



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

916
812
88
42

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Library


Library List No. 4

Washington, D. C., April 1943

AGRICULTURAL LABOR IN THE UNITED STATES
July 1941 - February 1943

A List of References

Compiled by Ruby W. Meats and John M. McNeill



This bibliography was compiled in cooperation with Mr. Josiah C. Folsom of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics. It brings to date the references listed in Agricultural Economics Bibliography nos. 64, 72, and 95, Agricultural Labor in the United States, which cover the periods 1915-1935, 1936-1937, and 1938-June 1941, respectively. Call numbers following the citations are those of the United States Department of Agriculture Library.

CONTENTS

| <u>Page</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sources Consulted.....2 | Social Insurance.....29 |
| General.....3 | Shortage and Supply.....30 |
| Draft and Deferment.....11 | Special Groups |
| Farmer-Labor Controversies.....12 | Children and Youth.....39 |
| Health and Medical Care.....14 | Emergency Workers.....43 |
| Legislation.....15 | Japanese.....44 |
| Mechanization.....16 | Sharecroppers.....45 |
| Migratory Labor.....17 | Women.....46 |
| Occupational Hazards.....25 | Wages, Hours, and Income.....47 |
| Recruiting, Training and | Index.....49 |
| Placement.....26 | |

SOURCES CONSULTED

U. S. Department of Agriculture. Library. Card catalogue.

Agricultural Economics Literature, v. 15, no. 9-v. 16, no. 6,
Nov. 1941-June 1942.

Bibliography of Agriculture, Sections A and B, v. 1-v. 2, no. 3,
July 1942-March 1943.

Experiment Station Record, v. 85-v. 88, no. 1, July 1941-Jan.
1943.

Pan American Union. Mexicans in the United States. Pan Amer.
Union Bibliog. Series no. 27, 14 pp., processed. Washington,
D. C., Sept. 1942.

U. S. Library of Congress. Division of bibliography. Women's
part in World War II. A list of references compiled by Florence
S. Hellman. 84 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., May 19,
1942.

In agriculture, p. 18.

GENERAL

1. Anderson, A. W., and Engene, S. A. Minnesota farms can be operated with less labor. Minn. Univ. Dept. Agr. Agr. Ext. Farm Business Notes, no. 234, pp. 2-3. University Farm, St. Paul, June 1942. 275.29 M663
2. Atherton, R. P. Labor--machinery--production costs. New England Homestead 115(20): 6-7. Oct. 3, 1942. 6 N442
If existing conditions are to be corrected, farmers must have year-round men and women helpers trained in agricultural pursuits.
3. Barlow, Frank D., jr. The efficient use of farm labor in wartime. La. Rural Econ. 4(4): 5, 7. Nov. 1942.
Lists eight ways to improve the efficiency of farm labor in war time, including the planning and scheduling of work, full use of family labor, cooperation with neighbors, and use of labor-saving machinery and equipment.
4. Benedict, Murray R. The influence of changes in agricultural production and marketing upon farm labor in the United States. Internatl. Inst. Agr. Monthly Bul. Agr. Econ. and Sociol. [reprint from Internatl. Rev. Agr.] 32(10): 281E-301E. Oct. 1941. 280.29 In83
In six main parts: I. Historical background of farm labor in the United States prior to 1920; II. Agricultural labor in the post-war period; III. Types of agricultural labor (hired man, cropper, migratory casual worker, part-time farmer); IV. Factors affecting agricultural labor (changes in agricultural production, changes in gross income and farm wage rates, mechanization, proportional changes in type of agricultural commodities produced, marketing methods, changes in farm tenure, farm indebtedness, changes in consumption habits, changes in government policy, national defense program); V. Special problems in agricultural labor (the southern sharecropper, the western migrant casual worker, and large-scale farming); VI. Summary.
5. Bierly, I. R., and Folsom, J. C. Use and cost of labor on dairy farms in New York. N. Y. Agr. Col. (Cornell), Dept. Agr. Econ. and Farm Mangt. A. E. 394, 32 pp., processed. Ithaca, 1942. 281.9 C81
6. Bring willing labor to more productive land. Wis. Agr. and Farmer 69(21): 5. Oct. 17, 1942. 6 W751
Wisconsin cut-over regions have unemployed and poorly paid labor available for employment elsewhere if proper opportunity is offered.
7. California. State chamber of commerce. Agricultural dept. Farm labor statistics committee. Recommendations for improvement in statistics relating to farm labor... Oct. 28, 1940. 34 pp., processed. [San Francisco, 1940] 283 C12832

8. A career in farming -- the hired man. East. Milk Prod. 19(4): 3, 11. Mar. 1, 1943. 280.28 Sh3
Reprinted from N. Y. Times Magazine.
Depicts the life of a hired man who has made a career of being a farm hand.
9. Chapman, Paul W. Jobs in rural service! Science Res. Associates. Occupational Monog. 23, 48 pp. Chicago, Ill. [1941] 30 C362
Brief discussions of the employment and salary possibilities, duties, and qualifications required for positions closely related to, and serving, the agricultural population are given. The groups of occupations include agricultural education workers, scientists and technicians, industrial and commercial opportunities, regulatory services, and professional fields. - J. C. F.
10. Engene, S. A., Pond, G. A., and Hinds, M. K. A preliminary report of data secured in 1941 on the farm accounting route in Nicollet county, Minnesota...F. E. Wetherill, Routeman. Minn. Univ. Div. Agr. Econ. Mimeogr. Rpt. 131, 27 pp. University Farm, St. Paul, May 1942. 281.9 M66
Issued in cooperation with the U. S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics.
Includes data on amounts of labor and power used per acre, and utilization, source and seasonal distribution of man labor.
11. The essence of the California agricultural priority clinic. Calif. Fruit News 104(2784): 3-4, 12-13. Nov. 15, 1941. 80 C12
This consists mainly of two addresses (reprinted in full) presented before the agricultural priority "clinic" held in San Francisco and sponsored by the Agricultural Committee of the State Council of Defense with the cooperation of California and U. S. Department of Agriculture Defense Boards. The papers are: Farm equipment and equipment service for agricultural defense boards in California, by H. B. Walker and Farm labor, by Alex Johnson.
12. The farm labor problem: its size and shape. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(7): 1-49. Fall 1942. 1 Ec7La
Contents: Farm labor policies to help us win, by Raymond C. Smith, pp. 3-6; How many workers do we need? by M. R. Cooper, pp. 7-13; A year on the farm labor front, by Varden Fuller, pp. 14-19; Farm manpower and production, by Fred S. Wallace, pp. 20-25; Camps on wheels for migratory workers, by M. Gregory Silvermaster, pp. 26-29; Agricultural labor--a challenge to democracy, by Henry H. Fowler, pp. 30-34; To fix or not to fix farm wage rates, by William T. Ham, pp. 35-39; We can balance supply and demand, by Robert K. Lamb, pp. 40-43; The labor exchange function in agriculture, by William J. Rogers and Arthur J. Holmaas, pp. 44-49.
13. Farm mobilization day kicks-off food drive. AAA committeemen will ask about farm manpower and machinery. Farmer 61(1): 6, 14. Jan. 9, 1943. 6 F2211
January 12, 1943 has been declared Farm Mobilization Day by President Roosevelt. On this day meetings will be held in every

county of the United States, under sponsorship of Department of Agriculture War Boards, to encourage farmers to produce the food needed to win the war.

14. Fuller, Varden. The California farm labor situation, October 1941. 10 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics, Oct. 29, 1941. 1.941 R3012
15. Goodwin, M. L. The vanishing hired man. Country Book 2(1): 20-23. Summer 1942. 6 C836
Popular account of the farm labor problem.
16. Hainsworth, Reginald G., Baker, Oliver E., and Brodell, Albert P. Seedtime and harvest today. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 485, 97 pp. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1 Ag84M
Data for important operations, particularly planting and harvesting, on about 20 crops in the United States are indicated by isochronal lines. Pictures illustrate operation methods in use today, and in some cases show also steps in the development of present methods. Graphs show the extent of the use of various types of machinery, power, and operations. - J. C. F.
Table, p. 2, shows "Number of family and hired workers in agriculture, United States, 1909-40." Table, p. 3, "Relation of employment in agriculture to the size of agricultural enterprise."
17. Ham, William T. Who are the laborers on our farms? U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 4(11): 7-11. Nov. 1941. 1 Ec7La
"Argues that our looseness of expression about the 'tenure status' of agricultural workers does those hired men a distinct disservice," and that it is a misrepresentation of the facts, to state that a hired farm laborer has "tenure status."
18. Hammer, Philip G., and Buck, Robert K. Wasted manpower in agriculture. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(4): 9-18. Apr. 1942. 1 Ec7La
Deals with the small, low-income farmers, who can not use their time and labor to their greatest effectiveness due to limited resources.
19. Hendrix, W. E., and Fullilove, W. T. Labor and power needs on crops in Bulloch county, Georgia. Ga. Expt. Sta. Cir. 139, 16 pp., processed. Experiment, Oct. 1942. 100 G29S
Data for this study were collected in 1941 by the survey method from a random sample of 172 farmers in Bulloch County, but are applicable to many other parts of south Georgia.
20. Hoffsommer, Harold. The resident laborer on the sugar cane farm. La. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 334, 50 pp. University Station, Baton Rouge, Nov. 1941. 100 L93
A survey on 100 sugar cane farms in 9 parishes in 1937 covered 328 resident laborers', croppers', and tenants' families (75% of the first). Data relate to family composition, income, tenure, occupations, relations with landlords, housing, and community activities. Employment was confined largely to the farm occupied, education was low, or lacking, incomes \$250 - \$500 a year, mobility low, perquisites of small value, housing inadequate, and home conveniences few.-J.C.F.

21. Holcomb, E. J., and others. Report to the Tolan committee on the cooperative study of farm labor and tenancy in southeast Missouri. 38 pp.; processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics, Nov. 1941. 1.941 R3R29
Prepared by E. J. Holcomb, G. M. Murray, J. C. Folsom, and H. A. Turner.
"Statement prepared for the Select Committee Investigating National Defense Migration, House of Representatives."
"From a study conducted by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, United States Department of Agriculture, and the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station. The Farm Security Administration of the U. S. D. A. conducted a parallel study for which they are making a separate report."
22. Holmes, H. C. Balancing the labor load on Tennessee farms. Tenn. Agr. Col. Ext. Pub. 264, 16 pp. Knoxville, Aug. 1942. 275.29 T25
Tables and charts show labor peaks for the principal Tennessee crops and livestock.
23. Holmes, H. C. Watch your farm labor peaks! Tenn. Agr. Col. Ext. Leaflet 55, 4 pp. [Knoxville] 1943. 275.29 T25L
Suggestions to aid farmers in adjusting their plans and practices for greater production with less labor and equipment.
24. Iowa. State college of agriculture and mechanic arts. Extension service. Reducing labor in seedbed preparation for corn and soybeans. Iowa State Col. Ext. Serv. Pam. 28, folder. Ames, 1942. 275.29 I09Pa
25. Jackson, Luther P. Free Negro labor and property holding in Virginia, 1830-1860. 270 pp. New York and London, D. Appleton-Century co., [1942] References. 280.089 J13
Partial contents: The free Negro in a proslavery society, pp. 3-33; The economic revival and the element of labor, pp. 34-69; The free Negro at work, pp. 70-101; The free Negro farmer and property owner, pp. 102-136.
26. Joint land grant college - Bureau of agricultural economics committee. County agricultural planning committees aid in surveying farm labor situation. [Miss.] Agr. Plan. Digest, Jan.-Feb. 1942, pp. 4-6.
Tentative report on farm labor conditions in 80 of the 82 counties of Mississippi.
27. Kentucky. Farm tenancy commission. Report. 91 pp. [Frankfort, 1941] 282.031 K41
F. S. Connely, chairman.
This commission was appointed by the governor in January 1941 to investigate the "entire problem of farm tenancy in Kentucky, with the view to encouraging home ownership; improving landlord-tenant relationships." Accordingly "the Commission studied the problems of the owner-operator, the landlord, the 'lendlord', the operating tenant, the sharecropper, and the farm laborer, and has made recommendations for each group."
28. Labor problems aired at conference. Wis. Agr. and Farmer 69(21): 7. Oct. 17, 1942. 6 W751
Brief report of the Dairy Farm Labor Conference held in Chicago Oct. 2, 1942, and sponsored by the United Dairy Committee.

29. La Salle extension university. Farm engineering and management... especially prepared for National farm youth foundation. Assignment 5, Handling labor on the farm. 40 pp. Chicago [1940] 281 L33
30. McDowell, M. S. Will there be a food shortage? Pa. Grange News 39(7): 1, 6. Oct. 1942. 6 P38
Discusses farm costs, the farm labor problem and the necessity for planning to prevent food shortage in the future.
31. McMillan, Robert T. How important is hired labor to Oklahoma agriculture? Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. (ser. 49) 16(1): 26-34. Feb. 1943. 100 Ok4
To determine the importance of hired labor in Oklahoma agriculture, the author analyzes "certain aspects of labor demand...the proportion of farms using hired labor, the type of labor required, the duration of employment, wages paid, and the relationship of farm wages and income." Most of the data for the study were taken from the 1940 census.
32. Maine. State land use planning committee. Farm labor subcommittee. The farm labor situation in Maine. 21 pp., processed. Orono, Apr. 1941. 281.12 St2
Published as Exhibit 6 to the 1941 progress report of the Maine Land Use Committee.
Findings and recommendations on the agricultural labor situation and prospects, 1941, based on a questionnaire survey of 212 Maine farmers.
33. Meany, George. Peonage in California. Amer. Federationist 48(5): 3-5, 31. May 1941. 283.8 Am
According to the writer, lemon pickers and packers of Ventura County, Calif., are held in virtual slavery to the big lemon growers of the area. The working and living conditions of the lemon workers, and the arrangements by which their employers oppress and degrade them, are described. A strike by the lemon workers was in progress at the time this article was written.
34. Milligan, D. A. Increasing farm production. Hoard's Dairyman 87(16): 427, 430. Aug. 25, 1942. 44.8 H65
Methods of increasing labor efficiency are suggested.
35. Misner, E. G. Living costs on dairy farms. Holstein-Friesian World 38(24): 1265, 1296, 1306. Nov. 22, 1941. 43.8 H742
The price of milk to producers is not sufficient to return them as much per hour of labor as is needed to maintain a "living price," according to this writer. The way dairymen get along is to work extra hours, do without hired help, use family for farm labor, not maintain farm plant, have highly efficient cows, make dairying a minor farm enterprise, keep living costs below average or get cheap hired help.

36. Nesius, Ernest J., and Tharp, Max M. Doing farm work in wartime. Some suggestions for getting the job done with less labor. Ky. Farmers Home Jour. 78(11): 6-7. Dec. 1942. 6 F2237
37. Nunn, Alexander. Five ways to save labor in '43. Prog. Farmer (Car.-Va. Ed.) 58(2): 26. Feb. 1943. 6 P945
38. Ohio. State university. Dept. of rural economics and rural sociology. The farm labor situation in Ohio. Ohio. State Univ. Dept. Rural Econ. and Rural Sociol. Mimeogr. Bul. 157, 31 pp. Columbus, Dec. 1942. 281.9 Oh32
Issued in cooperation with Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.
39. Parker, Florence E. Labor under the Farm security program. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 53(6): 1368-1387. Dec. 1941. 158.6 B87M
First in a series of three articles, based on U. S. Farm Security Administration reports and unpublished material in the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Describes the various phases of Farm Security Administration work, including the labor-camp and medical-care programs for migratory farm workers.
40. Schoffelmayer, Victor. White gold. 64 pp. Evanston, Ill., Row, Peterson & Co., 1941. 281.372 Sch6
The way of life of cotton farmers is portrayed by simple text, and by numerous illustrations. Points touched upon are cotton production methods, family life, housing, poverty, and family labor on the crop. - J. C. F.
41. Schwartz, Harry. Agricultural labor in the first World War. Jour. Farm Econ. 24(1): 178-187. Feb. 1942. 280.8 J822
42. Slocum, Walter L. Wartime mobilization of farm labor in South Dakota. S. Dak. Agr. Expt. Sta. Rural Sociol. Pam. 98, 5 pp., processed. Brookings, May 1942.
43. Smith, L. J. Can we win the war with less farm machinery and labor. Farm Impl. News 63(21): 15. Oct. 15, 1942. 58.8 F22
44. Stephenson, Richard. Findings of Michigan labor-resources survey. U. S. Dept. Com. Dom. Com. 30(6): 19, 21. Aug. 6, 1942. 157.54 D713
Summarizes the results of a door-to-door survey taken in April, 1942 of available labor resources in Michigan, including potential farm laborers.
45. Stokdyk, E. A. A cooperative harvest labor camp. Pacific Rural Press 143(4): 98. Feb. 21, 1942. 6 P112
Describes a cooperative harvest labor camp association organized in northern Wisconsin during World War I.

46. Taylor, Paul S. The American hired man: his rise and decline. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 6(1): 3-17. Spring 1943. 1 Ec7La
Historical sketch of the hired man in American agriculture, from Colonial times up to the present.
47. Tetreau, E. D. The community status of farm labor. Sociol. and Social Res. 27(1): 12-21. Sept./Oct. 1942. 280.8 Sol5
A paper read at the annual meeting of the Western Farm Economics Association, Salt Lake City, July 25, 26, and 27, 1941.
Projects for study are suggested.
48. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Backgrounds of the war farm labor problem, prepared by the Bureau of agricultural economics and the Farm security administration. 183 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., 1942. Bibliographical footnotes. 1.941 R3B12
"The main purpose of the cooperating agencies has been to assemble in a single study the most important facts about the highly varied conditions of farm employment." The study was begun before the present National emergency, and consequently, emphasis is placed on "problems which were of importance throughout the preceding decade and are still of importance today."
49. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. The dairy labor situation in the Kansas City milkshed, Nov. 1942. 45 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., Feb. 1943. 1.941 R3D14
"Information was obtained on wage rates for workers on dairy farms, labor supply, changes in dairy farmers' income, maintenance of dairy cow numbers, and the milk supply and demand situation. Field work for the survey was done from November 17-21, 1942."
50. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Farm labor report. Washington, D. C. Monthly.
The library of the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture has Oct. 1931 to date. For the period 1927-Sept. 1931, and for statistical tables for later years, see Crops and Markets (Item 54).
Quarterly, Jan. 1927-Apr. 1934; monthly, May 1934 to date.
The reports, which consist chiefly of statistics, with occasional charts and maps, include the following types of data: Monthly data on farm employment, by geographic divisions, for family, hired, and total labor; quarterly data on farm wage rates by month and by day, with and without board, by geographic divisions and States; farm labor supply and demand; and indices of employment and wage rates.
51. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. The farm labor situation on Wisconsin dairy farms. 25 pp., processed. Milwaukee, Wis., Nov. 1942. 1.941 R3F224
"Items of information requested include information on wage rates to dairy workers, labor supply, maintenance of dairy cow numbers and production, and price and marketing problems affecting returns to dairy farmers. All the field work for this study was done from November 17 to November 21, 1942."

- 51a. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Labor and other factors influencing dairy production in the Los Angeles milkshed, Nov. 1942. 46 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., Feb. 1943. 1.941 R3L11
Similar in scope to No. 49.
52. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. Handbook of labor statistics, 1941 edition. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Bul. 694, 2 v. Washington, D. C., 1942. 158.6 B37
Published as House Document 297, 77th Congress, 1st Session.
Fifth in a series of Handbooks of Labor Statistics, containing, for the most part, digests of reports or articles previously published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Employment and total wages of hired farm workers, pp. 178-180; Farm labor, pp. 219-239; Migratory labor, pp. 557-589.
53. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. Industrial and rural workers on FSA homesteads. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 54(2): 360-383. Feb. 1942. 158.6 B87M
Second in a series of three articles. Describes life and work on the U. S. Farm Security Administration homesteads, and four representative homestead projects.
54. U. S. Dept. of agriculture. Crops and markets. Washington, D. C. Monthly. 1 Ag84Wcm
The library of the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture has v. 1, 1924 to date.
This periodical publishes basic statistical data on farm employment and unemployment, farm labor supply and demand, and farm wages, which are first issued in the monthly processed Farm Labor Report (Item 50).
55. U. S. Dept. of agriculture. Report of the Secretary...1942. 220 pp. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1 Ag84
Farm labor supply, pp. 52-58; Farm Security programs, pp. 206-209.
56. U. S. Employment service. California weekly agricultural labor market report. [Washington?] May 31, 1942-date.
Formerly issued by the Employment Service of California.
Each issue summarizes the agricultural labor situation in California, and presents statistics of laborers working, unfilled jobs, wage rates, etc., tabulated by crops and counties.
57. Vass, A. F. Farm labor serious problem for Wyoming during 1943. Wyo. Stockman-Farmer and Wyo. Indus. Jour. 49(1): 1, 3. Jan. 1943. 6 W992
Also in Wyo. Wool Grower 17(13): 7-8; 17(14): 7. Jan. 27, Feb. 10, 1942. 280.39 W99
Discusses the farm labor problem, Wyoming's agricultural labor requirements and suggests several proposals to aid in the solution of the farmer's labor problems.
58. Vischer, Peter. Farm labor - where is it? Country Life 80(3): 16-17, 61. July 1941. 80 C832
On the seriousness of the farm labor problem and possible solutions.

59. Voitinskiĭ, Vladimir S. Three aspects of labor dynamics, by W. S. Woytinsky; a report prepared for the Committee on social security. 249 pp. Washington, D. C., Committee on social security, Social science research council, 1942. Bibliographical footnotes. 283 V87T
A study of "shifts of the working population" containing scattered references to agricultural labor.
60. Wickard, Claude R. The challenge of underemployment on farms; address ...before the National Catholic rural life conference...Peoria, Ill., Oct. 5, 1942. 11 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, Oct. 1942. 1.91 A2W63
Secretary Wickard holds that we must place the submarginal farmer on more productive land, protect and encourage family-type farming, and adopt a more socially-minded policy toward agricultural wage labor and migratory workers.
61. Wilcox, R. H. Some systems of farming make better use of available labor than others. Ill. Farm Econ. no. 91, pp. 406-411. Dec. 1942. 275.28 I15
Comparison of the relative efficiency of labor utilization on cash-grain, feeder-cattle-and-hog, and dairy farms in Illinois, 1939-1941.

DRAFT AND DEFERMENT

62. Bowlus, Robert E. Farm labor and the draft. La. Rural Econ. 5(1): 10, 15. Jan. 1943.
Discussion of Selective Service regulations and policy affecting farmers and farm laborers.
63. Cross, Frank C. Who should be deferred? West. Farm Life 44(7): 3, 8. Apr. 1, 1942. 6 R153
Thinks that farm boys who are needed to produce essential food should be deferred to relieve the serious farm labor shortage.
64. Eastman, E. R. Join the farm front. Trained farm help should be deferred. Amer. Agr. 139(9): 255, 266. Apr. 25, 1942. 6 Am3
65. Grahl, Charles H. Selective Service and the farmer. Iowa Farm Econ. 9(1-2): 12. Jan.-Feb. 1943. 275.28 I092
The head of the Iowa Selective Service Board explains a plan for deferring farm youth in the armed forces.
66. McKelvie, Sam R. Ranch survey shows a labor problem. Nebr. Farmer 84(23): 11. Nov. 14, 1942. 6 N27
Results of a survey of 20 ranches in Cherry County, Nebraska show that the number of livestock carried on the ranches would be reduced 20 percent in 12 months if the policy of drafting essential farm labor were continued.

67. Nicholas, J. S. The war problem of manpower in biology and agriculture. Amer. Scientist 30(4): 294-298. Oct. 1942. 470 Si2
"Report of comments presented to the Biology and Agriculture War Committee of the National Research Council, August 2, 1942."
Discusses the importance of personnel trained in biology and agriculture in the war effort and recommends deferment from military service for certain highly specialized groups.
68. Randolph, Charles A. Can agriculture stand the loss of key men into service? South. Banker 79(5): 21, 50-51. Nov. 1942. 284.8 So8
"The Food for Freedom program is being hampered daily by the flow of manpower to the army and to defense industries." The shortage of farm labor, it is declared, is a less serious matter than the "drafting of farm management."
69. U. S. Congress. Senate. Committee on military affairs. Manpower (farm labor). Hearing...78th Cong. 1st sess. on S.729, a bill for the deferment from military service of persons engaged in agricultural occupations, March 2, 1943. 34 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print off., 1943. 148.7 Mi78M
70. U. S. Selective service system. Classification of dairy, livestock, and poultry farm workers and operators. U. S. Selective Serv. System. Local Bd. Release 157, 5 pp. [Washington, D. C., 1942] 173 Se4L
Plan for draft deferment of essential workers on dairy, livestock, and poultry farms.
71. Wickard, Claude R. The new farm manpower program. A [radio] talk... on Columbia country journal, November 7, 1942. 4 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942] 1.91 A2W63
Reprinted in Milk Plant Monthly 31(12): 26-27. Dec. 1942.
44.8 C864
The program calls for deferment from the draft of necessary men working on essential dairy, livestock and poultry farms and for the recruiting and training of substitutes for men leaving the farms for industry.

FARMER-LABOR CONTROVERSIES

72. Babcock, H. E. Union labor and the farmer. Country Gent. 112(3): 13, 36, 38. Mar. 1942. 6 C833
The story of how the Co-operative GLF Mills, Buffalo, N. Y., solved a difficult adjustment caused by a strike called by Flour, Feed & Cereal Workers Federal Union, Local 19184. "The GLF Mill is owned by Northeast farmers who use it to supply themselves with their daily feed requirements."
73. Brenckman, Fred. Farmers are the victims of widely organized labor racket. Natl. Grange Monthly 39(6): 3, 18. June 1942. 6 N215
Reviews the testimony at hearings before the House Committee on

the Judiciary which considered legislation "to strengthen and clarify much needed relief to those who are being imposed upon under the tactics which are being employed by certain labor groups, and particularly the teamsters' union." Instances are cited of racketeering on the part of various groups of organized labor, in which farmers have been victimized.

74. Campfield, W. S. [Report of activities of the Virginia State horticultural society, during 1941] Va. Fruit 30(1): 9-14. Jan. 1942. 81 V81

One of the principal activities of the Society, it was stated, was to combat "the encroachments of the labor unions upon the fruit and agricultural interests." Some of the difficulties encountered with labor unions, and the measures taken by the Society to overcome these difficulties, are noted.

75. The closed shop in agriculture. Nation's Agr. (Kans. ed.) 17(5): 1-2, 14. May 1942. 280.82 B89

Tells of the attempt to unionize the dairy farmers of America under the leadership of John L. Lewis, and of the opposition from dairy and farm organizations. A new organization, "Free Farmers, Inc.," has been organized to conduct a nation-wide campaign of resistance.

76. Dewey, Thomas E. Farmers - be on guard! Natl. Grange Monthly 39(6): 5, 18. June 1942. 6 N215

Address delivered in connection with the annual dinner of the Long Island Association in New York City, April 25, 1942, which analyzes the motives back of the attempt of John L. Lewis to organize the dairy farmers in the United States.

77. Gary, Hugh L. The tenant farmer in the Mississippi delta; Unionization is not the answer. Staple Cotton Rev. 20(1): 1-6. Jan. 1942. 72.8 St22

The Delta Councils opposition to unionization among farm laborers and sharecroppers is outlined.

78. Halliday, Dean. Growers must unite to fight labor "racket." Amer. Fruit Grower 61(12): 4. Dec. 1941. 80 G85

Tells of demands of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, including an unloading fee, which has become a "racket" in many territories. Urges the organization of fruit growers as the only effective defense "against unloading fee graft and threat of closed shop in fruit packing houses."

79. Hoffman, O. H., jr. Labor unions and the farmer. Md. Farmer 27(1): 2, 14. Jan. 1943. 6 M362

Address, Maryland State Grange meeting, December 9, 1942.

States that the purposes and aims of the labor union are unsuited for farmers and notes opposition to the C. I. O. effort to organize the farmer. The Interstate Farmers Council, organized to oppose the

C. I. O. movement and to strengthen and preserve the existing type of farm organization, is described.

80. Howard, R. W. Union dues, closed shop, for farms? Farm Jour. and Farmer's Wife 66(6): 11-13. June 1942. 6 F2212
81. Reynolds, E. E. CIO union efforts stir up capital. West. Farm Life 44(9): 7. May 1, 1942. 6 R153
82. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on the judiciary. Subcommittee no. 3. Injunctions against illegitimate labor practices and outlawing racketeering. Hearings...77th Cong., 2d sess...Apr. 2, 17, 20, 22, 24, 28, and May 1, 1942. 429 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1942. 148.9 J77I
Collections of testimony from numerous witnesses, representing both farmers and labor, portraying the conflict between farmers and organized labor in the movement and marketing of farm products. Operations and activities of the American Federation of Labor teamsters' union, in its efforts to control the movement of products to market, are set forth at length; and are condemned by the witnesses representing farmers, and defended by the witnesses representing labor. A part of the collected testimony is also concerned with legal re-definition of agricultural labor, proposed as amendments to the National Labor Relations Act and the Fair Labor Standards Act, designed to include fruit and vegetable packing-house laborers as agricultural laborers.
83. U. S. Congress. Senate. Committee on education and labor. Violations of free speech and rights of labor. Report...77th Cong., 2d sess... pursuant to S. Res. 266 (74th Cong.) 77th Cong., 2d sess. Senate Rpt. 1150, 4 pts. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off. 1942.
The references below are to California agricultural labor and industry:
Part I, pp. 1-62: General introduction. The scope of the projected 10 parts of the report is stated. Agricultural labor is considered on pp. 36-48.
Part III, pp. 153-405: The disadvantaged status of unorganized labor in California's agriculture.
Part IV, pp. 407-697: Employers' associations and their labor policies in California's industrialized agriculture. - J. C. F.

HEALTH AND MEDICAL CARE

84. Lively, C. E., and Lionberger, Herbert F. The physical status and health of Farm security clients in southeast Missouri. Prelim. Rpts. 1-3, processed. Columbia, Mo., Univ. of Missouri, 1942.
Rpt. no. 1: Results of blood tests for hemoglobin, by C. E. Lively. 9 pp. Apr. 1942.
Rpt. no. 2: The physical defects of adult males. 12 pp. July 1942.

Rpt. no. 3: The physical defects of adult females. 18 pp. July 1942.

These studies were made cooperatively by the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station, U. S. Farm Security Administration, Region III, Missouri State Medical Association, Missouri State Dental Association, Missouri Social Security Commission, and Group Hospital Service, inc. The data used in the reports were drawn from the record of physical and dental examinations of 4131 persons representing 843 families of farm laborers and renter-operators, clients of the Farm Security Administration, in the seven low-land counties of southeast Missouri. The examinations were made during the spring of 1941. Both Negroes and whites were examined.

85. Medical care for farm workers in California and Arizona. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 55(5): 957-959. Nov. 1942. 158.6 B87M

A review of the work of the Agricultural Workers' Health and Medical Association over three years is briefly given. Children have high incidence of illness, and the clients as a whole show the cumulative effect of neglect. - J. C. F.

86. Schaupp, Karl L. Medical care experience of the Farm Security Administration in California. Natl. Conf. Social Work. Proc. (1941) 68: 494-501. 280.9 N213

A sketch is given of deplorable health conditions among migratory agricultural laborers, and the lack of health service for them leading to the organization of the Agricultural Workers Health and Medical Association.

LEGISLATION

87. Federal council of the churches of Christ in America. Dept. of research and education. Review of national social legislation. Fed. Council Churches of Christ in Amer. Inform. Serv. 31(35): 1-4. Oct. 31, 1942. 280.9 F31

A digest of recent or current bills before Congress relating to social questions. Included are digests of six farm labor bills, and bills relating to child labor in sugar fields, protection of migrant workers, and post-war reconstruction.

88. National industrial conference board, inc. Selected interpretations on the Fair labor standards act. Natl. Indus. Conf. Bd., Inc. Mangt. Res. Memorandum 8, 40 pp. [1942] 283.9 N212Con

"The purpose of this Memorandum is to provide a coordinated arrangement of excerpts from thirty basic Wage and Hour Division releases relating to the Fair Labor Standards Act. Fourteen of these releases are Interpretative Bulletins and sixteen are Regulations. Their identification numbers and titles are shown in the Appendix, together with the date of the latest revision." - Foreward.

References to agriculture are found in the following sections:

V. Hours...Operations relating to agriculture, pp. 16-18; VI. Exemptions from both wage and hour requirements...Agriculture, pp. 32-33; Child labor...Minors in agriculture, motion pictures and theaters, p. 37.

MECHANIZATION

89. Cullum, Robert M., Folsom, Josiah C., and Hay, Donald G. Men and machines in the North Dakota harvest. 62 pp., processed. References. Washington, D. C., U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics, and Farm security administration, Apr. 1942. 1.941 R3M52

This study was designed to measure the impact of increasing mechanization of wheat harvesting on the number of laborers used. Major topics treated are: Effect of harvest practices and equipment upon labor requirements; employment in the North Dakota harvest; transients: their experiences and problems; and the harvest laborer as a citizen.

Statistical Supplement (38 pp.), issued in June 1942.

90. Elwood, Robert B., Lewis, Arthur A., and Struble, Ronald A. Changes in technology and labor requirements in livestock production: dairying. U. S. Work Proj. Admin. Natl. Res. Proj. on Reemployment Opportunities and Recent Changes in Indust. Tech. Studies of Changing Tech. and Employment in Agr. Rpt. A-14, 86 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., June 1941. 173.2 W89St no. A-14

Prepared in cooperation with the U. S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

Bibliographical footnotes.

"This report completes the National Research Project's monographs dealing with changes in the technology of agriculture and their effects on farm employment. The series has included four groups of reports. The first dealt with the over-all changes in productivity of farm labor, the size and production of the aggregate farm enterprise, and the total volume of farm employment. The second consisted of studies of changes in farm power and equipment. Third, were studies of changes in technology and labor requirements in crop production. And finally, this report and a study of poultry production summarize the corresponding developments in livestock enterprises."--Preface.

The Library has also a volume containing Appendixes A, B, and C to Report No. A-14. This volume contains Statistics on numbers of milk cows and milk production, 1909-1940, Abstracts of data from published sources on labor and feed requirements of dairy cattle, and Notes on methodology.

91. Fenton, H. J. Oh, for a hired man! Machinery is largely responsible for thinning out the ranks on the farm. New England Homestead 115(14): 2, 8. July 11, 1942. 6 W442

92. Folsom, Josiah C., and Cullum, Robert M. Manpower in the big wheat harvest. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 26(6): 20-21. June 1942. 1 Ec7Ag
On the need for, and use of, labor and machinery in the wheat harvest of North Dakota. The article is based on a study entitled Men and Machines in the North Dakota Harvest, by Robert M. Cullum, Josiah C. Folsom, and Donald G. Hay. (Item 88)
93. Morison, F. L., and Baumann, R. V. Labor, power, and machinery on small farms in Ohio. Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 628, 38 pp. Wooster, 1942. 100 Oh3S
Records were obtained from farmers in Miami and Medina Counties for the year 1939.
94. Nelson, Peter, and Eden, Ada B. Labor skill requirements vary with types of farming. Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. 15(6): 185-188. Dec. 1942. 100 Ok4
Discussion, illustrated with maps, of the extent of farm mechanization in different sections of Oklahoma, and its influence on the amount and type of skill required in farm laborers.
95. Saville, R. J. Farm labor and machinery in war economy. La. Rural Econ. 4(1): 5-7. Jan. 1942.
96. Walker, H. B. Agricultural engineers on the food front. Agr. Engin. 24(1): 5-7, 10. Jan. 1943. 58.8 Ag83
Stresses the maintaining of essential technical engineering services relating to land, labor, and equipment.
97. Washburn, R. S., and Tetro, R. C. Eighty hours - and more - on the farms. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 26(4): 11-14. Apr. 1942. 1 Ec7Ag
Suggestions for increased efficiency in the use of man and machine power.
98. Zink, Frank J. Farm machine capacity must be increased to offset shortage in labor if food production goal is met. Ind. Farmers' Guide 98(19): 22, 27. Oct. 1, 1942. 6 In2

MIGRATORY LABOR

99. Bilateral agreement concerning the temporary migration of Mexican farm workers to the United States. Internatl. Labour Rev. 46(4): 469-471. Oct. 1942. 283.8 In8
Summary of provisions of the agreement between the Governments of Mexico and the United States.
100. Collins, Henry H., jr. America's own refugees; our 4,000,000 homeless migrants. 323 pp. Princeton, N. J., Princeton univ. press, 1941, 283 C69
"The substance of this volume is selected from the 'human interest'

material scattered through the reports of the House Committee Investigating the Interstate Migration of Destitute Citizens (Tolan Committee). Individual cases are exhibited, often in the words of the migrant witness, in a setting designed to show cause and effect." - From a review by W. T. Ham in Agr. Econ. Lit. 16(5): 372-373. May 1942.

101. Fuller, Varden, and Tetreau, E. D. Volume and characteristics of migration to Arizona, 1930-39. Ariz. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 176, pp. 295-327. Tucson, Nov. 1941. 100 An4
Primarily a study in population mobility, with some statistical and descriptive data on farm laborers among the migrating groups. Statistical Supplement (24 pp., processed), also issued in November 1941, was prepared in cooperation with the Arizona State Dept. of Education and the U. S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics.
102. Haden, Chesley, A. Our experience with labor camps. Va. State Hort. Soc. Proc. (1942) 47: 90-91. 1943. 81 V81B
Grower experience with the farm labor camp, established by the U. S. Farm Security Administration at Crozet, Virginia.
103. Ham, William T. The management of seasonal labor. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 4(9): 29-34. Sept. 1941. 1 Ec7La
Social and economic problems caused by hiring itinerant and seasonal laborers instead of local men.
104. Harrison, Frank L. The Joads in peace and war. Sci. & Soc. 6(2): 97-110. Spring 1942. 280.8 Sci2
After briefly describing the depression migrant situation and the social problems involved, the writer tells what was happening at that time to some of the Joads who are settling down on small parcels of land. He then points out what the U. S. Employment Service could contribute to the regularization of interstate migration, and concludes with a section on the million new migrants to centers of war industry.
105. Hays, Brooks. National defense and farm migrants. U. S. Farm Security Admin. Pub. 114, 3 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., 1942,] 1.95 F94
Work of the Farm Security Administration for migratory farm workers.
106. Holcomb, F. J. Mobility of sharecropper and wage laborer in the Southeastern states. In Home missions council. Town and country committee. Land tenure committee. The people, the land, and the church in the rural South, pp. 19-24, processed. Chicago, Ill., Farm foundation [1941,] 282.002 H75
107. Jamieson, Stuart M. A settlement of rural migrant families in the Sacramento valley, California. Rural Sociol. 7(1): 49-61. Mar. 1942. 281.28 R88
The study of 118 families of migratory agricultural laborers in

process of settling near Marysville, Calif., into a less migratory life, shows the causes of movement to California, occupations previously and since, influence of previous paternalistic rural family life on the new, and the poor economic situation of the families - with relief a vital help in making ends meet. Note is made of the success of the organizing of the Workers Alliance among them as unemployed as in contrast to failure of unionization. - J. C. F.

108. King, Joe J. Sheltering migratory agricultural laborers in the Pacific northwest. Sociol. and Social Res. 26(3): 259-264. Jan./Feb. 1942. 280.8 Sol5

"This paper was given at the Oregon Conference for Social Work, Portland, May 3, 1941, and with slight variations at the Northern section conference, Pacific Sociological Society, Gearhart, Oregon, May 9, 1941."

On the migratory labor camps program of the Farm Security Administration.

109. La Follette, Robert M., jr. Agricultural migration - past, present and future. Natl. Conf. Social Work. Proc. (1941) 68: 145-153. 280.9 N213

Three patterns of agricultural migration have become permanent in American life, (1) periodic movements of hired laborers, (2) movements of farmers, tenants, and sharecroppers to escape economic distress, and (3) migration of rural youth to urban work.

110. Loomis, Charles P. Wartime migration from the rural Spanish speaking villages of New Mexico. Rural Sociol. 7(4): 384-395. Dec. 1942. Bibliographical footnotes. 281.28 R88

This report furnishes "objective information concerning the labor supply remaining" in the 24 villages located in Doña Ana, Sandoval, San Miguel, and Taos counties.

111. McMillan, Robert T. Boom migration: incidence and aftermath. Rural Sociol. 7(2): 145-155. June 1942. 281.28 R88

"Adapted from a paper read before the Southern Agricultural Workers Meeting in Memphis, Tennessee, February 4-6, 1942."

In this discussion of national defense migration, the author answers the following questions: What is the volume and direction of movement? How are migrants selected as to residence, occupation, race, sex, age, and marital status? Will the changes in domicile and employment produce any permanent improvement in social and economic status? What are some of the social and economic prospects for the post-war period? The author makes liberal use of the data from the Hearings before the House of Representatives Select Committee Investigating National Defense Migration.

112. McWilliams, Carey. California pastoral. Antioch Rev. 2(1): 103-121. Spring 1942. 280.8 An82

Some Californians claim that "The Grapes of Wrath" and "Factories in the Field" misrepresent the agricultural labor situation in their

State, particularly as to migrants. The writer refutes this argument by quotations from various sources, particularly from testimony given at "La Follette Committee" hearings, and from his experience as a State official. He depicts the antagonistic attitude and actions of organized farmers toward the migratory workers.

113. McWilliams, Carey. Ill fares the land; migrants and migratory labor in the United States. 419 pp. Boston, Little, Brown and co., 1942. 283 M2521

Bibliography, pp. 391-411.

"This book is about two types of agricultural migrants: the depression or removal migrants--those who, like the Joads, have been displaced from agriculture and set adrift on the land; and the habitual migrant or migratory worker who, for years, has been following an established migratory route. Intimately connected and frequently overlapping, both groups are victims, in the last analysis, of the industrial revolution in agriculture. Throughout I have tried to emphasize the people themselves and their plight, rather than the processes which have made migrants of them. But to understand the people it is also necessary to know something about their background, about their lives before they became migrants, and about the processes which have resulted in their displacement." - Introduction.

114. McWilliams, Carey. Mexicans to Michigan. Common Ground 2(1): 5-18. Autumn 1941. 280.8 C734

A description of methods of recruiting, transporting, and placing Mexican sugar beet laborers from Texas to Michigan, and of the working and living conditions of these laborers while on the job. Earnings are low and becoming lower as hours of labor required on the crops are reduced; many Mexicans have to go on relief. Some stay north, but most of them return at the end of the season to Texas. Abuses of the workers and their occupational and living difficulties are outlined.

115. Menefee, Selden C. Mexican migratory workers of south Texas. 67 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Work projects administration, Div. of research, 1941. 173.2 W89Mex

Analysis of the working and living conditions of 300 Mexican migratory agricultural workers in the Winter Garden Area of south Texas, with background information on the area, information on work patterns and earnings, family incomes in 1938, social conditions, and a discussion of prospects.

116. Menefee, Selden C. Okies wanted. Nation 156(12): 410-412. Mar. 20, 1943. 110 N

Madera County, California, is experiencing a farm labor shortage due to war-time demands for man-power, and is now welcoming the dust-bowl and other migrants which were formerly resented or excluded. The growers are working to secure a greater volume of Mexican immigrants as one means of making up the shortage.

117. Mitchell, H. L. The Joads move up. New Repub. 108(2): 48-49.
Jan. 11, 1943. 280.8 N
Shows how the position of migratory agricultural laborers has greatly improved, due to wartime demands for farm labor.
118. Myers, Howard B. Defense migration and labor supply. Amer. Statis. Assoc. Jour. 37(217): 69-75. Mar. 1942. 251 Am3
Contains a brief paragraph, p. 74, on the fact that "relatively few of the migrants are coming from agriculture."
119. New crisis in farm labor. Search for a solution. Plans for worker migration, women's land army to ease shortage. Opposition to importing foreign hands. How lack of help affects food supply. U. S. News 14(2): 16-17. Jan. 8, 1943. 280.8 Un33A
Three plans being considered by the Government for dealing with the problem are outlined.
120. Purtell, Edna M. Working and living conditions on Connecticut tobacco plantations. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 6(8): 209-211.
158.2 C483
An exposé of conditions affecting migrant workers and their children, based on the 1941 annual inspection of Connecticut tobacco plantations, made by the Connecticut Dept. of Labor and Factory Inspection and the Consumers' League of Massachusetts.
121. Sasuly, Richard. Camps guide farm labor. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 26(5): 20-21. May 1942. 1 Ec7Ag
122. Taeuber, Conrad. Agricultural manpower. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 26(9): 22-23. Sept. 1942. 1 Ec7Ag
On the wartime migration of workers and its impact on the supply of agricultural laborers. It is shown that this migration has affected different agricultural areas unequally.
123. Thaden, J. F. Migratory beet workers in Michigan. Mich. Agr. Expt. Sta. Spec. Bul. 319, 47 pp. East Lansing, 1942. 100 M58S
Characteristics and living and social conditions.
124. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Persons in parties in need of manual employment entering Arizona and California by motor vehicle, Jan. 1 to June 30, 1942 (Rpt. no. 10). 13 pp., processed. Berkeley, Calif., Aug. 1942.
The library of the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture also has reports 1-7, May 1, 1940-Oct. 21, 1941.

125. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. National defense migration. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 54(1): 49-52. Jan. 1942. 158.6 B87M
Based on the first interim report of the Select Committee of the House of Representatives Investigating National Defense Migration. Sub-topics: Migration and the farm labor market; Migration and community facility shortages in defense centers; Contract distribution and extent of subcontracting; Post-defense problems.
126. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. Problems of defense migratory labor. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Labor Inform. Bul. 9(1): 5-7. Jan. 1942. 158.6 L11
"Prepared with the assistance of Herbert Roback, of the staff of the Select Committee of the U. S. House of Representatives Investigating National Defense Migration."
Summarizes the situation during the emergency, with emphasis on housing, health, and sanitation. Includes some mention of the impact of labor migration on agriculture.
127. U. S. Congress. House. Select committee investigating national defense migration. National defense migration. Hearings...77th Cong., 1st and 2d sess. pursuant to H. Res. 113. [Parts 19, 22-23, 25, 28-33] Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off. 1941-1942. 283 Un3752
John H. Tolan, chairman.
The references below are to agricultural labor, principally migratory, and to closely related subjects. In addition to the references given, some of the hearings contain testimony of agricultural laborers concerning personal experiences, and of farmers concerning their farm labor problems.

| <u>Part and location</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Witness or author</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| .19 Detroit, Mich. | 7767-7977 | Various | Agricultural migration, principally that of Mexicans to Michigan. |
| | 7997-8007 | Farm Security Administration | The Scioto March, Hardin Co., Ohio. (Agriculture and farm labor conditions). |
| 22 Omaha, Nebr. | 8399-8404 | Various | Farm migration and farm labor in Nebraska. |
| 23 St. Louis, Mo. | 8864-8871 | Erb, Walter | Migratory agricultural labor in Missouri. |
| | 8873-8880 | Burch, J. W. | Effect of defense activity on farm labor and farm population movement in Missouri. |

| <u>Part and location</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Witness or author</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 23 | 9143-9172 | Snow, Thad | Farm labor and tenancy in southeastern Missouri. |
| | 9222-9262 | Back, P. G. | Farm labor in Ohio Lake Shore, and southern Illinois fruit and vegetable areas, and in Ohio sugar beet area, 1941. |
| | 8974A-8974H; 9302-9347 | Holcomb, E. J., Murray, G. M., Folsom, J. C., and Turner, H. A. | Farm labor and tenancy in southeast Missouri. |
| 25 Washing- ton, D. C. | 9961-9967 | Williams, R. C. | Health of the American farmer and farm worker. |
| 28 Washing- ton, D. C. | 10697-10771 | Farm placement service officials. | Farm labor problems and placement in various states. |
| | 10773-10821; 10834-10886 | U. S. Dept. of Agriculture officials. | Agricultural labor and related problems in wartime. |
| | 10905-10910 | Dinwiddie, Courtenay | School children and agricultural labor. |
| | 10936-10962 | Stoll, L. C. | 1942 Oregon agricultural placements program. |
| 29 San Fran- cisco | --- | Various | Problems of evacuating enemy aliens from the Pacific Coast, with scattered references to agricultural labor. |
| 30 Portland and Seattle | --- | Various | do. |
| 31 Los Angeles and San Francisco | --- | Various | do. |
| 32 Huntsville, Alabama | 12099-12106 12179-12180 12182-12183 | Mitchell, H. L. Rust, Mack D. Norment, E. M. | Manpower on the land. The cotton picker and farm labor. Farm placement by Memphis office of U. S. Employment Service. |

| <u>Part and Location</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Witness or author</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 32 | 12266-12314 | Wage and Hour Division. | Agricultural wage and hour legislation in foreign countries. |
| | 12315-12412 | Bureau of Agricultural Economics. | Background of the war farm labor problem. |
| 33 | 12463-12477 | Baldwin, C. B. | Transportation of migratory farm labor. |
| Washing- ton, D. C. | 12477-12501 | Corson, John J. | do. |
| | 12657-12676 | Jones, Luther | Farm labor near Lake Okeechobee, Florida. |
| | 12710-12722 | French, Allison T. | Employment Service, placement of farm labor in southern Florida. |
| | 12745-12767 | Schouw, Paul Vander | Florida migratory labor camps. |
| | 12927-12952 | Pascal, Joan, and Tipton, H. G. | Farm labor in south Florida. |
| | 12955-12976 | Manuel, F. P. | Sugar production in Florida. |
| | 12977-13046 | Various | Farm labor conditions in southern New Jersey. |
| | --- | Various | Testimony from a considerable number of farmers and farm laborers is included. - J. C. F. |

128. U. S. Congress. House. Select committee investigating national defense migration. National defense migration. Fourth interim report... pursuant to H. Res. 113. 77th Cong., 2d sess., H. Rpt. 2124, 362 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1942. 148.9 M77I

The report is valuable for its collection of official documents relating to the evacuation of enemy aliens from prohibited military zones, and information relating to Japanese in agriculture in the Pacific States. - J. C. F.

129. U. S. Congress. House. Select committee investigating national defense migration. Sixth interim report...Changes needed for effective mobilization of manpower. 77th Cong., 2d sess., H. Rpt. 2589, 43 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1942. 148.9 M77I

Recommends the establishment of a central agency for controlling and directing the nation's manpower, taking into consideration the needs of agriculture and war industries, as well as those of the armed services.

130. U. S. Dept. of agriculture. Report of the Secretary...1941. 245 pp. Washington, D. C., 1941. 1 Ag84
Partial contents: Hired labor in agriculture, pp. 155-159; Migration and its problems, pp. 162-164; Better housing for farmers, pp. 164-166; The migrant-camp and medical-care programs of the Farm Security Administration, pp. 198-199.
131. U. S. Farm security administration. Farm family labor camp program in Texas. 4 pp. Washington, D. C. [1941] 1.5 F225
Map shows location of the camps.
132. U. S. Farm security administration. Migratory labor camps, Sept. 1, 1942. U. S. Farm Security Admin., F. S. A. Pub. 107, rev., 8 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., 1942] 1.95 F94
Gives names and/or types of camps, States and counties where located, and numbers of housing units.
133. U. S. Farm security administration. Report of the Administrator... 1941. 56 pp. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1 Ag84
The work of the Administration, is reported on, including its programs of aid to migratory laborers and other distressed farm groups.
134. U. S. Farm security administration. Region V. Florida migratory labor camp program. 4 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., 1941] 1.9505 P662
Map shows location of camps.
135. Zigler, Howard S. Our experience with labor camps. Va. State Hort. Soc. Proc. (1942) 47: 87-89. 1943. 81 V81B
The farm labor camp, established by the U. S. Farm Security Administration at Timberville, Virginia, has been satisfactory.

OCCUPATIONAL HAZARDS

136. Beckner, Earl R., and Crozier, W. C. Accident and fire survey in [U. S. Farm security administration] Region III...including the States of Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri and Ohio. 41 pp., processed. [Washington?] U. S. Farm security administration, Region III. [1941] 1.9503 Ac2
In the survey, about 12,500 households were covered, for the year 1939. 388 persons suffered lost time, accidents entailing a loss of 12,295 days and medical expenses of \$15,000 at least, or \$44 per case. 66 percent of the accidents were in connection with farming operations; 21.4 percent, homes; 12.6 percent, non-farm. 12 accidents involved permanent disability, and 15 resulted in fatalities. Tables and sample case records are given.

137. Brink, Wellington. Watch your step. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 481, 32 pp. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1 Ag84M
Farm safety for national defense.
138. Howard, Randall R. Will the war food program increase farm accidents? Jour. Amer. Ins. 18(12): 13-14, 25-27. Dec. 1941. 284.68 J822
American farmers will meet the demand for more food. Shortage of labor and machinery will make for increased use of second-hand machinery, and accidents are apt to increase. In 1940, approximately 225,000 farm workers were seriously injured and 4,500 more killed in farm accidents. Farm accident data are largely lacking, and only estimates are possible. Farm machinery does not have safety supervision. New and inexperienced workers will be subject to accidents. - J. C. F.
139. Reid, T. R. Embattled farmers. Natl. Safety News 45(4): 17-18, 72-73. Apr. 1942. 449.8 N212
The production job ahead of American farmers demands that workers and time be protected from loss through accidents. The magnitude of the farm accident hazard is described. Preventative education is stressed as the most effective way of controlling accidents.
140. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. The prevention of accidents on farms and in homes. 49 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1.941 F6P92
"This report was prepared as a part of the Bureau's research program on agricultural insurance. Material presented here was assembled in connection with the growing need for additional study of farm safety and for a type of insurance coverage that will give the farmers and their occasional hired hands protection against accidents that is commensurate with the risks involved in their work."

RECRUITING, TRAINING AND PLACEMENT

141. Buchanan, Harold C. Harvest hands for southern Illinois. U. S. Fed. Security Agency. Social Security Bd. Employment Security Rev. 9(2): 7-8. Feb. 1942. 158.3 Em72
The manager of the Illinois State Employment Service describes some of the labor problems in the fruit and vegetable growing regions of southern Illinois during the spring and summer months, and what the Employment Service has done to help the situation. Low wages, shortage of workers, transportation problems, lack of housing, and poor living conditions are the chief problems.
142. Clague, Ewan. Responsibility of the U. S. Employment service in mobilizing labor for war production. Canner 94(13, pt. 2): 54-56, 58. Feb. 28, 1942. 286.83 C16
Brings out the farm labor problem.

143. De Lashmutt, Floyd S. Training underemployed farmers for work on Ohio dairy farms. U. S. Dept. Agr. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 14(3): 35. Mar. 1943. 1 Ex892Ex
Report on a recruiting, transporting, training, and placement program for farm workers, being conducted at Ohio State University.
144. Diamond, Jay G. Meeting the farm labor problem in the Montana way. Mont. Farmer 20(16): 4, 21. Apr. 15, 1942. 6 M764
New sources of labor both within and without the State must be tapped if the sugar beet quota acreage is not to fall below the 86,000 goal. The use of Japanese gardener type of labor offers the only hope for the beet districts.
What the state and county committees are doing to solve the problem is explained.
145. Harmer, Lewis J. Provo's farm labor plan. West. Farm Life 45(3): 4, 16. Feb. 1, 1943. 6 R153
Describes the Provo, Utah, plan for solving the farm labor problem, which consisted of a comprehensive organization of local resources and agencies and local representatives of Federal and State agencies, for the purpose of utilizing fully all available labor, including school children, women, boy scouts, etc.
146. Hunter, F. W. Farmers in the fight for democracy. Canner 94(13, pt. 2): 58, 60, 62. Feb. 28, 1942. 286.83 C16
Farm labor and the farm placement work of the U. S. Employment Service.
147. Hunter, F. W. The functions of the United States Employment service in recruitment and placement of labor to handle peak periods of harvesting and processing. Amer. Grocer 148(25): 32-34. Dec. 23, 1942. 286.83 Am32
Address, Food Processors' Conference, Chicago, Dec. 13-17, 1942.
Also in Canning Trade 65(22): 23-25. Dec. 28, 1942. 286.83 T67;
also in part in Canning Age 24(1): 40-41. Jan. 1943. 286.83 C165
148. Hunter, F. W. On the farm labor front. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 26(3): 21-23. Mar. 1942. 1 Ec7Ag
An outline is given of the working relationships of the U. S. Employment Service and agencies of the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture in forecasting farm labor needs and supplying the workers. - J. C. F.
149. Moore, Arthur. Count on the Corn belt! New Repub. 103(11): 340-342. Mar. 15, 1943. 280.8 N
The Corn Belt farmer is not quitting despite labor and machinery shortages. Training centers for farm workers have been established, and workers are being trained currently at the rate of 1,400 per week. The U. S. Farm Security Administration works with the farmers in locating laborers and transporting them to where they are needed.

150. National canners association. "Getting labor to harvest and process crops." Glass Packer 22(3): 162-163, 192, 194-195. Mar. 1943.
309.8 G463
Reprint of a pamphlet issued by the National Canners' Association.
A definite plan for recruiting farm and farm-product processing labor is presented, centered around local and State organization and leadership.
152. U. S. Bureau of employment security. Farm labor supply and the U. S. Employment service. U. S. Bur. Employment Security. Employment Security Rev. 9(5): 3-19. May 1942. 158.3 Em72
A special section on farm labor containing the following articles: A general descriptive article on farm production goals, the farm labor situation, and the work of the U. S. Employment Service, in 1942; Using farm labor facts, by Margaret Wakeman (obtaining and reporting farm labor facts by the State of California and the Federal Government, and the utility of these reports); Calling all schools, by Frederick G. Bell (use of high school students in farm work in northern New York); Junior victory army, by William J. Shay (use of high school students for harvesting crops in Colorado); Geared for modern war, by J. P. Brennan (work of the U. S. Employment Service in aid to farmers in Montana).
153. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on labor. To regulate private employment agencies engaged in interstate commerce. Hearings... 77th Cong., 1st sess., on H. Res. 5510... Nov. 17, 19, 21, 1941. 272 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1941.
148.9 L77E
Testimony relative to the operations of agricultural employment agencies and labor contractors is included. Among the witnesses heard were Congressman John H. Tolan; Clara M. Beyer, of the U. S. Division of Labor Standards; William J. Rogers, of the U. S. Dept. of Agriculture; Ewan Clague, of the U. S. Social Security Board; and Courtenay Dinwiddie, of the National Child Labor Committee.
154. U. S. Farm placement service. Recommendations of the Farm placement committee of the Social security board Federal advisory council for employment security. 3 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., 1942] 173.2 SolRec
155. U. S. War manpower commission. Farm recruitment - USES looks ahead. U. S. War Manpower Comm. Manpower Rev. 10(2): 3-8, 15. Feb. 1943. 158.3 Em72
The agricultural crops of 1942 were harvested "without any

significant losses, due in no small measure to the efforts of the United States Employment Service." The following "local office stories" illustrate the types of difficulties which the USES experienced in 1942 and the methods by which they were solved: An experiment in recruitment, by Walter Erb, pp. 3-5; Grooming student farm volunteers "pinch-hitters," by Gordon F. Shattuck, pp. 6-8; The crop - everybody's business, by John L. Sutton, pp. 8, 15.

SOCIAL INSURANCE

156. Bean, Louis H. Relation of industry to agriculture with special reference to defense and the lower third. Amer. Soc. Agron. Jour. 33(5): 403-413. May 1941. 4 Am34P

Presented as part of a symposium on "War and Agricultural Adjustments with Special Reference to Grassland Agriculture" before the Crops Section of the American Society of Agronomy and the Soil Science Society of America, Chicago, Ill., December 6, 1940.

Discusses the interrelations between national income, agricultural prices, production costs, and agricultural production, and points out the need for "extending social legislation in behalf of agricultural labor, for a rural works program to provide low-income farmers with supplemental employment in soil and forestry conservation work, and for a rural housing program."

157. Corson, John J. Agricultural workers and social insurance. Jour. Farm Econ. 24(1): 285-295. Feb. 1942. 280.8 J822

Compulsory social insurance is the only practicable method for protection of the agricultural worker.

158. Sapier, Fred, Quinn, Walter, and Fitzgerald, Edward J. The agricultural wage worker in employment covered by Federal old-age and survivors insurance. U. S. Social Security Bd. Social Security Bul. 4(7): 11-14. July 1941. 173.2 SolSoc

Reports on an analysis of two surveys, one relating particularly to migratory agricultural labor and the other to local seasonal workers in agriculture, made to study the problem of old-age and survivors insurance for workers who shuttle in and out of employments covered by insurance.

"The survey of migratory workers was made by the Farm Security Administration during the spring and summer of 1940 in selected problem areas in New Jersey, Michigan, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Kentucky...The second survey was conducted by the Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance in 10 counties of Virginia in the summer of 1940."

SHORTAGE AND SUPPLY

159. Adams, R. L. Forecast of seasonal labor requirements for California crops -- 1942 (as of Aug. 10, 1942). 6 pp., processed. Berkeley, Calif., University of California, Agriculture experiment station, Aug. 1942.
Contribution from the Giannini Foundation of Agricultural Economics.
Statistics on estimated labor requirements are given by crops and by months.
Also in U. S. Congress. Senate. Special committee to investigate farm labor conditions in the west United States... Investigation of western farm labor conditions. Hearings...pt. 1, pp. 53-55. 1943. 148.7 Fa77
A similar forecast prepared by R.L.Adams as of Apr. 20, 1942 and issued in May 1942, is also in the Library.
160. Adams, R. L. Farm adjustments to meet wartime conditions. Commonwealth Club Calif. Trans. 36(6): 210-214. June 15, 1942. 280.9 C732
Discusses the farm adjustments needed to meet wartime conditions; "1. Meeting a labor shortage. 2. Shifting crops to meet need. 3. Meeting equipment and supply shortages. 4. Meeting price or market problems."
161. Baldwin, C. B. Statement on the Government's wartime farm labor program, before interdenominational discussion group in Washington, D. C., Jan. 20, 1943. 7 pp., processed. [Washington, U. S. Farm security administration,] 1943. 1.95 Ad8Ba
162. Baldwin, C. B. Toward a full mobilization of farm manpower; speech for delivery...before the National farmers union convention, Oklahoma City...Nov. 17 [1942] U. S. Farm Security Admin., F. S. A. Pub. 117, 11 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C.] Nov. 1942. 1.95 F94
Stresses the part which the small, low-income farmer can play in wartime food production and in meeting the farm manpower shortage; and outlines various phases of the work of the U. S. Farm Security Administration in its efforts to make these small farmers more efficient producers.
163. Barton, Glen T. Rural manpower and total war. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(2): 11-13. Feb. 1942. 1 Ec7La
Description of wartime farm labor difficulties, with suggested ways of meeting them.
164. Baul, Arthur W. Farmers in the war factory. Country Gent. 112(7): 8-9, 19. July 1942. 6 C833
Tells how the aeroplane factory of Clyde Cessna at Wichita, Kansas, is attracting workers from the surrounding farm areas, and touches upon some of the implications of this fact with respect to the farmer's labor supply problem.

165. Beecher, John. Unfreeze farm labor. New Repub. 108(10): 311-313. Mar. 8, 1943. 280.8 N
The writer calls for full mobilization and use of all available farm labor, and severely criticizes present National policy toward agricultural labor.
166. Bird, John. New hands for our farms. Country Gent. 112(9): 9, 43-44. Sept. 1942. 6 C833
Experiences in supplying labor to relieve the scarcity in many farming areas are reported.
167. Booth, Alfred W. The Portales region: a pump irrigation district in the Llano Estacado. Econ. Geog. 18(1): 97-105. Jan. 1942. 278.8 Ec7
Discusses the agriculture of the district, noting that "the three chief problems which face the truck gardeners of the district, exclusive of the water problem, are...(1) labor supply; (2) disposal of fresh produce; and (3) disposal of surplus produce."
168. California agriculture meets to consider critical labor situation. Natl. Beet Grower. 11(2): 4. Oct./Nov. 1942. 280.28 N21
A farmer meeting in San Francisco drew up a program asking: Deferment of farm labor by Selective Service; U. S. Employment Service to cease recruiting of farm labor for industrial work and helping build up labor unions; defense plants and military authorities cease employing farm workers; development of plans to use volunteer community labor; use of armed forces members with farm experience in peak demands; removal of restrictions on hours and labor output. - J. C. F.
169. Cecil, W. J. Farm labor in 1943 is major problem for California crop. Cotton Digest 15(14): 6-7. Jan. 2, 1943. 286.82 C322
Cotton acreage in California will probably be lower in 1943 than 1942 because of the shortage of labor.
170. Clayton, H. G. Farm labor shortage looms. Fla. Grower 50(10): 12. Oct. 1942. 80 F6622
Outlines a planned program to prevent farm production losses due to the farm labor shortage.
171. Cooper, J. Francis. Florida farmer's '43 job holds a challenge. Shortages or not, more food must be produced to gain victory and save Southern tradition. Fla. Grower 51(1): 6, 9. Jan. 1943. 80 F6622
Discusses Florida's 1943 production goals and the difficulties of meeting them because of labor and material shortages.
172. Corson, John J. Bringing the workers and the jobs together. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(5): 13-16. May 1942. 1 Ec7La
Work of the U. S. Employment Service in bringing farm labor and farm jobs together.

173. Croy, Homer. We farmers aren't fooling. Christian Sci. Monitor, Weekly Mag. Sect., Mar. 14, 1942, p. 3.
Reports on what the war has done to "the old farm in the great Middle West." Shortage of farm labor and the difficulty of getting farm machinery are darker sides of the picture.
174. Davis, John C. Farm labor supply. Mich. Farmer 199(12): 337, 340. June 20, 1942. 6 M58
How the scarcity of farm labor may be met.
175. Ellickson, John C., and Brewster, John M. Manpower and the American farm plant. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(5): 17-22. May 1942. 1 Ec7La
The reorganization of the farm plant into a system of efficient family farms is necessary to prevent the waste of labor.
176. Farm labor problem. Bank of Amer. Business Rev. 12(6): 3-5, 8-10. June 1942. 280.8 B22
Discusses the situation in California, under the following subjects: demand for farm workers; loss of Japanese labor; migrant farm workers; school workers; women in agriculture; Mexican labor; pension and relief rolls a possible source; farm labor from other sources; variables in the picture; farm wages; housing; and lack of organization.
177. Fisher, Lloyd H. Prospects for a farm labor shortage in California. Commonwealth Club Calif. Trans. 36(6): 205-210. June 15, 1942. 280.9 C732
178. Fleming, Roger. Will we have a farm labor shortage [in Iowa]? Bur. Farmer 5(8): 7. Aug. 1941. 280.82 B89
An Iowa Farm Bureau Federation survey indicates farmers' fears of a prospective shortage of farm labor of all types. One remedy proposed is the closing of WPA and NYA projects, thus freezing the workers for farm employment.
- 178a. Ham, William T. Farm labor and 1943 goals. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Agr. Situation 27(1): 12-15. Jan. 1943. 1 Ec7Ag
Prospective labor shortage in 1943, probable effects on agricultural production, and ways of meeting it. Emergency workers must be recruited, workers must be placed on farms where they are most needed, management and supervision to ensure the best possible use of available labor must be provided, and labor-saving machinery must be utilized to the utmost.
179. Hamilton, C. H., and Wakeley, J. T. Some effects of the war upon the farm labor situation in North Carolina. N. C. Agr. Expt. Sta. Depts. Rural Sociol. and Expt. Statis. Spec. Rpt., Aug. 1, 1942, 6 pp., processed. 283 N812
Issued in cooperation with the Crop Reporting Service representing the Agricultural Marketing Service and the Bureau of Agricultural Economics of the U. S. Department of Agriculture.
180. Hoffsommer, Harold, and Ramsey, R. J. Louisiana farm laborers and total war. La. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 346, 18 pp. Baton Rouge, 1942. 100 L93
In cooperation with U. S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

181. Howard, Robert W. The laborers are few! Farm Jour. 67(1): 14-15.
Jan. 1943. 6 F2212
Steps being taken to relieve the farm labor shortage are noted.
182. [Hunter, Howard O.] Shortage of American labor in Hawaii. 77th
Cong., 2d sess., S. Doc. 156, 4 pp. [Washington, D. C., U. S.
Govt. print. off., 1942]
Results of a survey of employment in the sugar and pineapple
industries of the Islands indicated a prospective shortage of
American workers.
183. Joseph, J. J. The mobilization of man-power. Sci. and Soc. 7(1):
2-13. Winter 1943. 280.8 Sci2
A "general survey of the problems involved in mobilizing the
manpower of the nation for victory." The wartime farm labor supply
problem is considered, pp. 7-8.
184. Kaldor, Don. Here's "surplus" farm labor. Iowa Farm Econ. 8(10):
10-11. Oct. 1942. 275.28 Io92
The "surplus" of labor referred to is Iowa's low-income farmers
who do not have sufficient land and equipment to enable them to
farm efficiently.
185. Keep 'em working - where each works best. Prairie Farmer 114(3):
1, 9-10, 37. Feb. 7, 1942. 6 P833B
Is important food production threatened by an acute labor short-
age on the farms? A 3-state survey is presented. Official and
draft board attitudes and actions are cited. - J. C. F.
186. Labor shortage threatens food output. Prairie Farmer 114(19): 1,
7. Sept. 19, 1942. 6 P883B
A Prairie Farmer survey in 81 counties of Illinois, Indiana,
Wisconsin, and Michigan reveals an acute farm labor shortage in
1943. 67 of the reporting counties report a labor shortage now.
187. Lee, Kendrick. Farm labor supply. Editorial Res. Rpts. 1(10):
175-188. Mar. 14, 1942. 280 Ea42
In three sections as follows: Farm production goals and labor
supply, pp. 175-177; Wartime drafts on farm labor supply, pp. 177-
184; Measures to overcome reduced supply of farm labor, pp. 184-188.
188. Lehmann, E. W., and Bateman, H. P. Improving efficiency of farm
labor to meet wartime demands. Agr. Engin. 23(9): 277-280.
Sept. 1942. 58.8 Ag83
Present labor, power, and machinery situation can best be sum-
marized by saying it seems evident that in some areas there will
be a shortage of labor, in others a shortage of power, and in still
others a shortage of machines.
Real problem that is nationwide and deserves great deal of study
is that of ineffective use of labor because of small farms, small
fields, rough topography, inadequate machines; or it may be that
the entire program of production has been developed with plentiful
supply of cheap labor which is not now available.

189. A local war program on agricultural labor. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(4): 25-32. Apr. 1942. 1 Ec7La
A report on agricultural labor conditions, with recommendations for a comprehensive program of melioration, by a "group of specialists in the Southwest."
190. McMillan, R. T. Farm labor as a factor affecting agricultural production in 1942 and 1943. Okla. Agr. Expt. Sta. Current Farm Econ. 15(4): 131-137. Stillwater, Aug. 1942. 100 Ok4
191. McNutt, Paul V. We must provide farm help. Country Gent. 112(12): 12, 48, 50. Dec. 1942. 6 C833
"Outlines eight steps to provide agriculture with the workers necessary to keep up food production."
192. McWilliams, Carey. Proposal in re Farm labor authority. 9 pp., processed. [Los Angeles], California. Dept. of industrial relations, Div. of immigration and housing. [1942] 283 C12642
The proposed national(or regional) Farm Labor Authority to be set up either in the Department of Agriculture or in the War Manpower Commission would recruit "on a purely voluntary basis, a sizable farm labor force to be used to meet critical needs in connection with particular crops in particular areas." This force would be used "solely to prevent actual loss of important wartime crops through the shortage of farm labor."
193. Maddox, James G. A statement of the role of low-income farm families in the war effort. 33 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Farm security administration, Feb. 13, 1942. 1.95 Ad8M
Presented before the House Committee Investigating National Defense Migration. Statement follows this outline: "First, a description of the low-income and under-employed farm population. Second, an analysis of the potential food production capacities of this group. Third, a statement about the types of action that will be required to open up the capacities for such production. Fourth, an analysis of the farm labor problem."
194. Meeker, David. Farm labor program of the Department [of agriculture], address...Washington, D. C., Oct. 21, 1942. 4 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942. 1.910 A2M47
Brief review of the Department's farm labor program in 1942, and preview of program for 1943.
195. Moore, Luis F. The farm labor situation in the hilly community of Lincoln Parish, La. La. Rural Econ. 4(4): 10, 13. Nov. 1942.
Description of the labor situation which has resulted from the attraction of men into the armed services and war industries, using 1939 as the basis of comparison with the present year.

196. Nesius, E. J., and Tharp, M. M. Farm labor under war conditions. Ky. Agr. Col. Dept. Farm Econ. Farm Econ. Misc. 110, 18 pp., processed. Lexington, [1941?] 281.9 K41
197. Parsons, Howard. No farm labor bottleneck - yet. Iowa Farm Econ. 8(5): 7-9. May 1942. 275.28 I92
A survey of various areas in Iowa indicates that there will be little difficulty in obtaining sufficient farm labor in 1942. But in 1943 it may be necessary that farmers, their families, and hired help will have to work 16 percent more hours to make up for reduced number of workers.
198. Pickett, John E. We are wasting precious food. Pacific Rural Press and Calif. Farmer 144(3): 59. Aug. 8, 1942. 6 P112
A summary of the farm labor situation in California.
199. Reuss, Carl F. Most productive workers drained from farms. Wash. Farmer 68(2): 39. Jan. 28, 1943. 6 R151
Discusses the farm labor problem with particular reference to the migration of labor to war centers for higher-paying jobs and says "a sound man power policy will not merely freeze workers to their jobs; it will equalize the net wages received for jobs of equal value in the war effort."
200. Rogers, William J. Problems of agricultural labor in the war effort. 12 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Office of agricultural defense relations, Feb. 13, 1942. 1.910 L2P94
201. Rose, Philip S. Winning this year's battle of food. Country Gent. 112(6): 14, 36-37. June 1942. 6 C833
American farmers are courageously matching their ingenuity against an acute labor shortage and an impending shortage of machinery.
202. Rose, William C. Manpower for food production. Canning Trade 65(22): 21-23. Dec. 28, 1942. 286.83 T67
Address, Food Processors' Conference, Dec. 13-17, 1942.
Also in Canner 96(4): 11-12, 24. Dec. 26, 1942. 286.83 C16;
Canning Age 24(1): 50-51. Jan. 1943. 286.83 C165
Discusses the general manpower situation and the agricultural labor problem and outlines the War Manpower Commission's program to provide agriculture with labor for 1943 production.
203. Schwartz, Harry. Hired farm labor in World war II. Jour. Farm Econ. 24(4): 826-844. Nov. 1942. 280.8 J822
The national farm labor situation, and how it is being, or may be, met during the war.
204. Silvermaster, N. Gregory. The farm labor situation in 1942 and the program of FSA for 1943. 25 pp., processed. [Washington, D.C., U.S. Farm security administration, 1942. 1.95 L11Si

205. Sumners, Hatton W. Prospective agricultural production, Efficiency at home and fighting efficiency of the Allies imperiled. Vital Speeches of the Day 9(7): 203-207. Jan. 15, 1943. 280.8 V83
Address, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C., Dec. 2, 1942.
Acreage will be reduced in 1943 from 15 to 25 percent because of the shortage of farm labor.
206. Taeuber, Conrad. Reviewing the facts on farm manpower. U.S. Ext. Serv. Ext. Serv. Rev. 14(1): 6. Jan. 1943. 1 Ex892Ex
Facts and trends in the farm manpower situation in the United States in 1942. It is concluded that increased labor demands resulting from increased production goals will have to be met primarily by farmers making the most of all available manpower in local communities.
207. Taylor, Frank J. Up to their necks. Country Gent. 112(4): 7, 60-61. Apr. 1942. 6 C833
"With camouflaged artillery in their fields, and each farm allotted its quota of essential crops, growers of the West Coast are troubled about the shortage of labor and supplies, Jap gardeners, threatened sabotage, shifting markets and many other new problems."
208. Taylor, Paul S. Remarks on war-time farm labor problems, West. Farm Econ. Assoc. Proc. (1942) 15: 92-94, processed. 280.83 W53
209. Teague, C. C. Farm labor shortage...its relation to the nation's food supply. Natl. Beet Grower 11(2): 2-3, 5. Oct./Nov. 1942. 280.28 W21
Address at a meeting of farm organizations in San Francisco.
Unless an adequate supply of farm labor is provided the nation faces an impending food shortage.
210. Tetreau, E. D. Wanted -- man power for Arizona farms. Ariz. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 186, 36 pp., Tucson, Nov. 1942. 100 Ar4
A historical review and study of seasonal and year-round hired farm labor requirements in Graham, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, and Yuma counties, Arizona, from 1935 to 1942. The present farm labor problems caused by the war are stressed, and recommendations are made for meeting them.
211. Tetro, Robert C., and Cooper, Martin R. War and farm work. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 492, 21 pp. Washington, D. C., May 1942. 1 Ag84M
A discussion of ways in which farming operations may be modified, and the work of the farm family utilized, so as to mitigate the farm labor shortage caused by the war.

212. Townsend, H. Clifford, Where to get farm help. Wallaces Farmer and Iowa Homestead 67(10): 301. May 16, 1942. 6 W15
Five Madison County, Iowa, farmers cooperate in "interchanging both labor and machinery to get their production job done this year."
Suggests other ways to meet the farm labor problem by citing the specific experience of one farmer.
213. Tukey, H. B. The defense program and the fruit industry. Rural New Yorker 100(5513): 530, 532. Oct. 4, 1941. 6 R88
Some of the difficulties encountered by the fruit industry due to the defense program are: labor shortages, high wages, etc. Organization of growers and improved production practices, are recommended.
214. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. The agricultural manpower situation. 13 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C.] Nov. 1942. 1.941 R3Ag81
Summary of data from a nation-wide survey "in which 6,100 farmers in 567 counties were interviewed about the farm labor situation on their farms, and were asked to comment on the outlook for next year."
215. U. S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Preview of 1942 production situation; summary of results of a survey made by the bureau in March 1942. 28 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1.941 S2P94
The survey covered 27 sample counties in the United States. The farm labor situation is summarized and recommendations made for alleviating shortages.
216. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on agriculture. Farm labor and production. Hearings...77th Cong., 2d sess. Serial I, 123 pp. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1942. 148.9 Ag77F1
The hearings were held for the purpose of getting facts on a serious problem on the farm brought about by the drafting of farm labor and to develop plans to remedy the situation. Statements of Lewis B. Hershey, Francis V. Keesling, Jr., Paul V. McNutt, Donald M. Nelson and Claude R. Wickard are included.
217. U. S. Congress. Senate. Special committee to investigate farm labor conditions in the west United States. Investigation of western farm labor conditions. Hearings...77th Cong., 2d sess., on S. Res. 299, A resolution to investigate agricultural labor shortages in the western states in connection with the prosecution of the war. 2 pts. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1943. 148.7 Fa77
Pt. 1. Sacramento, hearings, November 23, 24, and 25, 1942.
Pt. 2. Los Angeles, hearings, November 30, December 1, 2, and 3, 1942.

218. U. S. Congress. Senate. Subcommittee of the committee on appropriations. Investigation of manpower. Hearings... 78th Cong., 1st sess. 2 pts. and sup. Washington, D. C., U. S. Govt. print. off., 1943. 143.7 Ap78M
John H. Bankhead, chairman.

| Part | Page | Witness or author | Subject |
|------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1-28 | McMitt, Paul V. | National manpower situation with some reference to agricultural labor force and employment. |
| 1 | 53-93 | Wickard, Claude R., and Walker, John O. | Agricultural labor needed to meet the demands of the army, lend-lease and the civilian population. |
| 2 | 307-329 | Tolley, H. R., and Baldwin, C. B. | National food situation and production goals, and the labor needed to reach the goals. |
| 2 | 365-409 | Baldwin, C. B., Bryan, Jack, and Ducoff, L. J. | Farm security administration and its methods of handling the farm plant and farm labor supply to increase production. |
| Sup. | 29-51 | Wickard, Claude R., Webster, R. L., Darrow, Wayne H., and Kepner, Paul V. | Survey of farm labor problem, stating needs and how to secure more labor. |

219. U. S. Dept. of agriculture. The agricultural manpower situation. 13 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., 1942. 1.941 R3Ag81

220. U. S. Extension service. Mobilizing 3,500,000 farm workers. U. S. Ext. Serv., Ext. Serv. Rev. 14(3): 33. Mar. 1943. 1 Ex892Ex
Explanation of the national program for mobilization of farm workers to alleviate the wartime shortages in farm labor. According to this article, the leading part in this program is being taken by the U. S. Extension Service, the U. S. Employment Service, and the U. S. Farm Security Administration.

221. Waldron, Webb. The crisis in farm labor. Amer. Mercury 55(228): 687-692. Dec. 1942. 283 W14

Condensed in Reader's Digest 42(249): 116-118. Jan. 1943. 110 R

Portrayal of the farm labor crisis resulting from farmers and farm workers leaving the farm for the armed services, or jobs in war industry; and of the difficulty of meeting the crisis due to the lack of qualified and capable workers.

222. Wartime labor directives of Manpower commission. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 55(2): 223-226. Aug. 1942. 158.6 B87M
Eight directives issued June 22, 1942, to promote effective mobilization and use of the country's wartime manpower included

three relating to farm employment and facilities for transportation and living requirements. The USES is to estimate agricultural labor supply and needs, and to take necessary action to recruit and replace needed workers by various specified methods as well as others. The Secretary of Agriculture is directed to prepare and keep up to date information concerning the availability of adequate housing in areas where transient farm laborers are needed, and, if needed, to establish and maintain adequate shelter for them, and to assure agricultural workers needed transportation facilities, and provide nonlocal agricultural workers and their families, transported or housed pursuant to the directive, with needed health and welfare services.

223. [Watson, J. A. Scott] Labour trouble in United States, too. Prof. Scott Watson on problems facing American farmer. Farmers Weekly [London] 18(3): 15. Jan. 15, 1943. 10 P2226
224. Wickard, Claude R. Making the most of our farm labor supply. (Farming in wartime). Address...August 7, 1942...on the Department of agriculture period, National farm and home hour. 4 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942. 1.91 A2W63
225. Wickard, Claude R. Stabilizing employment on dairy, livestock and poultry farms (Farming in wartime). A radiotalk...October 30, 1942, in the Department of agriculture period, National farm and home hour. 4 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942. 1.91 A2W63
226. Wickard, Claude R. Statement on the farm labor situation...before the House Committee on agriculture, Sept. 23, 1942. 8 pp., processed. Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942. 1.91 A2W63
Impact of the war on the farm labor situation, and an outline of measures for meeting the situation.
227. Wolf, O. O. Farm labor problem real [in the Middle West now.] Nation's Agr. (Kans. Ed.) 17(5): 8c-8d. May 1942. 280.82 B89

SPECIAL GROUPS

Children and Youth

228. Camping and wartime agriculture; a symposium. Camping Mag. 15(2): 2-7. Feb. 1943. 280.68 C15
Outlines the government's plan for utilizing nonfarm youth in agriculture next summer. "The cooperation plan which has been developed by the U. S. Office of Education, the U. S. Employment Service of the War Manpower Commission and the Department of Agriculture is...adapted to obtain the most effective utilization of the services of youth."
Contents of the symposium: Camping's share, by Frederick H.

Lewis, p. 2; Youth and 1943 farm production, by O. E. Mulliken, p. 3; The schools and wartime food production, by F. W. Lathrop, pp. 3-4; Planning for successful employment of youth in wartime agriculture, by Savilla M. Simons, pp. 4-5; The role of the U. S. Employment Service, by David W. Fessenden, pp. 5-6; and Civilian defense faces the farm problem, by Molly Flynn, pp. 6-7.

229. Chase, Stuart. Young man in Tunbridge. Survey Graphic 31(5): 229-233. May 1942. 280.8 C37G

A sketch is given of the inception and purposes of the early activities of the Volunteer Land Corps in Vermont. William James is credited with philosophy underlying the movement. The group organized at Tunbridge, Vt., and took part in local farm work and community life to "learn by doing," - doing jobs the community needed done. To CCC type of organization without a motto or philosophy was added objectives of community service. - J. C. F.

230. Corey, Stephen M. A high school staff prepares urban boys for farm work. Progressive Ed. 29(2): 80-81. Feb. 1943. 275.8 P94

Tells how the Laboratory Schools of the University of Chicago prepare urban boys for summer work on farms, thus helping to relieve the agricultural labor shortage.

231. Corson, John J. Youth in the food for victory program. Amer. Observer 11(22): 8. Feb. 16, 1942.

On the opportunities for high school students to help with the work on farms in the battle for food production. Cites experience in New York State, where "harvest vacations" were authorized in schools located in areas where labor shortages were acute.

232. Crosby, Joe. City boys make good farm hands. Calif. Cult. 89(18): 443, 454. Sept. 5, 1942. 6 C12

High school boys have patriotically done considerable farm work this past year. A sketch is given of routine and management of a boys' camp operated by the YMCA; of training, work and earnings of the boys; of grower cooperation in outfitting the camp and providing transportation; camp government by the boys. - J. C. F.

233. David, Paul T. Barriers to youth employment. Prepared for the American youth commission. 110 pp. Washington, D. C., American council on education, 1942. 283 D28

The problem of child labor in agriculture is considered, p. 54.

234. Hoberg, R. H. The place of urban youth in the national defense program. Agr. Ed. Mag. 14(12): 226-227. June 1942. 275.8 Ag8

The writer interviewed farmers in Big Stone County, Minnesota, to determine farmers' attitudes toward city boys as replacements for experienced farm laborers.

The questions asked are listed.

235. Kirkpatrick, M. Glen. Training town boys to farm. Farm Jour. 67(2): 26, 28. Feb. 1943. 6 F2212
Training city boys to work on farms is suggested as a means of aiding farmers with their labor problems.
236. McConnell, Beatrice. Wartime employment of children and youth. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Labor Inform. Bul. 9(5): 8-9. May 1942. 158.6 L11
Extent of child labor in wartime agriculture, and a program for improving child labor conditions in agriculture are briefly considered.
237. McDermott, Morene. Young workers in wartime: Trends in State legislation, 1941-42. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 7(5): 69-71. Nov. 1942. 158.2 C483
Legislation concerning child labor in agriculture, in New Jersey and New York, is noted.
238. [Mead, A. R.] The citrus industry and occupations in Florida. 182 pp., processed. [Tallahassee? Fla.] U. S. National youth administration for Florida, [n.d.] 281.393 Un3
"This book was prepared to provide occupational information for youth in high schools, to a lesser degree in colleges and universities, and to out-of-school unemployed." It describes the various kinds of occupations and jobs in the industry, from the groves through the processing plants; the workers (their classification, race, sex, schooling, and organization); and outlines trends and future prospects in the industry.
239. Root, Arthur. First harvest of the land corps. Free Amer. 6(7): 18-20. Autumn 1942. 280.8 F872
Results of the first year's experience with the Volunteer Land Corps, a group of young city people who enlisted to help farmers in Vermont.
240. Root, Arthur. Service on the land. Free Amer. 6(1): 10-12. Jan. 1942. 280.8 F872
Discusses the establishment of and work at Camp William James which the author calls a "land corps on a tiny scale."

241. S., S. M. Improved child-labor conditions in Connecticut tobacco fields. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 7(5): 72. Nov. 1942. 158.2 C433
242. Simons, Savilla M. A study of child labor in industrialized agriculture in Hidalgo county, Texas. Social Serv. Rev. 16(3): 414-435. Sept. 1942. 280.8 S61
Report of a study made by the U. S. Children's Bureau early in 1941. The study was based on a representative sample of 342 families with 998 children between the ages of six and sixteen.
243. Steinbach, Sophia. They made good on the farm. Christian Sci. Monitor. Weekly Mag. Sect., Nov. 14, 1942, pp. 2-3.
Boys and girls from eastern schools helped Vermont and New Hampshire farmers harvest 1942 crops.
244. Thompson, Dorothy. The patriotism of work. Survey Graphic 31(5): 233-234. May 1942. 280.8 C37C
Story of the development of the Volunteer Land Corps idea, from the time of its originator, William James, through its adoption by other countries of the world, up to the present time in the United States; and a description of the young people's land army movement in Vermont.
245. Thompson, Dorothy. The Volunteer land corps. Free Amer. 6(1): 3-7. Jan. 1942. 280.8 F872
246. U. S. Children's bureau. Policies on recruitment of young workers for wartime agriculture. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 6(9): 225-226. Mar. 1942. 158.2 C483
The Children's Bureau invited representatives of the Office of Education, the Dept. of Agriculture, and the U. S. Employment Service, to join it in formulating a National policy regarding wartime recruitment of young workers in agriculture. The policies decided upon are presented in a brief statement and relate to age limits, rates of pay, working conditions, transportation, health and welfare of the workers, and leisure-time activities.
247. [U. S. Children's bureau] Safeguarding young workers in wartime agriculture. Report of conference on supervision and employment conditions for young workers in wartime agriculture, June 18-19, 1942. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 7(2): 15-20. Aug. 1942. 158.2 C483

248. Vallon, Edwin E. Child labor in Arizona cotton fields. U. S. Children's Bur. Child 6(10): 271-275. Apr. 1942. 158.2 C483
Report of findings of an investigation made in the fall of 1941 by the Children's Bureau. 80 farms were visited and 255 children under 16 years of age - many of them under 10 years - were found working as cotton pickers. Information is given on their ages and sex, hours of work, resident or migrant status, education, race, living quarters and conditions, and problems of schooling.
249. The Volunteer land corps. Your Farm 1(5): 69-70. Jan. 1943. 6 Y8
"Condensed from a Corps circular."
The Corps, a youth movement through which boys and girls serve the wartime nation by enlisting for work on the land where the labor shortage is acute, is described.
250. Volunteer land corps. Report...summer, 1942, by Arthur Root, executive officer. 101 pp., processed. [n.p., 1942?], 283.9 V88
251. U. S. Extension service. Where is the help coming from? U. S. Ext. Serv., Ext. Serv. Rev. 14(1): 10. Jan. 1943. 1 Ex892Ex
Description of the Volunteer Land Corps of Vermont and its activities.
252. Zimand, Gertrude F. Children who work in the nation's crops. Natl. Child Labor Com. Pub. 384, 19 pp. New York, Jan. 1942. 283 N214
Excerpts from the testimony of parents of child laborers in agriculture which show the economic need for the earnings of the children. Census data understate the number of child workers in agriculture. Physical and educational effects of labor on children are noted.

Emergency Workers

253. Dittmer, W. E. Agents mobilize shock troops in battle for food in South Dakota. U. S. Ext. Serv., Ext. Serv. Rev. 13(11): 161-162. Nov. 1942. 1 Ex892Ex
The writer tells how "shock troops" of businessmen, boys, girls, and women, were recruited to harvest the large 1942 grain crop of Walworth County, South Dakota.
254. Stassen, Harold E. Calling up our home task forces. Minnesota goes into action with a state-wide plan to utilize all labor reserves to get farm work done. Country Gent. 113(2): 9, 60-61. Feb. 1943. 6 C833
255. Stone, L. T. Community organization is the answer to lagging farm production. Lack of adequate labor has put the farmer in a bad frame of mind for 1943's essential cotton crop. Cotton and Cotton Oil Press 43(23): 11, 14. Nov. 7, 1942. 304.8 C822
Use of high school labor and disbanding of relief agencies is suggested as a means of relieving the farm labor shortage.

256. Strohm, John. Business men volunteer to help out on the farm. Prairie Farmer 114(12): 1, 5. June 13, 1942. 6 P883B
 Jud Farmer, a tractor salesman, helped farmer friends harvest their crops in his spare time. This gave him the idea that business in small towns could help out during harvest emergencies. His idea was endorsed by the Chamber of Commerce, of Sycamore, Ill., and put into practice. In addition to supplying much needed farm labor, it has developed a fine cooperative spirit in the community.
257. Taylor, Frank. Dude harvest. Sat. Evening Post 215(14): 20-21, 94. Oct. 3, 1942. 110 S
 Describes experiences in California, Oregon and Washington, where school children, housewives and businessmen have helped harvest the fruit and vegetable crops.
258. Tinley, J. M. Urbanites as farm laborers. Calif. Cult. 89(22): 539, 550-551. Oct. 31, 1942. 6 C12
 "Seasonal farm labor shortage can be alleviated materially, although perhaps not completely solved, by careful organization of the supply of city labor--both high schools and city vacationists."
259. U. S. Dept. of agriculture. Enlist in the vacation corps. [6] pp., folder. [Washington, D. C., 1942] 1 Ag84En

Japanese

260. Cross, Frank C. Japanese-Americans form pool of farm labor in 1943. West. Farm Life 45(1): 3, 8-9. Jan. 1, 1943. 6 R153
 Use of Japanese from relocation centers for harvesting and other farm work in 1943 is suggested.
261. McElroy, John J. Agricultural parade. Wyo. Stockman-Farmer 48(11): 2, 15. Nov. 1942. 6 W992
 Discusses "questions with respect to the use of Japanese evacuee labor in the sugar beet fields of the inter-mountain states."
262. McElroy, John J. Recruitment of Japanese evacuee labor for the mountain states area. Natl. Beet Grower 11(2): 6. Oct./Nov. 1942. 280.28 N21
 An account of the use of Japanese evacuee labor in the sugar beet harvest in the intermountain states area under terms and agreements developed by the War Relocation Authority.
263. McWilliams, Carey. California and the Japanese. New Repub. 106(9): 295-297. Mar. 2, 1942. 280.8 N
 Feeling has risen against Japanese and Japanese-Americans on the Pacific Coast since the attack on Pearl Harbor. Proposals have been made for their expulsion from the coast, and for their use as conscript farm workers or as farm workers elsewhere. Some of the injustices of this are pointed out. - J. C. F.

264. Pease, Harvey D. Economic effects of the Japanese evacuation. U. S. Dept. Com. Dom. Com. 30(6): 7-12. Aug. 6, 1942. 157.54 D713
The results of the mass evacuation and resettlement of alien and American-Japanese from southern California with particular attention to dislocations in agriculture are described. The specialization of Japanese farms, the acreage decreases, problems of property disposal, farm labor problems, credit and loans to new operators, and Japanese control of the wholesale vegetable market are discussed.
Includes a table showing total and Japanese acreage of truck crops, market gardens, and berries.

Sharecroppers

265. Brady, Dorothy S., and others. Family income and expenditures, Southeast region. Pt. 1, Family income, by Dorothy S. Brady... Day Monroe...Margaret Perry...[and] Mary R. Pratt. Consumer purchases study, farm series. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 462, 208 pp. Washington, D. C., 1941. 1 Ag84M
Prepared by the U. S. Bureau of Home Economics, in cooperation with U. S. Work Projects Administration.
"This volume presents facts as to family composition and the sources and amount of income of native-white and Negro families of farm operators and sharecroppers" in the southeastern part of the United States.
266. Kyrk, Hazel, and others. Family expenditures for housing and household operation, five regions, by Hazel Kyrk...Day Monroe...Dorothy S. Brady...Colette Rosenstiel...[and] Edith D. Rainboth. Consumer purchases study, farm services. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 457, 201 pp. Washington, D. C., 1941. 1 Ag84M
Prepared by the U. S. Bureau of Home Economics, in cooperation with U. S. Work Projects Administration.
Section 5 of the report deals with the Negro and sharecropper groups of the southeastern part of the United States.
267. Monroe, Day, and others. Family expenditures for education, reading, recreation, and tobacco, five regions, by Day Monroe...Dorothy S. Brady...Maryland Y. Pennell...[and] Miriam H. Coffin. Consumer purchases study, urban, village, and farm series. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 456, 208 pp. Washington, D. C., 1941. 1 Ag84M
Prepared by the U. S. Bureau of Home Economics, in cooperation with U. S. Work Projects Administration.
Contains data on sharecroppers in the southeast region.
268. Monroe, Day, and others. Family income and expenditures, five regions. Pt. 2, family expenditures, by Day Monroe...Dorothy S. Brady...Margaret Perry...Kathryn Cronister...[and] Edith D. Rainboth. Consumer purchases study, farm series. U. S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Pub. 465, 366 pp. Washington, D. C., 1941. 1 Ag84M
Prepared by the U. S. Bureau of Home Economics, in cooperation

with the U. S. Work Projects Administration.

In Section 5 of this report, "the patterns of consumption of Negro farm operators' families and of those of white and Negro sharecroppers of the Southeast are briefly presented."

Women

269. Bulette, Sara. New hands for our farms. Country Gent. 112(9): 9, 77-78, 80. Sept. 1942. 6 C833
"Tractorettes" are being trained.
270. Colvin, Esther M. Another women's land army? Independent Woman 21(4): 102-104, 126. Apr. 1942. 280.8 In26
271. Hill, Grover B. The farm woman's part in war...Address...October 30, 1942, before the National home demonstration council and the U. S. Liaison committee of the Associated country women of the world, Kansas City, Missouri. 6 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., U. S. Dept. of agriculture, 1942. 1.91 A2H55
272. Low, A. Ritchie. Help urgently needed. Should Uncle Sam organize a women's land army corps? New England Homestead 115(24): 8-9. Nov. 28, 1942. 6 N442
273. Streeter, Carroll P. National war-time platform of American farm women. What's New in Home Econ. 6(6): 22-23, 44. Feb. 1942. 321.8 W55
"The question, 'What can I, as a Farm Woman, do to contribute to victory and a just peace?' is answered by twenty-eight representative farm women leaders at a recent conference called in Chicago by The Farm Journal and Farmer's Wife."
The platform is divided into the following subjects: Labor; Education; Food; Citizenship; Health; and Our philosophy of democracy.
274. Training for lady farmers to alleviate labor shortage. Impl. and Tractor 57(7): 24-25. Mar. 28, 1942. 58.8 W41
The International Harvester Company is fostering a training program for women tractor drivers to help reduce the prospective farm labor shortage. The nationwide program will be carried out through its dealers. A minimum of eight lessons, capable of expansion to twelve or sixteen, will be given in operation and repair of tractors. Training will also include operation of other key machines used in conjunction with tractors. - J. C. F.
275. U. S. Extension service. National summary of inquiry into changes in the work of farm women and girls caused by war labor shortages. U. S. Ext. Serv.; Ext. Serv. Cir. 395, 10 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C., Nov. 1942. 1.9 Ex892Esc
Report of an inquiry conducted by the U. S. Extension Service through State home demonstration leaders.

276. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. Women workers help in harvesting crops. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Labor Inform. Bul. 9(9/10): 4-5. Sept./Oct. 1942. 158.6 L11

High school and college girls helped harvest the 1942 fruit and vegetable crops in northern New York. Then earnings, living conditions and expenses, hours of work, lack of medical service, and lack of accident protection, are discussed.

277. U. S. Women's bureau. Guides for wartime use of women on farms. U. S. Women's Bur. Spec. Bul. 8, 11 pp. Washington, D. C., 1942. 158.92 Sp3

WAGES, HOURS, INCOME

278. Bowden, Witt. Wartime wages and manpower in farming. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 55(6): 1111-1124. Dec. 1942. 158.6 B87M

Comparisons are made between farm and nonfarm wage rates as exemplified by specific groups of unskilled laborers -- both at present and from 1909-1914. The higher nonfarm rates explain the disadvantages of farmers in holding labor. Regional variations are noted; these are striking, particularly between certain South Atlantic and Pacific States. - J. C. F.

279. Dickens, Dorothy. Improving levels of living of tenant families. Miss. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 365, 18 pp. State College, Jan. 1942. 100 M69

A study of 100 owner families, 117 share-renter families, and 93 sharecropper families showed the farmer decidedly best off in income, and sharecroppers least so in 1938-1939. The same generally held true in income per family, farm furnished food, fuel, housing. Sharecropper men earned somewhat more nonfarm income, and their families received work relief more often. Expenditures for and money available for living were largest with owners, least with croppers. Owner families had cars more often and better clothing, participated more in church going and other public affairs. Some suggestions are given for improving levels of living. - J. C. F.

280. Fair wages for Iowa's hired men. Wallaces' Farmer and Iowa Homestead 67(3): 65. Feb. 7, 1942. 6 W15

Survey of Iowa farm people on the question: "What do you think is a fair wage (cash and other things) for a married hired man who has a house rent-free?"

281. Holcomb, Ernest J. Wartime wage rates. U. S. Bur. Agr. Econ. Land Policy Rev. 5(5): 33-37. May 1942. 1 Ec7La

The relationships of farm wage rates, farmers' incomes, industrial wage rates, employment, industrial payrolls and other factors are discussed in their interrelationships affecting farm wages, with consideration of the impact of the war conditions. - J. C. F.

282. Johnson, Lamont. Utah's farm labor crisis. West. Farm Life 44(20): 5. Oct. 15, 1942. 6 R153
Farmers are unable to compete with the high wages paid by the newly developed large war industries in Utah.
283. Miller, Frank. Income levels of contract beet workers in Nebraska. Nebr. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 335, 23 pp. Lincoln, 1941. 100 N27
"In November, 1940, the Department of Rural Economics of the Nebraska College of Agriculture conducted a survey of incomes of beet workers in Scotts Bluff and Morrill Counties."
284. New Jersey. Dept. of agriculture. New Jersey prices of hired farm labor, feedstuffs, fertilizer materials and seeds, and their index numbers, 1910-1941. N. J. Dept. Agr. Cir. 334, 27 pp. Trenton, 1940. 2 N46C
285. Smith, Roy J. Methods of paying citrus pickers. Calif. Citrogr. 27(10): 267, 282. Aug. 1942. 80 C125
Considers the "methods by which the relative amount due may be determined and the allotment of the costs among growers."
286. U. S. Bureau of labor statistics. Rise in farm wages, 1941. U. S. Bur. Labor Statis. Monthly Labor Rev. 53(6): 1570-1571. Dec. 1941. 158.6 B87M
The rise of farm wage rates beginning in early 1941 continued through the second and third quarters, according to the U. S. Department of Agriculture. The index rose 28 percent from Oct. 1, 1940 to Oct. 1, 1941. The rise was a result of increasing demand for workers in industries attracting labor from farms. There were 2,765,000 hired workers on Nov. 1, 1940 and 2,577,000 a year later. There has been a 38 percent increase in wholesale prices of farm products in practically the same time. - J. C. F.
287. U. S. Congress. House. Committee on agriculture. Inclusion of farm wages in determining parity price of agricultural commodities. 78th Cong., 1st sess., H. Rpt. 100, 23 pp. [Washington, D. C.] Feb. 4, 1943.
Report accompanies H. R. 1408, to amend section 301 (a)(1) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended [etc.]
288. U. S. Farm security administration. A proposal for establishing a system of wage regulation during the war emergency. 12 pp., processed. [Washington, D. C.] May 1942. 1.95 L11Pr
Proposal is made that the Secretary under present powers direct the formation of Emergency Agricultural Wage Boards to determine and recommend to the Secretary for his approval fair basic wages for farm laborers. Membership on the Boards should include representatives of the farmers, laborers, and public. Enforcement of wage rates set shall be by public opinion and prestige of the boards. Fair wages should help check the rural exodus. - J. C. F.
289. Warren, E. L. Hired farm labor under minimum wage and maximum hours regulation. Jour. Farm Econ. 24(1): 296-313. Feb. 1942. 280.8 J822

INDEX

Item
 Accidents..... 137,139
 increased by war program....138
 prevention.....140
 survey, 1939, 5 states.....136
 Adams, R. L.....159,160
 Agricultural labor.....17,52
 affected by consumption habits
 and type of commodity
 produced..... 4
 affects production goals,
 Oklahoma.....190
 and the war. See also Draft
 and deferment; also under
 War.
 community life and activities,
 Louisiana.....20
 community status.....47
 congressional hearings.....127,
 216-218
 definition, legal, amendment
 proposed.....82
 effects of mechanization.
 See Mechanization of agri-
 culture.
 facts and trends, 1942.....206
 foreign, importations to
 U. S.....119
 government control agency
 proposed 129,192
 government policies and
 program.....4,165,194,204
 in the fruit industry.....213
 resident, Louisiana.....20
 types:.....4,31
 See also specific headings such
 as: Efficiency; Emergency
 workers; Migratory workers;
 Supply and demand; Training.
 Agricultural workers' health and
 medical association.....85,86
 Anderson, A. W.....1
 Arizona
 child labor.....248
 farm workers, medical care...85
 migration to.....101
 migratory workers.....124
 Arizona. Dept. of education....101
 Associated country women of the
 world. U. S. liaison
 committee.....271

Item
 Atherton, R. P.....2
 Babcock, H. E.....72
 Back, P. G.....127(p.23)
 Baker, O. E.....16
 Baldwin, C. B.....127(p.24),
 161,162,218
 Bankhead, J. H.....218
 Barlow, F. D., jr.....3
 Barton, G. T.....163
 Bateman, H. P.....188
 Baum, A. W.....164
 Baumann, R. V.....93
 Bean, L. H.....156
 Beckner, E. R.....136
 Beecher, John.....165
 Bell, F. G.....152
 Benedict, M. R.....4
 Beyer, C. M.....153
 Bierly, I. R.....5
 Bird, John.....166
 Booth, A. W.....167
 Bowden, Witt.....278
 Bowlus, R. E.....62
 Brady, D. S.....265-268
 Brenckman, Fred.....73
 Brennan, J. P.....152
 Brewster, J. M.....175
 Brink, Willington.....137
 Brodell, A. P.....16
 Bryan, Jack.....218
 Buchanan, H. C.....141
 Buck, R. K.....18
 Bulette, Sara.....269
 Burch, J. W.....127
 California
 agricultural labor.....11,
 14,169,176,198
 facts.....152
 market report.....56
 medical care.....85
 program.....168
 supply and demand....159,177
 unionization.....83
 dairy labor situation, Los
 Angeles milkshed.....51a
 emergency workers.....257
 Japanese.....263-264
 migrants, settlement,
 Sacramento Valley.....107
 migratory workers.....124
 Madera County.....116

| | Item | | Item |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| California - Continued | | Cooper, J. F..... | 171 |
| strikes, Ventura County..... | 33 | Cooper, M. R..... | 12,211 |
| youth as agricultural | | Corey, S. M..... | 230 |
| laborers..... | 232 | Corson, J. J..... | 127(p.24), 153,172,231 |
| California. State chamber of | | Cost of labor..... | 284,286 |
| commerce. Agricultural dept. | | dairy farms, New York..... | 5 |
| Farm labor statistics | | Cotton farming..... | 40 |
| committee..... | 7 | Cronister, Kathryn..... | 268 |
| California. State council of | | Crosby, Joe..... | 232 |
| defense. Agricultural | | Cross, F. C..... | 63,260 |
| committee..... | 11 | Croy, Homer..... | 173 |
| California agricultural priority | | Crozier, W. C..... | 136 |
| clinic..... | 11 | Cullum, R. M..... | 89,92 |
| Camp William James..... | 240 | | |
| Campfield, W. S..... | 74 | Dairy farm labor conference, | |
| Camps. <u>See</u> Labor camps. | | 1942..... | 28 |
| Cecil, W. J..... | 169 | Dairy farms | |
| Chapman, P. W..... | 9 | labor..... | 35 |
| Chase, Stuart..... | 229 | Kansas City, Missouri | |
| Chicago. University. Laboratory | | milkshed..... | 49 |
| schools..... | 230 | Los Angeles, California..... | 51a |
| Child labor..... | 233,236,252 | milkshed..... | 5 |
| cotton fields, Arizona..... | 248 | New York..... | 51 |
| legislation..... | 87,88 | Wisconsin..... | 51 |
| New Jersey and New York.. | 237 | mechanization and labor | |
| New Hampshire and Vermont... | 243 | displacement..... | 90 |
| recruitment, policies..... | 246 | Darrow, W. H..... | 218 |
| supervision and employment | | David, P. T..... | 233 |
| conditions..... | 247 | Davis, J. C..... | 174 |
| Texas, Hidalgo County..... | 242 | Debts and indebtedness, effects | |
| tobacco farms, Connecticut | 120, | on farm labor..... | 4 |
| 241 | | De Lashmutt, F. S..... | 143 |
| <u>See also</u> Youth as agricultural | | Demand. <u>See</u> Supply and demand. | |
| laborers. | | Democracy and agricultural | |
| Citrus pickers, wages..... | 285 | labor..... | 12 |
| Clague, Ewan..... | 142,153 | Dewey, T. E..... | 76 |
| Clayton, H. G..... | 170 | Diamond, J. G..... | 144 |
| Coffin, M. H..... | 267 | Dickins, Dorothy..... | 279 |
| Collins, H. H., jr..... | 100 | Dinwiddie, Courtenay.127(p.23),153 | |
| Colorado, youth as agricultural | | Dittmer, W. E..... | 253 |
| laborers..... | 152 | Draft and deferment..... | 62-71, 168,216,221 |
| Colvin, E. M..... | 270 | congressional hearings..... | 69 |
| Conference, dairy farm labor.... | 28 | Ducoff, L. J..... | 218 |
| Congress of industrial | | | |
| organizations..... | 81 | Earnings. <u>See</u> Wages and income. | |
| Connecticut, child labor...120,241 | | Eastman, E. R..... | 64 |
| Connecticut. Dept. of labor and | | Eden, A. B..... | 94 |
| factory inspection..... | 120 | Efficiency..... | 34,37,61 |
| Connely, F. S..... | 27 | affected by farm plant..... | 175 |
| Consumers' league of | | in use of machine power..... | 97 |
| Massachusetts..... | 120 | Minnesota farms..... | 1 |
| | | to meet war demand..... | 3,188 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Ellickson, J. C..... | 175 |
| Elwood, R. B..... | 90 |
| Emergency agricultural wage boards..... | 288 |
| Emergency workers..... | 150, 178a, 220, |
| business men..... | 253-254 |
| sources, California..... | 256-257 |
| urbanites..... | 176 |
| Utah..... | 258 |
| See also Junior victory army; Land army; Vacation corps; Volunteer land corps; Women's land army. | 145 |
| Employment | |
| California..... | 56 |
| duration, Oklahoma..... | 31 |
| relation to size of farm enterprise..... | 16 |
| Employment services and agencies.. | |
| 141, 142, 146-148, 151, 152, 154, 155 | |
| private, operations..... | 153 |
| See also Recruiting and place- ment. | |
| Engene, S. A..... | 1, 10 |
| Erb, Walter..... | 127, 155 |
| Fair Labor Standards Act | |
| amendment proposed to re-define agricultural labor..... | 82 |
| application to agricultural labor..... | 88 |
| Family workers..... | 3, 211 |
| in cotton farming..... | 40 |
| statistics..... | 16 |
| Farm labor authority, proposed. | 192 |
| Farm mechanization. See Mechani- zation of agriculture. | |
| Farm mobilization day..... | 13 |
| Farm tenancy, Kentucky..... | 27 |
| Farmers | |
| low-income | |
| as agricultural labor. | 18, 184 |
| in wartime food production.. | 162, 193 |
| proposed policies toward.. | 60 |
| part-time..... | 4 |
| Federal council of the churches of Christ in America, Dept. of research and education..... | 87 |
| Fenton, H. J..... | 91 |
| Fessenden, D. W..... | 228 |
| Fisher, L. H..... | 177 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Fitzgerald, E. J..... | 158 |
| Fleming, Roger..... | 178 |
| Florida | |
| agricultural labor...127(p.24), 170, 171 | |
| citrus industry, youth as laborers..... | 238 |
| labor camps..... | 127(p.24), 134 |
| Flynn, Molly..... | 228 |
| Folsom, J. C..5, 21, 89, 92, 127(p.23) | |
| Food production and agricultural labor..... | 30 |
| Fowler, H. H..... | 12 |
| Free farmers, inc..... | 75 |
| French, A. T..... | 127(p.24) |
| Fuller, Varden..... | 12, 14, 101 |
| Fullilove, W. T..... | 19 |
| Gary, H. L..... | 77 |
| Georgia | |
| agricultural labor needs, Bulloch County..... | 19 |
| Giannini foundation of agricultur- al economics..... | 159 |
| Goodwin, M. L..... | 15 |
| Grahl, C. H..... | 65 |
| Group hospital service, inc..... | 84 |
| Haden, C. A..... | 102 |
| Hainsworth, R. G..... | 16 |
| Halliday, Dean..... | 78 |
| Ham, W. T..... | 12, 17, 103, 178a |
| Hamilton, C. H..... | 179 |
| Hammer, P. G..... | 18 |
| Harmer, L. J..... | 145 |
| Harrison, F. L..... | 104 |
| Hawaii, labor shortage..... | 182 |
| Hay, D. G..... | 89, 92 |
| Hays, Brooks..... | 105 |
| Health..... | 127(p.23) |
| of U. S. Farm security clients, Southeast Missouri..... | 84 |
| See also Medical service; also subhead Health under Migratory workers, and Negroes. | |
| Hendrix, W. E..... | 19 |
| Hershey, L. B..... | 216 |
| Hill, G. B..... | 271 |
| Hinds, M. K..... | 10 |
| Hired man..... | 4, 8, 15, 91 |
| history..... | 46 |
| wages..... | 280 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Hoberg, R. H..... | 234 |
| Hoffman, O. H., jr..... | 79 |
| Hoffsommer, Harold..... | 20, 180 |
| Holcomb, E. J..... | 21, |
| | 106, 127(p.23), 281 |
| Holmaas, A. J..... | 12 |
| Holmes, H. C..... | 22, 23 |
| Hours of work | |
| legislation, | |
| foreign countries.. | 127(p.24) |
| regulation..... | 289 |
| Housing | |
| California..... | 176 |
| Louisiana..... | 20 |
| <u>See also</u> Labor camps. | |
| Howard, R. R..... | 138 |
| Howard, R. W..... | 80, 181 |
| Hunter, F. W..... | 146-148 |
| Hunter, H. W..... | 182 |
| Illinois | |
| agricultural labor | |
| efficient utilization.... | 61 |
| fruit and vegetable areas... | |
| | 127(p.23) |
| volunteer workers, Sycamore. | 256 |
| Illinois. State employment | |
| service..... | 141 |
| Income. <u>See</u> Wages and income. | |
| Insurance. <u>See</u> Social insurance. | |
| International brotherhood of | |
| teamsters..... | 78, 82 |
| International harvester com- | |
| pany..... | 274 |
| Interstate farmers council, | |
| opposition to labor unions... | 79 |
| Iowa | |
| agricultural labor | |
| deferment..... | 64 |
| reduction..... | 24 |
| hired man, wages..... | 280 |
| labor supply and demand. | 178, 197 |
| low-income farmers..... | 184 |
| Iowa. Selective service board, | |
| plan for deferment..... | 64 |
| Iowa. State college of agriculture | |
| and mechanic arts. Extension | |
| service..... | 24 |
| Iowa farm bureau federation.... | 178 |
| Jackson, L. P..... | 25 |
| Jamieson, S. M..... | 107 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Japanese | |
| evacuation, economic effects... | |
| | 264 |
| California..... | 176 |
| evacuees, as agricultural | |
| laborers..... | 260-262 |
| Pacific Coast States.... | 128, 263 |
| Johnson, Alex..... | 11 |
| Johnson, Lamont..... | 282 |
| Joint land grant college - Bureau | |
| of agricultural economics | |
| committee..... | 26 |
| Jones, Luther..... | 127(p.24) |
| Joseph, J. J..... | 183 |
| Junior victory army..... | 152 |
| Kaldor, Don..... | 184 |
| Kansas | |
| agricultural laborers attracted | |
| to defense employment.... | 164 |
| Keesling, F. V., jr..... | 216 |
| Kentucky. Agricultural college. | |
| Dept. of farm economics.... | 196 |
| Kentucky. Farm tenancy commission. | |
| | 27 |
| Kepner, P. V..... | 218 |
| King, J. J..... | 108 |
| Kirkpatrick, M. G..... | 235 |
| Kyrk, Hazel..... | 266 |
| Labor camps..... | 39, 121 |
| cooperative, Wisconsin, | |
| World War I..... | 45 |
| Florida..... | 127(p.24), 134 |
| mobile..... | 12 |
| U. S. Farm security admin- | |
| istration..... | 130 |
| Florida..... | 134 |
| location..... | 132 |
| Pacific Northwest..... | 108 |
| Texas..... | 131 |
| types..... | 132 |
| Virginia..... | 102, 135 |
| Youth..... | 232, 240 |
| Labor contractors..... | 153 |
| Labor exchange..... | 12 |
| Labor requirements, Nicollet | |
| County, Minnesota..... | 10 |
| Labor unions and organizations. | 72, |
| | 80 |
| attitude toward migratory | |
| workers, California..... | 112 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Labor unions and organizations - | |
| Continued. | |
| C. I. O. efforts..... | 81 |
| dairy farm workers..... | 75 |
| employers' association policies, | |
| California..... | 83 |
| injunctions against illegiti- | |
| mate labor practices..... | 82 |
| lack of, California..... | 176 |
| opposed by | |
| Delta Council..... | 77 |
| farm laborers..... | 77 |
| farmers..... | 74, 76 |
| fruit growers..... | 78 |
| Interstate farmers council.. | |
| | 79 |
| sharecroppers..... | 77 |
| racketeering..... | 73 |
| violations of rights of labor, | |
| California..... | 83 |
| La Follette, R. M., jr..... | 109 |
| La Follette committee..... | 112 |
| La Salle extension university... | 29 |
| Lamb, R. K..... | 12 |
| Land army..... | 119 |
| See also Junior victory army; | |
| Vacation corps; Volunteer | |
| land corps; Women's land | |
| army. | |
| Land tenure | |
| changes | |
| effects on farm labor..... | 4 |
| Lathrop, F. W..... | 228 |
| Lee, Kendrick..... | 187 |
| Legislation..... | 82, 87-88 |
| See also subhead legislation | |
| under specific subjects; | |
| also Fair Labor Standards | |
| Act; National Labor | |
| Relations Act. | |
| Lehmann, E. W..... | 188 |
| Lewis, A. A..... | 90 |
| Lewis, F. H..... | 228 |
| Lewis, J. L..... | 75, 76 |
| Lionberger, H. F..... | 84 |
| Lively, C. E..... | 84 |
| Living standards and conditions | |
| Connecticut..... | 120 |
| dairy farms..... | 35 |
| Loomis, C. P..... | 110 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Louisiana | |
| agricultural labor | |
| in the war effort..... | 180 |
| Lincoln Parish..... | 195 |
| Low, A. R..... | 272 |
| McConnell, Beatrice..... | 236 |
| McDermott, Norene..... | 237 |
| McDowell, M. S..... | 30 |
| McElroy, J. J..... | 261-262 |
| Machinery, farm | |
| as labor-saver..... | 3, 178a |
| suggestions for increased | |
| efficiency..... | 97 |
| See also Mechanization of | |
| agriculture. | |
| McKelvie, S. R..... | 66 |
| McMillan, R. T..... | 31, 111, 190 |
| McNutt, P. V..... | 191, 216, 218 |
| McWilliams, Carey.. | 112-114, 192, 263 |
| Maddox, J. G..... | 193 |
| Maine. State land use planning | |
| committee. Farm labor | |
| subcommittee..... | 32 |
| Management..... | 29, 178a |
| Manuel, F. P..... | 127(p.24) |
| Marketing, methods, effects on | |
| farm labor..... | 4 |
| Mead, A. R..... | 238 |
| Meany, George..... | 33 |
| Mechanization of agriculture | |
| dairy farming..... | 90 |
| effects | |
| on labor..... | 4 |
| on labor requirements and | |
| employment..... | 89-91 |
| North Dakota..... | 92 |
| Oklahoma..... | 94 |
| production..... | 98 |
| in war economy..... | 95 |
| small farms, Ohio, Medina and | |
| Miami Counties..... | 93 |
| statistics..... | 89 |
| Medical service | |
| Arizona..... | 85 |
| California..... | 85-86 |
| migrants..... | 39 |
| U. S. Farm security admin- | |
| istration..... | 86, 130 |
| wartime national policy.... | 222 |

| Item | Item |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Meeker, David.....194 | Migratory workers - Continued |
| Menefee, S. C.....115,116 | recruiting and placement....114 |
| Mexicans | social and economic conditions. |
| importation for farm work | 103-104,115 |
| in U. S.....116 | Michigan.....123 |
| bilateral agreement....99 | transportation.....127(p.24) |
| in California agriculture...176 | U. S. Farm security admin- |
| migratory beet workers.....114 | istration program.....133 |
| migratory workers | Miller, Frank.....283 |
| Michigan.....127 | Milligan, D. A.....34 |
| Texas.....115 | Minnesota |
| Michigan | agricultural labor, Nicollet |
| agricultural labor survey....44 | County.....10 |
| migration of Mexicans.....127 | efficiency on farms.....1 |
| migratory workers.....123 | labor reserves.....254 |
| Middle West, labor shortage....173 | youth as agricultural laborers, |
| Migration.....100,111,128 | Big Stone County.....234 |
| congressional hearings.....127 | Misner, E. G.....35 |
| effect on agriculture.....126 | Mississippi, agricultural labor |
| interstate.....104 | survey.....26 |
| Nebraska.....127 | Mississippi Delta.....77 |
| New Mexico.....110 | Missouri |
| patterns.....109 | agricultural labor....127(p.23) |
| problems.....130 | and tenancy.....21 |
| statistics.....101 | dairy labor situation, Kansas |
| to Arizona, volume and | City milkshed.....49 |
| characteristics.....101 | migratory workers.....127 |
| wartime.....117-118,122 | U. S. Farm security clients, |
| Migratory workers.....4, | health.....84 |
| 12,39,52,100,125 | Missouri. Agricultural experiment |
| and war.....105,222 | station.....21,84 |
| attitude toward.....60 | Missouri. Social security |
| organized farmers of | commission.....84 |
| California.....112 | Missouri State dental |
| California.....112,116,176 | association.....84 |
| Sacramento Valley.....107 | Missouri State medical |
| camps. See Labor camps. | association.....84 |
| children. See Child labor. | Mitchell, H. L.....117,127(p.23) |
| distress vs. habitual.....113 | Mobility.....59,106 |
| entering, Arizona and | Southeastern States.....106 |
| California.....124 | Monroe, Day.....265-268 |
| health, California.....86 | Montana |
| housing, Pacific Northwest..108 | agricultural labor.....144 |
| in sugar beet areas, | mechanization of agriculture.89 |
| Michigan.....123 | U. S. Employment Service aids |
| legislation.....87 | farmers.....152 |
| living conditions.....114-115 | Moore, Arthur.....149 |
| Connecticut.....120 | Moore, L. F.....195 |
| Michigan.....123 | Morison, F. L.....93 |
| Mexicans. See Mexicans. | Mountain states area, Japanese |
| Missouri.....127 | as agricultural laborers....269 |
| position improved by war....117 | Mullikin, O. E.....228 |
| | Murray, G. M.....21,127(p.23) |
| | Myers, H. B.....118 |

| | Item | | Item |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| National canners association... | 150 | Occupations in rural service..... | 9 |
| National child labor committee. | 252 | Ohio | |
| National farm youth foundation. | 29 | agricultural labor. | 38, 127(p.23) |
| National home demonstration | | dairy labor..... | 143 |
| council..... | 271 | mechanization of labor, small | |
| National industrial conference | | farms, Medina and Miami | |
| board, inc..... | 88 | Counties..... | 93 |
| National Labor Relations Act; | | Ohio. Agricultural experiment | |
| amendment proposed to re-define | | station..... | 38 |
| agricultural labor..... | 82 | Ohio. State university. Dept. of | |
| National research council. Biology | | rural economics and rural | |
| and agriculture war committee.. | 67 | sociology..... | 38 |
| Nebraska | | Oklahoma, mechanization of | |
| agricultural labor..... | 127 | agriculture..... | 94 |
| beet workers, income..... | 283 | Old-age and survivors insurance. | |
| drafting of farm labor, Cherry | | <u>See</u> Social insurance. | |
| County..... | 66 | Oregon, emergency workers as agri- | |
| Nebraska. College of agriculture. | | cultural laborers..... | 257 |
| Dept. of rural economics.... | 283 | Pacific Northwest migratory | |
| Negroes | | workers camps..... | 108 |
| as sharecroppers..... | 265-266 | Packing-house workers, regarded | |
| health..... | 84 | as agricultural laborers.... | 82 |
| Virginia..... | 25 | Parker, F. E..... | 39 |
| Nelson, D. M..... | 216 | Parsons, Howard..... | 197 |
| Nelson, Peter..... | 94 | Pascal, Joan..... | 127(p.24) |
| Nesius, E. J..... | 36, 196 | Pease, H. D..... | 264 |
| New Hampshire, youth as agri- | | Pennell, M. Y..... | 267 |
| cultural laborers..... | 243 | Peonage, California..... | 33 |
| New Jersey | | Periodicals, agricultural | |
| agricultural labor.... | 127(p.24) | labor..... | 50, 54 |
| child labor legislation.... | 237 | California..... | 56 |
| New Jersey. Dept. of agri- | | Perquisites, Louisiana..... | 20 |
| culture..... | 284 | Percy, Margaret..... | 265, 268 |
| New Mexico, wartime migration, | | Pickett, J. E..... | 198 |
| 3 counties..... | 110 | Placement. <u>See</u> Recruiting and | |
| New York | | placement. | |
| child labor legislation..... | 237 | Pond, G. A..... | 10 |
| dairy farms, agricultural | | Portales region, agricultural | |
| labor..... | 5 | labor..... | 167 |
| women as agricultural laborers. | 276 | Pratt, M. R..... | 265 |
| youth as agricultural laborers. | 152, 231 | Production and farm manpower.... | 12 |
| Nicholas, J. S..... | 67 | Purtell, E. M..... | 120 |
| Norment, E. M..... | 127(p.23) | Quinn, Walter..... | 158 |
| North Carolina, farm labor affect- | | Rainboth, E. D..... | 266, 268 |
| ed by war..... | 179 | Ramsey, R. J..... | 180 |
| North Dakota, mechanization of | | Randolph, C. A..... | 68 |
| agriculture..... | 92 | | |
| Nunn, Alexander..... | 37 | | |

| | Item |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Recruiting and placement..... | 127 |
| (p.23), 143, 146-147, 149, | |
| 151, 154-155, 166, 172, 192 | |
| and war..... | 142, 222 |
| Illinois..... | 141 |
| Mexicans..... | 114 |
| Montana..... | 152 |
| Utah..... | 145 |
| See also Labor contractors; | |
| also under Migratory workers. | |
| Reid, T. R..... | 139 |
| Reuss, C. F..... | 199 |
| Reynolds, E. E..... | 81 |
| Roback, Herbert..... | 126 |
| Rogers, W. J..... | 12, 153, 200 |
| Root, Arthur..... | 239-240, 250 |
| Rose, P. S..... | 201 |
| Rose, W. C..... | 202 |
| Rosenstiel, Colette..... | 266 |
| Rust, M. D..... | 127(p.23) |
| Sapier, Fred..... | 158 |
| Saville, R. J..... | 95 |
| Sasuly, Richard..... | 121 |
| Schaupp, K. L..... | 86 |
| Schoffelmayer, Victor..... | 40 |
| Schouw, P. V..... | 127(p.24) |
| Schwartz, Harry..... | 41, 203 |
| Seasonal labor | |
| management..... | 103 |
| Minnesota, Nicollet County...10 | |
| Sharecroppers..... | 4, 279 |
| Kentucky..... | 27 |
| Louisiana..... | 20 |
| oppose unionization..... | 77 |
| Southeastern States..... | 106, 265-268 |
| Shattuck, G. F..... | 155 |
| Shay, W. J..... | 152 |
| "Shock troops" See Emergency | |
| workers. | |
| Silvermaster, N. G..... | 12, 204 |
| Simons, S. M..... | 228, 242 |
| Slocum, W. L..... | 42 |
| Smith, L. J..... | 43 |
| Smith, R. C..... | 12 |
| Smith, R. J..... | 285 |
| Snow, Thad..... | 127(p.23) |
| Social insurance..... | 156-158 |
| South Dakota, agricultural labor, | |
| wartime mobilization..... | 42 |
| Walworth County..... | 253 |

| | Item |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Southeastern States, mobility of | |
| sharecroppers and wage labor- | |
| ers..... | 106 |
| Stassen, H. E..... | 254 |
| Statistics..... | 16 |
| improvement..... | 7 |
| See also under specific sub- | |
| jects. | |
| Steinbach, Sophia..... | 243 |
| Stephenson, Richard..... | 44 |
| Stokdyk, E. A..... | 45 |
| Stoll, L. C..... | 127(p.23) |
| Stone, L. T..... | 255 |
| Streeter, C. P..... | 273 |
| Strikes..... | 72 |
| lemon workers, Ventura County, | |
| California..... | 33 |
| Strohm, John..... | 256 |
| Struble, R. A..... | 90 |
| Sugar beet workers, wages and | |
| earnings, Scotts Bluff and | |
| Morrill Counties, Nebraska..283 | |
| Sumners, H. W..... | 205 |
| Supply and demand..... | 12, |
| 19, 55, 148, 174, 183, 187, 191, | |
| 202, 209, 214-215, 219, 221, 223-226 | |
| and war.117, 160, 163-165, 205, 211 | |
| Arizona, 5 counties..... | 210 |
| California..... | 116, 168, 176-177 |
| congressional hearings..... | 218 |
| effect on production..... | 178a |
| California..... | 169 |
| Florida..... | 170, 171 |
| effects of defense employment.. | |
| 164 | |
| 4 States..... | 186 |
| Hawaii, American..... | 182 |
| Iowa..... | 178, 197, 212 |
| Maine..... | 32 |
| measures to relieve shortage... | |
| 181 | |
| Michigan..... | 44 |
| Middle West..... | 173, 227 |
| Montana..... | 144 |
| New Mexico | |
| Portales region..... | 167 |
| 3 counties..... | 110 |
| Oklahoma..... | 31 |
| peak requirements..... | 22 |
| Tennessee..... | 23 |
| possible solutions..... | 58 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Supply and demand - Continued. | |
| seasonal, California..... | 159 |
| survey..... | 185 |
| West Coast..... | 207 |
| Wisconsin..... | 6,51 |
| Surplus labor, low-income | |
| farmers..... | 18 |
| Sutton, J. L..... | 155 |
| Taeuber, Conrad..... | 122,206 |
| Taylor, F. J..... | 207 |
| Taylor, Frank..... | 257 |
| Taylor, P. S..... | 46,208 |
| Teague, C. C..... | 209 |
| Tennessee. Agricultural college. | |
| Extension service..... | 22-23 |
| Tenure status of agricultural | |
| workers..... | 17 |
| Tetreau, E. D..... | 47,101,210 |
| Tetro, R. C..... | 97,211 |
| Texas | |
| child labor, Hidalgo County. | 242 |
| labor camps..... | 131 |
| Thaden, J. F..... | 123 |
| Tharp, M. M..... | 36,196 |
| Thompson, Dorothy..... | 244,245 |
| Tinley, J. M..... | 258 |
| Tipton, H. G..... | 127(p.24) |
| Tolan, J. H..... | 127,153 |
| Tolan committee..... | 21,100 |
| Tolley, H. R..... | 218 |
| Townsend, M. Clifford..... | 212 |
| Tractorettes. <u>See</u> Women as | |
| agricultural workers. | |
| Trained workers, need for..... | 2 |
| Training..... | 149 |
| dairy farms, Ohio..... | 143 |
| <u>See also</u> Women as agricultural | |
| laborers, training. | |
| Transportation, wartime National | |
| policy..... | 222 |
| Tukey, H. B..... | 213 |
| Turner, H. A..... | 21,127(p.23) |
| United dairy committee..... | 28 |
| U. S. Bureau of employment | |
| security..... | 152 |
| U. S. Bureau of labor statistics.. | |
| 52-53,125-126,236,276,278,286 | |
| U. S. Childrens' bureau..... | 237, |
| 242,246-248 | |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| U. S. Congress. House. Committee | |
| investigating national defense | |
| migration..... | 193 |
| U. S. Congress. House. Committee | |
| on agriculture..... | 216,287 |
| U. S. Congress. House. Committee | |
| on labor..... | 153 |
| U. S. Congress. House. Committee | |
| on the judiciary. Subcommittee | |
| no. 3..... | 82 |
| U. S. Congress. House. Select com- | |
| mittee investigating national | |
| defense migration... | 125,127-129 |
| U. S. Congress. Senate. Committee | |
| on education and labor..... | 83 |
| U. S. Congress. Senate. Committee | |
| on military affairs..... | 69 |
| U. S. Congress. Senate. Special | |
| committee to investigate farm | |
| labor conditions in the West | |
| United States..... | 217 |
| U. S. Dept. of agriculture..... | 16, |
| 54-55,130,137,219, | |
| 228,246,259,265-268 | |
| U. S. Dept. of agriculture (Bu- | |
| reaus, offices, etc.) | |
| Agricultural marketing admin- | |
| istration..... | 179 |
| Bureau of agricultural eco- | |
| nomics..... | 10, |
| 14,17-18,21,48-51a,89,92, | |
| 101,121-122,124,127(p.24), | |
| 140,148,163,179-180,214-215 | |
| Bureau of home economics..... | 265-268 |
| Extension service..... | 143, |
| 220,251,253,275 | |
| Farm security administration... | |
| 21,39,48,84,102,127,131-134, | |
| 149,161-162,218,220,288 | |
| aid to migrants..... | 105 |
| homesteads, workers on.... | 53 |
| labor camps.. | 108,130-132,134 |
| programs..... | 55 |
| U. S. Dept. of agriculture de- | |
| fense boards..... | 11 |
| U. S. Dept. of agriculture war | |
| boards..... | 13 |
| U. S. Employment service..... | 56, |
| 104,142,146-148,152 | |
| placement of farm laborers..... | 127(p.23) |
| Florida..... | 127(p.24) |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| U. S. Farm placement service.. | 154-155,168,172,220,222,228,246 |
| U. S. Federal security agency.. | 141 |
| U. S. Office of education.. | 228,246 |
| U. S. Selective service..... | 65,70 |
| regulations and policy affect- ing farm labor..... | 62 |
| U. S. War manpower commission. | 155,202,222 |
| U. S. War relocation authority. | 262 |
| U. S. Women's bureau..... | 277 |
| U. S. Work projects adminis- tration..... | 29,265-268 |
| Utah | |
| farm labor plan, Provo..... | 145 |
| wages..... | 282 |
| Vacation corps..... | 259 |
| Vallon, E. E..... | 248 |
| Vass, A. F..... | 57 |
| Vermont | |
| land army..... | 244 |
| volunteer land corps..... | 251 |
| youth as agricultural laborers. | 229,239,243 |
| Virginia | |
| labor camps..... | 102,135 |
| Negro labor..... | 25 |
| Virginia State horticultural society, opposition to labor unions..... | 74 |
| Vischer, Peter..... | 58 |
| Voitinskiĭ, V. S..... | 59 |
| Volunteer land corps..... | 229, 239-240,244-245,249-251 |
| Wage and hour law. <u>See</u> Fair Labor Standards Act. | |
| Wage boards, proposed..... | 288 |
| Wages and income..... | 52 |
| California..... | 56,176 |
| changes, effects on labor.... | 4 |
| dairy labor..... | 49 |
| Wisconsin..... | 51 |
| factor in determining parity prices of commodities.... | 286 |
| hired men, Iowa..... | 280 |
| legislation, foreign countries. | 127(p.24) |
| levels, beet workers, Scotts Bluff and Morrill Counties, Nebraska..... | 283 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Wages and income - Continued. | |
| Louisiana..... | 20 |
| methods of determining, citrus workers..... | 285 |
| Mexicans..... | 115 |
| New Jersey..... | 284 |
| Oklahoma..... | 31 |
| rates | |
| advisability of fixing.... | 12 |
| increase..... | 286 |
| regulation..... | 288-289 |
| sharecroppers..... | 279 |
| wartime..... | 278,281 |
| comparison..... | 278,281-282 |
| Wakeley, J. T..... | 179 |
| Wakeman, Margaret..... | 152 |
| Waldron, Webb..... | 221 |
| Walker, H. B..... | 96 |
| Walker, J. O..... | 218 |
| Wallace, F. S..... | 12 |
| War | |
| and agricultural labor..... | 4, 43,165,189,199-200,203,208 |
| efficient use..... | 3,36 |
| government program...161,222 | |
| Louisiana..... | 180 |
| North Carolina..... | 179 |
| problems, background..... | 48 |
| South Dakota..... | 42 |
| World War I..... | 41 |
| and labor policies..... | 12 |
| and mechanization of agri- culture..... | 95 |
| increase of farm accidents.. | 138 |
| Warren, E. L..... | 289 |
| Washburn, R. S..... | 97 |
| Washington, emergency workers.. | 257 |
| Watson, J. A. S..... | 223 |
| Webster, R. L..... | 218 |
| Western farm economics associ- ation..... | 47 |
| Western States, congressional hearings..... | 217 |
| Wetherill, F. E..... | 10 |
| Wickard, C. R..... | 60, 71,216,218,224-226 |
| Wilcox, R. H..... | 61 |
| Williams, R. C..... | 127(p.23) |
| Wisconsin | |
| agricultural labor, supply and demand..... | 6 |
| dairy labor..... | 51 |
| labor camps, World War I..... | 45 |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Wolf, O. O..... | 227 |
| Women as agricultural laborers... | |
| 119,149,257,269-277 | |
| California..... | 176 |
| training..... | 274 |
| Women's land army..... | 270,272 |
| Workers' Alliance..... | 107 |
| Woytinsky, W. S..... | 59 |
| Wyoming, agricultural labor prob- | |
| lems..... | 57 |
| Youth as agricultural laborers... | |
| 127(p.23),145,155, | |
| 176,228,235-236,243, | |
| 245,249-250,253,255,257-258,276 | |

| | <u>Item</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Youth as agricultural laborers - | |
| Continued. | |
| California..... | 232 |
| citrus industry, Florida... | 238 |
| Colorado..... | 152 |
| Minnesota, Big Stone | |
| County..... | 234 |
| New York State..... | 152,231 |
| training, University of | |
| Chicago..... | 230 |
| Vermont..... | 229,239,251 |
| Zigler, H. S..... | 135 |
| Zimand, G. F..... | 252 |
| Zink, F. J..... | 98 |

LIBRARY LISTS

- No. 1. Selected list of American agricultural books. June 1942.
- No. 2. Farm tenancy in the United States, 1940-1941; a selected list of references. May 1942.
- No. 3. Rationing; a selected list of references. July 1942.
- No. 3 - Supplement No. 1. Rationing; a list of references. February 1943.
- No. 4. Agricultural labor in the United States, July 1941-February 1943; a list of references. April 1943.

6/1/5