

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

# Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

#### University of Nebraska - Lincoln

#### DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Cornhusker Economics

**Agricultural Economics Department** 

September 2003

### Some Musings on Taxation

Bruce B. Johnson University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\_cornhusker



Part of the Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons

Johnson, Bruce B., "Some Musings on Taxation" (2003). Cornhusker Economics. 133. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\_cornhusker/133

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# **Cornhusker Economics**

## Cooperative Extension

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources
Department of Agricultural Economics
University of Nebraska – Lincoln

#### **Some Musings on Taxation**

Market Report			1110 1	LUJI
Slaughter Steers, Ch. 204, 1100-1300 lb Omaha, cwt	Market Report			9/5/03
Slaughter Steers, Ch. 204, 1100-1300 lb Omaha, cwt				
Omaha, cwt         \$63.26         \$80.94         \$86.51           Feeder Steers, Med. Frame, 600-650 lb         *         *         105.32           Feeder Steers, Med. Frame 600-650 lb, Nebraska Auction Wght. Avg         87.33         100.49         108.68           Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb         87.33         100.49         108.68           Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb         98.12         126.64         138.28           Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb         100.475         39.50           Sioux Falls, SD, cwt         24.00         40.75         39.50           Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb         14.50         *         *           Sioux Falls, SD, cwt         24.00         40.75         39.50           Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb         14.50         *         *           Sioux Falls, SD, cwt         82.67         104.77         99.41           Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb         82.67         104.77         99.41           Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb         68.50         *         89.75           Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb         FOB Midwest, cwt         161.62         180.40         180.20           Crops,         Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown         2.70         2.02				
Feeder Steers, Med. Frame, 600-650 lb		<b>#</b> 00.00	000.04	000 54
Dodge City, KS, cwt		\$63.26	\$80.94	\$86.51
Feeder Steers, Med. Frame 600-650 lb, Nebraska Auction Wght. Avg 87.33 100.49 108.68 Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt 98.12 126.64 138.28 Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt 24.00 40.75 39.50 Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb Sioux Falls, SD, hd 14.50 * * Vacuum Packed Pork Loins, Wholesale, 13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt 82.67 104.77 99.41 Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt 68.50 * 89.75 Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb FOB Midwest, cwt 161.62 180.40 180.20 Crops. Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Omaha, bu 4.84 3.56 3.50 Corn, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu 5.41 5.24 5.80 Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu 5.41 5.24 5.80 Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow Kansas City, cwt 5.05 3.88 4.29 Oats, No. 2, Heavy Minneapolis, MN, bu 2.00 1.56 1.60 Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices First Day of Week Pile Prices Platte Valley, ton 142.50 117.50 115.00 Alfalfa, Lg, Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton 92.50 61.25 58.75 Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		*	*	105 32
Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt 98.12 126.64 138.28 Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt 24.00 40.75 39.50 Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb Sioux Falls, SD, hd 14.50 * Vacuum Packed Pork Loins, Wholesale, 13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt 82.67 104.77 99.41 Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt 68.50 * 89.75 Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb FOB Midwest, cwt 161.62 180.40 180.20  Crops, Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Omaha, bu 4.84 3.56 3.50 Corn, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu 2.70 2.02 2.31 Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow Omaha, bu 5.41 5.24 5.80 Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow Kansas City, cwt 5.05 3.88 4.29 Oats, No. 2, Heavy Minneapolis, MN, bu 2.00 1.56 1.60  Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton 142.50 117.50 115.00 Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton 92.50 61.25 58.75 Prairie, Sm. Square, Good				
Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt		87.33	100.49	108.68
Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt		00.40	100.04	400.00
Sioux Falls, SD, cwt		98.12	120.04	138.28
Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb Sioux Falls, SD, hd		24.00	40.75	39.50
Vacuum Packed Pork Loins, Wholesale, 13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt				
13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt 82.67 104.77 99.41 Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt 68.50 * 89.75 Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb FOB Midwest, cwt 161.62 180.40 180.20 Crops, Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown  Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Omaha, bu 4.84 3.56 3.50 Corn, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu 2.70 2.02 2.31 Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow Omaha, bu 5.41 5.24 5.80 Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow Kansas City, cwt 5.05 3.88 4.29 Oats, No. 2, Heavy Minneapolis, MN , bu 2.00 1.56 1.60 Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices  Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton 142.50 117.50 115.00 Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton 92.50 61.25 58.75 Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		14.50	*	*
Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb Sioux Falls, SD, cwt		00.07	104 77	00.44
Sioux Falls, SD, cwt       68.50       * 89.75         Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb       161.62       180.40       180.20         Crops,       Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown         Wheat, No. 1, H.W.       0maha, bu       4.84       3.56       3.50         Corn, No. 2, Yellow       2.70       2.02       2.31         Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow       5.41       5.24       5.80         Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       Kansas City, cwt       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       Minneapolis, MN, bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay,       First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good       Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		82.67	104.77	99.41
Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb       161.62       180.40       180.20         Crops,       Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown         Wheat, No. 1, H.W.       0maha, bu       4.84       3.56       3.50         Corn, No. 2, Yellow       0maha, bu       2.70       2.02       2.31         Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow       5.41       5.24       5.80         Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       Minneapolis, MN, bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay,       First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good       Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		68.50	*	89.75
Crops,           Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown           Wheat, No. 1, H.W.         4.84         3.56         3.50           Corn, No. 2, Yellow         2.70         2.02         2.31           Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow         5.41         5.24         5.80           Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow         Kansas City, cwt         5.05         3.88         4.29           Oats, No. 2, Heavy         Minneapolis, MN, bu         2.00         1.56         1.60           Hay,         First Day of Week Pile Prices           Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better         Platte Valley, ton         142.50         117.50         115.00           Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good         Northeast Nebraska, ton         92.50         61.25         58.75           Prairie, Sm. Square, Good				
Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown           Wheat, No. 1, H.W.         0maha, bu         4.84         3.56         3.50           Corn, No. 2, Yellow         2.70         2.02         2.31           Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow         3.41         5.24         5.80           Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow         4.84         5.24         5.80           Grain Sorghum, No. 1, Yellow         5.05         3.88         4.29           Oats, No. 2, Heavy         5.05         3.88         4.29           Oats, No. 2, Heavy         Minneapolis, MN, bu         2.00         1.56         1.60           Hay,         First Day of Week Pile Prices           Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better         Platte Valley, ton         142.50         117.50         115.00           Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good         Northeast Nebraska, ton         92.50         61.25         58.75           Prairie, Sm. Square, Good	FOB Midwest, cwt	161.62	180.40	180.20
Omaha, bu       4.84       3.56       3.50         Corn, No. 2, Yellow       2.70       2.02       2.31         Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow       5.41       5.24       5.80         Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       Minneapolis, MN , bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good	Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown			
Corn, No. 2, Yellow       2.70       2.02       2.31         Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow       5.41       5.24       5.80         Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       5.05       3.88       4.29         Minneapolis, MN, bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay,         First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better       Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good       Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		4.84	3.56	3.50
Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow Omaha, bu				
Omaha, bu       5.41       5.24       5.80         Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		2.70	2.02	2.31
Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay,       First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good       Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		5 41	5 24	5.80
Kansas City, cwt       5.05       3.88       4.29         Oats, No. 2, Heavy Minneapolis, MN , bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		0.41	0.24	0.00
Minneapolis, MN , bu       2.00       1.56       1.60         Hay,         First Day of Week Pile Prices         Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better         Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good         Northeast Nebraska, ton       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		5.05	3.88	4.29
Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices  Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton	•	2.00	4.50	4.00
First Day of Week Pile Prices  Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton	Minneapolis, MN , bu	2.00	1.50	1.60
First Day of Week Pile Prices  Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton				
Platte Valley, ton       142.50       117.50       115.00         Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good       92.50       61.25       58.75         Prairie, Sm. Square, Good       92.50       61.25       58.75				
Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton	Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better			
Northeast Nebraska, ton	•	142.50	117.50	115.00
Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		92 50	61 25	58 75
		02.00	01.20	00.70
	•	120.00	*	*

I may be wrong, but it seems to me we are witnessing a profound shift in American politics and that only seems to be accelerating these days. The shift is in regard to government fiscal policy - particularly the revenue/taxation side. From the federal level down through the state levels to local levels, we see a "fiscal crisis" of varying degrees of severity. There is a lot of political rhetoric. Yet neither political party seems to be engaging in any serious debate (probably because they are too busy finger-pointing and passing the buck). The leaning down of government and "cutting out the fat" has been the focus for so long that little is left to cut without cutting into the basic quality and quantity of governmental services delivered. As a result, we are experiencing deterioration of public services at every level of government - all under the political guise of "fiscal responsibility".

Granted, taxation has never been received with enthusiasm by those who are taxed. But where there is fairness and equity, taxation has historically been seen as the necessary cost of sound government. Taxes are payment for the goods and services which representative government provides its citizens.

Now however, it seems that much of the general public perception has moved taxation into the status of evil something to be avoided at all costs. Regardless of what the reason may be, any tax increase is considered by the electorate as something negative. So political candidates aspiring to an elected position try to do everything possible to distance themselves from even the mere possibility of enacting tax increases under their watch. The Fall 2003 California governor recall election is only the latest episode in such posturing, propelled by emotionalism over taxation that is whipped to a religious fervor. Unfortunately, virtually every other state government, including Nebraska, and thousands of local governments are in a similar crisis mode, with the fiscal policy process at an impasse.



\* No market



I believe it's time to think "outside the box" regarding tax policy, since the "box" is basically empty. In doing so, I would suggest we muse about some different paths that we as individuals, businesses, government and society could take. I pose these as a list of *what ifs* relating to both the public attitude and the public policy regarding taxation. Consider these not so much as ready answers to our government fiscal dilemmas as they are a starting point for constructive public thought and debate.

**What If:** You and I saw the taxes we pay as our appropriate duty to pay for the goods of society we enjoy? Or better yet, what if we saw them as our *privilege* as citizens of a free and democratic society?

What If: The overwhelming share of citizens <u>and</u> our elected officials were genuinely engaged in understanding the fiscal aspects of government beyond the "how am I affected?" question, and would actively enter into meaningful public debate regarding the fiscal realities and options?

**What If:** Private corporations saw the paying of their *fair* share of taxes at the local, state and federal level as part of being good corporate citizens?

What If: Nebraska and other states rallied together to say "enough" to the "no-win" big corporate tax concession game, refusing to succumb to corporate threats of relocation or choosing one state over another on the basis of their tax forgiveness packages? As it stands now, states find themselves facing what economists call the "prisoners dilemma", in which negotiation pits one prisoner (or in this case, state) against another. Of course, the simple solution to this dilemma is for states to mutually agree beforehand to not be bullied in to major tax concessions.

What If: Tax policy reemphasized the fundamental tax cannons of ability to pay and benefits received? Ability to pay would be reflected n making both federal and state income tax appropriately progressive instead of marginalizing progressiveness (currently Nebraska's individual income tax rate is basically the same for thousands of Nebraska tax payers as it is for the state's richest citizen, Warren Buffet). Benefits received would tie taxation much closer to the goods and services rendered by the unit of government - for example, as already being done by a fuel tax on gasoline for the road infrastructure used by drivers. A key change here would be to shift much more of the tax burden for public education to the income tax and away from property tax; since income generated more closely parallels the benefits received from public education that does ownership of real estate.

**What If:** The passing on of duties and responsibilities to lower units of government (federal to state, state to local) be done <u>only</u> with the accompanying fiscal resources needed to carry out those transferred mandates?

What If: Tax policy would include appropriate taxation of

business and consumer practices that impose obvious spillover costs on society (environmental, health, etc.). In other words, shift some of the tax burden to societal "bads" and reduce the taxation of societal "goods" (income earnings, savings, etc.) accordingly. "Sin taxes" on alcohol and tobacco have been around for a long time; but there are many other areas where taxes could appropriately reflect spillover costs which the greater society must now bear. In fact, this could be a win-win situation in that the tax also discourages actions with undesirable societal spillovers.

What If: Significant broadening of the current sales tax base, particularly into the expanding service sector of our economy would be done such that a modest tax would parallel more closely the individual consumer's discretionary spending patterns?

What If: Public officials could be elected to policymaking positions on the basis of their wisdom and openness to creative/innovative fiscal policy rather than on "no new taxes" or "reduce taxes" sound bites? Better yet, what if fiscal conservation included appropriate tax reform and redistribution as well as striving for more efficient and responsive government?

What If: Fiscal policy at all levels of government took on a longer-term perspective than the time span associated with re-election by self-serving political aspirations of elected officials? In other words, what if citizens and their representatives at all levels of government would dare to consider the longer term societal needs and interests and, in the context of that vision, make choices that will truly better our society for generations to come?

What If: \_\_\_\_\_? (you fill in the blank).

What If: We could experience just one or two of these changes from the list above, since it may be unlikely that we could reach broad consensus to all or even a majority of them? Wouldn't even just a few make a positive difference to fiscal policy?

A useless exercise you say? Perhaps so, but given the situations we face at virtually all levels of our democratic society, we do need to do something - and quickly. The current platitudes of simply "cut taxes" and "reduce government" have run their useful course. Now it's time for all of us to deal with the bigger fiscal issues in a responsible and comprehensive way. The time is now for fundamental tax reform.

Bruce Johnson, (402) 472-1794 Professor, Dept. of Agricultural Economics

# **Cornhusker Economics**

## Cooperative Extension

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources
Department of Agricultural Economics
University of Nebraska – Lincoln

#### **Some Musings on Taxation**

	50	IIIC IV	Lush
Market Report	Yr Ago	4 Wks Ago	9/5/03
Livestock and Products,			
Average Prices for Week Ending			
Slaughter Steers, Ch. 204, 1100-1300 lb Omaha, cwt	\$63.26	\$80.94	\$86.51
Dodge City, KS, cwt	*	*	105.32
Nebraska Auction Wght. Avg	87.33	100.49	108.68
Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb	98.12	126.64	138.28
Sioux Falls, SD, cwt	24.00	40.75	39.50
Sioux Falls, SD, hd	14.50	*	*
13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb	82.67	104.77	99.41
Sioux Falls, SD, cwt	68.50	*	89.75
FOB Midwest, cwt	161.62	180.40	180.20
<u>Crops,</u> <u>Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown</u>			
Wheat, No. 1, H.W. Omaha, bu	4.84	3.56	3.50
Corn, No. 2, Yellow Omaha, bu	2.70	2.02	2.31
Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow Omaha, bu Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow	5.41	5.24	5.80
Kansas City, cwt	5.05	3.88	4.29
Minneapolis, MN , bu	2.00	1.56	1.60
<u>Hay,</u> First Day of Week Pile Prices			
Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better Platte Valley, ton	142.50	117.50	115.00
Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good  Northeast Nebraska, ton	92.50	61.25	58.75
Prairie, Sm. Square, Good Northeast Nebraska, ton	120.00	*	*
* No market			

I may be wrong, but it seems to me we are witnessing a profound shift in American politics and that only seems to be accelerating these days. The shift is in regard to government fiscal policy - particularly the revenue/taxation side. From the federal level down through the state levels to local levels, we see a "fiscal crisis" of varying degrees of severity. There is a lot of political rhetoric. Yet neither political party seems to be engaging in any serious debate (probably because they are too busy finger-pointing and passing the buck). The leaning down of government and "cutting out the fat" has been the focus for so long that little is left to cut without cutting into the basic quality and quantity of governmental services delivered. As a result, we are experiencing deterioration of public services at every level of government - all under the political guise of "fiscal responsibility".

Granted, taxation has never been received with enthusiasm by those who are taxed. But where there is fairness and equity, taxation has historically been seen as the necessary cost of sound government. Taxes are payment for the goods and services which representative government provides its citizens.

Now however, it seems that much of the general public perception has moved taxation into the status of evil something to be avoided at all costs. Regardless of what the reason may be, any tax increase is considered by the electorate as something negative. So political candidates aspiring to an elected position try to do everything possible to distance themselves from even the mere possibility of enacting tax increases under their watch. The Fall 2003 California governor recall election is only the latest episode in such posturing, propelled by emotionalism over taxation that is whipped to a religious fervor. Unfortunately, virtually every other state government, including Nebraska, and thousands of local governments are in a similar crisis mode, with the fiscal policy process at an impasse.

\* No market



I believe it's time to think "outside the box" regarding tax policy, since the "box" is basically empty. In doing so, I would suggest we muse about some different paths that we as individuals, businesses, government and society could take. I pose these as a list of *what ifs* relating to both the public attitude and the public policy regarding taxation. Consider these not so much as ready answers to our government fiscal dilemmas as they are a starting point for constructive public thought and debate.

**What If:** You and I saw the taxes we pay as our appropriate duty to pay for the goods of society we enjoy? Or better yet, what if we saw them as our *privilege* as citizens of a free and democratic society?

What If: The overwhelming share of citizens <u>and</u> our elected officials were genuinely engaged in understanding the fiscal aspects of government beyond the "how am I affected?" question, and would actively enter into meaningful public debate regarding the fiscal realities and options?

**What If:** Private corporations saw the paying of their *fair* share of taxes at the local, state and federal level as part of being good corporate citizens?

What If: Nebraska and other states rallied together to say "enough" to the "no-win" big corporate tax concession game, refusing to succumb to corporate threats of relocation or choosing one state over another on the basis of their tax forgiveness packages? As it stands now, states find themselves facing what economists call the "prisoners dilemma", in which negotiation pits one prisoner (or in this case, state) against another. Of course, the simple solution to this dilemma is for states to mutually agree beforehand to not be bullied in to major tax concessions.

What If: Tax policy reemphasized the fundamental tax cannons of ability to pay and benefits received? Ability to pay would be reflected n making both federal and state income tax appropriately progressive instead of marginalizing progressiveness (currently Nebraska's individual income tax rate is basically the same for thousands of Nebraska tax payers as it is for the state's richest citizen, Warren Buffet). Benefits received would tie taxation much closer to the goods and services rendered by the unit of government - for example, as already being done by a fuel tax on gasoline for the road infrastructure used by drivers. A key change here would be to shift much more of the tax burden for public education to the income tax and away from property tax; since income generated more closely parallels the benefits received from public education that does ownership of real estate.

**What If:** The passing on of duties and responsibilities to lower units of government (federal to state, state to local) be done <u>only</u> with the accompanying fiscal resources needed to carry out those transferred mandates?

What If: Tax policy would include appropriate taxation of

business and consumer practices that impose obvious spillover costs on society (environmental, health, etc.). In other words, shift some of the tax burden to societal "bads" and reduce the taxation of societal "goods" (income earnings, savings, etc.) accordingly. "Sin taxes" on alcohol and tobacco have been around for a long time; but there are many other areas where taxes could appropriately reflect spillover costs which the greater society must now bear. In fact, this could be a win-win situation in that the tax also discourages actions with undesirable societal spillovers.

What If: Significant broadening of the current sales tax base, particularly into the expanding service sector of our economy would be done such that a modest tax would parallel more closely the individual consumer's discretionary spending patterns?

What If: Public officials could be elected to policymaking positions on the basis of their wisdom and openness to creative/innovative fiscal policy rather than on "no new taxes" or "reduce taxes" sound bites? Better yet, what if fiscal conservation included appropriate tax reform and redistribution as well as striving for more efficient and responsive government?

What If: Fiscal policy at all levels of government took on a longer-term perspective than the time span associated with re-election by self-serving political aspirations of elected officials? In other words, what if citizens and their representatives at all levels of government would dare to consider the longer term societal needs and interests and, in the context of that vision, make choices that will truly better our society for generations to come?

What If: \_\_\_\_\_? (you fill in the blank).

What If: We could experience just one or two of these changes from the list above, since it may be unlikely that we could reach broad consensus to all or even a majority of them? Wouldn't even just a few make a positive difference to fiscal policy?

A useless exercise you say? Perhaps so, but given the situations we face at virtually all levels of our democratic society, we do need to do something - and quickly. The current platitudes of simply "cut taxes" and "reduce government" have run their useful course. Now it's time for all of us to deal with the bigger fiscal issues in a responsible and comprehensive way. The time is now for fundamental tax reform.

Bruce Johnson, (402) 472-1794 Professor, Dept. of Agricultural Economics