



*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*No endorsement of AgEcon Search or its fundraising activities by the author(s) of the following work or their employer(s) is intended or implied.*

# DEVELOPING REGIONAL INPUT-OUTPUT MODELS FROM THE U.N. FORMAT ADOPTED BY THE U.S. IN THE NEW 1972 INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL: COMMENT

Mark Henry

In a recent article in this journal, DiPietre, Walker, and Martella presented the derivation of the Total Requirements Tables for the 1972 U.S. input-output study. As this same derivation is available from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, it is unfortunate that the article contains a minor algebraic error.

The error is made in the derivation of equation 7 in the article and is carried through equations 8 and 9. The authors state (p. 146) that "substituting equation 3 into equation 2 gives:

$$(7) \quad G - H = D\hat{Q}."$$

G is defined to be a  $(n \times 1)$  vector of total industry output

H is defined to be a  $(n \times 1)$  vector of scrap production

D is defined to be a  $(n \times m)$  market share table in decimal percentages

$\hat{Q}$  is defined to be a  $(m \times m)$  matrix of zeros except for the main diagonal which contains the vector Q (a  $(m \times 1)$  vector of total commodity outputs plus scrap and non-comparable imports).

Clearly, equation 7 is wrong because the matrix dimension resulting from the  $D\hat{Q}$  opera-

tion is a  $(n \times m)$  matrix whereas the  $G - H$  operation results in a  $(n \times 1)$  vector.

The proper derivation of equation 7 is from substituting equation 3 into equation 2 as follows.

$$(2) \quad G = Vi + H$$

where  $i$  is  $(m \times 1)$  summation vector of 1's, and

$$(3) \quad V = D\hat{Q}$$

where  $V = (n \times m)$  Make Table. Then,

$$G = D\hat{Q}i + H$$

or

$$(7) \quad G - H = DQ.$$

The correct equations 8 and 9 will then be

$$(8') \quad G = (I - \hat{P})^{-1} DQ$$

and

$$(9') \quad G = WQ.$$

Starting with equation 10, the proper definition of G is used in the article. The minor error detracts little from an otherwise lucid presentation of the derivation of the 1972 U.S. Total Requirements Tables.<sup>1</sup>

## REFERENCES

- Bureau of Economic Analysis. "Mathematical Derivation of the Total Requirements Tables for the 1972 Input-Output Study." Misc. Pap. No. 40, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, Feb. 1979.
- DiPietre, Dennis D., R. L. Walker, and D. R. Martella. "Developing Regional Input-Output Models From the U.N. Format Adopted by the U.S. in the New 1972 Input-Output Model." *S. J. Agr. Econ.* 12(1980):143-54.

Mark Henry is Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Clemson University.

<sup>1</sup>DiPietre, Walker and Martella acknowledge and thank Dr. Henry for the correction.

