

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

The Efficacy of Crop Insurance and Commodity Title Programs in Reducing Risk on Midwest Grain Farms

Gary Schnitkey, Krista Swanson, Nick Paulson, and Jonathan Coppess University of Illinois





Objectives and Approach

Objective

- Examine the importance of crop insurance and commodity title programs in reducing risk
 - Is ARC or PLC more beneficial in reducing risk?
 - How much does crop insurance reduce risk?
 - Does the combination of commodity title and crop insurance reduce risk?

Approach

- Simulate financial performance of a Midwest grain farm over five years (2018 through 2022)
- Evaluate performance under:
 - No program
 - ARC and PLC
 - Revenue Protection (RP) at 75, 80 and 85% levels
 - Combinations of the above





Price/Yield Model

- For each year, simulate
 - County yield (corn, soybeans)
 - Farm yield (corn, soybeans)
 - Harvest price (corn, soybeans)
 - Market year average price (corn, soybeans)
- Across years
 - Trend yield increases in yields
 - Projected price based on lagged prices



Within Year Price/Yield Model

- County yields (CYi) distributed Weibull
- Harvest price (HPi)is distributed lognormally with mean equal to project price (PPi)
- Farm yields (FYi) distributed Weibull, with mean the same as county yield (County-to-farm correlation = .74 for corn and .72 for soybeans)
- Market Year Average price (MYAi) is a linear function of harvest price
- Projected prices in next year is a function of prices in previous year

Correlation Matrix

	CY _c	CY_{b}	HP_{c}	HP _b
CY _c	1	0.75	-0.68	-0.42
CY _b	0.75	1	-0.52	-0.52
HP _c	-0.68	-0.52	1	0.60
HP _b	-0.42	-0.52	0.60	1

Farm Yield drift up by trend each year 2.0 bushels per acre for corn, .5 for soybeans

Long-run prices: Corn = \$3.70, Soybeans = \$9.39



Base Farm

- Farm Characteristics and Starting Financials
 - Average of Actual Farms in Region and Farm Size
 - McLean County, Central Illinois High Productivity
- Farm Characteristics
 - 1,700 Acres on 50/50 rotation of corn and soybeans
 - 14% Owned, 45% share rented, 41% cash (\$261) rent
 - One scenario with all cash rent
- Starting Financials
 - Net Worth: \$3.67 million
 - Debt-To-Asset Ratio: 26.0%



Commodity Title

ARC

- County revenue program whose guarantee is 86% of Five-year Olympic average of prices and yields
- 2018 Farm Bill modifications
 - RMA yields instead of NASS
 - Trend-adjusted benchmark
 - Benchmark price escalator provision

PLC

- Makes payments based when market average price is below effective reference price
- Effective reference price is minimum of
 - Reference price (\$3.70 for corn, \$8.40 for soybeans)
 - Escalator provision: 85% of fiveyear moving average of prices



Expected Yields, Prices, PLC, and ARC Payments

	Yield		MYA Prices		PLC Payments		ARC Payment	
Year	Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybeans	Corn	Soybeans
	Bu/acre	Bu/acre	\$/bu	\$/bu	\$/b acre	\$/b acre	\$/b acre	\$/b acre
2018	198	60	3.76	9.35	\$30	\$11	11	6
2019	199	61	3.77	9.38	\$43	\$11	15	9
2020	201	61	3.77	9.36	\$49	\$12	11	7
2021	202	62	3.77	9.36	\$49	\$12	12	7
2022	205	62	3.78	9.39	\$49	\$12	10	6







) ל



	75%	80%	85%
Expected Payment	\$8.60	\$20.25	\$36.08
Farmer-Paid Premium	\$2.49	\$5.38	\$12.55
Difference	\$6.11	\$14.87	\$23.53

RP Policies, Corn, 2020



193 bushels per acre APH

ב כ

Percent

	75%	80%	85%		
Expected Payment	\$3.24	\$6.06	10.05		
Farmer-Paid Premium	\$1.79	\$3.61	\$7.52		NP PU
Difference	\$1.45	\$2.45	\$3.53	D	vistribution of RP Pay
			10	00%	
			9	90%	_
			٤	30%	
61 bushel TA-	APH	7	70%		
			, t	50%	
			ercer	50 %	
			đ 4	¥ 0 %	
			53	30%	
			2	20%	
			1	L 0 %	

0%

0

0-20

20-40

RP Policies, Soybeans, 2020

Distribution of RP Payments by Coverage Level, Soybeans, 2020, \$ per base Acre

■ 75% ■ 80% ■ 85%

60-80

Range of Payment

80-100

100-120

40-60

140-160

160-180

> 180

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

<mark>כ</mark>

Simulation results

) נ

							With RP 85%		
	No Program	ARC	PLC	RP 75%	RP 80%	RP 85%	ARC	PLC	PLC-SCO
Mean farm Incom	ies								
2018	76,201	85,149	94,107	80,494	85,037	90,484	99,432	108,390	112,611
2019	25,959	38,981	55,441	30,195	34,685	40,143	53,145	69,615	73,667
2020	34,946	45,955	68,864	49,719	58,604	68,237	79,115	101,845	108,912
2021	37,413	49,299	73,258	53,360	62,931	73,487	85,197	108,881	116,619
2022	46,591	57,587	83,821	62,940	72,596	83,248	94,020	119,845	127,174
Net Worth in 2022 (2018 net worth = \$3,670,000)									
Mean	3,445,814	3,501,245	3,592,545	3,499,549	3,527,954	3,559,894	3,610,455	3,691,209	3,712,934
5% VAR	2,525,973	2,710,438	2,958,510	2,610,801	2,658,989	2,709,991	2,885,004	3,114,975	3,137,393
Debt-to-Asset Ratio in 2018 (2018 debt-to-asset ratio = .26)									
Mean	29%	28%	26%	28%	29%	26%	26%	24%	24%
5% VAR	54%	49%	42%	52%	50%	49%	44%	38%	38%

If all cash rented land instead of share rent (\$261 cash rent)

Mean: 28%

5% VAR: 46%







farmdoc

Summary

- PLC is more effective at reducing risk than ARC
 - Price scenario matters
- PLC reduces low end distributions more than RP
 - Price scenario matters
- The combination of PLC and RP reduces risks more



