

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

# This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<a href="http://ageconsearch.umn.edu">http://ageconsearch.umn.edu</a>
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

of ricultural outlook

AAEA ANNUAL OUTLOOK SURVEY 1985<sup>1</sup>

Ву

Jim Hilker and Jake Ferris Department of Agricultural Economics Michigan State University

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

SEP 1 6 1985

Agricultural Economics Library

# Background

The 1985 survey was the eighth in an annual series which began in 1978 for the purpose of capitalizing on the broad expertise in agricultural outlook in the profession and providing a focus for discussion at the Annual Meeting. The survey was directed toward those members actively engaged in outlook work. Members contacted were urged to respond in those areas in which they had some basis for making forecasts. We also asked them to check those areas where they had special expertise.

Over 500 schedules were distributed in late June to a sub-set of members compiled from several sources including: (1) names in the AJAE 1984 Handbook-Directory classified under "commodity supply/demand analysis" and "agricultural situation/outlook" as their areas of interest; (2) a list of institutional members and their representatives; and (3) members who have made presentations at recent national or regional outlook meetings. Sets were sent to chairpersons of departments of agricultural economics to be distributed to appropriate faculty to ensure contacts with new entrants into outlook programs.

Seventy-two responses were received by the end of the third week of July when the results were compiled. Sixty-two percent of the respondents were from universities, 27 percent from industry, and 11 percent from government, about the same make-up as the last several years. About one-third were making major or moderate use of formal econometric models. Forty-four percent of the respondents had major and 26 percent had moderate outlook responsibilities.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$ Presented at the AAEA Annual Meeting, in the Industry-Extension Outlook Session, Iowa State University, August 1985.

## Results

A summary of the results is presented in Table 1. Written in the survey schedule are the mean values of the responses.  $^2$ 

Red meat production is expected to decrease in 1986, the rate of decrease in beef production is expected to be nearly constant and the cutback in pork production is expected to turn around the second half of 1986. Broiler production is expected to increase over the entire forecast period, but the expected rate of growth slows in 1986. Egg production is expected to fall off 1% in 1986.

Cattle and hog prices are expected to strengthen marginally in 1986. Feeder steers are expected to bring a fairly normal premium over steers throughout the forecast period. Steer prices are expected to follow the same seasonal pattern they followed the three years previous to 1984 and unlike 1985 have their seasonal high in the spring quarter of 1986. Hog prices are expected to stay in the upper \$40 range for all of 1986.

The wheat production and carryover projections for 1985-86 are close to the USDA's July 10 Supply/Demand Report. But the export figure is more optimistic than the USDA meaning domestic use is expected to be lower than the USDA figure. Corn production in 1985 is projected to be 90 million bushels less than the USDA July report and 1985-86 exports of corn 45 million bushels more, but the expected carryover is only 60 million bushels less, meaning AAEA members are more pessimistic on domestic use by about 75 million bushels. Soybean 1985-86 production, export, and carry-out projections are in the same range as the July USDA estimates. Cotton export and carry-out projections are more optimistic than the USDA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The numbers in parentheses at the left-hand side of Section II are the numbers of respondents who have major forecasting responsibilities on the respective items. The total numbers responding in each of the items are given in Tables 2-6. For a summary of the "expert opinion," see Table 7. Inconsistencies between the predicted quarterly and annual changes on livestock is due to the fact that all respondents did not make both quarterly and annual forecasts.

The corn, wheat, cotton, and soybean price projections for 1985-86 are all 3-4 percent lower than for 1984-85 as would be expected with the projected increases in carryover. The soy oil price projection is for over an 11 percent drop which is nearly double the decrease indicated in the government estimates. However, the composite soy meal projection is for slightly higher prices in 1985-86 rather than lower prices as the USDA is projecting.

Cash receipts from both crops and livestock are expected to drop 2 percent in 1985 before both recover marginally in 1986. Net cash income is expected to drop almost 10 percent in 1985 and then hold its own in 1986. Total net farm income is projected to drop 20 percent in the survey results in 1985 and only recovering 3 percent in 1986.

The decline in land prices experienced over the past several years is expected to continue at a rapid rate. This indicates that the survey respondents feel that not only are the producers' revenues going to be down this next year, but the debt to equity ratios, and therefore the borrowing capacity, of many farmers will also be hurt by continued deterioration of their equity.

Real GNP is expected to grow 3.4 percent in 1985, which is nearly twice the rate we have seen the first half of the year and is projected to slow to 2.6 percent in 1986. Inflation, as measured by the CPI, is expected to continue increasing at about the same 4 percent rate we saw in 1984 over the next two years. Food price increases are projected to grow at a slower pace in 1985 before picking back up in 1986.

# Variation in the Most Likely Forecasts

Tables 2-6 present the number of responses to each of the items, the mean values of the forecasts (the probability aspects are shown in a later section), the standard deviation (S.D.), and the minimum and maximum values in the range of forecasts. In livestock production (Table 2), the greatest differences among

forecasters is in the beef sector where projections ranged from decreases of over 10 percent to increases of several percent, but the overwhelming consensus is for beef production to drop in 1986. The conclusion of whether pork production will increase or decrease is unclear according to the survey results.

All livestock prices are expected to go up marginally, given the composite forecasts of all the respondents. However, among the forecasters there are substantial variations as can be seen by studying the ranges and the S.D.s as a percent of the means.

As might be expected, variance in the exports and carryover for the 1984-85 season are relatively small since these values are fairly well established at this time. While the range of estimates for 1985 crop production are fairly wide, the S.D. as a percent of the mean range from 2-5 percent, showing there is a fairly strong concensus on the crop production forecasts. However, the variation among forecasters on 1985-86 exports and carry-out are not as similar as shown by the ranges and S.D.

The crop price forecasts, as seen by the wide ranges, show there is always both pessimists and optimists in every crowd. But the S.D.s are actually fairly narrow for the 1985-86 crop years, other than soy meal, especially given the number of scenarios that could unfold over the next year.

The other items forecasted, as presented in Table 6, showed significant variability other than cash receipts for marketing. However, two messages come through the differences, land prices will continue down and inflation will continue.

# "Expert Opinion"

Table 7 includes predictions on selected items by those who have <u>major</u> <u>forecasting responsibilities</u> in the respective areas. The results were, in general, similar to those from the entire set of respondents. This group was

slightly more bearish on 1986 prices on cattle and broilers—and slightly more bullish on 1986 eggs, cotton, and soy meal. Another notable difference was the higher predictions on economic growth and cash receipts in 1986. This group was also expecting slightly lower general inflation.

# Probability Forecasts

Over the past several years, there has been much discussion on the value of probability forecasts. Therefore, a version of probability forecasts were included in the survey. On selected items, each respondent was asked to give a low, most likely, and high forecast. "Low" was defined as only a 5 percent chance of the price being lower and "High" as only a 5 percent chance of the price being higher. Some results of these forecasts are shown in Tables 8-12. Due to surveys still coming in up to the last minute, there has been little time for analysis of the findings, but there are some interesting points that might be made. When looking at the diagrams in Tables 9-11, it is clear that the distributions are not typically symmetric. It is also evident that if a producer listened to just any one of the forecasters, they could put themselves in serious jeopardy. This evidence contributes to a stronger case for producers using composite forecasts.

Further analysis of the probability forecasts will be made available to the respondents. While the forecasts themselves become dated as more information becomes available, as is true of all forecasts, these forecasts clearly give a better sense of the analysts' confidence in their point forecasts. From a grower's perspective, this fact and the skewness in the forecast distributions has significant implications for producer decision-making.

## Conclusions

On the whole, the respondents verified the belief that agriculture is not out of the woods, and the next couple of years are likely to be worse years

financially than the last few, which have not been good years. Supply is expected to grow faster than demand in all the surveyed crops which means ending stocks will increase and continue to put pressure on prices. Red meat demand is expected to continue its fall as evidenced by the minimal price increase expected, given the expected cutbacks in production and the expected continued growth in incomes. Some positive findings in the survey are the expected continued growth in real GNP and the expected moderate increases in inflation.

#### TABLE 1

#### AAEA ANNUAL OUTLOOK SURVEY

AAEA Members Involved in Market Analysis, Outlook or Forecasting

#### I. Personal Information

A. Name AAEA (72) \* B. Affiliation Universities (45)

Independents (19) Government (8)

- C. How much use do you make of formal econometric models in developing your forecast? (Major 9) (Moderate 17) (Minor 22) (None 24)
- D. Forecasting is a (Major  $\underline{32}$ ) (Moderate  $\underline{19}$ ) (Minor  $\underline{19}$ ) responsibility in my position.

Please make estimates where you feel you have professional competence. You need not respond in all areas. Please put a check  $(\checkmark)$  next to the commodities and/or economic areas for which you have major forecasting responsibility.

## II. Commodity Outlook

A. Livestock & Poultry

### Production

(19) Beef (comm. prod.)

(17) Pork (comm. prod.)

( 8) Broilers (FI prod.)

( 5) Eggs (farm prod.)

	1985					1986						
I	II	III	IV	IV Annual		I	II	III	IV	Annual		
	Percent Change Fr											
0	+1	6	-1.3	32		-2.3	-3.7	-2,8	-2.6	-2.9		
-3	+2	3	-1 <b>.</b> 8	-1.9	۱		-1.7		2.2	.02		
+4	+6	4.5	4.0	4.87		2.5	2.2	1.7	1.3	2.5		
+2	+0	.3	<b></b> 6	.82		-1.7	-1.3	6	6	9		

#### 1985 II is a forecast

#### Prices

Choice slaughter steers (Omaha, \$/cwt.)

Feeder steers, med. frame No.1, 600-700 lb., Kansas City (\$/cwt)

Barrows & gilts, 7 markets
 (\$/cwt)

Broilers, 12 city area (c/lb.)

Eggs, NY, grd. A, 1g. (c/doz.)

<b>62.</b> 24	57 <b>.</b> 66	59.21	62.0	þ	61.07	63.33	65.17	64.29	64 <b>.</b> 35	64.36
68.30	66 <b>.</b> 90	66.85	66.1	2	67.36	70.09	71 <b>.</b> 26	70.82	70 <b>.</b> 80	69.96
47 <b>.</b> 32	43.09	47.84	46.7	7	46.77	48 <b>.</b> 21	47 <b>.</b> 61	49.59	46.37	48.26
51.5	51.00	49.43	48.3	5	49.44	50.05	49 <b>.</b> 05	49.30	49.17	50.25
61.7	60.00	65.26	69.5	6	63.54	69.67	65 <b>.</b> 67	66.79	68.43	67.62

Pick one of the above commodities you are most familiar with and give a low, most likely, and high price for the II quarter of 1986.

Commodity	Low	Most Likely	High
Steers	59.40	65.55	70.30
Feeder Steers	71,00	73.60	76.50

Low = only a 5% chance prices will be lower.

High = only a 5% chance prices will be higher.

<sup>\*</sup>Names necessary to insure credibility of the survey, but will not be recorded with data nor used in analysis of survey results.

Hogs	44.23	48.00	52.73
Broilers	47.00	51.50	56.00
Eggs	64.50	69.50	72.50

# B. Crops

Supply	&	Util:	iza	tion

		. Sup	ply & Utiliza	tion	
	1984-8	5 Crop Year	1985	-86 Crop N	lear .
	Exports	Carry Out	Production	Exports	Carry Out
(21) Wheat (mil.	bu.) XXX	XXX	2416	1245	1526
( <u>23</u> ) Corn (mil. h	bu.) 1918	1231	7986	1747_	2139
( <u>9</u> ) Cotton, upla ELS (mil. ba		4.09	12.18	5.08	6.25
( <u>26</u> ) Soybeans (m	il. bu.) 646	288	1895	690	374
		Prices		1985-86	
				Most	
	•	1984	<u>1−85</u> <u>Low</u>	Likely	High
Corn (\$/bu.)	) (U.S. farm)		65 2.40	2.55	2.74
Wheat (\$/bu	.) (U.S. farm)	_3.	38 3.09	3.27	3.45
Cotton (¢/11 in.)	b.) (U.S., SLM, 1-1	- ·	0.855.3	58.1	61.3
Soybeans (\$	/bu.) (U.S. farm)		83 5.24	5.64	6.13
Soybean oil	(¢/lb.) (Decatur	, IL) <u>30</u>	24.0	26.8	29.5_
Soybean mea	l (\$/ton) (Decatu	r, L) <u>1</u>	.25113	129	141
III. Agricultural	and General Econo	omic Outlook		•	
III. IIGIICUICUI	and concrue beam	JEEC OULIOOR	100/ p	1005	.006
(6) (0-1-			<u>1984</u> P	1985	<u>1986</u>
	ts from marketings ps and products (l		70		
•	estock and product	•			59.2
( <sup>5</sup> ) Net cash in		-2 (DII. 3)			72.6
( 6) Total net fa	•				32.7
(	arm income				25.6
		Pe	ercent Change	From Previo	ous Year
			<u>1984</u>		1986
( <u>4</u> ) Land values	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>-9</u>
( <u>3</u> ) Real GNP (1	•	•			12.6
(3) CPI, all it	ems (1967 = 100)		+4.2	+3.9 -	<del>-4.3</del>
$(\underline{6})$ Food prices	(all, at home and	i away)	+3.9	+2.9	<u> -3.7</u>

Mail responses to Jim Hilker, Department of Agricultural Economics, Room 1 Agriculture Hall, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824-1039.

Must be received by: July 19, 1985.

-	<del></del>				
Product	N	Percent C Mean	hange From Pr S.D.	evious Year Min.	Max.
BEEF			0.5.		
1985 III IV Annual 1986	24 25 28	-0.60 -1.28 -0.32	1.88 2.91 1.49	-5.00 -6.00 -3.00	3.00 5.00 4.00
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	21	-2.29	2.16	-10.00	1.00
	20	-3.70	3.16	-13.00	1.00
	19	-2.84	2.52	-8.00	2.00
	19	-2.63	2.79	-9.00	2.00
	26	-2.87	2.42	-7.00	3.00
1985 III IV Annual 1986	24 24 28	-0.33 -1.75 -1.91	1.46 3.17 1.20	-3.00 -5.00 -5.00	2.00 10.00 1.50
I	21	-1.90	1.95	-5.00	2.00
II	20	-1.65	2.67	-6.00	3.00
III	19	0.16	2.46	-5.00	5.00
IV	19	2.16	3.50	-5.00	12.00
Annual	24	0.02	2.52	-5.00	6.00
BROILERS  1985 III IV Annual 1986	16	4.50	1.37	1.00	6.00
	16	4.00	1.62	1.00	6.00
	20	4.87	0.66	4.00	6.00
I	15	2.53	1.89	-1.00	6.00
II	14	2.21	2.65	-2.00	6.00
III	13	1.65	2.66	-2.00	7.00
IV	12	1.25	2.62	-3.00	6.00
Annual	17	2.46	2.27	-1.00	6.00
EGGS 1985 III IV Annual 1986	10	0.30	1.19	-1.00	2.00
	10	-0.60	1.69	-3.00	2.00
	11	0.82	0.72	0.00	2.00
I	10	-1.65	1.61	-4.00	1.00
II	10	-1.25	1.72	-5.00	1.00
III	8	-0.63	1.32	-2.00	1.00
IV	8	-0.56	1.72	-3.00	3.00
Annual	10	-0.90	0.94	-2.00	1.00

Product	-	N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Choice slaughter steers,	\$/cwt					
1985 III IV Annual 1986		23 23 27	59.21 62.00 61.07	2.20 2.06 1.77	54.00 59.00 58.75	63.00 66.00 67.74
I II III IV Annual		18 18 17 17 24	63.33 65.17 64.29 64.35 64.36	2.32 3.12 3.46 3.31 4.55	58.00 60.00 58.00 56.00 55.00	67.00 71.00 71.00 70.00 80.24
Feeder steers, med. frame, No. 1, 600-700 lbs., Kansas City 1985	\$/cwt					
III IV Annual 1986		18 18 17	66.85 66.12 67.36	2.77 2.95 1.52	62.00 63.00 65.00	72.00 75.00 70.00
II II III IV Annual		14 14 14 14	70.09 71.26 70.82 70.80 69.96	3.33 4.94 5.42 5.13 4.87	66.00 66.00 64.00 65.00 60.00	76.00 84.00 83.00 81.20 80.00
Barrows and gilts, 7 markets	\$/cwt					
1985 III IV Annual		22 22 25	47.84 46.77 46.77	2.03 1.68 0.97	44.00 44.00 45.25	50.00 51.00 49.39
1986 I II III IV Annual		18 18 17 17 23	48.21 47.61 49.59 46.37 48.26	1.58 2.34 3.38 4.67 2.47	45.00 42.00 43.00 32.00 42.75	51.00 52.00 55.00 53.00 53.37
Broilers, 12 city area 1985	¢/1b					
III IV Annual		14 13 15	49.43 48.35 49.44	1.84 1.87 1.29	46.00 44.00 47.00	52.50 51.00 51.15
1986 I II III IV Annual		11 11 10 9 13	50.05 49.05 49.30 49.17 50.25	2.29 2.51 3.55 2.21 2.97	44.00 43.00 40.00 45.00 43.00	52.50 52.00 54.00 53.00 56.26
Eggs, N.Y., grade a, large	¢/doz	13	30.23	2.57	43.00	30.20
1985 III IV Annual 1986		9 9 11	65.26 69.56 63.54	1.92 3.72 1.84	61.30 63.00 60.00	68.00 75.00 67.00
II II III IV Annual		9 9 7 7 9	69.67 65.67 66.79 68.43 67.62	4.32 3.56 4.47 6.95 3.49	62.00 60.00 60.00 55.00 62.12	76.00 71.00 73.00 78.00 74.00

-11TABLE 4
CROP SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION PREDICTIONS

	Million Bushels or Bales								
Product	N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.				
WHEAT			•						
1985-86									
Production	27	2415.57	62.00	2300.00	2560.00				
Exports	27	1245.04	89.72	1100.00	1433.00				
Carryout	27	1526.46	71.83	1399.00	1650.00				
CORN									
1984-85									
Exports	29	1918.21	40.89	1800.00	2000.00				
Carryout	29	1230.79	52.96	1100.00	1350.00				
<u> 1985-86</u>					1000100				
Production	35	7986.45	201.20	7200.00	8288.00				
Exports	31	1746.90	59.01	1600.00	1862.00				
Carryout	31	2139.06	177.29	1767.00	2500.00				
COTTON									
1984-85									
Exports	12	6.43	0.07	6.30	6.50				
Carryout	12	4.09	0.08	4.00	4.20				
1985-86					,,,				
Production	12	12.18	0.63	10.60	12.80				
Exports	12	5.08	0.46	4.50	6.50				
Carryout	12	6.25	1.00	3.70	7.50				
SOYBEANS									
1984-85									
Exports	34	645.76	29.97	590.00	710.00				
Carryout	34	287.74	23.41	220.00	335.00				
1985-86					222.00				
Production	37	1895.24	68.65	1700.00	2000.00				
Exports	36	690.44	40.84	600.00	784.00				
Carryout	35	374.41	72.56	219.30	500.00				

-12TABLE 5
CROP PRICE PREDICTIONS

Duaduat	112.4	A1				
Product	Unit	N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Corn, U.S. farm	\$/bu					
1984-85 1985-86		35 40	2.65 2.55	.09	2.56 2.40	3.10 3.00
Wheat, U.S. farm	\$/bu					
1985-86		32	3.27	.10	3.00	3.47
Cotton, U.S., SLM, 1-1/16 in.	¢/1b					
1984-85 1985-86		8 9	59.83 58.07	1.25 2.17	58.50 55.00	62.20 62.00
Soybeans, U.S. farm	\$/bu					
1984-85 1985-86		35 40	5.83 5.64	.09	5.65 5.20	6.10 6.25
Soybean Oil, Decatur, Ill.	¢/1b					
1984-85 1985-86		19 23	30.06 26.82	1.14	28.00 22.00	31.20 30.00
Soybean Meal, Decatur, Ill.	\$/ton					
1984-85 1985-86		20 24	124.57 129.45	4.44 16.25	120.00 110.00	135.00 171.87

TABLE 6

PREDICTIONS OF FARM INCOME, LAND VALUES AND THE GENERAL ECONOMY

-	Unit		Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Cash Receipts From Marketings						
Crops	Bil \$					
1985 1986		18 18	68.62 69.16	1.83 3.05	65.00 62.00	71.00 74.90
Livestock	Bil \$					
1985 1986		18 18	70.64 72.61	2.44 3.52	65.00 64.00	75.00 78.00
Net Cash Income	Bil \$					
1985 1986		18 18	32.49 32.74	3.39 3.22	24.00 27.00	36.00 38.30
Total Net Farm Income	Bil \$					
1985 1986		17 16	24.76 25.56	3.69 2.89	19.00 20.00	33.00 30.00
Land Values, April 1	% Change					
1985 1986	From Previous Year	31	-12.0 -9.4	4.36	-18.0	2.0
Real GNP	% Change					
1985 1986	From Previous Year	31 31	3.37 2.61	.89 1.67	2.00 -1.50	6.20 6.80
CPI, All Items	% Change					
1985 1986	From Previous Year	34 34	3.92 4.27	.59 .95	3.00 2.00	5.50 6.50
CPI, Food (All)	% Change					
1985 1986	From Previous Year	27 26	2.89 3.70	1.29 1.05	-2.00 2.00	4.50 6.80

TABLE 7

PREDICTIONS OF PRICES AND SELECTED ITEMS BY RESPONDENTS WITH MAJOR FORECASTING RESPONSIBILITIES IN THE RESPECTIVE AREAS

		Me	an
	Unit	1985	1986
Livestock			
Choice slaughter steers, Omaha Feeder steers, med. frame, No. 1,	\$/cwt	60.34	63.29
600-700 lbs., Kansas City Barrows and gilts, 7 markets Broilers, 12 city area Eggs, N.Y., grade A, large	\$/cwt \$/cwt ¢/lb ¢/doz	67.00 46.70 49.50 64.67	69.82 48.19 48.66 71.33
Crops		1984-85	1985-86
Corn, U.S. farm Wheat, U.S. farm Cotton, U.S. SLM, 1-1/16 in. Soybeans, U.S. farm Soybean oil, Decatur, Ill. Soybean meal, Decatur, Ill.	\$/bu \$/bu ¢/lb \$/bu ¢/lb \$/ton	2.65  60.18 5.83 30.00 124.86	2.56 3.28 58.50 5.65 26.58 131.60
<u>Other</u>		1985	<u>1986</u>
Cash receipts from marketings Crops Livestock Net cash income Total net farm income Land values, April 1 Real GNP CPI, all items CPI, food (all)	Bil \$ Bil \$ Bil \$ Change Change Change Change	68.68 70.10 30.00 23.00 -12.00 3.26 4.00 2.57	70.33 73.25 32.00 25.66 -8.20 3.23 3.87 3.52

TABLE 8

CROP PROBABILITY PRICE FORECASTS
FOR 1985-86 CROP YEARS

Product	Unit	N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Corn, U.S. farm	¢/bu			•		<del> </del>
Low Most likely High		36 40 36	2.40 2.55 2.74	.10 .09 .18	2.20 2.40 2.60	2.57 3.00 3.50
Wheat, U.S. farm	¢/bu					
Low Most likely High		29 32 29	3.09 3.27 3.45	.16 .10 .12	2.50 3.00 3.25	3.30 3.47 3.90
Cotton, U.S., SLM, 1-1/16 in.	¢/1b					
Low Most likely High		9 9 9	55.30 58.10 61.30	3.00 3.16 2.25	50.00 55.00 58.00	60.00 62.00 65.00
Soybeans, U.S. farm	¢/bu					
Low Most likely High		36 40 36	5.24 5.64 6.13	.20 .22 .38	4.70 5.20 5.40	5.75 6.25 7.00
Soybean Oil, Decatur, Ill.	\$/bu					
Low Most likely High		20 23 20	24.00 26.80 29.50	2.41 2.79 4.44	20.00 22.00 23.00	28.00 30.00 33.00
Soybean Meal, Decatur, Ill.	\$/ton		•			
Low Most likely High		20 24 20	113.00 129.00 142.00	12.80 16.00 16.90	90.00 110.00 115.00	145.00 172.00 180.00

Table 9. Distribution of Probabilistic Price
Forecasts for the Average Farm Price
for 1985/84 Corn (\$/bu)

								 verag		(\$/b					
							"Mos	t Lik oreca	ely"						
<u></u>	2 1 0	2 2 2 0	2 3 0	2 4 0	2 5 0	2 6 0	2 7 0	2 8 0	2 9 0	3 0 0	3 1 0	3 2 0	3 0	3 4 0	3 5 0
_							"Ех	perts	511						
							<u>.</u>								
					·		<				. *				
	•						*			*				•	
	•				}	*									
		•		•	*										
						* -*						•	•		
			•			×									
						<u> </u>		<del></del>							
					*-										
					x_	•									
					*						21				
						* *-									
					*										
					•			n-Ехр	erts"						
													•		
							-*								
		•		 *		(									
						- *		, , , ,		•					
						-*x-									
								. <del></del>							

Table 10. Distribution of Probabilistic Price Forecasts for the Average Farm Price for 1985/86 Wheat (\$/bu)

	··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··			for 19	'85/86 	. Wheat	: (\$/ 	bи) =====				
				"Mos	verag t Lik oreca	ely"						
2 5	2 2 6 7 0 0	8	2 3 9 0 0 0	3 1 0	3 2 0	3 3 0	3 - 4 0	3 5 0	3 6 0	3 7 0	3 8 0	3 9 0
***************************************					x x	; '' :						
			<u></u>	*		* *		*	•			
				*	*			***************************************				
				"Non-	Exper	-ts" * *		Willia delay group				
			**	· ·			* *	<del></del>				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					·*						

Table 11. Distribution of Probabilistic Price Forecasts for the Average Farm Price for 1985/86 Soybeans (\$/bu)

									eans 	(#/DU	<i>)</i>			
	. •		•			"Mo≘	verag t Lik oreca	ely"						
4 2 0	4 4 0	4 6 0	4 8 0	5 0	5 2 0	5 4 0	5 6	5 8 0	6 0	6 2 0	6 4 0	6 6 0	6 8 0	7
		•				*								
									·*					
						*			•		• .			
								 			•			
							*	·	•					
							*	· ···· ···· ···· ····		*				•
						<u>*</u>		*						
						À	C		,					
									·	·				
				-				*						
					*-		·····							
						×-								
•	•													
•								*						
							*							
						*		4						
						"Non-	-Exper		_					
•					· ·	·			(			• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• •••		
							·····	<			· · ·			
								*						
		·				*								
							*							
									*		··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					•		•		<del>-</del> , '					
							*							

TABLE 12
LIVESTOCK PROBABILITY PRICE FORECASTS

				·		
Product		N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.
Choice slaughter steers, Omaha	\$/cwt		•		• •	
1986 II						
Low Most likely High		10 10 10	59.40 65.55 70.30	3.69 3.41 5.06	50.00 60.00 63.00	64.00 71.00 80.00
Feeder steers, med. frame, No. 1, 600-700 lbs., Kansas City	\$/cwt					
<u>1986 II</u>						
Low Most likely High		2 2 2	71.00 73.60 76.50	NA NA NA	67.00 69.00 73.00	75.00 78.20 80.00
Barrows and gilts, 7 markets	\$/cwt					
1986 II						
Low Most likely High		11 11 11	44.23 48.00 52.73	2.61 2.45 2.05	40.00 45.00 50.00	50.00 54.00 56.00
Broilers, 12 city area	¢/1b					
1986 II						
Low Most likely High		2 2 2	47.00 51.50 56.00	NA NA NA	46.00 51.00 54.00	48.00 52.00 58.00
Eggs, N.Y., grade a, large	¢/doz					
1986 II						
Low Most likely High		2 2 2	64.50 69.50 72.50	NA NA NA	64.00 68.00 71.00	65.00 71.00 74.00