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> Abstract
> This report updates a 1993 study and looks at how education, cooperative function, and geography influence compensation of cooperative employees. Unlike the original report, this one addresses director compensation. Compensation varied from per diem and travel to set fees for attending meetings.

> Financial performance of the cooperative was the basis for bonuses, setting salaries, and evaluating employees. Compared with other regions, employees in the East/South Central received the largest salaries. The exception were sales representatives in the West.

> Benefits-life and disability insurance and educational assistance- were paid solely by the cooperative. Paid vacations/holidays and paid sick days were most commonly offered to all employees.

Keywords: Salaries, compensation, benefits, cooperative.

## Cooperative Employee Compensation, 1999

Beverly L. Rotan
Rural Business-Cooperative Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture

RBS Research Report 189
October 2001

## Preface

This report updates a 1993 study and takes a comprehensive look at salaries and fringe benefits that cooperatives offer to their mid- to upper-level employees, as well as comparing new information with information obtained from cooperatives in the original report. Added to the "new" survey was a question pertaining to the compensation of directors which the original survey did not address.

Out of 400 cooperatives, 300 were randomly selected. One hundred cooperatives were respondents from the original study. Included in this sample were marketing and farm supply cooperatives with sales of at least $\$ 500,000$.

From the population, 4 percent either were out of business or did not meet the criteria for the report. This brought the population down from 400 to 384 and the response rate to 45 percent. Fifty-five of the original cooperatives responded. Of the remaining cooperatives, 119 or 48 percent returned completed surveys.
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As with any organization, cooperatives seek to hire competent employees. Salaries and benefits are key in attracting some employees. Other factors includes geographical locations and job titles.

Benefits may be direct (retirement, health and life insurance, educational assistance, and bonuses-performance based and yearly) or indirect (paid vacations, sick/personal days, use of company car, or payment for mileage when a private car is used on cooperative business). These benefits may be paid solely by the cooperative, by the employee, or by both.

Unlike the original, the "new" survey inquired about director compensation. Although directors' fees and expenses were a small part of total costs, director compensation was important to many cooperatives. This fee served as a small incentive for farmers to sacrifice time normally spent on their own operations devoting several hours of service each month to guiding their cooperative.

Some of the most significant survey findings are:

- Most respondents said that employees had bachelor's or associate's degrees.
- Job responsibility was ranked first by a majority of respondents for all job categories in setting salaries. Performance ranked next.
- Thirty-four percent indicated that all employees listed in the survey received bonuses, usually based on financial performance of these cooperative.
- Cooperatives alone were more likely to pay for life and disability insurance and educational assistance for the general and office managers. Retirement benefits were usually shared by the employee and the cooperative. More responses indicated that programs of locals were tied to their regional's retirement plan.
- Directors were usually given per diem for travel while on cooperative business along with a fee for attending board meetings. Annual expenses for directors ranged from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 6,000$.
- The East/South Central region had the highest salaries for all job categories except for sales representatives in the West.


# Cooperative Employee Compensation, 1999 

Beverly L. Rotan<br>Rural Business-Cooperative Service<br>U.S. Department of Agriculture

In today's economy, companies are undergoing major downsizing and mergers. The loss of competitive employees may cost some companies margins. Attracting and keeping a smaller productive workforce may be a challenge. Cooperatives must offer employees compensation commensurate with their skills and experience if they are to meet this challenge. Compensation can be both direct (e.g., salaries) and indirect (benefits). It is important to provide a proper mix of direct and indirect compensation to attract and keep competent employees. Competent employees will enhance margins and membership savings for cooperatives.

Although there are no universal norms to guide the choice of what to pay any particular employee, some internal and external factors should be considered. Cost management (sales and operating expenses); job responsibilities; the employee's background, experience and/or skills; and the number of employees needed are some of the internal factors that may influence salaries and benefits for employees. External factors include Federal and State Government policies and regulations, the labor market, and geographical locations.

## General Survey Results

Data for this report were obtained in 1999 from 100 original respondents as well as 300 cooperatives from a random sample. A total of 174 surveys were returned--or 45 percent of the active cooperatives in the sample. Figure 1 shows 35 percent were grain, 30 percent farm supplies, and 15 percent fruit and vegetables and other marketing cooperatives (livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing and miscel-

Figure 1-Cooperative type

${ }^{1}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives ,cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous.
laneous). Sales ranged from a low of $\$ 524,895$ to a high of $\$ 800$ million. Cooperatives sampled provided marketing services and farm supplies.

Questions regarding educational background, salaries, benefits offered, principal products marketed or sold, sales, directors' compensation, and any changes that occurred in the past 5 years were included.

This report also looked at overall responses. They were broken down by overall results, cooperative function, region, and sales groupings. The breakdown by cooperative function is limited to salaries and benefits for the five job categories. Figures 2 and 3 depict the percentages for five regions and four size groups.

Figure 2-Regions ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Region 1 = East/South Central; Region 2 = Corn Belt; Region 3 = Lake States; Region $4=$ Northern Plains; and Region $5=$ West

There were five job categories in this study:

1. The chief executive officer (CEO), president, or general manager: executes policies established by the board to achieve the cooperative's objective.
2. The office manager, accountant, or controller: keeps timely and accurate records of cooperative's financial standing and, in some cases, carries out policies set forth by the CEO or cooperative board.
3. The division manager: carries out and supports policies and objectives as they apply to a particular division.
4. The field representative: uses expertise on how best to cultivate land through soil testing and other tools and recommends appropriate products and innovative technological trends to improve farm operations.
5. The sales representative: keeps abreast of new products on the market and meets with farmers to take orders and inform them of new products. Some sales representatives also make deliveries.

## Education

The survey sought to learn of the educational level of employees in each job category. Levels of education included a high school, some college, or college graduate with a bachelor's, associate's, master's or doctoral degree. None of the employees had a doctoral degrees so this level was not included in the tables.

Through all job categories, most employees had associate's or bachelor's degrees. Except for division mangers and sales representatives, the trend was for employees to have some college experience. The per-

Figure 3-Cooperative Size

centage of division managers with a high school education or bachelor's/associate's degrees was the same, 38 percent (table 1).

## Compensation

Compensation for employees came in indirect and direct forms. Indirect compensation included benefits that ranged from paid vacations to educational assistance. Direct compensation included most exclusively salary, wages, pay, and bonuses. The survey did not ask respondents to differentiate between base salary and additional bonuses. Some salaries probably included bonuses.

Salaries-These are usually affected by longevity. Some reported a salary range rather than the current salary for each of their positions to protect privacy of employees. When the salary range was given, the average of the high and low was used to produce a single observation for aggregating the data.

In a few instances, it appears that respondents reported salaries for part-time or seasonal employment. Reporting part-time employment makes the averages lower. Table 2 includes descriptive statistics for salaries: low, high, median, average, and the number of observations below and above the average. In this case, the median or midpoint more reliably indicates what most cooperatives are paying employees because unlike the average, it is not affected by observations that might be clustered at one extreme or the other. The data may be skewed as indicated by this latter measure and by the difference between the mean

| Table 1-Educational background of employees, $1999{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of education | General manager | Office manager | Division manage | Field representative | Sales representative |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| Number of respondents | 163 | 168 | 112 | 83 | 105 |
| High School | 16 | 24 | 38 | 27 | 37 |
| Some College | 25 | 26 | 21 | 22 | 20 |
| College Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's/Associate's | 51 | 43 | 38 | 51 | 40 |
| Master's | 8 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ There were no employees with a doctoral degree.

Table 2-Annual salary by employee category, 1999

|  | ${\text { Salary range }{ }^{1}}^{\text {Job }}$ <br> Jotegory |  |  |  | High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees. Also, not every respondent answered for all jobs or salary ranges.
and the median. Except for the general manager, the average is larger than the median. More observations fell below the average because of fewer relatively high salaries.

As expected, the general manager had the highest median salary followed by the division manager's and office manager's salary. Some respondents may have substituted division manager for assistant manager. The office manager's and field representative's median salaries were the same at $\$ 35,000$.

Salary Ranking—Respondents were asked to rank specific factors that contribute to setting salaries. Rankings were from 1 (most important) to 6 . Evidently, more explanation in the survey's instruction may have been needed because many respondents used the 1 to 6 as a measure of importance for each factor separately, rather than indicating each factor's order of importance. As a result, two or more factors were often
given the same rank.
Also, some factors were assigned no rank, presumably because respondents considered these as having no impact on salary determination.

Job responsibilities, decision-making capabilities, performance, experience, education, and the impact of other firms in the area were the ranking factors. The survey had listed these factors in the expected order of importance for most jobs. The results generally reflected this pattern. Some bias seems to have occurred, but critical factor differences did show up. For example, job responsibility was ranked " 1 " by a majority of respondents for all job categories except sales representative. For that job, the third listed factor (performance) was the most important. This may reflect that it is more feasible to monitor a sales representative by using sales performance. It is also interesting to find that performance was the next highest ranked factor for all job categories except for the general manager.

Decision-making capabilities was a key factor for general management. Respondents ranked it just slightly less than job responsibility for that job category. What other firms in the area were paying was regarded as the least important factor in all job categories except the office and division manager. For the remaining job categories--general manager, field, and sales represen-tatives-the least important factor was education.

The survey also asked if performance was a factor in setting salaries, how performance was evaluated/measured, and by whom. Evaluations are usually conducted by the board of directors for management and by general management for all other employees. Most respondents indicated that the cooperative's financial and employee's job performance were the basis for evaluating most employees. Unlike in the previous study, personality and attitude traits (neatness, ambition, dependability, accuracy, timeliness, and common sense) were less important factors for evaluation, although in some cases they were mentioned by a few respondents. About 10 mentioned personality and attitude traits.

Some new responses included: 1) cost of goods manufactured, 2) how fruit is stored, 3) expenses, 4) return on invested capital, 5) controlling cost, 6) salary surveys, 7) rebates to members, 8) attitude productivity, 9) meeting objectives, 10) return vs. competitors, 11) employee management, 12) effectiveness of each department, 13) CENEX's evaluation method, 14) job responsibilities, 15) gallons of sale, 16) membership feedback, 17) innovation, 18) market pay ranges, 19) management by objectives, 20) competitiveness, 21) achieved goals, 22) return on assets and local equity, 23) total volume handled, 24) operation smoothness, and 25) how nearly objectives were met.

Bonuses-These were used to reward performance of the employee and can be viewed as an added benefit and / or salary. Respondents were asked if bonuses/commissions were given to employees. The number of responses is larger than the total number of cooperatives because in some instances, all employees in all five job categories were given bonuses. There are 870 possible responses (one response for 174 cooperatives with five job categories). Thirty- four percent of the responses indicated bonuses were given. The percentages of bonuses were divided almost evenly between all job categories, from 24 to 18 percent.

The basis for receiving bonuses included financial performance factors (margins, net earnings, profit centers), gross volume/sales, percentage of top quality
product, performance, sales growth, return on equity, how cooperative performs compared with industry averages, management by objectives, nonmember activities, and "other." Some respondents indicated bonuses were paid but they did not indicate the basis and were placed in the "other" category. Table 3 indicated responses given.

In some instances, the job category revealed the reasons a bonus was given. General managers, office managers, division managers, and field representatives were more likely to be given bonuses based on financial performance factors. Sales representatives were more likely to be given bonuses based on gross volume or number of sales.

## Benefits

Those such as retirement; health, life, and disability insurance; and educational assistance can be paid for by the cooperative, the employee, or both parties. Educational assistance may range from paid seminars and short courses to full college courses. Most benefits across all job categories were either paid by the cooperative or shared by it and the employee. Two patterns surfaced. First, the cooperative paid for life, health and disability insurance, and educational assistance for the general manager and office manager. Secondly, more than likely retirement was paid by both the cooperative and the employee (table 4).

Responses ranged widely about what formula was used for retirement/pension plans. Some plans are financed solely by the cooperative, the employee may have shared some percentage based on salary or service time, the employee pays all, or there was no retirement plan. Some cooperatives may offer more than one retirement plan. Twelve cooperatives said they paid all retirement plan costs under a defined program. In most cases, these cooperative retirement plans were tied to years of service. When the cooperative and employees shared the cost of retirement benefits, a variety of formulas were used.

These formulas included: "cooperative matches," "cooperative pays a percentage," and "profit sharing" (percentage of net profit/wages). The formulas ranged from employer paying 2 percent of gross salary, employer contributes $11 / 2$ percent if profit is $\$ 100,000$; cooperative matches 50 percent of employees contribution; minimum 2 percent of salary or 10 percent of net divided by all participants whichever is greater; cooperative pays administrative costs plus matches 50 percent of employees' contribution; cooperative matches 6 percent of employee contribution (cooperative pays 5 percent to employees 10 percent);

| Job category | Number of responses | Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General manager | 36 | Percent of net, annual pay, profit, percent of local earnings, percent of gross sales, yearly profit/volume, board decisions, annual cooperative income, discretionary performance, how cooperative performs compared to industry average, net profits, performance, percent of years and wages, Christmas bonuses, shopman gets 20 percent of shop labor, and return on equity. |
| Office manager | 22 | Percent of annual pay, percent of salary, 1 percent of local earnings, 2 to 5 percent, gross sales, annual profit, how cooperative performs compared to industry averages, local earnings, local net profits, local profits, local returns, profit, work done and profit, sales, net of department, percent of wages, and return on equity. |
| Division manager | 24 | Performance, annual cooperative profits, cooperative annual income, how cooperative performs compared with industry averages, local earnings, local profit, local net profit, net profits, profits, sales, percent of wages, and return on equity. |
| Field representative | 16 | 1 percent of local earnings, acres applied, cooperative annual income, growth, local net profits, net profits, performance, sales (five times), sales increase, sales volume, profit centers, and volume. |
| Sales representative | 21 | Profit center, percent of wages, sales (seven times) volume, sales volume, sales increase, performance (two times), net profit, local profit, local net profits, how cooperative performs compared to industry averages, 2 to 5 percent of gross sales, and 1 percent of local earnings. |
| All categories given bonuses | 46 | Merit, profit, performance, cooperative net income, profitability, and non-member activities, pretax profit, percent of profit, net margins, obtain goals and objectives, net, longevity, local net profit, year-end financial statement, cooperative performance compared to private business, cooperative and individual performance, overall profitability, bonus tied to performance and management by objectives, Christmas bonus, board decisions, 6 percent of salaries, 5 percent of net local savings, percent of everything over \$5,000, 4 percent based on a 40-hour work week salary, 12 percent of annual salary, 1 percent of profit, percent of yearly profits, percent of local profits, percent of improvement on profit, and percent of net profit. |

cooperative matches employees' contribution (anywhere from 1 to 50 percent of employees' contribution); cooperative contributes 5 percent of net savings; investment mutual funds; cooperatives matches $2 / 3$ to employees' $1 / 3$ contribution; employee contributes 75 percent, cooperative 25 percent; etc. In most cases, there was a "cut off" of the amount or percentage of the cooperative's contribution-usually a percent of salary. Three respondents were affiliated with their regional's retirement plan.

For other cooperatives, pension plans such as the 401 K plan were used. These were either paid exclusively by employees or by both the cooperative and the employee. Again, the contribution by cooperative and employee varied greatly.

Other Benefits—These included "perks" or extras that an organization may offer of employees. Respondents were asked if their cooperative offered paid vacations/holidays and sick days, use of company car, first class travel, and mileage (private

Table 4-Benefits offered and how paid, 1999

| Job category and who pays | Retirement | Health insurance | Life insurance | Disability insurance | Educational assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| Number of respondents | 150 | 153 | 138 | 133 | 91 |
| General Manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative pays | 30 | 50 | 70 | 85 | 62 |
| Employee pays | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| Both pay | 65 | 48 | 24 | 13 | 31 |
| Number of respondents | 147 | 153 | 138 | 130 | 95 |
| Office Manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative pays | 30 | 50 | 69 | 86 | 64 |
| Employee pays | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Both pay | 65 | 48 | 23 | 12 | 28 |
| Number of respondents | 104 | 106 | 99 | 93 | 75 |
| Division Manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative pays | 27 | 43 | 68 | 86 | 64 |
| Employee pays | 6 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| Both pay | 67 | 55 | 25 | 10 | 28 |
| Number of respondents | 74 | 76 | 70 | 65 | 58 |
| Field Representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative pays | 26 | 41 | 69 | 83 | 67 |
| Employee pays | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Both pay | 72 | 59 | 27 | 12 | 24 |
| Number of respondents | 93 | 97 | 91 | 85 | 67 |
| Sales Representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cooperative pays | 31 | 44 | 65 | 86 | 64 |
| Employee pays | 3 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| Both pay | 66 | 55 | 26 | 11 | 28 |

car). For all job categories, paid vacations and holidays were more widely made available to employees (table 5). From 92 to 97 percent of respondents also paid their employees' sick days. The remaining "perks"-paid training, mileage (private car), and use of cooperative car--were next in order. First-class travel was the least offered "perk" but most likely given to general managers.

## Director Compensation

Although director fees and expenses were a small part of total costs, director compensation was important to many cooperatives. This fee was a small incentive for farmers to sacrifice time normally spent on their own operations and devote several hours of service each month to guiding their cooperative. The original survey did not address the area. Of the 155
responses, 83 percent gave directors some type of compensation. Most compensated their directors by giving them per diem for travel when they were on cooperative business. Also, there was an attendance fee paid per regular meeting. These fees ranged from a low of $\$ 15$ to a high of $\$ 100$ per regular meeting. For annual meetings, meals and lodging were provided for both the spouse and director.

Other benefits given directors included mileage for travel when using private cars- 21 cents to 33 cents per mile. The most common rate was 30 cents per mile.

Some board chairmen were paid a "salary," but not many cooperatives reported using this practice. Those that did report salaries for the board chairman paid as much as $\$ 5,000$ per year. Surprisingly, two cooperatives also reported compensating directors through accidental death/disability or dental insur-

| Table 5-Other Benefits offered, 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit | General manager | Office manager | Division manager | Field representative | Sales representative |
| Number of responses | 162 | 159 | 108 | 77 | 97 |
|  |  |  | Percent- |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Paid sick days | 92 | 92 | 97 | 97 | 93 |
| Use of cooperative car | 59 | 18 | 45 | 60 | 42 |
| First class travel | 12 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| Paid training | 78 | 82 | 93 | 96 | 87 |
| Mileage (private car) | 73 | 70 | 72 | 68 | 66 |

ance. Finally, discounting extraordinary cases, total expenses for all directors ranged from \$1,200 to $\$ 6,000$ annually.

## Cooperative Types

Of 174 respondents, 35 percent were grain cooperatives; 30 percent sold farm supplies; 15 percent marketed fruit and vegetables; and 5 percent were dairy cooperatives. The rest ( 15 percent) were "other" marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous cooperatives.

## Education

Grain and farm supply cooperatives had the highest percentage of general and office managers with high school diplomas and some college. Also, the general and office managers in all cooperatives had associate's or bachelor's degrees. Few employees had a master's degree and none had a doctoral degree (table 6).

## Salary

Table 7 shows low, high, median, average salaries, and the number of observations below and above the average, by cooperative function. The low end of the salary ranges may be skewed because some reflect seasonal employment wages. More observations fell below the average because of a few relatively high salaries and the mean was larger than the median in each instance. Consequently, the median is a more representative measure of cooperative salaries.

The median and average salaries for general manager and office managers were highest in the
"other" category of cooperative. For all job categories, the median salary ranged from $\$ 24,500$ to $\$ 105,000$ and averaged from $\$ 28,564$ to $\$ 129,133$. Fruit and vegetables cooperatives had the highest actual salary for general managers as well as the highest sales. Statistically, the number of respondents reporting may have affected averages. There were many more respondents in farm supply and grain cooperatives. Responses to salary information by the "other" and dairy marketing cooperatives were small. Therefore, salary data for this group may be skewed because of a relatively few high or low salaries.

## Bonuses and Basis

Although most cooperatives did not give bonuses, those that did generally gave to all of the five job categories. However, distribution of bonuses to job categories varied by type of cooperative. On average among job categories, cooperatives were pretty evenly spread. In the 1993 study, more sales representatives received bonuses than field representatives in all types of cooperatives except grain cooperatives. Data from the present survey indicates that grain cooperatives had the highest percentage of bonuses given across all job categories (table 8).

As a rule, bonuses were tied to the job functions. For example, sales representatives' bonuses were based more on sales. Financial and employee performance and sales were the most commonly indicated factors for basing bonuses for other job categories. Generally, more bonuses for grain and farm supply cooperatives were based on financial performance and/or performance and sales.

Table 6-Educational levels by cooperative type, $1999{ }^{1}$

| Job category and education | Dairy | Fruits and vegetables | Grain | Farm Supplies | Other ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 13 | 8 | 17 | 24 | 6 |
| Some college | 13 | 20 | 34 | 20 | 26 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 75 | 52 | 45 | 51 | 46 |
| Masters | 0 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 23 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 30 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 14 |
| Some college | 20 | 7 | 36 | 28 | 23 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 50 | 61 | 36 | 37 | 55 |
| Masters | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 25 | 50 | 32 | 43 | 44 |
| Some college | 0 | 19 | 30 | 13 | 6 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 75 | 31 | 34 | 43 | 50 |
| Masters | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 57 | 50 | 15 | 26 | 17 |
| Some college | 14 | 30 | 30 | 17 | 0 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 29 | 20 | 52 | 57 | 67 |
| Masters | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 57 | 20 | 33 | 53 | 9 |
| Some college | 29 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 9 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 14 | 60 | 44 | 25 | 82 |
| Masters | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ No employees had a doctoral degree.
${ }^{2}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous-with low responses.

## Benefits and Other Perks

The survey showed that a larger percentage of respondents of all cooperative types were more apt to pay for life and disability insurance and educational assistance, while health insurance and retirement was paid for by both the cooperative and employee for all employee groups (table 9). The exception was dairy and other cooperatives. In this case, the cooperative paid solely for the retirement for general and office managers and field and sales representatives. This
trend has remained the about the same as that of the 1993 survey, when the largest percentage of cooperatives paid retirement insurance for general managers, office managers, and sales representatives.
"Other" benefits (table 10) were usually offered by cooperatives such as paid vacations and sick days, along with first-class travel, use of company car, and mileage reimbursement (private car when on cooperative business). The availability of these benefits were more broadly spread across all cooperative types and

Table 7-Salaries for specified employees and cooperative type, $1999{ }^{1}$

| Cooperative type and job category | Salary range |  |  |  | Responses below/ above average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Median | Average |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 18,000 | 185,000 | 84,500 | 87,125 | 4/3 |
| Office manager | 18,000 | 64,000 | 24,500 | 41,523 | 4/3 |
| Division manager | 61,232 | 85,000 | 76,000 | 74,077 | 1/2 |
| Field representative | 20,000 | 40,000 | 33,574 | 33,191 | 3/3 |
| Sales representative | 20,000 | 60,000 | 39,250 | 39,350 | 3/2 |
| Fruit and vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 30,000 | 600,000 | 65,000 | 108,154 | 15/7 |
| Office manager | 16,224 | 164,496 | 45,500 | 49,730 | 15/9 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 87,250 | 48,000 | 55,214 | 9/5 |
| Field representative | 30,000 | 71,431 | 43,500 | 48,643 | 6/4 |
| Sales representative | 22,000 | 120,000 | 78,000 | 68,060 | 3/6 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25,000 | 175,000 | 55,000 | 61,508 | 40/15 |
| Office manager | 8,750 | 180,000 | 32,250 | 34,542 | 26/24 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 95,000 | 33,000 | 41,276 | 22/16 |
| Field representative | 20,000 | 51,512 | 35,500 | 36,204 | 15/12 |
| Sales representative | 15,000 | 50,000 | 29,000 | 32,111 | 20/15 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 20,000 | 300,000 | 46,000 | 60,775 | 33/16 |
| Office manager | 16,515 | 120,000 | 26,750 | 32,128 | 34/16 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 83,500 | 37,500 | 40,901 | 17/11 |
| Field representative | 17,500 | 52,500 | 33,000 | 33,391 | 12/11 |
| Sales representative | 10,750 | 47,500 | 28,952 | 28,564 | 17/19 |
| Other ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 36,000 | 300,000 | 105,000 | 129,133 | 9/6 |
| Office manager | 15,000 | 160,000 | 47,500 | 67,882 | 11/6 |
| Division manager | 32,000 | 135,000 | 61,000 | 64,077 | 7/6 |
| Field representative | 41,500 | 100,000 | 50,000 | 60,250 | 4/12 |
| Sales representative | 40,000 | 135,000 | 70,000 | 70,722 | 6/3 |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees, but not in all job categories or salary ranges.
${ }^{2}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous-with low responses.
job groups. By cooperative type, at least one-fourth or more of the respondents indicated general and office managers were paid vacations and sick days. It was more likely that first-class travel was offered to general managers than to any other job categories in all cooperative types.

Mileage reimbursement for all employees in grain and farm supply cooperatives were more evenly distributed, but responses were limited.

## Director Compensation

By type, dairy had 9 responses, fruit and vegetable, 20; grain, 56; farm supply, 45; and "other," 19. Responses varied. Dairy cooperatives paid mileage plus $\$ 5$ per meeting, per diem, paid expenses to convention, health insurance, paid travel and dinners; travel and meals; and phone, accidental death/dental insurance.

Table 8-Bonuses for specified employees, 1999

| Type | General <br> manager | Office <br> manager | Division <br> manager | Field <br> representative | Sales <br> representative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Fruit and vegetables | 10 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 10 |
| Grain | 39 | 50 | 41 | 42 | 42 |
| Farm Supplies | 29 | 22 | 17 | 14 | 28 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 16 | 13 |  |  | 14 |

${ }^{1}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous.

Fruit and vegetables paid travel; $\$ 100$ plus per diem; $\$ 600$ per director, travel/dinner; $\$ 50 /$ meeting, $\$ 200$ per year, $\$ 25 /$ meeting; $\$ 20 /$ meeting; $\$ 100 /$ meeting plus expenses; board chairman received $\$ 5,000$ annually, $\$ 15 /$ meeting; mileage; and $\$ 3,600$ per year per director. Grain, farm supply, and "other" cooperatives had responses similar to other types of cooperatives.

Other benefits given directors included mileage for travel when using private cars-from 25 cents to 32 cents per mile. Most commonly mileage reimbursement was 30 cents per mile.

## Regions

The United States was divided into five geographic regions-Corn Belt, Lake States, Northern Plains, West (Pacific and Mountain), and East/South Central (the Southeast, Northeast, and South Central regions)--to maintain anonymity due to insufficient data, number of cooperatives in a region, and for statistical analysis. In this section, results of the surveys are presented by region (figure 4). Most respondents

Figure 4-Regional Breakdown


| Table 9-Benefits and how paid, for specified employees, by cooperative type, 1999 1,2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and <br> job category | Retirement |  |  | Health insurance |  |  | Life insurance |  |  | Disability insurance |  |  | Educational assistance |  |  |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 57 | 14 | 29 | 29 | 14 | 57 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Office manager | 57 | 14 | 29 | 29 | 14 | 57 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Division manager | 40 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Field representative | 50 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Sales representative | 60 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 72 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 25 | 0 |
| Fruit and vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 32 | 11 | 58 | 45 | 0 | 55 | 82 | 6 | 12 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 9 | 45 |
| Office manager | 32 | 11 | 58 | 48 | 4 | 48 | 85 | 6 | 12 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 8 | 42 |
| Division manager | 23 | 15 | 62 | 47 | 6 | 47 | 85 | 8 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 13 | 25 |
| Field representative | 11 | 0 | 89 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 |
| Sales representative | 29 | 0 | 71 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 30 | 4 | 67 | 64 | 2 | 34 | 80 | 4 | 17 | 89 | 2 | 9 | 71 | 3 | 26 |
| Office manager | 30 | 4 | 67 | 63 | 2 | 36 | 79 | 4 | 17 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 74 | 3 | 23 |
| Division manager | 26 | 3 | 72 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 76 | 5 | 19 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 74 | 3 | 23 |
| Field representative | 29 | 0 | 71 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 77 | 3 | 20 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 73 | 4 | 23 |
| Sales representative | 26 | 0 | 74 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 80 | 6 | 14 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 79 | 0 | 21 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 19 | 4 | 77 | 49 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 15 | 34 | 76 | 3 | 22 | 50 | 15 | 35 |
| Office manager | 19 | 6 | 75 | 47 | 2 | 51 | 49 | 19 | 33 | 78 | 5 | 16 | 48 | 15 | 37 |
| Division manager | 15 | 6 | 79 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 45 | 13 | 42 | 71 | 11 | 18 | 48 | 13 | 39 |
| Field representative | 5 | 5 | 90 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 45 | 10 | 45 | 65 | 12 | 24 | 56 | 19 | 25 |
| Sales representative | 23 | 3 | 74 | 40 | 3 | 57 | 42 | 18 | 39 | 79 | 7 | 14 | 39 | 17 | 43 |
| Other ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 57 | 0 | 43 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 54 | 21 | 25 | 73 | 0 | 27 |
| Office manager | 47 | 0 | 53 | 35 | 0 | 65 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 83 | 6 | 11 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Division manager | 57 | 0 | 43 | 38 | 0 | 62 | 69 | 0 | 31 | 83 | 8 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Field representative | 57 | 0 | 43 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 78 | 0 | 22 | 75 | 13 | 13 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Sales representative | 56 | 0 | 44 | 17 | 0 | 83 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 73 | 9 | 18 | 100 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]were in the Corn Belt and West ( 22 percent) followed by the Northern Plains, ( 20 percent), and Lake States and East/South Central (both at 18 percent).

## Education

The largest percentage of respondents revealed general manager, office manager, and field representatives had bachelor's/associate's degrees ( 31 to 69 per-
cent) in all regions. More division directors in the Corn Belt, West, and East/South Central had high school educations (table 11).

Sales representatives with college degrees were more prevalent in the Corn Belt and Lake States. For sales representatives, high-school-educated and employees with a college degree tied in the Northern Plains and East/South Central regions at 40 percent. In the West, 42 percent of sales representatives had a high school education.

| Table 10-Other benefits for specified employees, by cooperative type, 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type and job category | Paid vacation/holiday | Paid sick days | First-class travel | Paid training | Cooperative car | Mileage |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 26 | 50 | 22 | 38 | 26 |
| Office manager | 25 | 26 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 26 |
| Division manager | 14 | 13 | 50 | 17 | 15 | 15 |
| Field representative | 18 | 17 | 0 | 22 | 31 | 15 |
| Sales representative | 18 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 19 |
| Fruit and vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 28 | 23 | 38 | 26 | 34 | 28 |
| Office manager | 30 | 25 | 19 | 29 | 13 | 32 |
| Division manager | 20 | 26 | 13 | 21 | 23 | 18 |
| Field representative | 11 | 16 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 10 |
| Sales representative | 11 | 11 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Grain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 27 | 26 | 33 | 24 | 40 | 27 |
| Office manager | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 9 | 26 |
| Division manager | 18 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Field representative | 14 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 16 | 16 | 8 | 17 | 18 | 14 |
| Farm Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 24 | 28 |
| Office manager | 26 | 26 | 13 | 11 | 25 | 25 |
| Division manager | 19 | 19 | 19 | 33 | 20 | 19 |
| Field representative | 12 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| Sales representative | 19 | 18 | 18 | 11 | 18 | 16 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 34 | 27 | 56 | 26 | 35 | 25 |
| Office manager | 25 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 18 | 30 |
| Division manager | 18 | 21 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 23 |
| Field representative | 10 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 8 |
| Sales representative | 14 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 15 |

[^1]
## Salary

Table 12 shows a breakdown of salary data for the five geographic regions. There were large spreads between the high and low salaries probably because some of the low salaries may have included seasonal or part-time salaries. The median is a more representative measure than the average, low, or high salary.

Regional differences are significant. The difference seems to be spread more evenly across all regions except the median salaries for general manager which
ranged from $\$ 42,500$ to $\$ 60,000$. The median range of salaries between jobs and their respective regions were closer than in the 1993 study.

The disparity is more obvious when looking at the actual reported salaries. The East/South Central region had the highest salaries for all job categories except sales representatives in the West.

## Bonuses

Cooperatives in the West were more likely to give bonuses to their general managers. Forty-three percent

| Table 11-Educational levels by region, $1999{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job category and education | Corn Bel | Lake States | Northern Plains | West | East/South Central |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 12 | 23 | 24 | 11 | 14 |
| Some college | 26 | 23 | 31 | 22 | 25 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 59 | 50 | 44 | 56 | 45 |
| Masters | 3 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 16 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 35 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 24 |
| Some college | 23 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 29 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 36 | 44 | 51 | 52 | 31 |
| Masters | 6 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 16 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 76 | 45 | 27 | 58 | 63 |
| Some college | 10 | 15 | 35 | 8 | 25 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 11 | 40 | 38 | 33 | 0 |
| Masters | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 6 | 45 | 23 | 28 | 22 |
| Some college | 19 | 5 | 35 | 17 | 22 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 69 | 50 | 43 | 56 | 56 |
| Masters | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 33 | 28 | 40 | 42 | 40 |
| Some college | 20 | 19 | 25 | 16 | 7 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 48 | 47 | 35 | 42 | 40 |
| Masters | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 13 |

[^2]Table 12-Salaries for specified employees and region, $1999{ }^{1}$

| Region and job category | Number of responses | Salary |  |  |  | Responses below/above average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High | Median | Average |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| East/South Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 33 | 30,000 | 600,000 | 60,000 | 64,348 | 18/7 |
| Office manager | 33 | 16,224 | 164,496 | 43,000 | 40,165 | 18/8 |
| Division manager | 22 | 25,000 | 135,000 | 64,750 | 52,133 | 11/4 |
| Field representative | 16 | 30,000 | 100,000 | 60,750 | 40,778 | 4/5 |
| Sales representative | 15 | 18,000 | 102,000 | 61,500 | 39,367 | 9/6 |
| Corn Belt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 18,000 | 200,000 | 42,500 | 67,554 | 16/17 |
| Office manager | 26 | 12,000 | 112,500 | 47,500 | 40,293 | 28/7 |
| Division manager | 15 | 25,000 | 100,000 | 45,000 | 45,765 | 11/6 |
| Field representative | 9 | 17,500 | 75,000 | 45,000 | 36,501 | 9/6 |
| Sales representative | 15 | 12,000 | 135,000 | 36,000 | 33,885 | 14/12 |
| Lake States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 35 | 20,000 | 225,000 | 60,000 | 81,800 | 9/12 |
| Office manager | 35 | 8,750 | 160,000 | 35,000 | 40,248 | 17/8 |
| Division manager | 17 | 32,000 | 85,000 | 40,000 | 46,931 | 14/8 |
| Field representative | 15 | 28,000 | 51,512 | 35,000 | 35,179 | 7/5 |
| Sales representative | 26 | 15,000 | 70,000 | 46,500 | 34,782 | 11/7 |
| Northern Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 26 | 38,500 | 250,000 | 60,000 | 64,818 | 21/12 |
| Office manager | 25 | 17,000 | 120,000 | 37,000 | 31,709 | 23/10 |
| Division manager | 22 | 30,000 | 77,500 | 63,500 | 42,902 | 16/7 |
| Field representative | 12 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 51,075 | 34,375 | 11/9 |
| Sales representative | 18 | 20,000 | 70,000 | 46,250 | 35,824 | 15/5 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 33 | 32,000 | 175,000 | 50,000 | 102,938 | 24/9 |
| Office manager | 33 | 16,000 | 72,000 | 28,000 | 50,019 | 23/10 |
| Division manager | 23 | 28,000 | 95,000 | 36,000 | 49,166 | 15/7 |
| Field representative | 20 | 20,000 | 47,500 | 34,000 | 47,871 | 11/5 |
| Sales representative | 22 | 10,750 | 120,000 | 30,000 | 55,244 | 7/8 |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees but not for all job categories or salary ranges.
said cooperative employees in the Corn Belt received bonuses. It was 24 percent in the Northern Plains. A relatively high percentage of bonuses were given to sales representatives in the West. Table 13 shows those percentages and the basis for determining bonuses for each job category by region. Most respondents by region indicated that all employees' basis for bonuses were on financial performance factors-sales, volume, profit, profit center, local net profits, local earnings, etc.

## Benefits and Other Perks

Overall, most respondents in all regions indicated that retirement was paid by both the employee and cooperative (table 14), except the general manager in the Corn Belt. Health insurance percentages were almost evenly distributed between the cooperative paying all benefits to being shared by both. Education assistance was more likely paid for by the cooperative in the Lakes States. Life and disability insurance was usually paid for by cooperative in all regions.
Region and job category $\quad$ Responses

## East/South Central

General manager
Office manager
Division manager
Field representative
Sales representative

## Corn Belt

General manager
Office manager
Division manager
Field representative
Sales representative

## Lake States

General manager
Office manager
Division manager
Field representative
Sales representative

## Northern Plains

General manager
Office manager
Division manager
Field representative
Sales representative

## West

General manager
Office manager
Division manager
Field representative
Sales representative

Profit, 2-5\% of gross sales, how cooperative performed
Profit, 2-5\% of gross sales, how cooperative performed
Profit, how cooperative performed compared to industry averages
How cooperative performed compared to industry averages
$2-5 \%$ of gross sales, how cooperative performed compared to industry averages

Coop's annual income, profitability, accounts received, sales, percent of net sales, pct of year's wages, $2 \%$ local earnings, local net, performance
Coop's annual income, profitability, accounts received, sales, percent of net sales, pct of year's wages, $2 \%$ local earnings, local net performance
Profit, net profit, \% of wages, performance, profitability, cooperative annual income
Sales, cooperative annual income, \% local earnings, performance, volume, growth
Sales, volume, performance, profit, 1\% local earnings, cooperative annual income

Percent of net, performance, annual profit of company
Percent of net, performance, annual profit of company
\% of wages, net profit, performance, local profit, annual profit of cooperative
Sales increases, performance
Sales increases, sales, local profit, performance, \% of wages

Return on equity, annual profit volume, Christmas bonus, profit
Profit and work done, local returns, return on equity, sales, local net profit
\% of wages, return on equity, net profit, profit and work done, annual profit of cooperative, sales, local net profit, regional profits performance
Sales, local net profit, profit center, sales volume, acres applied
Profit center, net profit, local net profit, sales

Net profit, earnings (local), sales profit, board decision
Local earnings, net profit
Net profit, local earnings
Net profit
Net profit
${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees but not in all job categories or salary ranges.

Other benefits or "perks" were paid primarily by the cooperative. Paid vacations/holidays and sick days were most popular. Paid training or mileage (private car) was the next largest percentage in all employee groups. First-class travel was an uncommon benefit in all regions (table 15).

## Director Compensation

Regionally, 63 to 76 percent of the responding cooperatives compensated their directors. The East/South Central region had 24 responses; Corn

Belt, 25; Lake States, 22; Northern Plains, 22; and West, 25. Responses varied. The East/South Central regions included meals, per diem, mileage, $\$ 600$ /year, travel dinner, $\$ 50 /$ meeting, $\$ 100 /$ meeting; Corn Belt, seminar, travel dinner, meals, per diem, dinner out once a year for director and spouse, mileage, $\$ 75 /$ board meeting, $\$ 30$ /special meeting, $\$ 30 /$ committee meeting, etc; Lake States, $\$ 100$ meeting and expenses, board chairman received \$5,000 year, \$600/board president, mileage, travel, accidental death/disability insurance, phone, dental insurance, and health insurance;

| Type and job category | Retirement |  |  | Health insurance |  |  | Life insurance |  |  | Disability insurance |  |  | Educational assistance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/South Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 28 | 0 | 72 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 68 | 0 | 32 | 85 | 5 | 10 | 42 | 8 | 50 |
| Office manager | 26 | 0 | 74 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 83 | 6 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 46 |
| Division manager | 29 | 0 | 71 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 33 |
| Field representative | 33 | 0 | 67 | 22 | 0 | 78 | 43 | 0 | 57 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 40 |
| Sales representative | 33 | 0 | 67 | 58 | 0 | 42 | 64 | 0 | 36 | 89 | 11 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Corn Belt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 52 | 9 | 39 | 51 | 0 | 49 | 69 | 9 | 22 | 83 | 3 | 13 | 64 | 5 | 32 |
| Office manager | 36 | 9 | 55 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 69 | 11 | 20 | 84 | 3 | 13 | 67 | 8 | 25 |
| Division manager | 35 | 10 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 55 | 68 | 11 | 21 | 88 | 6 | 6 | 63 | 6 | 31 |
| Field representative | 31 | 6 | 63 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 82 | 6 | 12 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 86 | 0 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 33 | 4 | 63 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 72 | 8 | 20 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 68 | 5 | 26 |
| Lake States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 27 | 4 | 69 | 52 | 0 | 48 | 80 | 4 | 16 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
| Office manager | 27 | 4 | 69 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 80 | 4 | 16 | 86 | 0 | 14 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
| Division manager | 26 | 4 | 70 | 38 | 0 | 63 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 85 | 0 | 15 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
| Field representative | 27 | 9 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 64 | 82 | 0 | 18 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
| Sales representative | 33 | 7 | 60 | 44 | 0 | 56 | 80 | 7 | 13 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 92 | 8 | 0 |
| Northern Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 24 | 3 | 73 | 53 | 6 | 41 | 63 | 3 | 33 | 78 | 3 | 19 | 55 | 5 | 40 |
| Office manager | 24 | 3 | 73 | 47 | 9 | 44 | 32 | 11 | 58 | 78 | 3 | 19 | 57 | 5 | 38 |
| Division manager | 19 | 0 | 81 | 44 | 4 | 52 | 48 | 9 | 43 | 76 | 4 | 20 | 56 | 6 | 39 |
| Field representative | 20 | 0 | 80 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 53 | 5 | 42 | 75 | 5 | 20 | 56 | 6 | 38 |
| Sales representative | 27 | 0 | 73 | 45 | 5 | 50 | 57 | 10 | 33 | 77 | 5 | 18 | 65 | 6 | 29 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 34 | 9 | 56 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 71 | 14 | 14 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 45 | 15 | 40 |
| Office manager | 34 | 9 | 56 | 48 | 3 | 48 | 72 | 14 | 14 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 48 | 14 | 38 |
| Division manager | 27 | 14 | 59 | 50 | 4 | 46 | 67 | 14 | 19 | 95 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 20 | 40 |
| Field representative | 22 | 0 | 78 | 32 | 0 | 68 | 76 | 6 | 18 | 88 | 6 | 6 | 46 | 23 | 31 |
| Sales representative | 32 | 5 | 63 | 35 | 0 | 65 | 58 | 16 | 26 | 89 | 6 | 6 | 43 | 14 | 43 |

${ }^{1}$ Total may not add due to rounding.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}=$ cooperative pays only; $\mathrm{E}=$ employee pays only, and $\mathrm{S}=$ cooperative and employee pays.

## Table 15-Other benefits for specified employees, by region, 1999

| Type and job category | Paid vacation/holiday | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paid } \\ \text { sick days } \end{gathered}$ | First-class travel | Paid training | Cooperative car | Mileage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |
| East/South Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 23 | 4 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Office manager | 28 | 26 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 18 |
| Division manager | 23 | 23 | 3 | 18 | 20 | 12 |
| Field representative | 26 | 23 | 0 | 26 | 17 | 9 |
| Sales representative | 29 | 26 | 3 | 21 | 11 | 11 |
| Corn Belt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 26 | 21 | 1 | 19 | 13 | 20 |
| Office manager | 22 | 25 | 2 | 24 | 5 | 21 |
| Division manager | 23 | 23 | 2 | 23 | 10 | 17 |
| Field representative | 23 | 23 | 1 | 23 | 9 | 20 |
| Sales representative | 26 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 9 | 20 |
| Lake States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 23 | 21 | 3 | 20 | 15 | 19 |
| Office manager | 27 | 25 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 21 |
| Division manager | 25 | 23 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 19 |
| Field representative | 25 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 16 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 25 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 13 | 19 |
| Northern Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 23 | 23 | 2 | 20 | 12 | 20 |
| Office manager | 27 | 27 | 2 | 27 | 6 | 12 |
| Division manager | 24 | 24 | 2 | 23 | 6 | 22 |
| Field representative | 22 | 23 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 20 |
| Sales representative | 24 | 24 | 1 | 22 | 11 | 18 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 24 | 22 | 4 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| Office manager | 28 | 25 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 18 |
| Division manager | 25 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 14 | 15 |
| Field representative | 23 | 23 | 1 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| Sales representative | 25 | 25 | 4 | 22 | 11 | 14 |

Northern Plains, $\$ 600 /$ year, $\$ 35 /$ meeting plus 25 cents per mile, paid expenses to convention, travel and meals, monthly fee, educational training, $\$ 50 /$ half day meeting, $\$ 100 /$ all-day meeting, and $\$ 350 /$ year; and West, $\$ 100 /$ meeting, 32 cents/mile, registration, accommodations, per diem, $\$ 14 /$ board meeting, $\$ 1500 /$ year, travel expences, dinner, mileage, classes, and $\$ 40 /$ meeting plus mileage.

## Cooperative Sizes

Many of the previously reported employment factors for the 174 respondents cooperatives can be grouped and compared by sales range categories. Of the 174 cooperative respondents, 115 gave sales information. Of these, 16 cooperatives were in the smallest sales range, up to $\$ 5$ million; 41 cooperatives were in the largest range, over $\$ 5$ million to $\$ 10$ million; 33 cooperatives were in the over $\$ 10$ million to $\$ 20$ million sales range; and 25 cooperatives were in the over
$\$ 20$ million sales range. Differences in the size of cooperatives, as measured by sales, affect employment factors.

## Education

Cooperatives were grouped in four sales ranges to compare differences in employees' education levels. In most cases, cooperatives with larger sales have employees with higher educational levels. The sales groups were small, up to $\$ 5$ million in sales; medium, over $\$ 5$ million to $\$ 10$ million; large, over $\$ 10$ million to $\$ 20$ million, and finally, super, over $\$ 20$ million in sales. Actual sales ranged from $\$ 524,895$ to $\$ 800$ million.

Cooperatives with sales volume in the lowest range, up to $\$ 5$ million, had the largest percentage of sales representatives, office managers, and division managers whose formal education was at the high school level (table 16). Fifty-five percent of general managers and 57 percent of the field representatives in the lowest sales range had bachelor's, associate's, or higher degrees.

The division manager and sales representative in the next cooperative sales size (medium) completed high school. The general manager (40 percent), office manager (37 percent), and field representatives (50 percent) held college degrees.

In the large grouping, most employees had college degrees, except the division manager.
Surprisingly, 48 percent of division managers had master's degrees. Sixty-five percent of general managers, 36 percent of office managers, 40 percent of field representatives, and 43 percent sales representatives in this category were college educated.

In the over $\$ 20$ million sales range, more than half of the employees in all job categories had bachelor's/associate's degrees. No respondents had a doctoral degree.

## Salary and Ranking

Actual salaries were higher on average for cooperatives with higher sales ranges. But for medium and large size grouping and for office, division managers, field and sales representatives the role is switched (table 17). The only employee categories, general and division managers, following the "as sales grew salaries grew" pattern were in the average and median group. There are a few exceptions between the lowest and the next highest range with regard to average salaries but less so with regard to median salaries.

The ordering factors-job responsibilities, decisionmaking capabilities, performance, experience, edu-
cation, and other agribusiness firms in the area-were important in setting salaries by sales range. Some of the factors received the same rank. Two or more factors were often given the same rank. While sales representatives in the up-to-\$5-million sales range had a majority of respondents ranking job responsibility and decisionmaking capabilities as 1 , experience for general and divisions managers also was ranked as 1. Again, more explanation in the survey's instructions may have been needed because many respondents used the 1 to 5 as a measure of importance for each factor separately, rather than indicating each factor's order of importance. Also, some factors were assigned no rank, presumably because respondents considered these as having no impact on salary determination.

The general manager in all size groupings had higher ranks in all six factors except large and super categories. For office, division managers, and field and sales representatives, there were no significant patterns except that responsibility was the most important factor in setting factors through all four size groupings.

## Bonuses and Their Basis

Thirty-five percent of respondents with sales in the super category (over $\$ 20$ million) reported the largest percentages of bonuses. Thus, respondents in small cooperatives (sales up to $\$ 5$ million) had the lowest percentage giving bonuses to employees. It was more likely that most employees were given bonuses.

In most instances and at all sales level, more respondents reported financial performance as the basis for bonuses for employees in all job categories. Some job categories had the basis for their bonuses unique to their job duties. For example, some field representatives were given bonuses based on acres applied, growth, volume, and sales. General manager's bonuses were based on board decision, return on equity, as well as annual profit and volume. Division managers also were given bonuses based on return on equity, work done, regional profits and performance. Other reasons bonuses were given to all employees included: degree to which the cooperative obtained goals and objectives, how the cooperative performed compared to other agribusinesses in the area, return on equity, and bonus performance as related to "management by objectives." Table 18 reports the size of cooperatives and respective basis for bonuses given.

## Benefits and Other Perks

More respondents in all sales ranges paid for life and disability insurance and educational assistance.

Table 16-Educational levels by cooperative size, $1999{ }^{1}$

| Job category and education | Small | Medium | Large | Super |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| General manager |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 23 | 21 | 13 | 8 |
| Some college | 23 | 37 | 22 | 20 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 47 | 40 | 65 | 60 |
| Masters | 8 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| Office manager |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 36 | 31 | 19 | 15 |
| Some college | 30 | 29 | 36 | 15 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 33 | 37 | 36 | 57 |
| Masters | 1 | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| Division manager |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 71 | 59 | 10 | 20 |
| Some college | 6 | 15 | 25 | 20 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 24 | 26 | 17 | 54 |
| Masters | 0 | 0 | 48 | 6 |
| Field representative |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 43 | 24 | 30 | 27 |
| Some college | 0 | 26 | 23 | 19 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 57 | 50 | 40 | 53 |
| Masters | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Sales representative |  |  |  |  |
| High school | 58 | 50 | 29 | 20 |
| Some college | 19 | 11 | 29 | 19 |
| College graduate |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor/Associate | 22 | 35 | 43 | 55 |
| Masters | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 |

${ }^{1}$ No employees had a doctoral degree.

Health insurance in all but the super-sized group was paid by the cooperative. Retirement was shared by all five job categories and sizes (table 19). Educational benefits were paid for cooperatives also.

Most respondents indicated that other benefits-paid vacations and sick days, mileage of private car, first-class travel, and paid training--were offered to employees by cooperatives in every sales range. First-class travel and use of company car were the least of other "perks" offered across all employee categories.

## Director Compensation

Small-sized cooperatives had 29 responses, medi-um-sized had 34 responses, large-sized had 17 percent, and 40 responses were from super-sized cooperatives that compensated their directors. Responses varied throughout size groupings. Small-sized cooperatives' payments to directors ranged from $\$ 25$ to $\$ 100$ per meeting; paid dinner, per diem, travel, and mileage reimbursement of 32 cents per mile. Payments made to directors by medium-sized cooperatives for attending meetings ranged from $\$ 15$ to $\$ 100$ per meeting; travel; dinner; classes; reimbursement for mileage from 31 to

Table 17-Salaries for specified employees and cooperative size, $1999{ }^{1}$

| Cooperative Type and Job Category | Salary |  |  |  | Responses below/ above average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Median | Average |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 18,000 | 68,000 | 40,000 | 40,310 | 23/18 |
| Office manager | 8,750 | 51,000 | 24,000 | 25,285 | 21/17 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 45,000 | 32,000 | 33,615 | 7/6 |
| Field representative | 22,000 | 45,000 | 46,000 | 32,625 | 5/8 |
| Sales representative | 10,750 | 30,000 | 22,000 | 21,660 | 7/11 |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 27,000 | 180,000 | 55,000 | 56,772 | 26/17 |
| Office manager | 18,500 | 120,000 | 35,000 | 34,421 | 22/12 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 75,000 | 35,000 | 39,224 | 16/13 |
| Field representative | 17,500 | 51,512 | 35,000 | 35,800 | 10/8 |
| Sales representative | 18,000 | 60,000 | 46,250 | 31,836 | 13/13 |
| Large |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 45,000 | 100,000 | 60,000 | 63,573 | 15/8 |
| Office manager | 22,000 | 78,000 | 31,500 | 34,345 | 13/10 |
| Division manager | 30,000 | 58,000 | 36,644 | 39,797 | 12/7 |
| Field representative | 20,000 | 45,000 | 31,000 | 32,133 | 9/6 |
| Sales representative | 27,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 33,286 | 10/6 |
| Super |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 40,000 | 600,000 | 105,000 | 134,589 | 29/15 |
| Office manager | 20,000 | 164,496 | 51,000 | 60,875 | 29/19 |
| Division manager | 25,000 | 135,000 | 42,500 | 61,083 | 23/16 |
| Field representative | 30,000 | 100,000 | 40,000 | 45,237 | 23/9 |
| Sales representative | 29,500 | 135,000 | 59,250 | 53,156 | 23/14 |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees, but not for all job categories or salary ranges.

33 cents; retainer fee of $\$ 250$; per diem; $\$ 360$ per year; and $\$ 200$ per day. Compensation for large-sized cooperatives were similar, with payment for attendance at meetings ranging from $\$ 15$ to $\$ 50$; travel; mileage; and 30 cents reimbursement for mileage. Super-sized cooperatives' compensation for directors included health insurance, meeting fee from $\$ 20$ to $\$ 100$; travel; per diem; and mileage reimbursements from 28 cents per mile.

## Changes

Several substantial changes took place over the past 5 years. As usual, there were increases in salaries through cost-of-living increases. Generally survey results indicated that "other firms in the area" had little to do with influencing cooperatives in setting salaries,
although in some instances changes in some benefits were made so that cooperatives would remained competitive. Freezes were placed on wages. There were changes in the contribution of health premiums, adding prescription cards, decreasing health insurance coverage, and eliminating dependent coverage. Retirement contributions were either increased or decreased and in some cases terminated.

Some cooperatives added new benefits such as 401 K plans. Some cooperatives changed the way they determined pay packages, job classification pay ranges through salary surveys; performance appraisals; and merit pay. Other benefits that were added were cafeteria benefit packages, personal sick leave days, a week of paid vacation per year, health insurance, a dental plan, disability insurance, and a bonus plan (table 20).

| Table 18_Bonus and basis, by cooperative size, 1999 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Size | Profit, performance, gross sales, percentage of catch, sales, net profit, acres applied, <br> profitability, nonmember activities, local net savings, annual profit volume, merit. |
| Small | Local profit, profitability, accounts received, profit, net of department, end of year financial <br> statement, sales, growth, local net, annual profit, local earnings, net profit, cooperative <br> profits, performance. |
| Medium | Profit, pre-tax profit, cooperative annual income, sales, overall profitability, sales unit and <br> profitability, work done and profit, percent of local net, profit and volume, percent of local <br> earnings. |
| Super | Bonus performance tied to management by objective, profit, sales, profitability and <br> performance, profit center, return on equity, how cooperative performs compared to industry <br> averages, obtain goals and objectives, sales volume, gross sales, local returns, regional <br> profits, discretionary, performance, cooperative and individual performance, board decisions, <br> net margins, longevity, local net, earnings, volume sales, increase sales, fertilizer tonnage, <br> fuels/gallons. |

## Comparing 1993 and 1999 Surveys

The original 55 participants in the study and those in the new study had both subtle and not so subtle changes. These changes could be attributed to a number of factors including that the person who filled out the 1993 survey was different from the person who filled out the survey in 1999. The product mix of cooperatives responding to the survey in both years have also changed in a small number of cooperatives-nine grain cooperatives added some farm supplies, two in farm supplies started marketing grain, and one fruit and vegetable cooperative expanded from only marketing fruit to their product mix.

In most cases, salaries increased over the 7-year period, although some decreased or remained the same. For example, salaries of three respondents (a general manager, a division manager, and a sales representative) remained the same and those seven others (three general managers, three office managers, and one division manager) decreased. The most logical reason is that there are new employees in these positions. Table 21 shows the difference factors of salaries between the two survey years. The number of respondents giving bonuses to designated employees was about the same.

How benefits were financed for the specified employees between the 2 years is shown in table 22. Benefits such as retirement, health, disability, life
insurance, and education were paid for either by the cooperative, or the employee, or were shared by both. In the 1999 survey, sales representatives had increases throughout all methods of payment except for disability insurance.

The method of paying for benefits also changed. In 1993, retirement (20) and health insurance (23) benefits were paid primarily by the cooperative. In 1999, those benefits, in some instances, were paid for by both the cooperative and employees. Another example was the reversal--some benefits originally paid for by employees were now paid for the cooperative or shared by both.

Finally, other perks which included paid holidays, sick leave, use of cooperative car, first- class travel, and mileage (private car) were compared for the 1993/1999 period. In most job categories, respondents/cooperatives offered few changes in "perks." Use of "perks" such as paid sick days, use of cooperative car, and first-class travel declined. Paid vacations/holidays, paid sick days, first-class travel, and mileage also declined in 1999 for division managers.

## Summary

In today's economy, many companies are downsizing their labor force, creating a more desperate need
Table 19-Benefits and how paid, for specified employees, by cooperative type, 1999 1,2

| Type and job category | Retirement |  |  | Health insurance |  |  | Life insurance |  |  | Disability insurance |  |  | Educational assistance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 31 | 9 | 59 | 75 | 3 | 22 | 73 | 15 | 12 | 89 | 0 | 11 | 46 | 23 | 31 |
| Office manager | 26 | 12 | 62 | 68 | 8 | 24 | 68 | 19 | 13 | 90 | 3 | 7 | 47 | 24 | 29 |
| Division manager | 14 | 14 | 71 | 73 | 7 | 20 | 69 | 23 | 8 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 60 | 20 | 20 |
| Field representative | 20 | 0 | 80 | 60 | 0 | 40 | 75 | 25 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
| Sales representative | 33 | 13 | 53 | 86 | 7 | 7 | 69 | 23 | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 21 | 5 | 74 | 53 | 0 | 47 | 75 | 10 | 15 | 92 | 0 | 8 | 69 | 4 | 27 |
| Office manager | 20 | 5 | 75 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 77 | 10 | 13 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 0 | 27 |
| Division manager | 20 | 7 | 73 | 37 | 0 | 63 | 72 | 10 | 17 | 88 | 4 | 8 | 68 | 5 | 26 |
| Field representative | 19 | 0 | 81 | 43 | 0 | 57 | 76 | 5 | 19 | 83 | 6 | 11 | 69 | 6 | 25 |
| Sales representative | 19 | 4 | 77 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 67 | 15 | 19 | 87 | 4 | 9 | 67 | 6 | 28 |
| Large |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 40 | 0 | 60 | 56 | 4 | 40 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 76 | 5 | 19 | 56 | 6 | 38 |
| Office manager | 42 | 0 | 58 | 54 | 4 | 42 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 80 | 0 | 20 | 65 | 6 | 29 |
| Division manager | 35 | 0 | 65 | 55 | 5 | 40 | 71 | 0 | 29 | 88 | 0 | 13 | 60 | 7 | 33 |
| Field representative | 29 | 0 | 71 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 83 | 0 | 17 | 73 | 9 | 18 |
| Sales representative | 40 | 0 | 60 | 59 | 0 | 41 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 56 | 11 | 33 |
| Super |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 33 | 4 | 63 | 31 | 0 | 69 | 63 | 2 | 35 | 80 | 4 | 15 | 63 | 6 | 31 |
| Office manager | 33 | 4 | 63 | 31 | 0 | 69 | 62 | 2 | 36 | 80 | 4 | 16 | 65 | 6 | 29 |
| Division manager | 32 | 5 | 63 | 31 | 0 | 69 | 61 | 2 | 37 | 81 | 5 | 14 | 67 | 7 | 27 |
| Field representative | 27 | 6 | 67 | 24 | 0 | 76 | 25 | 6 | 69 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 17 | 17 | 67 |
| Sales representative | 32 | 5 | 62 | 28 | 0 | 72 | 65 | 3 | 32 | 81 | 6 | 14 | 70 | 3 | 27 |

[^3]Table 20-Changes made to benefits within past 5 years

| Change | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- |

## Number of responses

## Changes

1. Increase in salaries/cost of living increases/increased salaries to have competitive wages in area; a freeze placed on salaries/wages
2. More toward bonus; percentage of bonuses based on local profit bonuses have increased; stop paying bonuses
3. Vacation days increased (based on service); vacation schedule increased (added a week of vacation when merged with neighboring cooperative)
4. Cooperative increased its contribution to health insurance; health insurance paid for employee only (children and spouse); employees pay higher percentage of health insurance (from 25 to 35 percent); company pays full medical insurance; decreased health insurance coverage; health insurance cost increasing; added better health insurance plan with prescription card; company pays smaller percentage of health insurance; better health insurance including dental; company pays insurance; company pays 100 percent health, life, and disability insurance
5. Improved 401 K and conventional retirement; changed from a fixed pension to SIMPLE IRA because of high administrative cost; more salary went to 3 percent matching 401 K instead of sharing costs according to salary; added the 4 percent match to 401 K plan; 401 K increased from 2 to 4 percent; defined benefit plan paid by company; 401 K contribution increased from 2 to 3 percent; 2 percent 401 K match; cooperative changed from retirement paid by cooperative to 401 K plan; retirement premiums have been frozen until company returns to profitability; change from retirement plan to profit-sharing plan; pension plan now paid for by cooperative (was life insurance type); 401K plan and terminated the defined benefit plan
6. Benefit costs are skyrocketing with low unemployment

## Added

1. 401 K plan; pension program; simple plan adopted; 401 K plan will be implemented to replace the after -ax voluntary contribution option-employer will match the deferral at 20 up
2. Flexible benefits package; COL benefits clause; use of salary surveys to determine pay packages, job classifications and pay ranges, more performance-based pay profit-sharing; pay $1 / 2$ day sick leave; life insurance policy which is paid by the cooperative; a progressive phasing from entitlement driven systems (i.e., length of service) to performance-based incentives; cafeteria plan added; addition of health and life insurance benefit package; performance appraisals and merit pay and disability insurance; dental insurance, disability insurance, and cafeteria plan; health insurance and one week paid vacation per year; personal sick leave days, sick leave enhanced; bonus plan

Table 21-Comparable salaries by employee category, 1992 and 1999

| Job Category | Salary range ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Responses below/ above average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low |  | High |  | Median |  | Average |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 1999 | 1992 | 1999 | 1992 | 1999 | 1992 | 1999 | 1992 | 1999 |
|  |  |  |  | -Doll | rs |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Manager | 13,200 | 18,000 | 195,252 | 300,000 | 43,260 | 60,000 | 55,711 | 87,187 | 38/13 | 28/14 |
| Office Manager | 16,000 | 17,000 | 114,024 | 164,496 | 28,000 | 35,000 | 34,236 | 45,003 | 31/16 | 30/10 |
| Division Manager | 3,200 | 25,000 | 91,520 | 100,000 | 31,000 | 45,000 | 38,553 | 50,516 | 24/14 | 14/7 |
| Field Representative | 16,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 65,000 | 25,000 | 35,000 | 28,813 | 38,897 | 14/12 | 9/9 |
| Sales Representative | 15,000 | 18,000 | 108,120 | 135,000 | 23,000 | 30,000 | 30,683 | 39,202 | 17/4 | 15/6 |

${ }^{1}$ Respondents gave salary information for full- and part-time employees, but not all jobs or salary ranges.
to keep productive employees. In order to retain better employees, cooperatives try to keep pace with other businesses' salaries and benefit packages.

Other factors may be the result of external influences, Federal and State Government policies and regulations, competitive background and geographical locations, or internal factors such as the educational background, skill, physical ability (required by job), and experience of the employee.

Job evaluation is one of the tools available for developing equitable pay structures. Job evaluations may be developed through several methods-ranking, classification, factor comparison, and points. Each of these methods has advantages and disadvantages.

Benefit plans must also be evaluated. Benefit planners should consider employee needs and expectations, cost escalation, tax considerations, benefit quality, and actions of competitors. There are other questions that the cooperative must answer. What benefits should be offered and how will they be paid? What conditions must be met before an employee is eligible for benefits? These questions can be answered through interviews of employees, monitoring benefit activities of other businesses in the area, Government agencies, and reading various benefit publications.

Some of the benefit costs once paid solely by the cooperative are now being shared by both cooperative and employee. In some cases, the reversal was true. Some respondents indicated that benefits once paid for solely by the employee were now being shared or paid for by the cooperative.
"Perks" were offered to most employees in all job categories- paid vacations, holiday and sick days, use of company car, and mileage reimbursement (private
car). First-class travel was not widely offered to employees, although if it was offered, the general manager was the beneficiary.

Many employees had a college degree.
Cooperative sales further defined the educational background of selected employees. In the largest sales level, more than $\$ 20$ million, employees tended to have completed higher levels of education.

Performance and job responsibilities were the most important salary-setting elements. Throughout all job categories, education and the existence of other firms in the area generally were the least important factors in setting salaries. The methods for evaluating performance varied. Thirty-four percent of the respondents reported that bonuses were given to all employees based on financial performance factors such as margins, net earnings, income, or savings.

There were minor regional differences in benefits, ranking factors for setting salaries, "perks," and educational levels of cooperatives. Salary was highest in the East/South Central region in all job categories, except for sales representatives in the West. Most bonuses were based on financial performance factors in all regions and job categories.

Cooperatives were more likely to pay for life and disability insurance and educational assistance for the general and office managers. Retirement plans were usually shared by both the cooperative and the employee.

Cooperative leaders must look at internal and external influences when setting salaries and benefits and use all available resources such as job evaluations, Government agencies, other firms in the area, and employee interviews in developing an equitable salary structure and maintaining a balance between needs of the employee and needs of the cooperative.

| Type and job category | Retirement |  |  | Health insurance |  |  | Life insurance |  |  | Disability insurance |  |  | Educational assistance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S | C | E | S |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 30 | 0 | 28 | 27 | 67 | 20 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 33 | 25 |
| Office manager | 25 | 50 | 26 | 26 | 17 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 29 | 27 | 21 | 30 | 25 | 33 | 25 |
| Division manager | 22 | 0 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 23 | 13 | 23 | 24 | 16 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| Field representative | 12 | 50 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 10 | 13 | 33 | 14 |
| Sales representative | 12 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 14 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General manager | 25 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 42 | 23 | 33 | 27 |
| Office manager | 25 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 33 | 24 | 22 | 27 |
| Division manager | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 15 |
| Field representative | 13 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 17 | 22 | 9 |
| Sales representative | 17 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 8 | 18 | 11 | 21 |

[^4]Cooperative leaders must look at today's society with an eye toward try keeping pace with other businesses' salaries and benefit packages and to avoiding employee turnover, and they must be ready to adapt their salaries and benefits in order to remain competitive.

## APPENDIX

## Definitions

Cafeteria Plan-Is the official term used in Section 125 of the Internal Revenue Service Code which describes a flexible benefits program between taxable and nontaxable benefits that the Federal Government recognizes. It is an individual combination of benefits to each employee, rather than a standard program that covers all employees the same way.

Perquisites ("perks")—Additional benefits designed not only to increase size of a compensation package, but also to compensate for the stresses and strains of employment. These benefits are paid by the organization.

Section 40IK (cash or deferred)—The Internal Revenue Service Code permits employees to choose between currently taxable cash compensation and not currently taxable contributions to certain qualified plans. Therefore, an employee can elect whether his or her employer will make contributions on the employee's behalf to a qualified profit-sharing plan (including a thrift plan), a qualified stock bonus plan, the preEmployment Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) money purchase pension plan; or if the employer will pay the amount to the employees as cash compensation.

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Rural Business-Cooperative Service <br> Washington, D.C. 20250-3253 

## DEFINITIONS OF JOB CATEGORIES

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER/ PRESIDENT/GENERAL MANAGER - The decision making elements of the cooperative --role is to formulate, execute policies, and to obtain cooperative's objective.

ACCOUNTANT/CONTROLLER/BOOKKEEPER/OFFICE MANAGER - Role is to keep timely and accurate records of cooperative's financial standing.

DIVISION MANAGER - Carries out and supports policies and objectives of the cooperative and the Chief Executive Officer/President/General Manager.

FIELD REPRESENTATIVE - Uses expertise on how best to cultivate land through soil testing and other tools, and recommends appropriate products and innovate technical trends to improve farm operations.

SALES REPRESENTATIVE - Keeps abreast of new product on market. Meets with farmers to take orders and inform farmers of new products. Some sales representatives also make deliveries.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l}\hline \text { Question } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Chief } \\ \text { Executive } \\ \text { Officer/Presi- } \\ \text { dent/ General } \\ \text { Manager }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Accountant/ } \\ \text { Controller/ } \\ \text { Bookeeper/ } \\ \text { Office } \\ \text { Manager }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Field } \\ \text { Representa- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Division } \\ \text { Manager }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sales } \\ \text { tive/Consul- } \\ \text { tant }\end{array} \\ \text { Represen- } \\ \text { tative }\end{array}\right]$

ACCORDING TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, NO PERSONS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO A COLLECTION OF INFORMATION UNLESS IT DISPLAYS A VALID OMB CONTROL NUMBER. THE VALID OMB CONTROL NUMBER FOR THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION IS 0575-0000. THE TIME REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION IS ESTIMATED TO AVERAGE 10 MINUTES PER RESPONSE, INCLUDING THE TIME FOR REVIEWING INSTRU CTIONS, SEARCHING EXISTING DATA SOURCES, GATHERING AND MAINTAINING THE DATA NEEDED, AND COMPLETING AND REVIEWING THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION.

| Question | Chief <br> Executive Officer/President/ General Manager | Accountant/ <br> Controller/ <br> Bookeeper/ <br> Office <br> Manager | Division <br> Manager | Field <br> Representa-tive/Consultant | Sales <br> Representative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. How is salary derived? Circle -- Rate in order of importance 1 to 5 , with 1 being the most important, 5 being the least important. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job responsibilities | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Decision making capabilities | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 122345 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Performance (see question 15) | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Experience | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 123345 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Education | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 122345 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Other agribusiness firms in area | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 11 2 3 4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| Other | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | $12 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 3\end{array}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5\end{array}$ | 12345 |
| 6. Does your cooperative pays bonuses/commission? If yes, what are bonuses and commissions based on? (If no, go to question 7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. How are the following benefits/perks paid? Enter c = cooperative; e = employee pays; $\mathrm{s}=$ shared by both. If no paid beneifts, please leave blank. <br> Retirement ${ }^{1}$ <br> Health insurance <br> Life insurance <br> Disability insurance (workmen's <br> compensation) <br> Educational assistance |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Are the following benefits/perks offered? Circle Y for yes or N for No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid vacations/holidays | Y N | Y N | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N}$ | Y N | Y N |
| Paid sick leave | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N |
| Use of cooperative car/truck | Y N | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N}$ | Y N | Y N | Y N |
| First class travel (air) | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N |
| Paid training | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N |
| Mileage (private car) | Y N | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N}$ | Y N | Y N | Y N |
| Other | Y N | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N}$ | Y N | Y N |
| 9. Do you offer some compensation to your board directors, i.e., paid travel, dinners, other, etc.,? |  |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, please give specific examples (as travel, dinner, etc.). Also, give an estimate of the annual amount. If no, go to question 10 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]10. If retirement/ pension contribution is shared by employees and cooperative, i.e., 401 K , what is formula for contribution? Example: cooperative matches employees' contribution or cooperative pays 75 percent into retirement/pension plans, cooperative pays percentage according to salary, years of service, etc., or social security payment.
11. What changes, if any, have occurred in your organization's salary and benefit packages in the last 5 years? Please give a brief description.
12. What is product(s) sold or marketed?
13. What were your total sales for 1998 ? $\qquad$
14. What were total employees expenses (salary, benefits, etc.) for 1998 ? $\qquad$
15. If performance is a factor in question 5 , how is it evaluated or measured, i.e., sales, return on sales, profit, etc., by the board of directors and how does the CEO, in turn, evaluate performance of key staff members?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Contact Person: $\qquad$

## U.S. Department of Agriculture

## Rural Business-Cooperative Service

Stop 3250
Washington, D.C. 20250-3250

Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) provides research, management, and educational assistance to cooperatives to strengthen the economic position of farmers and other rural residents. It works directly with cooperative leaders and Federal and State agencies to improve organization, leadership, and operation of cooperatives and to give guidance to further devel opment.

The cooperative segment of RBS (1) helps farmers and other rural residents develop cooperatives to obtain supplies and services at lower cost and to get better prices for products they sell; (2) advises rural residents on developing existing resources through cooperative action to enhance rural living; (3) helps cooperatives improve services and operating efficiency; (4) informs members, directors, employees, and the public on how cooperatives work and benefit their members and their communities; and (5) encourages international cooperative programs. RBS also publishes research and educational materials and issues Rural Cooperatives magazine.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, Iarge print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and I ndependence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Total may not add due to rounding.
    ${ }^{3}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous-with low responses.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other includes marketing cooperatives-cotton, livestock, poultry, dry beans and peas, rice, sugar, fishing, and miscellaneous-with low responses.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ No employees had a doctoral degree.

[^3]:    1 Total may not add due to rounding.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}=$ cooperative pays only; $\mathrm{E}=$ employee pays only, and $\mathrm{S}=$ cooperative and employee pays.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Total may not add due to rounding.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}=$ cooperative pays only; $\mathrm{E}=$ employee pays only, and $\mathrm{S}=$ cooperative and employee pays.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ If your organization has any written policies on pension/retirement plans/formulas used, bonuses/commission, or related compensation/benefit packages you may wish to send a copy of policies.

