

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

0-HD1751 . US8

ing 2

STAFF REPORT

THE FEASIBILITY OF A RIVER PORT GRAIN ELEVATOR AT SAVANNA, ILLINOIS Clifford D. Jones, Jr., Natural Resource Economics Division Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service U.S. Department of Agriculture Washington, D.C. 20250 and Bruce L. Brooks Department of Agricultural Economics University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Illinois ESCS Staff Report NRED 80-7 NRE Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Economics, **United States** Statistics, and Department of Service Agriculture

ANDER STER

SEP.



THE FEASIBILITY OF A RIVER PORT GRAIN ELEVATOR AT SAVANNA, ILLINOIS

Clifford D. Jones, Jr., Natural Resource Economics Division Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service U.S. Department of Agriculture Washington, D.C. 20250

and

Bruce L. Brooks Department of Agricultural Economics University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Illinois

ESCS Staff Report NRED 80-7

May 1980

.

FEASIBILITY OF A RIVER PORT GRAIN ELEVATOR AT SAVANNA, ILLINOIS. By Clifford D. Jones, Jr. and Bruce L. Brooks; Natural Resource Economics Division; Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service; U.S. Department of Agriculture; Washington, D.C., 20250 and Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, respectively; May 1980.

ABSTRACT

This feasibility study of a proposed grain elevator focuses on an ll-county area covering parts of the Mississippi Valley located in northwest Illinois, northeast Iowa, and southwest Wisconsin. Projected estimates of production and exportable surpluses of corn, oats, and soybeans are used involving grain movements based on primary and secondary data from country elevators and truckerdealers. Potential yearly grain flows to the proposed million-bushel river terminal are estimated at 9 to 14 million bushels for 1980, yielding variable investment returns. Estimated yields are 5, 10, and 16 percent when annual grain flows through the river terminal are 9, 11, and 14 million bushels, respectively.

Key words: River grain terminals, Feasibility analysis, Cost/return, Grain marketing patterns, Mississippi River grain movement, Illinois, Iowa, Wisconsin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many individuals in the Soil Conservation Service (SCS); Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service (ESCS); University of Illinois; and the USDA State Statistical Offices of Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin contributed to the development of the initial questionnaire and provided secondary data.

i

The authors are indebted to the local RC&D personnel who aided in conducting the survey of country elevators as well as to the many country elevator operators and trucker grain dealers who cooperated in the survey. Special appreciation is extended to John Miner and Thomas H. Dempster, Resource Conservation and Development Branch, SCS for their help during the formulation, development and distribution of this report. Robert B. McKusick contributed greatly to the initial development and execution of the study. The authors also wish to thank Dwight Gadsby who aided in the editorial and review process of this study. Finally, special thanks is extended to Mrs. Barbara Simmons who processed the manuscript through several drafts.

This study was funded by the Soil Conservation Service under authority of the RC&D Program (P.L. 87-703, Section 102 of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1962) through transfer of RC&D funds to the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service.

CONTENTS

			Page
I.	Introduction	•	1
II.	Grain Production	•	11
III.	Exportable Surplus Grain	•	20
IV.	Estimated Volume of Grain That Would Move to a Savanna Terminal	•	25
V.	Financial Feasibility	•	32
VI.	An Overview of the Grain Export Market	•	47
VII.	Conclusions	•	49
VIII.	Bibliography	•	52
IX.	Appendix A - Tables	•	54
Х.	Appendix B - Survey Questionnaire		83

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

1Corn production, historic and projected, grain port study area, by counties, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	12
2Soybean production, historic and projected, grain port study area, by counties, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	14
3Oat production, historic and projected, grain port study area by counties, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	16
4Corn: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	21
5Soybeans: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	22
6Oats: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	23
7Volume and percentage of corn, soybeans, and oats marketed by 45 country elevators and trucker-dealers that remain in local area and move into commercial channels, grain port study area, by States, 1975-76	26
8Volume of corn, soybeans, and oats moving to Savanna and savings to shippers, due to lower transport costs, grain port study area, by counties and States, 1975-76	28
9Volume of corn, soybeans, and oats estimated to move to a Savanna terminal, rather than to existing alternate terminals, due to lower transport costs, using three different analyses and sets of assumptions, grain port study area, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995	29
10Annual operating costs for three hypothetical river subterminal grain elevator models of specified capacities, 1980	33
<pre>IlAnnual operating costs for model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator, at various volume levels, 1980</pre>	34

Page

12Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 9 million bushels of grain, 1980	35
13Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 11,259,000 bushels of grain, 1980	36
14Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 13,965,000 bushels of grain, 1980	37
15Long-term costs/revenue analysis including ratio of annual cash flow to annual debt costs and estimated present value of future net revenue	38

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

THE FEASIBILITY OF A RIVER PORT GRAIN ELEVATOR AT SAVANNA, ILLINOIS

by

Clifford D. Jones, Jr., and Bruce L. Brooks 1/

The Blackhawk Hills Resource Conservation and Development Project proposed a feasibility study of a river port grain terminal at Savanna, Illinois as an RC&D activity. <u>2</u>/ The goal of the project leadership was to increase returns to the natural resources of the Blackhawk Hills RC&D Project Area.

Data used in this study were obtained from a survey of country elevators and trucker grain dealers in the region. Other supporting data were obtained from sources such as university research findings and census information. Study data were integrated to answer the questions posed by the leaders of the Blackhawk Hills RC&D Project, i.e., (1) how much grain would flow through a river terminal at Savanna and, (2) would it be a sufficient quantity to make the terminal an economically feasible operation? Research results were:

- . Grain production for the area is trending upward but at a lower rate than in the early and middle seventies.
- There is a sufficient volume of grain production and exportable surplus to support a river grain terminal marketing facility at Savanna, Illinois.

v

^{1/} Clifford D. Jones, Jr., Agricultural Economist, ESCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Bruce L. Brooks, Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

^{2/} This study was funded through transfer funds to the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service under a memorandum of understanding with the Soil Conservation Service.

- Based on savings in highway transport costs, researchers concluded that 9 to 14 million bushels of grain would move through the hypothetical terminal in 1980. It is conservatively estimated that the amount of grain that would move through the terminal over the next 15 years would average about 14 million bushels annually.
- . It was concluded, based on assumptions regarding the terminal's construction and operation costs, the investment yield could range from 5 to 16 percent during the first year of operation, given three estimated levels of grain business and attendant assumptions.

Major conclusions derived from this study rested on a number of assumptions. A primary study assumption was that coarse grain consumption per animal unit in the study area will remain the same for all livestock classes. Another key assumption was that the international demand for grain would continue to grow. Corn would be the major grain for export with an estimated average increase in demand for transporation service (exportable surplus grain) of 2.4 percent per year for the period 1975-1995.

Study results based on highway transport costs alone, indicate that a grain terminal at Savanna might do the following volume of business over the next 15 years: 12 to 15 million bushels by 1985; 15 to 18 million bushels by 1990; and 17 to 20 million bushels by 1995.

Results of the cost/return analysis indicated that an investment in the proposed terminal at Savanna could yield a return ranging from 5 to 16 percent during the first year's operation. The 5 percent rate of return reflects conservative assumptions relative to the first year's business of the proposed terminal. Estimated returns could be increased, however, depending on the management of the terminal, country elevators and farmers' reaction toward the Savanna grain facility, and construction economy and site acquisition costs.

vi

THE FEASIBILITY OF A RIVER PORT GRAIN ELEVATOR AT SAVANNA, ILLINOIS

by

Clifford D. Jones, Jr., and Bruce L. Brooks 1/

INTRODUCTION

The production and marketing of grain is an important part of the total economic well-being of the producers and marketers of grain in this area in northwest Illinois. Efforts to increase the efficiency of the system now in use are justified for a number of reasons including: (1) the volume of grain that must find a market off the farms in the area, and (2) the need to continually strive for improved efficiency in the existing system.

This report was prepared by the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service (ESCS) for the Blackhawk Hills RC&D Council for use in evaluating the feasibility of a proposed grain elevator to be located on the Mississippi River at Savanna, Illinois. 2/

Directors of the Blackhawk Hills Project believe a grain port facility on the Mississippi River near Savanna, Illinois would improve the efficiency of grain marketing in their area. According to an earlier assessment of the Blackhawk Hills grain transportation problem, local farm leaders and grain dealers have been concerned for several years about adequancy of transportation

2/ The Blackhawk Hills RC&D Project was organized as part of the Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) Program Authorized by P.L. 87-703, Section 102 of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1962. One of the RC&D program's objectives is to encourage development in rural areas through improved use of natural resources. The program is operated by local people who receive assistance from authorized Federal agencies. The ESCS participates in the program under an agreement with the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) which is the lead agency in administering the program. The Blackhawk Hills RC&D area includes Jo Daviess, Stephenson, Carroll, Ogle, Whiteside, and Lee Counties in northwest Illinois. However, the grain port study area, identified in table 1, page 12, includes only 4 of these counties plus 7 other counties in Illinois, Iowa and Wisconsin.

^{1/} Clifford D. Jones, Jr., Agricultural Economist, ESCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Bruce L. Brooks, Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

to serve the area's expanding commercial grain trade. Formerly, the Blackhawk Hills area was a deficit feed grain area. Grain moved into rather than out of the area (<u>13</u>). <u>3</u>/ However, in recent years, grain production has been increasing more rapidly than feeding requirements. Substantial amounts of grain are now available for shipment out of the area (tables 4, 5, and 6). It is estimated that about 60 percent of the feed grain produced in the area is fed to livestock and about 40 percent is exported. <u>4</u>/ Much of the surplus grain is moved by truck to grain terminals on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers where it is loaded onto barges and shipped to the Gulf for export to foreign markets.

During the period 1963-73, U.S. grain exports through Gulf ports increased almost 250 percent, exports of grain through Great Lakes ports showed only a modest increase, and exports through Atlantic ports declined (<u>14</u>). This suggests that the predominant expansion in U.S. grain export trade affecting midwest farmers will be through Gulf ports. The U.S. export market for feed grain and soybeans will likely play a major role in the success of a river terminal at Savanna, since barge activity in the midwest grain area is directly linked with Gulf ports and export trade.

In 1970, 14 midwestern grain marketing and farm supply cooperatives shipped two and one-half million tons of grain to the Gulf by barge. Louisiana Gulf ports were the major destination of these southbound barge shipments, accounting for 99.6 percent of the total. Louisiana ports also received 64 percent of the 14 cooperatives' grain shipments by rail (14). Between 1970 and 1974, midwest

^{3/} Underscored numerals in parenthesis refer to items in the Bibliography.

^{4/} Estimated percentages based on historic corn disposition statistics from publications of Illinois Cooperative Crop Reporting Service (8). In this report "grain for export" or "exportable surplus grain" is defined as grain produced in the ll-county study area in excess of local feed, seed, and processing requirements. "Exportable surplus" is the grain that moves out of the study area via truck, barge or rail to other destinations in Illinois, to other States, or into the world market.

barge shipments of corn and soybeans down the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers to the Baton Rouge-New Orleans, Louisiana area increased by 79 percent and 18 percent, respectively (6).

Based on these favorable trends for barge transportation and related businesses, it would appear that future prospects are bright for river grain handling firms in the midwest. However, the general increase in barge transportation of grain is no reliable basis for assuming that a new firm at Savanna could capture a share of this business.

Study Objectives

Key questions facing the investors/managers of a river grain terminal at Savanna are:

- (1) How much grain will flow through the terminal?
- (2) Will the terminal be a profitable operation?

Of course, answers to these questions depend to a large extent on the personal acumen and skills of the management of the terminal. This cannot be readily assessed and was not an objective of this study. Other factors which can be assessed, and which will affect the success of a grain terminal at Savanna form the study objectives: (1) how much grain is produced in the area, (2) how much is available for export from the area, (3) how much of the exportable surplus can be expected to move through a terminal at Savanna, and (4) what is the likely cost/revenue structure of a Savanna grain terminal?

Analytical Approach and Methodology

These objective factors were assessed and analyzed for an ll-county area around Savanna, Illinois covering parts of Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin. The counties are identified in table 1. The selected counties were designated as the potential service area of the proposed terminal in consideration of distance from Savanna and locations of other existing river grain terminals.

The analytical approach used in appraising the above factors was as follows:

- Estimate 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 production in the 11county area for the major grains (corn, oats, and soybeans), using historical production trends for each crop.
- (2) Estimate 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1196 local use of corn, oats, and soybeans, using local livestock and poultry feed requirements and local processing of any of the commodities as an indication of local use, i.e., grain used or consumed in the ll-county study area.
- (3) Estimate 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 exportable surplus production of corn, oats, and soybeans in the ll-county area by deducing local use of each commodity from estimated local production.
- (4) Estimate the amount of exportable surplus grain that would likely move through a river grain terminal at Savanna. Use current destinations of surplus grain, mode of transport, transport costs, and distance hauled as determining factors in the flow of grain.

A survey of country elevators and trucker-dealers was conducted to determine mode of transportation, transport costs, and to verify the marketing patterns of grain produced in the ll-county area. Additional barging costs resulting from loading grain at a Savanna port rather than alternative down river ports serving the designated study area were considered insignificant and dropped from explicit consideration.

(5) Estimate the cost/revenue structure of a hypothetical river grain terminal at Savanna. Assume three alternative model river grain elevators of specified capacities and develop cost data on each model. Develop a cost/return analysis on one model based on a conservative estimate of the volume of grain that a terminal at Savanna might expect to handle during the first year of operation.

Use survey data collected from country elevators and trucker dealers, grain terminal operating costs estimated in other studies, "rule of thumb" construction cost estimates cited by grain elevator operators and those who construct grain handling facilities and assumed margins as guideline information in developing the cost/return analysis.

Grain production was estimated for each county in the study area for the years 1965-75 and projected at 5-year intervals to 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 using secondary data. 5/ The data used in making these estimates came from several sources, including publications of State Universities, State Departments of Agriculture, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the U.S. Censuses of Agriculture (1, 3, 10, 18, 19, 22, 23). The projected estimates were developed using linear regression analysis of annual historical corn, oats, and soybean production data for each county. Adjustments were made in linear projections of individual counties that showed strong deviations from indicated trends in the study area using data from other studies (1, 3, 22).

Local livestock and poultry consumption of corn and oats was determined by estimating the annual feed use of each grain for 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 based on existing secondary data of annual livestock feed usage in study area counties (<u>3</u>), and where livestock usage was not available, by estimating current and future livestock and poultry numbers for each county and multiplying estimated numbers by annual feed ration rates for each class of livestock. Projections of livestock numbers were based on trend analysis of annual historical data in State and USDA publications (<u>1</u>, <u>3</u>, <u>9</u>, <u>10</u>, <u>23</u>). Annual feeding rates of each grain by class of livestock and poultry were obtained from other studies for Iowa and Illinois (<u>1</u>, <u>3</u>).

^{5/} Grain production estimates include only corn and oats harvested for grain and soybeans for beans.

The amount of soybeans used on farms is normally insignificant. Therefore, only a small amount was estimated to be consumed locally, based on reported onfarm usage in Illinois and Iowa (1, 3, 8).

Exportable surplus grain is defined as the excess of local production over local use, or more precisely, the residual after subtracting estimated local feed, seed, and processing use from estimated production. The difference is assumed to be exported from the study area. Exportable surplus grain was estimated for each county in the study area for 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 by computing the difference between estimates of production and use in each county for the specified years.

It was assumed that the amount of exportable surplus grain that would likely move through a river grain terminal at Savanna would be related to the cost of transporting the grain from farms and country elevators to Savanna, as opposed to the cost of transporting it to other alternative grain terminals serving the study area.

According to two recent studies in Illinois, grain sold from Illinois farms moves primarily through four types of grain handling firms: (1) country elevators, (2) terminal and export elevators, (3) feed mills and manufacturers, and (4) grain processors. Most of the grain sold from farms in Illinois is first handled by country elevators, with minor quantities going directly to terminal elevators, local feed manufacturers, and processors. In 1970, 89 percent of the corn and 90 percent of the soybeans sold from Illinois farms moved through country elevators. This pattern had not changed appreciably in 1973, when it was estimated that country elevators received 92 percent of the corn and 94 percent of the soybeans sold by Illinois farmers. From the country elevator, grain moves to Illinois processors, terminal and export elevators, destinations in other States including feed and processing firms in the Southeast, and back to local farmers for feed (2, 7).

It was assumed that the relationship of the country elevator in the grain marketing chain in Illinois would hold for the study area, and that country elevators in the study area would be the most reliable source of prevailing grain flows, transportation mode, and hauling costs. Therefore, country elevators were surveyed to obtain the basic data used in estimating the amount of surplus grain and soybeans that could be economically delivered to a Savanna terminal. Mode of grain transport, costs of transporting grains and the volume of grain moving from farms and country elevators to the different terminals inside and outside the study area were obtained by the use of a mail, telephone, and personal interview survey of 76 country elevators and trucker-dealers located in the ll-county study area.

All of the 76 elevators and trucker-dealers were first contacted by mail. Those who did not respond were then visited personally or via telephone. Response to the questionnaire was received from 24 country elevators and 6 trucker-dealers in Illinois, 12 country elevators in Iowa, and 3 country elevators in Wisconsin. For the whole study area, this amounted to a 59.2 percent response rate.

Data from the survey of country elevators and trucker-dealers were used to determine the flow or destination of the exportable surplus grain and the mode and cost of transportation. Survey data on cost and distance hauled were used in regressing cents per bushel on miles hauled to each terminal for corn and soybeans. The resulting regression coefficients from this analysis were used to compute the transportation costs for hauling corn, soybeans, and oats to the terminals now used and to a proposed facility at Savanna by the country elevators and trucker-dealers surveyed. The most direct road mileages to each of the terminals now used by the elevators and trucker-dealers were taken from State highway maps. The mileage, from each

of these elevators and from the location of each trucker-dealer to Savanna, was obtained in the same manner. The difference in mileages to Savanna and to the terminal used were then computed. This figure was multiplied by the cost per bushel-mile regression coefficient to determine the difference in cost of transporting grain from any facility to any terminal used and the cost of transporting the same grain to a Savanna facility. If the distance was less to Savanna than to the terminal to which the grain was transported, then the cost of transporting the grain to Savanna was less. Given lower transport costs, it was then assumed the grain would flow to Savanna, other things being equal.

A formulation of the above computational steps used in deriving estimated grain transportation cost savings follows:

Transportation savings = [(x-y)z]v, where

- x = Distance to alternative terminal
- y = Distance to Savanna (y < x)
- z = Cost per bushel-mile for transporting grain (which is .096 cents for corn and oats and .086 cents for soybeans, based on results of the country elevator and trucker-dealer survey)

v = Volume of grain transported to Savanna

The cost/return analysis of a river grain terminal at Savanna was developed using mostly secondary data from other studies (5, 6, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17), supplemented with data obtained from the country elevator survey, and personal interviews with grain elevator construction contractors and grain elevator operators. Annual operating-cost data were developed for three different sizes of river grain terminals which are capable of handling the amounts of grain that would likely be moving through a Savanna terminal (table 10). A cost/return analysis was developed for one alternative river

grain terminal model, assuming that a Savanna grain facility could attract between 7 and 9 million bushels of grain from the study area during the first year of operation. Cost data were developed for the same model operating at different volume levels to show how costs per bushel vary depending upon the amount of grain handled.

Other factors affecting the financial feasibility of a river grain terminal in the study area were examined including the export trade prospects for midwest grain farmers and grain handlers. Also, the effect of waterway user charges on barge traffic is briefly discussed and analyzed using information from a simulated case study for central Illinois (4).

General Assumptions

The following general assumptions are made in relation to this study:

- General economic conditions now prevailing in the United States and the world will continue.
- The export demand for U.S. grains and soybeans will continue at about the present level.
- The cropping patterns of grain crops grown in the study area will remain essentially the same as they are at present.
- 4. The coarse grain consumption per animal unit in the study area will remain the same as it is at the present time for all livestock classes.
- 5. Trends in the total volume of each feed grain fed to livestock in the study area will continue to move in the same direction as in the past.
- 6. The present level of technology exhibited in grain handling, transportation, and storage in the study area will not markedly change in the foreseeable future.

7. The use of coarse grains and soybeans in the United States for food and industrial purposes will remain about the same as it is at the present time.

Limitations

In this study, transporation costs are the only costs considered in determining quantity of grain flow to the different river facilities. The researchers recognize that the kinds and quality of other services are often important in determining the facility to which grain will flow. In this study, these are ignored.

GRAIN PRODUCTION

The historic and projected production of each of the three major grains produced in the grain port study area is shown in tables 1, 2, and 3. Table 1 clearly shows an upward trend in corn production averaging about 4.5 percent per year over the 11-year period from 1965-75. Projected to 1995, corn production increases 87 percent over 1965. This is an average annual increase in corn production of about 2.8 percent over a 31-year period. When compared to the 4.5 percent average annual increase for the period 1965 through 1975, this estimated annual increase appears to be conservative, but perhaps more realistic than using the very large increases attained in a number of recent years.

Soybean production also shows a distinct upward trend (table 2). The production of soybeans in the study area rose an average of about 14.6 percent annually from 1965 to 1975. The projected production over the 31-year period from 1965 to 1995 also shows a marked increase, averaging about 12.0 percent annually for the 31 years. However, this rate of increase is not expected to continue at the same level between 1975 and 1995. During the 20-year period the average annual rate of increase in soybean production is estimated to drop to 4 percent.

Oat production shows a historic downward trend over the ll-year period, 1965-75, with an average annual decline in production of about 3.5 percent (table 3). Projected production of oats to 1995 shows a reduction in the rate of the downward trend with an average annual decline of about 1.8 percent for the 31-year period. Oat production is projected to decline at a rate of about 1.3 percent per year between 1975 and 1995.

No production data was developed for wheat. Although some wheat is grown in the area, it is considered an insignificant grain crop relative to its importance to a river grain terminal at Savanna. The grain marketing

Table 1--Corn production, historic and projected, grain port study area, by countles, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1985,

State and				Hist	oric			(4) 1. Veli il data da per la superiora nativo setto estato estato estato estato estato estato estato estato
county	: 1965	: 1966	: 1967	: 1968	: 1969	: 1970	1971 :	: 1972
	••							na na de las como estas en estas entre en estas entre est
				Bush	els			
Illinois								
Carroll.	: 7,468,300	8,482,200	10,837,200	10,089,300	11,073,200	9,220,000	11,871,800	10,963,200
Jo Daviess	: 4,681,500	5,277,900	6,238,600	7,012,600	5.885.400	5,905,600	7,055,100	6.967.200
0gle	: 15,598,900	15,935,900	20,692,700	19,142,600	20,592,000	16,830,300	19,957,900	20,326,700
Stephenson	: 8,608,400	9,844,900	11,921,200	12,256,200	11,158,100	12,208,300	14,879,800	13,880,900
Winnebago	: 6,760,700	7,556,600	9,587,400	8,157,100	8,576,700	8,364,400	9,785,900	8,627,200
Subtotal	: 43,117,800	47,097,500	59,277,100	56,657,800	57,285,400	52,528,600	63,550,500	60,765,200
Lowa								
Clinton	: 14,589,000	13,985,000	18,415,000	15,530,000	16,800,000	13,423,000	18,871,000	17,447,000
Dubuque	: 6,726,000	7,189,000	8,596,000	8,982,000	7,990,000	9,082,000	10,236,000	10,167,000
Jackson	: 6,947,000	6,907,000	8,256,000	7,935,000	7,857,000	7,284,000	9,361,000	9,258,000
Subtotal	: 28,262,000	28,081,000	35,267,000	32,447,000	32,647,000	29,789,000	38.468.000	36,872,000
Visconsin								
Grant	: 7,627,700	8,456,400	8,088,600	11,315,000	10,642,800	10,386,800	12,688,200	12,211,500
Green	: 5,159,100	5,298,300	6,260,400	6,965,700	5,594,100	5,830,800	7,383,100	6,830,000
LaFayette	: 6,660,000	7,231,200	7,003,500	8,802,000	6,514,800	6,811,200	8,807,200	8,413,300
Subtotal	: 19,446,800	20,985,900	21,352,500	27,082,700	22,751,700	23,028,800	28,878,500	27,454,800
Total area	: 90,826,600	96,164,400	115,896,600	116,187,700	112,684,100	105,346,400	130,897,000	125,092,000
See footnot	e at end of	table.						ContInued

See footnote at end of table.

State and	••	llistoric			Project	ed	
county	: 1973 :	: 1974	1975	: 1980 :	1985 :	: 0661	1995
				Bushels			
	••						
Illinois							
Carroll	: 10,103,100	9,007,600	13,460,200	13,580,000	13,650,000	13,800,000	14,458,000
Jo Daviess	: 6,609,200	4,518,500	7,033,700	7,100,000	7,596,000	8,100,000	8,588,000
Ogle	: 17,426,600	12,973,100	22,061,600	22,560,000	23,275,000	24,990,000	26,705,000
Stephenson	: 13,159,900	9,437,700	16,679,900	16,700,000	16,750,000	16,800,000	17,315,000
Winnebago	: 8,161,200	6,088,400	10,830,300	10,850,000	10,900,000	11,293,000	12,068,000
Subtotal	: 55,460,000	42,025,300	70,065,700	70,790,000	72,171,000	74,983,000	79,134,000
Lowa							
Clinton	: 16,237,000	13,035,000	19,801,000	23,000,000	24,800,000	26,700,000	28,600,000
Dubuque	: 10,390,000	8,762,000	10,049,000	11,900,000	13,400,000	14,700,000	16,400,000
Jackson	: 8,545,000	6,246,000	8,766,000	11,100,000	12,200,000	13,300,000	14,400,000
Subtotal	: 35,172,000	28,043,000	38,616,000	46,000,000	50,400,000	54,700,000	59,400,000
Wisconsin							
Grant	: 11,746,000	10,470,000	11,696,000	11,780,000	12,280,000	12,830,000	13,643,000
Green	: 6,016,400	3,955,000	6,534,000	6,545,000	6,927,000	7,330,000	7,692,000
LaFayette	: 7,814,200	6,920,000	9,409,000	9,410,000	9,670,000	9,800,000	9,980,000
Subtotal	: 25,576,600	21,345,000	27,639,000	27,735,000	28,877,000	29,960,000	31,315,000
Total area	: 116,208,600	91,413,300	136,320,700	144,525,000	151,448,000	159,643,000	169,549,000
Source: Hi	istorical estimat	es came from a	series of Sté	ate Agricultura	l Statistical	publications f	or
Illinois, Iou	va, and Wisconsin	(9, 10, 23).	Projected est	timates were de	veloped using	linear regress	ion
analysis of a	nnual historical	production day	ta for each co	ounty. Some li	uear projection	ns were adjust	ted based
on indicated	trends in other	studies for sti	udy area count	ties and for ar	eas which cont	ained study ar	сеа

13

counties $(\underline{1}, \underline{3}, \underline{22})$.

Table 1 --Corn production, historic and projected, grain port study area, by counties, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1981, 1990, and 1995--Continued

State and				Histo	ric			
county	: 1965	: 1966 :	1967 :	1968	1969	1970	1971	: 1972
	••							
				Bushe	els			
llinois							•	
Carroll	: 145,100	139,400	129,900	270,800	204,300	310,400	340,100	384,700
Jo Daviess	.: 54,500	56,300	55,200	96,500	85,100	105,800	94,500	116,200
Ogle	: 839,900	893,900	1,117,200	1,150,300	1,406,700	1,659,400	1,650,700	2,141,200
Stephenson	: 114,800	159,600	154,100	236,800	312,200	380,400	369,800	446,000
Winnebago	: 507,800	517,900	612,100	695,800	635,600	671,200	707,100	838,000
Subtotal	: 1,662,100	1,767,100	2,068,500	2,450,200	2,643,900	3,127,200	3,162,200	3,926,100
0Wa	000 670	000 602	000 000 1	000 666 1	1 100 000	1 500 000	1 631 000	1 907 000
CLINCON	: 04/,UUU	000,661	1,091,000	1,332,UUU	1,101,001,1	1,200,000 I	000,1CC,1	1,004,UUU 50,000
Dubuque	: 28,000	38,000	34,000	53,000	55,000	76,000	62,000	59,000
Jackson	: 152,000	131,000	141,000	153,000	198,000	189,000	198,000	251,000
Subtotal	: 1,027,000	962,000	1,268,000	1,538,000	1,636,000	1,774,000	1,791,000	2,114,000
Visconsin								
Grant	: 16,800	20,700	20,900	18,400	20,700	22,500	16,800	20,250
Green	: 31,900	36,000	47,500	57,200	64,050	76,700	55,350	69,600
LaFayette	: 7,350	7,700	8,400	11,250	27,600	24,300	21,000	43,400
	: 56,050	64,400	76,800	86,850	112,350	123,500	93.150	133.250
Total area	: 2,745,150	2,793,500	3,413,300	4,075,050	4,392,250	5,024,700	5,046,350	6,173,350
See footnot	te at end of te	able.						Continued

Table 2 --Soybean production, historic and projected, grain port study area by counties, 1965-75 and 1980,1985, 1990, and 1995--Continued

State and		Historic			Projec	ted	
county	: 1973	: 1974	: 1975	: 1980	: 1985	: 1990	: 1995
	••			7			
				Bushels			
			/				
Carroll	: 595 000	307 800	300 400	000 617	000 007	566 000	000 679
Io Daufaco	261,000	178 500	225,000	230,000	245,000	000,000 260,000	042,000 207 000
Oole Oole	3.293.000	1.534.700	2.368.400	2 500,000	2.700.000	3 182 000	3 622 000
Stephenson	908.000	447.100	741.300	745,000	765,000	785,000	805 000
Winnebago	: 1,126,000	537,900	855,300	896,000	985,000	1,081,000	1,224,000
Subtotal	6,183,000	3,008,000	4,590,300	4,683,000	5,175,000	5,874,000	6,590,000
Clinton	2.313.000	1.597.000	2.011.000	2 722 000	000 278 8	3 972 000	4 597 000
Dubudue	133,000	87,000	000 UU	132,000	000 291	212,000	000,000,4
Jackson	346,000	173,000	218,000	475,000	600,000	725,000	855,000
Subtotal	: 2,792,000	1,857,000	2,319,000	3,329,000	4,114,000	4,909,000	5,701,000
Wisconsin							
Grant	000°1C :	43,000	000,33	64,000	81,000	104,000	122,000
Green	: 110,400	74,000	82,000	119,000	155,000	201,000	235,000
LaFayette	: 138,000	98,000	101,000	161,000	218,000	276,000	335,000
Subtotal	: 305,400	215,000	238,000	344,000	454,000	581,000	692,000
Total area	: 9,280,400	5,078,000	7,147,300	8,356,000	9,743,000	11,364,000	12,983,000
Source: His	storical estimat	tes came from a	series of Sta	ite Agrícultura	l Statistical	publications 1	for Illinois,
Iowa, and Wise	consin (<u>9</u> , <u>10</u> , <u>-</u>	23). Projected	estimates wer	e developed us	ing linear reg	ression analys	sis of annual
historical pro	oduction data fo	or each county.	Some linear	projections we	re adjusted ba	sed on indicat	ted trends

in other studies for study area counties and for areas which contained study area counties $(\underline{1}, \underline{3}, \underline{22},)$

State and				llist	oric			a deservative de la contrata de la deservative de la contrata de la contrata de
county	: 1965	: 1966	: 1967	: 1968	: 1969	. 1970	1721 :	: 1972
				Bush	els			
T11 inois Carroll	: 1,594,100	1,479,200	1,244,400	1,580,500	1,191,200	2,077,500	1,100,500	881,100
Jo Daviess	.: 1,545,100	1,413,300	1,455,900	1,398,300	1,298,400	1,165,300	1,233,900	836,200
0gle	: 2,422,100	2,565,200	2,228,900	2,504,800	2,027,600	1,876,200	1,573,600	1,259,900
Stephenson	: 2,433,800	2,621,700	2,063,500	2,427,700	2,170,700	1,818,200	1,827,700	1,140,200
Winnebago	: 1,111,100	1,220,100	1,108,400	1,286,400	1,024,000	964,000	874,400	734,100
Subtotal	: 9,106,200	9,299,500	8,101,100	9,197,700	7,711,900	7,901,200	6,610,100	4,851,500
Iowa						•		
Clinton	: 2,208,000	2,105,000	2,009,000	2,038,000	1,825,000	1,698,000	1,476,000	1,058,000
Dubuque	: 2,377,000	2,110,000	2,160,000	2,394,000	1,912,000	2,241,000	2,035,000	1,725,000
Jackson	: 1,596,000	1,687,000	1,572,000	1,689,000	1,431,000	1,370,000	1,429,000	1,152,000
Subtotal	: 6,181,000	5,902,000	5,741,000	6,121,000	5,168,000	5,309,000	4,940,000	3,935,000
Wisconsin								and a second
Grant	: 3,889,600	3,707,600	3,321,600	3,577,200	3,073,900	3,535,000	3,346,000	2,451,000
Green	: 2,378,500	2,358,400	2,196,400	2,220,000	1,810,400	2,037,000	1,849,200	1,070,400
LaFayette	: 2,597,600	2,546,000	2,092,200	2,343,000	1,897,100	1,964,200	1,811,200	1,296,000
Subtotal	: 8,865,700	8,612,000	7,610,200	8,140,200	6,781,400	7,536,200	7,006,400	4,817,400
Total area	: 24,152,900	23,813,500	21,452,300	23,458,900	19,661,300	20,746,400	18,556,500	13,603,900
See footnot	te at end of t	table.						ConFlnued

16

.

Table 3 --Oat production, historic and projected, grain port study area by countles, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985,

State and		Historic			Project	ed	
county	: 1973 :	: 1974	1975 :	: 1980	1985 :	: 0661	1995
	••						
				Bushels			
Illinois							
Carroll	: 610,100	1,264,600	1,298,100	1,172,000	1,068,500	918,900	826,800
Jo Daviess ?]	: 684,500 1 102 800	900,300	893,900	875,000	842,000	782,300	727,500
Ugre	1,100,000	1,202,1UU	1,415,000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	1, 100,000 i	1,110,000	000°°16	000,200
Stephenson	: 1,025,600	1,280,/00	1,1/1,/00	1,133,000	1,082,000	958,300	807,600
Winnebago	: 609,400	718,400	665,200	617,300	522,200	519,600	467,300
Subtotal	: 4,033,400	5,446,700	5,448,400	5,147,300	4,630,700	4,154,400	3,711,200
Lowa	••						
Clinton	: 1,020,000	1,287,000	1,239,000	1,235,000	1,220,000	1,191,000	1,072,000
Dubuque	: 1,779,000	2,153,000	1,867,000	1,860,000	1,800,000	1,760,000	1,662,000
Jackson	: 1,024,000	1,217,000	1,181,000	1,175,000	1,155,000	1,130,000	1,107,000
Subtotal	: 3,823,000	4,657,000	4,287,000	4,270,000	4,175,000	4,081,000	3,841,000
Wisconsin							
Grant	: 2,473,500	2,963,000	2,456,000	2,144,000	1,954,400	1,681,600	1,413,000
Green	: 1,060,000	1,262,000	1,353,000	1,300,000	1,270,000	1,092,600	984,000
LaFayette	: 1,307,900	1,834,000	1,383,000	1,350,000	1,300,000	1,174,800	1,058,000
Subtotal	: 4,841,000	6,059,000	5, 192, 000	4,794,000	4,524,400	3,949,000	3,455,000
Total area	: 12,697,800	16,162,700	14,927,400	14,211,300	13,330,100	12,184,400	11,007,200
Source: Hi	storical estimate	es came from a	series of Sta	te Agricultura	l Statistical	publications	for Illinois,
Towa, and Wis	consin (9, 10, 2	3). Projected	estimates wer	e developed us.	ing linear reg	ression analy	sis of annual
historical pr	oduction data for	r each county.	Some linear	projections wen	re adjusted bas	sed on indica	ted trends
in other stud	lies for study ar	ea counties and	l for areas w	vich contained	study area cou	nties (<u>1</u> , <u>3</u> ,	22).

Table 3 --Oat production, historic and projected, grain port study area, by counties, 1965-75 and 1980, 1985, 1985, 1985, 1985, 1985, 1995--Continued

district in northern Illinois, which includes the Illinois portion of the grain port study area, is a deficit wheat area-more wheat is fed to live-stock than produced (3).

The total estimated volume of grain produced in the ll-county area in 1975 was 136,320,700 bushels of corn; 7,147,300 bushels of soybeans; and 14,927,400 bushels of oats, which is a total production of all three grains of 158,395,400 bushels. When the total production of these three grains is projected to 1995, the total is 193,539,200 bushels, an increase of 22 percent over 1975 production of these three grains in the ll-county area.

These county production data show that there is now, and is projected to be, a sizeable volume of grain to be used in local feeding and processing or marketed from the area. About 47 to 51 percent of the grain now comes, and is projected to come, from five Illinois counties, about 27-35 percent from three Iowa counties, and about 14-24 percent from three Wisconsin counties. About 86 percent of the grain that is now produced in the ll-county area is produced in Illinois and Iowa. Projected estimates of grain production are believed to be somewhat conservative. Actual production in future years could average 7 to 10 percent higher than projected levels if recent in creases in production are maintained.

Much of the grain that is produced never moves beyond the local area where it is grown. It is either fed on the farm where it is produced, moved short distances to other farms where it is fed to livestock, or moved to small feed mills and then returned to the farm. Some of the grain moves to country elevators and is returned direct to the area farms or to local feed mills and then back to the farms. Grain treated in this manner is not considered to be commerical grain in this study. Only the grain that moves to country elevators or terminals for further transshipment by truck, barge, or

rail is of concern in this study. This grain, defined as exportable surplus grain, is that amount of local production in excess of local requirements for feed and seed.

EXPORTABLE SURPLUS GRAIN

The exportable surplus of corn, oats, and soybeans in the ll-county study area is estimated for 1975 and projected at 5-year intervals from 1980 to 1995, by counties and by States (tables 4, 5, and 6).

The estimated exportable surplus of corn, oats, and soybeans combined from Illinois counties alone was slightly over 44 million bushels in 1975. This surplus production consisted of about 37 million bushels of corn; 2.5 million bushels of oats, and 4.5 million bushels of soybeans. The exportable surplus estimates of corn, oats, and soybeans in the Iowa portion of the study area in 1975 were 14.2 million bushels of corn, slightly over 1.0 million bushels of oats, and about 2.3 million bushels of soybeans. Exportable surplus estimates for the Wisconsin portion of the study area in 1975 was just over 7.0 million bushels of corn, about 0.5 million bushels of oats, and about 0.2 million bushels of soybean.

A continual upward trend in exportable surplus of corn is estimated for the study area and each county within it. For the total area, corn surplus is projected to increase about 49 percent between 1975 and 1995. This is an average annual increment of about 2.4 percent over the 20-year period (table 4). The exportable surplus of soybeans in the study area is projected to rise during each 5-year period from 1975 to 1995. The projected annual increase in exportable surplus averages about 4.0 percent, or 286,000 bushels per year (table 5). Projected exportable surplus of oats shows a decline of about 19.3 percent between 1975 and 1995. The decline averages almost 1.0 percent a year starting from 1975, or about 39,500 bushels annually (table 6).

The total amount of exportable surplus grain in the study area available to move into commercial channels by country elevators and terminals is projected to increase at the rate of 2.4 percent per year between 1975 and 1995. The increases in exportable surpluses of corn and soybeans are somewhat

State and county	0 8 8	1975	:	1980	6 6 6	1985	•	1990	:	1995
	4 • •		ALCONTRA - RAMA	I	hou	isand bus	hel	S		
Carroll Jo Daviess Ogle Stephenson	• • • • •	6,178 1,196 14,737 7,856		6,300 1,218 15,003 7,980		6,746 1,715 17,069 8,213		7,834 2,220 19,008 9,623		8,921 2,709 20,990 11,129
Winnebago Subtotal	:	7,072 37,039	1999 - Frank Marine 1999 - Frank Marine 1999 - Frank Marine	7,200		7,718		8,614 47,299		9,550 53,299
Clinton Dubuque Jackson	: :	9,623 2,040 2,526		11,185 2,417 3,198		12,396 3,760 4,009		13,071 4,623 4,204		14,381 6,011 4,790
Subtotal	:	14,189		16,800		20,165		21,898		25,182
<u>Wisconsin</u> Grant Green Lafayette	:	2,807 1,960 2,258		2,865 1,964 2,260		3,070 2,078 2,300		3,208 2,199 2,344		3,411 2,308 2,495
Subtotal	:	7,025		7,089		7,448		7,751		8,214
. Total area	:	58,253		61,590		69,074		76,948		86,695

Table 4-Corn: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 1/

1/ Exportable surplus is the estimated amount of grain available for export out of area, production less local consumption.

State and county	:	1975	:	1 9 80	:	1985	:	1990	:	1995
	:									
Tllinoic	:			-	Thou	sand bus	shel	<u>s</u>		
	•	000		(1.60		550		() (
Carroll	:	389		402		468		552		626
Jo Daviess	:	220		225		240		254		290
Ogle	:	2,309		2,400		2,632		3,102		3,531
Stephenson	:	723		740		775		800		850
Winnebago	:	834		874		960		1,054		1,193
Subtotal	:	4,475	in the second lines have	4,641		5,075		5,762		6,490
Iowa	:				10 M ²					
Clinton	:	1,963		2,657		3,268		3,890		4,468
Dubuque	:	88		128		163		207		241
Jackson	:	213		461		583		705		828
Subtotal	:	2,264		3,246		4,014		4,802		5,537
Wisconsin	:									
Grant	:	53		61		78		100		117
Green	:	79		114		149		193		226
Lafayette	:	97		155		209		265		322
Subtotal	:	229		330		436		- 558		665
Total area	:	6,968		8,217		9,525		11,122		12,692

Table 5--Soybeans: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 1/

1/ Exportable surplus is the estimated amount of soybeans available for export out of area, production less local consumption.

State and county	:	1975	:	1980	:	1985	:	1990	:	1995
Illinois	::			-	l'hous	and bus	hels			
Carroll Jo Daviess Ogle	: : :	804 288 859		726 291 820		669 293 642		551 276 538		489 263 481
Stephenson Winnebago	::	236 319		226 296		220 254		211 2 3 6		121 224
Subtotal	:	2,506		2,359		2,078		1,812		1,578
<u>Iowa</u> Clinton Dubuque Jackson	: : :	412 291 333		485 320 385		495 340 410		505 360 435		515 380 460
Subtotal	:	1,036		1,190		1,245		1,300		1,355
<u>Wisconsin</u> Grant Green Lafayette	: :	246 162 138		214 160 138		195 152 137		168 131 117		141 118 106
Subtotal	:	546		512		484		416		365
Total area	:	4,088		4,061		3,807		3,528		3,298

Table 6-- Oats: Exportable surplus estimates, grain port study area, by counties, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 1/

l/ Exportable surplus is the estimated amount of grain available for export out of area, production less local consumption.
offset by the decline in oats. In total, grain available for export from the ll-county area is expected to increase from about 69 million bushels in 1975 to about 103 million bushels in 1995. ESTIMATED VOLUME OF GRAIN THAT WOULD MOVE TO A SAVANNA TERMINAL

The main problem in this study was to determine if there is now and will be in the future a sufficient volume of exportable surplus grain in the grain port service area that can be economically delivered to a river terminal at Savanna, Illinois to warrant investment in grain facilities at Savanna. The basis for determination of whether the grain can be delivered is based on whether the per bushel-mile cost of delivery to Savanna is lower than it is to the terminal or terminals to which the grain was moved during the 1975-76 crop year. Explicit consideration was given only to trucking costs. Total and Commercial Grain Flows in Study Area

Current grain flows, mode of transport, and transportation costs of moving grain from farms and country elevators in the study area to other destinations were obtained from data acquired in a sample survey of 76 country elevators and trucker-dealers operating in the study area. Response from 45 country elevators and trucker-dealers showed that, of the 28.5 million bushels of grain handled, they moved about 24.6 million bushels of corn, oats, and soybeans into commercial channels during the 1975-76 marketing year (table 7). About 86 percent of the total volume handled went into commerical outlets and left the area, and approximately 14 percent was retained in the area for feed and other local uses.

The total volume of commercial sales by the respondent elevator and trucker grain dealers accounted for about 35.5 percent of the total estimated surplus surplus of corn, oats, and soybeans in 1975. The remaining exportable surplus was handled by elevators and trucker-dealers who were not included in the survey or who did not respond to the survey and by farmers who hauled their grain direct to river terminals and other outlets, by-passing country elevators. Survey response indicated that the farther removed country elevators surveyed were from Savanna, the less inclined they were to respond to

Table 7 --- Volume and percentage of corn, soybeans, and oats marketed by 45 country elevators and trucker-dealers that remain in local area and move into commercial channels, grain port study area, by States, 1975-76 1/

Area	ity:	Total : volume : handled :	Local use	Commercial sales	Local use	Commercial sales
	:	<u>1</u>	,000 bushel	ls	<u>Perc</u>	cent
Illinois	:					
Corn	:	19,997.1	2,051.0	17,946.1	10.3	89.7
Soybeans	:	1,920.1	0	1,920.1	0	100.0
Oats	:	633.15	234.5	398.65	37.1	62.9
Subtotal	:	22,550.35	2,285.5	20,264.85	10.1	89.9
Iowa	:					
Corn	:	4,094.7	1,491.7	2,603.0	36.4	63.6
Soybeans	:	918.6	0	918.6	0	100.0
Oats	:	294.45	111.8	182.65	38.0	62.0
Subtotal	:	5,307.75	1,603.5	3,704.25	30.2	69.8
Wisconsin	:					
Corn	:	586.0	0	586.0	0	100.0
Soybeans	:	10.0	0	10.0	0	100.0
Oats	:	10.5	3.0	7.5	28.6	71.4
Subtotal	:	606.5	3.0	603.5	.5	99.5
Total, grain po study area	ort: :	28,464.6	3,892.0	24,572.6	13.7	86.3

1/ Marketing year for each grain is the one used by ESCS, USDA.

the survey questionnaire. Therefore, it can be reasonably assumed that because of distance these elevators would not likely use a Savanna facility, other things being equal. Consequently, the the amount of grain for which a river facility at Savanna might compete is probably in the neighborhood of that amount indicated going into commercial channels based on the survey response, or roughly 24.6 million bushels of all three commodities combined.

Most of the commercial grain sales were to river terminals on the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers. Very few oats went to river terminals. The predominant mode of transportation to river terminals was by trucks hauling 500 bushels or more. The trucking costs from country elevators and farms to river terminals were estimated at 0.096 cents per bushel-mile for corn and oats and 0.086 cents per bushel-mile for soybeans.

Optimistic Estimates of Grain Flows Through a Savanna Terminal

Based on transportation costs and the marketing patterns of the study area surplus grain handled by the respondent elevator and trucker-dealers, an estimated 20.5 million bushels of grain would have moved through a facility at Savanna in 1975, and 22.2 million by 1980 (tables 8 and 9).

The estimated savings in transportation costs to country grain shippers (country elevator and trucker-dealers responding to the grain flow/transportation cost survey) by moving their grain to a Savanna facility rather than to the destination used in 1975-76 was estimated at about \$594,000 (table 8). Because most of the grain originated in Illinois, the greatest benefit due to lower transportation costs would accrue to Illinois grain dealers and farmers. It is estimated they could have realized about \$559,000 in highway transportation cost reductions in 1975-76 by shipping grain through a Savanna terminal.

		Corn	: Soyb	eans	06	lts
State and county	: Volume : moving to : Savanna	Savings to shippers	: Volume : moving to : Savanna :	Savings to shippers	: Volume : moving to : Savanna :	Savings to shippers
	: 1,000 bu.	Dollars	1,000 bu.	Dollars	1,000 bu.	Dollars
<u>Carroll</u>	3,915.8	97,650	252.0	5,934	134.0	9,320
Jo Daviess Døle	5.801.4	179.452	6.// 854.5	2,194	173.9	14.309
Stephenson Winnehaco	2,529.4	65,287 46.379	155.0 260.5	4,139	20.0	596 1,348
Subtotal	: 16,861.1	486,405	1,599.5	45,348	391.9	27,290
<u>Iowa</u> Clinton	. 288.0	2,765	437.2	6,901	52.9	440
Dubuque	. 85.5	271			12.0 42.0	208 1.086
Subtotal	493.5	4,492	455.2	7,134	106.9	1,736
Wisconsin				1		
Green Lafavette	586.0	20,952	10.0	399 	7.5	250
Subtotal	: 586.0	20,952	10.0	399	7.5	250
Total area	: 17,940.6	511,849	2,064.7	52,881	506.3	29.276

Table 8 ---Volume of corn, soybeans, and oats moving to Savanna and savings to shippers, due

Table 9--Volume of corn, soybeans, and oats estimated to move to a Savanna terminal, rather than to existing alternate terminals, due to lower transport costs, using three different analyses and sets of assumptions grain port study area, 1975, 1980, 1985, 1990, and 1995 <u>1</u>/

	:	Estimated v	olume moving	to Savanna	
Grain	: 1975	1980	1985	1990	1995
			Thousand bus	hels	
Estimate No. 1 2/ Corn Soybeans Oats	: : 17,941 : 2,065 : 506	19,093 2,629 509	21,413 3,047 477	23,854 3,558 442	26,875 4,060 413
Total	: 20,512 :	22,231	24,937	27,854	31,348
Estimate No. 2 3/ Corn Soybeans Oats	: : 12,100 : 1,250 : 390	12,300 1,300 365	13,600 1,400 330	15,900 1,600 290	18,200 1,800 260
Total	: : 13,740	13,965	15,330	17,790	20,260
Estimate No. 3 4/ Corn Soybeans Oats	: : 10,260 : 797 :	10,430 829	11,575 892 	13,530 1,017	15,425 1,144
Total	: 11,057	11,259	12,467	14,547	16,569

1/ Based on trucking costs alone, other factors influencing grain flow to terminals assumed to be equal. Estimates for projected years 1980-1995 are based on assumed continued relationship between exportable surplus grain available for shipment and that portion moving to Savanna in 1975-76 as established via the country elevator survey. 1975 estimates are from table 8.

2/ Estimates are based on analysis of survey results, moving grain to nearest terminal or via the least transportation cost route. They are considered to be maximum levels because they include movement of grain via Savanna regardless of the magnitude of savings in transportation costs--in many instances grain was routed through Savanna when savings were only marginal.

3/ Estimate No. 2 is a more realistic estimate than No. 1 and reflects downward adjustments in the first level maximum estimates to account for that grain which was estimated to move to Savanna when savings in transportation costs were marginal. Includes only grain produced in Illinois counties of the study area.

4/ Estimate No. 3 is the most conservative of all three estimates. It is based on an analysis that included only that volume of grain handled by Illinois country elevators and trucker-dealers who answered "yes" to the survey question: "Would you use a river elevator in Savanna?" All "yes" responses were within 50 miles of Savanna and showed grain going to Savanna due to lower trucking costs (savings of 2 cents or more per bushel). This analysis also excluded corn, oats, and soybeans that moved to destinations other than river terminals, such as Illinois and Iowa processing plants.

Conservative Estimates of Grain Flows Through a Savanna Terminal

All of the elevators surveyed were asked if they would ship to a river terminal elevator at Savanna if one were built. The amount of grain reported shipped to river terminals by the Illinois respondents answering "ves" to this question was about 10.3 million bushels of corn, about 0.8 million bushels of soybeans and and no significant quantity of oats (Column 1, estimate No. 3, table 9). Several of the respondents expressed concern about poor road conditions and the detrimental effect that these could have on their equipment. Three of the 24 Illinois respondents said "no" because of the inadequancy of roads, weight limits on bridges, additional time and the equipment required. Not all respondents answered this question. The same was true in Iowa and Wisconsin. There was a higher negative response in Iowa where five out of twelve said they would not be interested in shipping to a facility at Savanna. The same reasons were given plus the necessity for paying a toll to cross the river to a terminal at Savanna. It should be noted that several of the "no" answers were qualified by such statements as, "If turnaround time is reduced, we would consider it," and, "If the elevator charges are attractive, we would be interested," and so forth.

Only one Wisconsin elevator responded to this question, and it was a "no" response. This respondent felt that the roads to Savanna were inadequate and traffic on them too slow, resulting in an increase in time to deliver and return. Several respondents also felt that weight limits on secondary route bridges and roads which they could use to reduce the turnaround time, were other limitations. Several elevator operators stated they would not be interested in a facility at Savanna. However, because of their qualifications and conditional answers, their marketings were included in the analysis of grain movement and transportation costs for the study area. Analysis of

survey results indicated that about 30 percent of all grain available for export in the study area would move through a Savanna terminal due to lower highway transport costs.

However, in consideration of the non-committal, negative and qualifying response to the question regarding use of a Savanna river terminal, and since a good portion of the grain estimated to move to Savanna due to lower trucking costs was based on marginal savings, survey data were re-examined and a conservative estimate of grain likely to be available for a Savanna facility was developed (table 9). This analysis indicated that a more realistic estimate of grain moving to Savanna due to lower transportation costs would be in the neighborhood of 11 to 14 million bushels of grain by 1980, rather than the 22 million bushels previously estimated based on ungualified savings in trucking costs. The 22 million bushel estimate was developed in strict adherence to transportation savings as the guiding principle in directing grain flow, regardless of how small the savings might be. In addition to ignoring changes in grain flow due to marginal truck transport savings, the more conservative estimates take into account that some grain now moving to nonriver terminal destinations, especially oats, would not likely be diverted to Savanna.

Table 9 shows three estimated levels of grain movement to a Savanna terminal based on savings in trucking costs and three different analyses and sets of assumptions relative to marginal savings and flow of grain to nonriver destinations. Volumes of corn, soybeans, and oats expected to move to Savanna based on results of the 1975-76 marketing-year grain movement survey are shown for 1975 and projected to 1980, 1985, 1990 and 1995. Tables 1, 2, and 3 in Appendix A show the grain flow and transportation cost analysis in more detail.

FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY

The financial feasibility of a river grain factility at Savanna, Illinois was evaluated using secondary data and assumptions regarding the facility's function. First hand information on size of facility, services to be performed, cost of plant, equipment, site preparation, land, financing, and expected charges for services rendered are needed before a realistic financial feasibility analysis can be made. However, one of the key variables in the analysis has been appraised--the demand for the facility in terms of exportable surplus grain in the proposed facility's service area. Exportable surplus grain is expected to increase over the next 15 years (tables 4, 5, and 6). Based on the grain flow and transportation cost analysis of moving grain from country elevators to river terminals, it appears that a facility at Savanna could favorably compete for a sizeable share of the area's exportable surplus grain in view of estimated savings in transportation costs to farmers and country elevator operators (tables 8 and 9). This conclusion is based solely on the transportation costs of moving grain from production sites in the port facility service area to existing river terminals and to a proposed river terminal at Savanna. It does not account for competitive response, such as existing firms' pricing policies and services offered, nor does it include assessments of barge availability, possible added cost of barging and quality of management of the new facility.

Since costs of operation will influence the price a Savanna river terminal can successfully bid for grain, a realistic appraisal of investment and operating costs must be made before financial feasibility can be assessed. To illustrate one approach in making the appraisal, a cost/return analysis was prepared for the proposed river port facility using three hypothetical models of river terminals and assumed costs and returns (tables 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15). Estimated costs are based on fixed and variable costs of typical grain elevators as indicated by

Item	Model I 500,000 bushel capacity	: Model II : 1,000,000 : bushel capacity	: Model III : 2,000,000 : bushel capacity
		1,000 dollars	
Fixed costs: Depreciation Taxes	50 12	125 22	250 44
Indebtedness 2/	180	270	420
Total fixed costs	242	417	714
Variable costs:			
Salaries and wages	56	165	220
Insurance	10	20	32
Interest on working	;		
capital	16	60	80
Professional fees	4	9	12
Repairs and supplies	: 15	52	87
Utilities	9	40	86
Other	16	50	90
Total variable costs	126	396	607
Total costs	368	813	1,321
Total volume handled (1,000 bu.)	2,250	7,000	14,000
Average cost per bushel	16.4	11.6	9.4

•Table 10--Annual operating costs for three hypothetical river subterminal grain elevator models of specified capacities, 1980 1/

1/ Estimated costs in this table were calculated using cost data in table 8 of (16) and tables 9 and 11 of (15). Elevator model I, including port facilities and site improvements, is estimated to cost \$3 million; model II, \$4.5 million; and model III, \$7 million.

2/ Estimate based on an interest rate of 9 percent on long-term debt equivalent to two-thirds of fixed assets. Interest shown is for first year, and would decline as debt is reduced.

: It on	Annual volume	of grain	handled (Mi	1. bu.)
ilem :	3.0	5.0	7.0	9.0
		1,00	0 dollars	
Fixed costs:				
Depreciation :	125	125	125	125
Taxes :	22	. 22	22	22
Interest on long-term :				
indebtedness <u>2</u> / :	270	270	270	270
Total fixed costs	417	417	417	417
Variable costs: :	10.0			
Salaries and wages	128	149	165	1/6
Insurance :	13	17	20	22
Interest on working capital :	46	23	60	67
Professional fees :	/	8	50	10
Repairs and supplies :	32	42	52	0Z
Other .	21		40	47
other .		40		
Total variable costs	280	341	396	437
Total costs	697	758	813	854
Average cost per bushel	23.2	15.2	11.6	9.5

Table 11--Annual operating costs for model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator, at various volume levels, 1980 1/

1/ Estimated costs in this table were calculated using cost data in table 9 of (16).

2/ Estimate based on an interest rate of 9 percent on long-term debt equivalent to two-thirds of fixed assets. Interest shown is for first year, and would decline as debt is reduced.

Table 12—Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 9 million bushels of grain, 1980 1/

Item	:	Cost		Returns
	:		Dollars	
Gross revenue $2/$:			1,080,000
Operating expenses: Fixed costs <u>3/</u> Variable costs <u>4</u> /	•	417,000 437,000		
Total	:	854,000		
Net income	:			226,000
Investment	•	4,500,000		
	:		Percent	
Return on investment $5/$:			5.0

1/ The estimated annual handling volume of 9,000,000 bushels for the first year of operation is the most conservative estimate used in the cost/return analysis. It is based on reducing estimate No. 3 for 1980 (table 9) by 20 percent to account for difficulties the termin may encounter in attracting business during the first year of operation.

2/ Assumes a 12 cent per bushel margin generated from sale of grain and grain elevator service charges. Includes storage, handling and marketing service charges. Excludes barge costs to Gulf.

3/ From table 11.

4/ From table 11.

 $\overline{5}$ / No value allocated to owner's labor, nor possible alternative return on his capital.

Item	:	Cost	:	Returns
	•		Dollars	
Gross revenue 2/	:			1,351,080
Operating expenses: Fixed costs <u>3</u> / Variable costs <u>4</u> /	:	417,000 480,000		
Total	:	897,000		
Net income	:			454,080
Investment	:	4,500,000		
	:		Percent	
Return on investment $5/$:			10.1

Table 13--Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a a hypothetical million bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 11,259,000 bushels of grain, 1980 1/

1/ Analysis based on estimate No. 3 for 1980 (table 9).

 $\overline{2}$ / Assumes a 12 cent per bushel margin generated from sale of grain and grain elevator service charges. Includes storage, handling and marketing service charges. Excludes barge costs to Gulf.

3/ From table 11.

4/ Derived from variable cost data in table 11.

 $\overline{5}$ / No value allocated to owner's labor, nor possible alternative return on his capital.

Table 14-Costs and returns for first year of operation of model II, a hypothetical million-bushel river subterminal grain elevator handling 13,965,000 bushels of grain, 1980 1/

Item	:	Cost	:	Returns
			Dollars	
Gross revenue <u>2</u> /	:			1,675,800
Operating expenses: Fixed costs <u>3</u> / Variable costs <u>4</u> /	: : :	417,000 524,000		
Total	:	941,000		
Net income	:			734,800
Investment	:			
	:		Percent	
Return on investment 5/	:			16.3

1/ Analysis based on estimate No. 2 for 1980 (table 9).

 $\overline{2}$ / Assumes a 12 cent per bushel margin generated from sale of grain and grain elevator service charges. Includes storage, handling and marketing service charges. Excludes barge costs to Gulf.

3/ From table 11.

 $\overline{4}$ / Derived from variable cost data in table 11.

 $\frac{5}{100}$ No value allocated to owner's labor, nor possible alternative return on his capital.

Item	: Cost	Returns	: Financial : ratios
	:	Dollars	
(1) Total investment	4,500,000		
(2) Average annual cost of debt amortized over 15 years at 9 percent 1/	: : : 372,180		
<pre>(3) Estimated average annual gross revenue 2/</pre>	:	1,645,260	
(4) Estimated average annual net revenue <u>3</u> /	: :	704,260	
(5) Gross revenue less all operating costs, except depreciation and interest (cash flow) 4/	- - - - - -	1,099,260	
(6) Ratio of annual cash flow to annual debt costs <u>5</u> /	v : :		2.95
(7) Present value of future stream of net revenue over 15-year debt repay- ment period at 9 percent interest rate		5,676,758	
(8) Ratio of present value of future net revenue to total investment cost <u>6</u> /		2,2.2,750	1.26

Table 15-Long-term cost/revenue analysis including ratio of annual cash flow to annual debt costs and estimated present value of future net revenue

1/ Assumes equity capital (\$1,500,000) equivalent to one-third of total cost of grain port complex (\$4,500,000), or indebtedness of \$3,000,000.

2/ Based on elevator handling an annual estimated volume of grain of 13,710,500 bushels with an average handling margin of 12 cents per bushel. Average annual volume of grain based on estimate No. 3, table 9.

3/ 13,710,500 bushels x 12 cents per bushel port elevator handling margin less total annual operating expenses, assume to average \$941,000 per year over 15-year period, equal average net revenue of \$704,260 per year.

4/ Costs estimated from data in table 11. Variable costs were estimated to be $$5\overline{24},000$ annually at a handling volume of 13,710,500 bushels and fixed costs were unchanged at \$417,000.

5/ \$1,099,260 ÷ \$372,180.

6/ \$5,676,758 ÷ \$4,500,000.

Thurston (16), Schienbein and Vosloh (<u>15</u>) and "rule of thumb" construction cost estimates acquired via informal discussions with people in the grain elevator business and other studies on construction of grain terminal and port facilities. Cost data for the three models include an assumed cost for land, site preparation, a wharf, moorings, and on-site roads based on estimates from studies of port development on the Arkansas River. 6/

The estimated operating costs are based on functions the proposed river subterminal at Savanna is expected or assumed to perform and related facility and equipment requirements. It is assumed that the elevator will specialize in a fast throughput for loading barges with grain to be shipped to Gulf ports in the vicinity of Baton Rouge-New Orleans, Louisiana with possibly some intermediate destinations. It will, in addition to its main receiving and loadout function, provide drying and storage services. It is anticipated that the river elevator will receive most of its grain from country elevators, but some grain will come direct from farms and this grain may require drying and storage. Grain will be received predominantly from large trailer trucks in loads of 500 bushels or more. Storage facilities will be adequate to provide limited storage to local farmers and country elevators, and also allow grain collections sufficient to loadout one tow consisting of either twelve 175-foot hopper barges or six 195-foot hopper barges, requiring 12,000

^{6/} Tippetts-Abbett-McCarthy-Stratton, Engineers and Architects, Development of Marine Terminal Facilities, Pulaski County, Arkansas (Prepared for Little Rock Port Authority), New York, N.Y., October 1962; and Bovay Engineers, Inc., Grain Transfer Facility Study (Prepared for Ozarks Regional Commission and Little Rock Port Authority), Houston, Texas.

tons or 9,000 tons of grain respectively. 7/

A tow of twelve 175-foot barges would require about 429,000 bushels of corn to load it to capacity, and a fully-loaded tow of six 195-foot barges would require 321,000 bushels of corn. Elevator model I in table 10, the smallest of the three models, has a storage capacity of 500,000 bushels, enough to load about nine 195-foot hopper barges. Model II and model III could store enough grain to load 19 and 37 of the 195-foot barges, respectively. Storage capability may be important in acquiring barge service and in planning an efficient system of grain handling relative to loading barges and assembling barge tows. However, it is unlikely that the Savanna facility would ever loadout a complete tow at once. Barges are often hard to get and arrival is unpredictable. A tow is usually made up from several shippers. Nevertheless, storage could be critical for other reasons.

Storage could be important in attracting the business of local country elevators and farmers, especially during the harvest season, late fall and early spring, when both storage facilities and barges may be in short supply. The Upper Mississippi is closed by ice about 4 months of the year, from late December into April. Therefore, storage would be needed for all grain re-

^{7/} Hopper barges come in three standard lengths: 175, 195, and 290 feet with cargo holds of 1,000-, 1,500-, and 3,000-ton capacities. Some are open and some have waterproof covers. The two standard sizes of covered cargo barges are the 175-and 195-foot versions with the same dimensions and capacities as the 175-foot and 195-foot open-hopper barges. On the Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, most locks are 110 feet wide and 600 feet long which allow single-time locking of tows of twelve 175-foot or six 195-foot barges. Larger tows are disassembled before and rejoined after locking, but this is expensive. Normally, more than 15 barges per tow is not considered good practice where a number of locks are involved. The average number of barges per tow has been estimated at between 20 and 30 on the Lower Mississippi and between 10 and 15 on the Upper Mississippi. The most popular covered barge for hauling grain is the 195-foot, 1,500ton capacity size. It can haul as much grain as 25 conventional boxcars or 15 jumbo-hopper railcars. The 290-foot barge is used mostly south of St. Louis on the Mississippi where the river is open--free of locks and dams (6, 14).

received but not shipped out before the winter freeze, or received during the freeze.

Since storage may be a critical factor in the river elevators' success for the reasons just cited, the three models in table 10 include facilities for providing storage ranging from 500,000 bushels to 2 million bushels of grain. Also, since a prime objective of the elevator is to provide a fast throughput for barge loading, receiving and loading capacities are assumed to average between 20,000 and 30,000 bushels per hour. Drying capacity is expected to average between 3,000 and 5,000 bushels per hour. $\underline{8}$ / Including cost of land, port structures, site preparation, and roads, construction and equipment costs of model I are estimated at \$3 million; model II \$4.5 million; and model III, \$7 million.

Table 10 shows total annual operating costs and average annual costs per bushel for each of the three model elevators when the annual volume of grain handled is as indicated. Volumes handled are based on annual turnover rates of 4.5 for model I, and 7.0 for models II and III. <u>9</u>/ Costs per bushel can vary sharply as the volume of grain handled changes. Average operating costs per bushel for elevator model II drop from 23.2 cents to 9.5 cents as annual volume increases from 3 million to 9 million bushels (table 11).

8/ Removing 5 percentage points of moisture.

9/ These annual turnover rates (ratio of grain volume handled during a year to storage capacity) were assumed reasonable for a river subterminal elevator. The turnover rate varies among types of elevators. It averaged 9.6 for U.S. port elevators in 1974 (17), and 2.52 for local farm cooperative elevators in Illinois in 1971-72 (12). Turnover rates ranged from about 3.0 to 9.0 for U.S. inland and port grain terminals, respectively, in 1971-72 (15). A turnover of about 7.0 is typical for a river elevator with a fast throughput for barge loading and facilities for storing about 1 million bushels of grain (16). Of course, the turnover rate is influenced by volume of grain business, amount of direct loading from incoming carrier to barge, extent of storage facilities, and type of storage. A river grain facility could specialize in barge loading services only and provide limited or no storage, thereby reducing investment costs. This alternative could be explored as a possible starting point for the proposed facility at Savanna.

A cost-return analysis was prepared for model II (tables 12, 13, 14, and 15), assuming that the proposed river subterminal at Savanna would approximate the grain-handling facilities and capability of this model. Three levels of grain business were assumed in making the analysis, reflecting varying degrees of conservatism regarding expected grain trade (table 9). Estimated returns on investment are 5, 10, and 16 percent, depending upon volume of grain handled (tables 12, 13, and 14). These rates of return are computed on the total cost of facilities, estimated at S4.5 million; that is, the total amount of investment capital, including both borrowed funds and owners equity.

Table 15 presents the estimated present value (\$5,676,758) of the terminal's future net revenue and other financial data over an assumed 15-year debt retirement period. Annual cash flow is estimated at \$1,099,260 and the ratio of annual cash flow to annual debt service is 2.95. These estimates are based on the assumption that the proposed river terminal will handle at least 13,710,500 bushels of grain annually over the 15-year period, with an annual average revenue of 12 cents per bushel. This estimated volume appears to be a conservative and reasonable expectation based on estimates in table 9. The revenue estimates in table 12 are based on the assumption that a river elevator at Savanna will exercise the storage option and provide some grain storage for country elevators and farmers in the study area. If grain storage is not a revenue generating function of the Savanna terminal then results of the cost/return analysis would be considerably different, because cost of storage facilities storage revenue are important factors in the annual cost and return estimates used in the analysis.

The annual volume of grain assumed in this analysis appeared feasible in light of the estimated exportable surplus grain in the project area (tables 4, 5, and 6) and the indicated flow of grain from country dealers to a Savanna

river elevator based on the transportation cost analysis (tables 8 and 9). Carroll County (where the grain terminal is to be located) alone had an exportable surplus of corn estimated at about 6.2 million bushels in 1975, and this surplus is projected to average about 7.4 million bushels per year over the next 15 years (table 4). Exportable surpluses of soybeans and oats in Carroll County are expected to average a little over one-half million bushels each (tables 5 and 6). In addition to Carroll County, the Savanna facility should draw additional grain from neighboring counties which are projected to have increasing amounts of surplus grain and soybeans over the next 15 years (tables 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9).

The most conservative approach to the cost-return analysis was taken in table 12 where the annual volume of grain trade was estimated at 9 million bushels. This amounted to a 20 percent reduction in estimate No. 3 for 1980 (table 9) to account for the likelihood that during the first year of operation business may be difficult to generate in spite of savings in trucking costs and other incentives. Most of this grain could be expected to come from nearby locations in Carroll, Jo Daviess, and Western Stephenson and Ogle counties.

The annual returns in tables 12, 13, 14, and 15 assume an elevator bid price for grain adequate to cover barge transportation costs to Gulf ports and storage and handling costs of the grain elevator. The elevator will need to charge a port margin that will allow for all costs to be covered, including grain transportation costs from the facility to the Gulf (Baton Rouge-New Orleans). In the cost/return analysis, it was assumed that the model II elevator could acquire the indicated volume of grain via a terminal bid price that would cover barge transportation costs to the Gulf and provide an annual average operating margin of 12 cents per bushel. According

to estimated barge costs to the Gulf and recent spreads in Gulf bid prices and F.O.B. barge terminal prices on the upper Mississippi River 10/ the 12 cents per bushel river elevator handling margin appeared to be a reasonable, assumption.

Elevator operating costs and barge transportation costs estimated for the cost/return analysis appear to be reasonable, based on findings in other studies. However, an appraisal of the grain elevator cost structure and barge transportation charges in the area around Savanna, Illinois may prove these estimates to be either too low or too high.

A study of 14 midwestern grain cooperatives indicated an average cost of \$3.88 per ton for shipping grain to the Gulf in 1970. These barge shipments were centered in Illinois, Minnesota, Iowa, and Missouri (<u>14</u>). Adjusting the cost of \$3.88 per ton for price movements between 1970 and 1977, indicates a current adjusted average cost of \$6.83 per ton, or 19 cents per bushel, assuming the grain was all corn. Another study showed barge costs for a more specific location--Dubuque, Iowa. This study estimated 1974 costs of shipping corn by barge from Dubuque to the Gulf to be \$7.15 per ton (<u>6</u>). Adjusted for price movements, this would indicate a 1977 cost of \$8.67 per ton, or 24 cents per bushel for barging corn from Dubuque to the Gulf. Using this last study as a basis for approximating barge costs, it is estimated that average costs of shipping corn and soybeans by barge from Savanna, Illinois to Gulf ports will be about \$8.67 per ton, or 24.3 cents and 26.0 cents per bushel for corn and soybeans respectively. 11/

^{10/} C&MS, USDA, Summary of Daily Prices, Spot, F.O.B., Mississippi River Barge Terminal Elevators and Delivered Port, Gulf, 1978 and 1979.

^{11/} Current estimated costs are based on a 1974 cost of \$7.15 per ton for shipping corn by barge from Dubuque, Iowa to the Gulf (6) and expanding this cost estimate, using wholesale price movement between 1974 and 1977, to account for rising prices since 1974 (\$7.15 x 1.213 = \$8.67).

Barge rates for hauling exempt bulk commodities such as grain vary with demand for transportation services. Generally, barge rates are negotiated between shipper and carrier. Discounts below or premiums above a published rate depend upon how many barges are available and the need for them. Although actual barge operating costs vary depending upon origin and destination points, commodity hauled, and whether movement is upstream or downstream, effective barge rates in the short-run are more tied to the demand-supply situation than to hauling costs. Seasonality of grain harvests produce peak and off-peak periods of demand for barge service. Weather, volume of production, local storage and drying capacities, and export demand for grain modify the seasonal demand for transportation equipment. Normally, higher rates are charged during peak periods and decline into the off-seasons (6, 14).

Average current elevator receiving (by truck) and loadout (by water) costs per bushel for corn and soybeans are estimated at 5.5 cents and 5.3 cents (excluding storage costs), respectively, based on data in one grain transportation study. <u>12</u>/ Based on another study, current average grain handling costs (all grains combined, excluding storage costs), when received by truck and loaded out by water, are estimated at 4.86 cents and 6.12 cents per bushel for inland terminals and port terminals, respectively. Using results in the same study, current costs of storage for one year would average about 23.4 cents and 36.3 cents per bushel for inland and port

^{12/} Estimates are based on U.S. average 1971-72 costs per bushel of 2.022 cents per ton for corn and soybeans, respectively, (5), with adjustments for price increases between 1971 and 1977 using the Bureau of Labor Statistics' (BLS) Wholesale Price Index series.

terminals, respectively. <u>13</u>/ Using the above range of costs as guidelines, and combining receiving and loadout costs with storage costs for two months (assuming grain shipped by barge is held, on the average, for about two months by the river subterminal) elevator operating costs per bushel of grain handled would average between 8.9 and 12.2 cents. These cost estimates are reasonably close to those in tables 10 and 11.

Of course, operating costs can vary considerably depending upon the size of investment in facilities and the annual volume handled. For the sample of grain elevators on which the basic cost data above were developed, storage capacity and annual volume handled averaged about 7 million bushels and 21 million bushels, respectively, for inland terminals, and 5.2 million bushels and 47 million bushels, respectively, for port terminals (15).

When a clearer picture is obtained of site location and services expected to be provided by the proposed river grain facility at Savanna, annual costs can be better estimated by obtaining bids on installation costs from river port and grain elevator construction contractors and equipment dealers. These should include costs of site preparation such as dredging, piers, and access roads, as well as costs of equipment and buildings. The estimated costs in tables 10 through 15 can then be adjusted to reflect these more solid cost figures.

^{13/} Estimates are based on U.S. average 1971-72 costs per bushel of 2.022 cents for receiving grain by truck and 0.831 cents for loading out by water for inland terminals, and 2.512 cents for receiving by truck and 1.075 cents for loading out by water for port terminals (15)--all adjusted for price movements between 1971 and 1977 using the Wholesale Price Index of BLS. Estimates of storage costs are based on 1971-72 U.S. average costs of 13.745 cents and 21.348 cents per bushel for inland and port terminals, respectively (15), adjusted to reflect current prices.

AN OVERVIEW OF THE GRAIN EXPORT MARKET AND TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS FACING UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER BARGE TERMINALS

Major U. S. agricultural commodities moving in world trade are corn, wheat, and soybeans. The world market for U. S. corn and soybeans is expected to remain strong with exports estimated to reamin at somewhat above the export levels established in the seventies. High and low level projections of U. S. feedgrain exports in 1985 are estimated at 55 million and 35 million tons (corn normally accounts for about four-fifths of the total feedgrain exports). Estimated 1985 export projections for soybeans range from a high of 28 million tons to a low of 25 million tons. <u>14</u>/ The 1985 high-level export estimate for feedgrains is 60 percent above the 1975 exports, and the 1985 highlevel export estimate for soybeans is about two and one-half times the 1975 quantity exported (17, 21).

Shipments of corn, wheat, and soybeans through Gulf ports accounted for more than two-thirds of the corn, over one-half of the wheat, and about four-fifths of the soybean exports in 1974. The Mississippi and Illinois Rivers are the principal inland waterways for barging grains. In 1974, nearly two-thirds of the grain shipments originating on the Upper Mississippi (north of St. Louis) was transported to the Baton Rouge-New Orleans, Louisiana area. The Louisiana Gulf ports take in almost all the barge-shipped soybeans and corn, and most of it comes from the Mid-West (north of St. Louis) barge terminals on the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers. For example, in 1974, about 14.6 million tons of corn and 4.4 million tons of soybeans were shipped to Louisiana Gulf ports, down the Mississippi from areas north of St. Louis. This accounted for about 88 percent of the corn and 53 percent of the soybeans moved by barge that year to various domestic and export ports in the United States (6, 20).

^{14/} These tonnage figures are in metric tons. To convert to U. S. short tons, multiply metric tons by 1.1023. A metric ton is equal to 2,204.622 pounds the short ton, 2,000 pounds.

Surplus corn and soybean production in the ll-county grain service market area of the proposed Savanna grain subterminal is projected to increase over the next 17 years, creating a need for more grain transportation service. Corn and soybeans shipped to river grain terminals on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers normally goes to Louisiana Gulf ports for export. Therefore, considering the favorable prospects for future exports, it would appear that the ll-county area around Savanna should continue to enjoy a share of the grain export market, given adequate marketing and transportation facilities.

A new river terminal located at Savanna, according to an analysis of the results of the transportation study (tables 7, 8, and 9), could capture a share of the export trade in the range of 11.3 to 22.2 million bushels annually by 1980, assuming that savings in highway transport costs alone could attract grain movement to the new facility.

However, if the export market should decline, it could have a very depressing effect on the grain terminal business and revenues. Unexpected interruption of the cash flow of a new terminal along the Mississippi River could be critical. Also, damage and/or deterioration in lock and dam facilities could hamper trade of grain terminals along the Mississippi. Locks and Dam 26, at Alton, Illinois, is a case in point. It is estimated by the Corps of Engineers that the locks will reach maximum capacity of 73 million tons a year by 1982. The current annual rate of traffic is about 50 million tons (20).

Another item of consideration for a barge terminal is the effect of waterway user charges on its business. One study of the possible effects of waterway user charges on grain and fertilizer shipments indicated that charges of 0.05 cents or less per ton mile would have no effect on barge shipments of grain. At 0.10 cents per ton mile barge shipments of grain decreased by 29 percent, and at 0.25 cents per ton mile, no grain was shipped by barge ($\underline{4}$). Although this study was done for only one county in central Illinois, near barge loading points on the Illinois River, it could have valuable implications for other barge shipping areas, depending upon local alternative transportation services (rail or truck).

The conclusions drawn from this study are:

1. There is a sufficient volume of grain production and exportable surplus presently available in the ll-county survey area to support an efficient sized river grain terminal marketing facility at Savanna, Illinois. In the 1975-76 marketing year, there were 24.6 million bushels available for export from 45 shippers. Transportation analysis relative to grain flows and costs of highway transport indicated that 20 million bushels of this exportable surplus would have moved to a Savanna terminal in 1975, and 22 million by 1980. These estimates are based on analysis of highway transport costs alone and include some grain movement to Savanna based on marginal savings in highway shipping costs.

In analyzing the location of survey respondents, it is believed that the 20 million bushels of grain indicated going to Savanna in 1975 is on the high side. A somewhat conservative and more reasonable estimate of what a Savanna terminal might have expected, based on highway transport savings of moving grain from farms and country elevators to terminals, would have been about 11 to 14 million bushels of grain in the 1975-76 marketing year.

- 2. The volume of production of corn and soybeans is trending upward and this trend should continue but at a slower rate in the future (projected to 1995), unless there is an unexpected increase in average yields. Oat production is trending downward and this trend should continue but at a slow rate when projected to 1995.
- 3. The volume of exportable surplus of soybeans and corn is trending upward but should slow down in the future, projected to 1995. Exportable surplus of oats is projected to decline in the future.

- 4. Corn is the major grain for export in the proposed grain terminal service area. Of the total exportable surplus grain, corn accounts for 84 percent. The estimated exportable surplus of corn is projected to increase from 58.3 million to 86.7 million bushels between 1975 and 1995, which is an average increase of 1.4 million bushels per year during the 20-year period.
- 5. The predominant mode of grain transport to river terminals was by trucks hauling 500 bushels or more. The cost of transporting corn and oats was .096 cents per bushel per mile, and soybeans .086 cents per bushel per mile in 1975-76.
- 6. The estimated benefits to shippers and farmers resulting from savings in cost of highway transportation by the 45 survey respondents in the 1975-76 marketing year, had they shipped their corn, soybeans, and oats to Savanna rather than the terminals to which they did ship, is \$594,000. This estimate is a little high because it includes diverting some grain to Savanna from non-river destinations, which is unlikely, and some grain being moved to Savanna based on very marginal transport savings.
- 7. Study results based on highway transport costs alone, indicate that a grain terminal at Savanna might expect the following volume of grain business over the next 15 years: 11 to 14 million bushels by 1980; 12 to 15 million bushels by 1985; 15 to 18 million bushels by 1990; and 17 to 20 million bushels by 1995.
- 8. Results of the cost/return analysis indicate an investment yield ranging from 5 to 16 percent based on projected variable grain handling volumes at a river grain terminal at Savanna. Results were based on cost estimates and revenues that could vary considerably

from those arising from a more thorough examination of costs and returns by the local RC&D sponsors. Once the sponsors decide exactly where on the river the terminal is to be located, and acquire solid figures on site preparation and cost of facilities from construction contractors and grain equipment dealers, and determine barge costs to the Gulf, they can revise the cost/return analysis using the estimated expected grain movement to Savanna as an approximation of grain business in planning the size of their operation. The Savanna terminal probably should start out small in terms of total investment, plan for a fast throughput operation, and allow for expansion of operations and services as experience dictates.

The estimates of grain movement to Savanna are based on limiting assumptions and, therefore, should be interpreted cautiously and used only as general planning guidelines. There is no assurance that the grain will move to Savanna based on savings in trucking costs alone, but this does give the local RC&D sponsors of the proposed grain facility a starting point for making investment/development decisions.

- Baumel, C. Phillip, and others
 Projected Quantities of Grain and Fertilizer Requiring Transportation Services in Iowa in 1979-80 and 1984-85, By Counties. Card Report 51. Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. November 1974.
- Bermingham, Steve C., and Lowell D. Hill Changes in Destination and Mode of Transport for Illinois Grain, 1954, 1970, and 1973. AERR-146. Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. August 1976.
- 3. Brooks, B.L., and L.D. Hill Production of Feed Grains and Use by Livestock in Illinois, 1957-1973 and Projected to 1980 and 1985. AE-4404, A Statistical Appendix to AERR-143. Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. May 1976.
- 4. Bunker, Arvin R. Impact of Waterway User Charges on Grain and Fertilizer Shipments: A Simulated Case Study. Proceedings of the National Symposium on Transportation for Agriculture and Rural America, Nov. 14-17, 1976, New Orleans, Louisiana. Report No. DOT-TST-77-33. U.S. Department of Transportation. August 1977.
- Fedeler, Jerry A., Earl O. Heady, and Won W. Koo An Interregional Analysis of U.S. Domestic Grain Transportation. Card Record 54T, Center for Agricultural and Rural Development. Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Feb. 1975.
- Gaibler, Floyd D. Water Carriers and Inland Waterways in Agricultural Transportation. Agricultural Economic Report No. 379. Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Hill, Lowell D., and Bruce L. Brooks The Market for Illinois Grains. AERR-143. Department of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. August 1976.
- Illinois Cooperative Crop Reporting Service Farm Income and Marketings. Springfield, Illinois. Various years. 1971-75.
- Illinois Cooperative Crop Reporting Service Illinois Agricultural Statitics, Annual Summary. Springfield, Illinois. Various years. 1965-76.
- Iowa Crop and Livestock Reporting Service Iowa Agricultural Statistics. Des Moines, Iowa. Various years. 1965-76.

- Iowa Crop and Livestock Report Service Livestock and Poultry County Estimates, 1965-72. Des Moines, Iowa March 1973.
- Kraenzle, Charles A., and Francis P. Yager Grain Marketing Patterns of Local Cooperatives. Research Report 31. Farmer Cooperative Service, USDA. October 1975.
- Moore, C.A. Grain Transportation Problem in the Blackhawk Hills Area. Unpublished Paper. Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Peoria, Illinois. December 1973.
- 14. Reed, Charles E., R.J. Byrne, and R.M. Ackley Coordinating Transportation to Reduce Costs. Possibilities for 14 Regional Cooperatives. Farmer Cooperative Service, USDA, FCS Service Report 132. June 1973.
- Schienbein, Allen G., and Carl J. Vosloh, Jr. Cost of Storing and Handling Grain and Controlling Dust in Commercial Elevators, 1971-72.
 Projections for 1973-74. ERS Report No. 513. USDA, Washington, D.C. March 1973.
- 16. Thurston, Stanley K. Improving Cooperative Marketings of Mississippi Delta Soybeans. Service Report No. 157. ESCS, USDA. Washington, D.C. May 1978.
- Thurston, Stanley K., and others Improving the Export Capability of Grain Cooperatives. Farmer Cooperative Service. USDA, FCS Research Report 34. June 1976.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census Census of Agriculture. Various years, 1949, 1954, 1959, 1964, and 1969. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Statistics - 1975. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 1975.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service Transportation Economics. Selected Reprints from the ERS, USDA. Washington, D.C. January 1976.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service. U.S. Foreign Agricultural Trade Statistical Report ESCS-112. Washington, D.C. Selected years, 1975-77.
- 22. U.S. Water Resources Council OBERS Projections. Agricultural Production. (Projection of Economic Activity prepared by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce and the Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, for the U.S. Water Resources Council.) Washington, D.C. April 1974.
- Wisconsin Statistical Reporting Service Wisconsin Agricultural Statistics. Madison, WI. Various years, 1965-77.

APPENDIX A

Tables 1, 2 and 3

Origin and destination of grain, and transportation gains to 45 shippers in an 11-county area in Illinois, Iowa and Wisconsin when corn, soybeans, and oats are shipped to a proposed barge terminal at Savanna, Illinois rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year.

State, county, and :		Grain	
terminal :	Corn	: Soybeans :	Oats
ILLINOIS <u>Carroll County</u>		Thousand Bushels	
Albany : :	266.0 193.8 800.0	3.2 45.0 40.0	
:	1,783.5	88.2	
Clinton : :	188.2 79.0 193.8 480.0	24.0 .8 51.0 48.0	
:	376.3	123.8	
Hennepin : : :	3.8 258.4 224.1 320.0	30.0 10.0 	25.0
:	7.7 814.0	40.0	 25.0
: Cedar Rapids :			15.0 2.5 39.0
:			56.5
: Spring Valley :			22.5
:			22.5
Ottawa :			30.0
:			30.0
Total Carroll County: shipments :	3,914.8	252.0	134.0

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76

Continued

State, county, and		Grain	
terminal	Corn	: Soybeans :	Oats
ILLINOIS Jo Daviess County		Thousand Bushels	
Albany	19.5	13.5	
	240.0	10.0	
:	375.0		
:	634.5	23.5	
Clinton	78.0 840.0 450.0	18.3 10.0	
:	1,368.0	28.3	
Dubuque	120.0	2.2	
:	120.0	2.2	
Hennepin	300.0	· ·	
	300.0		
Spring Valley	375.0	4.5	
:	375.0	14.5	
: Cedar Rapids		4.5	.2
:		4.5	.2
La Salle		4.5	`
•		4.5	
Mendota			20.0
:			20.0
Davenport			9.8
Total la Deviaca			9.8
County shipments	2,797.5	77.5	30.0

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76 --Continued

Continued

State, county, and :		Grain	
terminal :	Corn	: Soybeans	: Oats
: ILLINOIS :		Thousand Bushels	
Albany :	900.0	27.0	
:	900.0	27.0	
Hennepin	380.0 416.0	3.0 112.5	
:	796.0	115.5	
Clinton	700.0		
ب ب د	710.0		
Spring Valley	9,3.0	12.5	20.0
•	93.0	12.5	20.0
Chicago	20.0 10.4		
:	30.4		
Total Stephenson County shipments	2,529,4	155.0	20.0
;			Continued

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76--Continued

State, county, and	: Grain				
terminal	: Co	rn	: Soybeans	s :	Oats
ILLINOIS	:	-	Thousand Bus	shels_	
<u>Winnebago County</u> Hennepin	32 18 24	3.0 5.0 2.6 5.0	12.0 62.8 50.0		10.6
	: 87	5.6	124.8		10.6
Spring Valley	17 27 12	0.0 7.2 5.0	31.4 50.0		3.7
	57	2.2	81.4		3.7
Chicago	5 17 25	7.0 7.5 3.2 0.0	48.0 6.3		20.0
	48	7.7	54.3	· · ·	20.0
Albany	:	7.5			
Fotal Winnebago County shipments	: 1,94	3.0	_ 260.5		34.3
				C	ontinued

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76 Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76

State, county, and	: Grain				
terminal	: Corn	: Soybeans :	Oats		
TITINOTS	:	Thousand Bushels			
Ogle County	•	Inodsand Busilers			
Coder Papida			22 7		
Cedar Maprus	en en el participa de la parti	an any state of the	23.7		
Davenport	 ennetivespresidents@ensilentsderestentilenristenrichenderftemDispre # 		43.1		
	:		45.0		
		Service of the Mannakola Mannakola and the service service service service that the state of the service of the service s	10.0		
			55.0		
Mendota	:		10.7		
		er om som en en som	1.0.7		
Albany	: 30.3	36.0	19.2		
	# *	12.5			
	: 190.0				
	62.5		an many she had a safe da a sa		
	: 282.8	48.5	19.2		
Clinton	172.0	12.5	nen sinder verschamen für seine Steine Steiner auf Daut Afrikken die der Frankriken VIII im Andre Steiner Andre		
	: 108.0				
	19.2				
	62.5				
	: 361.7	12.5			
Hennepin	: 500.0	100.0	gan yang menerikan kang dang penerikan kanan kang bertakan di karang bertakan di kang kanang bertakan karang b		
	: 62.5	1.6			
	: 245.0	10.0			
	: 4.0	12.5			
	: 64.0	90.0			
	120.0				
	380.0				
	342.0				
	: 630.0				
	: 47.3				
	: 2,394.8	214.1			
Spring Valley	: 500.0	60.0	12.0		
	: 62.5	10.0			

Continued
State, county, and	:	Grain	
terminal	: Corn	: Soybeans	: Oats
	:		
ILLINOIS	:	Thousand Bushels	5
<u>Ogle County</u>	:	50.0	
Spring Valley	: 245.0	58.0	
	: 44.2	91.0	
	: 490.0	29.1	
	: 224.0	40.0	
	200.0	12.5	
	: 30.0	80.0	
	: 70.0		
	2,263.0	380.6	12.0
Ottawa	3.2	20.0	1/1 2
occuma	70.0	77.8	17.4
	: 128.6	13.0	
	201.8	110.8	14.2
LaSalle	. 15.2	43.7	
	. 120.0	26.0	
	. 9.6		
	140.0		
	: 205.0		
	: 489.8	69.7	
Keokuk, Iowa	72.0		
Chicago	540.0	9.7	4.8
0	: 20.0	14.5	45.0
	: 10.5	150.8	
	570.5	175.0	49.8
Galesburg	•	4.0	
3	,	4.0	
Total Ogle County shipments	: 6,636.4	1,015.2	184.6

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76--Continued

-

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76--Continued

Corn	: Soybeans	: Oats
	Thousand Bushel	<u>s</u>
436.5 432.0 280.0 350.0 285.0	270.0 60.0 7.3 42.5 40.0	22.5 1.2
11.0 42.5		
1,837.0	419.8	23.7
144.0 42.5 48.5	36.1 45.0	
235.0	81.1	
144.0 120.0 26.0	40.0 90.0 47.5 72.2	13.5
290.0	249.7	13.5
	185.0	9.0 5.0 .1 10.0 15.3
·····	185.0	39.4
	45.0	
2,362.0	980.6	76.6
	436.5 432.0 280.0 350.0 285.0 11.0 42.5 1,837.0 144.0 42.5 48.5 235.0 144.0 120.0 26.0 290.0	Thousand Bushel. 436.5 270.0 432.0 60.0 280.0 7.3 350.0 42.5 285.0 40.0 11.0 42.5 42.5 45.0 $1,837.0$ 419.8 144.0 36.1 42.5 45.0 48.5 40.0 144.0 90.0 120.0 47.5 26.0 72.2 290.0 249.7 185.0 185.0 $2,362.0$ 980.6

State, county, and	:	Grain	
terminal	: Corn	: Soybeans	Oats
	:	·	
IOWA	:	Thousand Bushels	
Jackson County	:		
Clinton	: 81.0	2.0	
Albany	• 9.0	16.0	
Cedar Rapids	: 6.0		37.0
	:		5.0
	:		42.0
Davenport	24.0		
Total Jackson County shipments	120.0	18.0	42.0
-	- C		Continued

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76 --Continued Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76--Continued

State, county, and	e e	Grain	
terminal	: Corn	: Soybeans :	Oats
тоца	:	Thousand Buchele	
Dubuque County	•	indusand busiles	
Davenport	85.5		
Dubuque Cedar Rapids	• 60.0		12.0
·	# # ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		10.0
		a Manana na bana ana kata na sa	22.0
Total Dubuque County shipments	145.5		. 22.0

	•			
State, county, and	:		Grain	
terminal	:	Corn	: Soybeans :	Oats
WISCONSIN Green County LaSalle Ottawa Clinton Hennepin Davenport	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	146.3 439.7	<u>Thousand Bushels</u> 9.0 1.0	2.5 2.5 2.5
Total Green County shipments	:	586.0	10.0	7.5

.

Appendix A table 1--Flow of corn, soybeans, and oats to grain terminals from 45 country shippers in 11 counties in Illinois, Iowa, and Wisconsin, 1975-76--Continued

Location of					Corn		
shipper	:		Distan	ice to · :	Net savings vi	a Savanna	
	. Terminal-	Volume	Terninal	Savanna :	Miles saved	: Cents per : bu. 2/	Net transportation savings to shippen
SIONITI		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Carroll County	•••						
Mt. Carroll	: C :	188.2	30	6.	21	2.02	3,801.64
	н	3.8	75	6	66	6.34	240.92
Chadwick	A	266.0	25	17	8	.77	2,048.20
	с с	79.0	28	17	11	1.06	837.40
Chadwick			1	1	-	1	1
			1		l		
Shannon	н	258.4	92	25	67	6.43	16,615.12
	 	193.8	47	25	22	2.11	4,089.18
		193.8	54	25	29	2.78	5,387.64
Millegeville	н :	224.1	66	28	38	3.65	8,779.65
	 	523.7	36	28	8	11.	4,032.49
Lanark		320.0	75	18	57	5.47	17,504.00
	с с	480.0	35	18	17	1.63	7,824.00
		800.0	42	18	24	2.30	18,400.00
Mt. Carroll		7.7	75	6	66	6.34	488.18
	с с	376.3	30	6	21	2.02	7,601.26
Total		3,914.8					97,649.68
Jo Daviess County							
Stockton	с 	78.0	51	30	21	2.02	1,575.60
	 	19.5	58	30	28	2.69	524.55
Warren	с с	840.0	62	41	21	2.02	16,968.00
	A	240.0	70	41	29	2.78	6,672.00
	: DN	120.0	48	41	7	.67	804.00
Warren	н	300.0	120	41	79	7.58	22,740.00
	 	450.0	62	41	21	2.02	9,090.00
	A	375.0	69	41	28	2.69	10,087.50
	: SV	375.0	122	41	81	7.78	29,175.00
Total		2,797.5					97,636.65

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year

See footnotes at end of table.

Location of	••				Corn		
shinner			: Distan	ice to :	Net savings vi	a Savanna	
4 2 2 4 2	. Terminal	Volume	Terminal :	Savanna :	Miles saved	:Cents per : bu. 2/	Net transportation savings to shipper
SIONITI		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
<u>Ogle County</u>	••	• -					
Haldane	н.	47.3	69	33	36	3.46	1,636.48
	sv sv	47.3	71	33	38	3.65	1,726.25
	: CH	10.5	145	33	112	10.75	1,128.75
Polo	н ::	630.0	62	41	21	2.02	12,726.00
	: SV	70.0	64	41	23	2.21	1,547.00
Polo	=	342.0	62	41	21	2.02	6,908.04
	A	30.3	48	41	7	.67	203.01
	SV	342.0	64	74 1	23	2.21	7,558.02
Forreston	TR TR	380.0	63 80	41	22	2.11	320.72
	: 0 :	172.0	50	37	13	1.25	2.150.00
		190.0	57	37	20	1.92	3,648.00
	sv sv	38.0	82	37	45	4.32	1,641.60
Mt. Morris .		120.0	66	42	24	2.30	2,760.00
	sv.	200.0	68	42	26	2.50	5,000.00
	: CH	20.0	111	42	69	6.62	1,324.00
	: LS	120.0	62	42	20	1.92	2,304.00
Chana	×	72.0	120	55	65	6.24	4,492.80
		108.0	69	55	14	1.34	1,447.20
	EH EH	540.0	127	55	82	7.87	42,498.00
Stillman Valley	Η.	64.0	74	56	18	.73	1,107.20
	: 0 :	19.2	75	56	19	1.83	351.36
	: SV :	224.0	72	56	16	1.54	3,449.60
	- FS	9.6	68	56	12	1.15	710.40
	 0 	3.2	78	56	22	2.11	67.52
Rochelle	: SV :	490.0	53	55	-2	0.	0.
	: TS :	140.0	43	55	-12	0.	0.
	: 0 :	70.0	56	55		960.	67.20
	••						
	••						

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year--

66

Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

Location of		••				Corn		
				: Distau	nce to :	Net savings via	Savanna	
shipper .	Term:	inal <u>1/</u> :	Volume	: Terminal	: Savanna :	Miles saved	:Cents per : bu. <u>2</u> /	.Net transportation savings to shipped
TI TNOTS		•• •	Thous hu				0.00	
Dala Comptu	• •	• •	na enour		MILCS		Centra	1011415
Ugie county Lindenwood	• ••		4.0	71	69	2	. 19	7.60
		: NS	44.2	69	69	0	.00	.00
	••	I.S ::	205.0	67	69	-2	.00	00.
	••		128.6	73	69	4	.39	501.54
Forreston	••	н н	245.0	82	44	38	3.65	8,942.50
	•••	: NS	245.0	80	44	36	3.46	8,477.00
Baileyville		н.	62.5	85	49	36	3.47	2,168.75
		с :	62.5	56	49	7	.67	418.75
	••	A .	62.5	62	49	13	1.25	781.25
	•••	SV .:	62.5	83	49	34	3.26	2,037.50
Baileyville		H	500.0	85	49	36	3.47	17,350.00
	••	: .	500.0	83	49	34	3.26	16,300.00
Total	••		5,801.4					179,452.04
0.1 h h		••••						
pearl City			180.0	00	00	61	5 86	00 96 00
			700.0	07	29	5	00.1	7.420.00
		Α:	900.0	49	29	20	1.92	17,280.00
		CH :	20.0	116	29	87	8.35	1,670.00
Lena		с :	10.0	66	45	21	2.02	202.00
Freeport			416.0	88	55	33	3.17	13,187.20
		: \	93.0	86	55	31	2.98	2,771.40
	:	 	10.4	104	55	49	4.70	488.80
Total	•••••	•• ••	2,529.4					65,287.40
<u>Winnebago County</u> Winnebago			323.0	91	67	12	1.15	3,726.08
D		 IC	57.0	120	19	39	3.74	2,131.80
		• ••	đ					
		•						0
See footnotes at (end of l	table.						COLL LINUCU

See footnotes at end of table.

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year-continued

Location of						Corn		
shimer		1.		: Distanc	e to :	Net savings via	a Savanna	
	. termii	: - 1eu	Volume	: Terminal :	Savanna :	Miles saved	: Cents per	Net transportation savings to shipper
ILLINOIS	•• ••	•• ••	Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Winnebago County		••						
Pecatonica		н.	185.0	103	69	34	3.26	6,031.00
	:	۹. ۲	7.5	80	69	11	1.06	79.50
	S :	·· >	170.0	101	69	32	3.07	5,219.00
	: :	 E	. 7.5	131	69	62	5.95	446.25
Rockton		•••	-]		8	1	
Winnebago	•••	H	242.6	103	84	19	1.82	4,415.32
	S.	۸ ۱	277.2	101	89	17	1.63	4,518.36
	: Ct	Ξ.	173.2	131	84	47	4.51	7,811.32
Seward	<u>منہ</u>	H	125.0	93	79	14	1.34	1,675.00
	S.	>	125.0	65	79	-14	.00	.00
	ರ 	=	250.0	122	62	43	4.13	10,325.00
County total	•• ••	•••	1,818.0					46,378.63
Illinois total ne	:t:	•••••						
savings if snip- ped to Savanna	:	• ••						486,404.40

See footnotes at end of table.

	••				Soybeans		
Location of	: 1/		: Dista	ance to :	Net savings via	Savanna :	
shipper	.Terminal-	: Volume	: Terminal	: Savanna :	Miles saved	:Cents per: : bu. <u>2</u> / :	Net transportation savings to shippen
ILLINOIS		: Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Carroll County	••••						
Mt. Carroll	с 	24.0	30	6	21	1.81	434.40
Chadwick	. A	3.2	25	17	8	.69	22.08
11.00000	0 = 	30.05	28	17	11	.95 5 76	7.00 1.00
DHailliul		45.0	54	25 25	29	2.49	1,120.50
Milledgeville	с	51.0	38	28	10	.86	438.60
Lanark	=	10.0	75	18	57	4.90	490.00
		40.0	42	18	24	2.06	824.00
Mt. Carroll		48.0	30	6	21	1.81	868.80
Total		252.0					5,933.98
Jo Daviess County							
Warren		18.3	62	41	21	1.87	331.23
	CR C	4.5	16	41	50	4.30	193.50
		13.5	70	41	29	2.49	336.15
	sv Sv	4.5	65	41	24	2.06	92.70
	nd	2.2	48	41	7	.60	13.20
	l.s	4.5	69	41	28	2.41	108.45
Warren	C	10.0	62	41	21	1.81	181.00
	v	10.0	69	41	28	2.41	241.00
	SV	10.0	122	41	81	6.97	697.00
Total		77.5					2,194.23
Ogle County							
Haldane	sv Sv	60.0	71	33	38	3.30	1,980.00
See footnotes at en	nd of table.						Continued

shipper free	• '				Soybeans		
	minal ^{1/} :	Volume	Distar	ice to	: Net savings v	ria Savanna : :Cents per: ¹	Vet transportatio
			Terminal	Savanna	: Miles saved	: bu. 2/ :	savings to shippo
: SIONIT	•• ••	Thous. bu.		M11	CS	Cents	<u>Dollars</u>
Ogle County .	•••••						
Polo :	=	90.0	62	[4	21	1.81	1,629.00
	sv :	10.0	64	4	1 23	1.98	198.00
Forreston :	н	100.0	80	37	43	3.70	3,700.00
Mt. Morris :	ч Ч	36.0	63	5(2 2	.60	216.00
	0	4.0	141	5(65 85	7.31	292.40
Chana .	cu :	150.8	127	5.	5 82	7.05	10,575.00
Stillman Val.	SV .	58.0	72	5(5 16	1.38	800.40
	CII	14.5	146	(<u>)</u>	8 83	7.14	1,035.30
Rochelle :	SV :	91.0	53	5.	5 -2	.00	.00
	l.s	26.0	43	5	-12	.00	.00
	0	13.0	56	5	j I	.08	10.40
Lindenwood :	н	1.6	71	9) 2	.17	2.72
• •	SV .	29.1	69	9	0 (0	0
• •	LS .	43.7	67	9) -2	.00	.00
• •	CII .	9.7	130	;9) 61	5.25	509.25
• •	•••	77.8	73	9	4 (.34	264.52
Forreston .	н.	10.0	82	47	38	3.27	327.00
•_•	SV .	40.0	80	77	. 36	3.10	1,240.00
Baileyville :	н	12.5	85	4) 36	3.10	387.50
• •	 0	12.5	56	57	1 (.60	75.00
•••	A A	12.5	62	4	9 13	1.12	140.00
•	sv :	12.5	83	49	9 34	2.92	365.00
Baileyville	sv :	80.0	83	4	96 6	2.92	2,336.00
	••	20.0	89	57	(04)	3.44	688.00
Total		854.5					26,771.49

70

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year-continued

.

4

See footnotes at end of table.

					Soybeans		
Location of shipper	: Terminal_;	Volume	Distanc	e to :	Net savings via	1 Savanna : Cents per:	let transportatio
	••		Terminal :	Savanna ;	Miles saved	: bu. 2/ : ⁵	avings to shippe
HLLINOTS Stephenson County		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Pearl City		3.0	06	29 20	61 19	5.25	157.50
Freeport	н н с SV н а С	27.U 112.5 12.5	49 88 86	55 55 55	20 33 31	1.72 2.83 2.67	404.40 3,183.75 333.75
Total		155.0					4,139.40
Winnebago County							
Winnebago	=	12.0	19 1	<u>79</u>	12	1.03	123.60
Pecatonica	E E	48.U 62.8	120 103	69	34	2.92 2.92	1,608.00
	SV SV	31.4	101	69	32	2.75	863.50 2017 70
Wínnebago	 E = ;	50.0 50.0	131 103	0 9 8 4 7 0	20 1 1 2 1	1.63 1.63	815.00 815.00 00.007
Total	۰۰ ۰۰ م	260.5	101	5		04.1	6,309.65
Illinois total net savings if shipped to Savanna							67.848.75
	••						

See footnotes at end of table.

A table 2Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping	n to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975–76 marketing year inued	
Appendix A table	grain to a pr continued	

u u

		••			Oats		
Location of	: Tarminall/		: Distance	e to : Ne	t savings vie	Savanna :	
shipper	Thursday	: Volume :	: Terminal : 5	Savanna : M	iles saved	:Cents per :Nel : bu. 2/ : ^{sa}	t transportatic vings to shippe
SIONITII .		: : Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Carroll County		••					
Mt. Carroll :	 CR	.: 15.0	100	6	91	8.74	1,317.00
Shannon :	=======================================	: 25.0	66	28	38	3.65	912.50
••	: CR	; 2.5	117	28	89	8.54	213.50
••	SV :	: 22.5	70	28	42	4.03	906.75
Lanark :	: CR	: 39.0	109	18	91	8.74	3,408.60
Mt. Carroll :	0	: 30.0	98	6	89	8.54	2,562.00
Total :		134.0					9,320.35
Jo Daviess							
;	;					i i	2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1
warren :	W	: 20.0	102	41	61	5.86	1,172.00
	DF CB		97	41	50 03	5.38 8 02	727.24
••	CP CP	4	+01	1+		66.0	1/.00
Total :		30.0					1,717.10
<u>Ogle County</u>							
Polo :	0	14.2	. 83	41	42	4.03	574.28
Forreston :	CR	23.7	124	37	87	8.35	1,978.95
Mt. Morris :	A A	: 19.2	63	42	21	2.02	387.84
	CH	: 4.8	111	42	69	6.62	317.76
Chana :	DP	: 45.0	110	55	55	5.28	2,376.00
••	CH	: 45.0	127	55	72	6.91	3,109.50
Stillman Valley:	SV	: 12.0	72	56	16	1.54	184.80
••							
		••					

See footnotes at end of table.

	••				Corn		
Location of	: Terminal <u>1</u> /		Distanc	e to :	Net savings via	I Savanna :	et transportatio
sutplet		Volume	Terminal	Savanna :	Miles saved	tents per:	avings to shippe
TOMA		: Thous. bu.		Miles .		Cents	Dollars
Clinton County							
Clinton	c 	436.5	£	21	-18	.00	.00
	Α	48.5	12	21	6-	00.	.00
Delmar	с :	: 432.0	34	34	0	.00	.00
	: A	: 144.0	43	34	6	.86	1,238.40
	: DP	: 144.0	45	34	11	. 1.06	1,526.40
Dewitt	с	: 280.0	19	41	-22	.00	.00
	: DP	: 120.0	30	41	-11	.00	.00
Dewitt	: C	: 350.0	19	41	-22	.00	.00
Dewitt	:	: 285.0	19	41	-22	.00	.00
Calamus	с	: 11.0	31	53	-22	.00	.00
•	: DP	: 26.0	45	53	- 8	.00	.00
Lost Nation	с С	42.5	34	51	-17	.00	.00
	: A	42.5	43	51	-8	.00	.00
Total		288.0					2,764.80
Jackson County							
Maquoketa	с 	: 81.0	39	32	7	.67	542.70
	• •	. 9.0	48	32	16	1.54	138.60
Maquoketa	: CR	: 6.0	59	32	27	2.59	155.40
	- Db	24.0	51	32	19	1.82	618.80
Total		120.0					1,455.50
Dubuque County							
Dubuque	: DF	85.5	83	50	33	3.17	271.04
Caa Footaotae at	: and of table.						-
JCC 1000000000000							Continued

73

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year--

_

					0ats		
Location of shipper	: Terminal <u>-</u> /	Volume	: Distance Terminal : S	to : N avanna :	let savings via Miles saved	Savanna : :Cents per : : bu. <u>2</u> / : ^{sa}	t transportatio vings to shippe
LLLINOTS Ogle County		Thous. bu		<u>Miles</u>		Cents	Dollars
Lindenwood Bailevville	: M BP	: 10.7 : 10.0	47 105	69 49	-22 56	.00 5.38	.00 5,380.00
'rotal		173.9					14,309.13
Stephenson County				•			
Freeport	S S	20.0	86	55	31	2.98	596.00
Winnebago County							
Pecatonica	Ξ.	10.6	103	69 60	34	3.26 3.07	332.52 113-59
Winnebago	· SV	20.0	101	09 84	747	4.51	902.00
Total	•• ••	34.3					1,348.11
Illinois total net savings if shipped to Savanna							27,290.69
	•• ••						
	•• •						

c

74

Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

					Gorn		
Location of shipper	: Terminal <u>1</u> /	. Volume	Distance Terminal : S	to : 1	Net savings via Miles saved	Savanna :Cents per : bu. <u>2</u> /	Net transportatio savings to shippe
IOWA Dubuque Count <u>y</u> ·		: Thous. bu.		<u>Miles</u>		Cents	Dollars
farley	nd :	: 60.0	21	. 62	-41	.00	00
Total		85.5					271.04
lowa total net savings if shipped to Savanna							4,491.34
WISCONSIN Green County				•			
Monroe		: 146.3 :	106	11	29	2.78	4,067.14
	0	. 439.7	117	11	640	3.84	16,884.48
Total		586.0					20,951.62
Wisconsin total net savings if shipped to Savanna							20,951.62
See footnotes at end	l of table.						Continued

đ L

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year--

shipping	year	
ly area wher	ő marketing	
-county stud	als, 1975-70	
oats in 11-	ing termina	
eans, and o	than exist:	
corn, soybe	na rather 1	
ippers of (l at Savam	
ains to sh	ed terminal	
table 2G _i	o a propose ed	
Appendix A	grain t continu	

ц () () () () () () () () () () () () ()					Soybeans		
LOCALION OF	: Trontand 1/		: Distanc	te to :	Net savings via	a Savanna :	
shipper	Treuting	Volume	: Terminal :	Savanna	Miles saved	: Du. 2/ : Sav	: transportation Angs to shippen
OWA Clinton County		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Delmar	⊳ د 	270.0	34	34	00	00.	.00
	4 61 °	90.06 90.06	4 3 4 5	34	11	.95	346.50 855.00
Dewitt		45.0 40.0	85 19	34 41	51 -22	4.39 .00	1,975.50.00
Dewitt		40.0	30 19	41	-11 -22	00.	00.
Dewitt	CB (185.0	62	41	21	.00	3,348.50
Calamus	: Db C :	7.3	31 45	53	-23 -8	00.	00.
Lost Nation		42.5	34	51	-17	00.	00.
	A	36.1	43	51	-8	.00	.00
	 	72.2	57	51	9	.52	375.44
Total		437.2				-	6,900.94
Jackson County							
Maquoketa	 Р С 	2.0 16.0	39 48	32 32	7 16	.60 1.38	12.00 220.80
Total		18.0					232.80
lowa total net savings if shipped to Savanna							7,133.74
	•						

Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

To the second					Oats		
Location of shipper	: Terminal <u>l</u> ;	Volume	: Distanc : Terminal :	e to : 1 Savanna ;	Met savings via Miles saved	: Savanna : Cents per: Ne : bu. <u>2</u> / : ³⁶	et transportatic avings to shippe
TOWA Clinton County		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Delmar	с СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС СС	$\begin{array}{c} 22.5\\ 13.5\\ 2\end{array}$	34 45	34 34	0 1 2	.00 1.06	.00
Dewitt Dewitt		9.0 5.0 1.2	00 62 19	34 41 41	22 21 -22	2.11 2.02 .00	189.90 101.00 .00
Calamus Lost Nation	ж ж ж ж ж ж	.1 10.0 15.3	62 56 58	41 53 51	21 3 7	2.02 .29 .67	3.03 29.00 102.51
Total Jackson County		52.9					4.39.75
Maquoketa Maquoketa		37.0	59 59	32 32	27 27	2.59 2.59	958.30 129.50
Total <u>Dubuque County</u>		42.0					1,087.80
Dubuque Farley Toral		12.0 10.0	68 56	50 . 62	-6	1.73 .00	207.60 .00 207.60
lowa total net savings if shipped to Savanna							1,735.15
See footnotes at end	d of table.						Continued

Appendix A table 2--Cains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year--

G

Appendix A table 2---Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year--

See tootnotes at end of table.

Location of					Oats		
shipper	: Terminal <u>1</u> / :	: Volume	Terminal	e to : N Savanna :	et savings vid Miles saved	I Savanna : :Cents per:No : bu. 2/ : ^{Si}	st transportation wings to shipper
ONSIN een County		Thous. bu.		Miles		Cents	Dollars
Monroe	рь п с рь	. 2.5 . 2.5 . 2.5	96 113 126	77 77 77	19 36 49	1.82 3.46 4.70	45.50 86.50 117.50
Total	•• •• •	7.5					249.50
sconsin total net savings if shipped to Savanna							249.50

Appendix A table 2--Gains to shippers of corn, soybeans, and oats in 11-county study area when shipping grain to a proposed terminal at Savanna rather than existing terminals, 1975-76 marketing year-- Indicated savings per bushel is obtained by multiplying the "miles saved" by the survey indicated hauling costs: Savings in .096 cents per bushel per mile for corn and oats, and .086 cents per bushel per mile for soybeans. trucking costs per bushel times volume shipped equals net transportation savings to shippers. 2/

. .

Location of						Term	finals shipp	ed to					
shipper	: Albany	: Clinton	: Hennepin	: Sp.Valley :	Ottawa	: Chicago :	Dubuque :	Davenport : C	edar Rapids :	Galesburg :	Keokuk :	La Salle :	Mendota
<u>Illinois</u> Carroll	: 1,783.5	1,317.3	814.0	0	0	0	Thousand b	ushels 0	0	0	0	0	0
Jo Daviess	634.5	1,368.0	300.0	375.0	0	0	120.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0
Ugie Srenhenson	9.282 :	710.0	2, 394.0 796.0	2,203.U 93.0	8.102 0	0.0/c		00	- c		0.27	489.8 0	00
Winnebago	7.5	0	875.6	572.2	0	487.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3,608.3	3,757.0	5,180.4	3,303.2	201.8	1,088.6	120.0	0	0	0	72.0	489.8	0
Percent	86.8	59.7	90.7	85.8	25.3	78.4	65.8	0	0	0	100.0	69.0	0
<u>Iowa</u> Cl inton Dubuque	235.0 0	1,837.0 0	00	00	0 0	00	0 60.0	290.0 85.5	00	00	0 0	0 0	0 0
Jackson	9.0	81.0	0	0	0	0	0	24.0	6.0	0	0	0	0
Total	244.0	1,918.0	0	0	0	0	60.0	399.5	6.0	0	0	0	0
Percent	5.9	30.5	0	0	0	0	33.0	54.7	1.6	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin Grant Green Lafayette	000	000	000	000	0 439.7 0	000	000	000	000	000	000	0 146.3 0	000
Total	0	0	0	0	439.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	146.3	0
Percent	0	0	0	0	55.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	20.6	0
Total Corn	3,852.3	5,675.0	5,180.4	3,303.2	641.5	1,088.6	180.0	399.5	6.0	0	72.0	636.1	0
Percent	92.7	90.1	90.7	85.8	80.5	78.4	98.8	54.7	1.6	0	100.0	89.6	0
												Cont	Inued

......

14 A

Loostion of						Term	inals ship	oed to			-		
shipper	: Albany	: Clinton	: Hennepin	Sp.Valley	: Ottawa :	Chicago :	Dubuque :	Davenport :	Cedar Rapids:	Galesburg :	Keokuk :	La Salle :	Mendota
Illinois							Thousand 1	ushels					
Carrol1	. 88.2	123.8	40.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jo Daviess	: 23.5	28.3	0	14.5	0	0	2.2	0	4.5	0	0	4.5	0
0gle	: 48.5	12.5	214.0	380.6	110.8	175.0	0	0	0	4.0	0	69.7	0
Stephenson	: 27.0	0	115.5	12.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Winnebago	0	0	124.8	81.4	0	54.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Total	: 187.2	164.6	494.4	489.0	110.8	229.3	2.2	0	4.5	4.0	0	74.2	0
Percent	4.5	2.7	8.7	12.7	13.9	16.5	1.2	0	1.2	8.2	0	10.4	0
,													
<u>Iowa</u> Clinton	: 81.1	419.8	0	0	0	0.	0	249.7	185.0	45.0	0	0	0
Dubuque	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	: 16.0	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	97.1	421.8	0	0	0	0	0	249.7	185.0	45.0	0	0	0
Percent	2.3	6.7	0	0	0	0	0	34.2	48.8	91.8	0	0	0
114 an an ad a													
Crant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
Green	0	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	0	0
Lafayette		0		0		5	0	0	0	•	5	•	-
Total	0	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0	.1	0	0	0	0	0	. 1	0	0	· 0	0	0
Total Soybeans	284.3	595.4	494.4	489.0	110.8	229.3	2.2	250.7	189.5	49.0	0	74.2	0
Percent	. 6.8	9.5	8.7	12.7	13.9	16.5	1.2	34.3	50.0	100.0	0	10.4	0
												Contin	hed

Location of						Tern	ninals shi	pped co					
shipper	: Albany	: Clinton	: Hennepin	: Sp.Valley :	Ottawa	: Chicago	: Dubuque	: Davenport :	Cedar Rapids :	Galesburg :	Keokuk :	La Salle	: Mendota
Illinois							Thousand	bushels					
Carroll	0	0	25.0	22.5	30.0	0	0	0	56.5	0	0	0	0
Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.8	.2	0	0	0	20.0
Ogle	: 19.2	0	0	12.0	14.2	49.8	0	55.0	23.7	0	0	0	10.7
Stephenson	0 :	0	0	20.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Winnebago	0	0	10.6	3.7	0	20.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	: 19.2	0	35.6	58.2	44.2	69.8	0	64.8	80.4	0	0	0	30.7
Percent	.5	0	.6	1.5	5.5	5.1	0	8.9	21.2	0	0	0	100.0
Clinton	0	23.7	0	0	0	0	0	13.5	39.4	0	0	0	0
Dubuque	00	0	0	00	0	0	0	00	22.0	0 0	00	00	0
Jackson		0			5	0		0	42.0				
Total	0	23.7	0	0	0	0	0	13.5	103.4	0	0	0	0
Percent	0	4.	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	27.3	0	0	0	0
Visconsin Grant	c 	C	0	c	0	C	0	c	U	0	0	0	0
Green	• •	2.5	2.5	0	0 0	00	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0
Lafayette	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0	.01	.04	0	0	0	0	е.	0	0	0	0	0
Total oats	: 19.2	26.2	38.1	58.2	44.2	69.8	0	80.8	183.8	0	0	0	30.7
Percent	.5	.4		1.5	5.5	5.0	0	11.1	48.5	0	0	0	100.0
Total all grains	4,155.8	6,296.6	5,712.9	3,850.5	796.5	1,387.7	182.2	731.0	379.3	49.0	72.0	710.3	30.7

APPENDIX B

OMB Number 405-77018

Approval Expires 10/31/77

BLACKHAWK HILLS RC&D RIVER PORT GRAIN FACILITY COMMITTEE

Country Elevator Survey

Name	e of	f Firm		Date
Town	1	and the same of a first state of a first state of the same	Street	Phone
Cour	ity_		Township	StateState
1.	Cui	rrent storage	capacity:	bushels
2.	Vol	lume of grain	handled (Sept. 1, 197	5 to Sept. 1, 1976):
	a.	Corn	bushels	
	Ъ.	0ats	bushels	
	c.	Wheat	bushels	
	d.	Soybeans	bushels	
		TOTAL	bushels	
3.	Wha mi] as	at portion of lls, back to a closely as po	the grains that you h the farm as whole grai ossible.)	andled through your elevator went to local feed n, or as feed milled by you? (Please estimate
	a.	Corn	% or	bushels
	Ъ.	Oats	% or	bushels
	с.	Wheat	% or	bushels

d. Soybeans % or bushels

Please answer the questions on the following pages for each of the grain crops handled through your elevator during the 1975-76 marketing year. (CORN page 2, OATS page 3, WHEAT page 4, and SOYBEANS page 5)

4.	If or mo	you marketed <u>CORN</u> to another marketing back to the farm) what percent was tra- des as you used to transport corn.)	fac: nspo:	ility (othe rted by: (r than a loca Fill out as m	al feed mill many of th e
	a.	Truck (300 to 500 bu.) %	d.	Rail (2 t	o 10 cars)	7
	Ъ.	Truck (over 500 bu.)%	e.	Rail (ove	r 10 cars)	7
	c.	Rail (single car) %	f.	Other (ex	plain)	7.
5.	Wh: (Re	at was the average cost for moving the eport cost in cents per bu. or dollars	<u>CORN</u> per	in the 197 ton per mil	5-76 marketin e in appropri	ng year by: Late blanks.)
	a.	Truck (300-500 bu.)Cents/bu/mile	d.	Rail (2 to 10	cars)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mil	e		-modeling a first sector of the sector of th	Dollars/ton/mil
	Ъ.	Truck (over 500 bu.)Cents/bu/mile	e.	Rail (over 10	cars)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mil	e		• •aarmaanaanaaniarsiittä/2004/20	Dollars/ton/mil
	c.	Rail (single car)Cents/bu/mile	f.	Other (ex	plain)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mil	e	ann a far a glait (d) a bha an an ann an ann an air air a		Dollars/ton/mil
6.	When or 1	re did the <u>CORN</u> you moved from your ele- back to the farm?	vato	r go, other	than to loca	al feed mills
		MODE AND DESTINATION		PERCENT	DISTANCE	HAULING COS
	a.	Via truck to <u>Hennepin</u> on Ill. River.				
	Ъ.	Via rail to Hennepin on Ill. River.				
	c.	Via truck to Spring Valley on Ill. Rive	er.			
	d.	Via rail to Spring Valley on Ill. Rive	r.			
	e.	Via truck to Ottawa on Ill. River.				
	f.	Via rail to Ottawa on Ill. River.		-and the local state of the sta		
	g.	Via truck to La Salle on Ill. River.			- Water and the second s	and a second and a second and a second s
	h.	Via rail to La Salle on Ill. River.				aligenige
	i.	Via truck to Albany on Miss. River.		www.ucguterrenewine.orgenitization		
	j.	Via rail to Albany on Miss. River.			**************************************	an an a star and a star and a star and a star and a star a
	k.	Via truck to Clinton on Miss. River.				
	1.	Via rail to Clinton on Miss. River.				and a first state of the state
	ш.	Via truck to Chicago, Illinois.				ng n
	n.	Via rail to Chicago, Illinois.				
	ο.	Via truck to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.				erost
	p.	Via rail to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.				
	q۰	Other:				
		Destination Mode		Percent	Distance	Cost
				and and an excitation and a summittee of the		

CORN

7.	lf or mod	you marketed OATS to another marketing back to the farm) what percent was tra les as you used to transport oats.)	facil nsport	ity (other than a ed by: (Fill out	local feed mill as many of the
	a.	Truck (300 to 500 bu.)%	d.	Rail (2 to 10 car	:s)%
	ь.	Truck (over 500 bu.)%	e.	Rail (over 10 car	:s)%
	c.	Rail (single car)%	f.	Other (explain)	%
8.	Wha cos	t was the average cost for moving <u>OATS</u> t in cents per bu. or dollars per ton	_in th per mi	e 1975-76 marketin le in appropriate	ng year by: (Report blanks.)
	a.	Truck	d.	Rail	
		(300-500 bu.)Cents/bu/mile		(2 to 10 cars)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mi	le	- Constant	Dollars/ton/mile
	Ъ.	Truck (over 500 by) Cents/by/mile	e.	Rail (over 10 cars)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/top/mile	10		Dollars/ton/mile
	C	Bail	f	Other -	
		(single car)Cents/bu/mile	* •	(explain)	Cents/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mi	le		Dollars/ton/mile
9.	Whe or	re did the <u>OATS</u> you moved from your el back to the farm?	evator	go, other than to	local feed mills
		MODE AND DESTINATION	Р	ERCENT DISTA	NCE HAULING COST
	a.	Via truck to Hennepin on Ill. River.	_		
	ь.	Via rail to Hennepin on Ill. River.			
	c.	Via truck to Spring Valley on Ill. Ri	ver.		
	d.	Via rail to Spring Valley on Ill. Riv	er		
	e.	Via truck to Ottawa on Ill. River.			
	f.	Via rail to Ottawa on Ill. River.	_		
	g.	Via truck to La Salle on Ill. River.			
	h.	Via rail to La Salle on Ill. River.			
	i.	Via truck to Albany on Miss. River.			
	j.	Via rail to Albany on Miss. River.			
	k.	Via truck to Clinton on Miss. River.			
	1.	Via rail to Clinton on Miss. River.			
	⊡.	Via truck to Chicago, Illinois.	_		
	n.	Via rail to Chicago, Illinois.			
	ο.	Via truck to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.			
	p.	Via rail to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.	_		
	q۰	Other:			
		Destination Mode	P	ercent Dista	nce Cost
			-		

OATS

WHEAT

10.	lf or mod	you marketed <u>WHEAT</u> to another marketing : back to the farm) what percent was transp es as you used to transport wheat.)	fac poi	cility (other rted by: (Fil	than a lo Ll out as	cal f many	feed mill of the
	a.	Truck (300 to 500 bu.)%	d.	Rail (2 to 1	LO cars)		%
	Ъ.	Truck (over 500 bu.) %	e.	Rail (over 1	LO cars)		7.
	c.	Rail (single car) %	f.	Other (expla	ain)		%
11.	Wha (Re	t was the average cost for moving the WHI port cost in cents per bu. or dollars per	EAT r 1	I in the 1975- ton per mile i	-76 market In appropr	ing y iate	vear by: blanks.)
	a.	Truck d.	•	Rail			
		(300-500 bu.)Cents/bu/mile	1	(2 to 10 cars)	<u> </u>	ents/	'bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mile			D	ollar	s/ton/mile
	Ъ.	Truck e. (over 500 bu.)Cents/bu/mile	•	Rail (over 10 ca)	cs)C	ents/	'bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mile			D	ollar	s/ton/mile
	c.	Rail f. (single car)Cents/bu/mile		Other (explain)	C	ents/	/bu/mile
		Dollars/ton/mile		with the second s	D	ollar	s/ton/mile
12.	When or 1	re did the <u>WHEAT</u> you moved from your eleve back to the farm?	vat	tor go, other	than to l	ocal	feed mills
		MODE AND DESTINATION		PERCENT	DISTANCE		HAULING COST
	a.	Via truck to Hennepin on Ill. River.		normingelanded (Stateshare Onlands) and	ann ann ba fa na criac an tar 140 ann an t-17 16 an 1800.	in an air	
	Ъ.	Via <u>rail</u> to <u>Hennepin</u> on Ill. River.				Brazeralje	
	с.	Via truck to Spring Valley on Ill. River	r.				
	d.	Via rail to Spring Valley on Ill. River.					
	e.	Via truck to Ottawa on Ill. River.					
	f.	Via <u>rail</u> to <u>Ottawa</u> on Ill. River.		anapholiniti kananya ayarahiya ya	n party style and million and a first style	And K. Trades	
	g.	Via truck to La Salle on Ill. River.		<u>ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.</u>		navitažna 17 46	
	ħ.	Via rail to La Salle on Ill. River.				terra Oscarije	007 TEL THE PLACE OF THE PLACE DATA STREET AND THE PLACE DATA STREET
	i.	Via truck to Albany on Miss. River.			enerse (2011-14)(2010-14)/Marrier Tambér Londonaeros o	1,413,359	
	j.	Via <u>rail</u> to <u>Albany</u> on Miss. River.					
	k.	Via truck to Clinton on Miss. River.			a		
	1.	Via rail to Clinton on Miss. River.					***************************************
	m.	Via truck to Chicago, Illinois.				nania ye Miya Y	
	n.	Via rail to Chicago, Illinois.				periodecki 20	
	ο.	Via truck to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.			•		
	р.	Via rail to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.					
	q.	Other:					
		Destination Mode		Percent	Distance		Cost
					an dependence producer a second		and an international of the state
	-						

SOYBEANS

13.	If by:	you marketed <u>SOYBEAN</u> (Fill out as many	S to another market of the modes as you	ing i usa	facility what ad to transpo	it percent ort soybean	was t s.)	ransported
	a.	Truck (300 to 500 b	u.)7	d.	Rail (2 to	10 cars)		7
	ъ.	Truck (over 500 bu.)	e.	Rail (over	10 cars)		=7
	с.	Rail (single car)	37 /e	f.	Other (expl	ain)		37 / 0
14.	Wha (Re	t was the average co port cost in cents p	st for moving the ger bu. or dollars g	SOYB Per	EANS in the l ton per mile	.975-76 mar in appropr	ketin iate	g year by: blanks.)
	a.	Truck (300-500 bu.)	Cents/bu/mile	đ	. Rail (2 to 10 car	·s)	_Cent	s/bu/mile
		•	Dollars/ton/mile	2			_Doll	ars/ton/mile
	Ъ.	Truck (over 500 bu.)	Cents/bu/mile	e	. R ail (over 10	cars)	_Cent	s/bu/mile
			Dollars/ton/mile	2			_Dol1	ars/ton/mile
	c.	Rail (single car)	Cents/bu/mile	Ē	. Other (explain)		_Cent	s/bu/mile
		- 210-1000-0.00	Dollars/ton/mile	2			_Do11	ars/ton/mile
15.	Whe	re did the SOYBEANS	you moved from your	: ele	evator go?			
		MODE AND DES	TINATION		PERCENT	DISTANCE		HAULING COST
	a.	Via truck to Hennep	in on Ill. River.					
	Ъ.	Via rail to Hennepi	<u>n</u> on Ill. River.					
	c.	Via truck to Spring	Valley on Ill. Riv	ver.				
	d.	Via rail to Spring	Valley on Ill. Rive	er.				
	e.	Via truck to Ottawa	on Ill. River.					
	f.	Via rail to Ottawa	on Ill. River.					
	g.	Via <u>truck</u> to <u>La Sal</u>	le on Ill. River.					
	h.	Via rail to La Salle	e on Ill. River.					
	i.	Via truck to Albany	on Miss. River.					
	j.	Via rail to Albany	on Miss. River.					
	k.	Via truck to Clinton	n on Miss. River.					
	1.	Via rail to Clinton	on Miss. River.					
	m.	Via truck to Chicage	o, Illinois.		- <u></u>			
	n.	Via <u>rail</u> to <u>Chicago</u>	, Illinois.					
	٥.	Via truck to Cedar 1	Rapids, Iowa.					
	P۰	Via rail to Cedar Ra	apids, Iowa.					
	•	Other:						
		Destination	Mode		Percent	Distanc	2	Cost
							·	
				_				

- 16. a. Were there any other charges in addition to the above hauling costs that
 you were charged? YES NO
 - b. If yes, what were the additional charges you paid the hauler? (Give answer in cents per bushel or dollars per ton and circle the kind of grain.)

Charge per ton or bu.

18.

1)	loading	corn	oats	wheat	soybea ns
2)	unloading	corn	oats	wheat	soybean s
3)	insurance	com	oats	wheat	soybean s
4)	other (describe)				
		corn	oats	wheat	soybea ns

17. What are your charges for the following services? (Fill out only for those services that you perform.)

<u>s</u>	ervice	Rates per unit	CORN	OATS	WHEAT	SOYBEANS
a.	In charge	cents,'bu				
Ъ.	Out charge	cents/bu				
c.	Cleaning	cents/bu				-
d.	Shelling	cents/bu				
e.	Storage	cents/bu/mo				
f.	Drying	cents/point/bu				
g.	Insurance	cents/bu				
h.	Hauling	cents/bu/mile or dollars/ton/mile				
. i.	Shrinkage	percent or cents per bu				-
<u>Oth</u>	er charges:					
	Service	Rates per unit	CORN	OATS	WHEAT	SOYBEANS
j.						
k.						
Wou	ld you use a ri	ver elevator in Savann	a? YES	NO		
Com	ment:			a la seconda da companya d		
		a na ga a tang kang dari patan sa tang kang dari patan kang dari patan kang dari patan kang dari patan sa sa sa				
			•			
-7.1						

-----```