



AgEcon SEARCH

RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND PRODUCTION OF TILAPIA IN MONOCULTURE

P. Chowdhury^{1*}, M.Z. Hossain², N.A. Raushon³ and M.S. Rahman⁴

Received 30 July 2018, Revised 26 November 2018, Accepted 26 December 2018, Published online 31 December 2018

Abstract

The experiment was conducted to determine the effects of different amounts of organic fertilizers on growth and production of Tilapia (monosex GIFT tilapia) in monoculture system for a period of 120 days. The experiment was carried out in six earthen ponds, which were situated at the south-east corner of the Fisheries Faculty Building under the Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. The experiment was designed with three treatments and each of them consisted of two replications. Fish population density was 120 fish per decimal for all the treatments. Ponds were treated with organic fertilizers (cow dung) at the rate of 2 kg, 4 kg and 6 kg per decimal were supplied fortnightly for treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III, respectively. The ranges of water temperature, transparency, dissolved oxygen, total alkalinity, free CO₂, phosphate-phosphorus and nitrate-nitrogen found were 15.82 to 24.49 °C, 17.00 to 32.00 cm, 7.00 to 10.30 mg L⁻¹, pH 7.20 to 7.90, 140.00 to 192.00 mg L⁻¹, 2.00 to 6.00 mg L⁻¹, 1.40 to 1.95 mg L⁻¹ and 3.30 to 3.73 mg L⁻¹, respectively. All the physical and chemical parameters except temperature were within the productive range and more or less similar among all the ponds under three treatments. 18 genera of phytoplankton under five major groups and 9 genera of zooplankton under three major groups were identified in the experimental ponds. Average survival rate of fish under treatment-I, treatment-II, and treatment-III were 94.50%, 94.00% and 95.00%, respectively. The calculated net fish production under treatment-I was 3.554 ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and that under treatment-II was 3.648 ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and under treatment-III was 2.919 ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The net fish productions under treatment-II and treatment-III were 102.64% and 82.13% comparing with treatment on which was taken for 100%. According to cost-benefit analysis, the ratios of net profit under treatments I, II, and III were 1:0.81, 1:0.54, and 1:0.04. According to specific growth rate, treatment-II was the best and survival rate of treatment-III was the best, and according to cost-benefit analysis, treatment-I (ratio 1:0.81) was the best. So, organic fertilizer at the rate of 2 kg per decimal (treatment-I) was considered the best among three treatments in this experiment.

Keywords: Tilapia, Fertilizer, Production, Water Quality

¹Scientific officer, Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute, Headquarters, Mymensingh-2201, Bangladesh

²Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

³Scientific officer, Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute, Freshwater Station, Mymensingh-2201, Bangladesh

⁴Professor, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author's email: nitoldhk@gmail.com (P. Chowdhury)

Cite this article as: Chowdhury, P., Hossain, M.Z., Raushon, N.A. and Rahman, M.S. (2018). Effects of different amounts of organic fertilizers on growth and production of tilapia in monoculture. *Int. J. Agril. Res. Innov. Tech.* 18(2), 24-31.

Introduction

Bangladesh is situated in the tropical region of the world and the climatic condition of Bangladesh is very much suitable for fisheries. Fisheries sector plays a significant role in socio-economic development, employment generation, poverty alleviation, nutrition supply and earning of foreign exchange. Fish contribute a large amount of animal protein to the diets of people in Bangladesh, about 63% of which comes from aquatic animals (DoF, 2011). Inland waters may be classified as inland open water and inland

closed water resources. Inland waters include haors, baors, beels, rivers, canals and ponds. Bangladesh also has extensive marine water resources. However, at present the situation has changed due to environmental degradation, pollution, extensive fishing pressure on natural water bodies and use of banned fishing gear. For this reason to meet up the growing demand of aquaculture has the greatest potential in Bangladesh.

Tilapia is an integral component of subsistence fisheries for thousands of years but has gained popularity in recent years. Tilapia is a better performing species in the pond aquaculture system of Bangladesh. Tilapia culture in Bangladesh has become popular because of its high tolerance to adverse environmental conditions, relatively fast growth rate and availability of fry. Tilapia can tolerate dissolved oxygen concentration of 1 mg L⁻¹ and can survive using atmospheric oxygen when DO concentration dropped to less than 1 mg L⁻¹. Tilapia is the common name, which is used to describe three genera of fish in the family Cichlidae: *Oreochromis*, *Sarotherodon*, and *Tilapia*, which are ubiquitous in many countries of the world. Tilapia culture in tropical and subtropical countries is practiced at either extensive or semi intensive levels. The semi-intensive culture of tilapia is particularly ideal in developing countries because it provides a wide variety of options in management and capital investments. Management strategies in the lower levels of intensification involve the use of fertilizer to enhance natural productivity and to improve the levels of dissolved oxygen. Fish yields from such techniques have been found to be higher than those from unfertilized systems. Therefore, to increase production of fish in pond fertilization is a prime need. Organic fertilizers have a long tradition in tropical semi-intensive aquaculture. The manuring of fish pond is considered an important scientific discipline for increasing fish production. When added to ponds, they may ultimately increase fish yields through soluble or particulate pathways. Release of soluble nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) stimulates algal production, which in turn can be consumed by fish directly or after intermediate processing by zooplankton or microbes (detritus formation). In waters with low alkalinities, manure decomposition may also provide algae with an important source of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) through decomposition and release of carbon dioxide. One of the common approaches for increasing fish production in ponds is the direct application of fertilizer, which enhances the production of phytoplankton, a natural food item for fishes. Pond fertilization practices using animal wastes are widely used in many countries to sustain productivity at low cost (Gupta and Noble, 2001). A year old traditional aquaculture practice, administration of cow dung on fresh water fish culture ponds has brought out several admirable results from the past till today. Fertilizing the ponds with cow dung is so far the most useful technique to provide basic nutrients to enhance the natural productivity through production either of aquatic biota, which serve directly or indirectly as the food of fishes. Production of cultivated fish can be increased by introducing organic and inorganic fertilizers of

different origin in fish ponds to increase the primary productivity. Live feeds increase the survival rate and quality of larvae and fingerlings. So using low cost manures is the most effective way to increase live feed production in ponds and thereby raising fry and fingerlings. The organic fertilizers mainly increase the quantity of primary producers. Animal manures have a long history of use as a source of soluble phosphorus, nitrogen and carbon for algal growth and natural food production (Knud-Hansen, 1998) hence resulting higher fish yield. Cow manure treatment in major carps nursery ponds yield 50-60 percent more fish than untreated ponds (Saha *et al.*, 1980). Cattle manure applied to fish pond at the daily rate of 3-4% of fish, fish yielded 20-30 kg⁻¹ ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. Manure also improve the quality of bottom soil of pond in respect of organic carbon, colloidal structure etc. Large quantity of organic fertilizers reduces seepage from sandy bottom pond. New pond needed higher amount of manure and old pond need less amount. In contrast to many advantages, organic fertilizers when applied in a high dose create several problems, such as a) reduction of dissolve oxygen for high bacterial decomposition of manure added, b) increase free CO₂ due to high organic decomposition, c) increase the concentration of ammonia, d) may reduce sunlight penetration into water through producing phytoplankton bloom, and e) organic fertilizers may introduce pathogens or parasites with them which may cause fish disease. (Rahman, 1992). For this reason, the aim of this experiment is to find out the proper and suitable dose of cow dung to be added in the pond, which won't cause water quality degradation and provide proper plankton growth and thus increase the production of fish.

Materials and Methods

Experimental duration and location

The duration of the experiment was 4 months from November 2014 to February 2015 in six ponds. The ponds were situated at the southeast corner of the Faculty of Fisheries Buildings, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.

Experimental ponds

Six earthen ponds were used for the experiment. The area of each pond was of about 40 m² (1 decimal). All the experimental ponds were arbitrarily numbered as pond no. 1 (P1), pond no. 2 (P2), pond no. 3 (P3), pond no. 4 (P4), pond no. 5 (P5) and pond no. 6 (P6) for the convenience of the research work. Ponds 1 and 4 were under treatment no. I, ponds 2 and 3 were under treatment no. II and ponds 5 and 6 were under treatment no. III.

Experimental Design

The experimental layout has been given in the Table 1 below:

Table 1. The layout of the experiment.

Treatments	Replications	Pond no.	Fish species	Fish Population Density	Fertilization
T-I	2 (2 ponds)	P ₁ , P ₆	Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)	120 fish per decimal	2 kg per decimal per 2 weeks
T-II	-Do-	P ₃ , P ₄	do	do	4 kg per decimal per 2 weeks
T-III	-Do-	P ₂ , P ₅	do	do	6 kg per decimal per 2 weeks

Pond preparation

Pond drying, dyke repairing and liming

Before starting the experiment, the ponds were dried, aquatic higher vegetations and unwanted aquatic animals were removed manually. Pond dykes were repaired and renovated. Liming (CaO) was done in all the ponds at rate of 1 kg decimal⁻¹ before 7 days of fertilization.

Water supply

Ponds were supplied with water after 7 days of liming from a deep tube-well water supply system; rainfall was also a source of water supply to the ponds.

Fertilization of the ponds

Before 10 days of releasing of fish fry fertilization of all the ponds were done with partially decomposed cow dung. During the experiment, fertilization of ponds was done fortnightly. During fertilization, partially decomposed cowdung was formed into small balls and thrown into the pond.

Stocking of fish

Fingerlings of monosex GIFT tilapia (*O. niloticus*) were stocked in all the ponds. The initial average weight of *O. niloticus* was 9.46 g and initial average length of *O. niloticus* was 6.18 cm.

Study of water quality parameters

Different types of water quality parameters were estimated and recorded fortnightly throughout the experimental period.

Methods for study of physical parameters

Water depth (m)

Depth of water of all the experimental ponds was measured with the help of a graduated wooden depth meter.

Transparency (cm)

Water transparency of the experimental ponds was measured by a Secchi-disk.

Temperature (°C)

Data of air and water temperature (°C) were collected from 'weather yard' of the Department of Irrigation and Water Management of the Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.

Methods for study of chemical parameters

Dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹)

Dissolved oxygen of water was measured with the help of a portable digital dissolved oxygen (DO) meter (Milwaukee, SM600 Smart DO Meter).

pH (Hydrogen-ion concentration)

pH was determined by a portable digital pH meter (Hanna Instruments).

Free carbon dioxide (mg L⁻¹)

To determine free carbon dioxide of water, samples were collected in 250 ml black plastic bottles and titrated with 0.0227N sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as indicator.

Total alkalinity (mg L⁻¹)

For measuring total alkalinity, samples were collected in 250 ml black plastic bottles and total alkalinity of water samples was determined by titrimetric method using methyl orange indicator.

Phosphate-phosphorus (PO₄-P)

A digital Phosphate Meter was used to measure Phosphate-phosphorus (PO₄-P) of water samples of the ponds (model HI 93717, Hanna Instruments).

Nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N)

Nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N) was measured with the help of a digital Nitrate Meter (model HI 93728, Hanna Instruments).

Methods for study of biological parameters

Collection and preservation of plankton sample

Water samples were randomly collected in a 500 ml bottle for quantitative and qualitative analysis of phytoplankton and zooplankton of water from different locations of each of the ponds and passed through a plankton net (mesh-size 55µ) and finally concentrated to 100 ml. Then concentrated samples were preserved in small plastic bottles in 5% formalin for further study under a compound microscope.

Counting of plankton

For counting both phytoplankton and zooplankton Sedgwick-Rafter Counting Cell (S-R cell) was used.

Calculation of plankton

The plankton population was determined by Sedgwick Rafter Counting Cell (S-R Cell) using the following formula (Rahman, 1992):

$$N = \frac{A \times 1000 \times C}{V \times F \times L}$$

Where,

N = No. of plankton cells per liter of original water

A = Total no. of plankton counted

C = Volume of final concentrate of the sample in ml

V = Volume of a field = 1 mm³

F = No. of the fields counted

L = Volume of original water in liter

The number of phytoplankton and zooplankton were expressed as cells L⁻¹

Harvesting of fish

At the end of the experiment the water of the ponds were pumped out and all the fish were harvested.

Table 2. Physico-chemical parameters (Mean±SD, n=3) of the ponds during the experimental period.

Parameters	Treatment-I	Treatment-II	Treatment-III
Average water depth (m)	0.92±0.01	0.88±0.01	0.95±0.02
Water temperature (°C)	19.35±3.13	19.35±3.13	19.35±3.13
Air temperature (°C)	21.14±3.23	21.15±3.23	28.69±0.81
Transparency (cm)	29.43±1.37	25.06±2.30	20.68±2.82
Dissolved oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)	8.80±0.52	8.43±0.51	8.06±0.49
Free CO ₂	2.97±0.40	3.50±1.07	4.27±0.36
pH	7.51±0.11	7.58±0.08	7.52±0.10
PO ₄ -P (mg L ⁻¹)	1.69±0.07	1.81±0.02	1.62±0.11
NO ₃ -N (mg L ⁻¹)	3.53±0.09	3.52±0.18	3.58±0.11
Total Alkalinity (mg L ⁻¹)	165.43±12.61	168.37±5.34	163.87±4.57

Biological parameters

The biological parameters such as phytoplankton density (cells L⁻¹) and zooplankton density (cells L⁻¹), generic status of phytoplankton and zooplankton, and growth and production of fish have been shown in Tables 3 to 4.

Estimation of survival rate, growth and production of fish

(i) The survival rate was estimated by the following formula

$$\text{Survival rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of harvested fishes}}{\text{Initial no. of fishes}} \times 100$$

(ii) Specific growth rate (SGR %) was estimated by the following formula:

$$\text{SGR (\% per day)} = \frac{\log_e W_2 - \log_e W_1}{T_2 - T_1} \times 100$$

Where,

W₁= Initial live body weight (g) at time T₁

W₂= Final live body weight (g) at time T₂

(iii) Calculation of gross fish production (ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)=

$$\frac{\text{Gross weight (kg) of fish per decimal per month} \times 250 \times 12}{1000}$$

(iv) Calculation of net fish production (ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)=

$$\frac{\text{Net weight (kg) of fish per decimal per month} \times 250 \times 12}{1000}$$

Statistical analysis

T-test of net fish production of the ponds under three treatments was done by a computer using SPSS package programme.

Results

Physico-chemical parameters

The results of the different physico-chemical parameters of the experimental ponds have been presented in the Table 2.

Phytoplankton (cells L⁻¹)

During the experimental period, 18 genera of phytoplankton belonging to 5 different groups of Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Dinophyceae, and Euglenophyceae were found in the experimental ponds (Table 3). The average density of phytoplankton of the ponds under

treatment-I was $87.62 \pm 4.32 (\times 10^3)$ cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-II was $88.06 \pm 3.74 (\times 10^3)$ cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-III was $91.43 \pm 4.13 (\times 10^3)$ cells L^{-1} .

Zooplankton (cells L^{-1})

During the experimental period a total of 9 genera of zooplankton belonging to 3 group of

Crustacea (Cladocera and Copepoda) and Rotifera were found in the experimental ponds (Table 3). The average density of zooplankton of the ponds under treatment-I was $10.37 \pm 1.27 (\times 10^3)$ 44 cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-II was $10.37 \pm 0.58 (\times 10^3)$ cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-III was $10.50 \pm 1.10 (\times 10^3)$ cells L^{-1} .

Table 3. Generic status of phytoplankton and zooplankton found in the culture pond.

Phytoplankton				
Chlorophyceae	Cyanophyceae	Bacillariophyceae	Dinophyceae	Euglenophyceae
<i>Actinastrum</i>	<i>Anabaena</i>	<i>Asterionella</i>	<i>Ceratium</i>	<i>Euglena</i>
<i>Chlorella</i>	<i>Gomphospaeria</i>	<i>Cyclotella</i>		<i>Phacus</i>
<i>Pediastrum</i>	<i>Microsystis</i>	<i>Diatoma</i>		
<i>Scenedesmus</i>	<i>Oscillatoria</i>	<i>Fragilaria</i>		
<i>Ulothrix</i>		<i>Navicula</i>		
		<i>Tabellaria</i>		
Zooplankton				
Crustacea			Rotifera	
Cladocera		Copepoda		
<i>Daphnia</i>		<i>Cyclops</i>	<i>Brachionus</i>	
<i>Diaphanosoma</i>			<i>Filinia</i>	
<i>Nauplius</i> (Crustacean Larvae)			<i>Keratella</i>	
<i>Moina</i>			<i>Diaptomus</i>	

Survival rate, growth and production of fish

Survival rate

The survival rates in treatment-I was 94.50% and in treatment-II was 94.00% and in treatment-III was 95.00%. The survival rate in treatment-III is slightly higher than those in treatment-I and treatment-II (Table 5).

Specific growth rate (% per day)

In treatment-I SGR value recorded was 0.962% per day and in treatment-II SGR value recorded was 0.980% per day and in treatment-III SGR

value recorded was 0.850% per day. SGR value in treatment-II was higher than those in treatment-I and III (Table 5).

Production of fish

The calculated gross production of fish of the ponds under treatments I, II, and III were 5.328, 5.422, and 4.216 ton $ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$, respectively (Table 4). The net productions of fish of the ponds under treatments I, II, and III were 3.554, 3.648, and 2.919 ton $ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$, respectively (Table 4). The gross and net productions of treatment-II were higher than those of other two treatments.

Table 4. Gross and net production of fish of the ponds under treatments I, II, and III.

Treatment	Production				*Percent increase of net production
	Kg decimal ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹		Ton ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹		
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
T-I	21.318	14.220	5.328	3.554	100.00%
T-II	21.693	14.595	5.422	3.648	102.64%
T-III	18.777	11.679	4.216	2.919	82.13%

*Percent increase of net productions of treatment-II and treatment-III, over treatment-I which has been taken for 100%.

Table 5. Total survival rate, growth and production (gross and net) of fishes under treatments I, II, and III.

Treatments	Total survival rate (%)	Final total weight (kg decimal $^{-1}$ 3.67 months $^{-1}$)	Initial total weight (kg decimal $^{-1}$)	Specific growth rate (SGR % per day)	Production (kg decimal $^{-1} yr^{-1}$)	
					Gross	Net
T-I	94.50	7.106	2.366	0.962	21.318	14.220
T-II	94.00	7.231	2.366	0.980	21.693	14.592
T-III	95.00	6.259	2.366	0.850	18.777	11.679

Discussion

The results of the study on various water quality parameters, the effects of organic fertilizers on growth and production of tilapia in monoculture system and cost-return relationship have been discussed below.

Water quality parameters

Suitable water quality parameters are prerequisite for sufficient production of fish food organisms on which fish production is dependent.

Physical parameters

The results of the different physical parameters during the experimental period were within the acceptable limits for fish culture and these have been discussed below.

Water depth (m)

Rahman (1992) quoted that pond should not be shallower than 1 m and deeper than 5 m and optimum depth should be 2 m. The mean values of water depth under treatments-I, II and III were 0.921 ± 0.01 m, 0.88 ± 0.01 m and 0.90 ± 0.01 m, respectively.

Transparency (cm)

The mean values of water transparency of the ponds under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 29.43 ± 1.37 cm, 25.06 ± 2.30 cm and 20.68 ± 2.82 cm, respectively. Rahman (1992) stated that the transparency of productive water bodies should be 40 cm or less (turbidity resulting from plankton).

Water temperature (°C)

In the current experiment, the water temperature fluctuated from 15.82 to 24.49°C. Ali (1998) stated that water temperature of ponds remain 20.20 to 36.50°C which was favorable to fish culture.

Air temperature

The range of air temperature was 31.57 to 11.54°C. Kadir *et al.* (2007) described that the water temperature is always less than the surrounding air temperature and varied with 3 °C.

Chemical parameters

During the experimental period, all the chemical parameters were found within the acceptable range for fish culture, which has been discussed below.

Dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹)

The mean values of dissolved oxygen content recorded in the present experiment under

treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 8.80 ± 0.52 , 8.43 ± 0.51 , and 8.06 ± 0.49 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Ellis *et al.* (1946) reported that the dissolved oxygen content at levels of 3 ppm or less should be regarded as hazardous to lethal and that of 5 ppm or more is suitable for fish production.

Free carbon dioxide (mg L⁻¹)

The mean values of free CO₂ content recorded in the current experiment under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 2.97 ± 0.40 , 3.50 ± 1.07 , and 4.27 ± 0.36 mg L⁻¹, respectively. According to Lagler (1992), free CO₂ more than 20 mg L⁻¹ may be harmful to fishes and even lower concentrations may be equally harmful when dissolved oxygen content is less than 3 mg L⁻¹.

pH (hydrogen ion concentration)

The mean values of pH recorded in the current experiment under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 7.51 ± 0.11 , 7.58 ± 0.08 , and 7.52 ± 0.10 , respectively. Swingle (1967) stated that pH 6.5 to 9.0 is suitable for pond fish culture.

Total alkalinity (mg L⁻¹)

The mean values of total alkalinity in the current experiment under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 165.43 ± 12.61 , 168.37 ± 5.34 , and 163.87 ± 4.57 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Boyd (1990) stated that total alkalinity of productive ponds should be 20 ppm or more and fish production increases with the increase of total alkalinity

Phosphate-phosphorus (PO₄-P) (mg L⁻¹)

The mean values of PO₄-P in the current experiment under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 1.69 ± 0.07 , 1.81 ± 0.02 , and 1.62 ± 0.11 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Wahab *et al.* (1995) found the concentration of phosphate-phosphorus to vary from 0.09 to 5.20 mg L⁻¹ in nine experimental ponds of Bangladesh Agricultural University Campus, Mymensingh.

Nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N) (mg L⁻¹)

The mean values of NO₃-N in the present experiment under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 3.53 ± 0.09 , 3.52 ± 0.18 , and 3.58 ± 0.11 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Das (2002) reported the range of nitrate-nitrogen values from 1.60 to 3.22 mg L⁻¹, which is more or less close to the values obtained in the present experiment.

Biological parameters

Phytoplankton

The average density of phytoplankton of the ponds under treatment-I was 87.62 ± 4.32 ($\times 10^3$) cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-II was 88.06 ± 3.74 ($\times 10^3$) cells L^{-1} and that of the ponds under treatment-III was 91.43 ± 4.01 ($\times 10^3$) cells L^{-1} .

Zooplankton

During the present experiment the mean values of zooplankton in the experimental ponds under treatment-I, treatment-II and treatment-III were 10.37 ± 1.27 ($\times 10^3$), 10.37 ± 0.58 ($\times 10^3$) and 10.50 ± 1.10 ($\times 10^3$) cells L^{-1} , respectively. Mazid (2009), Chowdhury (2005), Kabir (2003) and Rashid (1999) observed more or less similar results in different experimental ponds.

Survival rate, growth and production of fish

Survival rate (%)

The survival rates in treatment-I was 94.50%, in treatment-II was 94.00% and in treatment-III was 95.00%. Kohinoor (2000) reported the survival rates of 86.00% to 94.00% in the monoculture of Thai sarapunti.

Specific growth rate (SGR% per day)

The specific growth rate in treatment-I, II and III were 0.962%, 0.980% and 0.850%, respectively. Ridha (2006) reported the SGR value of fish was 1.10 and 0.87% per day, which is more or less similar to the present study.

Production of fish

In the present experiment, calculated gross and net productions of tilapia of the ponds under treatment-I (2 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal) were $5.328 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $3.554 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and those of the ponds under treatment-II (4 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal) were $5.422 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $3.648 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and those of the ponds under treatment-III (6 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal) were $4.216 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $2.919 \text{ ton ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively. The highest production of fish was found from treatment-II (4 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal) because of medium application of organic fertilizers. Diana *et al.* (1994) demonstrated that organic fertilizers result in higher primary production and consequently higher carps and catfish yields, apparently due to increased production of both autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms. In the present experiment, higher amounts of organic fertilizers result in lower production due to probably water quality deterioration slightly.

From the above discussion, it is apparent that treatment-I (2 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal) is the best in respect of cost-benefit analysis (ratio 1:0.81) among the three treatments. Therefore, it might be recommended that 2 kg cow dung per 2 weeks per decimal is the best for monoculture of tilapia.

References

- Ali, M.H. 1998. The potential of periphyton-based monoculture of a major carp, *Calibaush Labeo calbasu* (Hamilton). M.S. Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p.32.
- Boyd, C.E. 1990. Water Quality in Ponds for Aquaculture, Birmingham Publishing Company, Birmingham, Alabama, United States of America. 477 p.
- Chowdhury, M.M.R. 2005. Use of duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as supplementary feed in monoculture of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). M.S. Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p. 48.
- Das, B.C. 2002. Studies on the effects of stocking density on growth, survival and yield of *Amblypharyngodon mola* and performance of *Rohde cotio* in combination with *Barbodes gonionotus* and with *Cyprinus carpio* in rice fields. M.S. Thesis, Department of Aquaculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p.12.
- Diana, J.S., Lin, C.K. and Jaiyen, K. 1994. Supplemental feeding of tilapia in fertilized ponds. *J. World Aqua. Soc.* 25: 497-506.
- DoF. 2011. Fisheries Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh (July 2010 - June 2011), Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Bangladesh. p. 11.
- Ellis, M.M., Westfall, B.A. and Ellis, M.D. 1946. Determination of water quality, Fish and Wild life service, United States Department of Interior Resource, Report 9. 122p.
- Gupta, M.V. and Noble, F. 2001. Integrated chicken-fish farming. pp. 49-53. In: M Halwart, J Gonsalves and M Prein (Editors), Integrated agriculture-aquaculture: A primer, FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 407, FAO, Rome.
- Kabir, A.N.M.A. 2003. Use of duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as feed for fishes in polyculture. M.S. Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p. 34.
- Kadir, A., Wahab, M.A., Milstein, A., Hossain, M.A. and Seraji, M.T.I. 2007. Effects of silver carp and the small indigenous fish *mola*, *Amblypharyngodon mola* and *punti*,

- Puntius sophore* on fish polyculture production. *Aqua*. 273: 520-531.
- Knud-Hansen, C.F. 1998. Pond Fertilization: Ecological Approach and Practical Application. Pond Dynamics/Aquaculture Collaborative Research Support program. Oregon State University. pp. 15-27.
- Kohinoor, A.H.M. 2000. Development of culture technology of three small indigenous fish mola (*Amblypharyngodon mola*), punti (*Puntius sophore*) and chela (*Chela cachius*) with notes on some aspects of their biology. PhD Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p. 22.
- Lagler, K.F. 1992. Freshwater Fisheries Biology, W.M.C. Brown Company Publisher, Dubuque, IOWA. 421 p.
- Mazid, M.A. 2009. Impacts of fish population density on the growth and production of carp in polyculture system. M.S. Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p. 65.
- Rahman, A.K.A. 1992. Tilapia in Bangladesh. pp. 27-29. In: EA Balayut (Editor) Paper Contributed to the Workshop on Tilapia in Capture and Culture enhance Fisheries in Indo-Pacific Fishery Commission Countries.
- Rahman, M.S. 1992. Water Quality Management in Aquaculture. BRAC Prokashana, Dhaka. 84p.
- Rashid, M.M. 1999. Effects of periphyton on monoculture of *Labeo gonius*. M.S. Thesis, Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. p. 45.
- Ridha, T.M. 2006. Comparative study of growth performance of three strains of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) at two stocking densities. *Aqua*. 37: 172-179.
- Saha, K.C.S., Gupta, J. and Bhattacharya, A.N. 1980. Limiting factors controlling the production of Indian major carps fry in nursery ponds. Seminar on production of quality fish seed for fish culture. International Council of Agricultural Research. pp. 1-2.
- Swingle, H.S. 1967. Standardizations of chemical analyses for waters and pond muds. FAO Fisheries Report. pp. 397-421.
- Wahab, M.A., Ahmed, Z.F., Islam, M.A. and Rahmatullah, S.M. 1995. Effect of introduction of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* (L), on the pond ecology and growth of fish in polyculture. *Aqua. Res.* 26: 619-628.