



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Robotic Harvesters or Migrant Workers? A Mechanization Adoption Model

Tianyuan Luo

luoterry@ufl.edu

Gulf Coast Research and Education Center, University of Florida

Sheng Li

lisheng@ufl.edu

Gulf Coast Research and Education Center, University of Florida

Feng Wu

fengwu@ufl.edu

Gulf Coast Research and Education Center; Food and Resource Economics Department,
University of Florida

Zhengfei Guan

guanz@ufl.edu

Gulf Coast Research and Education Center; Food and Resource Economics Department,
University of Florida

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2020 Annual Meeting, Kansas City, Missouri, July 25-27, 2020

Copyright 2020 by Tianyuan Luo, Zhengfei Guan, Sheng Li, Feng Wu. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Robotic Harvesters or Migrant Workers? A Mechanization Adoption Model

Tianyuan Luo¹, Sheng Li¹, Feng Wu^{1,2}, Zhengfei Guan^{1,2}

¹ Gulf Coast Research and Education Center; ² Food and Resource Economics Department, University of Florida

Background

- Labor shortages have been threatening the sustainability of U.S. agriculture, particularly the labor intensive fruit and vegetable industry.



- The urgency of developing robotic harvesters has been increasing due to labor shortages.
- An understanding of robotic harvester adoption decision is important for policymakers and relevant stakeholders.

Objectives

- This study aims to model farmers' robotic harvester adoption decisions and identify a series of conditions for adoption.

Method

- The decision of hiring robotic harvester and/or using manual labor is modeled using a framework of farm profit maximization.
- Two scenarios when robotic harvesting profit is higher or lower than labor harvesting profit are considered.

Model Framework

A representative grower is to make adoption decisions in a two-period setup to maximize the expected "harvesting profit"

- Harvesting profit = revenue - harvesting costs.
- Yield $Y_t = g_t + e$, $e \sim \text{Uniform}[-b, b]$
- The unharvested acreage in the first period will not be harvested (not harvestable) in the second period, either.
- The grower chooses the optimal contracting amount of times of robotic harvesters (M_{tm}) to maximize the cumulative expected profit by solving the problem

$$\pi = \max_{M_{tm}} \left\{ E \sum_{t=1}^2 [p_t(Y_{tl} + Y_{tm}) - w * S - r * M_{tm}] \right\}$$

- where p is product price; w is wage; and r is the rent of hiring robotic harvesters.
- The volume harvested by labor (Y_{tl}) and robotic harvesters (Y_{tm}) are determined by harvesting efficiency (θ_l and θ_m) multiplied by their working time (S and H_{tm}), respectively.
- H_{tm} is bounded by a maximum contracting time M .
- Crop abandonment may occur if the total yield is more than the total volumes harvested by labor and robotic harvesters.
- The expected amount of abandoned crops in the first period is

$$K = \int_{-b}^{u1} 0 * f(e) de + \int_{u1}^b (Y_t - Y_{tl} - Y_{tm}) f(e) de$$

where e is the yield shock, $f(\cdot)$ is the error distribution; and $u1$ is the cutoff point where abandonment occurs, which a function of yield, harvesting time and efficiency.

Results

Scenario A: Robotic harvesting profit > labor harvesting profit

$$E[pY_{tm} - rM_{tm}] > E[pY_{tl} - wS]$$

The condition for adopting robotic harvester is

$$\theta_m > \frac{(g_t - S)r}{(g_t p - 2pS + wS)} \text{ and } r < \frac{(g_t p - 2pS + wS)\theta_m}{(g_t - S)r}$$

Scenario B: Robotic harvesting profit < labor harvesting profit

The optimal contracting time of robotic harvesters in the first and second periods are :

$$M_t^* = f(g, b, p_1, p_2, w, r, S, \theta_l, \theta_m)$$

Analysis shows that:

$$\frac{\partial M_t^*}{\partial p_1} > 0, \frac{\partial M_t^*}{\partial p_2} > 0, \frac{\partial M_t^*}{\partial r} < 0, \frac{\partial M_t^*}{\partial S} < 0, \text{ and } \frac{\partial M_t^*}{\partial \theta_m} < 0$$

Conclusions

- A grower's decision to replace labor with robotic harvesters is determined by the relative profits of machine and labor harvest.
- The adoption of robotic harvesters is affected by crop yield, crop price, labor supply, wage, labor efficiency, machinery efficiency, and machine rental price.
- The use of robotic harvester increases with crop prices, but decreases with labor supply, machine efficiency, and rental price.