



**AgEcon** SEARCH  
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

*The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library*

**This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.**

**Help ensure our sustainability.**

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

[aesearch@umn.edu](mailto:aesearch@umn.edu)

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

*Inta Industry  
Economics*  
U

1981

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
DAVIS  
AUG 25 1981  
Agricultural Economics Library

THE INFLUENCE OF INPUT SUPPLY AND OUTPUT DEMAND ON INDUSTRIAL  
GROWTH IN RURAL COMMUNITIES

Biographical Sketch of the Authors

Steven E. Hastings is Assistant Professor in the Department of Agricultural and Food Economics at the University of Delaware. He received a B.S. in Agricultural Economics from the University of Delaware and a Ph.D. in Agricultural Economics from The Pennsylvania State University.

Frank M. Goode is Associate Professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology at The Pennsylvania State University. He received a B.S. and M.S. in Agricultural Economics from Colorado State University and a Ph.D. in Agricultural Economics from the University of Minnesota.

THE INFLUENCE OF INPUT SUPPLY AND OUTPUT DEMAND ON INDUSTRIAL  
GROWTH IN RURAL COMMUNITIES

Steven E. Hastings  
Department of Agricultural and Food Economics  
University of Delaware

and

Frank M. Goode  
Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology  
The Pennsylvania State University

An important concept in location theory is the availability of intermediate inputs. This paper presents a conceptualization of intermediate input supply and suggests a variable to operationalize this concept. The variable is empirically implemented to test the relationship between the availability of intermediate inputs, market access and industrial growth in rural communities.

THE INFLUENCE OF INPUT SUPPLY AND OUTPUT DEMAND ON INDUSTRIAL  
GROWTH IN RURAL COMMUNITIES

Steven E. Hastings  
Department of Agricultural and Food Economics  
University of Delaware

and

Frank M. Goode  
Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology  
The Pennsylvania State University

Paper for the  
American Agricultural Economics Association Meeting  
Clemson, South Carolina

July 1981

THE INFLUENCE OF INPUT SUPPLY AND OUTPUT DEMAND ON INDUSTRIAL  
GROWTH IN RURAL COMMUNITIES

Introduction

The spatial distribution of employment opportunities in rural communities continues to be a relevant policy issue. Given the recent reversal in the traditional rural to urban migration of jobs and people, many rural communities have an improved opportunity to attract additional employment opportunities. However, the mechanisms for communities to use in order to encourage plants to locate in their communities are as yet not well understood. There is relatively little information concerning how a community can either encourage or discourage plant locations in their boundaries.

In general, location theory is designed to address such questions. However, despite substantial empirical literature in industrial location, variables have not been defined and measured that adequately reflect many of the important concepts of location theory. One of the important concepts that has not been adequately treated in the empirical literature is the availability of intermediate inputs. This paper will present a brief conceptualization of intermediate input supply and suggest an alternative variable to operationalize this concept. A commonly used measure of output demand will be presented. The proposed variables will be empirically implemented and will be used in a statistical analysis to test the hypothesized relationship between the supply of intermediate inputs, the demand for output, and industrial growth.

Components of the Concepts

In location theory, [Hoover, 1948; Greenhut, 1956; and Isard, 1956] the concepts of input supply and output demand exhibit important components or characteristics (for brevity, only the components of input supply will be discussed). A simple spatial price equilibrium model, can be used to illustrate the components.

The spatial model, presented in Figure 1, shows the local supply and demand for commodity 1 (an input or output) that exist in two spatially separated communities, A and B. The prices and quantities established in the two areas in the absence of trade are  $P_A$  and  $P_B$ , and  $Q_A$  and  $Q_B$ .  $TC_{AB}$  equals the per unit transportation costs for the commodity 1 between area B and area A. If  $P_A - P_B > TC_{AB}$ , exchange or trade between the areas will occur, and the commodity will be transported from area B to area A. At equilibrium,  $P_{A1} = P_{B1} + TC_{AB}$  and the excess quantity demanded at the price  $P_{A1}$  in area A equals the excess quantity supplied at the price  $P_{B1}$  in area B.




Figure 1. Spatial Equilibrium in Two Communities, A and B

Conceptually, input supply has a spatial component. The theories recognize that the production of inputs is not uniformly distributed over space, but occur at a limited number of points. Thus, input supply (as

perceived by a firm) at a location has a spatial component in that it includes the production at other points and varies as the distance to points of production varies. In Figure 1, the supply of the input 1 in A has a spatial component in that it includes the production in B and varies as the distance to B varies.

An additional component of the concept of input supply is the effect of the demand of other users of an input on input supply. It is reasonable to expect that the demand of other users influences the quantity available to a firm and/or the price of the input. Thus, input supply is influenced by the spatial location of other firms which use the input. A greater demand in B would imply a lesser excess quantity supplied in B.

Another component of the concept of input supply implicit in location theory, is the industry specific nature of this concept. At a location, a firm is concerned with the supply of the specific inputs that the firm uses, not with the supply of other inputs. Thus, input supply must be considered at a very detailed industrial level.

Given these components of the concepts of input supply and output demand, it is reasonable to expect that the empirical treatment of these concepts reflect these components. However, a review of empirical studies revealed that the variables often used to operationalize these concepts often do not reflect these components.

To empirically investigate the influence of the supply of inputs and demand for output on manufacturing activity in rural communities, it is necessary to operationalize the concepts of input supply, output demand, and industry growth.

The operationalization of the supply of an input in a community used in this study is defined as:

$$1) \quad \text{PNIA}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{P_{ik} - C_{ik} - \sum_{m=1}^p C_{im}}{\text{TRC}_{ijk}}$$

where,  $\text{PNIA}_{ij}$  = Potential net input availability of input  $i$   
in community  $j$ .

$P_{ik}$  = Quantity of input  $i$  supplied in community  $k$ .

$C_{ik}$  = Quantity of input  $i$  demanded in community  $k$ ,

$C_{im}$  = Quantity of input  $i$  demanded in community  $m$ , a  
surrounding community for which community  $k$  is the  
closest source of the input  $i$ ,

$\text{TRC}_{ijk}$  = Transportation cost for input  $i$  from  
community  $j$  to community  $k$ .

For a community, Potential Net Input Availability (PNIA) increases as the quantity of an input supplied in surrounding communities increases, just as conceptually, the supply of an input increases (in that more of the input is available). It decreases as the quantity of the input demanded in surrounding communities increases, just as conceptually, the supply of an input decreases (in that less of the input is available). Also, PNIA decreases as the transportation costs for an input from surrounding surrounding communities increase, just as conceptually, the supply of an input decreases (in that higher transportation costs imply higher prices). Thus, this variable operationalizes the supply of an input in a community.



The merit of this operationalization of input supply is that it includes the important components of the concept. The spatial component is included by considering the quantity of the input supplied in other communities and the transportation costs to these communities. The "demand" component is included by considering the quantity demanded in surrounding communities. The industry specific nature of input supply is accounted for by measuring PNIA at a detailed level.

The operationalization of the demand for an output in a community that is used in this study is defined as:

$$2) \quad PMA_{1j} = \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{M_k}{TRC_{1jk}}$$

where,  $PMA_{1j}$  = Potential market access for output 1 in community j,

$M_k$  = Market for output 1 in community k, and

$TRC_{1jk}$  = Transportation costs for output 1 from community j to community k.

Potential Market Access (PNIA) has been widely used to operationalize the concept of output demand. The spatial component of output demand is included by considering the market for the output in other communities and the transportation costs to these communities. The "supply" component of this concept, the effect of other producers of the output, is not considered in this variable.

The growth of an industry in a community is an indication of the response of the industry to the relative locational advantages of the community. A variable which operationalizes the growth of an industry in a community is Relative Community Industry Growth (RCIG). This variable

is defined as:

$$3) \quad RCIG_{1j} = \frac{E_{1jt}}{E_{1t}} - \frac{E_{1jt-1}}{E_{1t-1}} + X_{1j}$$

where,  $RCIG_{1j}$  = Community industry growth of industry 1 in community j,

$E_{1j}$  = Employment in industry 1 in community j,

$E_1$  = Employment in industry 1 in all communities

t, t-1 = Time

$X_{1j}$  = 1 if the industry is present in the community, and 0  
if the industry is not present in the community.

If an industry grows more rapidly (or declines less) in a community than it did in all communities, RCIG is greater than one. If an industry grows less rapidly (or declines more) in a community than it did in all communities, then RCIG is less than one. If an industry is not present in a community in each of the time periods then community industry growth is zero. The range of possible values for this variable is from zero to two.

#### Measurement of Variables

The decision was made to calculate PNIA and PMA for 1965, and to relate those to RCIG for the time period 1965 to 1973. In this study, the communities within Pennsylvania are 177 "central place areas," combinations of Pennsylvania minor civil divisions, delineated on the basis of 1960 population. The communities outside Pennsylvania are the counties of the fourteen northeastern states. Twenty Standard Classification Industries (or aggregations there of) were selected for study, based on the incidence rate in rural Pennsylvania communities. The input-providing industries considered were the three manufacturing industries that were the major suppliers based on the direct requirement

coefficients in the 1967 Input-Output Study.

In PNIA, the quantity of an input supplied is measured with the total sales of the industry which produces the input. The quantity of the input demanded is measured with the total purchases of the input by all industries which use the input. The transportation costs of an input are measured with the motor carrier transportation costs that apply to the input and to the distance to surrounding communities. The estimation procedures and data used are detailed below.

The total sales of an industry ( $P_{ik}$  in Equation 1) were estimated by the product of the employment in the industry in the community (County Industry Reports, County Business Patterns) and the average sales per employee of the industry (Dun Market Identifiers). To estimate the total purchases of an input, all industries which use an input were identified. Then, the total sales of the output of each user were determined using the procedure discussed above. The total sales of each user in a community are multiplied by the amount of the input required by the user for each dollar of output (direct requirements coefficient). These data were obtained from the 1967 Input-Output Study. This product is the purchases of the input ( $C_{ik}$  and  $C_{im}$  in Equation 1) by the users in the community. Transportation costs for various types of products between each pair of communities ( $TRC_{ijk}$  in Equation 1) were estimated using an equation relating the distance between communities to the truck transportation costs (class rate) for alternative product classes.

In PMA, the market for an output ( $M_k$  in Equation 2) was measured by the aggregate income of a community, an indication of final demand. Aggregate income was estimated by the aggregate income from the 1970

Census of Population.

The data required to measure RCIG (Equation 3) for the period 1965 to 1973, were obtained from the 1965 and 1973 Pennsylvania County Industry Reports (Pennsylvania Department of Internal Affairs, 1966 and Pennsylvania Department of Commerce, 1974).

#### Model Specification

Generally, it is proposed that the growth of an industry in a community is influenced by the supply of the inputs the industry uses and the demand for the output of the industry. The general form of the model is:

$$4) \quad RCIG_{1j} = f(PNIA_{ij}, PNIA_{jj}, PNIA_{kj}, PMA_{1j})$$

where,  $RCIG_{1j}$  = Relative community industry growth of industry 1 in community j,

$PNIA_{ij}, PNIA_{jj}, PNIA_{kj}$  = Potential net input availability of inputs i, j, and k, in community j, where i, j, and k are input-providing industries for 1, and

$PMA_{1j}$  = Potential market access for output 1 in community j.

The empirical analysis presented below is not designed as a detailed study of the location factors of the twenty industries. The analysis is designed to provide an indication of the efficiency of the variables and measurement techniques suggested above.

The dependent variable, RCIG, is a limited value dependent variable and assumes the limiting value for a large number of observations. That is, RCIG is zero if the industry was not present in both of the time periods (1965 and 1973). This was frequently the case. Because of this, Tobit Analysis, an alternative to the OLS estimating procedure, was employed. Following the logic of Tobit Analysis it is reasonable to expect that the supply of inputs and/or the demand for output will influence both whether an industry is present in a community or not (the probability of limit responses) and the relative growth of the industry in a community (the size of non-limit responses).

Hypotheses regarding the influence of individual independent variable on the dependent variable are tested using the Student's t test. In this study, the null hypothesis of  $H_0: b_1=0$  is tested. The alternative hypothesis is  $H_a: b_1 > 0$ . Thus, a one-tail test is used.

For each industry, the general model specified above was estimated. If the addition of squared variables and interaction variables as independent variables improved the initial model, the variables were retained. In cases where the results of the initial model indicated the presence of multicollinearity among the independent variables. One of the correlated variables was removed.

### Results

The results of the estimation of the relationship between the growth of an industry and input supply and output demand for the twenty selected industries are presented in Table 1. The results for fourteen of the selected industries indicate that the growth of these industries in the

rural communities of Pennsylvania is positively related to the supply of inputs from input-providing industries and/or the demand for the output of the industries.

For six of the selected industries, there is not a positive relationship between industry growth and either input supply or output. These industries tended to be either labor intensive and/or highly aggregated. That is, for most of the six industries labor is the dominant location factor or the industry contains such a variety of production activities that it is unlikely that they can be successfully analyzed.

#### Summary and Conclusions

In this study, most effort was focused on developing improved operationalizations of the relevant concepts and then, measuring the appropriate variables. Special attention was devoted to the concepts of input supply and industry growth. The variables defined to reflect those concepts and the measurement procedures used to impliment them show promise of improving the quality of the empirical findings in industry location studies. Additional research could continue the efforts of this study in a number of ways.

#### References

- Greenhut, M. L. Plant Location in Theory and Practice, Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press, 1956.
- Hoover, Edgar M. The Location of Economic Activity. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1948.
- Isard, Walter. Location and Space Economy. Cambridge: The M.I.T. Press, 1956.

TABLE 1  
Results of Tobit Analysis

Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient	Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient
Broadwoven Fabric Mills (2211)		Folding Paperboard Boxes (2651)	
Yarn Mills (2269)	- 5.9011	Paperboard Mills (2631)	0.7034
Synthetic Organic Fibers (2824)	- 0.9883	Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.0150
Cellulosic Manmade Fibers (2823)	0.7503	Paper Mills, Except Building Paper Mills (2621)	1.0077**
Potential Market Access	- 0.0073	Potential Market Access	0.0092***
Constant	-15.6068	Constant	-17.2292
No. of Limit Observations	143	No. of Limit Observations	141
Apparel (2311)		Industrial Chemicals (28140)	
Broadwoven Fabric Mills (2211)	- 3.1514	Petroleum Refining and Products (29110)	- 0.9639
Knit Outerwear Mills (2253)	57.9110***	Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	0.0166
Knit Fabric Mills (22560)	0.2576	Fertilizers, Mixing Only (28720)	0.4428
Interaction <sup>a</sup>	2.9385***	Potential Market Access	0.0013
Potential Market Access	- 0.0254	Constant	- 5.6275
Constant	-33.5348	No. of Limit Observations	135
No. of Limit Observations	62	Footwear, Except House Slippers and Rubber Footwear (31410)	
Hardwood Flooring Mills and Wood Furniture, Except Upholstered (24260)		Leather Tanning and Finishing (3111)	5.8716***
Sawmills and Planing Mills, General (2421)	- 0.6507	Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	0.2393***
Broadwoven Fabric Mills (2211)	- 0.1129	Potential Market Access	0.0023
Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.0619	Constant	2.6999
Potential Market Access	0.0004	No. of Limit Observations	133
Constant	- 4.3788	Concrete Brick and Block (3271)	
No. of Limit Observations	133	Cement, Hydraulic (3241)	- 2.0365
Wood Products, Not Elsewhere Classified (24990)		Ready Mixed Concrete (3273)	1.8472
Sawmills and Planing Mills, General (2421)	- 0.0172	Minerals and Earths, Ground or Otherwise Treated (32950)	21.0775***
Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.0471	Constant	- 7.1120
Potential Market Access	0.0019	No. of Limit Observations	128
Constant	- 4.0743	Concrete Products Except Block and Brick (3272)	
No. of Limit Observations	105	Cement, Hydraulic (3241)	1.0044
Millwork and Furniture, Except Upholstered (24310)		Ready Mixed Concrete (3273)	1.4856
Sawmills and Planing Mills, General (2421)	4.0135***	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works and Rolling Mills (3312)	0.0345*
Veneer and Plywood Plants (24320)	5.2083***	Constant	- 7.3068
Potential Market Access	0.0122***	No. of Limit Observations	121
Constant	-13.5538		
No. of Limit Observations	102		

TABLE 1 Continued

Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient	Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient
Metal Doors, Sash, Frames, Molding and Trim (3442)		Farm Equipment, Cycles, Trailer Coaches, and Transportation Equipment, Not Elsewhere Classified (35229)	
Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Aluminum (33520)	1.9776*	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	0.0579*
Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	- 0.0088	Internal Combustion Engines, Not Elsewhere Classified (3519)	1.4815
Primary Production of Aluminum (3334)	0.3664*	Bearings and Power Transmission Equipment (35670)	- 0.1845
Potential Market Access	0.0049***	Potential Market Access	0.0044*
Constant	- 5.2431	Constant	- 6.5745
No. of Limit Observations	145	No. of Limit Observations	127
Architectural and Miscellaneous Metal Work (34490)		Special Dies, Tools, and Machine Tool Accessories (3544)	
Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	- 0.0097	Metal Stampings (34610)	0.0443
Fabricated Structural Steel (3441)	- 1.1965	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	- 0.0078
Sheet Metal Work (3444)	27.6515***	Machine Tools and Metal Working Machinery (35410)	0.4247
Potential Market Access	- 0.0360	Potential Market Access	0.0012
Constant	-19.4334	Constant	- 6.5872
No. of Limit Observations	135	No. of Limit Observations	123
Safes, Vaults, Collapsible Tubes, and Fabricated Metal Products, Not Elsewhere Classified (34920)		Industrial Patterns (3565)	
Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	0.1044**	Iron and Steel Foundries (33230)	- 0.1872
Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.0211	Aluminum Castings (3361)	0.6954**
Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Aluminum (33520)	- 1.6765	Sawmills and Planing Mills, General (2421)	2.5544
Potential Market Access	0.0024	Potential Market Access	0.0174**
Constant	- 8.5856	Constant	-13.0852
No. of Limit Observations	145	No. of Limit Observations	146
Valves, Pipe, and Pipe Fittings (3494)		Semiconductors and Electronic Components (36790)	
Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	0.0637	Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.3104
Iron and Steel Foundries (33230)	- 0.3421	Ordnance, Radio and Television Equipment, Optical Instruments (19110)	0.3834*
Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Copper (3351)	0.7026	Metal Samplings (34610)	0.3087
Potential Market Access	0.0006	Potential Market Access	0.0016
Constant	- 5.6482	Constant	- 9.5562
No. of Limit Observations	143	No. of Limit Observations	139



TABLE 1 Continued

Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient	Industry Input Providing Industry	Normalized Coefficient
Truck and Bus Bodies and Truck Trailers (37310)		Signs and Advertising Displays (3393)	
Motor Vehicles and Parts (37110)	0.1788	Plastics, Paints and Rubber Products (28210)	- 0.1277
Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	- 0.0313	Blast Furnaces, Steel Works, and Rolling Mills (3312)	0.1351**
Rolling, Drawing, and Extruding of Aluminum (33520)	2.4922**	Potential Market Access	0.0149***
Potential Market Access	0.0039**	Constant	-17.1098
Constant	- 5.4243	No. of Limit Observations	147
No. of Limit Observations	146		

\* Significant at the ten percent level of significance.

\*\* Significant at the five percent level of significance.

\*\*\* Significant at the one percent level of significance.

<sup>a</sup>

The interaction of the potential net input availability of the broadwoven fabric mills industry and the potential net input availability of the knit outerwear mills industry.