



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

PROCEEDINGS

of the

WESTERN FARM ECONOMICS ASSOCIATION

Fourteenth Annual Meeting

June 25, 26 and 27, 1941

Hotel Utah  
Salt Lake City, Utah

RELATIONS WITH LATIN AMERICA AS SEEN FROM THE NATIONAL STANDPOINT

by

J. P. Cavin

Chief, Consumer Policy Section, Consumer Division  
Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply

I

Under the Good Neighbor Policy of the Roosevelt Administration, our relations with the republics of Latin America have undergone marked improvement. Since the Seventh Pan-American Conference at Montevideo in 1933, the Eighth Pan-American Conference for Peace at Buenos Aires in 1936, the Ninth Pan-American Conference at Lima in 1938, and special consultations of Foreign Ministers at Panama in 1939 and at Havana in 1940, have come increased understanding of mutual problems and the establishment of permanent agencies of cooperation, such as the Inter-American Neutrality Committee and the Inter-American Economic Committee. Specific actions on the part of the United States, such as the abrogation in 1934 of the Platt Amendment which limited the sovereignty of Cuba, the withdrawal of Marines from Haiti in the same year, the relinquishment of our control over the customs of the Dominican Republic in 1940, the negotiation of reciprocal trade agreements with 11 Latin American countries, the loans of the Export-Import Bank, the establishment of the Division of Cultural Relations in the State Department, and the appointment of Mr. Nelson Rockefeller as Coordinator of Commercial and Cultural Relations between the Americas have shown that our statements of policy have not been empty words.

With the spread of the war and the alignment of nations beside and against the Axis, our efforts to improve political, cultural and economic relations have been widened and intensified. The reasons require no discussion. The Axis threat to the United States is by strategic importance a threat to the entire Western Hemisphere. It is of vital concern to all countries on the continents of North and South America that the striking power of the entire Western Hemisphere be mobilized against that threat or any future threat from whatever source. This requires the utilization of the economic resources of the hemisphere, involving, among other things, a complete reconsideration of our trading relations with Latin America.

This paper is an attempt to do some reconsidering. I am painfully aware of the difficulty of saying much that is concrete and constructive. It is easy to generalize with accuracy about Latin America. The areas which we commonly designate as Latin American embrace over 8,000,000 square miles, over 130 million people of varying racial mixtures, and 20 independent republics. Furthermore, the basic trading relationships with Latin America are distorted and beclouded by the exigencies of war, and while the shape of things to come can only be surmised.

II

I shall try to get at the issues involved under six topics: (1) a general outline of some of the major characteristics of the economy of Latin America, particularly its trade relationships prior to the outbreak of war; (2) an examination of the impact of the war upon these trading relationships;

mic relations between the United States and Latin America during a period of belligerency abroad and of our defense effort; (4) alternative policies toward Latin America during a period of post-war reconstruction; (5) the difficulties of economic collaboration with Latin America; (6) the role of the economist in Inter-American economics.

### III

Although I do not wish to burden you with figures, it seems necessary with a few quantitative facts, the significance of which I hope will be clear as we proceed. In outlining the economic position of Latin America before the outbreak of war, I shall refer briefly to the volume of its foreign trade, the composition, destination, and origin of that trade, and the position of Latin America with respect to the United States.

The foreign trade of Latin America has constituted a significant part of the world trade. During the decade preceding the war, the 20 republics of Latin America accounted for about 10 per cent of total world exports and 15 per cent of total world imports, the excess of exports being due in part to the debtor position of most of the Latin American countries. In 1938, the total value of Latin American exports exceeded 1.8 billion dollars, and total imports were over 1.5 billion dollars,

despite great mineral resources, which are the basis of the economy of a number of countries--notably Bolivia, Chile, Venezuela, Peru and Mexico--and growing industrialization of many areas, agriculture, including the raising of livestock, is the principal occupation, engaging over two-thirds of the population. In 1938, agricultural exports from Latin America accounted for 55 per cent of the total, the remainder being largely minerals, with a few forest products. Some idea of the importance of the agricultural products may be gained from the fact that coffee from Latin America accounted for nine-tenths of the world trade in that commodity; fresh, frozen and canned beef for two-thirds; flaxseed for one-half; cane sugar, and castor beans for a third each; lamb and mutton for a fifth. Imports, which have increased rapidly, embraced the whole range of fabricated goods, ranging from simple consumer goods such as automobiles, radios and textiles to production equipment and heavy machinery, such as locomotives and airplanes.

The bulk of the foreign trade of Latin America is accounted for by the United States. In 1938, 86 per cent of the exports were distributed as follows:

Argentina, 24 per cent, Brazil, 16 per cent, Venezuela, 15 per cent, Colombia, 10 per cent, Cuba and Chile, each 8 per cent, 5 per cent. The remainder of 10 per cent was accounted for by the three republics in the West Indies--Cuba, Haiti, and the Dominican Republic. With one or two exceptions, the other Latin American countries maintained a similar position with respect to imports.

By far the largest single outlet for Latin American exports has been the United States, which took over 30 per cent in 1938. The United Kingdom accounted for about 17 per cent, as did Germany, France and Italy combined. The import picture is similar, with the United States accounting for about 30 per cent, United Kingdom for 12 per cent, German, France and Italy combined for 22 per cent. The remainder of the trade was scattered among a large

countries.

Turning specifically to the United States, we find that in 1938 about 23 percent of our total exports of approximately 3 billion dollars went to Latin America, while about 23 percent of our total imports of nearly 2 billion dollars came from there. Of our exports to Latin America, about 74 percent were finished manufactured goods, while the principal import items were classified as follows: crude foodstuffs, 42 percent; manufactured foodstuffs and beverages, 23 percent; other crude materials, 23.5 percent. We have characteristically imported somewhat more from that area than we exported to it. This position was reversed in 1933, when exports were in excess of imports. About 40 percent of the imports from Latin America were dutiable, which was about the ratio for imports in general. The calculated ad valorem rate on dutiable imports from Latin America was about 47.5 percent compared with a rate of about 37 percent for all countries.

The over-all figures of our Latin American trade are likely to be misleading because of wide variations as between different areas. It is necessary to differentiate between our trade with the countries of the Caribbean area, Brazil, countries of the west coast of South America, and the temperate zone countries of the east coast.

The Caribbean area includes Mexico; the insular republics of Cuba, Haiti and the Dominican Republic; the Central American group of Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Honduras, Costa Rica and Panama; and the north coast countries of Venezuela and Colombia. In 1938, 45 percent of the exports of the Caribbean group went to the United States, from which they obtained 30 percent of their imports. Our imports from this area included such agricultural and forest products as sugar, tobacco, coffee, cocoa, bananas, mahogany and mahogany; and mineral products such as gold, silver, lead, copper and petroleum.

Brazil sent 34 percent of its exports to the United States in 1938, which it took 24 percent of its imports. Coffee accounted for over 50 percent of the value of our imports from Brazil in that year, while cocoa, rubber wax, babassu nuts and castor beans accounted for another 16 percent.

The west coast countries - Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru and Chile - sold 20 percent of their exports to the United States in 1938 and purchased 30 percent of their imports from us. Important among our imports from this area were copper and nitrate from Chile; sugar, copper and lead from Peru; and bananas from Ecuador; antimony from Bolivia.

The remaining countries on the east coast - Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay - exported only 3 percent of their total exports to the United States in 1938, while purchasing 16 percent of their imports from this country. This is due to the fact that these countries lie in the temperate zone and are primarily producers of agricultural products, most of which are produced in the United States in large quantities. From these countries, we obtain substantial quantities of canned meats, wool, hides and quebracho extract. Argentina is an important source of flaxseed.

IV

With this pre-war picture in mind, we may turn to the situation during 1941. Because of a lag in the availability of trade data from Latin America, it is not possible to give a fully-rounded account of what has happened. Reliance must be placed on scattered indicators.

With respect to the United States, the picture for 1940 is clear. In that year, our exports to the 20 Latin American republics totaled 726 million dollars, an increase of about 47 per cent over 1938. This expansion involved increases in our exports of iron and steel products, paper and chemicals. Increases in our exports of aircraft and agricultural machinery are self-explanatory. Imports from Latin America in 1940 totaled 620 million dollars, an increase of about 37 per cent over 1938. Items such as wool, copper, crude oil and semi-finished oils showed startling increases. The value of imports in 1940, for example, was six times that of 1938. Despite this increase in both export and import trade with Latin America, it was well below the level of 1929 when exports exceeded 900 million dollars and imports exceeded a billion.

The continuance of this excess of exports over imports, which began in 1938, reflected primarily the inability of Latin America to purchase from European sources. This balance was more than offset by imports of silver from Latin America. With our civilian population beginning to feel the pinch of the defense effort, it would have been infinitely better if the point of view of our national standard of living could these so-called "strategic" metals have been replaced by consumable commodities.

The significance of Latin America in our total trade did not alter appreciably in 1940. Exports in that year to the 20 republics of Latin America constituted about 18 per cent of our total exports compared with 16 per cent in 1938. Imports from Latin America in 1940 were about 24 per cent of our total imports compared with 23 per cent in 1938. This situation was, of course, a parallel expansion of our trade with other areas in 1941.

As might be expected in these incredible times, the trade position developed in 1940 has already changed. Our recent export balance to Latin America began to decline in the latter months of 1940; by February of this year, an import balance had appeared. For the first quarter of 1941, this import balance totaled about 45 million dollars. The rather sudden appearance of this import balance is due primarily to the rapid reduction of our Latin American purchases of materials essential to defense. If they continue, the first quarter rates would give an annual import total of close to a billion dollars, and an export total in the vicinity of \$1.5 billion. This is not to be taken as a forecast for 1941. Uncertainties are great, and the increased imports from Latin America will be reflected in decreased exports from this country. Barring any major changes in the shipping available for trade with Latin America, it seems likely that our total trade with that area in 1941 may exceed that of 1940, that an excess of imports over exports may be expected, and that the trade with Latin America will represent, particularly on the import side, a larger fraction of our total foreign commerce.

We do not know accurately the total foreign trade position of the United States with Latin American countries during 1940 and the first half of 1941, but it is clear that the impact of the war is beginning to make itself felt with

conomic deterioration in a considerable number of countries. Trade probably well in 1940 when imports totaled 1.3 billion dollars compared with 1.5 billion in 1938. Exports were estimated to have been about 10 percent of the level of recent pre-war years. Signs in 1941 are less favor-

V

As our defense effort, paralleled by conflict between Great Britain and the Axis continues, the course of our trade with Latin America is likely to be determined primarily by the availability of shipping tonnage in accordance with our defense requirements. The shortage of shipping will result in a total volume of trade between the United States and Latin America well below the level which would obtain were the normal amounts of shipping tonnage available. The cargoes carried by the available ships will be selected in favor of strategic and critical materials required by our defense program. Imports of such items as wool, hides, copper, tin, vanadium, asbestos, quebracho extract, iodine, and quartz crystals, will be much less than if the demand reflected only consumer buying power and choice within the United States. If the defense program continues for some years, there will be increasing imports of items such as rubber, manganese and manila which are not obtained from other areas. The production of such products as rubber will be stimulated not only by unusual demands in this country and by the expansion of their production in Latin America. This does not mean that imports of items not directly connected with defense demands will be reduced. For example, imports of such items as Chilean nitrates, to make up the deficiency of fertilizers caused by the diversion of synthetic nitrogen to military and staple food items such as coffee, sugar and bananas will be maintained. Furthermore, it is to be expected that a considerable volume of characteristic exports from Latin America will continue. This for two reasons: first, there will frequently be some shipping space available after the more important exports have received priority, and second, it is necessary that as much as possible be given to the normal economic structure of Latin America in order that they receive the fullest economic and political collaboration from that country. Whether the total volume of imports can be maintained at current levels will depend largely on the shipping situation, and this cannot be foreseen with any accuracy. As for exports to Latin America, we shall doubtless continue to export a variety of fabricated goods, modified by defense priorities such as machine tools and aircraft, and reductions in domestic output such as automobiles and tires. On balance, any increased exports from the United States and other areas, such as Japan, cannot be expected to make up for losses in the European market and the economies of many of the Latin American countries will function below normal levels and under constraint.

In short, it will be shipping and the exigencies of economic warfare which will dominate the situation rather than the supply-demand conjunctures, the interests of economic groups and the considerations of economic welfare which are so economic complex in times of peace. Economists continue to quote Smith, but chiefly to remind us that "defense is of much more importance than opulence."

VI

This rough sketch of our economic relations with Latin America in the immediate future, I turn with even more apprehension to a consideration of these relations in a somewhat more distant period, which we designate the post-war period.

In dealing with this period, it is customary to start out with alternative assumptions such as a British victory, an Axis victory, or some sort of a stalemate, and to discuss possibilities under each assumption. This procedure is useful for bringing out some of the broad issues, but it cannot be pushed too far because each major possibility requires a large number of crucial sub-issues with respect to such things as political alignments, programs for increasing efficiency, and policies of trade control that are beyond the focus of this study.

As far as I am willing to go is to say that in any event the Latin American countries will regain some of their European markets during the post-war period, though it is unlikely that they will attain, save for a brief emergency period, a volume of external trade with Europe that of the pre-war period. In the event of a British victory, the volume of that trade will depend primarily on the world trading policies of this country and by Great Britain. In the event of an Axis victory, the volume of that trade will be offered to bring some of the Latin American countries within the trade orbit of Germany. The extent to which they might regain that trade would depend in large measure on the trade policies of the United States and to what might develop out of some sort of a stalemate, your guess is as mine. Under all three assumptions, however, I am inclined to believe that our economic ties with Latin America will be closer than they are at present, partly because of the mutual economic gain involved, partly because of the continuing necessity of regarding the Western Hemisphere as a strategic area.

We shall try to bring out some of the considerations which must in any event be weighed from the national viewpoint. In terms of economic welfare, we must consider the gains in real income that may accrue or be denied to the various countries within the hemisphere. In terms of international relations, we must consider the extent to which various policies will induce Latin American countries to turn toward the United States as a collaborator and not to turn elsewhere. In terms of military strategy, we must consider the effect of trade policies on our ability to insure the strategic materials essential to our defense. In terms of the interests of producers in this country, we must weigh adjustments arising out of trade policy against the national gains involved.

What policies can we pursue under the various possible circumstances? We might adopt a business-as-usual policy, under which no special efforts are made to bring forth the resources of Latin America or to knit that area into our economic relation to us. We can concentrate, through loans and other means, on the expansion of production in Latin America of products which are essential for our defense economy and on products whose importation will not require a large adjustment within the United States. We can go further and expand our general trade with Latin America and take measures to correct any adjustments which such a policy may make necessary. Most persons are in favor of going beyond a business-as-usual policy toward Latin America. The dissent from policies in the second category. It is on the third



assent and difficulty arise.

It should be noted in passing that problems raised by the adoption of policies within the third group are confined to a few countries, principally Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay, and to a few commodities including hides, wool and flaxseed. The countries primarily engaged in producing minerals and tropical foodstuffs do not present the same type of problems in the case of sugar, which involves our relations with the United States and Peru.

This problem of the adjustment of domestic production to various policies with Latin America is beyond the scope of this paper, which is confined primarily to the national considerations involved.

The line of argument followed up to this point has been a relatively simple one; namely, that insofar as we make our defense effort a hemisphere basis and insofar as we continue to operate on a hemisphere basis in any period, there must necessarily be greater integration of the economies of Latin America with that of the United States. And further, the greater degree of integration that is aimed at, the greater must be the required readjustments in the economies involved.

## VII

The foregoing analysis is admittedly an over-simplification of our relations with Latin America and one which is expressed in the terminology of international trade. Actually, the problems involved are tremendously complex. For one thing, we are not dealing with a single unit, but with twenty-one independent republics, each with strong national aspirations, extremely difficult internal economic problems, and established policies of external trade, not only with the United States and the countries of Europe and Asia but also with all the other republics of Latin America. This means that we have to carry out our economic negotiations in Latin America country by country and with a sympathetic understanding of the economic and social problems with which each of these countries is

Even more important is the fact that many of the countries of Latin America have racial compositions, social structures, and a cultural heredity very different from those of the United States. All of these raise important problems of mutual understanding which can be overcome only by long and painstaking efforts on the part of all concerned.

## VIII

I shall close with a few remarks on the role of the economist in Latin American economic relations.

In the first place it is absolutely essential that we cease to look at the dark concerning Latin America. It is not possible to solve our economic problems with these countries merely on the basis of general reasoning, frequently of an outmoded variety, without knowledge of the economies with which we are purporting to deal. It is essential that we train students of economics who are willing to devote themselves to the study of Latin American countries in the same detailed way they have

selves to the economic problems of Europe. It will be necessary  
sons to go down to the countries of Latin America, learn the  
understand the modes of thought and general social structure,, and  
tailed economic studies in a manner comparable to the studies of  
ade, and agriculture that are being carried on in the United  
e present time. These admonitions apply with equal force to the  
economics and those influencing economic policies in the Latin  
countries themselves.

nal word may be said with respect to the type of economic analysis  
e undertaken. Altogether too much of the thinking with respect  
merica is carried on in terms of traditional international trade  
h usually degenerates into a futile argument of protectionisms  
ade more appropriate to the nineteenth century than to this one.

is not the problem. The real issue is how to utilize the natural  
and productive power of the entire Western Hemisphere in a manner  
contribute to the greatest economic strength and welfare of all  
The methods of doing this cannot be completely established by  
reasoning. The inadequacy of such reasoning with respect to the  
problems within the United States should be apparent to anyone who  
ted himself with the problems of post-war adjustment, the Great  
and the present defense effort. Economics has never been an  
pline, but in glancing backward, it seems clear to me the economist  
ty-five years ago was in a far happier position than the economist  
He operated from a more stable base and was more confident of his  
This stability and confidence had several aspects. First, he  
t on a high degree of stability among the various political units  
the world. Second, his theoretical equipment was not only relatively  
t seemed adequate to the tasks at hand. Third, the forces of  
control were fairly well demarcated as between the government and  
enterprise. Fourth, the techniques of economic control and  
t were relatively few and reasonably simple. Finally, the objectives  
ic policy were also fairly well defined and there was considerable  
on those objectives.

l this is changed. National boundaries are changing overnight, and  
e of ultimate political and economic alignments is unknown. Our  
al equipment is being drastically overhauled and expanded. Even the  
ated Marshallian tools of long-run and short-run cost curves,  
surplus, the representative firm, and quasi-rent, though still very  
are not adequate to the task. We are trying, for example, to digest  
omics of oligopoly and economic fluctuations and to think in such  
savings and investments, expectations, and differentiated markets.  
epeating the whole problem of the control of economic life, while  
periments in such control are springing up around us. The techniques of  
are changing. To take but one example, we cannot think of inter-  
trade in simple terms of tariffs, self-equilibrating international  
and automatic gold flow. We must plunge into the welter of controlled  
s, bilateral trading arrangements, quotas, and trade monopolies.  
we are struggling to redefine the objectives of economic policy  
yield concrete goals of price, incomes, resource allocation, and  
standards.

the problem of our economic relations with Latin America is simply one  
wherein we strive to comprehend and to master.