



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*



Introducing the Gardner Food and Agricultural Policy Survey

Maria Kalaitzandonakes and [Jonathan Coppess](#)

Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics
University of Illinois

[Brenna Ellison](#)

Department of Agricultural Economics
Purdue University

June 2, 2022

farmdoc daily (12): 81

Gardner Policy Series

Recommended citation format: Kalaitzandonakes, M., B. Ellison, and J. Coppess. “[Introducing the Gardner Food and Agricultural Policy Survey.](#)” *farmdoc daily* (12): 81, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, June 2, 2022.

Permalink: <https://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2022/06/introducing-the-gardner-food-and-agricultural-policy-survey.html>

Discussions of farm policy tend to focus on the farmer perspective and can sometimes exclude the perspectives of the general public. Rarely do we discuss agricultural policies from the perspective of the taxpayer, yet they are an important stakeholder. The relevance of policy topics or issues to the general public and the public’s perceptions on who is impacted by or benefits from a given policy can influence policy decisions and outcomes (see e.g., Wolters et al., 2021; Kaufman et al., 2008; Burstein 2003). Further, taxpayers fund, in part, different food and agricultural programs and elect relevant policy makers, so it is important to understand their preferences. As Congress takes the initial steps towards reauthorizing the farm bill next year, the Gardner Agriculture Policy Program has initiated a coordinated survey effort to examine public opinions on food and agricultural policies.

The Gardner Agriculture Policy Program, launched in 2017, coordinates and prioritizes efforts that focus on agricultural policies, including the farm bill, conservation, food systems and international trade and global agriculture. It is funded by the Leonard and Lila Gardner/Illinois Farm Bureau Family of Companies endowment in memory of Len Gardner, a leader in agriculture (see, *farmdoc daily*, [January 27, 2017](#)). This article introduces the Gardner Food and Agricultural Policy Survey and its initial findings.

The Gardner Food and Agricultural Policy Survey is a recurring survey of approximately 1,000 US consumers that we will field on a quarterly basis. Each quarter, we will ask a base set of questions that are designed to monitor how public opinion on important food and agricultural issues changes over time. In addition, we will include timely ad hoc questions about new food and agricultural policy issues as they arise. This survey is a collaboration with Dr. Brenna Ellison at Purdue University, a former fellow of the Gardner Agriculture Policy Program.

We request all readers, electronic media and others follow our citation guidelines when re-posting articles from farmdoc daily. Guidelines are available [here](#). The farmdoc daily website falls under University of Illinois copyright and intellectual property rights. For a detailed statement, please see the University of Illinois Copyright Information and Policies [here](#).

We completed Quarter 1 of the survey in May 2022. The survey was conducted online using US consumers. New respondents will be recruited each quarter to match the US population in terms of gender, age, income, and geographic region. Table 1 provides the sample characteristics for the Quarter 1 respondents.

Characteristic Description	Percent of Sample
Gender	
Male	35.00%
Female	64.80%
Non-Binary/Third Gender	0.20%
Race	
White	78.70%
Black or African American	12.90%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.10%
Asian	3.70%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.20%
More than one race	1.90%
Other	1.40%
Ethnicity	
Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish Origin	9.80%
Not Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish Origin	90.30%
Age	
18-24 years	11.90%
25-34 years	18.10%
35-44 years	16.80%
45-54 years	18.10%
55-64 years	16.10%
65 years or older	19.10%
Annual Household Income	
Less than \$25,000	18.20%
\$25,000-\$49,999	22.10%
\$50,000-\$74,999	19.10%
\$75,000-\$99,999	14.10%
\$100,000-\$149,999	15.10%
\$150,000-\$199,999	5.90%
\$200,000 or more	5.50%
Region	
Northeast	21.60%
South	40.10%
Midwest	23.00%
West	15.30%

Beliefs about the Food System

One aspect of the survey will be to measure Americans' beliefs about the food system. In particular, we ask to what extent Americans agree or disagree the food system produces food sustainably, that is safe to eat, that tastes good, that is healthy, that is affordable, that is accessible, and in a manner that provides healthy returns for supply chain actors (e.g., farmers, manufacturers, grocery stores).

Figure 1 shows that in Quarter 1, most of those surveyed indicated they either somewhat agreed or strongly agreed that the food system produces food that is tasty (82.3%), accessible (75.7%), and safe to eat (74.7%). Nearly two-thirds of respondents (65.5%) agreed the food system produced food that was healthy. Despite the current inflation, 59.1% of those surveyed indicated they agreed the food system produces food that is affordable.

We also find that 57.1% of respondents agreed the food system produces food in a sustainable manner and that 63.7% agreed the food system provides healthy returns for supply chain actors. Table 2 includes a full breakdown of these results.

Figure 1. Beliefs About the Food System




Table 2. to What Extent Do You Agree Our Food System Produces Food ...

(n=1,046 participants, online survey)

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
In a sustainable manner	19.80%	37.30%	29.10%	11.40%	2.40%
That is safe to eat	32.80%	41.90%	16.50%	7.80%	1.10%
That tastes good	40.40%	41.90%	13.20%	3.40%	1.20%
That is healthy	26.90%	38.60%	19.80%	12.20%	2.60%
That is affordable	20.00%	39.10%	20.20%	15.30%	5.40%
That is accessible	32.70%	43.00%	16.70%	6.20%	1.40%
In a manner that provides healthy returns for supply chain actors	23.40%	40.40%	24.80%	9.80%	1.70%


farmdocDAILY

Trust in the Food System

As part of this survey, we will also monitor trust in the food system. We asked participants to what extent they trusted various groups in the food system. The question was measured on a scale from 1 (meaning they do not trust this group) to 7 (meaning they trust this group very much). We asked participants to rate government, restaurants, grocery stores, food manufacturers, and farmers. Figure 2 presents the results.

In this first quarter, we found that farmers had the highest rate of trust, at an average of 5.6 out of 7. Following farmers, participants trusted grocery stores (average of 5.0 out of 7), followed by restaurants (average of 4.6 out of 7), and then food manufacturers (average of 4.4 out of 7). The lowest rates of trust for a group within the food system came for government, at 3.6 out of 7. In the coming quarters, continuing to ask about trust in the food system will allow us to monitor how trust changes across time, during food safety events, amidst policy changes, etc.

Figure 2. Trust in Food System Actors



Concluding Thoughts

Although monitoring public opinion on agricultural and food policy issues is always relevant, with farm bill debate approaching and the myriad challenges facing farmers and the food system, understanding the public's perspectives is arguably even more important. The Gardner Food and Agricultural Policy Survey will allow us to track how these perspectives change over time, and the results will help inform analysis, debates, and policies. Future articles will review the findings about climate change and the different types of federal policies.

References

Burstein, Paul. "The Impact of Public Opinion on Public Policy: A Review and an Agenda." *Political Research Quarterly* 56, no. 1 (2003): 29-40.

Coppess, J., G. Schnitkey, N. Paulson and K. Baylis. "Introducing the Gardner Agriculture Policy Program." *farmdoc daily* (7):15, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, January 27, 2017.

Kaufman, Eric K., Glenn D. Israel, and Tracy A. Irani. "Voter Confidence in the Agricultural Industry." *Journal of Applied Communications* 92, no. 1-2 (2008): 31-55.

Wolters, Erika Allen, Brent S. Steel, Sydney Anderson, and Heather Moline. "The Future of Food: Understanding Public Preferences for the Management of Agricultural Resources." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18, no. 13 (2021): 6707.