



***The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library***

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search  
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>  
[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from AgEcon Search may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

## EFFECT OF DIFFERENT SOWING DATES ON YIELD OF TOMATO GENOTYPES

M.F. Hossain<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Ara<sup>2</sup>, M.R. Islam<sup>3</sup>, J. Hossain<sup>4</sup> and B. Akhter<sup>5</sup>

Received 13 January 2014, Revised 27 May 2014, Accepted 28 June 2014, Published online 30 June 2014

### Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Thakurgaon, Bangladesh during October 2009 to March 2010 to observe the effect of sowing dates on yield of tomato genotypes. Three sowing dates viz. October 1, October 15 and October 30 were considered as factor A and tomato variety viz., BARI Tomato-2, BARI Tomato-3, BARI Tomato-4, BARI Tomato-9 and BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 considered as factor B. The experiment was laid out in RCBD (Factorial) with three replications. Early flowering (52.40 days) as well as early fruit harvesting (119.13 days) was occurred in October 1 sowing, where as sowing on October 30 resulted in delayed flowering (71.73 days) and fruit harvesting (140.67 days), respectively. Number of fruits per plant was also the highest (27.40) in October 1 sowing and the lowest (13.73) was in October 30 sowing. Seed sowing of October 1 was found better in respect of yield ( $74.75 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) compared to October 15 ( $58.55 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) and October 30 ( $24.60 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) sowing. Among the variety, BARI Tomato-2 produced the highest ( $68.12 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) marketable yield followed by BARI Tomato-9 ( $56.16 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) and BARI Tomato-3 while BARI Tomato-4 gave the lowest ( $36.91 \text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) marketable yield.

**Keywords:** Tomato, Genotype, Sowing, Flowering, Fruit Setting and Yield

<sup>1</sup>Senior Scientific Officer, Regional Agricultural Research Station, BARI, Ishwardi, Pabna, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Principal Scientific Officer, Regional Agricultural Research Station, BARI, Ishwardi, Pabna, Bangladesh

<sup>3,4&5</sup>Scientific Officer, Regional Agricultural Research Station, BARI, Ishwardi, Pabna, Bangladesh

\*Corresponding author's email: farukgolap@gmail.com (M.F. Hossain)

### Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the most popular vegetables in Bangladesh, which is receiving increased of the growers and consumers and made its position within few of the highest cultivated vegetables. It is an essential component of human diet for the supply of vitamins, minerals and certain hormone precursors in addition to protein and energy (Boamah *et al.*, 2010; Kallo, 1993). In Bangladesh, congenial atmosphere remains for tomato production during October to March. It is mainly grown in winter season. High temperature decreases flower production and /or to bud and flower drop. However, differences between varieties in fruit set under high temperature have been reported (FAO, 1990). Went (1984) assured that fruit set was abundant only when night temperature was between  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , which might over simplify the issue. The importance of temperature in fruit set was clearly evident. Curme (1992) reported that fruit set in certain varieties with temperature as low ( $7.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and with temperature as high ( $26.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) (Schaible, 1990) had created more flexible situation in respect of the variety temperature interactions. Climate change is a major threat for crop production not only Bangladesh but also all over

the world. The meteorological data for the last 10 years indicated that the crop suffer from cold injury during the month of January (Anonymous, 2007) which result shy yield of this crop. In some areas of the country particularly in the northwestern part, the night temperature falls even sometimes go below  $5-6^{\circ}\text{C}$  which results tremendous yield loss in tomato. By this time BARI released a good number of tomato variety but their characteristics against tolerance to cold temperature injury has yet not been studied. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to find out the effect of sowing date on flowering, fruit setting and yield of tomato genotype.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the Agricultural Research Station, BARI, Thakurgaon during the cropping season of October 2009 to March 2010. Three different dates of sowing viz. October 1, October 15 and October 30 considered as factor A and tomato variety viz., BARI Tomato-2, BARI Tomato-3, BARI Tomato-4, BARI Tomato-9 and BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 considered as factor B. The experiment was laid out in RCBD (Factorial) with three replications. The unit plot size was  $4 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ . The crop was fertilized with

cow dung 10 tonha<sup>-1</sup>, urea 300 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, TSP 250 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, MOP 200 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, gypsum 100 kgha<sup>-1</sup> and boric acid 12 kgha<sup>-1</sup>. Total amount of cow dung, TSP, and one third of MOP were applied during final land preparation. Urea and rest of MOP were applied in two equal installments at 21 and 35 days after transplanting. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted in the main field according to treatments. Irrigation along with other intercultural operations and plant protection measures were taken as and when necessary. Data were collected on flowering, fruit setting and yield contributing characters and analyzed statistically.

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of sowing date

There was a significant difference among the different seed sowing dates in respect of yield and yield attributes (Table 1). The earliest 50% flowering was detected at October 1 (52.40 days) followed by October 15 and October 30. More or less similar findings were reported by Sam and Iglesias (1994) in tomato in Cuba who observed that early October proved to be suitable date to planting tomatoes in the field. Peyvast (2001) reported that the early sowing date significantly affected tomato inflorescence initiation. Similar trend was found in case of first harvesting. Cluster per plant of tomato were significantly influenced by sowing dates. The highest number of clusters per plant (25.60) was obtained from early sowing (October 1) followed by mid sowing (October 15). This result was almost similar to the findings of Haque *et al.* (1999). The maximum number of flowers per cluster (5.33) was recorded from October 1 sowing and October 30 produced the minimum (4.40) number of flowers per cluster. This result was agreed with the findings of Hossain *et al.* (1986) who reported that early sowing enhanced total number of flowers per plant. Almost similar trend was found in case of fruit setting per cluster (Table 1). Fruit size (4.76 cm × 4.88 cm) of early sowing was bigger than others sowing. The highest average fruit weight (66.33 g) was found in October 1 seed sowing followed by October 15 sowing (59.13). October 1 seed sowing scored the highest number of fruits per plant (27.70) and the late sowing scored the lowest number of fruits per plant (13.73). This result was agreed with findings of Taha *et al.* (1984). The crop grown from October 1 seed sowing produced the highest marketable fruit yield (74.75 t/ha) and the lowest (24.60 t/ha) was from October 30. This may be due to better translocation of photosynthesis from source to sink and higher accumulation of photosynthesis in the fruits. Plants of October 1 seed sowing get shorter cold condition at flowering and fruit development stage. On the contrary, other sowing

dates get extreme cold condition at these stages resulted low yield. These results were agreed with the findings of Peyvast (2001) who reported that the earliest sowing date resulted in a significantly higher total fruit yield compared to the later sowing date. Singh and Tripanthy (1995) showed variation in yield of tomato when sown in different dates from June to August at Orissa of India.

### Effect of tomato genotypes

Flowering, fruit setting and fruit yield of tomato were significantly influenced by different tomato genotypes (Table 2). The days required for 50% flowering was found the earliest (53.78 days) in BARI hybrid Tomato-4 while the flowering was detected delayed (63.67 days) in BARI Tomato-3. Similar trend was found in case of first harvesting. BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 produced the highest number of cluster per plant (23.00) and BARI Tomato-3 produced the lowest number of cluster per plant (18.44). The number of flower per cluster was also the highest (5.77) in BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 where as the lowest in BARI Tomato-3 (4.22). Number of fruit set per cluster was also detected maximum (4.56) in BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 and the minimum (3.22) was in BARI Tomato-3. The maximum fruit length (5.34 cm) was recorded from BARI Tomato-9, which was statistically identical to BARI Tomato-2 (4.94 cm) and the lowest fruit length (3.52 cm) was recorded from BARI Tomato-4. On the other hand, the maximum fruit diameter (4.79 cm) was recorded from BARI Tomato-2, which was statistically similar to BARI Toimato-3 and the minimum fruit diameter (3.74 cm) was counted from BARI Tomato-4. The highest average fruit weight (72.33 g) was obtained from BARI Tomato-2 followed by BARI Tomato-3 (68.89 g) and the lowest average fruit weight (39.67 g) was obtained from BARI Hybrid Tomato-4. The highest number of fruits (27.78) was recorded by the genotype BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 and the lowest number of fruits (18.33) was recorded in BARI Tomato-3. Similar results were reported by Mohammed (1995) and Mahmoud (2005). The highest marketable fruit yield was recorded (75.31 tha<sup>-1</sup>) from BARI Tomato-2 whereas the lowest fruit yield (36.91 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from BARI Tomato-4. This may be due to its maximum fruit size, average fruit weight and number of fruits per plant. These results were agreed with previous findings of Omara (1995).

### Combined effect of sowing date and tomato genotypes

Combined effect of sowing date and tomato genotypes had influenced on different yield parameters (Table 3). The earliest (47 days) 50% flowering was found in BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 with October 1 seed sowing.

Table 1. Effect of sowing date on the yield and yield contributing characters of tomato

Time of sowing	Days to 50% flowering	No. of clusters/plant	No. of flowers/cluster	No. of fruits/cluster	Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	No. of fruits/plant	Yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )
S <sub>1</sub>	52.40	25.60	5.33	4.20	119.13	4.76	4.88	66.33	27.40	74.75
S <sub>2</sub>	56.67	19.20	4.67	3.67	132.67	4.43	4.48	59.13	24.20	58.55
S <sub>3</sub>	71.73	15.33	4.40	3.26	140.67	4.21	3.76	46.13	13.73	24.60
LSD (0.05)	4.034	2.105	0.441	0.45	2.951	0.330	0.154	3.036	2.336	1.960
CV (%)	5.17	14.04	12.68	6.17	3.01	9.90	14.83	7.10	14.34	12.36

Table 2. Effect of genotypes on the yield and yield contributing characters of tomato

Genotypes	Days to 50% flowering	No. of cluster/plant	No. of flower/cluster	No. of fruits/cluster	Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit wt (g)	No. of fruits/plant	Yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )
V <sub>1</sub>	60.78	20.33	4.67	3.67	128.56	4.94	4.79	72.33	22.33	68.12
V <sub>2</sub>	63.67	18.44	4.22	3.22	134.33	4.64	4.78	68.89	18.33	55.12
V <sub>3</sub>	60.67	18.77	4.33	3.33	131.44	3.52	3.74	43.56	19.88	36.91
V <sub>4</sub>	62.44	19.67	5.00	3.78	140.33	5.34	4.54	61.56	20.55	56.16
V <sub>5</sub>	53.78	23.00	5.77	4.56	119.44	3.88	4.00	39.67	27.78	48.81
LSD (0.05)	3.007	2.718	0.570	0.459	3.802	4.27	0.198	3.920	3.016	3.120
CV (%)	5.17	14.04	12.68	6.17	3.01	9.90	14.83	7.10	14.34	12.36

Table 3. Combined effect of sowing date and tomato genotypes on the yield and yield contributing characters of tomato

Treatment combination	Days to 50% flowering	No. of cluster/plant	No. of flower/cluster	No. of fruits/cluster	Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Average fruit wt (g)	No. of fruits/plant	Yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	54.00	24.33	5.67	4.33	115.33	5.53	5.33	82.67	30.00	97.21
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	54.00	23.00	5.00	3.67	116.67	5.23	5.30	79.00	25.33	83.43
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	53.00	26.33	5.00	4.00	119.67	3.53	4.27	46.67	22.67	44.16
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	54.00	25.00	5.00	4.33	131.67	5.50	4.97	77.67	27.67	89.56
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	47.00	29.33	6.00	4.67	112.33	4.00	4.53	45.67	31.33	59.34
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	56.33	19.00	4.33	3.67	131.33	4.76	5.00	74.00	24.67	76.36
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	58.00	18.33	4.00	3.00	135.67	4.67	4.76	71.67	20.33	60.56
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	58.00	16.67	4.00	3.33	135.67	3.53	3.67	47.00	22.67	44.49
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	57.33	18.33	5.00	3.67	140.67	5.40	4.76	60.67	23.67	59.03
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	53.67	23.67	6.00	4.67	120.00	3.76	4.03	42.33	29.67	52.33
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	72.00	17.67	4.00	3.00	139.00	4.53	4.03	60.33	12.33	30.79
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	79.00	14.00	3.67	3.00	150.67	4.04	4.30	56.00	9.33	21.53
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	71.00	13.33	4.00	2.67	139.00	3.50	3.30	37.00	14.33	22.07
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	76.00	15.67	5.00	3.33	148.67	5.13	3.90	46.33	10.33	19.86
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	60.67	16.00	5.33	4.33	126.00	3.86	3.27	31.00	22.33	28.75
LSD (0.05)	5.208	4.707	0.980	1.010	6.58	0.742	0.343	6.790	5.224	7.246
CV (%)	5.17	14.04	12.68	6.17	3.01	9.90	14.83	7.10	14.34	12.36

S<sub>1</sub>= 1 October, S<sub>2</sub>= 15 October, S<sub>3</sub>= 30 October, V<sub>1</sub>= BARI Tomato-2, V<sub>2</sub>= BARI Tomato-3, V<sub>3</sub>= BARI Tomato-4, V<sub>4</sub>= BARI Tomato-9 and V<sub>5</sub>= BARI Hybrid Tomato-4

Similarly, the number of cluster (29.33), flowers per cluster (6) and number of fruits per cluster (6) of BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 with October 1 sowing showed better performance than other combinations. However, fruit size was observed larger (5.53 cm × 5.33 cm) in BARI Tomato-2 with October 1 sowing compared to other combinations. The highest average fruit weight (82.67 g) was recorded from BARI Tomato-2 with October 1 seed sowing. On the other hand, the highest number of fruits per plant (31) was recorded from BARI Hybrid Tomato-4 with October 1 sowing followed by BARI Tomato-2 (30 g). The highest marketable fruit yield (97.21 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from BARI Tomato-2 with October 1 sowing followed BARI Tomato-9 (89.56 tha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas, the lowest yield (16.86 tha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from BARI Tomato-9 with October 30 seed sowing.

## Conclusion

The experiment revealed that the yield of tomato was significantly affected by different sowing dates and tomato genotypes. BARI Tomato-2 with October 1 seed sowing was suitable combination for maximum yield of tomato in northwestern part of Bangladesh.

## References

Anonymous. 2007. The year book of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bur. Stat., Ministry of Planning, Govt. People's Republic of Bangladesh. p. 10.

Boamah, P.O., Sam-Amoah, L.K. and Owusu-Sekyere, J.D. 2010. Effect of irrigation interval on growth and development of tomato under sprinkler. *Asian J. Agric. Res.* 4: 196-203.

Curme, J.H. 1992. Effect of low night temperatures on tomato fruit set. Proc. Plant Sci. Symp., Campbell Soup Co. pp. 99-108.

FAO. 1990. FAO Production Yearbook. Basic Data Unit, Statistics Division, Food and Agriculture Organization United Nation, Rome, Italy. p. 90.

Haque, M.A., Hossain, A.K.M.A. and Ahmed, K.U. 1999. Varietal response of different seasons and temperature in respect of yield and yield components. *Bangladesh Hort.* 26: 39-45.

Hossain, M.M., Karim, M.M., Haque, M.M. and Hossain, A.M.A. 1986. Performance of some tomato lines planted at different dates. *Bangladesh Hort.* 14 (1): 25-28.

Kallo, G. 1993. Tomato. In: Genetic improvement of vegetable crops. Oxford, England: Pergamon Press. p. 6.

Mahmoud, S.M. 2005. The effect of cultivars, seedbed preparation and plant density on the growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in Forest Location. *J. Agril. Sci.* 5 (2): 152-158.

Mohammed, B.M. 1995. Vegetable production in central Sudan. Integrated Pest Management Medani, Sudan. p.106.

Omara, S. 1995. Tomato experiments, International Institute for Promotion Horticultural Exports. Khartoun, Sudan. p. 17.

Peyvast, G.H. 2001. Study of some quality and quantity factors of tomato. *J. Veg. Crop Prod.* 10: 15-22.

Sam, O. and Iglesias, L. 1994. Characterization of the flowering-fruiting process in tomato cultivars in two sowing seasons. *Cultivos Tropicales* 15 (2): 34-43.

Schaible, L.W. 1990. Fruit setting response of tomatoes to high night temperatures. Campbell Soup Co., camden, N.J., pp. 89-98.

Singh, D.N. and Tripanthy, P. 1995. Growth and yield of tomato genotypes and technology. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* 65 (12): 863-865.

Taha, A.A., Abdelfattah, Hassan, M.S. and Ali, A.W. 1984. Effect of sowing date and stage of maturity at harvest on yield and quality of tomato for export. *Acta. Hort.* 143. Tropical Horticulture VIII. 6 (5): 665-669.

Went, F.W. 1984. Plant growth under controlled conditions. II. Thermoperiodicity in growth and fruiting of the tomato. *American J. Bot.* 31: 135-150.