

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

. 5 DEPT OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARI

OCT 2 9 1964

- 35 -

THE IMPORT SITUATION FOR BEEF AND VEAL _ CURRENT SEDIAL REGION

Cattlemen have experienced recurring price difficulties in the fed cattle market in recent years. During the same period, imports of beef and veal have been increasing. Because of growing imports and price pressures in the fed cattle market, questions have been raised whether imports are the primary cause of lower fed cattle prices. This statement attempts to summarize some of the relevant considerations relating to this concern of the cattle industry.

Imports are Increasing

Reserve

A 2 81.9 A 5 83E

Imports of beef and veal have been increasing in recent years, reaching 1,445 million pounds carcass weight in 1962 (table A). Imports during January-August 1963 were 22 percent above the same months of 1962. Australia, which, in 1962, contributed 46 percent to the total U.S. tonnage imported, showed the largest increase as a source of imports over recent years.

Prior to 1959, imports from Australia were relatively small (table K). In late 1958 the United Kingdom-Australian Meat Agreement, which restricted Australia from shipping other than token quantities of meat to countries other than the United Kingdom was modified. Since then, Australia has increased its meat production and exports, and has emphasized exports to the United States. Australian exports of beef and veal amounted to 549 million pounds in 1962, of which 81 percent was shipped to the United States. Cattle numbers in Australia have increased in the last 4 years, and supplies of meats for export are expected to continue at high levels.

New Zealand contributed 22 percent of the total product imported into the United States and was the second largest supplier last year. For the past 3 years, the United States has been the major market for New Zealand's boneless beef exports, taking over 90 percent of its exports in all 3 years.

Imports of beef and veal from Argentina, a large supplier of the U.S. market in prior years, have declined to a low level. The primary reason for this is the decline in demand for canned meat, which came largely from Argentina.

In addition to beef and veal imports, 1,232,000 head of dutiable cattle and calves were imported from Canada and Mexico in 1962 (tables C, G and H). For the first 8 months of 1963, imports of live animals were 5 percent below year-earlier levels. Beef and veal imports plus the meat equivalent of feeder cattle imports have risen in recent years at a faster rate than U. S. beef and veal production. In 1962, beef and veal imports and the carcass equivalent of live cattle imports equaled 10.6 percent of domestic production, compared with 7.9 in 1961 (table I). These imports have been continuing at about the same percentage rate thus far in 1963.

> Reprinted from the Livestock and Meat Situation, LMS-134, November 1963, by the Economic and Statistical Analysis Division, Economic Research Service

> > NOVEMBER 1963

ERS-150

	•				Beef				: :	Total
Year	: Fresh : Eresh : and : frozen:	Pickled and cured	Canned	Sausage	Other beef	: Other : canned : N.S.P.F.	: : Boneless :	Total beef	: Total: : veal : :	beef and veal
	: 1,000 : pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds
1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963	: 7,520 : 6,112 : 5,140 : 32,863 : 58,880 : 39,136 : 14,685 : 25,096 : 18,767 :	27,416 6,172 9,799 12,794 7,250 8,407 1,107 1,115 620	168,784 172,498 143,999 188,624 224,606 187,441 151,538 188,563 166,238	398 371 468 586 874 1,230 1,135 1,128 1,159	8,187 8,305 7,338 7,976 12,691 10,439 8,369 10,010 16,223	5,766 6,629 6,915 18,975 176,753 120,083 26,636 29,833 28,908	12,537 28,674 36,894 128,520 414,488 680,317 556,765 764,905 1,187,632	230,608 228,761 210,553 390,338 895,542 1,047,053 760,235 1,020,650 1,419,547	1,048 275 245 4,878 13,506 16,138 15,275 16,474 25,511	231,656 229,036 210,798 395,216 909,048 1,063,191 775,510 1,037,124 1,445,058
(JanAug.)	:12,255 : :	533	148,626	669	12,123	22,461	876,756	1,073,423	12,100	1,085,523

Table A .-- United States beef and veal imports, carcass weight equivalent

Table B .--- United States production of beef and veal by major classes, imports of beef and veal, and prices

Year	Product: and he and	ion of steer ifer beef, veal <u>l</u> /	Produc cow and bee	ction of nd bull ef <u>1</u> /	Import: and	s of beef veal <u>2</u> /	Cow a beef pi plus	and bull roduction imports	Pric Chi	es at cago
	Actual	:Per capita	Actual	:Per capita:	Actual	: Per capita:	Actual	: Per capita:	Utility cows	Choice steers
	Mil. lb	. Lb.	Mil. lb	. Lb.	Mil. lb	Lb.	Mil. 1b	Lb.	Dol.	Dol.
1947	7,564	53.0	4,025	28.5	64	0.4	4,089	28.7	14.26	26.22
1948	6,495	44.7	3,594	24.8	356	2.4	3,950	27.2	19.49	30.96
1949	7,412	50.2	2,970	20.1	254	1.7	3,224	21.8	16.33	26.07
1950	7,235	48.2	3,150	21.0	505	3.4	3,655	24.3	19.36	29.68
1951	6,543	43.3	2,978	19.7	575	3.8	3,553	23.5	24.48	35.96
1952	7,482	48.8	2,935	19.1	476	3.1	3,411	22.2	19.53	33.18
1953	9,760	62.6	3,746	24.0	333	2.1	4,079	26.1	12.41	24.14
1954	10,031	63.0	4,121	25.9	267	1.7	4,449	28.0	11.46	24.66
1955	10,251	63.2	4,449	27.4	322	2.0	4,771	29.4	11.52	23.16
1956	11,262	68.1	4,369	26.4	254	1.5	4,623	28.0	11.37	22.30
1957	11,208	66.6	4,086	24.3	616	3.7	4,702	27.9	13.61	23.83
1958	10,894	63.6	3,192	18.6	1,249	7.3	4,441	25.9	18.41	27.42
1959	11,278	64.6	2,884	16.5	1,254	7.2	4,138	23.7	17.79	27.83
1960	12,387	69.8	3,012	17.0	938	5.3	3,950	22.3	15.68	26.24
1961	13,137	72.8	2,753	15.3	1,287	7.1	4,040	22.4	15.66	24.65
1962	12,945	70.8	2,922	16.0	1,725	9.4	4,677	25.6	15.50	27.67

 $\underline{1}/$ Estimated from total commercial slaughter. $\underline{2}/$ Includes meat equivalent of live animals imported.

	:		Fro	n Canada	a		•		Fr	om Mexico	C	
Month	•	1961	0 0 0 0	1962	6 0 0 0	1963	:	1961	•	1962	:	1963
	:	Number	1	Number		Number		Number		Number		Number
Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	22,321 8,618 9,837 14,744 18,560 13,822 32,867 59,886 65,101 122,866 110,327 27,352		23,371 14,694 24,412 27,411 32,784 16,870 14,476 21,978 28,402 01,066 35,561 57,757		29,253 15,383 11,997 22,422 17,533 11,480 9,205 10,090		68,909 47,411 59,976 65,741 32,109 8,311 3,944 11,061 16,319 43,396 78,986 104,034		82,886 83,777 83,568 73,673 50,970 15,085 8,748 16,547 25,594 71,273 129,043 131,751		105,876 71,382 58,070 84,077 46,297 15,326 14,681 10,154
Year	•	506,301	49	98,782				540,197		772,915		

Table C.--Inspected imports of cattle, by months, 1961 to date 1/

1/ Inspected when offered for importation.

Compiled from reports of the Animal Inspection and Quarantine Division of the Agricultural Research Service.

Kind of Beef Imported

Imported beef is largely boneless frozen lower-grade beef suitable mainly for use in the processed meat industry. Of the beef and veal imports thus far in 1963, carcass weight, 81 percent was boneless beef; 14 percent was canned beef. Relatively little bone-in or chilled beef was imported.

The composition of beef and veal imports has changed since the mid-1950's. During the period 1954-56, canned beef, mainly from South American countries, made up 72 percent of the total imports of beef and veal. As largescale transportation and handling facilities of frozen products developed, imports of boneless beef took on increasing importance. Some of the boneless frozen beef is suitable for uses other than processed products. Even so, quality is believed to compare generally to that of the lower grades of domestic beef.

The volume of beef and veal imports was relatively large when cow slaughter was low and vice versa. Thus, the volume of imports tended to vary with the cattle cycle: cow slaughter tends to decline when cattle inventories are increasing and rise when herds are being reduced. For example, beef and



veal imports were nearly cut in half when production of U. S. cow beef rose from an average of 3.0 billion pounds in 1950-52 to 4.3 billion pounds in 1954-56 (table B). During the same period, beef and veal imports plus the carcass equivalent of live animals decreased from an average of 512 million pounds to 284 million. Prices of Utility cows at the high level of imports (1950-52) averaged \$21.12 and dropped to a low of \$11.45 during 1954-56, indicating that prices of Utility cows have affected imports. There is no assurance that a pattern identical with that of the last cattle cycle will be followed when domestic cow slaughter again increases.

Impact on Cattle Prices

Cattle prices in the short term are influenced primarily by the volume of cattle slaughtered. Fed cattle prices depend largely on the number and weight of fed cattle marketed and the resulting production of fed beef. Similarly, cow prices depend principally on the supply of cow beef. To the extent that cow beef may compete with fed beef for the consumer's dollar, cow prices have some effect on fed beef prices and vice versa. Imports affect these prices by changing the total supply of beef of that quality.

Prices of Utility cows at Chicago have remained relatively stable since 1959 even though imports have increased substantially during this period. This IMS-134

is because the increase in imports came about when domestic cow slaughter was low. In 1962, the total supply of domestic production of cow beef plus imports of beef and veal averaged about the same as the annual average during 1954-57. On a per capita basis, it was below that of the earlier period. Even including the further rise in imports in 1963, per capita production of cow beef plus imports is still below that of the earlier period. Prices in early October are continuing at about 1962 levels.

The decrease in domestic production of cow beef has come about because cattlemen have been building their herds since 1958. The number of cattle on feed also was larger each year. These trends are in response to more demand for beef, due to larger population, higher levels of income, and the continuing growth in consumer preference for beef. In order to build up cattle numbers, cattlemen have culled cow herds at a low rate. This meant relatively low domestic production of cow beef and relatively favorable prices of lower grade meat; thus, imports of beef were encouraged.

Fed beef, on the other hand, accounts for the principal part of total domestic commercial slaughter. Prices and quantity movements over the past decade indicate that fed cattle prices are primarily affected by changes in marketings of fed cattle. They are also influenced to a lesser extent by supplies of lower-grade beef. As indicated in the chart on page 43, a close inverse relationship exists between the volume of steer and heifer beef produced per person and prices. For example, the sharp drop in fed cattle prices in winter and spring of this year was associated with an upturn in fed cattle slaughter. Results from a statistical analysis relating steer and heifer beef production per person with prices of Choice steers at Chicago showed that three-fourths of the quarterly changes in prices could be explained by changes in steer and heifer beef production.

Relationship Between Imports and Cattle Prices Based on Statistical Analysis

The analysis in this section is divided into 2 parts: (1) the measurement of the impact of cow beef supplies (including imports) and fed beef production on cow prices and on fed cattle prices and (2) the estimation of the impact of beef and veal imports on these prices at different levels of imports.

Because beef and veal were not imported in appreciable amounts until 1958, we lack sufficient annual data to make a direct statistical measurement of the impact of imports on the fed cattle market. Quarterly data are not used because some imports may not go into consumption during the same quarter they are imported. Since the quality of imported beef is generally comparable to that of domestic cow beef, we can estimate the approximate effect of imports on cattle prices if we know how cow beef pro-. duction plus imports affects prices. LMS -134

The statistical study is based on annual data for the period 1948-62. The fed cattle market and the cow market are considered as distinct markets. However, they are related to the extent that cow beef may compete with fed beef for the consumer's dollar. The degree of this competition is reflected in the relationship between prices of fed cattle and cow prices. This relationship depends on the relative supplies of fed and cow beef. These prices may also be affected by supplies of other red meats, supplies of poultry meats, and other factors common to all meats such as consumer income.

Several statistical analyses were run to quantify the relationships discussed in the preceding paragraph and the results are shown in table D. 1/ One analysis relates the price of Choice steers at Chicago to production of steer and heifer beef, production of domestic cow beef plus imports, consumer income, and consumption of other red meats. A second analysis relates the price of Utility cows at Chicago to the same factors.

As indicated in table D, fed cattle prices are influenced primarily by fed beef production. For the period 1948-62, a change of 10 percent in steer and heifer beef production caused prices of Choice steers at Chicago to change in the opposite direction by an average of about 13 percent. On the other hand, a change of 10 percent in domestic cow beef production plus imports caused prices of Choice steers to change in the opposite direction by only 3 percent. These average changes are net changes and take into account the effects of other factors in the analysis. These percentage relationships can also be translated to pounds and dollars at 1962 levels. In this case, a 1 pound per capita change in steer and heifer production results in a change in the opposite direction of

1/ The statistical analyses were least squares regression analyses using annual data in logarithms for the period 1948-62. In these regressions, steer and heifer beef production was used as a variable to represent the volume moving through the fed beef market; domestic cow beef production plus imports represented the volume moving through the cow beef market. Both these quantities, as well as competing supplies and consumer income, are on a per capita basis to allow for population growth. In addition, consumer incomes and prices are divided by the consumer price index to take into account changes in the consumer's purchasing power.

Both regressions originally included poultry meat, but poultry meat was dropped because its inclusion affected some of the regression coefficients due to the high degree of correlation between this variable and income. The effect of this intercorrelation apparently could not be observed in the cow beef regression. However, for comparative purposes only the regressions with the same variables are shown.

Both regressions also take into account (through use of a zero-one shift variable) conditions that may have been different in the current cattle cycle beginning in 1958. Also, during the recent period, imports were at a larger volume and cattle on feed increased considerably.

Table D.--The effect of selected factors on the price of Choice steers and Utility cows at Chicago as measured by a statistical analysis 1948-62 1/

	Deflated price	e at Chicago of
Selected lactor	Choice steers	Utility cows
	Percent	Percent
Effect on price of a 1-percent change in-	-:	
Per capita supply of steer and heifer beef	: –1.33	-2.29
imports of beef and veal	. – .29	74
veal, lamb and mutton	<u>2</u> /27	-1.20
by consumer price index	1.25	2.61

1/ Based on statistical (regression) analyses using annual data in logarithms for the period 1948-62. The regression analysis also included a shift variable (zero-one variable) which took into account that conditions may have been different in the period 1948-57 and 1958-62. See footnote 1 in text, p. 40. 2/ Coefficient does not differ significantly from zero when tested at the 5-percent level.

about 50 cents in the price of Choice steers at Chicago. On the other hand, a 1 pound change in the cow beef plus import aggregate affects the Choice steer price by about 30 cents.

With respect to cow beef prices, a 10 percent change in domestic cow beef production plus imports caused prices of Utility cows at Chicago to change about 7.5 percent in the opposite direction. On the other hand, the effect on Utility cow prices of a 10 percent change in steer and heifer beef production was 23 percent in the opposite direction.

In percentage terms, the effect of fed beef production on cow prices appears to be substantially greater than the influence of cow beef production plus imports on cow prices. However, when these percentages are translated to pounds and dollars at 1962 levels, a 1 pound per capita change in either cow beef or fed beef production results in a change in the opposite direction of about 50 cents in the price of Utility cows.

Veen	•	Choic	e steers at (Chicago	Util:	ity cows at (Chicago
rear	:	Actual	: Estimated : 1/	Difference	Actual	: Estimated : 1/	Difference
	:	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
1948	•	30.96	31.32	.36	19.49	19.42	07
1949		26.07	26.68	.61	16.33	15.82	51
1950		29.68	29.36	32	19.36	18.50	86
1951		35.96	36.23	.27	24.48	25.24	.76
1952		33.18	32.20	.98	19.53	20.29	.76
1953		24.14	23.73	41	12.41	12.28	13
1954		24.66	23.50	-1.16	11.46	12.18	.72
1955		23.16	23.65	.49	11.52	11.77	.25
1956		22.30	22.64	.34	11.37	11.03	34
1957		23.83	24.51	.68	13.61	13.21	40
1958		27.42	27.86	.44	18.41	18.05	36
1959		27.83	27.85	.02	17.79	17.37	42
1960		26.24	26.25	.01	15.68	16.02	•34
1961		24.65	25.41	.76	15.66	15.59	-•07
1962		27.67	26.38	-1.29	15.50	15.92	•42

Table E.--Observed and estimated prices of Choice steers and Utility cows at Chicago, 1948-1962

1/ Estimated prices calculated from regression equation based on data in logarithms for the period 1948-62. The regression analysis used deflated prices, which adjusted for the purchasing power of the consumers' dollar. However, the prices shown in this table are in current dollars.

For the two regressions, the variables used explained 99 percent of the variation in prices of Utility cows and 98 percent of the variation in prices of Choice steers. Table E compares the prices estimated from the regression analysis with those actually prevailing during the period.

The data in table F use the results from table D to indicate the influence of imports on cattle prices. Table F shows that the amount of influence on price is affected by the level of imports relative to domestic production. Table F indicates that when imports equal about 10 percent of total domestic beef production--as they have recently--an increase of 10 percent in imports would cause, on the average, a drop of about 1 percent in the price of Choice steers. If imports are a smaller proportion of domestic production, the effect on fed cattle prices is less; if they are a larger proportion, the effect on prices is greater.

change in imp	orts of assumed	beef and veal und levels of imports	der different s	
Assumed levels of imports as percent	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Estimated effe change i price	ect of a 10 percent in imports on e of <u>2</u> /	
production <u>1</u> /	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Choice steers at Chicago	: Utility cows : at Chicago :	
Percent		Percent	Percent	
5		-0.7	-1.7	
10		-1.1	-2.7	
15		-1.4	-3.5	
20		-1.6	-4.0	

Table F .-- Estimated impact on cattle prices of a 10 percent

1/ Domestic production of beef and veal are held constant at 1962-63 levels.

2/ The estimated effects of beef and veal imports on cattle prices are based on the supply-price relationships shown in table D.



Table G .-- Imports of cattle from Canada and Mexico, excluding breeding animals, 1952 to date

	*		Dutiable c	attle	
Year	700 po unds	and over	: Under	: 200 to	: : Total
	Cows for : dairy : purposes :	Other	: 200 : pounds	: 699 : pounds	: dutiable : cattle :
	Head	Head	Head	Head	Head
1952 <u>1</u> / 1953 <u>2</u> / 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 JanJuly	4,636 21,811 17,633 25,252 22,678 18,857 19,586 14,998 20,247 24,972 15,481 6,776	4,244 22,931 46,798 17,543 2,914 186,036 230,025 90,259 60,865 88,660 72,205 34,899	714 3,515 2,872 3,256 3,571 10,486 13,580 30,738 32,079 28,605 41,315 35,471	968 896 3,377 2,218 1,390 151,059 373,671 186,630 140,471 337,452 351,336 30,324	10,562 49,153 70,680 48,269 30,553 366,438 636,862 322,625 253,662 479,689 480,337 107,470
			From Mexi	.co	
1952 <u>3/</u> 1953 <u>4</u> /	2,381 175	43,617 25,364	96 485	81,185 101,901	127,279 127,925
1955 <u>5</u> / 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 JanJuly	1,424 1,684 480 1,255 1,597 371 46 34 7	56,153 11,124 44,236 80,589 45,697 19,631 36,410 36,732 16,078	539 848 7,914 3,231 1,037 1,773 8,655 24,925 23,408	189,631 96,594 283,842 403,166 317,095 369,113 497,999 690,228 391,004	247,747 110,250 336,472 488,241 365,426 390,888 543,110 751,919 430,497

From Canada

1/ Imports prohibited beginning February 25, 1962 due to foot-and-mouth 2/ Embargo removed March 1, 1953.
3/ Embargo removed September 1, 1952.
4/ Imports prohibited boging in the second sec disease.

Imports prohibited beginning May 23, 1953.

5/ Embargo removed January 1, 1955.

Compiled from official records of the Bureau of the Census.

T	×,	10		٦	2	1
1	~	2	-	4	Э	-

K ---Meat animal imports: Number of cattle, sheep and hogs imported, United States, 1940 to date $\frac{1}{2}/$

Table

		hogs 13/	Head	312 45.167	549	217	625	1,304	3, 180 2.383	1,385	985	1,485 196	24,425	30,715	6,588	382	0 140	2.367	6,162	3,151	3,277
Нодв	Breed-	$\frac{\ln g}{\ln e g}$	Head	38	68	192	545	1,189	2,925	1,335	865	535	395	1	1	1		1		1	-
	1	$\frac{\text{Dutl}}{3}$	Head	274 45,117	460	202	80	115	275 355	20	120	970 185	24,030	30,715	6,500 200	382	0 140	2.367	6,162	3,151	3,277
abs	Total	sheep and lambs	Head .	3,383 12,202	5,463	4,200 140,733	101,140	7,512	54.409	41,254	100,467	16,903 907	2,151	1,480	7,640	3,150	20 760	75.521	49,767	979	20,845
p and lar	Breeding	sheep (free) 2/	Head	3,178 3,829	3,604	3,257	3,171	3,627	4,029 3.892	2,692	3, 340	2,591 603	1,283	1	1	1	1 1 1 1	1			8
Shee	** ** **	Dut1	Head	205 8,373	1,859	137,476	94,969	3,885	50.517	38,562	97,127	14,312 304	868	1,480	, 1, 640	3, L70	30 769	75.521	49,767	979	20,845
		Total cattle	Head	643,832 749,757	668,851 660,610	358,269	511,623	557,904	04,505 461.679	433,458	460,969	230,959	198,226	86,056	344,377	L59,359	200,121 701,921,1	708.755	663,174	1,042,721	1,250,029
	Breeding	cattle (free) $\frac{2}{}$	Head	13,621 16,375	16,218 22,078	16,791	22,230	42,186	30,040 42,853	21,332	22,684	19,130 2,413	21,066	15,376	10, 301	10,554	26, 10L	20,699	18,624	19,922	17,773
	4	able cattle	Head	30,211 733,382	652,633	341,478	489, 393	515,718	24,421 418,826	412,126	438,285	138,048	177,160	70,680	270 012	700,01F	1.126.213	688,056	644,550	1,022,799	1,232,256
	ıds	Total	Head	450,891 514,507	453,013	282,158	403,414	423,718	119,906	168,149	218,694	83,090	106,831	6,249	195,044	LUZ,4U3	793,648	535,500	543,436	872,711	1,107,804
Cattle	er 700 pour	200 to 699 Pounds	Head .	346,289 412,312	386,495	276,297	393,672	413,665 6.010	2,010 96,335	126,614	179,709	82,280	102,831	3,377	TAT,049	10,004	776.837	503,725	509,584	835,451	,041,564]
	Unde	Under 200 pounds	Head	104,602 102,195	66,518 11. 260	5,861	9,742	10,053	6,042 23,571	41,535	38,985	чо, ст 810	4,000	2,872	3, (95		16,811	31,775	33,852	37,260	66,240 1
	ls and over	ther : Total	Jead Head	59,720 179,320 5,488 218,875	30,054 199,620	25,696 59,320	41,995 85,979	25,915 92,000	000 44,000 14,645 298,920	A, 916 243,977	73,000 219,591	1,419 153,105 17,941 54,958	18,320 70,329	46,798 64,431	(3,090 LUU,3/2	14,030 30,402	11.724 332.565	35,956 152,556	30,496 101,114	25,070 150,088	08,937 124,452
	700 pound	Cows for: dairy : (purposes :	Head	9,600 16 13,387 20	19,566 1£	33,624 2	43,984 1	66,085	43,911 84,275 21	49,061 15	46,591 11	7,017 4	22,009 4	17,633	20,070	24,304	20.841 31	16,600 13	20,618 8	25,018 12	15,515 1C
	Year			941	942	944	945:	946	948	949	950	952	953:	954:		970	958	959:	960	961:	962:

- 45 -

 $\underline{4}/$ Sheep and hogs for breeding are included with "Animals for breeding n.e.c." beginning 1954.

 $\underline{1}/$ Imports for consumption 1940 to date. Imports from Virgin Islands not included.

 $\underline{2}/$ Includes other imports not subject to duty.

NOVEMBER 1963

 $\underline{3}/$ Imports reported in pounds beginning 1940; pounds converted to 200-pound hog equivalent.

Table I .-- United States imports of cattle and beef, lambs and lamb and mutton compared with production, 1950-63

	0400-		5 and beer			
	•	Impor	ts		: Meat	: Imports
Veer	:Live a	nimals	•	· Totol	: pro-	as a per-
TCAT	Number	Meat equiv-	: Meat	· 0/	: duction	:centage of
	: HUMBOCI	alent 1/	•	: =/	: 3/	:production
	:1,000 head	Mil. 1b.	Mil. lb.	Mil. lb.	Mil. lb.	Pct.
	•					
1950	: 438	157	348	505	10,764	4.7
1951	: 220	91	484	575	9,896	5.8
1952	: 138	47	429	476	10,819	4.4
1953	: 177	62	271	333	13,953	2.4
1954	: 71	35	232	267	14,610	1.8
1955	: 296	93	229	322	15,147	2.1
1956	: 141	43	211	254	16,094	1.6
1957	: 703	221	395	616	15,728	3.9
1958	: 1,126	340	909	1,249	14,516	8.6
1959	: 688	191	1,063	1,254	14,588	8.6
1960	: 645	163	775	938	15,835	5.9
1961	: 1,023	250	1,037	1,287	16,341	7.9
1962	: 1,232	280	1,445	1,725	16,311	10.6
JanAug. 1962	: 583	132	893	1,025	10,895	9.4
JanAug. 1963	: 555	118	1,086	1,204	11,386	10.6
	•					
	•	Lamb	s and lamb	and mutto	n	
2.0.50	•	0	0	<i>(</i>		2 0
1950	: 97	_3	3	6	597	1.0
1951	: 14	$\frac{5}{2}$.((521	1.3
1952	: <u>4/</u>	$\frac{5}{2}$ /	6	6	648	•9
1953	: 1	$\frac{5}{2}$	3	3	.(29	•4
1954	: 1	<u>5</u> /,	2	2	.734	• 3
1955	: 8	5/	2	2	758	• 3
1956	: 3	<u>5</u> /	1	1	741	•1
1957	: 18	1	4	, 5	707	•7
1958	: 40	1	41	42	688	6.1
1959	: 76	2	104	T06	738	14.4
1960	: 50	1	87	88	768	11.5
1961	: 1	<u>3</u> /	101	101	832	12.1
1962	: 21	1,	143	144	809	17.8
JanAug 1962	: 3	3/	95	95	533	17.8
Jan Aug 1963	: 1	<u>3</u> /	115	115	503	22.9

Cattle and calves and beef and yeal

1/ Estimated at 53 percent of the live weight of all dutiable imports of cattle and for lambs an average 30 pound carcass.

2/ Canned and other processed meats have been converted to their carcass weight equivalent.

3/ Total production (including an estimate for farm slaughter). 4/ Less than 500 head.

 $\overline{5}$ / Less than 500,000 pounds.

			with	n U. S. J Mattle ar	productic id calves	on by mor	iths, 19 ⁴ ef and ve	58-63 2a.1					
Year and item	: Jan.	. Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year
	: Mil.	Mil.	Mil. 1b.	Mil. 1b.	Mil. 1b.	Mil. 1b.	MIL. 1b.	Mil. 1b.	M11. 1b.	MLL. 1b.	M11. J.b.	MJ1.	
958 Imports $\frac{1}{2}$ Domestic production $\frac{2}{2}$		90 1,046	79 1,076	94 1,113	96 1,152	95 1,169	1,22 123	1,171	123 1,242	121 1,323	111 1,059	120 1,174	1,249 14,086
Imports: Percent of domestic production	. 6.5	8.6	7 • 3	8.4	8.3	8.1	6.6	9.6	6.6	9.1	10.5	10.2	8.9
959 Imports <u>1</u> / Domestic production <u>2</u> /	: : 103 :1,202	88 1,013	79 1,102	1,172	115 1,141	131 1,185	1.,246	114 1,159	143 1,264	86 1,278	73 1,160	1,240	1,254 14,162
<pre>lmports: Percent of domestic production</pre>	8.6	8.7	7.2	9.3	10.1	11.1	8.7	9.8	11.3	6.8	6.3	8.5	8.9
960 Imports <u>1</u> / Domestic production <u>2</u> /		72 1,162	74 1,284	90 1,1 ⁴ 1	72 1,279	76 1,332	85 1,251	113 1,406	81 1,399	64 1,350	62 1,281	76 1,229	938 15,399
Imports: Percent of domestic production	. 5.7	6.2	5.8	7.9	5.6	5.7	6.8	8.0	5.8	4.7	4.8	6.2	6.1
961 Imports $\underline{1}$ / Domestic production $\underline{2}$ /		66 1,159	80 1,324	99 1,209	78 1,400	111 1,412	117 1,279	153 1,433	111 1,352	134 1,427	151 1,321	112 1,240	1,287 15,890
Imports: Percent of domestic production	5.7	5.7	6.0	8.2	5.6	7.9	9.1	10.7	8.2	9.4	11.4	0.6	8.1
962 Imports <u>1</u> / Domestic production <u>2</u> /	: : 121 :1,409	98 1,180	170 1,310	119 1,212	99 1,391	119 1,348	118 1,360	182 1,429	168 1,275	165 1,450	191. 1,288	176 1,215	1,725 15,867
Imports: Fercent of domestic production	8.6	8.3	13.0	9.8	7 • J	8.8	8.7	12.7	13.2	11.4	14.8	14.5	10.9
963 Imports <u>1</u> / Domestic production <u>2</u> /	. 121 :1,424	175 1,230	158 1,344	119 1,369	149 1,470	125 1,373	175 1,442	181 1,473					
umports: rercent or domestic production	. 8.5	14.2	11.8	8.7	10.1	9.1	12.1	12.3					
$\frac{1}{2}$ (Commercial beef and	at equiv d veal p	ralent of productio	live cat n. (Does	ttle and s not inc	calf imp	ports. m slaugh	ter.)						

LMS-134

NOVEMBER 1963

mports	Carcass weight equiva-		1,063 1,063 1,445 1,445	24 57 87 143 101 143	193 186 186 187 135 135	1,126 1,306 1,306 1,325 1,149	
Total j	Product weight		619.2 722.3 512.6 689.2 603.7 603.7	24.0 756.8 759.8 79.2 79.2 79.2	182.8 1774.9 1774.3 173.7 203.8 203.8 127.4	826.0 954.1 733.7 918.7 1,252.9 790.6	
	All Other		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	наа а́роа́ра́а	$\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}{w}}\overset{w}{w}\overset{w}}$	64.5 86.5 89.5 67.4 7.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5 87.5	
	New Zealand		183.7 161.6 151.6 154.4 213.6 138.3	7.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1		190.8 174.6 139.9 165.2 224.8 147.5	beef.
	: Austra-		17.7 224.0 144.7 233.9 444.9 253.0	14.6 40.6 55.9 50.1	11110	32.3 264.6 278.5 510.8 303.1	be mostly
weight	Ireland		23.8 42.0 64.4 40.0 40.0			23.9 64.6 72.9 41.1	umed to
product .		I	0 0 0		14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5557 5557 5557 557 557 557 557 557 557	.S. ASS
origin,	Poland	pounds			257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0 257.0	26.25 26.25 26.25 26.25 26.25 26.25	neat n.e
try of (West Germany	Million	0 0,00,0,4,0		0 L L L M + 	20000 2000 2000 2000	served 1
by cour	Denmark	I	N M M M M M N M M M M N M M M M		38.7 40.7 44.2 44.2 44.2 44.2 44.2	41.2 45.2 71.5 71.5	ed or pre
Imports,	Brazil	- 00	130°00 110°00 110°00 111°00 110°000 10000 1000 10000 1000 1000 1000 10000 1000 10000			11000000000000000000000000000000000000	prepare
	Argen- tina		216.7 52.6 55.2 55.9 53.9			216.7 128.6 52.7 55.2 55.0 53.5	canned,
	Mexico		77 399.9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			74 79 79 79 79 79 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	of other
	Canada		1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1192.00 1193.0		61.9 59.1 44.7 44.8 46.8 25.7	1116.7 82.5 66.3 66.3 66.7 36.8	ntities
Product.	year		Beef and veal:1/ 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962	amb and mutton: 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 Jan-July.	orrk: 1958. 1960. 1961. 1961. 1962. 1962. 1962 Jan-July.	lotal: 1958 1959 1961 1961 1962	1/ Includes qua

Table K. Meat imports: United States, by country of origin, 1958 to date

LMS-134

NOVEMBER 1963

Compiled from official records of the Bureau of the Census.

			Ex	ports, by	destinat	ion, produ	sct weight					Total e and shi	exports Ipments	-10-
Product and year	Canada	Mexico	Bahamas	West : Germany :	Jamaica	rinidad.	Nether - 1 Lands	Venezuela:	All other	Total	Shipments: to Terri-: tories 1/:	Product: weight _c	Carcass weight equivalent	
	Mil. 1b.	Mil.	Mil.	Mil. lb.	Mil. Ib.	Mil. 1b.	Mil. Ib.	Mil.	Mil. 1b.	Mil.	MIL.		M11. 1b.	
Beef and veal: 1958 1959 1960 1961	15.8 17.6 19.5 16.9	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.9 8 1.9 8 1.9	1010	1.66 1.1 1.1 1	0 0 N 0 N N 0	0.1 .2 .1 .1	0.6 1.0 .1	66535 6473	24.8 27.4 29.9 27.1	8.8 9.9 13.3 13.8	33.6 37.3 41.7 40.9	4 5 2 3 7 3 7 4 7 8 6 7 7 8 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	
Lamb and mutton: 1958 1959 1960 1961	キキトぃぃ	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	-, <i>, , , , , ,</i> ,	 	ରାରାରାରା	00000	-	ລາດາດາດາດາ	in ver v L			0.655 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ରା ରା ରା ରା M	
Pork: 1958 1959 1960 1961	1.1 16.3 33.8 33.8	11.0 6.7 6.7	8.0.1. 	1 2 1 3 1 7 2 7 3 7 7 2 7 3 7 7 3 7 7 3 7 7 4 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	9.0400 9.0400 9.0400	3.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9	1.8 .7 .2	5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1	37.3 44.7 33.0 7.8 7.8	54.3 70.9 69.7 63.3 63.7	48.2 55.4 53.3 56.9 57.5	102.5 126.3 122.7 125.2 121.2	118 143 138 132	
Total: <u>3</u> / 1958	20.4 22.6 37.2 56.2 51.7	11.9 7.1 7.0 7.0	0.1.8.1.0 	4 67 4 67 4 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7	0 0 1 0 0 5 5 5 0 0	1.1.8 3.3.7.8 7.7.8 7.7.8	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	7.00 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	48.0 53.6 19.3 19.3	90.9 108.8 107.6 105.6 97.8	78.0 85.5 95.0 98.2	168.9 194.3 195.0 200.6 196.0	169 198 196 199	
<u>1/ Fuerto Rico and</u> <u>2/ Less than 50,00</u> <u>3/ Including sausa</u> food. Source: Com	Virgin . 0 pounds ge, bolog piled fro	Islands an gna and fr m officia	nd Guam. ankfurter. il records	s canned to Bh	and not cf ureau of (anned, sau Census.	tsage ing	redients,	meat and	meat proc	luets n.e.c.	, and can	ned baby	

LNS-13

NOVEMBER 1963

÷1

