

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C. **Title of the Presentation**

Access to Water Estates and Food Security Outcome: Evidence from Coastal Bangladesh

Author, Author Affiliation, and Author email

Samiul Haque: North South University, email: samiul.haque01@northsouth.edu Rushde Akbar: North South University Naveen Abedin: International Food Policy Research Institute

Selected Poster prepared for presentation at the 2019 Agricultural & Applied Economics Association Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, July 21-23

Copyright 2019 by [authors]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Access to Water Estates and Food Security Outcome: Evidence from Coastal Bangladesh Samiul Haque¹, Rushde Akbar² & Naveen Abedin³

Background:

- The world's poor and malnourished rely more heavily on fresh water fisheries as compared to marine or aquaculture sources
- In recent years, policy reforms have led to a transition from open-access to right-based fishing access regimes
- Contributions of small-scale fisheries towards poverty alleviation and food security is poorly quantified
- There is a lack of precise information on the role of fisheries on food security at the individual and household level (Béné et. al 2016)
- The overall status of food security in Bangladesh is low. Addressing food security is one of the top priorities for the government

Bangladesh & Water Estates

- Bangladesh has joined the bandwagon of rights-based fishing access regime for about 120, 000 water estates (*jalmahals* in the Bangla language) that are owned by the state.
- Through the Jalmahal Management Policy 2009 (amended in 2012), access rights to these water estates are assigned to registered fishers' association for a period of one to three years, through negotiation with local leasing committees formed at the district and upaliza levels.
- There are concerns that lease to water estates usually go to the local elites who acquire exclusive rights to fishing access by using their social power
- From a policy perspective, an access regime that helps raise the lower tail of the macro and micro-nutrient intake distribution is often more appreciated than one that raises perhaps the median or higher tail of the distribution

Objectives

- Quantify the mean effect of fishing access to water estates, under a rights-based access regime, on calorie, protein, iron and zinc intake by households from four villages that surround two water estates in southern Bangladesh.
- Quantify the effect of access to water estates on the entire unconditional *distribution* of household **calorie**, **protein**, **iron** and **zinc** intake distribution.

Model

The household wants to maximize utility:

$$U_i = f(c_{i,j}, X_i)$$

s.t: $p_c c_{ij} + X_i = j(e_i + m - f) + (1 - j)(e_i)$

where $c_{i,j}$ is the consumption of calories by household *i*; *j* = 1 if the household has access to water estate, and j=0 otherwise; X is the consumption of all other goods whose price has been normalized to 1. We assume that each household is endowed with a non-water-estate income of e_i . If the household wants access to water estate it must pay a fee of f. Access to water estate may generate some income m. The Marshallian demand for calorie consumption is:

 $c_{i,i} = \gamma(j(e_i + m - f) + (1 - j)(e_i), p_c)$

Data

- The water estates were selected using purposive sampling
- Barguna and Patuakhali district was selected from a total of nineteen coastal districts. For the Barguna district, the Candra water estate was selected; and for the Patualhali district, the Keshobpur water estate selected. Two adjacent villages located within four kilometers of each water estate was selected.
- Households were selected using systematic random sample using probability weights based on village population.
- Food consumption was measured using the last three days recall method. The raw ingredients were matched to items listed in the Food Composition Table developed by Shaheen et al. 2013. The net edible portion of each food item was then converted to calories, protein, iron and zinc content. Individual intakes were then determined by distributing total calories/protein/iron/zinc among members in the household using adult male equivalent (AME) household size.

(1),

(2).

Empirical Framework

We employ a reduced form specification:

 $c_i = \mu + \delta D_i + \beta X_i + \gamma_i + \nu_i$

where c_i is calorie consumption by household *i*, μ is the intercept term, *D* is a binary indicator taking a value of "1" if the household has access to water estate and "0" otherwise, with δ being the parameter of interest. β is a vector of parameters associated control variables X. γ_i is the household specific effect and v_i is the idiosyncratic error. It is likely that unobserved household specific characteristics (γ_i), such as social network or political influence, is correlated with Access (D_i) . To address the issue of endogeneity we employ a 2SLS regression.

Choosing an Instrument:

The proximity of the household to the specified water estate, distance measured in km, is used as an instrument. Villagers living near the water estate are more likely to cooperate with each other when it comes to accessing the water estate. Whereas, people who live far away from the water estate may face social exclusion or too high of a transaction cost. Proximity of the household to the water estate is unlikely to have an independent effect on calorie consumption but only indirectly though access.

Unconditional Endogenous Quantile Treatment Effect :

- Unconditional quantile regression (UQR) estimates the effect of a change in the unconditional distribution of a covariate on the unconditional distribution of the outcome variable.
- We implement an unconditional instrumental quantile estimation technique (IVQTE) to assess the distributional effect of access to water estate on food security outcome (Frolich and Melly 2010; Frölich and Melly 2013).

Regression Results

Note: control variables include household level price index, total income, acres of land owned, value to total household assets, time needed to travel to the nearest market, household size, average education of household members and age composition of household members. We also have indicator variables that denote weather the household participates in any cultural or political association, the household head is a fisher, the household lived in the area for more than 21 years, the household engages in home-gardening, the household is female headed, and the household has a female wage earner. Water estate specific indicator variable is also a regressor. **IV-2SLS**

| | (1) | (2) |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Calories AME | Protein AME |
| | (kcal/day) | (g/day) |
| Access $(\hat{\delta})$ | 797.68*** | 42.48** |
| | (301.95) | (16.87) |

Unconditional Instrumental Quantile Treatment Effect (IVQTE) :

- broken lines denote 95% confidence interval from 3000 bootstrap replications
- unfilled blue circles denote estimates of quantile treatment effect
- τ denotes percentile



(3),

| (3) | (4) |
|----------|----------|
| Iron AME | Zinc AME |
| (mg/day) | (mg/day) |
| 6.62** | 6.41** |
| (3.32) | (2.74) |

• Daily recommended calorie intake is 2933 kcal/ day, as represented by the vertical black line

• Access to water estates has statistically significant and positive effect on the 6th, 7th and 8th deciles of the calorie distribution







Conclusion

- AME).
- value. But has statistically zero effect for those at the lowest deciles (10th -40th)
- Access to water estates improve calorie intake for those already close to the recommended daily • Access improves protein intake for those at 30th and 80th deciles of the protein distribution. • Access improves iron intake for those at 2nd decile of the iron distribution • Access improves zinc intake for those 7th and 8th deciles of the zinc distribution

Author Information

+88 01706366212, or email: samiul.haque01@northsouth.edu

³International Food Policy Research Institute, Bangladesh



Iron

- Daily recommended protein intake is 33 -66 g/ day
- 1.9% households had protein intake below the daily recommendation.
- Access water estates has to statistically significant and positive effect on the 3rd and 8th deciles of the protein distribution

- Daily recommended iron intake is 27.4 37.6 mg/ day
- 3.3% households had iron intake above the daily recommendation.
- Access estates has water to statistically significant and positive effect on the 2nd decile of the iron distribution

Zinc

- Daily recommended Zinc intake is 14 17.1 mg/ day, as represented by the two vertical black lines
- estates has Access water to statistically significant and positive effect on the 7th and 8th decile of the zinc distribution

• Access to water estates has a positive and statistically significant mean effect on for food security outcome (as measured by intake of calories, protein, iron and zinc

- ^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, North South University. Contact via phone: