06	.20	. 25	.80	1.03
Ohio	.14	.14	12	0B	10	-15	.21	. 15	.19	. 21	.31	.30	.54	.68
Oklahoma	.31	.37	. 22	. 32	45	.30	.40	· 33	.41	. 29	.43	.41	.86	1.91
South Dakota	. 27	. 24	.10	-06	. 24	.14	.17	.07	-16	.22	.29	41	• 63	. 87
Tennessee	. 28	. 26	. 18	. 22	. 27	.35	.30	-36	44	.39	.56	. 97	1.14	1, 43
Texas	. 56	. 37	-18	.13	40	. 37	.33	.32	;. <u>.</u> ,34	.31	.32	. 49	; • 72	1.36
Wisconsin	.09	.08	. 08	.10	. 09	.10	.14	.17	.09	.08	. 15	. 19	. 32	.49
Wyoming	.35	. 29	§14	.12	. 28	. 28	. 24	. 33	.17	-21	.54	59	• 90	.91
United States	. 25	-21	<b>⊋14</b>	a 15	. 22	.20	. 22	. 20	.20	.19	.32	-41	. 68	- 93

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Loan loss rate is losses charged to allowance for possible loan losses, less recoveries credited to allowance, as a percentage of total loans outstanding on December 31.

Table 22

Relative provision for possible loan losses at agricultural banks in selected states (percent)

State	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1 <del>9</del> 75	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
A1 - b	.46	.57	.33	.39	.41	.33	. 59	.54	.55	.50	<b>.</b> 5i	. 87	.78	1.16
Alabama Arkansas	.29	.22	.18	.22	.23	. 24	.21	.30	.41	.39	.28	.40	.62	.78
Colorado	.35	.28	.27	.19	.23	.23	.39	.36	.31	.28	. 44	. 94	.96	1.15
rotol gan		. 20	121	• • • •			••					• • •		
Georgia	.28	. 26	. 26	.35	. 38	.44	.42	.54	.51	.56	.62	.83	1.02	. 9
Idaho	.30	.41	. 37	.21	<b>42</b>	.42	- 36	.31	.54	.42	.22	. 28	.51	. 99
Illinois	.21	.20	.20	.19	. 21	.18	. 22	.18	.25	. 26	.39	.47	.76	. 8:
Indiana	.23	.23	. 20	. 24	.27	.26	.29	.25	.31	.29	.36	. 44	1.05	. 98
Iowa	.19	.16	.14	. 15	.15	.15	.15	.13	.20	.20	.37	.38	. 69	1.0
Kansas	.38	.32	.22	. 25	. 28	.25	.33	.27	. 35	.32	.50	.60	.89	1.11
Vanitus bu	. 24	. 22	.20	.23	. 24	. 25	. 26	.30	.31	.37	-56	. 65	. 86	1.1
Kentucky	.40	. 38	.23	.43	.47	.62	. 45	.33	.55	.43	.33	.73	.92	1.0
Louisiana Michigan	.40	.15	.23	.19	.22	.18	. 29	.20	.31	. 24	.24	.53	.57	.6
wicuidau	. 11	.13	• 1 /	•1,	• 44	.10			•••	10.	•••		8 -	
Minnesota	.17	, 15	.12	€15	. 13	.12	. 15	.12	.13	. 15	. 25	.30	. 54	. 8
Mississippi	.31	.30	. 26	• 30	• 38	. 34	*3B	<u>.</u> 42	-44	. 35	.50	. 98	1.25	1.1
Missouri	. 27	. 20	.17	. 29	- 26	-26	. 29	26	.21	. 33	44	. 61	. 82	1.3
Montana	.17	.19	.18	.22	. 25	. 24	.21	. 22	.21	.20	. 25	. 34	.73	.9
Nebraska	.23	. 26	.19	.17	. 23	.21	. 21	.30	.23	. 25	.38	. 42	.82	1.0
New Mexico	.49	.34	0ه.	.42	54	.54	.49	.51	.24	.36	.62	. <b>.</b> 77	. 69	1.9
North Dakota	-16	. 23	.11	.09	.13	.12	-17	.12	.12	.16	.27	. 28	.88	1.1
Dhio	.21	.18	.18	.15	. 17	.16	.30	.21	.28	.30	.36	. 34	.71	.6
ûklahoma	.36	.40	. 34	.43	.54	.44	.46	.40	.51	. 39	.50	. 52	1.05	2.3
South Dakota	.19	-23	.18	.13	. 28	.15	. 15	-11	. 23	. 30	.33	.51	. 78	1.1
Tennessee	.26	.27	.22	.28	. 27	.38	.36	.42	.53	.52	.62	1.06	1.29	1.6
Texas	.60	.45	.33	.22	.47	.43	.37	.36	.40	.40	.43	.54	.83	1.7
Wisconsin	.11	.10	.07	.13	.13	.12	.19	.19	. 15	. 14	.20	. 23	.35	.5
Wyoming	.29	.31	.23	= .13	. 38	.30	.31	.30	.35	.43	.61	.72	1.00	1.0
wyuming	.27	.31	. 23	• 47	. 30	<u>, 50</u>	• 11				ι	• , •	¥	
United States	.27	.25	.21	.22	. 27	. 25	. 27	.25	. 28	.28	.39	. 48	.77	1.1

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Relative provision for possible loan losses is the annual provision for possible loan losses as a percentage of total loans outstanding on December 31.

Table 23

Rate of return to equity at agricultural banks in selected states (percent)

State :	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Alabama	14 14	14 14	13 15	15 19	15 18	13 14	12 15	12 14	$=\frac{12}{13}$	14 14	16 14	14 12	13 10	12 11
Arkansas Colorado	14	14	14	16	18	16	15	14	14	18	19	15	13	11
Georgia	15	14	13	15	15	13	14	11	13	14	16	16	13	11
Idaho	15	13	12	18	17	15	15	15	15	16	16	15	13	12
Illinois	12	13	12	15	16	15	15	14	13	14	14	13	13	12
Indiana	14	13	13	15	15	14	14	14	13	14	13	10	7	9
lowa	12	12	12	14	16	15	15	14	14	16	16	15	14	12
Kansas	12	12	12	14	14	13	14	13	13	16	16	16	15	12
Kentucky	12	12	12	15	16	14	14	14	14	15	17	15	13	12
Louisiana	14	14	14	19	18	14	13	14	14	18	17	16	14	12
Michigan	12	13	13	14	14	13	13	12	12	13	14	7	11	11
Minnesota	11	11	11	12	14	14	13	13	14	16	16	16	14	11
Mississippi	14	15	14	15	15	13	13	13	14	16	16	14	12	12
Missouri	. 14	13	13	15	15	14	14	14	14	16	15	14	14	10
Montana	14	= 14	14	15	16	16	16	15	16	18	18	17	16	15
Nebraska	14	14	14	16	18	1 ò	- 16	14	14	17	19	18	16	13
New Mexico	12	14	11	15	14	13	11	13	15	14	18	18	16	7
North Dakota	14	13	13	16	19	18	16	14	15	16	17	17	14	12
Ohio	11	11	11	14	14	12	13	13	13	14	- 13	12	10	12
Oklahoma	13	13	14	İò	17	16	15	15	15	18	19	20	18	10
South Dakota	14	14	13	16	16	15	16	14	13	14	17	16	14	12
Tennessee	13	14	14	15	15	12	13	13	13	14	14	12	12	12
Texas	12	12	13	17	17	14	14	14	14	16	17	18	16	10
Wisconsin	12	12	12	13	13	13	12	13	14	15	14	14	15	12
Wyoming	12	12	13	15	16	16	16	15	15	17	19	17	17	15
United States	13	13	13	15	16	12	14	14	14	16	16	15	14	:: :::11

Data are for banks with lotal assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Rate of return to equity is net income after taxes as a percentage of the average of equity at the beginning and end of the year.

with earlier experience. These data were recently discussed in the article "A Financial Perspective on Agriculture" in the January 1984 issue of the Federal Reserve Bulletin. Data presented here update that discussion through 1983: Tables 16 (addendum), 17, and 18 update Bulletin Chart 8; Tables 19 and 20 update Bulletin Tables 5 and 6, respectively. The Bulletin article also discussed the origins and nature of the financial problems of indebted farmers, and estimated the incidence of financial stress.

Loan losses. Relative loan losses and provision for possible loan losses rose again at agricultural banks, reaching levels that significantly exceeded loss rates at other smaller banks. Loan losses in 1983 equalled 0.93 percent of the year-end level of outstanding loans, compared with 0.67 percent at other smaller banks (Table 16). The annual provision for possible loan losses equalled 1.11 percent of outstanding loans, compared with 0.80 percent at other smaller banks.

Bank profits. The relative extent of loan losses has a large impact on bank profitability, as illustrated by the distribution of agricultural banks shown in Table 15. With losses up, the proportion of agricultural banks with negative earnings rose to 7 percent; however, 69 percent of the banks still achieved a return of 10 percent or more on equity (Table 20). Return to equity averaged 11 percent in 1983, down from the recent cyclical peak of 16 percent in 1980 (Table 17).

<u>Capital ratios</u>. In spite of higher loan losses and lower profits, the capital ratio at agricultural banks rose slightly further in 1983, reaching 9.0 percent of total assets (Table 18). The banks more heavily involved in farm lending tended to have higher capital ratios that were built up in recent years, and which should serve to cushion the impact of further farm loan problems.

Table 24

Capital ratio at agricultural banks in selected states (percent)

State !		1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Alabama	8.7	8.7	8.5	8.5	8.7	8.8	9.2	9.0	9.2	9.8	10.1	9.9	9.6	10.0
Arkansas	8.1	7.7	7.5	7.2	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.6	9.2	9.3	9.6	9.5	9.7	10.0
Colorado	8.7	8.3	8.1	7.9	8.1	8.5	8.9	B. 9	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.4	9.7	9.4
Georgia	8.6	8.4	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.5	9.2	9.0	9.4	9.6	10.2	10.1	10.4	9.8
Idaho	7.6	7.0	6.5	6.2	6.5	6.7	6.7	7.0	7.0	7.6	7.8	7.9	8.2	8.3
Illinois	8.1	7.8	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.9	8.1	8.5	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.0	9.1
Indiana	7.6	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.5	7.9	8.0	8.4	8.6	8.8	9.0	8.8	B.7
Iowa	8.2	8.1	7.6	7.1	7.3	7.4	7.9	8.1	B. 1	8.3	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.8
Kansas	8.7	8.6	8.0	7.8	B. 1	8.1	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.8	8.8	9.0	9.2
Kentucky	8.6	8.3	7.7	7.9	7.7	7.9	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.7	9.0	9.0	9.2	9.4
Louisiana	6.7	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.9	7.9	8.1	8.0	7.8	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.9
Michigan	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.6	7.7	8.3	8.2	B. 1	8.3	8.5	8.0	8.4	8.0
Minnesota	72	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	6.9	7.5	7.6	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.5	8.7	8.7
Mississippi	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.8	8.0	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.4	8.3	8.6	9.0	8.6
Missouri	8.2	8.1	7.7	7.6	8.1	8.1	8.4	8.4	8.7	8.7	8.9	8.9	9.1	8.9
Montana	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.8	7.4	7.4	7.8	8.2	8.3	8.5	B. 6	8.3
Nebraska	8.3	8.1	7.8	7.5	7.8	7.9	8.4	8.5	8.5	8.6	9.0	9.1	8.9	9.1
New Mexico	7.4	7.6	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.7	7.6	7.8	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.7	8.0
North Dakota	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.3	7.5	7.5	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.7	8.9	8.6	8.5	8.7
Ohio	8.7	8.6	9.1	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.9	8.7	9.2	9.8	9.7	10.0	9.9	9.6
Oklahoma	7 <b>.9</b>	7.6	7.2	7.4	7.9	8.0	8.3	8.4	8.6	8.7	8.8	8.7	8.8	9.2
South Dakota	7.3	7.3	7.1	6.9	7.1	7.2	7.6	8.1	8.0	7.9	B.1	8.5	8.6	9.0
Tennessee	8.5	8.3	7.7	7.8	8.2	8.0	8.2	7.9	8.1	8.4	8.5	8.2	8.0	8.5
Texas	8.5	8.1	7.6	7.2	8.1	8.0	8.2	8.3	8.7	8.6	8.9	9.0	9.3	9.2
Wisconsin	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.9	8.0	8.4	8.7	8.8	9.0	7.1
Wyoming	8.5	8.0	8.0	7.5	7.6	7.9	8.3	8.6	8.6	8.6	9.1	9.3	9.3	9.5
United States	8.0	7.9	7.5	7.4	7.7	7.7	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.6	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.0

Data are for banks with total assets under \$500 million at which total farm loans accounted for 25 percent or more of total loans outstanding on December 31. Farm loans are loans secured by farm real estate, loans to finance agricultural production, and other loans to farmers. Capital ratio is capital and surplus as a percentage of total assets on December 31.