

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

A FOOD MANUFACTURING PERSPECTIVE ON FRAMEWORKS FOR SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING

Rebekka Küchler^{1,2} and Christian Herzig²

rebekka.kuechler@hs-flensburg.de

¹Institute of Energy and Biotechnology, University of Applied Sciences Flensburg, Kanzleistr. 91-93, 24943 Flensburg

²Department of Organic Agricultural Sciences, Kassel University, Steinstraße 19, 37213 Witzenhausen



Posterpräsentation anlässlich der 61. Jahrestagung der GEWISOLA (Gesellschaft für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften des Landbaues e.V.)

"Transformationsprozesse im Agrar- und Ernährungssystem: Herausforderungen für die Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften, 22. bis 24. September 2021

Copyright 2021 by authors. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

A FOOD MANUFACTURING PERSPECTIVE ON FRAMEWORKS FOR SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING

Abstract

Sustainability assessment of the food supply chain and its members is instrumental in achieving a sustainable food future. Despite being influential members of the food supply chain, no comparison of sustainability frameworks from the supply chain perspective of food manufacturers has been conducted so far. This research investigates the suitability of seven sustainability frameworks for food manufacturing companies by evaluating their connectivity to the up- and downstream food supply chain as well as their food sector specificity. The findings show that none of the frameworks fulfils both food sector specificity and full integration into the up- and downstream supply chain, calling for further research on more harmonized and integrated sustainability assessment throughout the food supply chain.

Keywords

Sustainability assessment; Food manufacturing; Food supply chain; Sustainable supply chain

1 Introduction

Food chains play a prominent role in transforming our world towards a sustainable future (ROCKSTRÖM et al., 2020), which is not exclusively driven by obvious environmental effects but also by socio-economic conditions (VERMEULEN et al., 2012). Consisting of agricultural producers (farmers), manufacturers, wholesalers, retailers, food service providers and consumers, the food supply chain embraces different actors and levels. Despite agriculture's dominating role in many environmental and socio-economic aspects, a sustainable supply chain can only be created by the collaboration of all actors (SEURING and MÜLLER, 2008). For example, the sustainability-driven selection of suppliers (farmers) by buyers (food manufacturers) can improve supply chain sustainability (CHAE et al., 2017). Not only responsibilities but also actions need to be defined and prioritised. Sustainability assessment and management tools are used as corresponding instruments (SALA et al., 2015). We, therefore, explore the suitability of seven frameworks for the food supply chain from a so far neglected perspective of a food manufacturer as well as the frameworks' connectivity towards the downand upstream supply chain.

2 Method

The exploration is based on a comparison of seven, mostly globally applied, sustainability frameworks. Only frameworks which take a multi-dimensional perspective on a food manufacturer's corporate sustainability are investigated. Tools applicable to only a part of the sector are not in the scope of this paper. Standards considered are Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) standards, Sustainability Code (SC), B Impact Assessment (BIA), ZNU Standard - Driving Sustainable Change (DSC), Sustainability Assessment of Food and Agriculture Systems (SAFA), Sustainability Monitoring and Assessment RouTine (SMART) – sustainability check, and Economy for the Common Good (ECG).

3 Findings & Discussion

The frameworks all have their own potential and can lead to thorough corporate engagement with sustainability. However, this comparison shows that where evaluation and communication are strong (s. table 2 and 3; ECG, BIA, GRI) or a management system is established (DSC),

concrete integration of the upstream supply chain and food-specific content is missing (s. Table 1). Where content is comprehensive and tailored to food sector needs (cp. table 1; SAFA, SMART) evaluation (cp. Table 2) and communication (cp. Table 3) is lacking; ultimately neglecting the downstream supply chain. Consequently, a holistic (VERMEULEN *et al.*, 2012), and harmonized (SCHADER *et al.*, 2014) framework, applicable to a food manufacturer catering the needs of the whole food supply chain, is still to be developed or rather created from the promising existing.

Table 1: Exemplary inclusion of food-sector relevant topics

	BIA	ECG	DSC	SAFA	SMART	GRI	SC
Soil and Land	(✓)	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-
Biodiversity	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	(✔)
Animal Welfare	-	(✓)	✓	✓	✓	-	(✔)
Indigenous rights	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	-
Product information & safety	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-

Table 2: Assessment and audit type of the investigated frameworks

		DSC	ECG	BIA	SAFA	SMART	GRI	SC
Self- Assessment	Without score						✓	✓
	With score		✓	√	√	✓		
Audit	External verification				√			✓
	Certification	TPC	SPC	SPC			TPC	

Table 3: Communication of investigated frameworks

	DSC	ECG	BIA	SAFA	SMART	GRI	SC
Report		✓	✓	✓ _{B2B}		✓	✓
Result		✓	✓	✓ _{B2B}			
Standard	✓						
Label (L), Signet (S)	S		L, S				S
Other	Register	Map	Register			Database	

Literature

CHAE, S., CHOI, T.Y. and HUR, D. (2017): Buyer Power and Supplier Relationship Commitment: A Cognitive Evaluation Theory Perspective. In: Journal of Supply Chain Management, 53 (2): 39–60.

ROCKSTRÖM, J., EDENHOFER, O., GAERTNER, J. and DECLERCK, F. (2020): Planet-proofing the global food system. In: Nature Food, 1 (1): 3–5.

SALA, S., FARIOLI, F. and ZAMAGNI, A. (2013): Progress in sustainability science: lessons learnt from current methodologies for sustainability assessment: Part 1. In: The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 18(9): 1653–1672.

SCHADER, C., GRENZ, J., MEIER, M.S. and STOLZE, M. (2014): Scope and precision of sustainability assessment approaches to food systems. In: Ecology and Society, 19 (3): 42.

SEURING, S. and MÜLLER, M. (2008): From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. In: Journal of Cleaner Production, 16 (15): 1699–1710.

VERMEULEN, S.J., CAMPBELL, B.M. and INGRAM, J.S. (2012): Climate Change and Food Systems. In: Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 37 (1): 195–222.