

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Cornhusker Economics

Agricultural Economics Department

5-14-1997

Production and Financial Standards for the Pork Industry

Allen Prosch University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Larry Bitney University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker Part of the <u>Agricultural Economics Commons</u>

Prosch, Allen and Bitney, Larry, "Production and Financial Standards for the Pork Industry" (1997). *Cornhusker Economics*. 824. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker/824

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska -Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska -Lincoln.

May 14, 1997

Cornhusker

Cooperative Extension

Economics

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources Department of Agricultural Economics University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Production and Financial Standards for the Pork Industry

	Yr	4 Wks	= 10 10 F
Market Report	Ago	Ago	5/9/97
Livestock and Products,			
Average Prices for Week Ending			
Omaha cwt	\$50.81	\$ 66 88	\$ 68 63
Feeder Steers, Med. Frame. 600-650 lb	ψ.99.01	φ 00.00	φ 00.05
Dodge City, KS, cwt	58.82	78.56	80.26
Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb	89.85	96 47	101 56
Hogs. US 1-2, 220-230 lb	09.05	Э 0. т /	101.50
Omaha, cwt	56.60	55.43	58.95
Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb			
Omaha, hd.	*	*	*
Fresh Pork Loins, Wholesale, 14-18 lb	110.00	115 70	125 60
Slaughter Lambs Ch & Pr 115-125 lb	119.00	115.70	123.00
Sioux Falls, SD, cwt	90.88	97.75	89.63
Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb			-
FOB Midwest, cwt.	173.00	183.00	183.00
<u>Crops,</u> <u>Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown</u> Wheat, No. 1, H.W.			
Omaha, bu	6.85	4.64	4.45
Omaha, bu	4.83	2.78	2.76
Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow	0.5-		
Chicago, bu Grain Sorghum No. 2 Yellow	8.05	8.33	8.92
Kansas City, cwt	8.15	4.80	4.73
Oats, No. 2, Heavy	*	*	*
Omana, bu	*	ф.	Ŷ
Hay, First Day of Week Pile Prices Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better			
Platte Valley, ton	*	*	*
Northeast Nebraska, ton	*	72 50	77.50
Prairie, Sm. Square, Good		12.50	//.50
Northeast Nebraska, ton	62.50	72.50	72.50
* No market.			

The 1996 summary for the Nebraska Swine Enterprise

and Analysis Program shows that there is a lot of difference between average, the high 1/3 and the low 1/3 of producers when it comes to a profit. Producers in the average group showed a 27% rate of return on capital, while those in the top 1/3 showed a return of 49%. Total cost per cwt. for an average producer on the records program was \$50.71 while the producers in the high profit 1/3 produced that pork for \$44.96 per cwt.

Record summaries from other states show similar results. A comparison of the "average" producer in Iowa and Missouri to the same states' "top 1/3" producers shows a cost difference up to \$4.91 per cwt. (\$12.27 on a 250# hog) and \$4.00 (\$10.00 on a 250# hog).

However, a comparison of the total production cost of average producers between states shows a difference of only \$2.22 per cwt. (\$5.55 per 250# hog). The comparison of top producers, those in the high profit 1/3 in each state, shows even less difference, \$0.93 per cwt. (\$2.33 per 250# hog). The difference in total production cost between top producers in Iowa, Nebraska and Missouri was less in 1996 than the difference between top producers and average producers within each state. Producers within the profit groups apparently have more in common than producers within states.

While comparisons like this are interesting, they may mislead the reader, due to differences in methodologies between the record systems. For example, most record keeping programs eliminate the variation caused by differences in the price of corn. Both the Nebraska and the Missouri data in these examples adjust grain prices, to eliminate this source of variation in production cost.



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, COOPERATING WITH THE COUNTIES AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

In addition, not all record systems use the same formulas to reach their results. An example will help illustrate this point. Three ways to calculate pigs per sow per year are shown below. The first, Example 1, uses the whole female population kept for breeding on the farm. The second, Example 2, excludes the unmated parity females (gilts) and the bred but never farrowed gilts (mated parity zero females). The last, Example 3, uses the mated females regardless of parity (includes the bred gilts). The results are the following pigs per sow per year.

Example 1	18.9 Pigs/sow/year
Example 2	23.1 Pigs/sow/year
Example 3	20.1 Pigs/sow/year

Which is correct? Well, up to now they all are. That is changing.

The National Pork Producers Council, in cooperation with University and Industry staff have been working to create financial and production standards for the pork industry. These efforts have recently resulted in the publication of such standards.

Beginning with terminology and proceeding to formulas, the new standards will help the members of the pork industry speak the same language. Whether it is the definition of a breeding female (an unmated or mated female kept for breeding purposes), or the balance sheet (accounting report showing the financial position of a business at a given moment in time), there is precise terminology. Producers, when they use these standards, will be able to report production and financial data that will describe and compare their operations to any others within the industry.

Financial terminology and reporting methods based on the recommendations of the Farm Financial Standards Council will put pork producers on par and in many cases ahead of comparable industries. In addition to these standards, a National Pork Producers Council task force prepared a chart of accounts for pork producers.

The chart of accounts was created to simplify linking production and financial information and to utilize database technology. Built around the producers' management needs, the chart of accounts provides adequate credit information as well as production information. The consistent data elements for revenues and expenses allows for comparisons. Managers can compare themselves to similar operations.

Similarly lenders can analyze the performance of an operation and make informed credit decisions when consistent information is supplied. This will help individual producers be assured of adequate financing for sound operations.

The new Production and Financial Standards for the Pork Industry offer a blueprint for consistent, quality information in the future. They will increase the value of data collected, and could result in accurate and comparable records that allow larger numbers of operations to be compared.

> Allen Prosch, (402) 472-0079 Pork Central Coordinator

Larry Bitney, (402) 472-2047 Extension Farm Management Specialist



University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension educational programs abide with the non-discrimination policies of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.

