

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



1. 9 C 73 A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FED 13 1944 4

Bureau of Agricultural Economics

--0--

Agricultural Economics Bibliography No. 63

GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF COTTON PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES 1933-1935

A Selected List of References.

Compiled by Emily L. Day,
Library Specialist in Cotton Marketing
Under the Direction of Mary G. Lacy, Librarian,
Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

Washington, D. C. January, 1936



FOREWORD

Plans for the control of cotton production, including the enactment and administration of Federal legislation, have been the subject of many publications in recent years. References for this bibliography were selected from the period 1933-1935. For reference to earlier publications the bibliography on "Agricultural Relief" (number 50 in this series) should be consulted.

Some references to the effect of production-control legislation on the tenant farmer are included but a more comprehensive bibliography on this phase of the subject has recently appeared as Agricultural Economics Bibliography No. 59, Farm Tenancy in the United States, 1925-1935.


The references included in this bibliography were taken from Cotton Literature - Selected References, volumes 3 to 5 inclusive (January 1933 to December 1935). In the preparation of this current periodical new books and periodicals received by the United States Department of Agriculture Library, the Bureau of Agricultural Economics Library and the Division of Cotton Marketing Branch Library are examined and the following indexes are checked:

Agricultural Index
Public Affairs Information Service
Industrial Arts Index
Readers' Guide to Periodical Literature

The bibliography is arranged alphabetically by author or by title if there is no author. An index of authors and subjects is appended.

Mary G. Lacy, Librarian,
Bureau of Agricultural Economics,
U. S. Department of Agriculture.

January, 1936.



GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF COTTON PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES 1933-1935.

A Selected List of References
Compiled by Emily L. Day
Library Specialist in Cotton Marketing
Under the Direction of Mary G. Lacy, Librarian
Bureau of Agricultural Economics

1. The AAA and cotton's future. Does production control represent the sum total of what the Government has to offer cotton and the South? Is displaced agricultural labor to be left on relief rolls? Tex. Weekly 10(33): 4-6. Aug. 18, 1934.

Discusses the present Government policies.

- 2. A.C.S.A. protests. Cotton Digest 5(19): 11. Mar. 25, 1933.

 "Statement by the American Cotton Shippers Association with respect to the proposed emergency agricultural bill now before Congress. The statement is signed by T. F. Bush, President."
- 3. Adams, Orville. "Shall we soon eat bread." Bankhead act now in United States Supreme Court. Cotton and Cotton Oil Press 36(45): [3]-4. Nov. 9, 1935.

The author discusses "the brief and argument of Messrs. Ralph W. Malone, George E. Seay and Henry Moore, Jr. ... in the case of Lee Moor vs. Texas and New Orleans Railroad Company, now in the Supreme Court challenging the validity of the Bankhead Act." Extracts from the brief are given.

4. Adjustment payment to be made by Government. Okla. Cotton Grower 15(14): 1,4. Oct. 15, 1935.

"The following analysis of the Cotton Price Adjustment Payment Plan of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration is given for the information of the cotton producers of Oklahoma generally and particularly for the members of the Oklahoma Cotton Growers Association."

5. Agricultural adjustment act--processing tax--floor stocks tax--constitution-ality--want of power in Congress to regulate production of agricultural products--commerce clause--Delegation of legislative power. U. S. Law Week 2(47): 12. July 23, 1935.

Extracts from the decision of Judge J. Wilson in the case of "Butler et al., Receivers of Høssac Mills Corp. v. United States; C.C.A.l, no. 3018, July 13, 1935."

6. Amberson, W. R. The New Deal for share-croppers. Nation 140(3632): 185-187. Feb. 13, 1935.

Discussion of the effects of the 1934-35 Cotton Acreage Reduction Contract on the share cropper and tenant. Concrete suggestions are offered for obviating recognized social and economic injustices.

- 7. America and cotton. Economist 120(4785): 1061-1062. May 11, 1935.

 Discusses the implications of the cotton restriction policy of the United States, especially as regards foreign competition and demand, and the growth of the rayon industry. The writer concludes that "it is inconceivable that any country is likely to renounce the advantages gained from America's voluntary restriction. The outlook, therefore, is scarcely such as to promise success to the American policy of raising cotton price by mere restrictionist methods."
- 8. [American cotton cooperative association] Co-op favors tax on all cotton grown by "holdouts". Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(4): 12. Jan. 27, 1934.

"In addition to taxing the entire production of non-co-operating farmers in the voluntary acreage control program, the cooperative proposal provides for allotments being assigned to farmers who do cooperate, and a substantial tax to make it unprofitable to produce beyond that allotment."

- 9. [Anderson, Clayton & Co.] The Bankhead bill. An analysis. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(24): 7,11. June 16, 1934.

 Reprinted from Acco Press.
- 10. Association of land-grant colleges and universities. Proceedings of the forty-eighth annual convention ... held at Washington, D. C., November 19-24, 1934. 305 pp., tables, charts. Wilmington, Del., Cann Bros. printers [1935]

Abstracts of the following papers are included: Some problems ahead in adjustment, by M. L. Wilson, and H. R. Tolley, pp. 134-141 (Cotton is included); The cotton program and the Bankhead Act, by Cully A. Cobb, pp. 176-177.

- 11. Backman, Jules. Adventures in price fixing-IX. Cotton under the Federal Farm board. Com. and Finance 24(16): 322-323, chart. Apr. 17, 1935.
- 12. Backman, Jules. Adventures in price fixing-X. The AAA and Bankhead Act fiasco. Com. and Finance 24(17): 341-342, table, chart. Apr. 24, 1935.
- 13. Backman, Jules, and Jackson, A. L. Cotton control going askew? Supply has been curtailed and demand also. Barron's 14(32): 8. Aug. 6, 1934.

 The writers find that "the current price of American cotton is not warranted by the statistical position of the industry, and that as long as this unfavorable price situation prevails, there will be a tendency for consumption both at home and abroad to be curtailed to a degree almost equal to the curtailment in production,"
- -4. Backman, Jules, and Jackson, A. L. Cotton control plan booming South's sales. Sales Mangt. 35(12): 570,572. Dec. 1, 1934.

The authors discuss the present cotton situation from the point of view of the South's purchasing power and conclude that "the cotton industry will receive no long term increase in purchasing power... The moral of this analysis is to concentrate immediate sales campaigns in the cotton sections ... and not to be too sanguine about the follow-up possibilities in the future."

15. Ballinger, R. A., and McWhorter, C. C. Acreage control and the law. Cotton Trade Jour. (Internatl. ed., 7th) 13(21): 58,76. 1933.

"The Supreme Court has reviewed the matter unfavorably but still the trend to such activity continues and plans for restricted production go on."

A survey of legislation affecting the cotton industry in the United States and in foreign countries. In addition to acreage control measures, legislation referring to transportation and ginning are mentioned.

16. Bankhead, J. H. The cause of lost cotton exports. Manfrs. Rec. 104(7): 45, 54. July 1935.

The author explains that the cause of decreased cotton exports lies in exchange difficulties and trade restrictions. He defends the cotton production control program, and points out that the prices of competing cottons rise and fall with that for American cotton "in nearly exact price changes."

Extracts in Internatl. Cotton Bull. 13(52): 595-600. July 1935.

17. Bankhead, J. H. The father of famous bill explains what has happened and what to do. Cotton Trade Jour. (8th Internatl. ed.) 15(20): 16-17,159. 1934-1935.

The conditions which led to the passage of the Bankhead Act and some of the criticisms against it are discussed. Comments by Senators Borah and Pat Harrison follow this statement.

18. Bankhead, J. H. Why fear cotton crop control? Nation's Business 22(6): 25-27,54-55, illus. June 1934.

"The author of the bill looking toward compulsory cotton crop reduction explains why he considers this program essential to the nation." -Editorial note.

- 19. Bankhead act opposition grows. Cotton Digest 6(50): 7-8. Sept. 22, 1934.

 Statements from Senator Bankhead, Representative Dies and Senator Russell are included.
- 20. Bankhead act to be tested. Mid-So. Cotton News 12(11): 3. June 1935.

 The Supreme Court has "opened the way for a possible ruling on the validity of the act by consenting to review a suit brought by Lee Moor, a Texas producer of Clint, El Paso County, which was dismissed in lower courts. He sued the Texas & New Orleans Railroad for not accepting cotton without tags specifying that the act had been complied with in its production."
- 21. "Bankhead act void," says Texas federal judge. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(29): 3,11. July 20, 1935.

Text of the injunction order by Federal Judge Randolph Bryant on July 19 to restrain "the two internal revenue collectors and the four United States district attorneys of Texas from enforcing the various provisions of the Bankhead Act and setting the case to be heard ... on September 11, 1935."

- 22. Bankhead injunction suit filed. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(9): 5. June 1935.

 "A petition is being filed in the Federal District Court at Sherman

 [Tex.] The nature of the suit is to ask an injunction to prohibit the

 Internal Revenue Bureau, the Department of Agriculture and their agents

 from requiring the ginner to administer the Bankhead Act."
- 23. Bankhead tax now 5.45c per pound. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(43): 9.
 Oct. 26, 1935.

 Announcements of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration in regard to the new Bankhead tax rate, the new transfer price of cotton tax-exemption certificates, and the operation of the regular 1935.
 National Surplus Cotton Tax-Exemption Certificate Pool, are summarized.
- 24. Bean, L. H. Planning our 1935 farm program. Amer. Acad. Polit. and Social Sci. Ann. 176: 111-120. November 1934.

 The author outlines long-time objectives, the emergency program for 1933-34, and the 1935 plans of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, including plans for storing surpluses of cotton and other commodities in order to maintain a constant supply.
- 25. Black, A. G. Developments in the American cotton programme. Cotton [Manchester] 41(1985): 25,27. Oct. 12, 1935.

 The chief of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, United States

 Department of Agriculture, discusses the aims and workings of the various farm relief measures in the United States which led up to the adjustment program and the cotton loan program for 1935-36. Changes in the Universal Standards for American cotton are also mentioned.
- 26. Black, J. D. Restriction of cotton production in relation to foreign trade and competition. Univ. Ga. Bull. 35(9): 82-105. April 1935.

 This issue of the Bulletin contains the proceedings of the Institute of Public Affairs, Ninth Annual Session, Athens, Georgia, January 23-30, 1935. Part I. World and national problems.

 The effects of Government cotton control religious since 1939, and

The effects of Government cotton control policies since 1929 are discussed.

- 27. Black, J. D. The outlook for American cotton. Rev. Econ. Statis. 17(3): 68-78. Mar. 15, 1935.

 Topics discussed are production trends in competing countries; recent changes in world cotton acreage; effects of currency depreciation; land resources; offect of changes in the textile situation; restriction of output in the United States; relation of acreage restriction to exports; effects of cotton loans on exports; future A.A.A. policy.
- 28. Boyle, J. E. Planned cotton production. Cotton Digest 5(24): 11. Apr. 29, 1933.

 The author discusses the probable result of planning.
- 29. Boyle, J. E. Planned production. Cotton Digest 5(34): 4, table. July 8, 1933.

 Table shows correlation between cotton acresse and yield 1913-1932

Table shows correlation between cotton acreage and yield, 1913-1932. The author argues that acreage control does not mean yield control.

- 30. Boyle, J. E. Regimented cotton farming at work. Uncle Sam's double-barrel cotton program. Barron's 14(26): 3, 12: June 25, 1934.

 The author discusses the working of the Bankhead Act and the Agricultural Adjustment Act. He concludes that the Bankhead Act "is a bill to relieve politicians. This Act, as I see it in terms of human life, tends to make the small land owner tenant, and to drive the tenant off the land—and hence to lower the already low standard of living in the South."
- 31. The break in cotton. Statist 125(2977): 427-428, table. Mar. 16, 1935.

 The recent decline in cotton prices and the effect of United States government policies on the general cotton situation are discussed.
- 32. Brehm, C. E. AAA contracts more popular. South. Agr. 64(4): 24. April 1934.

 "Sufficient cotton adjustment contracts have been signed to indicate that about fifteen million acres will be taken out of cotton production in 1934."
- 33. Brehm, C. E. Cotton sign-up and pools. South. Agr. 64(5): 12. May 1934. Explanation of what the Agricultural Adjustment Adm. nistration is doing.
- 34. Breyer, R. F. The marketing institution. 357 pp., illus. New York, McGraw-Hill book co., 1934.

 Agricultural adjustment act, (as it relates to cotton), pp. 270-272.

 Production-control plans (cotton), pp. 291-293.
- 35. Briggs, F. A. Ar ericals position in cotton. Farm and Ranch 53(24): 15.

 Dec. 15, 1934.

 The author gives statistics of world production and consumption to show that the production control program is still necessary.
- 36. Briggs, F. A. Jotton program for 1936. Farm and Ranch 54(21): 14,32.

 Nov. 1, 1935.

 The probability that the Government's cotton adjustment program will be continued is discussed.
- 37. Brumbaugh, Norma. Less cotton helped home. Prog. Farmer (Tex. ed.)
 49(5): 30. May 1934.

 The author "tells us that the acreage reduction program has given the farm home maker more time in the home with her children. It has kept children in school, provided needed medical attention, new clothing for the whole family, conveniences for the home, as well as other comforts of rural life."
- 38. Bruton, P. W. Cotton acreage reduction and the tenant farmer. Law and Contemporary Problems 1(3): 275-291. June 1934.

 "Any satisfactory solution of the problem can only be brought about by a long-range program designed to take surplus labor as well as surplus land out of cotton production."

- 39. Furr, C. H. Economic suicide. Cotton Digest 5(31): 4-5. June 17, 1933. Discusses government policies concerning cotton acreage reduction.
- 40. Burton, C. S. Cotton comes under enforced control. Restriction of output by heavy taxation at the gin may temporarily raise price; but it will also raise foreign competition for our greatest export commodity.

 Mac. Wall St. 54(1): 16-17,55. Apr. 28, 1934.
- 41. Butler, Eugene. Proposed cotton plans. Prog. Farmer (Tex. ed.) 50(3): 4,49,52. March 1935.

 A discussion of domestic allotment plans, with emphasis on the McDonald plan. The Clair, Anderson-Clayton, and King plans are mentioned.
- 42. Butler, Eugene. What's new in agriculture? Compulsory cotton control up to producers. Prog. Farmer (Carolinas-Va. ed.) 49(12): 12. December 1934.

Comment on the coming vote on continuance of the Bankhead Act. Also in other editions of Progressive Farmer for December 1934.

43. Butler, Eugene. What's new in agriculture? Cotton-1933 results, new plans, hold spotlight. Prog. Farmer (Tex. Ed.) 49(1): 8-9. January 1934.

Foreiew of 1933 and summary of 1934 reduction program.

Also in Prog. Farmer (Miss. Valley Ed.) 49(1): 8-9. January 1934.

- 44. Butler, Eugene. What's new in the cotton world? Prog. Farmer (Ga.-Ala. ed.) 49(1): 6,29. January 1934.

 Discusses main features of the 1934 cotton reduction program.

 Also in Prog. Farmer (Miss. Val. ed.) 49(1): 8-9. January 1934.
- 45. Butler, Tait. Cotton production control. Prog. Farmer (Wiss.valley ed.)
 48(3):8. March 1933.

 The author suggests a method of control based on a system of state and county commissions.
- 46. Butler, Tait. Our national cotton policy. Prog. Farmer (Miss. Valley ed.)
 49(9):3,8,15. September 1934.

 In reply to W. L. Clayton's article of the same title, previously noted.

Also in Clemson Agr. Col. S.C. Ext. Circ. 135:18-24. September 1934.

Also in Prog. Farmer (Tex.ed.) 49(10):3, 40-41. October 1934.

. EButler, Tait; The social and economic effects of production control.

Mid.-So. Cotton News 12(10):2, tables. May 1935.

Address before the Eighth Annual Cooperative Marketing School,
Little Rock, Ark., March 5, 1935.

The discussion relates particularly to the effects of cotton production control upon price, value of crop, and loss of foreign markets.

48. The Buxton plan. Fibre and Fabric 86(2504):7-8. Jan. 23, 1933.

G. E. Buxton suggests bill "wherein the government disposes of its nearly 2,000,000 bales of cotton by giving the cotton farmer one

its nearly 2,000,000 bales of cotton by giving the cotton farmer one bale of cotton for every five acres not planted in 1933, up to 40 per cent curtailment."

49. Caldwell, T. J. Cotton and foreign trade. Cotton Digest 8(2):15-16.
Oct. 19, 1935.

Government policies are discussed.

- 50. Callander, W. F. Cotton and grain crops. Amer. Yearbook 1933:450-456.

 The author discusses effect of AAA control, acreage, production, yield and prices of cotton.
- 51. Camp, W. B. "Our flexible adjustment program." Okla. Cotton Grower 15(10): 1,4. June 15, 1935.

Address before the Oklahoma Cotton Growers Association, Oklahoma City, Okla. May 27, 1935.

The author discusses the working and development of the Agricultural Adjustment program for cotton since May 1933.

52. Campion, H. American raw cotton policy. Manchester School 5(1):32-53.

The author discusses the theory and practice of stabilization in the United States, and concludes that "since American cotton growers are dependent on export trade for the sale of half their production, attempts to raise American cotton prices abroad are likely to result in increased competition and to the substitution of other growths unless some kind of international agreement among the raw cotton producing countries is possible... If American cotton growers intend to keep their export trade in raw cotton they should consider along with price raising schemes any opportunities which will make the present level of cotton prices more profitable to themselves."

53. Can the farmer be saved? Christian Century 53(14): 503-505. Apr. 17, 1935.

Criticizes the Department of Agriculture for failing to face the farmer's problem frankly and for its "attempts to suppress inconvenient facts." The problem particularly referred to is the share cropper situation in the cotton belt. The Department is criticized for ignoring Norman Thomas' evidence and for "suppressing" Mary Conner Myer's report. The Embree report, the Bankhead tenancy bill, and the Government's policy of attempting to get the farmer on good land of his own and of restoring his export markets, meanwhile controlling his output are discussed.

54. Cannon, C. A. Cannon opposes domestic allotment plan. South. Textile Bull. 43(17):16,24. Dec. 22, 1932.

Statement made by president of Cotton Manufacturers Association of North Carolina before the Agricultural Committee of the House of Representatives.

Extracts in Cotton Digest 5(11):6-7. Dec. 24, 1932.

55. Carpenter, C. T. King cotton's sleves. The fate of the share-cropper becomes a national issue. Scribner's Mog. 98(4): [194]-199, illus. October 1935.

The economic and social condition of the share-cropper before and since passage of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, the subsequent organisation and activities of the Southern Tenant Farmers! Union, and other developments to aid the share-croppers are discussed.

56. Cartwright, H. Y. The New Deal and the South. Cotton Digest 7(3):5-6. Oct. 27, 1934.

The Government's present cotton policy is criticized.

57. Cassidy, G. A., Jr., and Hurley, C. K., Federal cotton production control -- The Bankhead bill. Georgetown Law Jour. 22(4): 821-827. May 1934.

Three constitutional questions presented by the act are discussed.

58. Cavers, D. F. Production control by taxation. Law and Contemporary Problems 1(3): 349-361. June 1934.

The author "seeks merely to depict the machinery through which" the Bankhead Cotton Control Act and the Kerr Tobacco Control Act "must work, if at all."

59. Chew, A. P. Administering the Bankhead Act. Through co-operation with the AAA aims to assure curb on cotton crop. Barron's 14(18):9. Apr. 30, 1934.

A review of the aims of the Bankhead Act, which "launches a unique experiment in the compulsory restriction of cotton growing."

60. Chew, A. P. America's cotton experiment. Flexible AAA policies with an eye to holding export business. Barron's 14(16):9,12. Apr. 16, 1934.

"In last week's Barron's Frederick W. Tattersall outlined the dangers that the United States was running of losing foreign cotton markets by its policy of crop restriction and price raising. This possibility was fully appreciated by the AAA when it formulated its cotton program, according to the author of this present article, who is connected with the Department of Agriculture. Information gathered by the department has led it to the belief that foreign cotton acreage was unlikely to be increased materially or permanently. The presence of the large carryover offers some assurance to our foreign cotton—market position, at least for the time being. While the AAA's policy on cotton is fixed for 1934, future plans will be shaped in the light of developments at home and abroad."

61. Clayton, W. L. Cotton and foreign trade. Cotton Digest 7(33):5-6. May 25, 1935.

"Excerpts from address before Foreign Trade Conference, Houston, Way 22."

The loss of foreign markets for American cotton is blamed on present government policy.

Also in ACCO Press 13(6): 1-4, illus. June 1935; Cotton Trade Jour. 15(24): 1,2. June 22, 1935; Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(12): 231-11. August 1935.

62. Clayton, W. L. Our national cotton policy. 16pp. [Houston, 1934]

"Submitted to: The Commission of Inquiry on National Policy in
International Economic Relations, May 7, 1934.

Also in Internatl. Cotton Bull. 12(48):444-446, 448-455. July

Also in Internatl. Cotton Bull. 12(48):444-446, 448-455. July 1934; Acco Press 12(6):1-8. June 1934; Clemson Agr. Col., S.C. Ext. Circ. 135:3-17. September 1934 (includes reply by Tait Butler)

63. Clayton, W. L. Our vanishing cotton markets. Acco Press 13(2):1-6, illus. February 1935.

Address delivered... before Arnold Foundation of Southern Methodist University, holding conference on the "Cotton Crisis," January 31-February 1, 1935.

Also in Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(5):3-4, 12-13, Feb. 2, 1935; Cotton Digest 7(17):5-7. Feb. 2, 1935; Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(6): 8-10, 12-14. February 1935.

Abstract in Tex. Weekly 11(7):4-6. Feb. 16, 1935.

Also in Institute of Public Affairs. Southern Methodist University. The Cotton Crisis. Proceedings of Second Conference, pp. 25-39, 1935.

64. Clayton, W. L. The Southwest's stalte in cotton. Cotton Digest 8(6):4-6.
Nov. 16, 1935.

The author reviews the present cotton situation with special reference to government policies.

- 65. Cobb, C. A. Cotton. Are we to have a program by and for producers or a program by and for processors and handlers? U.S. Dept. Agr. Current Inform. Statement 2, 13 pp., mimeogr. Washington, D.C. 1935.

 Excerpts from a "speech delivered... before the Joint Legislative Investigating Committee, Dallas, Texas, July 1, 1935."
- 66. Cobb, C. A. Cotton plow-up a signal success. Prog. Farmer (Tex.ed.) 48(8):8. August 1933.

 Also in Ga./Ala.ed. 48(8): 6; Miss. Valley ed. 48(8):6.
- 67. Cobb, C. A. Cotton production adjustment for 1934-- What it proposes to accomplish. U.S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 4(8):115-116, illus. December 1933.

Describes the plans of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration to restrict the 1934 cotton planting to 25 million acres.

- 68. Cobb, C. A. The cotton adjustment program. U.S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 4(5):67-68. September 1933.

 Reviews the results of the plow-up campaign.
- 69. Cobb, C. A. This Agricultural adjustment act as applied to cotton.

 Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(14):3-5. Apr. 7, 1934.

 Address at Texas Cotton Ginners! Association Convention, Dallas,

 Tex., April 6, 1934.

Also in Cotton Digest 6(28):5-7. Apr. 21, 1934; and in Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 11(8):7-9,15-16,22. April 1934.

70. Compulsory cut in cotton acreage urged at governors' conference held at Memphis--Conference also asks federal loan for distressed farmers.

Com. and Financ. Chron.135(3523): 4457. Dec. 31, 1932.

Press report of Governors' conference on cotton control held on Dec. 29, 1932, in Memphis, Tenn.

71. Cotton. Production control and world markets. Index 15(3): 45-51, tables. March 1935.

The effect of government policies on the present market situation is discussed.

72. Couton and cotton policy. Natl. Indus. Conf. Bd. Conf. Bd. Bull. 9(6):
41-44, table, charts. June 10, 1935.
The present situation in the domestic cotton industry is discussed

in relation to war-time and post-war crop expansion, the Farm Board "experiment," and the Agricultural Adjustment Administration program.

- 73. Cotton control program for two years ahead is mapped. Textile World 83(11):1803. October 1933.

 Describes the government's cotton loan plan.
- 74. Cotton...greatest, richest export. It holds 10,000,000 people in economic peonage and, because cotton must always be exported, either God or Washington must save them. Fortune 7(6):22-29,106,108-111, illus. June 1933.

Describes the present situation in the cotton states and probable effects of recent national and international plans on the producers of cotton.

- 75. Cotton in the spotlight. Amer.Bankers Assoc.Agr.Comn.Bull.9(9):[1-4], tables, charts. November 1935.

 American cotton production and textile problems in relation to Government policies are discussed.
- 76. Cotton income is doubled. Reduction program of 1933 helps South. Wallace's Farmer 59(2): 36. Jan. 20, 1934.
- 76a. Cotton cutput up despite AAA acreage restriction; world consumption higher.
 Annalist 43(1096): 116-117, charts. Jan. 19, 1934.
 Survey of the 1933 situation.
- 77. Cotton plan a landowners! code. But instead of providing increased employment in the cotton fields it would displace about 200,000 tenants and their families in the South and 80,000 in Texas. Tex. Weekly 9(36):4-5. Sept. 9, 1933.

"There the land is owned by a farmer who has hitherto cultivated it himself, it means only that he will farm less cotton land next year. But where it is owned by a landlord who has hitherto rented it to tenant farmers on a share or cropping basis, it means that in most cases he will need fewer tenants."

78. The cotton plan and reemployment. Reduction of acreage in Texas to 10,000,000 acres offers no prospect of improved conditions among cotton tenants. Outlook for improved world market is better, however. Tex. Weekly 9(35): 4-6. Sept. 2, 1933.

Discussion of the Government's acreage reduction plans for 1934.

- 79. The cotton plan and the tenant. Proration of acreage no better than retirement of surplus tenants. It is not possible for the present number of farmers to make a living on 10,000,000 acres. Tex. Weekly 9(38): 4-5. Sept. 23, 1933.
- 80. Cotton plan for 1934. Tex. Weekly 9(40): 10-12. Oct. 7, 1933.

 Extracts from editorial comment on the Texas Weekly's criticism of the "landowners" code" for cotton acreage reduction in 1934.
- 81. Cotton plan formulated to reduce acreage 40 percent in 1934; 25 percent in 1935. Southwide meetings approve tentative program giving cash for cuts. Okla. Cotton Grower 13(13): 1,2. Sept. 15, 1933.

 Gives the plan as proposed by the U. S. Department of Agriculture and approved at the three general meetings at Memphis, Tenn., Atlanta, Ga., and Dallas, Tex.

Also in Cotton Ginners! Jour. 5(1): 6-7. October 1933.

- 82. Cotton production: another example of capitalist decline. Social Questions Bull. 25(5): [1-4] May 1935.

 Sources: p. [4]
 Government policies and their effects on cotton farm workers and plantation owners are discussed.
- 83. Cotton q. and a. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(1): 4,9,14. Jan. 1, 1934.

 Eighty questions and answers concerning the 1934 and 1935 cotton reduction contract, compiled by the United States Agricultural Adjustment Administration, and identical with questions and answers to be distributed to growers in the campaign.
- 84. Cotton reduction campaign. Plans for applying the Agricultural adjustment act to the 1933 cotton crop. Clemson Agr. Col. S. C. Ext. Circ. 129, 4pp., tables. Clemson College, S. C. 1933.
- 85. [Cotton-Textile institute, inc.] How domestic allotment plan would affect cotton textiles. So. Textile Bull. 43(20): 3-4. Jan. 12, 1933.

 "Summarizes the results of a careful study made by the Cost Engineering and Statistical Departments of the Institute."

 Indicates that increase in prices would result, causing products

made of cotton to lose in competition with products of other fibers.

Lists "fundamental objections to the plan."

Also in Amer. Wool and Cotton Reporter 47(2): 19-21. Jan. 12, 1933; in Fibre and Fabric 86(2502): 10-11. Jan. 14, 1933; extract in Cotton Trade Jour. 13(3): 4. Jan. 21, 1933.

- 86. Cotton's new deal. Large acreage reduction probable. Com. and Finance 22(26): 555-556. June 28, 1933.

 Comment on the acreage plan offered by the Secretary of Agriculture. Statement of the plan is included.
- 87. The cottonseed and cottonseed meal outlook. Com. and Finance 23(39): 794.

 Sept. 26, 1934.

The probable effect on the industry of a smaller cotton crop is

discussed.

- S8. The county adjustment campaigns. Agents tell how cotton contracts were signed in their counties. U. S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 5(3): 35-36. March 1934.

 Letters from C. H. Beddingfield, Lee County, Ala., J. B. Hill, Fontctoc Co., Okla., and W. M. Landess, Shelby County, Tenn.
- 89. The county adjustment campaigns. Two agents tell how cotton contracts were signed up in their counties. U. S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 4(8): 125-126, illus. December 1933.

 Letters from A. A. Myers, Madison County, Mississippi, and O. G.

Letters from A. A. Myers, Madison County, Mississippi, and O. G. Tumlinson, Lubbock County, Texas.

- 90. Cox, A. B. Cotton. Tex. Business Rev. 8(10): 4-5. Nov. 28, 1934.

 The author declares that "the emergency cotton program must: (1) provide means for restoring export markets for American cotton; (2) eliminate the processing tax to restore the domestic market for cotton goods on a basis of fair competition with other textiles; (3) relieve the unbearable tariff burden now being carried by cotton growers; (4) restore hundreds of thousands of farm families to homes on farms with a prospect of making a decent living; (5) bring about better farm management practices on cotton farms to prevent overproduction and provide additional cash enterprises."
- 91. Cox, A. B. Cotton. Tex. Business Rev. 8(11): 4. Dec. 29, 1934.

 The author discusses the consequences, in the cotton-producing regions of Texas, of the government's cotton policy.

 Also in Cotton Digest 7(13): 12. Jan. 5, 1935.
- 92. Cox, A. B. Cotton. Tex. Business Rev. 9(6,7,8): 4. September 1935.

 The author comments on "the change in policy of the United States government towards the cotton problem."
- 93. Cox, A. B. Cotton. Tex. Business Rev. 8(9): 4-5. Oct. 29, 1934.

 "The next step in the analysis of the cotton situation is to examine prices of cotton to see if advances have been sufficient to compensate for the forced reduction in the size of the crop of the United States and the cost of regaining foreign markets already lost." Prices of American cotton in this country and abroad are discussed.

 Extracts in Cotton Digest 7(4): 10-11. Nov. 3, 1934.
- 94. Cox, A. B. Evaluating the cotton program. Tex. Weekly 11(8): 7-9. Feb. 23, 1935.

 Lddress at Institute of Public Affairs at Southern Methodist

University, January 31 - February 1, 1935.

Excerpts in Cotton Digest 7(24): 22-25, illus. Mar. 23, 1935.

Also in Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(7): 8-9,12. March 1935; Internatl. Cotton Bull. 13(51): 322,325,328, April 1935; Pro-

ceedings of Second Conference, pp. 119-137. 1935.

35. Cox, A. B. Significance of federal political control of the cotton industry. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(1): 3, 15-16. October 1934.

This is the first of a series of articles.

- Daggit, E. M. Influence of farm crops upon business recovery. 12pp., mimeogr. [New Orleans, American cotton cooperative association, 1934.] The author thinks that the interests of the nation as a whole, as well as the interest of the cotton growers, requires a return as soon as possible to a normal cotton acreage.
- Daggit, E. M. The role of large crops in stimulating recoveries from former 97. depressions. Annalist 45(1158): 484-486,510. Mar. 29, 1935. "The recent crop-reduction campaign, particularly in cotton, has brought up the question as to whether this policy may not have been harmful rather than beneficial from the standpoint of national business

recovery. The drought disaster last year is known to have retarded business activity and to have been a factor in preventing a normal Fall improvement.

"To learn the answer to this question, a detailed study has been made of the crop situation during the recovery stages of all previous business depressions in the United States since the Civil War, when annual crop data were first published. It was found that in every case but one, recovery occurred simultaneously with the production of bumper crops of farm products, particularly of cotton." Details of each recovery are given in this article.

Davis, C. C. Credits and debits of the cotton program. Farm and Ranch 98. 54(20): 21. Oct. 15, 1935.

The author discusses recent increased production in Brazil and increased returns to American farmers under the Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

99. Davis, C. C. Reviewing the cotton program. Cotton Digest 7(45): 4-7. Aug. 17, 1935.

"Address delivered before Delta Day Program, Stoneville, Miss., Aug. 13."

The speaker reviews events and effects of the Agricultural Adjustment program, and discusses criticisms made against the program. He points out that farmer income and living standards and the maintenance of the fertility of farm soil are fundamental factors to be considered in any study of the cotton problem.

- The decline in cotton. Natl. City Bank of New York. [Monthly Letter on] 100. Econ. Conditions, Govt. Finance, U. S. Securities. April 1935, pp.55-57. Discusses the recent decline in cotton prices and the Government's cotton policies. This country is thought to be "at a fork of the road in its cotton policies, with the choice between meeting other producers in the world markets on competitive terms, or withdrawing from the world markets to an increasing extent." The writer thinks that there is little hope that conditions can be bettered under a policy of price pegging and permanent crop restriction.
- 101. DeLoach, George. Ginners association of South Carolina. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 47(2): 5-6. October 1935.

The author discusses recent regulations under the Bankhead Act and their effect on ginners, proposed tax on foreign oils, and advisability of putting ginners under the Public Service Commission.

102. Dickson, Harris. Loosening up the cotton belt. The south plows under and sees things pick up. Country Home 57(12): 10-12,35, illus. December 1933.

Describes the present situation in the cotton South and discusses problems created by the Cotton Reduction Campaign.

103. Dies, Martin. The South must choose. Cotton Digest 7(34): 8-9. June 1, 1935.

Discussion of Government policies for cotton in relation to the world cotton situation, and the alternative plan offered under the Dies domestic alletment bill, H.R.6839, which "incorporates the McDonald plan ... for the establishment of a production basis" is explained.

Also in Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(11): 12-13,14. July 1935.

- 1C4. Dove, Dan. Cotton control from farmer's viewpoint. Farm and Ranch 53(21): 15,17. Nov. 1, 1934.

 Includes resolution condemning the Bankhead Act, approved by 2,000 farmers of Limestone County, Texas.

 Also in Cotton Digest 7(6): 6-7. Nov. 17, 1934.
- 105. E., J. E. AAA cotton section not very happy. Foreign cotton prospects. Cotton Trade Jour. 14(36): 1,3. Sept. 8, 1934.

 Discusses AAA policies and foreign cotton production as reported in World Cotton Prospects, published by the U. S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics.
- 106. Edmonds, J. E. They signed up. Country Gent. 104(3): 12-13,73, illus.

 March 1934.
- 107. Ellis, L. S. The processing tax the farmers' tariff. Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. (Ser.49) 8(5): 92-94. October 1935.
- 108. Evans, J. A. 30 years in the Cotton belt. U. S. Dept. Agr., Ext. Serv., Ext. Serv. Rev. 5(2): 21. February 1934.

 Discusses cotton-adjustment program as an opportunity for betterment of life in the Cotton Belt.
- 109. Ezekiel, Mordecai, and Bean, L. H. Economic bases for the Agricultural adjustment att. 67pp., charts. Washington, D. C., Govt. print. off., 1933.

Foreword by Secretary of Agriculture H. A. Wallace.

110. Farm: solving the problems of cotton control. Tenancy, crop reduction, idle acress and other issues plague the AAA. U. S. News 3(41): 5. Oct. 14, 1995.

Policies seing considered by the Agricultural Adjustment Administration are discussed.

111. Federal government's tentative plan for cotton acreage reduction in 19341935. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 34(37): 3-4. Sept. 9, 1933.

Report of meeting of cotton growers, county agents, land owners, extension workers, and others from Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas at Dallas, Tex., September 5, 1933, which was addressed by C. A. Cobb. The plan proposed by the Agricultural Adjustment Administration for acreage reduction and resolutions adopted are included.

- 112. 5-cent subsidy on cotton now a probability. Domestic allotment plan.
 Okla. Cotton Grower 12(27): 1. Nov. 25, 1932.

 Describes working of proposed domestic allotment plan.
- 113. Forster, G. W. In defense of the Bankhead act. Law and Contemporary Problems 1(3): 373-375. June 1934.

"The AAA program and the Bankhead Act must be judged on the issue of whether or not agricultural production can be adjusted so that our agricultural resources, including land, labor and equipment, will be used more economically. A close study of the facts indicate that this is in course of accomplishment."

114. Free vs. controlled agriculture. Natl. City Bank of New York [Monthly Letter on] Econ. Conditions, Govt. Finance, U. S. Securities 52-57. April 1934.

Discusses Mr. Wallace's pamphlet entitled "America Must Choose", and also the Bankhead bill.

The latter discussion is reprinted in Internatl. Cotton Bull. 12(47): 271-272, 275-277. April 1934.

115. French, H. A. Cotton code plays favorites. Farm and Ranch 52(23): 5. Dec. 1, 1933.

The writer, in a letter to the editor, predicts that 80,000 tenant farmers, in Texas alone, will soon be forced on public charity as result of the Government cotton acreage reduction plan.

116. Freundlich, I. A comparison of values. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(19, i.e. 17): 3-5, table. Apr. 27, 1935.

Discussion of the effect on cotton marketing and crops of the Dies bill (H.R.6839) for a domestic allotment plan in place of the present AAA control program.

Also reported in Cotton Trade Jour. 15(19): 3. May 18, 1935.

117. Gard, Wayne. Decline in the cotton kingdom. I.-The planters' prospects.

Current Hist. 42(1): 31-36. April 1935.

The author discusses the present world cotton situation and government policy from the planters' viewpoint. He mentions the recent development of a cotton picking machine.

118. Garrow, J. W. Cotton shippers' position. Cotton Digest 5(21): 5-6.
April 8, 1933.

"Statement in behalf of the American Cotton Shippers Association before Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry upon the Emergency Agricultural Adjustment Act." 119. Garside, A. H. World cotton prospects. World Trade (Special no.) 7(4): 52-54. April 1935.

World consumption, production curtailment efforts in Egypt and the United States, the price situation, and the effect on the world market of a production control policy are discussed.

120. Gerard, J. W. The South and America self-contained. Manfrs. Rec. 104(6): 25,54, table. June 1935.

The author feels that increasing trade barriers and economic nation alism are permanently curtailing world markets for cotton and other commodities, and that decreased production of cotton and a more balance economy are to be the salvation of the South.

121. [Gossett, B. B.] Gossett urges return to fundamental American principles. Textile Bull. 48(19): 5-6,14,21. July 11, 1935.

A discussion of the cotton control program is included. The author favors a temporary export subsidy for cotton.

122. Gossett, B. B. Production control. Textile Bull. 46(10): 10-11,23. May 3, 1934.

Abstract of address before annual meeting, U. S. Chamber of Commerce Washington, May 2, 1934.

"Excess capacity ... and the resultant ever present threat of momentary overproduction, is what brought about the ruinous losses for the cotton textile industry prior to the establishment of the cotton textile code." The effect of the code is stated.

123. H., C. R. Legislative stabilization of the cotton industry. Univ. Pa. Law Rev. 80(3): 436-445. January 1932.

The author discusses the constitutionality of laws passed by Louisiana, Texas, and other southern states to limit the production of cotton.

124. Hale, G. A. Long-time vs. short-time farming. The cropper plan and dependence on annual crops must be replaced by a more permanent system before southern agriculture prospers in proportion to its natural resources. South. Cult. 91(8): 3,9. Aug. 1, 1933.

Includes consideration of the effect of cotton acreage reduction.

125. Hazard, J. W. If the AAA goes--what? Barron's 15(33): [3] 6,8. Aug. 19, 1935.

The probable effect of an adverse AAA decision by the Supreme Court on cotton futures and spots, on the foreign trade situation, on the use made of the government cotton loan, on ginners, shippers, and the textile industry, and probable alternative government cotton policies are included in the discussion. The problems involved in the making of the new government loan policy are also discussed.

126. Hazlitt, Henry. The fall of King Cotton. Current Hist. 43(1): 32-38, illus., tables, charts. October 1935.

The author gives a brief history of the government's acreage control program and comments on its effects on prices, on foreign trade, and on employment in the cotton and processing industries.

127. Heard, J. M. Uncle Sam steps on King Cotton. Com. and Finance 23(46): 920-921. Nov. 14, 1934.

The author discusses the Government's policy for control of cotton production and the increased production of foreign growths.

128. The Helm domestic allotment plan. Farm and Ranch 54(11):4,11. June 1, 1935.

The plan proposed by Fielding Helm for controlling the production of cotton and other crops is described.

- 129. Here is the 1934 cotton control plan.

 46(24):411,426. Dec. 15, 1933.

 Full details of the plan.

 Okla. Farmer-Stockman
- 130. Here's the plan to cut cotton acreage. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 46(18): 297,299. Sept. 15, 1933.
- 131. Hickman, F. G. Cotton farmers fooled by government paternalism. U.S. Santa Claus. American cotton policy enables foreign countries to sell their surplus. Cotton Trade Jour. 14(41):1,3. Oct. 13, 1934.

 This is the first of a series of articles.

 The author records his impressions of the present cotton situation gained from visits to European markets.
- 132. Hickman, F. G. Roosevelt very popular in other cotton countries. Adored in Egypt. President credited with helping foreigners sell their surplus. Cotton Trade Jour. 14(42):1,2. Oct. 20, 1934.

 The second of a series of articles on foreign competition with American cotton.
- 133. Highfill, J. V. No loss of cotton markets seen in AAA cotton plan. Mid-South Cotton Assoc. News 12(4):2. Nov. 1934.

 "Foreign Cotton Situation Series No. 1, by..... Assistant Agricultural Editor, Arkansas Extension Service."
- 134. Hoover, C. B. Human problems in acreage reduction in the South. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 64(3):11-12. May; (4): 11-12. June 1934.

 The author discusses the effect of cotton acreage reduction on cash tenants, share tenants and share croppers.
- 135. Hoover, C. B. Human problems in the South. Cotton Trade Jour. (8th International dec.) 15(20): 15,146-147, 160-161,165, illus. 1934-1935.

 "It is the purpose of the discussion which follows to describe briefly some of the undesirable economic conditions which exist in the South and to analyze the relation of the agricultural adjustment program to them."
- 136. How improve production control. Prog. Farmer (Tex. ed.) 49(12):3. Dec. 1934.

 Changes to improve the Bankhead act are suggested.
- 137. Hurst, F. J. Acreage reduction plan will make money for cotton growers of state. Miss. Co-op. News 4(10,11,12):2. May-June-July, 1933.

- 138. Hutchison, C. E. The cotton processing tax. Carolinas Mag.4(2):4,10. September 1935.
- 139. Hutson, L. C. The cotton industry should have a definite program to offer. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(48):4,12. Dec. 1, 1934. The author holds that "adjustment of our tariff walls is the first and long-time remedy" needed. He objects to the Clair-McDonald plan of domestic allotment on the grounds that "fair and equitable distribution of the allotment to the different farms" could not be obtained. He holds that the Farmers! Union plan of domestic allotment has an unsound price fixing feature. He is opposed to any curtailment of production, because foreign growths will thereby permanently displace American cotton in the world market. The only remedy, he thinks, is to allow farmers to plant as much as they wish, and rely on a processing tax to serve as a protective tariff, "the full amount of the processing tax, less the expenses incident to operating and collecting same," to be paid the farmer as a bonus at the end of the year.
- 140. Hutton, J. H. Passage of proposed domestic allotment plan would slash consumption of U.S. cotton. Cotton Trade Jour. 12(49):4. Dec. 10, 1932.
- 141. Institute of public affairs. Proceedings of the... eighth annual session, Athens, Georgia, May 8-15, 1934. Part I. World and national problems. Bull. Univ. Ga. 34(11):1-200. July-August 1934.

 Partial contents: The agricultural adjustment program, by Henry A. Wallace, pp.122-136 (with special reference to cotton in the South); Controlling agricultural production, by B. H. Hibbard, pp.151-157. (The author gives the history of government efforts to control production and points out the fallacy of the idea of self-government in the cotton belt); The Bankhead control act, by Cully A. Cobb, pp. 176-183.
- 142. Johnston, Oscar. America's cotton program. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(23):4-5, 12-14. June 8, 1935.

 Address at Thirty-ninth Annual Convention of the National Cotton-seed Products Association at Memphis, Tennessee, May 28, 1935.

 The processing tax, and the effects of the cotton program on foreign production, upon consumption, and upon labor and industry, related to production and marketing of cotton, are discussed.

 Also in Cotton Oil Press 19(2): 26-32. June 1935.
- 143. Johnston, Oscar. Government policies and agriculture in the South. Cong. Rec. 79(3):176-178. Jan. 7, 1935.

 Address at southeastern regional meeting of the United States Chamber of Commerce, Birmingham, Ala., November 20, 1934.
- 144. Johnston, Oscar. The Bankhead bill. Staple Cotton Rev. 12(3):1-4.

 March 1934.

 The author analyzes the pending bill for the limitation of the

cotton supply.

145. Johnston, Oscar. What happened? and what next! Cotton Trade Jour. (8th Internatl. Ed.) 15(20):13,112,121. 1934-1935.

Review of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration's work and a summary of the economic factors to be considered in future policy making.

146. Jordan, Harvie. Backing up the AAA farm program. South. Cult. 93(7): 2,5. July 1, 1935.

The situation of the American cotton grower prior to the enactment of the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the measures taken under the act are reviewed. The author urges six recommendations for permanent relief measures for the cotton growing industry, including reforms in baling and warehousing.

147. Jordan, Harvie. Comprehensive review of crop conditions in the cotton belt states of the South as of July 10. South. Cult. 92(8):7. Aug. 1, 1934.

"A symposium of the consolidated opinions of several leading cotton growers on the Bankhead cotton law, Federal compulsion of crop control, -- an analysis of the Bankhead law and percentages of cotton reduction in States by allotment for 1934."

- 148. Jordan, Harvie. Farming outlook for current year. South. Cult. 91(1):2./
 Table shows average prices of spot cotton by decades, 1855-1930.

 Recommends acreage reduction as solution to the problems of southern cotton growers.
- 149. Jordan, Harvie. Higher cotton prices mean southern prosperity. The fleecy staple typifies the circulating medium of the cotton belt states of nation. South. Cult. 91(10):2. Oct. 1, 1933.

 Surveys the present situation and suggests a plan for government control of marketing the present crop.
- 150. Jordan, Harvie. Plowing up 10,000,000 acres of the 1933 crop plan of the United States cotton control program. South. Cult. 91(7):2. July 1, 1933.

Gives "an example involving 30 acres of cotton now planted and growing, of which 10 acres are to be plowed up on a cash reimbursement of \$14 per acre to the grower, with cotton now selling at nine cents per pound, and assuming the acreage so plowed up will produce under favorable conditions, one-half bale per acre", showing "a net profit to the grower of \$28."

- 151. Jordan, Harvie. Practical New Year suggestions. Restricted cotton acreage will stimulate intensive culture and more efficient farming operations. South. Cult. 92(1):2. Jan. 1, 1934.
- 152. Jordan, Harvie. Reconstruction of cotton growing industry. Manfrs. Rec. 103(12):20-21,66,68, illus. December 1934.

The author commends the principle of acreage reduction, protests unjust features of the Bankhead control act, and appeals for rescinding of process fees. He suggests improved methods of farming and baling, and points out the need for permanent Federal loans to growers on stored and insured bales.

153. Jordan, Harvie. Rehabilitating southern agriculture and the industry of growing cotton. Fractical method suggestions prepared on request of President Franklin D. Roosevelt for his study. South. Cult. 91(4): 2,5. Apr. 1, 1933.

Among the author's recommendations are the following: practical methods of soil rebuilding; diversification of food and feed crops; acreage reduction; one-variety communities; use of high-density gin compression; farmers' local organizations; bonded local warehouses; and federal farm-loan banks.

- Nation

 Nation
- 155. Kenyon, H. F. Equity? Calif. Cult. 82(16): [447],459. Aug. 3, 1935.

 The author illustrates the application of the Agricultural Adjustment program by the cases of two farmers, one of whom formerly raised cotton only, and the other of whom practised diversification. He shows that the former received greater advantage from the program.
- "Our cotton planters therefore face this dilemma: The more the Bankhead Act serves to improve their return on cotton consumed for domestic needs, the more certain they are to suffer competition in the export market, with a permanent loss of that market as the eventual prospect, just as we have lost our export wheat market... The most effective adjustment, which would ultimately operate to the great benefit of our cotton growers, would be an open export market, with a tax refundable wholly or partially upon exports, but sufficient to keep domestic surplus cotton out of the channels of domestic trade until exported."
- 157. Lancastrian. Poor prospects for American. Rising demand for outside growths. Manchester Guardian Com. 29(741): 172. Sept. 1, 1934.

 "As far as cotton is concerned, twelve months of new methods of controlling production, regulating consumption, and price support have resulted in small crop, smaller United States consumption, and a price for American cotton out of proportion to that of other growths."
- 158. Lancastrian. The fall in use of U. S. cotton. Manchester Guardian Com. 29(744): 232, table. Sept. 22, 1934.

 The author discusses the effect of Government policies on cotton consumption.
- 159. Lancastrian. The next American crop. Tempting the "bootlegger". Manchester Guardian Com. 28(719): 256. Mar. 31, 1934.

 The author comments on the probable results of the Bankhead bill.

 "It is being assumed that the acreage in July will approximate to 27,000,000."

160. Lassetter, W. C. Cotton acreage reduction under the adjustment act. South. Banker 61(1): 11,12. July 1933.

Describes the government plan for acreage reduction under the Agricultural Adjustment Act.

161. Legislative committee hears views of Texas farmers upon continued production control. Tex. Co-op. News 15(8): 5. Aug. 1, 1935.

Report of a hearing before the Texas legislature's cotton investigating committee, held in Dallas early in July, at which representatives of the cotton cooperative organizations, and of cotton trade organizations testified. Extracts of the testimony of Cully A. Cobb are given.

- 162. Long, W. W. Controlled production of cotton. Clemson Agr. Col. S. C. Ext. Circ. 134, 8 pp., table. August 1934.
- 163. Lordan, F. P. Passing glory of the South. Cotton Digest 7(12): 5-7.
 Dec. 29, 1934.

The author discusses the cotton situation which has led to the organization of the Cotton Industries Employees Association to urge removal of government restrictions on cotton production.

- 164. McBride, E. L. The Bankhead bill. Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. (ser.49) 7(3): 44-45. June 1934.

 Brief explanation of the act.
- 165. McDonald, J. E. A permanent plan for the cotton growing industry applicable also to all farm and ranch products. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(45): 3-4. Nov. 10, 1934.

"Principles and features of the plan in brief: Establish production basis on each cotton farm - Annual proration - Parity price, as now provided for in the AAA, on that portion domestically consumed - Unrestricted production leaving the farmer to be his own judge of the amount of cotton he should produce for foreign markets."

Also in Cotton Digest 7(7): 11. Nov. 24, 1934.

166. [McDonald, J. E.] Cotton plan. Cotton Digest 6(46): 8-9. Aug. 25, 1934. Extracts from address by the Commissioner of Agriculture of Texas before the Galveston Cotton Exchange.

The speaker suggests "a plan for cotton production by which the domestic price would be increased without making the price on the foreign market too high to compete with foreign growths."

Also in Shippers Digest of Galveston 6(24): 4,8. Aug. 22, 1934.

- 167. McDonald, J. E. McDonald domestic allotment cotton plan. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(12): 48-49, illus. Mar. 24, 1935.
- 168. McDonald, J. E. Planning ahead for agriculture. Cotton Digest 7(24): 16-17. Mar. 23, 1935.

The Commissioner of Agriculture of Texas outlines his domestic allotment plan for cotton.

169. McDonald, J. E. Subsidy for cotton production rather than destruction.

Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(7): 11,33, illus. April 1935.

Description of the McDonald domestic allotment plan.

170. MacDonald, William. The menace of recovery. What the New Deal means. 401 pp. New York, The Macmillan Co., 1934.

Includes discussion of the development of the cotton textile code (pp.271-277) and the cotton crop control program (pp.335-340).

171. McFadden, J. H. Our hazardous cotton progrem. Cotton Digest 7(36): 8-9, tobles. June 15, 1935.

The author discusses cotton production possibilities of foreign countries, the effect of reduced production on price disparities between American and foreign cotton and on exports, and the desirability of making the farmer a gift of the help he needs rather than a loan.

172. McGugin, Harold. The murder of King Cotton. New Outlook 164(2): 31-33.
August 1934.

The author discusses the Bankhead Act. "'Hot' cotton, handled by bootleggers, loss of foreign markets for American cotton, and unemployment for millions of southern workers are some of the consequences of the New Deal for cotton."

173. Maggs, D. B. Congressional power to control cotton and tobacco production.

Law and Contemporary Problems 1(3): 376-389. June 1934.

"The Bankhead Act and the Kerr Act are sustainable, if at all, only as exercises of either the taxing power or the commerce power conferred upon Congress by the Constitution... The Acts clash with traditionally accepted ideas as to the proper functions of the National Government, but they were enacted, as was the whole of the equally novel recovery legislation, to meet the felt needs of today... If these Acts are held invalid, consistency will compel the Court to invalidate much of the NIRA, the AAA, and other important parts of the recovery program. With the Court constituted as it is at present, such holdings seem improbable."

174. [Miller, Dale] Conference raps cotton program. Tex. Weekly 11(5): 8-9. Feb. 2, 1935.

Report of the "Cotton Crisis" conference held in Dallas, January 31 - February 1, 1935, under the auspices of the Arnold Foundation of the Southern Methodist University.

- 175. Miller, Dale. Judge for yourself. Tex. Weekly 9(25): 7. June 24, 1933.
 "Discusses the farm relief program which promises immediate benefits but warns of ultimate dangers."
- 176. Miller, Dale. Judge for yourself. Tex. Weekly 10(17): 8-9. Apr. 28, 1934.

 Comment on address by Secretary of Agriculture H. A. Wallace on the government's cotton acreage reduction and foreign trade policies.
- 177. More about cotton. Acco Press 13(1): 4-6. January 1935.

 A digest of unfatorable arguments and comment against the Government's cotton policy from numerous periodicals.
- 178. Mozer, C. O. Position of cotton co-ops told Senate agricultural committee on acreage control question. Tex. Coop. News 14(2): 2. Feb. 1, 1934. Statement at hearings on Bankhead Bill, January 16, 1934.

 Also in Mid-South Cotton News 11(7): 3. February 1934.

- 179. Murchison, Claudius. Nationalism and the south. Cotton Trade Jour. 14(2):
 1,3. Jan. 13; (3): 1,3. Jan. 20, 1934.
 Reprinted from the Virginia Quarterly for January.
 "The economic life of the South is adjusted to an annual export of from six to eight million bales of cotton."
 Also in Tex. Weekly 9(51): 4-6. Dec. 23, 1933.
- 180. Murray, J. M. Cottonseed oil and lard. Cotton Trade Jour. (8th Internatl. ed.) 15(20): 113,118. 1934-1935.

 "Curtailment of cotton acreage and the smallest hog crop in 11 years—and probably the smallest in 35 years—should give cottonseed oil an opportunity to secure and maintain a 'high-price' market."
- 181. National fertilizer association. Proceedings of the ninth annual convention ... held at White Sulphur Springs, W. Va., June 19,20 and 21,1933.

 21 pp. [Washington, D. C.] 1933.

 Agricultural adjustment, by C. J. Brand, pp.18-40. Includes a statement of the cotton program of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration.
- 182. National industrial conference board, inc. National reconstruction and recovery program: Administration and financing. Part II. The Agricultural adjustment administration. Natl. Indus. Conf. Bd. Inform. Serv. Domestic Affairs Memo 19, 15 pp., tables. New York, 1933.

 Cotton option contracts, pp. 2-4.

 Cotton is included in tables of index numbers, and prices over several years, pp. 13-14.
- 183. Neilson, J. B. Some Bankhead bill facts. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(44): 3-4. Nov. 3, 1934.
- 184. [Nelson, J. A.] Cotton laws attacked as destructive. Policy criticized as unsound and injurious to trade over South. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(47): 7,14. Nov. 24, 1934.

 The Dallas branch of the Cotton Industries Employees! Association has been organized to appose "administration cotton reduction and other agricultural relief policies" in favor of tariff reduction, and other changes of government policy.
- 185. New cotton acreage ruling. Tex. Grower and Val. Farmer 7(6): 22. March April 1934.
 Ruling of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration regarding agreements for farms ineligible for contracts.
- 186. [New Orleans Association of commerce] Cotton or else! 8 pp. New Orleans,
 La., New Orleans Assoc. Com., 1935.

 "A summary of the results of a detailed study of the Cotton situation in the United States with information obtained from all available sources; presenting alternative plans of action to substitute a sound economy in one of the Nation's most vital fields of wealth-creating endeavor in the place of a program that is certain to be destructive."

187. [New Orleans Association of commerce] Cotton program discussed in N. O. Cotton Dimest 7(41):10. July 20, 1935.

Report of a meeting of cotton men, bankers, business men, and farmers, held July 15 and 16, 1935, at New Orleans, Louisiana, and sponsored by the New Orleans Association of Cormerce.

Also reported in Cotton Trade Jour. 15(28):1,2. July 20, 1935; Mid.-So. Cotton News 13(1):1. Aurust 1935.

188. Next year's cotton plan, a problem. Tex. Weekly 10(41):4-5. Oct. 13, 1934.

The article comments on a recent meeting of AAA officials regarding cotton acreage for next year.

189. 1934 cotton adjustment contract completed. Farm and Ranch 52(24): 7. Dec. 15, 1933.

Discusses plans of the U.S. Department of Agriculture to offer these contracts to cotton producers.

- 1935 Bankhead Act regulations provide minimum allotments. Cotton and ·190. Cotton Oil News 36(11): 12. Mar. 16, 1935. An announcement of regulations under the Bankhead Act.
- 191. The 1935 cotton program. Farm and Ranch 54(8): 17, tables. Apr. 15, 1935. Regulations and benefits under the 1935 Agricultural Adjustment

Administration and Bankhead programs. A brief history of the acreage expansion which resulted in troublesome carryovers is given.

- 192. 1935 cotton program regulations, state Bankhead allotments issued. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 36(10): 4,12-13, table. Mar. 9, 1935. An announcement of regulations under the Agricultural Adjustment Act and of forms to be sent to the field and used in compliance with the Agricultural Adjustment and Bankhead Acts.
- 193. O'Donnell, J. J. A permanent cotton policy. Cotton Digest 7(20):9-10. Feb. 23, 1935. Statement before Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry by a representative of Frierson & Co.; New York.
- 194. [Oklahoma agricultural cooperative council] Resolution. Okla. Cotton Grower 13(12):1. Feb. 15, 1934. Resolution adopted January 26, 1934, asking Secretary of Agri-

culture Wallace to put into effect "all cotton acreage control contracts which are regularly signed by the cotton farmers, regardless of whether or not the total acreage pledged is sufficient to reduce the acreage for 1934 to 25-million acres."

135. On the farm front: Court decision imperils AAA. The decision against processing taxes and its effects--possible collapse of crop control. U.S. News 3(29):9. July 22, 1935.

The decision of a Circuit Court of Appeals that "the Federal Government has no power to send checks to farmers for not raising hogs,

or not raising wheat, or not raising cotton" is discussed.

- Our farm policy: relaxing cotton crop restriction. Revision of AAA pro-196. gram to meet new conditions and to save America's foreign markets. U. S. News 2(42): 12. Oct. 22, 1934. Problems of the AAA with regard to cotton are discussed.
- 197. Ousley, Clarence. A cotton production plan. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(44): 8. Nov. 3, 1934. The plan suggested by Robert J. Wood in the Wall Street Journal is discussed.

198.

- Parker, Walter. Basic problem of cotton. Cotton Digest 7(2): 8. Oct. 20, 1934. "The well being, the integrity and the future of the raw cotton industry of the United States depend, not upon the 'benefits' extended to the cotton producers in the form of rentals paid for the non-use of cotton lands, or upon cash bonuses paid to farmers, but upon the reopening of world markets to United States grown cotton on a competitive basis.
- 199. Parker, Walter. Cost of acreage reduction. Cotton Digest 6(23): 8-9. Mar. 17, 1934. A plea for reciprocal trade rather than cotton acreage reduction. Also in Cotton Trade Jour. 14(11): 3. Mar. 17, 1934.
- 200. Parker, Walter. Economic consequences of cotton acreage reduction. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 5(10): 13,15. July 1934.

The author discusses the consequences to the South and to international trade.

Similar article in Who is Who in Grain and Feed 23(18): 19-21. July 20, 1934.

Patch, B. W. Cotton exports and crop reduction. Editorial Research 201. Repts. 2(14): 243-259, tables. Oct. 12, 1934. Bibliographical foot-notes.

A study of the agricultural adjustment program and Bankhead control, including a brief history of United States acreage and production from the time of the Civil War, with emphasis on the period from 1921 to date. The effects of recent high prices and acreage reduction on American cotton exports are discussed, and examples from the experiences of the British and Brazilian governments in their attempts to restrict production of rubber and coffee are given.

- 202. Perry, J. B. Discussion of cotton crop control. Cotton Oil Press 18(8): 27. December 1934.
- Pharr, B. T. Commends AAA program. Cotton Digest 7(46):7-8. Aug. 24, 203. 1935.

The "vice chairman of the Nueces county (Texas) cotton producers council, with approval of the council as a whole" points out benefits to the agricultural sections and to the nation under the Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

204. Pitts, J. H. From our readers. Mid-So. Cotton News 11(15):7. October 1954.

The effect of the Bankhead Act is illustrated by the case of a renter with twelve acres of land.

- 205. Plan for cotton acreage reduction in 1934-35. Farm and Ranch 52(19): 20. Oct. 1, 1933.
- 206. Poe, Clarence. The Bankhead Act and democracy. South Atlantic Quart. 33(4):321-333, tables, chart. October 1934.

 The author thinks the Bankhead Act represents the extension of democracy to new frontiers. He urges equality for agriculture in limiting production.
- 207. Powell, Webster, and Cutler, A. T. Tightening the cotton belt. Harpers Mag. 168(1005):308-318. February 1934.

 A discussion in detail of the methods used in the government cotton control campaign in the southeastern states, stressing the critical situation which has developed among the white and negro tenant-farmers as a result. Includes a description of the traditional system of southern cotton production by landlords and "share-croppers."
- 208. President Roosevelt on cotton policy. His Warm Springs statement that surplus can be removed only by reduced production is disheartening evidence that he intends to do nothing fundamental about exports. Tex. Weekly 10(49):4-6. Dec. 8, 1934.

 The necessity for increased exports is discussed.
- 209. Purposes and operations of the domestic allotment plan... of legislative interest farmers. Tex. Coop. News 13(1):6. Jan. 1, 1933.

 Includes extracts from the bill.
- 210. Putney, Bryant. Farm tenancy in the United States. Editorial Research Repts.1(11):203-219, tables. Mar. 20, 1935.

 Bibliographical footnotes.

 The author discusses the effect of the cotton control program on tenancy, and the legislation proposed to relieve the condition.
- 211. The Q.E.D. of cotton. Amer. Wool & Cotton Reporter 49(32):[1],37-38,42.

 Aug. 8, 1935.

 The effects of the processing tax and crop curtailment are dis-

cussed. "Unrestricted production of cotton with a loc. loan" and a federal sales tax in place of the processing tax are advocated.

212. Railey, J. H. A woman plows in cotton. Forum 92(3):144-149, illus.

September 1934.

A story of one farmer's experiences with the Government cotton

A story of one farmer's experiences with the Government cotton control program and Government loans.

213. Ralls, H. The cotton program from the farmers' viewpoint. Calif. Cult. 82(12):335-341. June 8, 1935.

Extracts from talk at California-Arizona Cotton Association

meeting, Los Angeles, April 13, 1935.

- 214. Reducing the cotton surplus. Producers agree to plow up 9,000,000 acres of growing cotton. Wallaces! Farmer 58(15):319,332. July 22, 1933.
- 215. Reed, T. R. Bankhead cotton reduction law explained. Ark. Farmer 32(3):1. May 15, 1934.
- 216. Reid, T. R. Can evaluate cotton program. Two seasons of production control furnish basis of judgment of value. Ark. Farmer 32(14):5.

 Nov. 1, 1934.
- 217. Renfert, H. Raps cotton policy. Cotton Digest 7(14):7-8. Jan. 12,
 1935.

 Annual report of the president of the Galveston Cotton Exchange.
- 218. Rental payments provided for 1934 cotton program. Farm and Ranch 52(22): 16. Nov. 15, 1933.
- 219. Rental program confused with Bankhead law. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(1): 5-6, 9-12. October 1934.

 Extracts from the two laws are given and their differences pointed out.
- 220. Revere, C. T. Acreage reduction—and the cost. Less than 12,000,000 bales this season? Manchester Guardian Com. (Sup., World Textiles with a Review of American Cotton):7,9,tables. Oct. 7, 1933.

 Outlook for the 1933 crop of American cotton.
- 221. Revere, C. T. Cotton: Its markets must be saved. Sphere 15(1): 11-13, 30. January 1935.

"Success of emergency programs in correcting unfavorable statistical position and in aiding cotton growers should not obscure dangers in loss of foreign markets. Not absolute price but price relativity is the important factor. A simplification of domestic allotment plan would assure retention of foreign business and stabilize the national economy."

Also in Internatl. Cotton Bull.13(5):185-190. January 1935.

222. Revere, C. T. Cotton's basic problem. Textile Bull. 48(14):6,10,12-13.

June 6, 1935.

"Address before the New York University Men in Finance Club, at the Bankers' Club, New York, May 21, 1935."

The author discusses the Government's cotton policy, and outlines "five major fundamentals" of the cotton problem.

- 223. Revere, C. T. The status of American cotton. Policies and their consequences. Manchester Guardian Com. (World Textiles) Oct. 4, 1935, pp.8-9, illus., tables.
- 224. Richards, H. I. Cotton under the Agricultural adjustment act; developments up to July 1934. Brookings Institute (Pamphlet ser.) 15, 129 pp., tables, charts. Washington, D.C. 1934.

 Describes procedures under the Bankhead Act, the AAA, and the

"sign-up" and "plow-up" campaigns; results of these measures on 1933 production and farm income in the South; and the 1934-35 program.

225. Richardson, J. R. Situation in the industry. Cotton Oil Press 17(12): 9-10. April 1934.

"I have given some thought to two factors affecting the cottonseed crushing industry—the code or marketing agreement and the Bankhead bill... It is my belief that the people of the South do not begin to realize the economic harm that will result to them in every direction as a logical consequence of reducing production rather than of clearing the way for larger and larger consumption."

226. Richardson, T. C. Farmers must choose. Farm and Ranch 53(23): 14. Dec. 1, 1934.

The author discusses the question, "Is the loss of world markets chargeable to the AAA and the Bankhead law, or is that loss due to other causes?"

237. Richardson, T. C. Interesting-if true. Farm and Ranch 53(20): 11. Oct. 15, 1934.

The author adduces facts and statistics to disprove the contention that the AAA and Bankhead Act are responsible for the increasing foreign cotton production and the declining demand for American cotton.

228. Richardson, T. C. Toward a national cotton policy. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(20): 4,9. May 19, 1934.

Includes resolution passed by Association of Southern Agricultural Workers at the annual meeting in Memphis, Tenn., Feb. 2, 1934, and discussion of "Senate Joint Resolution No. 87, proposed by Senator Tom Connally of Texas", providing for a "Cotton Coordinating Fact Finding Commission."

- 229. Richardson, T. C. What cotton program for 1935? Farm and Ranch 53(22): 9-10. Nov. 15, 1934.
- 230. Rights of landlord-tenant. Mid-So. Cotton Assoc. News 11(6): 4. January 1934.

Explains the ruling of the Secretary of Agriculture with reference to the landlord-tenant rights in the acreage reduction program.

- 231. Roberts, Clarence. Bankhead bill. Yes or no! Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(22): 499. Nov. 15, 1934.

 The author points out injustices of the bill.
- 232. Roberts, Clarence. Bankhead bill applies to signers also. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(6): 123. Mar. 15, 1934.

 The author expresses surprise that the Bankhead bill will apply to the signer as well as the nonsigner of the cotton reduction contract.
- 233. [Roberts, Clarence] How Bankhead bill will be enforced. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(14): 331,338, tables. July 15, 1934.
- 234. Roberts, Clarence. If the Bankhead Bill is enforced in 1935. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(21): 479,487. Nov. 1, 1934.

 The author speculates as to the likelihood of extension of the Bank-

head Act for 1935-36, and as to means of disposing of the AAA's stored

surplus cotton.

235. [Roberts, Clarence] Roberts says the benefits of cotton program not debated. Okla. Cotton Grower 15(10): 4. June 15, 1935.

Extracts from speech before annual convention of the Oklahoma Cotton Growers Association, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, May 27, 1935, pointing out "that the program will succeed as a southwide enterprise."

236. Roberts, Clarence. Shall we suspend the Bankhead Act? Okla. Farmer-Stock-man 47(19): 431,447. Oct. 1, 1934.

"Since we have gone this far the bill should be enforced this year. With its enforcement this year, I trust we will have seen the last of all efforts at compulsory control of the farm industry."

237. Roberts, Clarence. Some drastic changes ahead after year's trial. On our way with the AAA. Okla. Farmer-Stockman 47(13): 311. July 1, 1934.

"Cotton reduction plans this year are in a jam--one big unholy mess. We have a compulsory plan of reduction imposed on a voluntary plan."

Present plans and probable plans of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration are discussed.

238. Rogers, C. L. Cotton and cotton policy. Natl. Indus. Conf. Bd. Conf. Bd. Bull. 9(6): 41-44. June 10, 1935.

Discusses the situation in the domestic cotton industry which "has become progressively worse during the past few months" and which has its origin in the high prices and crop expansion which existed during the war and post-war years; the attempts of the Federal Farm Board and the Agricultural Adjustment Administration to remedy the situation; competition from other countries and from other textiles; and the possibilities of losing our world market.

The writer concludes as follows:

"To the extent that the present policies of the AAA are temporary, it is probable that little lasting damage will be done to foreign markets for American cotton. There is always danger, however, that a program started as an emergency measure will, as new problems arise, take on a permanence that was not originally intended. Should this occur in the case of the cotton industry, new problems seem destined to arise that will be even more serious than those which the government has been seeking to solve."

239. Rumsey, J. F. Is the Bankhead bill a bonehead? Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(8): 3,8-9, illus., charts. Feb. 24, 1934.

Charts compare acreage and bales produced in the United States and in Oklahoma, Georgia and Texas.

The author suggests that reduced production in the United States will encourage foreign cotton production.

240. Sanders, J. T. Cotton in the southern economy. Tex. Weekly 11(13): 7-9.

Mar. 30, 1935.

Address before the Cotton Crisis Conference, sponsored by the Institute of Public Affairs of Southern Methodist University, and held at Dallas, January 31 - February 1, 1935.

"Restriction program is stimulating foreign production of cotton and leading to loss of markets for American crops." Tariff and other changes of policy are suggested.

Also in Proceedings of Second Conference, pp.1-22. 1935.

- 241. Sanders, J. T. Farm relief is possible under the new national law. Okla.

 Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. 6(3): 57-62, table. June 1933.

 The new agricultural adjustment act as it applies to cotton production.
- 242. Sanders, J. T. How much cotton should America plant? Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. (ser. 49): 8(5): 103-110, chart. October 1935.

 The author concludes that America should plant about 40 million acres and that efforts should be made to expand exports.
- 243. Sanders, J. T. Seeking prosperity through scarcity. Tex. Weekly 10(50): 8-9. Dec. 15, 1934.

 "Restriction policies applied to cotton and wheat afford more farm relief for foreign competitors than for American farmers."

 Also in Cotton Digest 7(11): 12-13. Dec. 22, 1934.
- 244. Schoffelmayer, V. H. Cotton and government control. Cotton Digest 6(23): 6-7. Mar. 17, 1934.

 Address at convention of the Texas Cotton Association at Dallas

Address at convention of the Texas Cotton Association at Dallas, March 16-17, 1934.

"Continued reduction of America's cotton acreage and crop may have serious consequences, should foreign nations seize the opportunity to increase their production which is likely to happen under the stimulus of higher prices."

Also in Cotton Trade Jour. 14(11): 5. Mar. 17, 1934.

245. Scott, Donald. The allotment plan of farm relief. Another step toward economic chaos. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 34(3): 3-4,13. Jan. 21, 1933.

Disadvantages of the domestic allotment plan as it would apply to cotton.

246. Sealy, George. The South in jeopardy. Cotton Digest 7(2): 10-11. Oct. 20, 1934.

The author discusses the need of a cotton policy for the South in

view of the competition of foreign growths.

- 247. Senator Bankhead squirms. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(1): 4,18. October 1934.

 The effect of the Bankhead Act on Texas producers and the advisability of repealing it are discussed.

 Also in Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 65(2): 11-12. October 1934.
- 248. Senator Gore on the Bankhead bill. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(2): 12,14.
 November 1934.
 The Senator argues that the bill is unconstitutional.
- 249. Seymour, L. A. Economic aspects of cotton acreage reduction. Cotton Ginners! Jour. 5(11): 7,26. August 1934.

 Effect of acreage reduction on pickers, ginners, oil mills, etc. is discussed.

250. Seymour, L. A. Vicious cotton acreage reduction. Cotton Trade Jour. 14(21): 2. May 26, 1934.

The author discusses the probable effect of the government's acreage reduction policy on number of persons employed in the cotton and cotton-seed industries.

- 251. Share tenants and the cotton plan. Final form of acreage reduction contract discloses that share tenants and croppers pay most of the bill and receive little of its anticipated benefits. Tex. Weekly 9(49): 4-6.

 Dec. 9, 1933.
- 252. [Sheffield, C. A.] Bankhead act protects. Saves signers from non-signers. Prog. Farmer (Carolinas-Va. ed.) 49(12): 34. December 1934.
- 253. Slater, W. H. What price cotton in 1935? "The Textile weekly" first American average price estimate. Textile Weekly 13(333): 531,534, table, chart. July 20, 1934.

"Fear, carefully engendered and acting on men's minds in the mass has already lifted the market from 5.50d. to 7.00d., which is discounting a crop somewhat lower than last year's outturn. But before the American crop is made and marketed it will have to be offered on the world markets to consumers. So far, the rise in prices has been very acceptable to most parties concerned, but the American government should take care not to overdo the rise, based on curtailed acreage, for the spectre of outside growths is already rising on every cotton market and in every consuming country."

- 254. Smith, H. C. AAA and the Bankhead Bill. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 45(6): 3-5. February 1935.

 The effect of these acts on ginners is discussed.
- 255. Smith, H. C. The Bankhead bill reducing ginning. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 43(6): 11. February 1934.

 Comment on the Bankhead bill to limit the quantity of cotton ginned to 9 million bales.
- 256. Sparkes, Boyden. Reducing the human crop. Sat. Evening Post 208(2): 16-17,63-65, illus. July 13, 1935.

Farm management is discussed in relation to the Agricultural Adjustment cotton program and the sharecropper and tenant problem in the South.

- 257. Status of Agricultural adjustment plans. Summary by commodities. U. S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv., Ext. Serv. Rev. 4(6): 91-92. October 1933.

 Cotton, corn-hogs, wheat, dairy, tobacco, miscellaneous crops; summary of other activities.
- 258. [Steel-Maitland, Sir Arthur] Cotton restriction. Economist/118(4724): 503-505. Mar. 10, 1934.

The writer, who has recently returned from an extended tour in America, discusses the problems faced by the President "in any attempt to 'plan' the future cotton production of the United States." The effect of a

permanent and temporary policy of restriction, the object of which is to raise the price of cotton to 15 cents a pound, is considered. The writer thinks that the object of restriction will be frustrated in both cases. "The possibilities of a definite scheme for diversified farming should be considered without delay... The execution of the project would be best left to individual initiative... The State would have to provide a considerable sum of money, but it would be much less than is likely to be wasted in the cotton restriction programme."

259. Supplemental bill of complaint filed in Federal district court. Cotton Ginners! Jour. 7(1): 5-6,9-13,18. October 1935.

The supplemental bill of complaint and the order of the Court, in the case of Wallace vs. Thomas under the Bankhead Act, are given.

260. Fattercall, F. W. Will English spinners change over? Continue to take less American cotton as Washington legislation distort price situation.

Barron's 14(15): 5,7. Apr. 9, 1934.

"Potential threat to the American cotton-export market contained in our price-raising policies, acreage restriction, and more recently, the Bankhead bill, is now being demonstrated by actual buying policies of British cotton spinners... The author, who is a specialist in cotton-trade journalism, in Manchester, Eng., reveals the changed attitude of Lancashire spinners towards their raw material and their new willingness to experiment with non-American cottons, and in some cases where funds are available to alter their machinery so that they can handle a larger percentage of such cotton. He also points out that at the same time the United States is attempting to hold down its cotton crop, the Egyptian Government is giving encouragement to its growers to increase their production as much as possible."—Editor's note.

- 261. Taylor, F. V. Bankhead law benefits the farmer. North Carolina ginners don't oppose it for that reason. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 65(1): 10. September 1934.
- 262. Teuton, F. L. The ladder that saved the South. South. Agr. 64(11): 7.13. November, 1934.

Reviews the achievements of the AAA program of adjusted cotton production, and the farmer's attitude toward it.

263. [Texas cotton ginners' association] Cotton acreage to be reduced thirty per cent. Growing cotton to be destroyed. Cotton Ginners' Jour. 4(10): 9,15-16. July 1933.

Includes statement submitted at hearing before Agricultural Adjustment Administration, presenting the views of the association.

- 264. [Texas cotton ginners association] Resolution on the Bankhead bill. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(24): 9. June 16, 1934.

 Resolution passed by Board of Directors of the Texas Cotton Ginners!

 Association in session in Dallas, June 11, 1934, requesting repeal of the Bankhead Act.
- 265. Texas cotton ginners: association. Statement concerning cotton acreage reduction. Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 10(10): 5-6,10. June 1933.

266. [Texas cotton ginners! association] What shall we do? Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(27): 14. July 7, 1934.

Copy of a circular relating to the ginners' ideas on the Bankhead act which was sent to all Texas ginners.

- 267. Texas ginners file supplemental bill of complaint in federal district court.

 Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 13(2): [4],6,8-9,10. October 1935.

 The bill of complaint filed September 23, 1935, by D. C. Wallace, et al, against Collector of Internal Revenue W. A. Thomas, in the dispute over the collection of taxes under the Bankhead Act, is given in full.
- 268. Texas leads the way in world problem of cotton. Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(8): 5,13-14, illus. April 1935.

 The Dallas Convention [April 3-5] of the Texas ginners favored open competition with foreign producers rather than AAA and Bankhead restrictions.
- 269. Thomas, Norman. The decline in the cotton kingdom. II.-Victims of change. Current Hist. 42(1): 36-41. April 1935.

 The effect of AAA policies on cotton farm laborers is discussed.
- 270. Thomas, Norman. Starve and prosper. Current Hist. 40(2): 135-140. May 1934.

 Effects of the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the Bankhead Act, with special reference to the cotton tenant, are discussed.
- 271. To the rescue of King Cotton. Tex. Citricult. 11(2): 14-15,19, illus. August 1934.

 The Bankhead Act is explained.
- 272. Treanor, Kenneth, and Minor, W. A., Jr. The outlook for Georgia agriculture in 1934. Ga. Agr. Col. Ext. Bull. 439, 26pp. Athens. 1933.

 Agricultural adjustment policy—its effect on farm management programs, pp.4-7.

 Cotton, pp.11-13.
- 273. Tugwell, R. G. Address ... at Clemson College, South Carolina, Wednesday, August 15, 1934. Clemson Agr. Col. S. C. Ext. Circ. 133, 8 pp. August 1934.

The results of the AAA program and the "democratic movement of cooperation in the South" are discussed. The South must now decide the amount of cotton to be raised and its price in the future. "That choice will lie again, as in the past, between unlimited competitive production and cooperation in crop control," to enable the farmer to make more than the cost of production. Tariff adjustments will be essential to enable foreigners to exchange their goods for the American cotton they will always want because of its superior qualities.

The Department of Agriculture's plans and projects for the cotton industry include "a cooperative breeding and seed distribution program in each of the cotton producing states", and research into fibre and spinning qualities and the effects of seasonal, climatic and soil conditions on quality.

Also in Farm and Ranch 53(20):3,12. Oct. 15, 1934.

274. Two to one against Bankhead act. Com. and Finance 23(39): 779. Sept. 26, 1934.

Result of a questionnaire sent to correspondents of Commerce and Finance. Extracts from letters opnosing the Act are quoted.

275. Uncle Sam will buy your cotton. Ohlahoma Farmer-Stockman 46(13): 3,8.
July 1, 1933.

Describes the "Wallace plan of cotton acreage reduction."

276. United States Congress. House, Committee on Agriculture. Amend cotton control act. Hearing ... Seventy-fourth Congress, first session, on H.R.5578 (H.R.6424), February 20, 21, 22, 25, 1935. Serial D. 105 pp., tables. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1935.

Hearing on amendments to the Bankhead Act.

Statements of C. A. Cobb, Chief, Cotton Production Section, Agricultural Adjustment Administration; Hon. Wall Doxey, Representative from the State of Mississippi; T. L. Gaston, Regional Consultant, Cotton Production Section, Agricultural Adjustment Administration; Alger Hiss, attorney, Solicitor's Office, Department of Agriculture; John C. Thompson, Secretary, Texas Cotton Ginners Association, Dallas, Tex.; and Hon. Orville Zimmerman, Representative from the State of Missouri, are included.

Extracts in Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(6): 5-6, 10, 12-19. March 1935.

- 277. United States Congress. House, Committee on agriculture. Amendments to Agricultural adjustment act. Hearing ... Seventy-fourth Congress, first session, on H.R.5585, February 26,27,28, March 1,5,6,1935. Serial E. 372 pp., tables. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1935. Partial contents: Statement of A. L. Reed, secretary, Southwestern Compress and Warehouse Association, Dallas, Tex., pp.210-214; Statement of K. P. Lewis, president of the Erwin Cotton Mills Co., Durham, N. C., pp.322-333; Statement of John C. White, counsel for American Cotton Shippers Association, Washington, D. C., pp.352-359.
- 278. United States Congress. House, Committee on agriculture. Bankhead cotton control bill. Hearing ... Seventy-third Congress, second session on H.E.8402 (and earlier drafts) February 12,13,14,15,16, and 17, 1934. Serial I. 167 pp. Washington, D.C., U.S.Govt. Print. Off., 1934.
- 279. United States Congress. House, Committee on appropriations. Agricultural department appropriation bill for 1936. Hearing ... Seventy-fourth Congress, first session. 1693 pp., tables. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1935.

Includes statements of Secretary H. A. Wallace, on studies and activities in connection with cotton production in the South, pp.23-25; Mr. F. D. Richey, on experimental work, pp.255-260; Mr. L. A. Strong, on cotton insects, pp.526-533; Miss Ruth O'Brien, on studies of textiles and clothing, pp.826-828; Mr. N. A. Olsen on competition with foreign cotton and other fibers, pp.912-929; Mr. N. A. Olsen, on effect of cotton control program, pp.929-931; Mr. L. A. Johnson and Dr. P. O. Walton, on cotton standards and testing, pp.943-961; Mr. N. A. Olsen, on proposed cotton research program, pp.961-980; Mr. N. A. Olsen, on

cotton grade and staple statistics, pp.1029-1031; Mr. N. A. Olsen on United States Cotton Futures and United States Cotton Standards Acts, pp.1076-1078; Mr. Chester Davis, on the Agricultural Adjustment Administration's cotton program, pp.1305-1306; Mr. Oscar Johnston, on statistics on cotton holdings, pp.1328-1329; Mr. F. D. Richey, Dr. M. A. McCall, Mr. H. W. Barre, and Mr. N. A. Olsen on cotton research program, pp.1370-1391.

280. United States Congress. Senate, Committee on agriculture and forestry.

To regulate the production and ginning of cotton. Hearings ... 73rd

Congress, 2nd sess. on S. 1974. 11lpp. Washington, D.C., U.S. Govt. Print.

Off., 1934.

Hearings on the Bankhead bill, January 15-17 and 20, 1934.

281. United States Department of agriculture. Report of the secretary... 1933. 107pp. Washington, D. C. 1933.

Cotton-acreage reduction, pp.28-34.
Cotton ginning and farm machinery, p. 85.

- 282. United States Department of agriculture. Report of the Secretary of agriculture, 1934. 119pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1934.

 The adjustment of cotton production is discussed throughout the report, but particularly on pp.38-42.
- 283. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Adjusting cotton production. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Commodity Inform. Ser., Cotton Leaflet 1, 6pp., tables, chart. Washington, D. C. [1934]

A catechism of the reasons for and advantages of the AAA cotton program and Bankhead Act.

Extracts in "Definite Facts on Cotton Program." Textile Bull. 47(11): 4,24-25. Nov. 15, 1934.

- 284. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Administrative rulings applicable for 1935 to the 1934 and 1935 cotton acreage adjustment plan. Rulings nos. 1 to 30. U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin. Cotton [Form, 106, 14pp. Washington, D. C. 1935.
- 285. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Agricultural adjustment. A report of the Agricultural adjustment act May 1933 to February 1934. 393pp., charts, tables. Washington, D. C., Govt. Print. Off., 1934.

 Chap. 3. Cotton, pp.19-41.

Appendix J. Agricultural Adjustment Act (as emended) pp. 361-368.

286. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Agricultural adjustment in 1934. A report of administration of the Agricultural adjustment act, February 15, 1934, to December 31, 1934. 465pp., illus., tables, charts. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1935.

Partial contents: Cotton: I. Factors in decrease of American cotton supply, pp.45-50; II. The Bankhead Act, pp.50-55; III. The 1935 program,

pp.56-61; IV. The cotton producers' pool, pp.61-65; V. The cotton processing tax, pp.65-70; Commodity loans: Loans on 1933 cotton crop, pp.214-215; Loans on cotton in producers' pool, p.215; Loans on 1934 cotton crop, p.215; Processing taxes and their effects: Sources of processing taxes (includes cotton taxes), pp.232-233; Legal phases and developments (including list of cases relating to processing taxes, Bankhead Act and cotton-adjustment program, pp.249-269; Cotton options, p.309.

287. United States Department of agriculture; Agricultural adjustment administration. America's cotton-production problem for 1935. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Commodity Inform. Ser., Cotton Leaflet 4, 3pp. Washington, D. C. 1934.

Question and answer treatment of the problem.

268. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Compilation of Agricultural adjustment act as amended and Acts relating thereto, as of June 29, 1934. 105pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Cff., 1934.

For references to cotton, see the index.

289. [United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration] First cotton price adjustment form approved; to be distributed soon. Oil Miller and Cotton Ginner 47(2): 10. Cctober 1935.

Announcement made September 26 by the Agricultural Adjustment Admin-

Announcement made September 26 by the Agricultural Adjustment Administration "that the first form of the five forms to be used in the new cotton price adjustment plan, the Cotton Sale Certificate, has been approved and will be distributed to the field forces as soon as possible."

Also in Mid-So. Cotton News 13(3): 6. Cotober 1935.

290. [United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration] How much cotton to grow in 1935. Cotton Production Adjustment no. 17, pp.1-13, tables. Cct. 10, 1934.

The entire issue is devoted to the problem, which is discussed in the light of the labor situation, the business situation, and the American and foreign supply of American cotton. Questions based on the statistical tables are listed for the use of discussion groups.

- 291. [United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration] 1935 Bankhead certificate pool opened September 4. Mid-So. Cotton News 13(3): 3. October 1935.
- 292. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Questions and answers covering 1934 and 1935 cotton acreage reduction plan. U. S. Dept. Agr., A.A.A. Form Cotton 4, 12pp. Washington, D. C. 1933.
- 293. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Regional problems in agricultural adjustment. 101pm., illus., tables. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1935.

 Ch. 5, The cotton belt and the cotton problem, pp.41-48.

 "Prepared in the Program Planning Division."

- 294. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Shall the Bankhead Act be continued through 1935? U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin. Commodity Inform. Ser. Cotton Leaflet 5. 8pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1934. Discussion of the purposes of the Act and procedures under it.
- 295. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administra-Supplementary cotton regulations made by the Secretary of Agriculture with the approval of the President under the Agricultural adjustment act. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Cotton Regulat. Ser. 2, Sup. 2, 16pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1933.

To become effective December 1, 1933.

I. Definitions: pp.1-11. Meanings of terms used in the regulations, including names of fabrics and garments.

... II. Conversion factors; (tables), pp.11-16.

Also in Textile World 83(13): 2168-2170. December 1933.

- 296. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administra-The agricultural adjustment act and its operation... October 1933. 13pp. Washington, D. C., Govt. Print. Off., 1933. Includes a description of the cotton-option plan and of the cotton
 - adjustment program, pp. 7-8.
- 297. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. The processing tax. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Div. Inform. G-41, 12pp. Washington, D. C. 1935. References, p.12.

Information on the processing taxes, including that on cotton and arguments for and against it are given.

- 298. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. World cotton markets. Does the United States want to sell more cotton for less money? U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Div. Inform. G-43, 8pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1935. Suggested references, p.8.
- 299. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Cotton production section. Preliminary questions and answers covering the Bankhead act. U. S. Dept. Agr., AAA Form B.A.-1, 12pp., table. Washington, D. C. 1934.
- United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Division of cotton. Administrative ruling 31 governing appeals with respect to 1934 and 1935 cotton acreage reduction contracts (Cotton 106 - Amendment no.1) and Article X of regulations under Cotton control act of 1934 governing appeals respecting county and farm allotments and issuance of tax-exemption certificates to individual producers (B.A.219 - Amendment no.2). U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Div. Cotton, Cotton [Form] 106, Ruling 31, B.A. 219, Article X, 5pp., mimeogr. [Washington, D. C.] 1935.
- 301. United States Department of agriculture, [Agricultural adjustment administration, Division of cotton; Amendments to the 1935 regulations pertaining to allotments and tax-exemption certificates under the Cotton

act of April 21, 1934. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Div. Cotton, B.A.219, Amendment 8, 2pp. Washington, D. C. 1935.

- United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Division of cotton. 1935 instructions pertaining to the administration of the Cotton act of April 21, 1934, for the use of extension agents, county committeemen, community committeemen, and others concerned with the operation of the provisions of the act to be administered by the Secretary of agriculture. Issued March 6, 1935. U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin. B.A. 219A, 39pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1935. Bankhead Act instructions.
- 503. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration, Division of cotton. Regulations pertaining to allotments and tax-exemption certificates under the Cotton act of April 21, 1934. Issued March 6, 1935. U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin. B.A. 219, 46pp. Washington, 1935. (Also Amendments 1-8)

 Regulations under the Bankhead Act.
- 304. United States Department of agriculture, Agricultural adjustment administration. Division of information. What countries shall supply the world with its cotton? U. S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Adjustment Admin., Div. Inform. G-49, 8pp., tables. Washington, D. C. 1935.

 Suggested references, p.8.

World trends in cotton production, the effects of United States government policies, the world cotton situation and the outlook for American cotton are discussed.

305. Waldron, J. C. King Cotton and his slaves. Nation 138(3598): 703-705. June 20, 1934.

Describes the condition of share-croppers and their families as a result of the AAA acreage reduction program.

306. Wallace, H. A. American agriculture and world markets. Foreign Affairs 12(2): 216-230. January 1934.

"Of all our exports, cotton should probably be the last permanently to go. The people who urge that our cotton limitation program is encouraging the foreign growth of cotton are mistaken. At this writing the gold price of cotton in world markets is as low as it was last March. Foreign growths of cotton have been declining in recent years and for the time being our policy is doing nothing to encourage foreign growths. This situation will of course have to be reexamined about two years hence when our huge carryover has been reduced to normal. By that time it is hoped, the American people will have become willing to accept sufficient goods from abroad so that the foreign countries will have adequate purchasing power with which to buy a normal supply of cotton at a fair price."

307. Wallace, H. A. New frontiers. 314pp. New York, Reynal & Hitchcock [31934]

A description of the development and administration of the government cotton control program is included.

308. Wallace, H. A. Searching for a permanent program. Cotton Trade Jour. (3th Internatl. ed.) 15(20): 12,100-101,152. 1934-1935.

The Secretary of Agriculture reviews the cotton situation, and outlines "three general alternatives for the cotton programs for the

future."

309. Wallace, H. A. The world cotton drama. Foreign Affairs 13(4): 543-556.

July 1935.

The writer discusses the part played by American cotton in world affairs since the World War and particularly since 1933, the possibilities of further competition from India, Egypt, and Brazil, and the arguments for export dumping to increase exports, and defends the

present cotton control policy of the Government.

- 310. Wallace, H. A. Voluntary vs. compulsory cotton acreage reduction. Cotton and Cotton Oil News 35(4): 7. Jan. 27, 1934.

 Excerpts from radio address by the Secretary of Agriculture, January 23, 1934.
- 311. [Wallace, H. A.] Wallace replies to critics of cotton control. Com. and Finance 24(2): 46. Jan. 9, 1935.

 "The paragraphs given herewith have been excerpted from an article... in the New York Times of Sunday, Dec. 30. These have been re-arranged from their original context in order to emphasize still more clearly the continuity of thought regarding the direct and detrimental consequences to all cotton interests of our hitherto unrealistic policy towards our Foreign Trade." -From editorial note.
- 312. Welch, J. H. King Cotton sits uneasily on his throne. Tex. Citricult. and Farming 11(12): 7-8, illus. June 1935.

 Government efforts to help the cotton producer under the Agricultural Adjustment and Bankhead Acts are briefly described. The attitude of the growers, and the significance for the future of falling exports are also briefly discussed.
- 313. Wells, O. V. The regional adjustment project: a summary and some suggestions for further work. [14]pp., tables, mimeogr. [Washington, D. C., 1935]

 Short-time and long-time recommendations for cotton acreage and pro-

Short-time and long-time recommendations for cotton acreage and production are included.

- 314. What is the sentiment? Cotton Ginners' Jour. 6(2): 7. November 1934.

 Gives results to date on a Bankhead repeal petition being circulated among Texas farmers and ginners.
- 315. White, E. D. The government's cotton program. Cotton Digest 7(21): 7-10.

 Mar. 2, 1935.

"Paper delivered before Institute of Public Affairs, Arnold Foundation, Southern Methodist University, Dallas," Texas, January 31 - February 1, 1935. The program developed under the Agricultural Adjustment Act and the

The program developed under the Agricultural Adjustment Act and Bankhead Act is described.

Also in Proceedings of Second Conference, pp.100-114. 1935.

316. [White, J. C.] Change in cotton policy urgent declares shippers! spokesman.

Cotton Trade Jour. 15(16): 6. Apr. 27, 1935.

Statement by the representative of "the American Cotton Shippers! Association, at the hearings held in Washington, some weeks ago by the

Senate Committee on Agriculture."

317. White, D. P. Santa Clous hits Dixie. Collier's 92(9): 12-13. 45-46. Aug. 26, 1933.

Discusses the plew-up campaign sponsored by the Government.

- 316. Whittom, William. The 1933 American cotton crop. Plan for applying the U.S. Agricultural adjustment act. Textile Recorder 51(604): 25-26. July 15, 1933.

 Comment on the application of the act.
- 319. Will young Rodgers go to jail? Tex. Weekly 11(4): 4-6. Jan. 26, 1935.

 Comment on reports of a case in which a man "was charged with inciting sharecroppers against the cotton planters."

 Includes comment on the effect of Agricultural Adjustment Administration on tenants and sharecroppers.
- 530. [Williamson, N. C.] Need national policy... Can't carry restriction program too far is writer's opinion. Tex. Co-op. News 14(11): 2. Nov. 1, 1934.

 The author favors two price systems, one high enough to cover costs of production for the domestic market, and a foreign price, low enough to hold world markets.
- 321. [Williamson, N. C.] Williamson, president of ACCA, issues statement regarding AAA amendments. Mid-So. Cotton News 13(1): 6. August 1935.

 Text of statement in support of the AAA amendments, following their passage in the Senate, by the president of the American Cotton Cooperativ Association.
- 322. Wilson, Otto. Government policies affect vegetable-oil output. Indus. and Engin. Chem. (News ed.) 12(22): 406-407, charts. Nov. 20, 1934.

 The government's cotton-restriction campaign and the tax on coconut cil have reduced vegetable oil production for the September quarter to the 1924 level.
- 323. Windel, Dudley. American cotton in world markets. Amer. Cotton Grower 1(6): 11,19. November 1935.

 The author discusses the outlook for American cotton against the background of world post-war economic history and under the new cotton policy of the United States. He outlines a program to be followed if the United States wishes to maintain her present supremacy.
- 324. Wolford, A. S. The tripod supporting cotton prices. Com. and Finance 23(7): 167. Feb. 14, 1934.

 The author states that "the recent advance in cotton extending over a period of seven weeks, has been predicated upon three indirectly connected expectations, the first of which is the generally anticipated reduction in domestic production, pending a correction of the supply cituation. The remaining two deal with the demand factor, at home, and abroad."
- 325. Wooton, Paul. Cotton and the farm relief program. Textile World 83(8): 1286, illus. July 1933.

 Describes the drive to reduce the cotton acreage. The personnel of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration handling the cotton program is given.

Zimmerman, Orville. Statement ... before House Agricultural committee.

Amer. Ginner and Cotton Oil Miller 12(7): 13-14, table. March 1935.

The effect of the operation of the Bankhead Act on the cotton ginning business is discussed.

<u>Index</u>

Item	Item
AAA. See Agricultural adjustment	Acreage - Continued
act; U.S. Agricultural adjust-	reduction - continued
ment administration.	effect on - continued
Acreage	foreign competition244
adjustment	income
plans for 1935188	price253
rulings284	share-croppers6,305
agreements for farms in-	southern agriculture124
eligible for contracts185	tenants38,78,79
control	Texas115
and the law 15	opposed by employees!
brief history126	association184
does not mean yield control 29	plan
Federal compulsion147	1934
legislation surveyed 15	1934–35205
position of co-ops8,178	tentativelll
resolution194	193581
correlated with yield,	approved81
1913-1932	proposedlll
discussed 50	questions and answers83,292
expansion, history191	Wallace plan86,275
history, Civil War to date201	will make money137
normal, return desired bill 96	policy39,176
probable effect of Bankhead/on159	questioned
recommendations, short-term	probable 86
and long-term313	problems
reduction281	created by102
application to 1933 crop84,130	discussed110
as solution to growers!	human134,135
problems148	program
compulsory, urged 70	features, 1934 44
contracts. <u>See</u> U. S. Agri-	helped the home
cultural adjustment ad-	landlord-tenant rights230
ministration, contracts.	review, 1933 43
cost199,220	summary, 1934 43
drive325	recommended
economic aspects249	rental payments218
economic consequences200	statement of Texas cotton
effect on	ginners' association263,265
cottonseed and cotton-	under Agricultural adjust- ment act160
seed meal outlook 87	voluntary vs. compulsory237,310
cotton and cottonseed	See also Plow-up campaign
industries, number	restriction
employed in250	effect on
cottonseed oil prices180	demand from Lancashire260
exports201	foreign production240
	10101811 0104401101111111111111111111111

<u>Item</u>	<u>It</u>
Acreage	Agricultural adjustment act. See
restriction	also U.S. Agricultural adjust-
output up despite	ment administration.
plans, 1334 67	Agriculture in the South, effect
recommended151,152	of government policies on14
relation to exports 27	Allotments. See Bankhend act,
U.S. compared with Oklahoma,	allotments.
Georgia and Texas239	Amberson, W. R.: New Deal for
Aioms, Orville: Shall we soon eat	share-croppers
bread? Bankhead act now in United	American cotton
States Supreme Court 3	demand, declining22
Adjudication, Bankhead act,	See also Foreign competition.
Wallace vs. Thomas259,267	outlook
Adjustment. See Acreage, adjust-	193322
ment; Production, adjustment;	1935 2
U.S. Agricultural adjustment	discussed30
edministration.	effect of government policy
Adventures in price fixing	on32
No. IK	part played in world affairs30
No. X	supply, factors in decrease28
Agricultural adjustment act	American cotton cooperative
acreage reduction under160	association: Co-op favors tax
amendments	on all cotton grown by
hearings277	"holdouts."
	American cotton shippers!
statement supporting321	association
application to 1933 crop 84	statement
comment on	at Senate hearings31
applied to cotton	on Agricultural adjust-
basis for judgment113	ment actll
Cases	
list	protesting proposed agri- cultural bill
compilation of act, amendments,	
etc285,288	Anderson, Clayton & Co.: Bankhead
constitutionality, decision of	bill See Southorn
Judge Wilson 5	Arnold foundation. See Southern
economic bases109	methodist university, Institute
effect on	of public affairs.
farm tenant270	Association of landgrant colleges
foreign production and demand227	and universities: Proceedings
ginners254	of the forty-eighth convention
growers146	Nov. 19-24, 1934
standard of living in South 30	Association of southern agricultur-
world markets226	al workers, resolution at meet-
efforts described312	ing in Memohis, Feb. 2, 1934228
farm relief possible under241	
measures taken under, reviewed146	Backman, Jules
operation296	Adventures in price fixing
procedures under224	IX: Cotton under the
regulations	Federal farm board 11
1935192	X: AAA and Bankhead Act
supplementary295	fiasco
report of administration285,286	Cotton control going askew?
results224	With A. L. Jackson 13
statement of American cotton	Cotton control plan booming
shippers associationll8	South's sales. With A. L.
	Jackson 14

	<u> </u>	<u>Item</u>
Ba	ling	Bankhead act - Continued
	high-density gin compression	effects - continued.
	recommended	ginning business 326
	reforms urged	standard of living in South 30
Ва	llinger, R. A.: Acreage control	Texas
	and the law. With C. C. McWhorter 15	world markets 226
Ва	nkhead, J. H.	explained164,215,271,312
	Cause of lost cotton exports 16	extracts
	Father of famous bill explains	compared with rental program 219
	what has happened and what to	ginners' ideas on
	do	history and criticisms
	squirms 247	injunction
	statement on act 19	asked 22
	Why fear cotton crop control? 18	order in Texas 21
Ва	nkhead act	instructions
	administering 59	machinery through which it
	advantages 283	must work 58
	aims	opposition grows
	allotments	procedures under224,294
	appeals, rulings 307	program, 1935 191
	issued	protects signers,
	1934	purposes
	1935190,192	questions and answers
	proposed by American cotton	regulations
	cooperative association 8	amendments301
	regulations 303	announcement
	amendments	effect on ginners
	amendments, hearings	relation to cotton program 10
	analysis	repeal
	benefits farmers 261	advised
	cases	petition, results
	list 236	See also Bankhead act, continuance.
	reviewed by Supreme Court 20	
	Wallace vs. Thomas259,267	replies to questionnaire
	certificate pool opened 291	report of administration
	changes suggested	represents extension of democracy
	constitutionality	
	brief in Supreme Court case 3	resolution condemning
	questions 57	. Texas cotton ginners asso-
	continuance	ciation requesting
	coming vote on	repeal
	for 1935-36, speculation on 234	restrictions
	through 1935 questioned 294	opposed by Texas ginners 268
	See also Bankhead act, repeal.	should be enforced, 1934-35 236
	defense	study201
		symposium of opinion
	discussed	tax rate
		unjust features protested 152
	discussed	Rankhead bill
	farm tenant	analvsis9,144
	foreign production and demand. 227	applies to signers of acreage
	ginners	reduction contracts 232

7	. cem		<u>ltem</u>
Fankhead bill - Continued		Bryant, Randolph; injunction	
comment	255	order granted by, in Bank-	
discussed	114	head act case	21
effect on		Burr, C. H.: Economic suicide	39
cottonseed crushing		Burton, C. S.: Cotton comes under	
industry	225	enforced control	4C
foreign production	239	Bush, T. F., statement of A.C.S.A	2
enforcement questioned		Butler, Eugene	
hearings278,		Proposed cotton plans	41
statement of cooperatives		What's new in agriculture?	
injustices		Compulsory cotton control	10
probable results		up to producer	42
some facts		Cotton1933 results, new	4.5
unconstitutional		plans, hold spotlight	43
Fankhead tenancy bill, discussed	53	What's new in the cotton world?.	44
Bean, L. H.		Butler, Tait	15
Economic bases for the Agri-		Cotton production control	45 46
ultural adjustment act. With Mordecai Ezekiel	109	Our national cotton policy Social and economic effects of	40
Planning our 1935 farm program.	24	production control	47
Bediingfield, C. H.: County adjust-	- WI	Butler, et al., receivers of	π,
ment campaigns	88	Hoosac Mills Corp. v. United	
Black, A. G.: Developments in the	00	States, decision of Judge	
American cotton programme	25	Wilson	5
Black, J. D.:		Buxton plan	48
Restriction of cotton production			
in relation to foreign trade		Caldwell, T. J.: Cotton and	
and compeition	26	foreign trade	49
Outlook for American cotton	27	California-Arizona cotton asso-	
Borah, Senator: Bankhead act		ciation, meeting, April 13,	
criticisms, comment	17	1935, talk by H. Ralls	213
Boyle, J. E.		Callander, W. F.: Cotton and	50
Planned cotton production	28	grain crops	57
Planned production	29	Camp, W. B.: Our flexible adjust-	C 3
Regimented cotton farming at		ment program	51
work. Uncle Sam's double-	70	Campion, H.: American raw cotton	52
Brand, C. J.: Agricultural adjust-	30	Cannon, C. A.: Cannon opposes	J.C.
ment	ומו	domestic allotment plan	54
Brazil, production increased	98	Carpenter, C. T.: King cotton's	ŸŤ
Erchm, C. E.	30	slaves. The fate of the share-	
AAA contracts more popular	32	cropper becomes a national	
Cotton sign-up and pools	33	issuė	55
Breyer, R. F.: Marketing in-		Cartwright, H. Y.: New Deal and	
stitution	34	the South	56
Briggs, F. A.:		Cassidy, G. A., Jr.: Federal	
America's position in cotton	35	cotton production control	
Cotton program for 1936	36	The Bankhead bill. With	
Brumbaugh, Norma: Less cotton		C. K. Hurley	57
helped home	37	Cavers, D. F.: Production control	EC
Bruton, P. W.: Cotton acreage re-	70	by taxation	58
duction and the tenant farmer	38		

	Item		Item
Certificates, tax-exemption		Consumption - Continued	100111
issuance, rulings governing	300	statistics, world	35
regulations	- 303	world	
amendments	301	higher	
transfer price	23	Contracts. See U. S. Agricultural	
Chew, A. P.		adjustment administration,	
Administering the Bankhead Act	59	contracts.	
America's cotton experiment	60	Control	
Clair-McDonald plan. See Plans,		compulsory, should end	236
domestic allotment.		from farmer's viewpoint	104
Clayton, W. L.		government, effect on	
Cotton and foreign trade	61	foreign competition	
Our national cotton policy	62	political, significance	95
reply by Tait Butler	46	program	
Our vanishing cotton markets:	63	discussed	
Southwest's stake in cotton	64	effect on sales in South	
Cobb, C. A.		for 1933	
Bankhead control act		Conversion factors	295
Cotton adjustment program	68	Cooperative marketing school,	
Cotton. Are we to have a pro-		Little Rock, Ark., address by	17
gram by and for producers or		Tait Butler	47
a program by and for pro- cessors and handlers?	C.E.	Cotton coordinating fact finding	
Cotton plow-up a signal success.	65 66	commission, Senate Joint	220
Cotton production adjustment	00	Res. 87, discussed	220
for 1934 What it proposes		Southern Methodist university.	
to accomplish	67	Institute of public affairs.	
Cotton program and the Bankhead	07	Cotton crisis conference.	
act	10	Cotton industries employees	
statement at hearing on Bank-	10	association	
head act amendments	276	Dallas branch	184
testimony at Texas legislature!s	210	urge removal of restrictions	TO T
hearings	1.61	on production	163
This Agricultural adjustment	T 0 T	Cotton-textile institute, inc.:	100
act as applied to cotton	69	How domestic allotment plan	
Codes, cotton-textile,		would affect cotton textiles.	85
development	1.70	Cottonseed	
Commission of inquiry on national	1.0	crushing industry, effect	
policy in international economic		of Bankhead bill	225
relations, statement by W. L.		outlook, effect of smaller	
Clayton	62	cotton crop	87
Competition. See Foreign.		persons employed in industry,	
competition.		effect of acreage reduction	250
Connally, Senator Tom, Senate		Cottonseed cake, increased cost,	
joint resolution 87	228	caused by Government's program	154
Consumption		Cottonseed meal, outlook,	
curtailment, equal to curtail-		effect of smaller cotton crop	87
ment of production	13	Cottonseed oil	
effect of		mills, effect of acreage re-	
American policies on	158	duction on	249
controlled production on	157	price, effect of cotton	
cotton program on	142	acreage reduction	180
Government's program, on		production, effect of govern-	
Texas	1.54	ment policy	322

Item	Item
Court cases. See Adjudication.	Edmonds, J. E.: They signed up 106
Cox, A. F.	Egypt
Cotton	government encouragement
Evaluating the cotton	to growers
program 94	helped by American policy 132
Significance of federal	production curtailment efforts 119
political control of the	Ellis, L. S.: Processing tax the
cotton industry 95	farmers' tariff
Currency, depreciation, effects 27	Employment
Cutler. A. T.: Tightening the	effect of acreage reduction
cotton belt. With Webster	program on126,250
Powell	effect of Bankhead act on 172
	Evans, J. A.: 30 years in the
Daggit, E. M.	cotton belt 108
Influence of farm crops upon	Exports
tusiness recovery 96	dumping, arguments for 309
Role of large crops in stimu-	economic life of South adjusted
lating recoveries from	to
former denressions 97	effect of
Davis, C. C.	acreage restriction 27
Agricultural adjustment	crop reduction171,201
administration's cotton	loans 27
program	falling, significance for
Credits and debits of the	the future 312
cotton program 98	increased, needed
Reviewing the cotton program 99	lost, cause 16
Decline in the cotton kingdom.	Ezekiel, Mordecai: Economic bases
I. The planters' prospects 117	for the Agricultural adjustment
Leloach, George: Ginners asso-	act. With L. H. Bean 109
ciation of South Carolina 101	
Delta day program, address	Fabrics, names defined 295
oy C. C. Davis	Farm management
Jetand, effect on prices 324	practices must be improved 90
Depression, role of large crops	programs, effect of
in recovery from 97	AAA policy 272
Dickson, Harris: Loosening up the	relation to agricultural
cotton belt	adjustment program
Dies, Martin.	Farm relief measures leading up
statement on Bankhead act 19	to adjustment program 25
South must choose 103	Farm workers, effect of govern-
Liversification recommended 153	ment policies82,269
Donestic allotment plan. See	Farmers
Plans, demestic allotment.	attitudes 312
Dove, Dar: Cotton control from	effect of Bankhead act on 261
farmer's viewmoint	Mississippi, acreage reduction
Doxey, Wall, statement at hear-	will make money for 137
ing on Bankhead act amendments 276	problems, criticism of Depart-
	ment of agriculture regard-
E., J. E.: AAA cotton section not	ing 53
very happy. Foreign cotton	Texas, petition on Bankhead
prospects 105	act 314
Reonante muleide	Farmers' Union plan. See Plans,
	domestic allotment.

	tem	<u>I</u>	tem
Federal farm board. See U.S.		Ginners	
Federal farm board.		effect of	
Federal farm-loan banks recom-		<u>AAA</u>	254
mended	L53	acreage reduction on	249
Foreign competition		Bankhead act101,254,	
discussed1	L71	probable effect of adverse	
effect of		AAA decision by Supreme	
AAA policiesl	L05	Court	125
acreage reduction 2		required to administer Bank-	
American policy131,1		head act, injunction to	
control policies		prohibit asked	22
		Texas	732
production restriction7,		opposed to AAA and Bankhead	
situation		restrictions	268
South		petition on Bankhead act	
not affected by AAA cotton plan. 1		See also Texas cotton ginners'	OLT
possibilities		association.	
Foreign growths		Ginners association of South	
demand rising	57	Carolina	101
increase			TOT
		Ginning	726
caused by American policy 2	200	business, effect of Bankhead act	020
production, effect of	40	quantity reduced by Hankhead	255
American policy139,1	- 42	bill	200
Foreign markets. See Markets,		Gore, Senator: Bankhead bill unconstitutional	2/10
foreign.			24)
Foreign trade conference, Houston,		Gossett, B. B.	
address by W. L. Clayton	61	Gossett urges return to	
Forster, G. W.: In defense of the	3.00	fundamental American	ו ח
Bankhead act		principles	
Free vs. controlled agriculture 1	14	Production control	IZZ
French, H. A.: Cotton code plays		Government control. See Control.	
favorites 1	_15	Government paternalism, farmers	7 77 7
Freundlich, I.: Comparison of		fooled by	TOT
values 1	_16	Government policies	
		acreage reduction and foreign	
Galveston cotton exchange,		trade, comment on Secretary	- ~-
annual report of the president 2	217	Wallace's address	
Gard, Wayne: Decline in the cotton		alternative, probable	
kingdom. I The planters!		and agriculture in the South	143
prospects 1	L17	changes	
Garments, names defined 2	295	comment	92
Garrow, J. W.: Cotton shippers!		urged by	
positionl	L18	employees association	
Garside, A. H.: World cotton		shippers	
prospects 1	L19	consequences	
Gaston, T. L., statement at hearing		Texas	
on Bankhead act amendments 2	276	criticism56,	
Georgia, acreage and production		reply	
compared with that in the		defended	
United States 2	239	digest of unfavorable comment	
Gerard, J. W.: South and America		discussed	273
self-contained	120		

<u>. I</u>	tem		Item
Government policies - Continued		Highfill, J. V.: No loss of	
effect on		cotton markets seen in AAA	
consumption		cotton plan	133
cotton situation64	*	Hill, J. B.: County adjustment	
cottonseed oil production		campaigns	88
farm workers and owners		Hiss, Alger, statement at hearing	
foreign production		on Bankhead act amendments	276
number of persons employed		Hoosac mills. See Butler, et al.	
outlook for American cotton		receivers of Hoosac Mills Corp.	
prices93,		v. United States.	
trade		Hoover, C. B.	
world market71,		Human problems in acreage re-	
world production	304	duction in the South	
enable foreigners to sell		Human problems in the South	135
their surplus	131	Hurley, C. K.: Federal cotton pro-	
English comment on	258	duction control - The Bankhead	
factors to be considered in		bill. With G. A. Cassidy, jr	57
making		Hurst, F. J.: Acreage reduction	
from the planters! viewpoint	117	plan will make money for	
relation to		cotton growers of state	137
production and textile	~ =	Hutchison, C. E.: Cotton process-	
problems		ing tax	138
world situation	103	Hutson, L. C.: Cotton industry	
revising, to save foreign	200	should have a definite pro-	
markets	196	gram to offer	139
Government program. See Program.		Hutton, J. H.: Passage of proposed	
Governors' conference on cotton	~ 0	domestic allotment plan would	
control, Memphis, Dec. 29, 1932.	70	slash consumption of U.S.	7.40
Growers. See Farmers.		cotton	140
F C P · Togical otimo atabiliantia			
H., C. R.: Legislative stabilization	100	Income	
of the cotton industry	123	effect of Bankhead act and	004
Hale, G. A.: Long-time vs. short-	7.04	AAA on	
time farming	124	from cotton doubled	76
	7 72	increased under U. S. Agri-	
criticisms, comment	17	cultural adjustment	00
what?	105	administration	98
Hazlitt, Henry: Fall of King	120	Industry, effect of federal	95
Cotton	7.06	political control on	90
Heard, J. M.: Uncle Sam steps on	7.20	Institute of public affairs,	
Kirg Cotton	100	Athens, Ga., proceedings:	7/17
Helm, Fielding, domestic allot-	101	May 8-15, 1934, Pt.1	
ment plan	1 20	Jan. 23–30, 1935	20
Hittari, B. H.: Controlling agri-	120	See also Southern Methodist	
cultural production	141	university, Institute of public affairs.	
Hickman, F. G.		hante arrang.	
Cotton farmers fooled by		Jackson, A. L.	
government paternalism	131	Cotton control going askew?	
Roosevelt very popular in other		With Jules Backman	13
cotton countries. Adored in		Cotton control plan booming	
Egypt	132	South's sales. With	
		Jules Backman	14

-	tem	<u>It</u>	em
	5 4,6	Lancastrian.	
America's cotton program		Fall in use of U.S. Cotton 1	58
Bankhead bill	144	Next American crop 1	59
cotton holdings	279	Poor prospects for American 1	57
Government policies and agri-			27
culture in the South	143	Landess, W. M.: County adjustment	
What happened? and what next?			88
Jordan, Harvie		Landlords, rights in acreage re-	
Backing up the AAA farm program.	146	duction program	30
Comprehensive review of crop		Landowner's code	
conditions in the cotton belt		Lassetter, W. C.: Cotton acreage	
states of the South as of		reduction under the adjust-	
July 10	147	ment act	60
Farming outlook for current	7.1	Legislation	
	7.40	O ,	
year	140	affecting cotton industry,	15
Higher cotton prices mean south-			TO
ern prosperity. The fleecy		emergency agricultural bill,	2
staple typifies the circulat-		protest of A.C.S.A	2
ing medium of the cotton belt		proposed to relieve farm	
states of nation	149		21-C
Plowing up 10,000,000 acres of		Legislative stabilization of the	
the 1933 crop plan of the		cotton industry 1	.23
United States cotton con-		Lewis, K. P., statement at hearings	
trol program	150	on AAA amendments2	277
Practical New Year suggestions.		Loans	
Restricted cotton acreage		effect on exports	27
will stimulate intensive		government	
culture and more efficient		experiences of a farmer 2	212
farming operations	151	for distressed farmers,	
Reconstruction of cotton grow-		asked	70
ing industry	1.52	plan described	73
Rehabilitating southern agri-		probable effect of adverse	
culture and the industry of		AAA decision by Supreme	
growing cotton. Practical		Court 1	.25
method suggestions prepared		on 1934 crop 2	
on request of President		on 1935 crop 2	
Franklin D. Roosevelt for		on stored and insured bales	
his study	157	needed1	52
IIIS Soudy	100	Long, W. W.: Controlled pro-	0.0
Voller To C . Our shrinking cotton		duction of cotton1	62
Kelly, F. C.: Our shrinking cotton	7.574	Loosening up the cotton belt1	
trade		Loosening up the cotton between the	.02
Kenyon, H. F.: Equity?		Lordan, F. P.: Passing glory of	67
Kerr tobacco control act	58	the Southl	.00
constitutionality		Louisiana, laws to limit pro-	27
King, T. J.: Bankhead dilemma	T26	duction, constitutionalityl	20
		rental market manifestal hall	61
Labor		McBride, E. L.: Bankhead bill 1	.04
effect of cotton program on	142	McDonald, J. E.	CC
See also Farm workers.		Cotton planl	.00
Ladder that saved the South	262	McDonald domestic allotment	CP
Lancashire spinners taking more		cotton plan 1	.67
outside growths	260		

Item	<u>Item</u>
McCongld, J. E Continued	Menace of recovery
Fermanent plan for the cotton	Miller, Dale.
growing industry applicable	Conference raps cotton program 174
also to all farm and ranch	Judge for yourself175,176
products	Minor, W. A., Jr.: Outlook for
Planning ahead for agriculture 168	Georgia agriculture in 1934.
Subsidy for cotton production	With Kenneth Treanor 272
rather than destruction 169	Moor, Lee vs. Texas & New Orleans
MacDonald, William: Menace of re-	Railroad 3
covery. What the New Deal means. 170	Supreme Court to review case. 20
McConald plan. See Plans,	Moser, C. O.: Position of cotton
domestic allotment.	co-ops told Senate agricultural
McFadden, J. H.: Our hazardous	committee on acreage control
cotton program	question
McGugin, Harold: Murder of King	Murchison, Claudius: Nationalism
Cotton	and the south
McWhorter, C. C.: Acreage control	Murder of King Cotton
and the law. With R. A.	Murray, J. M.: Cottonseed oil and
Ballinger 15	lard 180
Maggs, D. B.: Congressional power	Myers, A. A.: County adjustment
to control cotton and tobacco	campaigns 89
production	N 11 2 11 2 11
Marketing	National cottonseed products
agreements, effect on cotton-	association, convention at
seed crushing industry 225	Memphis, May 28, 1935; address
effect of .	by Oscar Johnston
cotton program	National fertilizer association:
domestic allotment plan, dis-	Proceedings of the ninth annual
cussed	conventionJune 19,20 and 21,
government control suggested149	1933 181
Markets	National industrial conference
European, situation 131 foreign	board, inc.
<u> </u>	Cotton and cotton policy 72
danger of losing appreciated by AAA	National reconstruction and
loss 60	recovery program: Adminis- tration and financing.
effect of production	Part II. The Agricultural
control	adjustment administration. 182
result of Bankhead act 172	National surplus cotton tax-
saving, by revised program 196	exemption certificate pool,
vanishing	operation, 1935 23
world	Nationalism and the south
effect of control policy 119	Neilson, J. B.: Some Bankhead
effect of economic national-	bill facts
ism	Nelson, J. A.: Cotton laws attack-
loss, cause 226	ed as destructive 184
reopening to American cotton	New deal and the South 56
needed	New Orleans association of
Meetings	commerce.
Dallas, Tex. Sept. 5, 1933,	Cotton or else! 186
Address by C. A. Cobb 111	Cotton program discussed
southwide, to approve	in N. O 187
program	· ·

٠.

J

	Item	T	tem
New York university men in		Plans - Continued	0 03.13
finance club, address by		alternative, proposed by	
C. T. Revere	222	New Orleans Association	
		of commerce	1.86
O'Donnell, J. J.: Permanent cotton		Anderson-Clayton	41
policy	193	Buxton	48
Oklahoma, acreage and production		Clair	41
compared with that in United		cooperative breeding and seed	
States	239	distribution	273
Oklahoma agricultural cooperative		cotton control, 1934	
council: Resolution	194	domestic allotment	
Oklahoma cotton growers association		Clair-McDonald, objections	139
address by W. B. Camp		Dies bill	
Oklahoma cotton growers association		discussion of effect	11.6
adjustment payments explained	4	disadvantages	245
Oklahoma cotton growers association		extract from bill	209
annual convention, May 27, 1935,		Farmers! union, unsound	139
address by Clarence Roberts	235	how it would affect textiles.	4.85
Olsen, N. A., effect of cotton		McDonald41,165,166,167,168,	169
control program	279	opposed by Cannon	54
One-variety communities		passage would slash con-	
recommended	153	sumption	140
Option contracts	182	proposed	112
Option plan, description		by Fielding Helm	
Options	286	purposes and operation	209 -
Our national cotton policy		would assure retention of	
Ousley, Clarence: Cotton production		foreign business	
plan	197	King	41
Outlook		national and international,	
world market, better	78	effect on present situation	74
See also American cotton,		option, described	
outlook.		probable results	
		production, Wood plan	
Parker, Walter.		suggested by Harvie Jordan	153
Basic problem of cotton,		U.S. Department of agriculture,	0.3
Cost of acreage reduction	199	approved at Southwide meetings	81
Economic consequences of cotton	00.0	See also U.S. Agricultural	
acreage reduction	205	adjustment administra-	
Patch, B. W.: Cotton exports and	001	Wallace tion, plans.	275
crop reduction	201	Wallace	210
Perry, J. B.: Discussion of cotton	205	Plantation owners, effect of	82
Phone P. W. Commands AAA are grown		government nolicies	21/4
Pharr, B. T.: Commends AAA program.	200	described.	
Pickers, effect of acreage re-	240	discussed	
duction on	& ± 3	effect on South	
Picking machines, recent develop-	117	experiences of a farmer	
Pitts, J. H.: From our readers		program, 1933	
Plans	~~ 1	results reviewed	
acreage reduction. See Acreage		success	
reduction plans.		Poe, Clarence: Bankhead act and	
agricultural adjustment,		democracy	206
status by commodities	257		

	Item		Item	1
Policies		Prices - Continued		
American, effect on foreign		raising		
competition and trade	52	domestic, vlan	166	, .
causes and results	238	effect on foreign demand	260	
commonly effects since 1929	26	situation	119	
crop reduction, questioned	97	unfavorable	13	5
effect on		snot, by decades, 1855-1937	148	,
foreign trade	49	tables	182	,
prices	31	tripod supporting	324	;
national4	6,62	two-price system favored	320	
development toward	228	Problems		
needed		basic	198	
nceded for South	246	change in government policy		
permanent, statement	193	towards	92	ļ
restriction		factors to be considered in		
afford relief for foreign		study	99	
competitors	243	five major fundamentals	222	,
effect on foreign competition	7	Processing tax. See Taxes,		1
See also Government policies;		processing.		
U.S. Agricultural adjustment		Production		
administration, policies.		adjustment		
Pools		achievements of program	262	
explained	33	discussed	282	
operation, 1935	23	plans for 1934	67	
producers!	286	problems		
Powell, Webster: Tightening the		in the cotton belt	293	
cotton belt. With A. T. Cutler	207	studied	113	
Prices		American, relation to govern-		
adjustment		ment policies	75	
forms usea		attempt to plan		
payment plan	4	control122	2,162	
iecline, effect of government		by taxation		
policies31,		constitutionality	57	
discussed50	0,93	development		
effect of		discussed53,141	,202	
acreage control program	126	cffects157	', \$1,1	1.
acreage reduction, on world		on loss of foreign	5.	
markets		markets	1 2	ľ
controlled production	157	on price		
effect on production control	47	on tenancy		
fixing under		on tenants		
AAA and Bankhead Act	12	on value of crop		
Federal Farm Board	11	on world markets	71	
for transfer of tax-exemption		Egypt		
certificates	23	explained	.13	
futures and spot, probable		farmers' views, Texas		
effect of adverse Supreme		government policy		
Court decision		how improve		
of competing cottons	16	method suggested	45	
parities between American and		methods used in government	000	
foreign cotton, effect of	N posts	campaign	207	
reduced production	171			

	Item	Item
Production - Continued		Production - Continued
control - continued		restriction - continued
plans	34	in relation to foreign
for 1934		trade and competition 26
policy, effect on world	1.00	relaxing196
market	119	
possible collapse	172	removal urged by employees 162
program	135	United States
42	7.0	statistics, world
defended		trends
discussed		competing countries27
experiences of a farmer		world
necessary		U.S. compared with Oklahoma,
value	216	Georgia and Texas 239
See also Plans, domestic		Program
allotment.		1934-35
curtailment		1935
effect on consumption	13	adjustment, results
opposed	139	benefits
decreased, salvation of South		cotton, developments
discussed	50	definite, needed
effect of		discussed137,315
Agricultural adjustment act	241	at conference
Bankhead Act and AAA, 1933		effect on
cotton program		consumption142,154
domestic allotment plan		farmers
foreign	110	foreign production
effect of government		labor and industry 142
policies240	706	Texas! export trade
encouraged		western cattle men through
increasing		cost of cottonseed cake 154
history, Civil War to date		
increased	201	emergency 1933-3424
Brazil	0.2	
		The state of the s
despite acreage restriction	76a	evaluation94,216
		farm, planning, 1935 24
state laws, constitutionality		farm relief 325
discussed		immediate benefits and
urged		ultimate dangers 175
outlook, 1933		for producers or processors 65
planned, probable results	27	from the farmers! viewpoint 213
plans		hazardous
1935		mapped for two years ahead 73
problem, 1935	287	permanent, suggested 308
recommendations, short-term and		reviewed99
long-term	313	suggested for United States 323
reduction		tentative, 1934 and 1935 81
, 1934	32	. See also Acreage, reduction, pro-
economic harm		gram; Control, program; Govern-
effect on prices	324	ment policies; Production, con-
restriction	59	trol, program; U.S. Agricultur-
effect on foreign		al adjustment administration,
compeition	40	program.

<u>It</u>	em		Item
Pathey, Bryant: Farm tenancy in		Roberts, Clarence - Continued	
the United States 2	010	How Bankhead bill will be	
		enforced	233
Railey, J. H.: Woman plows in		If the Bankhead Bill is enforc-	
catton 2	213	ed in 1935	234
Ralls, H.: Cotton program from		Roberts says the benefits of	
the farmers! viewpoint 2	213	cotton program not debated	235
Recovery, role of large crops in	97	Shall we suspend the Bankhead	
Reducing the human crop 2	256	Act?	236
Reed, A. L., statement at hearings		Some drastic changes ahead	
	277	after year's trial. On	
Reed, T. R.: Bankhead cotton re-		our way with the AAA	237
duction law explained 2	215	Rodgers charged with inciting	
Renabilitating southern agri-		share croppers against cotton	
culture1	153	planters	319
Reid, T. R.: Can evaluate cotton		Rogers, C. L.: Cotton and cotton	
program. Two seasons of pro-		policy	238
duction control furnish basis		Rumsey, J. F.: Is the Bankhead	~~~
of judgment of value	21.6	bill a bonehead?	239
Relief measures recommended 1		Russell, Senator, statement on	200
Renfert, H.: Raps cotton policy 2		Bankhead act	19
Rental payments, 1934 cotton) <u> </u>	Desirate account.	10
program	วา ย	Sale certificate, form approved	289
Rental program confused with	210	Sanders, J. F "	200
Bankhead act	21.0	Cotton in the southern economy	240
	273		NTO
Research plans, U.S. Department	200	Farm relief is possible under the new national law	241
of agriculture	213		CII
Revere, C. T	220	How much cotton should America	2/12
Acreage reduction and the cost. 2	ZZ('	plant? through	242
Cotton: Its markets must be	003	Seeking prosperity through	247
saved		scarcity	
Cotton's basic problem 2		Santa Claus hits Dixie	STI
Status of American cotton.		Schoffelmayer, V. H.: Cotton and	244
Policies and their con-	668	government control	244
sequences	223	Scott, Donald: Allotment plan of	
Richards, H. I.: Cotton under the		farm relief. Another step	045
Agricultural adjustment act;		toward economic chaos	
developments up to July 1934	224	Sealy, George: South in jeopardy	246
Richards, J. R.: Situation in the		Seymour, L. A.	
industry	225	Economic aspects of cotton	6)40
Richardson, T. C.		acreage reduction	249
Farmers must choose		Vicious cotton acreage reduction	250
Interestingif true	227	Share croppers	
Toward a national cotton		effect of	
policy		agricultural adjust	ent 0
What cotton program for 1935?	229	ment256,305	
Right: of landlord-tenant in		acreage reduction124,135	
acreage reduction program	230	1934–35	
Roberts, Clarence		fate, a national issue	
Benkhead bill applies to		problem for Dept. of Agriculture	
signers also		traditional system of production	
Bankhead bill. Yes or no!	231	by	207
		See also Tenants	

	Item		em
Sheffield, C. A.: Bankhead act		Tariff	
protects. Saves signers from		adjustment needed1	39
non-signers	252	burden on farmers must be	
Shippers, probable effect of		relieved	90
adverse AAA decision by Supreme		changes suggested.,	4)
Court	125	reduction urged by employees!	
Slater, W. H.: What price cotton		association1	84
in 1935?	253	Tattersall, F. W.: Will English	
Smith, H. C.		spinners change over? Continue	
AAA and the Bankhead Bill	254	to take less American cotton	
Bankhead bill reducing ginning		as Washington legislation	
Social problems, effect of adjust-		distort price situation 2	60
ment program	108		60
Soils, rebuilding recommended		Taxes	00
South and America self-contained		collection under Bankhead act,	
South Carolina. Public service	120	dispute	67
commission, advisability of		floor stocks	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 0 1		5
putting ginners under		on non-cooperators, proposed	8 5
South in jeopardy		processing	
South must choose	103	appeal for rescinding 1	
Southern methodist university.		arguments for and against 2	
Institute of public affairs		decision against, effect of. 1	
addresses		discussed	42
A. B. Cox	94	effect211,2	
J. T. Sanders		elimination necessary	
W. L. Clayton	63'	farmers! tariff 1	
cotton crisis conference,		list of cases 2	
Jan. 31-Feb. 1, 1935	174	serve as protective tariff 1	39
paper by E. D. White	315	sales, advocated 2	11
See also Institute of public	* * *	production control by	58
affairs.		Tax-exemption certificate. See	
Southern tenant farmers union,		Certificates.	
organization and activities	, .	Taylor, F. V.: Bankhead law	
discussed	55	benefits the farmer. North	
Southwest's stake in cotton	. 64	Carolina ginners don't oppose	
Sparkes, Boyden: Reducing the		it for that reason 2	61
human crop	256	Tenancy, effect of control	
Stabilization, theory and practice,		program	13
United States, discussed	52	Tenants	
Starve and prosper		effect. of	
Status of American cotton	223	acreage reduction38,79,134,2	51
Steel-Maitland, Sir Arthur:	220	1934-35	
Cotton restriction	'258	predicted 1	
Subsidy	200	Texas	
export, favored	101	Agricultural adjustment	10
nnohohilitar of	, 11∪ ⊤∵⊤	act	770
probability of	112	administration	
Surplus	O PZ AT		
AAA cotton, means of disposing of	254	program	
foreign countries, reduced by	7.70	Bankhead act	
American policy131			77
reducing by plowing		rights in acreage reduction	70
storing, plans	24	program 2	36

	Item	Item
Tenants - Continued		Thomas, Norman
white and negro, critical		Decline in the cotton kingdom.
situation	207	IIVictims of change 269
See also Share croppers.		Starve and prosper 270
Teuton, F. L.: Ladder that saved		Thompson, J. C., statement at
the south	262	hearings on Bankhead act
Texas		amendments
acreage and production compared		Tightening the cotton belt 207
with that in the United		Tolley, H. R., Some problems ahead
States	239	in adjustment. With M. L. Wilson. 10
consequences of government	0.	Trade
policy	9 <u>T</u>	barriers, effect on world
effect of acreage reduction		markets
plan on tenants	,115	foreign
laws to limit production,	107	consequences of acreage reduction
Constitutionality Texas. Joint legislative in-	123	effect of
vestigating committee		Bankhead act
address by C. A. Cobb65,	161	control policies26,60,126
hearings		government policy. 49,61,221,306
Texas and New Orleans railroad		Government's program,
company, Lee Moor vs	3	Texas
Supreme Court to review case.	20	price-raising policy 52
Texas cotton association, con-		policy
vention, March 16-17, 1934,		discussed
address by V. H. Schoffelmayer	244	probable effect of adverse'.
Texas cotton ginners association		AAA decision by Supreme
convention		Court
April 6, 1934, address by		See also Foreign trade con-
C. A. Cobb	69	ference, Houston.
April 3-5, 1935, opposed to		reciprocal, plea for
AAA and Bankhead	0.00	Treanor, Kenneth: Outlook for
	268	Georgia agriculture in 1934.
Cotton acreage to be reduced		With W. A. Minor, Jr
thirty per cent. Growing cotton to be destroyed	267	Tugwell, R. G.: Addressat Clemson College, South Carolina,
Resolution on the Bankhead bill.		Wednesday, August 15, 1934 273
Statement concerning cotton	204	Tumlinson, O. G.: County adjust-
acreage reduction	265	ment campaigns
What shall we do?		, 130110 0011111111111111111111111111111
Textile industry	200	Uncle Sam will buy your cotton 275
effect of acreage reduction		U. S. Chamber of commerce, re-
program	126	gional meeting, Nov. 20, 1934,
probable effect of '		address by Oscar Johnston 143
adverse AAA decision by		U. S. Circuit court of appeals,
Supreme Court		decision on processing taxes 195
domestic allotment plan	85	U. S. Congress. House, Committee on
problems relation to govern-		agriculture
ment policies	75	Amend cotton control act.
situation, effect of changes	27	HearingSeventy-fourth
		Congress, first session,
		on H.R. 5578(H.R.6424),
		February 20, 21, 22, 25, 1935 276

	<u> </u>	tem	<u> </u>	tcm
U.	S. Congress. House, Committee on		U.S. Department of agriculture,	
	agriculture - Continued		Agricultural adjustment ad-	
	Amendments to Agricultural		ministration - Continued	
	adjustment act. Hearing		administration and	
	Seventy-fourth Congress,		financing	182
	first session, on H.R.		Administrative rulings	
	5585, February 26,27,28,		applicable for 1935 to	
	March 1,5,6,1935	277	the 1934 and 1935 cotton	
	Bankhead cotton control bill.	~	acreage adjustment plan.	
	HearingSeventy-third		Rulings nos. 1 to 30	284
	Congress, second session		agreements for farms in-	1001
	on H.R.8402 (and earlier		eligible for contracts,	
	drafts) February 12,13,		ruling on	185
		220	Agricultural adjustment. A	100
	14,15,16, and 17;1934	210	report of the Agricultur-	
	hearings on Bankhead act,			
	statement by Orville	700	al adjustment act May	205
	Zimmerman	326	1933 to February 1934	280
	statement by C. A. Cannon on		Agricultural adjustment act	200
	domestic allotment plan	54	and its operation	230
U.	S. Congress. House, Committee on		Agricultural adjustment in	
	appropriations: Agricultural		1934. A report of ad-	
	department appropriation bill		ministration of the Agri-	
	for 1936. Hearing Seventy-		cultural adjustment act,	
	fourth Congress, first session	279	February 15, 1934, to	
U.	S. Congress. Senate, Committee on		December 31, 1934	286
	agriculture and forestry		America's cotton-production	
	hearings		problem for 1935	
	on Bankhead bill, Jan.		announcements	23
	. 16, 1934	178	backing up the farm program	146
	statement by		Compilation of Agricultural	
	American cotton		adjustment act as amended	
	shippers! associ-		and Acts relating thereto,	
	ation	316	as of June 29, 1934	288
	Frierson & Co	193	contracts	
	statement on behalf of		completed for 1934	189
	American cotton		questions and enswers	
	shippers' association	118	regarding	83
	To regulate the production		resolution asking that	
	and ginning of cotton.		they be put into effect	194
	Hearingson S.1974	280	rulings governing appeals.	
TT	S. Department of agriculture	200	signed	
٠.	appropriation bill, 1936, hear-	:	how88	8,89
		270	number32	
	ings		control, effect discussed	
	Report of the Secretary1933		See also Control.	
TT	Report of the Secretary1934	202	effect on	
υ.	S. Department of agriculture,		production in Brazil	98
	Agricultural adjustment		share croppers and	
	administration		tenants	319
	acreage restriction, out-	7.0	First setten price edingt	01.0
	put up despite	7,6a	First cotton price adjust-	
	Adjusting cotton pro-		ment form approved: to	229
	duction	283	be distributed soon	203

<u>Item</u>		Item
U.S. Department of agriculture,	U.S. Pepartment of agriculture,	
Agricultural adjustment ad-	Agricultural adjustment ad-	
ministration - Continued	ministration - Continued	
hearing before, statement	program - continued	
of Texas cotton ginners!	farmers! attitude toward	260
assoc	flexible	51
How much cotton to grow in	illustrated by two farmers	
1935 290	probability of continuing,	
means of disposing of sur-	1936	36
plus cotton 234	regulations and venerits,	
1935 Bankhead certificate	1935	191
pool opened September 4 291	relation to economic con-	
personnel handling cotton	ditions in the South	135
program	reviewed	99
plans	revision	
1934 67	statement of	
193524,188	study	
acreage reduction 1933 111	See also Program	
discussed 237	Questions and answers cover-	
no loss of markets seen	ing 1934 and 1935 cotton	
in	acreage reduction plan	292
price adjustment payment. 4	Regional problems in agri-	
status 257	cultural adjustment	293
See also Plans.	restrictions opposed by	
policies 1	Texas ginners	268
discussed110,238	ruling on landlord-tenant	
effect on	rights in the acreage re-	
farm laborers 269	duction program	230
farm management pro-	Shall the Bankhead Act be	
grams	continued through 1935?	294
foreign production60,105	Supplementary cotton regula-	
future 27	tions made by the Secretary	Ţ
See also Policies.	of Agriculture with the	
price fixing under 12	approval of the President	
probable effect of adverse	under the Agricultural	
aecision by Supreme Court 125	adjustment act	295
problems discussed 196	work	
Processing tax 297	explained	33.
program	review	
achievements reviewed 262	World cotton markets	
advantages 283	See also Agricultural ad-	
and cooperation in the	justment act.	
South 273	U.S. Pepartment of agriculture,	
commended	Agricultural adjustment ad-	
described 296	ministration, Cotton pro-	
development and ad-	duction section: Preliminary	
ministration51,307	questions and answers cover-	
discussed	ing the Bankhead act	299
effect on	U.S. Department of agriculture,	
betterment of life	Agricultural adjustment ad-	
in cotton belt 108	ministration. Division of cotton	
present situation 72	Administrative ruling 31	
share croppers256,305	governing appeals with	
tenants	respect to 1934 and 1935	
	cotton acreage reduction	
	contracts	300

	Item		Item
U.S. Department of agriculture,		Wallace, H. A Continued	
Agricultural adjustment ad-		statement at hearings	279
ministration. Division of		Voluntary vs. compulsory	
cotton - Continued		cotton acreage reduction	310
Amendments to the 1935		Wallace replies to critics of	
regulations pertaining		cotton control	311
to allotments and tax		World cotton drama	309
exemption certificates		Wallace plan. See Plans.	
under the Cotton act of		Warehouses, bonded, recommended	153
April 21, 1934	301	Warehousing, reforms urged	
1935 instructions pertain-	-	Welch, J. H.: King Cotton sits	1 20
ing to the administra-		uneasily on his throne	31.0
tion of the Cotton act		Wells, C. V.: Regional adjustment	012
of April 21,1934	300	project	77 7
Regulations pertaining to		White, E. D.: Government's cotton	OTO
allotments and tax-			715
		program	SIS
exemption certificates		White, J. C.	
under the cotton act of	705	Change in cotton policy urgent	
April 21,1934	303	declares shippers! spokes-	77.C
U.S. Department of agriculture,		man	316
Agricultural adjustment ad-		statement at hearings on AAA	
ministration, Division of		amendments	277
information: What countries		White, O. P.: Santa Claus hits	
shall supply the world with		-Dixie	317
its cotton?	304	Whittam, William: 1933 American	
U.S. Federal farm board		cotton crop	318
experiment, effect on		Williamson, N. C.	
situation	72	Need national policy	320
policies discussed		Williamson, president of ACCA,	
price fixing under	11	issues statement regrading	
U.S. Supreme court, cases under		AAA amendments	321
	20	Wilson, M. L.: Some problems ahead	
Bankhead act, reviewed by Universal standards for	20	in adjustment. With H.R. Tolley.	10
	25	Wilson, Otto: Government policies	Τ.
American cotton	20	affect vegetable-oil output	722
			ORR
Waldron, J.C.: King Cotton and	705	Windel, Dudley: American cotton	707
his slaves	305	in world markets	323
Wallace, H. A.		Wolford, A. S.: Tripod supporting	701
address on acreage reduction		cotton prices	
and foreign trade policies,		Wood, R. J.; production plan	197
comment by Dale Miller	176	Wooton, Paul: Cotton and the farm	
Agricultural adjustment pro-		relief program	325
gram	141	World cotton prospects	119
America must choose, discussed.		World situation, discussed	117
American agriculture and			
world markets	306	Yields	
Foreword to Economic bases		correlated with acreage,	
for the agricultural ad-		1913-1932	29
		discussed	50
justment act by Mordecai	109		
Ezekiel and L. H. Bean		Zimmerman, Orville	
New frontiers	307	statement	
Searching for a permanent	700		
program	308	at hearing on Bankhead act amendments	276
			210
		before House Agricultural	706
		committee	020



AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS BIBLIOGRAPHIES

- No. 1. Agricultural economics; a selected list of references. January 1925. Revised, September 1927; February 1929; April 1930; January 1934.
- No. 2. Flour milling and bread making; selected list of references. February 1925. Revised, June 1927; April 1931.
- No. 3. A beginning of a bibliography of the literature of rural life.

 March 1925.
- No. 4. Price spreads; a selected list of references relating to analyses of the portion of the consumer's price accruing to various agencies. March 1925.
- No. 5. Long-time agricultural programs in the United States national, regional, and State. June 1925.
- No. 6. Aids to writers and editors; a selected list of books on the preparation of manuscripts and the mechanics of writing, for use in the Bureau of Agricultural Economics. June 1925.
- No. 7. Livestock financing; a selected list of references relating to the financing of the livestock industry in the United States. September 1925. Supply exhausted.
- No. 8. The peach industry in the United States; a selected list of references on the economic aspects of the industry including some references relating to Canada. October 1925.
- No. 9. Selected list of references on grain sorghums, grass sorghums, and broom corn. December 1925.
- No.10. Research in rural economics and rural sociology in the Southern States since 1920; a list of the published, unpublished, and current studies. January 1926.
- No.11. Economic periodicals of foreign countries published in the English language; a selected list. February 1926. Revised, March 1950.
- No.12. Government control of export and import in foreign countries. February 1926.
- No.13. Cooperative marketing of tobacco; a selected list of references. February 1926. Supply exhausted.
- No.14. Factors affecting prices; a selected bibliography, including some references on the theory and practice of price analysis. March 1926.
- No.15. Alabama; an index to the State official sources of agricultural statistics. March 1926.
- No.16. Periodicals relating to dairying received in the U. S. Department of Agriculture. June 1926.
- No.17. Farm youth; a selected list of references to literature issued since January 1920. October 1926.

- No.18. Price fixing by governments 424 B.C. 1926 A.D.; a selected bibliography, including some references on the principles of price fixing, and on price fixing by private organizations. October 1926.
- No.19. The apple industry in the United States; a selected list of references on the economic aspects of the industry together with some references on varieties. June 1927.
- No.20. Bounties on agricultural products; a selected bibliography. July 1927. Supply exhausted.
- No.21. Oklahoma; an index to the State official sources of agricultural statistics... including a list of the unofficial sources of Oklahoma agricultural statistics. August 1927.
- No.22. A list of international organizations interested in agriculture.
 November 1927.
- No.23. Control of production of agricultural products by governments; a selected bibliography. December 1927.
- No. 24. The poultry industry; a selected list of references on the economic aspects of the industry, 1920-1927. February 1928.
- No.25. Taxation and the farmer; a selected and annotated bibliography.
 June 1928.
- No.26. Labor requirements of farm products in the United States. April 1929.
- No.27. Agricultural relief; a selected and annotated bibliography. June 1929.
- No.28. The strawberry industry in the United States; a selected list of references on the economic aspects of the industry. September 1929.
- No.29. Valuation of real estate, with special reference to farm real estate. November 1929.
- No.30. Large scale and corporation farming; a selected list of references. November 1929.
- No.31. California; an index to the State sources of agricultural statistics. Part I. Fruits, vegetables and nuts; an index to the official sources. Sections 1 and 2. January 1930; Part II. Crops other than fruits, vegetables and nuts; an index to the official sources. June 1930; Part III. Livestock and livestock products; an index to the official sources: January 1931; Part IV. Land, farm property, irrigation, and miscellaneous items; an index to the official sources. April 1931; Part V. An index to some unofficial sources. February 1930.
- No.32. Rural standards of living; a selected bibliography. August 1930. (Superseded by Miscellaneous Publication 116.)
- No.33. Wheat; cost of production, 1923-1930. References relating to the United States and some foreign countries. January 1931.

- No.34. Business and banking periodicals reviewing the business-situation.

 August 1931.
- No.35. Switzerland; a guide to official statistics on agriculture, population, and food supply. March 1932.
- No.36. The grape industry; a selected list of references on the economic aspects of the industry in the United States, 1920-1931. March 1932.
- No. 37. Advantages and disadvantages of country life. May 1932.
- No.38. List of State official serial publications containing material on agricultural economics. July 1932.
- No.39. Greece; a guide to official statistics of agriculture, population, and food supply. October 1932.
- No.40. Barter and scrip in the United States. February 1933.
- No.41. The domestic allotment plans for the relief of agriculture. February 1933.
- No.42. Measures taken by foreign countries to relieve agricultural indebtedness. March 1933.
- No.43. Part-time farming; a brief list of recent references. February 1933.
- No.44. Uses for cotton; selected references in the English language.
 November 1932.
- No.45. State measures for the relief of agricultural indebtedness, 1932 and 1933. March 1933.
- No.46. Group and chain farming in the United States, January 1930-March 1933; with some references to group farming in foreign countries. March 1933.
- No.47. Farm mortgages in the United States; selected references... January 1928-April 1933. May 1933.
- No.48. Price analysis; selected references on supply and demand curves and related subjects, January 1928-June 1933. September 1933.
- No.49. Rumania; a guide to official statistics of agriculture, population and food supply. October 1933.
- No.50. Agricultural relief; a selected and annotated bibliography. August 1933.
- No.51. Business and agriculture, 1920-1933; a partial bibliography of material on the interdependence of business and agriculture. November 1933.
- No.52. The American Farm Problem. April 1934.
- No.53. State measures for the relief of agricultural indebtedness, 1933 and 1934. June 1934.

- No.54. Measures of major importance enacted by the 73d Congress. November 1934.
- No.55. List of periodicals containing prices and other statistical and economic information on fruits, vegetables and nuts. January 1935.
- No.56. Consumption of fruits and vegetables in the United States. An index to some sources of statistics. January 1935.
- No.57. Economic development of the cotton-textile industry in the United States, 1910-1935. September 1935.
- No.58. Price studies of the U.S. Department of Agriculture showing demandprice, supply-price, and price-production relationships. October 1935.
- No.59. Farm tenancy in the United States, 1925-1935; a beginning of a bibliography. November 1935.
- Ne. 50. Valuation of real estate, with special reference to farm real estate. (Supersedes No. 29) December 1935.
- No. 61. Financing American cotton production and marketing in the United States. November 1935.
- No. 12. Livestock financing in the United States; Selected references to material published 1915-1935. December 1935. (Supersedes No. 7)
- Me.63 Government centrol of cotton production in the United States 1933-1935.

 A selected list of references. January 1936.











