



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

**COMMUNICATING THE BENEFITS OF AGROBIODIVERSITY ENHANCING
PRODUCTS- INSIGHTS FROM A DISCRETE CHOICE EXPERIMENT**

Josephine Lauterbach, Antje Risius, Christina Bantle

josephine.lauterbach@hnee.de

Hochschule für nachhaltige Entwicklung Eberswalde, Schickler Straße 5,
16225 Eberswalde



2020

*Posterpräsentation anlässlich der 60. Jahrestagung der GEWISOLA
(Gesellschaft für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften des Landbaues e.V.)*

*„Herausforderungen für die ländliche Entwicklung – Wirtschafts- und
sozialwissenschaftliche Perspektiven“*

Halle (Saale), 23. bis 25. September 2020

COMMUNICATING THE BENEFITS OF AGROBIODIVERSITY ENHANCING PRODUCTS- INSIGHTS FROM A DISCRETE CHOICE EXPERIMENT

Josephine Lauterbach¹, Antje Risius, Christina Bantle

Summary

Agrobiodiversity is declining at a threatening rate. *On-farm conservation* is one prominent strategy to safeguard heirloom varieties as a crucial component of agrobiodiversity. However, cultivating those varieties is currently not attractive for farmers. Hence, a value added strategy has to be established. In this paper, we examine how the added value of heirloom varieties can be communicated to consumers by testing different communication approaches in a discrete choice experiment. Results suggest that consumers are more interested in different aspects of diversity than whether a variety is ‘old’ or not. Hence, in communicating the benefits of heirloom varieties the fact that they strengthen diversity should be a key element.

Keywords

Agrobiodiversity, heirloom varieties, marketing, WTP, discrete choice experiment

1 Introduction

Agrobiodiversity is one crucial aspect for functioning ecosystems and food supply (Ficiciyan et al. 2018; Ebert 2014). An important component of agrobiodiversity are endangered varieties such as heirloom varieties. A prominent strategy to safeguard heirloom varieties is their cultivation and preservation in their natural environment (*on-farm conservation*). Cultivating heirloom varieties often requires an additional effort from farmers as these varieties have a lower yield and higher labour costs. Hence, an additional demand and added value have to be created for farmers. In this regard, a consumer-orientated communication approach is a critical issue (Padel et al. 2018). According to preliminary qualitative studies (focus group discussions and expert interviews), three different approaches to communicate the added value of those varieties seemed recommendable. The communication approaches can be expressed via different label designs:

The label “old variety” sets a focus on the age of a variety, the label “red list variety” on its endangerment status. The label “promoter of diversity” focuses less on the variety itself, but on more diverse agricultural systems and hence different varieties’ (potential) contribution to a more diverse diet for consumers.

In this paper, we address the following questions: (1) Which communication approach for agrobiodiversity enhancing products such as heirloom varieties do consumers prefer? (2) Do consumers have an additional willingness to pay for those labelled vegetable varieties?

2 Empirical Methods

We conducted an online survey with 708 participants in Germany in 2019. The questionnaire consisted of five parts, one of which was a discrete choice experiment.

A discrete choice experiment is a method to analyse consumer preferences towards a certain product based on their preference towards specific attributes of that product (Hensher et al. 2015). It is based on Lancaster’s “A New Approach To Consumer Theory” stating that the

¹ Hochschule für nachhaltige Entwicklung Eberswalde, Schickler Straße 5, 16225 Eberswalde; josephine.lauterbach@hnee.de

utility of a product is derived from the sum of the utilities of the product attributes (Lancaster 1966) and Mc Fadden’s Random Utility Theory stating that consumers seek to maximise their utility when buying a certain product (McFadden 1974).

In our discrete choice experiment, we looked at different product attributes of carrots. We chose the following attributes and attribute levels:

- price per kilogram (0.69 €, 1.29 €, 1.89 €, 2.59 €) based on market prices in different food outlets in 2019 (discounter, supermarkets, organic supermarkets and market stalls) and was set to increase by 60 ct. for each attribute level;
- packaging (plastic packaging, paper packaging, no packaging) based on current packaging in different food outlets;
- label representing the different communication approaches outlined in the introduction including a “no label” as status quo option.

We used a pivot design created with Ngene with 12 choice situations with three different choice cards and an additional “no choice” option. The design showed some restrictions: we used the lowest price of 0,69 € and “no label” exclusively in the status quo option which was included in every choice situation. The different labels and all packaging options were tested with the higher prices starting from 1,29 € to 2,59 €, varying in each choice situation.

The analysis of the choice experiment was conducted with STATA 16.

3 Results

The data set of n= 708 participants is representative for the German population in terms of gender, age and personal net income. There is a slight over-representation of academics.

We performed a mixed logit model with the (hypothetical) purchase as the dependant variable and the price, package and label as independent variables. We used dummy codings for the different attribute levels. The price was used as a fixed coefficient and the latter two as random coefficients (normally distributed). The attribute levels “plastic packaging” and “no label” were excluded from the model due to collinearity.

Table 1: Results from the Mixed Logit Model (depicting the results for the labels) ²

purchase	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Mean						
old	0.532615	0.191926	2.78	0.006	0.156446	0.908783
red	0.866368	0.17955	4.83	0	0.514457	1.218279
var	2.877901	0.23443	12.28	0	2.418427	3.337376

Comparing the three coefficients it is shown that the option “promoter of diversity” (var) yields the highest utility for consumers. According to Mc Fadden’s Random Utility Theory, consumers are most likely to buy varieties with this claim, followed by the claims “red list variety” (red) and “old variety” (old).

Secondly, we estimated the willingness to pay as the quotient of the attribute parameter estimates over the cost parameter estimates (Hensher et al. 2015).

Table 2: Willingness to Pay for different labels

	old	red	var
WTP	0.3952	0.6429	2.1356

² model specification: pseudo R²=0.30329522; Log likelihood = -5096.1086; significant standard deviations

The WTP for the different labels varies drastically. The label “promoter of diversity” revealed the highest WTP with 2.14 €. Those numbers should not be interpreted as actual market prices as we investigated a hypothetical purchase and other effects (e.g. substitution effects) were not included.

4 Recommendations

Results show that the label “promoter of diversity” yields the highest utility for consumers and derives the highest WTP among the labelled options. Therefore, when communicating the benefits of heirloom varieties to consumers, the aspect of promoting diversity should be in focus rather than the age of the variety or its endangerment status. The data suggests that agrobiodiversity enhancing products can generate premium prices at the point of sale when the communication approach focuses on the diversity aspect. By this generated added value, cultivating these varieties can be more attractive for farmers.

Acknowledgment

This research was funded by the Federal Scheme for Organic Farming and other Forms of Sustainable Agriculture (BÖLN) in Germany.

References

- Ebert, Andreas (2014): Potential of Underutilized Traditional Vegetables and Legume Crops to Contribute to Food and Nutritional Security, Income and More Sustainable Production Systems. In *Sustainability* 6 (1), pp. 319–335. DOI: 10.3390/su6010319.
- Ficiciyan, Anoush; Loos, Jacqueline; Sievers-Glotzbach, Stefanie; Tschardtke, Teja (2018): More than Yield: Ecosystem Services of Traditional versus Modern Crop Varieties Revisited. In *Sustainability* 10 (8), p. 2834. DOI: 10.3390/su10082834.
- Hensher, David A.; Rose, John M.; Greene, William H. (2015): Applied choice analysis. Second edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lancaster, Kelvin J. (1966): A New Approach to Consumer Theory. In *Journal of Political Economy* 74 (2), pp. 132–157. DOI: 10.1086/259131.
- McFadden, Daniel (1974): Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behaviour. In P. Zarembka (Ed.): *Frontiers in Econometrics*. New York: Academic Press, pp. 105–142.
- Padel, Susanne; Rossi, Adanella; D’Amico, Simona; Sellars, Anna; Oehen, Bernadette (2018): DIVERSIFOOD-Embedding crop diversity and networking for local high-quality food systems. Case studies of the marketing of products from newly bred lines and underutilized crops. Edited by Diversifood (Deliverable, 5.1).