

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

# Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
<a href="mailto:aesearch@umn.edu">aesearch@umn.edu</a>

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.





### WIDER

World Institute for Development Economics Research

# Vorking Pape

The Process of Economic Change

Douglass C. North

## **The Process of Economic Change**

### **Douglass C. North**

Washington University in St. Louis

March 1997

This study has been prepared within the UNU/WIDER project on New Models of Public Goods Provision and Financing in Developing Countries, which is co-directed by Germano Mwabu, Senior Research Fellow, UNU/WIDER, and Reino Hjerppe, Director General of the Finnish Government Institute for Economic Research.

UNU/WIDER gratefully acknowledges the financial contribution to the project by the Government of Sweden (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency - Sida).

### **CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION I	1
1.1 The economic determinants	1
1.2 The institutional determinants	2
1.3 Cultural heritage	4
SECTION II	6
2.1 The reality of an economic system	9
2.2 Belief systems	10
2.3 Scaffolds	10
2.4 The dynamics of the process	11
2.4 How we get it wrong	12
SECTION III	13
3.1 Implications	13
REFERENCES	15

### ABSTRACT

A good deal is known about what makes for successful economic development, but very little is known about how to get there – that entails an understanding of the process of economic change. The paper first examines the sources of successful growth and then explores the process of dynamic change of economies. As to sources of growth, three fundamental factors are discussed: basic economic determinants, the institutional framework and the cultural heritage of society.

The main force underlying dynamic economic change is the continuous interaction between institutions and organizations. Economic and social organizations of society such as firms, households, schools, and political parties are forced by competition for scarce resouces to continually invest in new skills and knowledge to survive. The institutions provide the incentive structure that dictates the kinds of skills and knowledge that organizations as entrepreneurs perceive to have the maximum payoff. The perceptions about economic opportunities are derived from the mental constructs of entrepreneurs and are important in determining the dynamics of change. However, the economies of scope, complementarities, and network externalities of an institutional matrix make institututional change - and hence economic change - overwhelmingly incremental and path dependent. There are three parts to the process of economic change: the 'reality' of an economy, the perceptions humans in a society possess about that reality, and given the beliefs they possess, the institutional structure that they impose to reduce uncertainty and control the economy. The process of change results from a continuous change in that reality which in turn alters the perceptions humans possess about it, inducing them to modify their institutional structure which leads to further changes in the reality of an economy – an ongoing process.

### INTRODUCTION

We know a good deal about what makes for successful development. We know very little about how to get there – that would entail understanding the process of economic change. I shall first briefly deal with the sources of successful growth and then I shall be in a position to explore the process.

Let me begin by discussing three fundamental determinants of economic growth which must be incorporated into a framework to explore the process of change. They are the basic economic determinants, the institutional framework, and the cultural heritage of a society.

### SECTION I

### 1.1 The economic determinants

Economic growth is a result of either an increase in factor inputs and/or an increase in the efficiency of the factor inputs typically measured in terms of total factor productivity. Historically both have played important roles in economic growth. A recent International Economic Association (IEA) meeting in Tokyo exploring the basis of the success of the East Asian economies provided a number of papers that summarized the current state of knowledge on economic growth. Yujiro Hayami in an introductory essay entitled 'Toward an East Asian Model of Economic Development' asserted that the former, an increase in factor inputs, had weighed heavily in the case of the newly industrialized economies (NIEs) and associated it with technological borrowing. The Marx pattern of capital accumulation is, in his view, typical of borrowing technological knowledge and indeed characterized earlier US development in contrast to the Kuznets growth pattern with heavier weight on total factor productivity growth (although it should be noted that there are some complex measurement issues in separating the two out). Thus the early pattern of developing economies is characterized by increasing returns from the coordination externalities resulting from capital accumulation but as development progresses these externalities are exhausted, constant returns set in and further growth is

realized from technical progress. Investments in human capital, education and R&D become critical for continuing development. The new growth economics has formalized some of the findings although much of that literature has been descriptively made in the economic growth, economic history and the growth accounting literature for some time. But there remains a big puzzle. Why are countries poor if we understand the forces that make for economic growth. What is missing in the new growth economics literature is the incentive structure of the society, a critical weakness to that body of theory since it is the incentive structure that has been the basic determinant of an economy investing in the human and physical capital that determines sustained economic growth.

### 1.2 The institutional determinants

The incentives are a function of the institutional structure of society. Institutions are the rules of the game of a society composed of the formal rules (constitutions, statute and common law, regulations) the informal constraints (norms, conventions and internally devised codes of conduct) and the enforcement characteristics of each. Together they define the way the game is played. A number of recent empirical studies have made clear the importance of the institutional matrix, most recently Knack and Keefer (1995) and Easterly and Levine (1996). Dani Rodrik, in a paper at the same IEA conference entitled 'Total Factor Productivity Growth Controversies. Institutions, and Economic Performance', combines these indices with three additional indicators; income, education, and ethno-linguistic fragmentation. 1 The results are sensible, indicating that institutional quality increases with income and education and decreases with ethnolinguistic fragmentation' (p. 19). Initial high income inequality and substantial ethno-linguistic divisions make social fragmentation more likely thereby making it more difficult to establish and maintain high quality public institutions.

What kind of institutional framework will produce efficient markets? This issue has generated much more heat than light: it is about the role of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> However ethno-linguistic diversity is much more powerful as an explanation in Africa than elsewhere, although even here there are exceptions--Somalia for example. Moreover the issue is the insecurity of property rights typically combined with rising land values which induces the ethno-linguistic divisions to produce instability. Where property right are secure (as in the United States) it is not clear that this variable is important.

market versus the role of positive government direction in the growth process. In part the issue is a phoney one generated by ideologues on both sides who do not appear to have actually tried to create or even understand the interstices of efficient markets. There is an important issue in creating the basic property right structure and in the creation of the rule of law but beyond that there appears to be a lot of confusion on just what it takes to create efficient markets both across industries and factor markets and to create and maintain such efficient markets over time. Put bluntly there is no such thing as laissez faire - that means anarchy somewhat akin to what we have been observing in Russia. Efficient markets are structured by institutions to have low transaction costs and to provide incentives for the players to compete through price and quality competition. This entails in addition to the general rules embodied in the separation of the polity and the economy and the rule of law, institutions to produce such results which technology, organizational imperatives. the characteristics of the polity. They are different for different industries at a moment of time and change with changes in the above characteristics over time. The point is effectively made in the Hellman, Murdock, Stiglitz paper on financial markets (also at the same IEA conference) which concludes "...we show how a simple set of policies-namely deposit rate controls and restrictions on entry into banking-create franchise value that induce banks to refrain from moral hazard' (p.27).<sup>2</sup> They term this, borrowing from an earlier paper by Aoki et al, the market enhancing view. Market enhancing. to use their term, can take many forms from indirect rule making as in the above illustration to direct government intervention (although they would confine the term to indirect rule-making). It would depend on the characteristics of the polity and governmental bureaucracy. With economies bent on 'catching up' by borrowing technology organizational knowledge there is ample opportunity to accelerate the process by deliberately attempting to structure markets to achieve such results. That doesn't imply that such deliberate leap-frogging tactics always work. We have ample evidence of failures and Anne Krueger at the same IEA conference documents such an instance in the Korean shift to develop heavy and chemical industries in the 1970s. But it does mean that efficient factor and product markets entail institutional structures, and that maintaining that efficiency through time entails ongoing modifications of that structure - particularly with rapid technological change. What we only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> However this type of intervention (and indeed most such interventions to make a market work well) are not typically neutral with respect to their welfare implications.

very imperfectly understand is how to create polities and their bureaucracies to engage in market enhancing activity rather than simply enhancing their Swiss bank accounts.

### 1.3 Cultural heritage

The last point brings us to cultural characteristics. They matter as anyone who has been concerned with the enormous variation in entrepreneurial skills and transaction costs in different societies knows. The striking contrast in the social capabilities of people in different societies as reflected in attitudes towards economic opportunities, the performance of polities, social distinctions and the priority given to economic attainment, educational investment and occupational choice are but a few of the structural characteristics that are influenced by different cultural backgrounds. Cultural beliefs, in short, are a basic determinant of institutional structure. But what are the origins of cultural beliefs?

Weber's Protestant ethic emphasized the religious origins of such values. Hayami (in the aforementioned paper) stresses the importance of moral codes in business transactions in Japan. '...it was an admixture of Confucianism, Buddhism and Shintoism, but in substance it taught the same morals that Adam Smith considered to be the basis of the wealth of nations – frugality, industry, honesty and fidelity. Clearly this ideology was an important support for commercial and industrial development in the late Tokugawa period, as it suppressed moral hazards and reduced the costs of market transactions' (p.16).

Jean-Philippe Platteau and Yujiro Hayami (in a paper at the same conference) emphasize the contrast between redistributive norms in African tribal communities in contrast to the reciprocal norms in Asian village communities and ascribes the differences to different degrees of settlement density and consequent property rights in agriculture. 'Culturally, people whose living is based on settled agriculture are the believers of "great religions" eg., Buddhism in Thailand, Islam in Indonesia, Christianity in the Philippines, Buddhism and Confucianism in China, Korea and Japan' (p. 32). The implications of their analysis is that the religions are derivative from the basic demographic conditions rather than the independent variable initiating the resultant norms. I think Platteau and Hayami have an important point in their emphasis on population density and land use patterns as of importance in the

African/Asian contrast. It is reasonable to conclude that the origins of some norms, including those embedded in religious beliefs may have had their ultimate source in basic features of primitive agriculture with diverse climatic, soil, and product characteristics imposing organizational imperatives on the players.

The most convincing study of the way divergent cultural heritages can produce divergent belief systems that help shape long run economic performance is Avner Greif's study (1994) of the contrasting organization of trade of Maghribi traders with their foundation in the Muslim culture and Genoese traders with their foundation in the medieval Latin world. The traders from the Islamic world developed in-group communications networks to enforce collective action which, while effective in relatively small homogeneous ethnic groups, do not lend themselves to the impersonal exchange that arises from the growing size of markets and diverse ethnic traders. In contrast the Genoese developed bilateral enforcement mechanisms which entailed the creation of formal legal and political organizations for monitoring and enforcing agreements - an institutional/organizational path that permitted and led to more complex trade and exchange. Greif suggests the generality of these different belief structures for the Latin and Muslim worlds and then makes the connection between such belief structures in the European scene and the development of the economic institutions and organizations.

But if we accept that there were different behavioural beliefs in different societies and that they induced different forms of institutions and organizations, what produced the beliefs? Whatever the ultimate sources (briefly discussed above) the major immediate candidate is religions since they were the dominant organized belief structures of the pre-modern world. The vast literature dealing with the effect of religious dogma on economic activity is, however, inconclusive since it is possible to pick out specific aspects of almost any religion that are antithetical to economic growth. Some of these are the Islamic opposition to insurance markets and the Christian opposition to interest payments.

The proper focus, however, should not be on specific norms but on the learning process by which a particular belief structure – in this case religion – evolves. The learning process is a function of 1) the way in which a given belief structure filters the information derived from experiences and 2) the different experiences that confront individuals in

different societies at different times. Thus one can argue that the Christian religious framework of the Middle Ages provided an hospitable filter for learning that led to adaptations congenial to economic growth: or alternatively that the specific geographic/economic/institutional context of the medieval western world described in section III provided the unique experiences responsible for the resultant adaptations. In fact it was a combination of the two that produced the adaptations in the belief structure that were conducive to economic growth and political/civil freedoms. The belief structure embodied in Christian dogma was, despite some notorious contrary illustrations, amenable to evolving in directions that made it hospitable to economic growth. Both Ernst Benz (1966)and Lynn White (1978) maintain that Christian belief gradually evolved the view that nature should serve mankind and that therefore the universe could and should be controlled for economic purposes. Such an attitude is an essential precondition for technological progress. But it was particularly the unique institutional conditions of parts of medieval/early modern Europe that provided the sort of experiences that served as the catalyst to precipitate such perceptions. From this perspective Weber's protestant ethic is a part of the story of this adaptation but is "downstream" from the originating sources.

### SECTION II

Before exploring the underlying sources of economic change let me briefly delineate five propositions that, I believe, characterize institutional change. They involve the interaction between institutions and organizations. Institutions are the rules of the game – both formal rules and their enforcement characteristics. Together they define the way the game is played. Organizations are the players. They are made up of groups of individuals held together by some common objectives. Economic organizations are firms, trade unions, cooperatives, etc.; political organizations are political parties, legislatures, regulatory bodies; educational organizations are universities, schools, vocational training centres. The immediate objective of organizations may be profit maximizing (for firms) or improving re-election prospects (for political parties); but the ultimate objective is survival because all organizations live in a world of scarcity and hence competition.

Now to the five propositions:

- 1. The continuous interaction between institutions and organizations in the economic setting of scarcity and hence competition is the key to institutional change.
- Competition forces organizations continually to invest in new skills and knowledge to survive. The kind of skills and knowledge individuals and their organizations acquire will shape evolving perceptions about opportunities and hence choices that will incrementally alter institutions.
- The institutional framework provides the incentive structure that dictates the kinds of skills and knowledge perceived to have the maximum payoff.
- 4. Perceptions are derived from the mental constructs of the players.
- 5. The economies of scope, complementarities, and network externalities of an institutional matrix make institutional change overwhelmingly incremental and path dependent.

### Let me expand on propositions 2 through 5:

2. New or altered opportunities may be perceived to be a result of exogenous changes in the external environment which alter relative prices to organizations or a consequence of endogenous competition among the organizations of the polity and the economy. In either case the ubiquity of competition in the overall economic setting of scarcity induces entrepreneurs and the members of their organizations to invest in skills and knowledge. Whether through learning by doing on the job or the acquisition of formal knowledge, improving the efficiency of the organization relative to that of rivals is the key to survival.

While idle curiosity surely is an innate source of acquiring knowledge among human beings, the rate of accumulating knowledge is clearly tied to the pay-offs. Secure monopolies, be they organizations in the polity or in the economy, simply do not have to improve to survive. But firms, political parties, or even institutions of higher learning faced with rival organizations must strive to improve their efficiency. When competition is muted (for whatever reasons) organizations will have less incentive to invest in new knowledge and in consequence will not induce rapid

institutional change. Stable institutional structures will be the result. Vigorous organizational competition will accelerate the process of institutional change.

3. There is no implication in proposition 2 of evolutionary progress or economic growth - only of change. The institutional matrix defines the opportunity set, be it one that makes income redistribution the highest payoff in an economy or one that provides the highest pay-offs to productive activity. While every economy provides a mixed set of incentives for both types of activity, the relative weights (as between redistributive and productive incentives) are crucial factors in the performance of economies. The organizations that come into existence will reflect the pay-off structure. More than that, the direction of their investment in skills and knowledge will equally reflect the underlying incentive structure. If the highest rate of return in an economy comes from piracy we can expect that the organizations will invest in skills and knowledge that will make them better pirates. Similarly if there are high returns to productive activities we will expect organizations to devote resources to investing in skill and knowledge that will increase productivity (the new growth economics literature can become relevant at this point).

The immediate investment of economic organizations in vocational and on the job training obviously will depend on the perceived benefits; but an even more fundamental influence on the future of the economy is the extent to which societies will invest in formal education, schooling, the dissemination of knowledge, and both applied and pure research which will mirror the perceptions of the entrepreneurs of political and economic organizations.

4. The key to the choices that individuals make is their perceptions about the pay-offs, which are a function of the way the mind interprets the information it receives. The mental constructs individuals form to explain and interpret the world around them are partly a result of the genetic evolution of the mind, partly of their cultural heritage, partly a result of the local everyday problems they confront and must solve, and partly a result of non-local learning. The mix among these sources in interpreting one's environment obviously varies as between for example a Papuan tribesman on the one hand and an economist in the United States on the other (although there is no implication that the latter's perceptions are independent of his or her cultural heritage).

The implication of the foregoing paragraph is that individuals from different backgrounds will interpret the same evidence differently; they may, in consequence, make different choices. If the information feedback of the consequences of choices were complete then individuals with the same utility function would gradually correct their perceptions and over time converge to a common equilibrium; but as Frank Hahn has succinctly put it, 'There is a continuum of theories that agents can hold and act upon without ever encountering events which lead them to change their theories' (Hahn 1987: 324). The result is that multiple equilibria are possible due to different choices by agents with identical tastes.

5. The viability, profitability, and indeed survival of the organizations of a society typically depend on the existing institutional matrix. That institutional structure has brought them into existence; and their complex web of interdependent contracts and other relationships has been constructed on it. Two implications follow. Institutional change is typically incremental and is path dependent.

The foregoing description of institutional change provides the essential clues to examine the underlying process. There are three parts to the process of economic change: the 'reality' of an economy, the perceptions humans in a society possess about that reality, and given the beliefs that they possess the structure they impose to reduce uncertainty and control that economy. The process of change results from a continuous change in that reality which results in changing the perceptions which in turn induce the players to modify or alter the structure which in turn leads to changes in that reality – an ongoing process. Let us explore each of these parts to see just how this process works.

### 2.1 The reality of an economic system

We have innumerable statistics on demographic, income, technological, and institutional features of an economy but what we need to know is the interplay between parts of the economy that shape economic performance. The complex forces at work can be reduced to three fundamental determinants. They are the demographic features of an economy; the stock of knowledge possessed by the members of the society; and the institutional framework.

The demographic features consist of the quantity and quality of human beings – including fertility, mortality, embodied human capital, occupational distribution characteristics.

The stock of knowledge determines the human command over nature which sets the upper bound to possible human well-being – including scientific knowledge and its dissemination, the belief system(s) of the members of the society.

The institutional framework provides the incentive structure of the society – the rules of the game which will determine the interplay between the parts of the political, economic, and social structure of the system.

### 2.2 Belief systems

Understanding the 'reality' of a society is theorizing in the face of Knightian uncertainty (or to use the modern term, ambiguity).<sup>3</sup> But we do construct in our minds explanations of the operations of politica/economic systems. These belief systems typically are both positive and normative models of political/economic systems. Some are overall organized belief systems such as communism, some are partial such as various 'free market' beliefs. Because we know so little about 'reality' these belief systems are AT BEST very imperfect understandings of 'reality'.

### 2.3 Scaffolds

Based on the beliefs held by political and economic entrepreneurs in a position to influence the choices that shape a political/economic system they erect an elaborate structure of institutions designed to be consistent with normative objectives. The scaffolds consist not only of the political structure that specifies the way the society aggregates political choices, the property rights structure that defines the formal incentives in the system, but also the informal constraints of norms, conventions, and internally held

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There are important issues here with respect to whether the search/adoption is over a fixed menu of possibilities which are all accessible from the start to all agents versus an open ended dynamics where the discovery of genuine novelties is always possible. In the former case the agent can attach probabilities to the parts of the menu and the basic paradigm is the Bayesian model. It is the latter, however that characterizes most of the evolving belief systems in a world of continuous change.

beliefs. Much of what is interpreted as rational behaviour in social science models is in fact institutional constraints that define and constrain the choice set. This institutional framework has evolved over many generations, reflecting, as Hayek reminds us, of the trial and error process which has sorted out those behavioural patterns that have worked from those that have failed. Because the experience of every society has been different they will differ with each society. Path dependence is a consequence of the particular scaffolding of each society.

### 2.4 The dynamics of the process

The perceptions of political and economic entrepreneurs change reflecting ubiquitous competition amongst organizations with changes in relative prices, other new information leading such entrepreneurs, given their beliefs and the constraints imposed by the existing scaffold (not to mention the standard economic constraints) to modify or alter institutions to improve their competitive positions. The result is to alter the 'REALITY' of the economic system which in turn will lead to altered perceptions and BELIEFS of the system which in turn will lead to further INSTITUTIONAL change in an endless process of societal change. The stronger the competition the more rapid the change.

The way learning occurs is at the heart of the process and we know all too little about that subject in spite of impressive recent advances in cognitive science. Learning entails developing a structure by which to make sense out of the varied signals received by the senses. The initial architecture of the structure is genetic but its subsequent development is a result of the experiences of the individual. The architecture can be thought of as generating an event space which gets used to interpret the data provided by the world. The experiences can be classified into two kinds – those from the physical environment and those from the socio-cultural linguistic environment. The event space structure consists of categories classifications that gradually evolve from earliest childhood on in order to organize our perceptions and keep track of our memory of analytic results and experiences. Building on these categories we form mental models to explain and interpret the environment, typically in ways relevant to some goal. Both the categories and the mental models will evolve to reflect the feedback derived from new experiences - feedback that may strengthen and confirm our initial categories and models or may lead to modifications: in short learning.

The world is too complex for a single individual to learn directly how it all works. Each individual's mental models are derived from experiences experiences that are specific to that individual and accordingly, give him/her, to some degree, unique perceptions of the world. The mental models would tend to diverge for this reason if there were not ongoing communication with other individuals with a similar cultural background. The cultural heritage – the socio-cultural linguistic background – provides a means of reducing the divergence in the mental models that people in a society have and for the intergenerational transfer of unifying perceptions. This cultural learning not only provides a means of internal communication but also provides shared explanations for phenomena outside the immediate experiences of the members of the society in the form of belief systems to explain the larger world around us. The ubiquitous existence of 'beyond rationality' beliefs in all organized belief systems suggests that it may be a superior survival trait to possess some explanation rather than no explanation for phenomena beyond our scientific reach. Such belief systems, both religious and secular, provide explanations in the face of uncertainty and ambiguity and are the source of decision making.

What analogies and insights about this process can we derive from evolutionary biology? Are the essential conditions of Darwinian evolution – variation, continuity, and natural selection – paralleled in institutional change? Yes there are parallels; but how close are they and do the 'processes' work in the same way? Institutional change is largely Lamarckian, and the change is for the most part intentional and as noted above created to enhance the (largely) short run competitive positions of entrepreneurs. How comparable is the learning embodied in intentional choice to the selection mechanisms in evolutionary theory? The latter are not informed by beliefs about the eventual consequences; the former, erroneous though it may be, is driven by perceptions of downstream consequences.

### 2.4 How we get it wrong

We may write economic history as a great success story of the enormous increase in material well-being. But it is also a vast panorama of decisions that have produced death, famine, starvation, defeat in warfare, economic decline and stagnation, and indeed the total disappearance of civilizations.

But we also do get it right; at least right enough to have produced the immense increase in material well-being of the modern western world. Let us see first how we get it wrong. There are three sources of error:

- 1. We don't have a correct understanding of 'reality'
- 2. The belief system is wrong communism for example
- 3. Even when we have the first two (more or less) right the policies available are very blunt instruments. We can only change the formal rules (and imperfectly the enforcement characteristics) but it is the admixture of formal rules, informal constraints (norms, conventions and beliefs) and the way they are enforced that determines the institutional consequences.

We get it right (in addition to chance and luck – not to be underestimated in history) when we have reality approximately right, the belief system captures the essence of that reality, and the institutions structure the game accordingly (that is the formal rules which are accompanied by complementary informal norms). When does that occur? When the present and immediate future looks much like the past and the learning process has updated the understanding of the belief system accordingly (see footnote 3 above). When the rapidity of adjustment has increased faster than the rate of change of the fundamentals.

### SECTION III

### 3.1 Implications

- 1. While there are common denominators to what one wants to achieve:
  - a. There is a wide variety of patterns of change TVEs in China for example fit none of our preconceptions on successful development. Growth is occurring in spite of:
    - i) no secure private property rights;
    - ii) no immediate and complete liberalization;
    - iii) no rule of law;
    - iv) no democracy.

The complex informal organization that has evolved is only understandable as a path dependent phenomenon.

- b. Even when we have it right for one economy it will not necessarily be right for another and even when we have it right today we do not necessarily have it right tomorrow.
- c. Our lack of theories of political economy, decision making under uncertainty (ambiguity), and human learning are severe limitations to understanding the process.
- 2. There are clearly windows of opportunity when institutional reform is far more possible than other times such as :
  - a. when the dominant organizations which undergird the existing institutional framework have been weakened.
  - b. when the 'legitimacy' of the belief system of the existing institutional matrix has been undermined.
  - c. when the existing dominant organizations perceive it to be in their interest to redirect their objectives towards productivity raising activities.
- 3. Path dependence means that effective reform policies entail having an intimate understanding of the historical development of the belief system and scaffolding of the economy.
- 4. Time is intimately involved with the process of human learning but there is no guarantee that past experiences are going to equip us to solve new problems indeed the movement from personal to impersonal exchange and from 'command' economy to market economy entail basic restructuring of belief systems and scaffolds of such fundamental proportions that it may be beyond the adjustment capacity of the existing society.
- 5. The institutional/organizational structure that has been responsible for the rapid growth of developing economies will over time tend to create organizational interest group pressures through the polity that may effectively thwart the ongoing institutional adjustments necessary for continued expansion. The contemporary 'indigestion' in the European

Union (France and Germany in particular) and in Japan clearly has at its source inflexibility induced by interest group pressures.

6. Adaptive efficiency which has characterizes the United States economy over more than two centuries has entailed the gradual evolution of strong informal norms undergirding the political and economic institutions which were a long time in evolving. It may not be possible to create such norms in a short period of time.

### REFERENCES

Benz, Ernst. 1996. Evolution and Christian Hope: Man's Concept of the Future from the Early Fathers to Teihard de Chardin. Garden City.

Easterly, William and Ross Levine. 1996. 'Africa's Growth Tragedy: Policies and Ethnic Divisions. May.

Feeny, David. 1996. 'Thailand versus Japan. Why Was Japan First?' Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December

Greif, Avner. 1994. 'Cultural Beliefs and the Organization of Society: A Historical Theoretical Reflection on Collectivist and Individualist Societies. *Journal of Political Economy* Vol. 102, No. 5: 912-50.

Hayami, Yujiro. 1996. 'Towards an East Asian Model of Economic Development'. Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December.

Hellman, Thomas, Kevin Murdock and Joseph Stiglitz. 1996. 'Financial Restraint and the Market Enhancing View'. Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December.

Knack, Stephen and Philip Keefer. 1995. 'Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross-Country Tests Using Alternative Institutional Measures. *Economics & Politics* 7(3): 199, 207-27.

Krueger, Anne. 1996. 'Contrasts in Transition to Market-Oriented Economies: India and Korea. Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December.

Platteau, Jean-Philippe and Yujiro Hayami. 1996. 'Resource endowments and Agricultural Development: Africa vs. Asia. Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December.

Rodrik, Dani. 1996. 'TFPG Controversies, Institutions, and Economic Performance'. Working paper presented at the International Economic Association Conference in Tokyo, Japan. December.

White, Lynn. 1978. *Medieval Religion and Technology*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

### **UNU/WIDER Working Papers**

WP1 Food, Economics and Entitlements by Amartya Sen, February 1986

WP2 Decomposition of Normalization Axiom in the Measurement of Poverty: A Comment by Nanak Kakwani, March 1986

WP3 The Intertemporal Effects of International Transfers by Pertti Haaparanta, April 1986

WP4 Income Inequality, Welfare and Poverty in a Developing Economy with Applications to Sri Lanka by Nanak Kakwani, April 1986

WP5 Liberalization of Capital Movements and Trade: Real Appreciation, Employment and Welfare by Pertti Haaparanta and Juha Kähkönen, August 1986

WP6 Dual Exchange Markets and Intervention by Pertti Haaparanta, August 1986

WP7 Real and Relative Wage Rigidities - Wage Indexation in the Open Economy Staggered Contracts Model by Pertti Haaparanta, August 1986

WP8 On Measuring Undernutrition by Nanak Kakwani, December 1986

WP9 Is Sex Bias Significant? by Nanak Kakwani, December 1986

WP10 Adapting to Undernourishment: The Clinical Evidence and Its Implications by Partha Dasgupta and Debraj Ray, April 1987

WP11 Middle Powers in the International System: A Preliminary Assessment of Potential by Bernard Wood, June 1987

WP12 The International Debt Problem - Prospects and Solutions by Stephany Griffith-Jones, June 1987

WP13 Walras' Law by Don Patinkin, June 1987

WP14 Technological Stagnation, Tenurial Laws and Adverse Selection by Kaushik Basu, June 1987

WP15 Undernutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Critical Assessment of the Evidence by Peter Svedberg, June 1987

WP16 Controversies in Nutrition and Their Implications for the Economics of Food by S. R. Osmani, July 1987

WP17 Smallpox in Two Systems of Knowledge by Frédérique Apffel Marglin, July 1987

WP18 Gender and Cooperative Conflicts by Amartya Sen, July 1987

WP19 Africa and India: What Do We Have to Learn from Each Other? by Amartya Sen, August 1987

WP20 A Theory of Association: Social Status, Prices and Markets by Kaushik Basu, August 1987

WP21 A Theory of Surplus Labour by Kaushik Basu, August 1987

WP22 Some Reflections on Comparative Latin American Economic Performance and Policy by Albert Fishlow, August 1987

WP23 Post-Keynesian Theorists and the Theory of Economic Development by Sukhamoy Chakravarty, August 1987

WP24 Economic Reform in the USSR by Georgy Skorov, August 1987

WP25 Freedom of Choice: Concept and Content by Amartya Sen, August 1987

WP26 Ethiopian Famines 1973-1985: A Case-Study by Gopalakrishna Kumar, November 1987

WP27 Feeding China: The Experience since 1949 by Carl Riskin, November 1987

**WP28** Market Responses to Anti-Hunger Policies: Effects on Wages, Prices and Employment by Martin Ravallion, November 1987

WP29 The Food Problems of Bangladesh by S. R. Osmani, November 1987

WP30 Internal Criticism and Indian Rationalist Traditions by Martha Nussbaum and Amartya Sen, December 1987

WP31 Nature, Function and Capability: Aristotle on Political Distribution by Martha Nussbaum, December 1987

WP32 Non-Relative Virtues: An Aristotelian Approach by Martha Nussbaum, December 1987

WP33 Modernization and Its Discontents, a Perspective from the Sociology of Knowledge by Tariq Banuri, December 1987

WP34 Commodity Instability and Developing Countries: The Debate by Alfred Maizels, January 1988

WP35 Keynesianism and the Scandinavian Models of Economic Policy by Jukka Pekkarinen, February 1988

WP36 A New Paradigm of Work Organization: The Japanese Experience by Masahiko Aoki, February 1988

WP37 Conditionality: Facts, Theory and Policy - Contribution to the Reconstruction of the International Financial System by Dragoslav Avramovic, February 1988

WP38 Macropolicy in the Rise and Fall of the Golden Age by Gerald Epstein and Juliet Schor, February 1988

**WP39** Profit Squeeze and Keynesian Theory by Stephen Marglin and Amit Bhaduri, April 1988

**WP40** The Diversity of Unemployment Experience since 1973 by Bob Rowthorn and Andrew Glyn, April 1988

WP41 Economic Openness - Problems to the Century's End by Lance Taylor, April 1988

**WP42** The World Economic Slowdown and the Asian and Latin American Economies: A Comparative Analysis of Economic Structure, Policy and Performance by Alan Hughes and Ajit Singh, April 1988

**WP43** The Rise and Fall of the Golden Age by Andrew Glyn, Alan Hughes, Alan Lipietz and Ajit Singh, April 1988

**WP44** The Food Crisis in Africa: A Comparative Structural Analysis by Jean-Philippe Platteau, April 1988

WP45 Famine Prevention in India by Jean Drèze, May 1988

**WP46** A Model of Nutrition, Health and Economic Productivity by Peter Svedberg, September 1988

**WP47** Undernutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa: Is There a Sex-Bias? by Peter Svedberg, September 1988

**WP48** Wage Determination in Rural Labour Markets: The Theory of Implicit Co-operation by S. R. Osmani, December 1988

WP49 Social Security in South Asia by S. R. Osmani, December 1988

WP50 Food and the History of India - An 'Entitlement' Approach by S. R. Osmani, December 1988

WP51 Reform, Stabilization Policies, and Economic Adjustment in Poland by Grzegorz W. Kolodko, January 1989

WP52 Adjustment through Opening of Socialist Economies by Dariusz Rosati and Kalman Mizsei, January 1989

WP53 Reforming Process and Consolidation in the Soviet Economy by Andrei Vernikov, January 1989

WP54 Stabilisation and Reform in the Hungarian Economy of the late 1980s by Adam Török, March 1989

WP55 Economic System Reform in China by Zhang Yuyan, March 1989

WP56 Sectoral Balance: A Survey by Amitava Krishna Dutt, March 1989

WP57 Financial Markets and Governments by Robert Pringle, June 1989

WP58 Grassroots Strategies and Directed Development in Tanzania: The Case of the Fishing Sector by Marja-Liisa Swantz, August 1989

**WP59** Defending the Right to Subsist: The State vs. the Urban Informal Economy in Tanzania by Aili Mari Tripp, August 1989

**WP60** A Proposal for "COoperative Relief of Debt in Africa" (CORDA) by Jacques H. Drèze, Albert Kervyn de Lettenhove, Jean-Philippe Platteau and Paul Reding, August 1989

WP61 Limited Liability and the Existence of Share Tenancy by Kaushik Basu, August 1989

WP62 Black Markets, Openness, and Central Bank Autonomy by Tariq Banuri, August 1989

WP63 The Soft Option of the Reserve Currency Status by Amit Bhaduri, August 1989

**WP64** Exchange Controls and Policy Autonomy - The Case of Australia 1983-88 by Andrew Glyn, August 1989

**WP65** Capital Mobility and Policy Effectiveness in a Solvency Crisis. The Mexican Economy in the 1980s by Jaime Ros, August 1989

WP66 Quality of Life Measures in Health Care and Medical Ethics by Dan W. Brock, August 1989

**WP67** Descriptions of Inequality. The Swedish Approach to Welfare Research by Robert Erikson, August 1989

WP68 Justice, Gender and International Boundaries by Onora O'Neill, August 1989

WP69 The Relativity of the Welfare Concept by Bernard M. S. van Praag, August 1989

WP70 Objectivity and the Science/Ethics Distinction by Hilary Putnam, August 1989

**WP71** Distributing Health: The Allocation of Resources by an International Agency by John E. Roemer, August 1989

WP72 Explanation and Practical Reason by Charles Taylor, August 1989

WP73 International Capital Markets and the Limits of National Economic Policy by Gerald Epstein and Herbert Gintis, October 1989

WP74 Openness, Innovation and Share Ownership: The Changing Structure of Financial Markets by A. D. Cosh, A. Hughes and A. Singh, October 1989

WP75 Are World Financial Markets more Open? If so Why and with What Effects? by Robert B. Zevin, October 1989

WP76 Gap Disequilibria: Inflation, Investment, Saving and Foreign Exchange by Lance Taylor, October 1989

WP77 Soviet Economy: Opening up and Stabilization by Andrei Vernikov, October 1989

**WP78** The International Debt Problem: Could Someone Please Explain It to Me? by Kaushik Basu, October 1989

**WP79** Rural Women, Informal Sector and Household Economy in Tanzania by C. K. Omari, October 1989

**WP80** Well-Being: Foundations, and the Extent of Its Realization in Poor Countries by Partha Dasgupta, October 1989

**WP81** Stabilization Policy in Poland. Challenges and Constraints by Grzegorz W. Kolodko, Marian Ostrowski and Dariusz Rosati, February 1990

**WP82** Food Deprivation and Undernutrition in Rural Bangladesh by S. R. Osmani, February 1990

WP83 Modified Planned Economies at the Crossroads: The Case of Hungary by Kálman Mizsei and Adám Török, March 1990

WP84 The Environment as a Commodity by Partha Dasgupta, March 1990

**WP85** Determinants of Female Labor Force Participation in the Middle East and North Africa by V. M. Moghadam, May 1990

**WP86** Political Theories of Development Cooperation - A Study of Theories of International Cooperation by Lauri Siitonen, July 1990

**WP87** Gender and Restructuring: Perestroika, the 1989 Revolutions, and Women by Valentine M. Moghadam, November 1990

WP88 Commodity Price Fluctuations and Macro-economic Adjustments in the Developed Countries by Walter C. Labys and Alfred Maizels, November 1990

WP89 Oil Driven Macroeconometric Model of Kuwait by Siddig A. Salih, William H. Branson and Yusuf H. Al Ebraheem, March 1991

WP90 Property Rights and Economic Reform in Uzbekistan by Simon Johnson and Bakhtior Islamov, September 1991

**WP91** Spontaneous Privatization in the Soviet Union. How, Why and for Whom? by Simon Johnson, September 1991

WP92 Accelerating Privatization in Eastern Europe: The Case of Poland by Jeffrey D. Sachs, September 1991

**WP93** The Emergence and Stabilization of Extreme Inflationary Pressures in the Soviet Union by Ardo H. Hansson, September 1991

**WP94** The Importance of Being Earnest: Early Stages of the West German Wirtschaftswunder by Ardo H. Hansson, September 1991

**WP95** Understanding the "Informal Sector": A Survey by Madhura Swaminathan, December 1991

WP96 Reforming the Soviet Union: Lessons from Structural Experience by Stanislav V. Zhukov and Alexander Yu. Vorobyov, January 1992

WP97 Managing Renewable Natural Capital in Africa by Siddig A. Salih, February 1992

WP98 Rural Poverty, Public Policy and Social Change: Some Findings from Surveys of Six Villages by Haris Gazdar, May 1992

WP99 Development and Patriarchy: The Middle East and North Africa in Economic and Demographic Transition by Valentine M. Moghadam, July 1992

WP100 Lush Fields and Parched Throats: The Political Economy of Groundwater in Gujarat by Bela Bhatia, August 1992

WP101 Utilities, Preferences and Substantive Goods by John C. Harsanyi, December 1992

WP102 The Ethiopian Famines, Entitlements and Governance by Derseh Endale, February 1993

WP103 External Imbalances, Famines and Entitlements: A Case Study by Derseh Endale, February 1993

WP104 Rural Markets, Food-Grain Prices and Famines: A Study on Selected Regions in Ethiopia by Derseh Endale, February 1993

WP105 Production Aspects of Russian Transition by Alexander Yu. Vorobyov, June 1993

WP106 Monetary Aspects of Russian Transition by Stanislav Zhukov, June 1993

WP107 The Entitlement Approach to Famine: An Assessment by S. R. Osmani, June 1993

WP108 Growth and Entitlements: The Analytics of the Green Revolution by S. R. Osmani, June 1993

WP109 Is There a Conflict between Growth and Welfarism? The Tale of Sri Lanka by S. R. Osmani, June 1993

WP110 Social Protection and Women Workers in Asia by Valentine M. Moghadam, June 1993

WP111 The Government Budget and the Economic Transformation of Poland by Alain de Crombrugghe and David Lipton, July 1993

WP112 Decentralized Socialism and Macroeconomic Stability: Lessons from China by Gang Fan and Wing Thye Woo, July 1993

**WP113** Transforming an Economy while Building a Nation: The Case of Estonia by Ardo H. Hansson, July 1993

**WP114** The J-curve is a Gamma-curve: Initial Welfare Consequences of Price Liberalization in Eastern Europe by Bryan W. Roberts, July 1993

WP115 The Restructuring Process of Rural Russian Karelia: A Case Study of Two Karelian Villages by Eira Varis, February 1994

WP116 Market Reforms and Women Workers in Vietnam: A Case Study of Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City by Valentine M. Moghadam, July 1994

WP117 Sustainable Ecosystem in Africa: Managing Natural Forest in Sudan by Siddig A. Salih, December 1994

WP118 Employment-Based Safety Nets: Exploring an Alternative Approach to Limit the Adverse Consequences of Recurrent Droughts in Ethiopia by Derseh Endale, April 1995

**WP119** The Economics of Complex Humanitarian Emergencies: Preliminary Approaches and Findings by E. Wayne Nafziger, September 1996

**WP120** Health Effects of Market-Based Reforms in Developing Countries by Germano Mwabu, September 1996

WP121 Country Responses to Massive Capital Flows by Manuel F. Montes, September 1996

WP122 Long-Term Growth and Welfare in Transitional Economies: The Impact of Demographic, Investment and Social Policy Changes by Giovanni Andrea Cornia, Juha Honkkila, Renato Paniccià and Vladimir Popov, December 1996

**WP123** Promoting Education within the Context of a Neo-patrimonial State: The Case of Nigeria by Daniel Edevbaro, January 1997

**WP124** Evolution of the Women's Movement in Contemporary Algeria: Organization, Objectives and Prospects by Cherifa Bouatta, February 1997

WP125 Privatization, Asset Distribution and Equity in Transitional Economies by Juha Honkkila, February 1997

WP126 Economic Shocks, Impoverishment and Poverty-Related Mortality during the Eastern European Transition by Renato Paniccià, March 1997

WP127 User Charges for Health Care: A Review of the Underlying Theory and Assumptions by Germano Mwabu, March 1997

WP128 The Process of Economic Change by Douglass C. North, March 1997

Please note that certain WP issues are no longer available. For further information, please contact UNU/WIDER Publications at the address given at the beginning of this publication.