

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

## This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

#### Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.





The MAPP Centre at Aarhus University does research on consumer behaviour with regard to food and drink and on the implementation of consumer insights in industry and public policy. MAPP research therefore supports both the development of new, healthy and sustainable food products and contributes to evidence-based food policy.

# Does Organic Labelling Affect Restaurant Choice?

A Study on the Danish Organic Cuisine Label

Klaus G. Grunert & Rebecca Futtrup, MAPP Centre, Aarhus University, Denmark



Following the growth in the demand for organic food in many Western markets, restaurants are following up by using organic produce in their meal preparation. We conduct a study investigating the role of information on use of organic role produce in consumers' restaurant choice, and the role of a state-certified labelling scheme in this context, using the Danish Organic Cuisine Label (OCL) as an example.



### Background

Organic production is a credence characteristic of food and in promoting credence characteristics credible communication is of utmost importance (Fernqvist & Ekelund, 2014). For organic foods aimed at in-home consumption, 3<sup>rd</sup> party certified labelling schemes have been introduced by governments, supra-national bodies like the EU, and NGOs promoting organic production (Janssen & Hamm, 2014; Wu, Yin, Xu, & Zhu, 2014) and the implementation of effective labelling systems has been shown to be a major factor explaining differences in organic growth in different countries (Thøgersen, 2010). Such labelling systems are typically only aimed at the market for foods to be consumed at home, not at the restaurant business. However, it has been argued that restaurants may gain competitive advantage from adopting organic labels, underlining their beliefs in green practices as consumers adopt more proenvironmental behaviours (Jimenez-Chavez, Connors, & Josiam, 2016; Lu & Gursoy, 2017). This might be particularly relevant for Scandinavian markets, where organic foods have become significantly popular. In Denmark, the relative share of organics of the total food market is more than 10%. On this background, the Danish government, which successfully introduced the state-controlled Ø-label for organic food many years ago, introduced in 2009 the Organic Cuisine Label (OCL) in order to promote the use of organic produce in the food service sector. It is a labelling scheme with three levels bronze, silver and gold, depending on the share of organic produce used in meal preparation



#### Methodology

Our study consists of two parts: a preparatory qualitative focus group study and a quantitative survey with a discrete choice experiment. In the discrete choice experiment, respondents were 12 times presented with pairs of hypothetical restaurant descriptions and had to indicate which of them they would choose if they were to eat out. All 24 restaurant descriptions were individually constructed for each respondent by drawing random samples from a factorial universe defined by 13 different attributes that can play a role when choosing a restaurant. One of the attributes was use of organic ingredients, either indicated by one of the three levels of the OCL or by the information that the restaurant was using organic ingredients, but did not have the OCL. The choice experiment forms the basis for the segmentation analysis. In order to validate the segments, we measured intention to eat at a OCL certified restaurant in the future.

#### Results—focus group study

Our study consists of two parts: a preparatory qualitative focus group study and a quantitative survey with a discrete choice experiment. In the discrete choice experiment, respondents were 12 times presented with pairs of hypothetical restaurant descriptions and had to indicate which of them they would choose if they were to eat out. All 24 restaurant descriptions were individually constructed for each respondent by drawing random samples from a factorial universe defined by 13 different attributes that can play a role when choosing a restaurant. One of the attributes was use of organic ingredients, either indicated by one of the three levels of the OCL or by the information that the restaurant was using organic ingredients, but did not have the OCL. The choice experiment forms the basis for the segmentation analysis. In order to validate the segments, we measured intention to eat at a OCL certified restaurant in the future.

#### Conclusion and Implication

The results of our focus group study suggested that the use of organic ingredients is not a major consideration in the choice of restaurants. The results of the survey study conform this in the sense that, for the whole sample, the impact of the OCL and of the use of organic ingredients on restaurant choice was minor. However, we also find that there was a small segment, 10% of the respondents, where the organic attribute was really important for their choice. For the remaining 90%, the OCL played a small, but still significant role.

This result has to be seen in the light of the fact that a restaurant is a service, the quality of which is by definition unknown until the service has been delivered. Restaurant descriptions of the type we used as stimuli in the choice experiment can therefore be viewed as cheap talk, advertising-type messages promising something that cannot be verified before actually visiting the restaurant. The cue utilization framework of quality perception suggests that consumers in such a situation use cues as indicators for the uncertain quality. Certified labels can have such a cue function, as the external certification gives them more credibility than non-committal advertising language. Research on the demand for organic food for home use suggests that the organic label indeed is used as a cue by which consumers infer expectations on the overall quality of the product. For this reason, one could expect that the OCL has impact in the choice experiment, even though the organic attribute was regarded as a minor part of the overall restaurant experience in the focus group study.



### Results—choice experiment

Attributes	Whole sample	Price conscious	<b>Quality</b> conscious	Interested in organic
Segment size		58%	32%	10%
Origin of ingredients	6%	5%	15%	4%
Local ingredients	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
Fresh ingredients	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00
Fresh and local ingredients	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
No info on origin of ingredients	-0.27	-0.27	-0.27	-0.27
Preparation	7%	4%	13%	3%
Prepared from scratch	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
No info on preparation	-0.18	-0.18	-0.18	-0.18
Taste	6%	5%	7%	2%
Especially good taste experience	0.18	0.24	0.10	0.08
No information on taste experience	-0.18	-0.24	-0.10	-0.08
Novelty	3%	2%	5%	1%
Offers dishes that are new	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
No info on novely	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07
Health	4%	3%	9%	2%
Offers healthy dishes	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
No information on health	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12
	3%	2%	6%	2%
Service				
Accomodating	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Fast and assessed dating	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Fast and accomodating	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
No info on service	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12
Competence	1%	1%	2%	2%
Competent	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
No info on competence	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
Space	5%	4%	7%	5%
Ample space	0.18	0.22	0.10	0.29
No info on space	-0.18	-0.22	-0.10	-0.29
Lighting	4%	4%	3%	4%
Warm and agreeable	0.14	0.19	0.03	0.19
No info on lighting	-0.14	-0.19	-0.03	-0.19
Acoustics	3%	3%	3%	5%
Good for conversation	0.11	0.13	0.04	0.25
No info on acoustics	-0.11	-0.13	-0.04	-0.25
Price level	35%	50%	11%	11%
< 200 kr.	1.35	2.26	0.06	0.43
200-400 kr.	0.28	0.35	0.13	0.35
> 400 kr.	-1.63	-2.61	-0.19	-0.78
Organics and OCL	16%	11%	8%	52%
OCL gold	0.60	0.50	0.08	2.74
OCL silver	0.39	0.34	0.06	1.65
OCL bronze	0.15	0.16	0.04	0.41
No info on organics	-0.71	-0.61	-0.15	-2.99
Uses organics but is not OCL certified	-0.43	-0.39	-0.03	-1.81
Food safety certification (smiley)	9%	7%	12%	8%
Тор	0.30	0.35	0.19	0.37
Good	0.02	0.02	-0.01	0.14
Adequate	-0.32	-0.37	-0.18	-0.51

A complete manuscript is available from the authors at klg@mgmt.au.dk. Copyright 2022 by [author(s)]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided this copyright notice appears on all such copies