

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

### Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.

# SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF Parthenium hysterophorus L. IN EAST SHEWA AND WEST ARSI ZONES OF ETHIOPIA

### Niguse Hundessa<sup>1</sup> and Kifle Belachew<sup>2\*</sup>

Received 19 July 2016, Revised 21 November 2016, Accepted 27 December 2016, Published online 31 December 2016

#### **Abstract**

Parthenium hysterophorus L. is non-native invasive plant species belongs to the family Asteraceae. Currently it is a notorious weed in Ethiopia. Socio-economic impacts as well as the local people's perception towards P. hysterophorus are poorly understood. Thus, the aim of this study was to generate information for a better understanding of the means of dispersal, source introduction and socio-economic impacts, of P. hysterophorus in East shewa and West arsi Zones of the Oromia Regional State of Ethiopia. The study revealed that parthenium was introduced mainly by following vehicles road and railways. This weed grows throughout the year. This results in its fast dispersal. Many mechanisms were assumed by respondents for fast distribution of *P. hysterophorus* in the study zones. Among these vehicles and wind are the major agents, which proliferate the seeds of the weed. Based on the study it grows in roadsides, grazing land, cropland, village sides and wastelands. Most of respondents also indicated that the weed first appeared on roadside and propagated to other habitats. This finding indicated that the weed high in disturbed habitats. Control methods, which are mostly practiced by local people, were tillage and hand weeding. However, these methods were not an efficient to control the weed distribution; rather it is expanding from time to time since its invasions. P. hysterophorus has a number of socioeconomic impacts that include effect on crop and livestock production, human health, soil fertility and biodiversity. This finding showed that it competes and suppress the growth of crops due its allelopathic nature. Seeds of this weed changes the normal flavor of food when mix with it. It also colonized grazing fields, thus causing animal food scarcity, animals' health defect. This finding also reported that *P. hysterophorus* has health hazards on human being, which include allergic, skin itching (irritation), cough and hemorrhage. Generally, P. hysterophorus is a foremost troublesome weed and subterfuge threat on agriculture. biodiversity and on human health. Therefore, proper and targeted control methods should be employed to control the further spread and reduce the adverse impacts.

Keywords: Parthenium hysterophorus, Socio-Economic Impact, Health Impact, Allelopatic Effect

### Introduction

Parthenium hysterophorus belongs to the family Asteraceae, an extremely diverse family with a cosmopolitan distribution. It has been further classified under the tribe Heliantheae and subtribe Ambrosiinae. It is described as an annual, procumbent, diffused leafy herb with a height of 0.5 - 1.50 m, reaching a maximum of 2 m in good soils (Parsons and Cuthbertson, 1992).

*P. hysterophorus* was regarded to be introduced accidentally through aid shipments or from Somalia during Ethio-Somali war in 1976/77 (Besufekad *et al.*, 2005; Taye, 2002). Its invasion expanded at alarming rate in all directions mainly following slope gradient and colonizing substantial areas in Ethiopia (Belachew and Tessema, 2015; Niguse *et al.*, 2016). Even though,

there are different factors that favor fast distribution of the weed, flooding and movement of vehicles are the major factors. This radiation occurs particularly in the direction of low slope and waterways (Adane, 2008).

*P. hysterophorus* clearly poses a major threat to rangelands, croplands and cause health problems of animals and human despite its management was suggested with different scholars (Kifle *et al.*, 2011). Overall impact on crop production system is multifaceted, both direct and indirect by affecting grazing land, animal health, milk and meat quality, and marketing of pasture seeds and grain. The main impact of parthenium on crops relates to its allelopathic properties. The chemicals significantly inhibit the germination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ethiopia Institute of Agricultural Research, Wondogenet Agricultural Research center, P.O.Box 198, Shashemane, Ethiopia <sup>2</sup>Ethiopia Institute of Agricultural Research, Jimma Agricultural Research Center, P.O.Box 192, Jimma, Ethiopia

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's email: kiflekef@gmail.com (Kifle Belachew)

and subsequent growth of a range of crop plants (Navie et al., 1996; Evans, 1997). Jayachandra (1971) stated that the parthenium can be a serious problem in grasslands and can reduce the pasture carrying capacity by up to 90%. It is also known to cause human health problems like asthma, bronchitis, dermatitis, and hay fever (Kololgi et al., 1997; Srirama Rao et al., 1991). Evans (1997) and Towers and Subba Rao (1992) also reported that close contact with P. hysterophorus could cause allergic contact dermatitis while inhalation of pollen can cause allergic rhinitis, which can develop into bronchitis or asthma in susceptible humans. Even though parthenium is causing severe damage, on crop production, animal husbandry, and biodiversity in Ethiopia, there is no adequate information is available with regard to impact of P. hysterophorus in East Shewa and West Arsi. Thus this study initiated with the objectives of to assess community perception on means and source of introduction, dispersal, habitats infested and practices to control P. hysterophorus in the study area and to assess the socio-economic impacts of P. hysterophorus in study area.

### Materials and Methods

To congregate information about socio-economic impacts as well as the local people's perception towards the parthenium in the study area, data were collected through semi-structured questionnaires. The questionnaires were provided to farmers, development agents (DAs), experts in the different levels of Minster of agriculture who were involved in addressing the impact of parthenium.

Depending on the severity of the invasion and distribution of parthenium in the Zones, respondents in the districts were stratified into highly invaded, medium invaded and non-infested areas based on preliminary survey and group discussion conducted with the zonal agricultural experts to investigate the economic impacts of parthenium under varying infestation.

This approach allowed comparison of results from infested areas with areas not yet infested. The latter areas served as a 'control' and without control communities, it would be difficult to determine the effect of the invasive species on the target group (Wittenberg, 2004). A total of 183 respondents among households, DA's and experts were selected using systematic sampling technique.

### General characteristics of respondents

The respondents have different occupation and source of income. Of all respondents, 56% are farmers, 27% pastoralist, 14% development agent and 3% are experts. The age of the overall sample, respondents ranged from 20 to 70. Age is important to estimate year at which parthenium introduced and to compare the problems and impacts before and after introduction.

Of all the total respondents, 77% were able to read and write and they attended formal education from elementary to university. About 56% of all the respondents had attended elementary education, 16% of all the sample farmers had attended second cycle elementary education, 6% of the overall sample respondents had completed high school education and 16% had received diploma and above. Education is crucial to understand the newly emerging problems and their impacts. This is in line with Senayit et al. (2004) which state that education is one of the most important factors that affect the dissemination and adoption of new technologies. Several studies indicate that farmers who attended some level of formal schooling are more likely to adopt new technologies. The fact that a large proportion of the sample farmers in this study are able to read and write, provides a good opportunity to design appropriate technology dissemination and utilization strategies. Both men and women were interviewed with women accounting for 24% of the total sample size.

Table 1. Occupation and education level of respondents in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, ONRGS.

Occupation	Frequency	Percent	Education level	Frequency	Percent
Farmer	103	56	Illiterate	42	23
Pastoralist	49	27	Elementary	102	56
DAs	26	14	High school	10	5
Expert	5	3	10+3 and above	29	16
Total	183	100	Total	183	100

### Techniques of data analysis

The questionnaires were coded and the data entered in Computer for analysis. The socioeconomic data were analysed by using SPSS Version 16.0 software.

### **Results and Discussion**

Respondents' perception on P. hysterophorus means and source of introduction, dispersal, habitats infested and practices to control

Most of respondents in the study area knew the impacts, source of introduction and means of dispersal of parthenium. In high infestation category, all respondents became aware about the weed. However, despite their awareness the rate of infestation increasing from time to time, this may be because of improper action was not done by different stakeholders. In addition, the communities were not mobilized to take action on the weed.

### Respondents' perception on means and source of introduction

This finding also indicated that 88%, 63% and 43% of respondents in high, medium and non-infestation category were perceived that parthenium was introduced by following vehicles road and rail ways. It also introduced by wind, animal, water and human as indicated in table (Table 2). According to many authors *P. hysterophorus* was introduced to certain area by different means.

Table 2. Respondent perception on means of introduction of parthenium into East Shewa and West Arsi zones, Ethiopia.

Means of introduction	High infestation N=60		Medium infestation N=60		No infestation N=40		Overall sample	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Vehicles	53	88	38	63	17	43	108	68
Wind	33	55	27	45	17	43	77	48
Water (flood)	26	43	12	20	10	25	48	30
Animal	31	52	14	23	9	23	54	38
Human	14	23	2	3	1	3	17	11

N =sample size of each category, n =Frequency

According to respondents in all categories, source of introduction of *P. hysterophorus* was foreign country (57%) and Dire-dawa (23%). Whereas, 16% of respondents believed that it came from nearby districts through different means (Table 3).

Respondents' perception on means and source of introduction is in line with the study of other scholars' speculation. Tamado *et al.* (2002) reported that in Ethiopia, it is believed to have

been introduced in 1976/77 with army vehicles from Somalia and has become a serious weed both in arable and grazing lands. GISP (2004) also reported that parthenium weed was first seen in 1980s near food-aid distribution centers in Ethiopia. According to Taye et al. (2004) the presence of *Puccinia abrupta* on parthenium weed in Ethiopia might also explain indirectly the introduction of parthenium weed from neighbouring countries.

Table 3. Respondents' perception on source of introduction of *P. hysterophorus* in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, Ethiopia.

Source of introduction	High infestation N=60		Medium infestation N=60		No infestation N=40		Total N= 160	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Foreign	43	72	31	52	17	43	91	57
Dire-Dawa	22	37	14	23	1	3	37	23
Near Districts	5	8	14	23	7	18	26	16
I do not know	1	2	5	8	16	40	22	14

*N= sample size of each category n= Frequency* 

# Respondents' perception on dispersal of P. hysterophorus

According to 95% of respondents in high infestation category and 85% in medium infestation, *P. hysterophorus* grows through out of the year. Fifty-five of respondents in non-infestation category also believed that the weed grows all time in the year. This results in its fast dispersal. All respondents in high infestation

category and 83% in medium infestation perceived that *P. hysterophorus* is highly invasive.

Many mechanisms were assumed by respondents for fast distribution of *P. hysterophorus* in the study zones. These dispersal mechanisms include vehicles (65%), wind (55%), flood (46%), livestock (45%), seeds (41%) and construction materials (28%). Among these vehicles and wind are the major agents, which proliferate *P.* 

hysterophorus seeds (Table 4). These mechanisms recognized by respondents agree with studies of other workers. Adane (2008) stated that flooding and vehicle took the lead for fast rate of distribution in to different Kebeles and districts. Auld *et al.* (1983) also stated that

local dispersal of *P. hysterophorus* seeds occur locally by wind and water, while motor vehicles, machinery and livestock movements, crop and pasture seeds contribute for long distance dispersal.

Table 4. Respondents perception on agents facilitate dispersal of *P. hysterophorus* in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, Ethiopia.

Agents facilitating dispersal of Parthenium	High infestation N=60		Medium infestation N=60		No infestation N=40		Total N= 160	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Vehicles	54	90	39	65	11	28	104	65
Wind	38	63	39	65	11	28	88	55
Livestock Leg	36	60	32	53	4	10	72	45
Water	24	40	42	70	8	20	74	46
Seeds	30	50	26	43	9	23	65	41
Construction materials	21	35	23	38	0	0	44	28

N= sample size of each category, n= Frequency

### Respondents' perception on habitats infested

According to the respondents in high infestation category roadside (98%), pastoral (97%), village (95%), crop land (92%) and waste land (67%) were the highly infested habitats, while respondents in no infestation category observed parthenium on roadside (25%), pastoral (25%), village (24%), crop land (25%) and wasteland (20%) in nearby districts (Table 5). Most of respondents also indicated that the weed first

appeared on roadside and propagated to other habitats. This finding indicated that the weed was very high in disturbed habitat. This is in line with Taye (2002) who stated that parthenium weed population was high in places where the soils are disturbed constantly for purposes of construction of road, buildings, and waterways for irrigation channels. Therefore, the extensive density along roadsides might be due to the routine disturbance and grading of road verges and transportation of sands and gravels from parthenium weed infested to non-infested areas.

Table 5. The infested habitats with *P. hysterophorus* in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones.

Infested habitats	High infestation N= 60		Medium infestation N= 60			No infestation N= 40		Total N = 160	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Road side	59	98	57	95	10	25	126	79	
Pastoral	58	97	28	47	10	25	96	60	
Village	57	95	57	95	9	24	123	77	
Crop land	55	92	50	83	10	25	115	72	
Waste land	40	67	35	58	8	20	83	52	

## Respondents' practices to control P. hysterophorus

Control methods, which are mostly practiced by local people, were tillage and hand weeding (63%). Chemicals (45%) also used to reduce the impact of parthenium from their field crop. However, these methods were not an efficient to control parthenium weed distribution; rather it is expanding from time to time since its invasions. Taye (2002) reporting on current management practices stated that the control of Parthenium is entirely based on cultural and labour intensive practices such as tillage, hand weeding, mowing, hoeing and slashing. Small-scale farmers prepare their land using repeated oxen ploughings and/or

hoeing. Because of Parthenium extended tap-root system deep into the soil, mature plants of Parthenium are difficult to uproot. Hence, ploughing aimed at the control of Parthenium should be done at its early period of growth.

### Impacts of P. hysterophorus

The respondents interviewed and suggested that *P. hysterophorus* has a number of socioeconomic impacts that include effect on crop and livestock production, human health, soil fertility and biodiversity.

#### Impact of parthenium on crop production

Of the interviewed respondents, 93% in high infestation category and 87% in medium

infestation were aware of the impact of the weed on crop. They reported that after introduction of this noxious weed, yield of crops reduced (74%). These finding also indicated that seeds *P. hysterophorus* change the normal flavor of food (69%) when mix with it, suppress the growth of crops (69%), and cause loss of soil fertility (42%). This finding showed that the *P. hysterophorus* 

compete and suppress the growth due its allelopathic nature. The chemical also reduces the fertility of soil. This in line with Navie *et al.* (1996) and Evans (1997) who reported that the main impact of parthenium on crops relates to its allelopathic properties. The chemicals significantly inhibit the germination and subsequent growth of a range of crop plants.

Table 6. Respondents' perception on effects of *P. hysterophorus* on crop production in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, Ethiopia.

Effect on crop production	High infestation N= 60		Medium infestation N= 60		No infestation N= 40		Total N= 160	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yield loss	56	93	52	87	10	25	118	74
Change normal flavor of food	54	90	46	77	10	25	110	69
Suppress crop growth	50	83	41	68	20	50	111	69
Loss of soil fertility	46	77	19	32	2	5	67	42

N= sample size of each category, n= Frequency

# Impact of parthenium on livestock production

Impacts of *P. hysterophorus* on animal productivity were recognized by respondents. Its impact on grazing land was reported by 98%, 80% and 35% of the respondents in high, medium and noninfestation, respectively (Table 7). Large number of respondents incited that grass species are disappearing from the area furthermore their livestock endangered. This indicated that the weed colonized grazing fields, thus causing feed scarcity. This agrees with report of the Jayachandra (1971) who stated that the weed can be a serious problem in grasslands in and can reduce the pasture carrying capacity by up to 90%.

Respondents in all categories also reported that the milk (53%) and meat (46%) of animals that graze on *P. hysterophorus* infested field is bitter and not palatable. Sixty three percent (63%) of respondents also reported that animals feed on parthenium dominate grazing land lost weight and get diarrhea. In high infestation places *P. hysterophorus* could completely overlook grazing field, resulting in displacing grass species and animals forced to eat the weed especially during dry season.

Table 7. Respondents perception on effect of *P. hysterophorus* on livestock production in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, Ethiopia.

Effect on life stock	High infestation N= 60		Medium infestation N= 60			estation 40	Total N= 160	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Grazing land	59	98	48	80	14	35	121	76
Animal Health	52	87	40	67	8	20	100	63
Milk Product	39	65	39	65	7	18	85	53
Meat product	29	48	36	60	8	20	73	46

 $N = sample \ size \ of \ each \ category, \ n = Frequency$ 

### Impact of parthenium on human health

*P. hysterophorus* has health hazards on human being, which include allergic, skin itching (irritation), cough and hemorrhage. 70% of respondents in high infestation category, 55% in medium and 33% in non-infestation category grumble the effect of *P. hysterophorus* on human health. Fifty eight percent of respondents in high infestation, 57% in medium infestation category reported that *P. hysterophorus* cause allergy and dermatitis when contact with body (Table 8).

*P. hysterophorus* also causes cough and hemorrhage as reported by 38% and 23% of the respondents in the high infestation category and 15% of the respondents in the medium infestation categories, respectively (Table 8). Evans (1997) and Towers and Subba Rao (1992) also reported that close contact with *P. hysterophorus* could cause allergic contact dermatitis while inhalation of pollen can cause allergic rhinitis, which can develop into bronchitis or asthma in susceptible humans.

Table 8. Respondents perception on effect of *P. hysterophorus* on human health in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones, ONRGS.

Effect on Human Health	High infestation N= 60		Medium infestation N= 60		No infestation N= 40		Total N= 160	
_	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Allergic and dermatitis	35	58	34	57	1	3	70	44
Skin itching	26	43	11	18	5	13	42	26
Cough	23	38	9	15	0	0	32	20
Bring hemorrhage	14	23	9	15	0	0	23	14

N= sample size of each category, n= Frequency

### Conclusions

Parthenium hysterophorus belongs to the family Asteraceae, an extremely diverse family with a cosmopolitan distribution. It was regarded to be introduced in Ethiopia accidentally through aid shipments or from Somalia during Ethio-Somali war in 1976/77. Its invasion expanded at alarming rate in all directions mainly following slope gradient and main road. This investigation was initiated with the objectives to assess the community perception on means and source of introduction, dispersal, habitats and practices to control and to assess the socio-economic impacts of P. hysterophorus in study area. Semistructured questionnaires and focused group discussion were conducted with farmers, development agents (DAs), experts in the different levels of Minster of agriculture to collect data on the impact of parthenium. Most of respondents in the study area knew the impacts, source of introduction and means of dispersal of parthenium. In high infestation category, all respondents became aware about the weed. However, despite their awareness the rate of infestation increasing from time to time, this may be because of improper action was not done by different stake holders. This study indicated that 88%, 63% and 43% of respondents in high, medium and non-infestation category were perceived that parthenium was introduced by following vehicles road and rail ways. According to 95% of respondents in high infestation category and 85% in medium infestation, P. hysterophorus grows through out of the year. Fifty five of respondents in non-infestation category also believed that the weed grows all time in the year. Many mechanisms were assumed by respondents for fast distribution P. hysterophorus in the study zones. These dispersal mechanisms include vehicles (65%), wind (55%), flood (46%), livestock (45%), seeds (41%) and construction materials (28%). According to the respondents in high infestation category roadside (98%), pastoral (97%), village (95%), crop land (92%) and waste land (67%) were the highly infested habitats, while respondents in no infestation category observed parthenium on

roadside (25%), pastoral (25%), village (24%), crop land (25%) and wasteland (20%) in nearby districts. Control methods, which are mostly practiced by local people, were tillage and hand weeding (63%). Chemicals (45%) also used to reduce the impact of parthenium from their field crop. The respondents interviewed suggested that *P. hysterophorus* has a number of socioeconomic impacts that include effect on crop and livestock production, human health, Soil fertility and biodiversity.

### References

Adane, K. 2008. Distribution of parthenium weed and some socio- and ecological impacts in the central rift Valley. M.Sc. Thesis presented to School of graduate studies Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. 30 p.

Auld, B.A., Hosking, J. and McFadyen, R.E. 1983. Analysis of the spread of tiger-pear and parthenium weed in Australia. *Australian Weeds*. 2: 56-60.

Belachew, K. and Tessema, T. 2015. Assessment of Weed Flora Composition in Parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) Infested Area of East Shewa Zone, Ethiopia. Malaysian *J. Med. Biol. Res.* 2(1): 63-70.

Besufekad. T., Das, T. K., Mahadevappa, M., Taye, T. and Tamado, T. 2005. The Weed Parthenium: Its Distribution, Biology, Hazards and Control Measures. *Pest Manag. J. Ethiopia.* 9: 1-17.

Evans, H.C. 1997. Parthenium hysterophorus: A review of its weed status and the possibilities for biological control. Biocontrol News and Information. 18: 89-98.

GISP (Global Invasive Species Programme). 2004. Africa Invaded: The Growing Danger of Invasive Alien Species. Global invasive Species Programme, Cape Town. International Conference on Parthenium Management, 6 - 8 October 1997, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dahrwad, India, pp. 55-62.

Jayachandra, M. 1971. Parthenium weed in Mysore state and its control. *Curr. Sci.* 40: 568-569.

- Kifle, B., Taye, T. and Erine, W. 2011. Competitiveness of *Parthenium hysterophorus* with other plant species. *Ethiopian J. Weed Manage.* 4(1): 15-24.
- Kololgi, P.D., Kololgi, S.D. and Kololgi, N.P. 1997. Dermatological hazards of parthenium in human beings. In: Mahadevappa, M. and Patil, V.C. (eds), Proceedings of the First International Conference on Parthenium Management, 6 8 October 1997, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dahrwad, India, 18-19. from the lake Baringo area of Kenya. ICRAF working paper No. 3, World Agroforestry, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Navie, S.C., McFadyen, R.E., Panetta, F.D. and Adkins, S.W. 1996. The biology of Australian Weeds 27: *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Plant Prot. Quart.* 11: 76 87.
- Niguse, H., Taye, T. and Kifle, B. 2016. Distribution and Abundance of Parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) in East Shewa and West Arsi Zones of Ethiopia. *J. Biol. Agric. Healthcare.* 6(5): 65-71.
- Parsons, W.T. and Cuthbertson, E.G. 1992. Noxious weeds of Australia. Inkata press, Melbourne, 692 p.
- Senayit, R., Agajie, T., Taye, T., Adefires, W. and Getu, E. 2004. Invasive Alien Plant Control and Prevention in Ethiopia. Pilot Surveys and Control Baseline Conditions. Report submitted to EARO, Ethiopia and CABI under the PDF B phase of the UNEP GEF Project Removing Barriers to Invasive Plant Management in Africa. EARO, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 224 p.
- Srirama Rao, P., Nagpal, S., Rao, B.S., Prakash, O. and Rao, P.V. 1991. Immediate

- hypersensitivity to *Parthenium hysterophorus*. II. Clinical studies on the prevalence of *Parthenium rhinitis*. *Clinical Exptl. Allergy*. 21: 55-62.
- Tamado, T., Ohlander, L. and Milberg, P. 2002. Interference by the weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. with grain sorghum: Influence of weed density and duration of competition. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* 48: 20-27.
- Taye, T. 2002. Investigation of Pathogens for Biological Control of Parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) in Ethiopia. PhD Thesis. Humboldt–Universitat zu Berlin, Landwirtschaftlich-Gartnerischen Fakultat, Berlin. 152p.
- Taye, T., Einhorn, G., Gossmann, M., Büttner, C. and Metz, R. 2004. The Potential of Parthenium Rust as Biological Control of Parthenium Weed in Ethiopia. *Pest Manag. J. Ethiopia* 8: 83-95.
- Towers, G.H.N. and Subba Rao, P.V. 1992. Impact of the pan-tropical weed, Parthenium hysterophorus L. on human affairs. In: Richardson, R.G. (ed) Proceedings of the 1st International Weed Control Congress, Melbourne, Australia. Melbourne; Weed Science Society of Victoria, pp. 134–138.
- Wittenberg, R. 2004. Instruments and Tools for Assessing the Impact of Invasive Alien Plant Species in Africa. Report submitted under the PDF-B phase of the UNEP/GEF Project: Removing Barriers to Invasive Plant Management in Africa. CAB International Africa Regional Centre, Nairobi. 123 p.